

County of
San Diego
California



Sample Ballot & Voter Information Pamphlet

PRIMARY ELECTION

TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 1986

POLLS OPEN AT 7 A.M. AND CLOSE AT 8 P.M.

**THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE
IS SHOWN ON THE BACK COVER**

Information concerning the State Propositions will be mailed by the
Secretary of State in a separate pamphlet.

Spanish translation of this pamphlet is available upon request from the
Office of the Registrar of Voters.

Este folleto está disponible en español previa petición al Registrador de
Votantes.

Compiled and Distributed by:

RAY J. ORTIZ
Registrar of Voters
5201-I Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123

(619) 565-5800



PRIMARY ELECTION - JUNE 3, 1986 - SAN DIEGO COUNTY
NONPARTISAN - OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

MAYOR (UNEXPIRED TERM)

Vote for One

BILL CLEATOR City Council Member	185 →	<input type="radio"/>
MAUREEN O'CONNOR	186 →	<input type="radio"/>

SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES - DISTRICT C

Vote for One

LOUISE DYER Incumbent	189 →	<input type="radio"/>
MARYANN C. ZOUNES Research Scientist	190 →	<input type="radio"/>

SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION - DISTRICT C

Vote for One

KAY DAVIS Incumbent	195 →	<input type="radio"/>
JOHN DE BECK Business Teacher/Author/Businessman	196 →	<input type="radio"/>
JOHN PRIMAVERA Community Activist - Writer	197 →	<input type="radio"/>
DAVE GUTHRIE Computer Consultant/Professor	198 →	<input type="radio"/>

PRIMARY ELECTION - JUNE 3, 1986 - SAN DIEGO COUNTY
NONPARTISAN - OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

E CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT AMENDING SECTION 11.1 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND ADDING SECTION 11.2.

SECTION 11.1. LEGISLATIVE POWER — NONDELEGABLE.

Imposes upon City Council same prohibition against delegating legislative power or responsibility as State Constitution imposes on the State Legislature regarding raising and spending public monies.

Establishes guidelines for Council consideration in setting employee compensation.

Requires City Council to give priority to police protection in setting compensation of City employees.

Extends the prohibition against unlawful delegation to any scheme or formula which seeks to fix, establish or adjust the compensation of City of San Diego employees at the level of the largest cities in California or the State of California.

SECTION 11.2. LEGISLATIVE POWER — MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.

Notwithstanding any provisions of this Charter to the contrary, nothing in this Charter shall be construed to preclude the Council from entering into a multiple year memorandum of understanding with any recognized City employee organization concerning wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment if, in the prudent exercise of legislative discretion as provided in this Charter, the Council determines it is in the best interests of the City to do so; and further provided that said exercise of legislative discretion is expressed affirmatively by a two-thirds vote of the entire Council.

YES 276 →
NO 277 →

F INITIATIVE MEASURE. AMENDS THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO BY ADDING SECTION 130.1.

The Council shall establish, for fiscal year 1986-1987, a base salary for the classification of Police Officer II, which is not less than the average base salary for comparable police officer positions in the California Highway Patrol and the police departments of the four (4) largest cities by population in the State of California. In determining the average salaries, no California city will be considered if that city is required to use an averaging formula for determining police officer salaries. All other classifications in the police unit shall receive a percentage base salary increase equal to that for Police Officer II as determined in the above manner.

The establishment of salaries for police classifications using the above formula for fiscal year 1986-1987 shall not require an increase in the annual tax levy.

YES 284 →
NO 285 →

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO
MAYOR**



BILL CLEATOR

City Council	1979-1986
Chair, San Diego Cruise Industry Consortium	1983-1986
Deputy Mayor	1983
Acting Mayor	1983
Founder/Chairman Cleator Furniture	1975-1986
President/Director TRE Corporation	1955-1975
U. S. Navy	1945-1946

An active, effective City Councilman since 1979, Bill Cleator has the experience to lead San Diego. He has proven his ability to bring people together from diverse community groups to work for a better San Diego for all San Diegans. He has pledged to implement the growth management plan.

A RECORD OF ACHIEVEMENT

Bill Cleator has played a key role in:

- Preserving 3,000 acres of additional open space
- Creating new parks
- Establishing San Diego as a homeport for the cruise ship industry
- Building 1,200 units of senior-citizen and low-income housing
- Finding a new home for a Peninsula Child Care Center
- Approving a modern hospice facility for the terminally ill
- Working for a world-class library that is accessible, convenient, and easy to use
- Cutting city costs by refusing to use a city credit card and consistently having the lowest office budget
- Working to provide better and more efficient neighborhood services

Bill and Mariyn Cleator have been married 29 years and have three children. They are active members of the Point Loma Presbyterian Church.

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO
MAYOR**



MAUREEN O'CONNOR

Port Commissioner	1980-1985
Transit Commissioner	1977-1981
Chair, San Diego Crime Commission	1980
City Council	1971-1979
Deputy Mayor	1977
Vice-Chair, California Housing Finance Agency	1977-1979

Dear San Diegan,

Because of the major differences between the candidates on the issue of growth this election offers a clear choice.

My record on growth is clear. As a member of the City Council I was proud to be Co-Author of the Growth Management Plan and equally proud of my votes against development projects like North City West which violated that plan. I am also the only candidate who has refused to accept contributions from developers.

Developers are not evil, but I believe development decisions should be based on what is right for San Diego, not campaign I.O.U.'s.

Please take the time to vote. The issues are clear. The impact on your future immense. You and you alone can make the decision on the quality of your future.

Thank you,
Maureen O'Connor

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Proposition E

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

E CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHARTER AMENDMENT AMENDING SECTION 11.1 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND ADDING SECTION 11.2.

SECTION 11.1. LEGISLATIVE POWER — NONDELEGABLE.

Imposes upon City Council same prohibition against delegating legislative power or responsibility as State Constitution imposes on the State Legislature regarding raising and spending public monies.

Establishes guidelines for Council consideration in setting employee compensation.

Requires City Council to give priority to police protection in setting compensation of City employees.

Extends the prohibition against unlawful delegation to any scheme or formula which seeks to fix, establish or adjust the compensation of City of San Diego employees at the level of the largest cities in California or the State of California.

SECTION 11.2. LEGISLATIVE POWER — MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.

Notwithstanding any provisions of this Charter to the contrary, nothing in this Charter shall be construed to preclude the Council from entering into a multiple year memorandum of understanding with any recognized City employee organization concerning wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment if, in the prudent exercise of legislative discretion as provided in this Charter, the Council determines it is in the best interests of the City to do so; and further provided that said exercise of legislative discretion is expressed affirmatively by a two-thirds vote of the entire Council.

This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by amending Section 11.1 and adding Section 11.2. The portions to be deleted are printed in ~~STRIKEOUT TYPE~~ and the portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

SECTION 11.1 LEGISLATIVE POWER — NONDELEGABLE.

The same prohibition against delegation of the legislative power which is imposed on the State Legislature by Article XI, Section 11a of the Constitution of the State of California shall apply to the City Council of The City of San Diego, so that its members shall not delegate legislative power or responsibility which they were elected to exercise in the adoption of any ordinance or resolution which raises or spends public monies, including but not limited to the City's annual budget ordinance or any part thereof, and the annual ordinance setting compensation for City employees, or any ordinance or resolution setting public policy.

The City Council shall annually adopt an ordinance establishing salaries for all City

employees. In setting compensation for City employees, the City Council shall adopt an ordinance no later than May 30 of each year after considering all relevant evidence including but not limited to the needs of the citizens of the City of San Diego for municipal services, and the ability of the citizens to pay for those services, local economic conditions and other relevant factors as the Council deems appropriate provided, however, that the City Council shall give priority in the funding of municipal services to the need of the citizens for police protection in considering adoption of this salary ordinance and the annual budget ordinance.

The prohibition imposed by this section against unlawful delegation of the legislative responsibility to set compensation for City employees shall extend to any scheme or formula which seeks to fix the compensation of City of San Diego employees at the level of compensation paid to employees of any other public agency whose governing board is not elected by and not accountable to the people of the City of San Diego. This prohibition shall also extend to any scheme or formula which seeks to fix, establish, or adjust the compensation of City of San Diego employees at the level of the largest cities in California or the State of California.

SECTION 11.2. LEGISLATIVE POWER — MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.

Notwithstanding any provisions of this Charter to the contrary, nothing in this Charter shall be construed to preclude the Council from entering into a multiple year memorandum of understanding with any recognized City employee organization concerning wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment if, in the prudent exercise of legislative discretion as provided in this Charter, the Council determines it is in the best interests of the City to do so; and further provided that said exercise of legislative discretion is expressed affirmatively by a two-thirds vote of the entire Council.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

POLICE PROTECTION WILL REMAIN SAN DIEGO'S FIRST PRIORITY.

Proposition E reaffirms the clear direction voters gave to the City Council in 1980.

Police protection comes first when budgeting and setting employee salaries.

During the past six years, the City Council has consistently followed that direction.

The San Diego Police Officers Association and the City have a new two year labor contract which provides a 17% pay increase for the City's Police Officers.

THE SAN DIEGO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION HAS FORMALLY ENDORSED THIS PROPOSITION.

SAN DIEGO HAS ADDED 269 POLICE OFFICERS SINCE 1980.

Keeping crime under control requires adding police officers.

The City Council has added 269 police officers since 1980 and has plans to continue to add more police officers until we have 2 for every 1,000 San Diegans.

We will have a safer city and safer police officers only if we are able to keep adding officers to our force.

Proposition E guarantees that our progress in officer safety will continue.

PROPOSITION E KEEPS LOCAL CONTROL OVER POLICE PROTECTION.

We should ensure that the City Councils of Los Angeles, Oakland, San Jose and Long Beach not be allowed to decide what San Diego police should be paid.

If that happens, San Diego may not be able to maintain the current level of police protection because it would have to pay existing officers more money.

Your City Council should make local decisions about police. Don't be fooled by the scheme to let people you don't even elect make these important decisions.

PROPOSITION E GIVES OUR POLICE NEW PROTECTION.

For the first time, police and other City employees could receive multi-year contracts, helping achieve better employee relations.

Multi-year contracts lock in salaries in advance, offering employees the protection of knowing what the future holds.

PROPOSITION E — THE ONLY WAY TO KEEP POLICE OUR TOP PRIORITY.

ED STRUIKSMA
San Diego Deputy Mayor

MIKE GOTCH
San Diego City Councilman

BILL CLEATOR
San Diego City Councilman

MARGARET SELLERS
President, Civil Service
Commission

J. TERENCE O'MALLEY President,
San Diego Taxpayers Association

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E

Stop City Hall from Election Tampering

This ballot proposition is a fraud. We - you and I and tens of thousands of other San Diego voters - want to vote on police raises. We put the Police Pay Initiative (Proposition F) on the ballot. City Council didn't like that, so with a flourish of the pen, they put this measure on the ballot hoping to confuse us. This is a fraud - it tampers with the election.

- Elections are too important to be manipulated by City Hall Politicians: Vote No on E.
- Support fair pay for our police officers: Vote Yes on F.

Don't let City Council do it to us again.

OLIN THOMPSON, Chairman
Citizens for Fair Pay

SID DAVIS, Peninsula
Neighborhood Watch Coordinator

RUTH PEYTON, Research Director
Stamp Out Crime Council

DONALD H. ERWIN, Legislative Advocate,
United Federation of Small Business

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Proposition F

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

F INITIATIVE MEASURE. AMENDS THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO BY ADDING SECTION 130.1.

The Council shall establish, for fiscal year 1986-1987, a base salary for the classification of Police Officer II, which is not less than the average base salary for comparable police officer positions in the California Highway Patrol and the police departments of the four (4) largest cities by population in the State of California. In determining the average salaries, no California city will be considered if that city is required to use an averaging formula for determining police officer salaries. All other classifications in the police unit shall receive a percentage base salary increase equal to that for Police Officer II as determined in the above manner.

The establishment of salaries for police classifications using the above formula for fiscal year 1986-1987 shall not require an increase in the annual tax levy.

INITIATIVE MEASURE: This proposition amends the Charter of The City of San Diego by adding Section 130.1. The portions to be added are underlined.

This proposition requires a majority vote.

SECTION 130.1. ESTABLISHING SALARIES FOR POLICE OFFICER CLASSIFICATIONS IN FISCAL YEAR 1986-1987.

The Council shall establish, for fiscal year 1986-1987, a base salary for the classification of Police Officer II, which is not less than the average base salary for comparable police officer positions in the California Highway Patrol and the police departments of the four (4) largest cities by population in the State of California. In determining the average salaries, no California city will be considered if that city is required to use an averaging formula for determining police officer salaries. All other classifications in the police unit shall receive a percentage base salary increase equal to that for Police Officer II as determined in the above manner.

The establishment of salaries for police classifications using the above formula for fiscal year 1986-1987 shall not require an increase in the annual tax levy.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

If we want the best, we have to pay for it. If we want well trained, experienced police, we have to pay a salary that will attract and keep them. That is why we must vote "Yes" on the Police Pay Initiative.

San Diego Police are underpaid. San Diego is California's second largest city, yet 46 other California communities pay their police officers more. Many San Diego officers cannot afford homes in San Diego. We don't pay them enough. Yes, we have superb officers on our Department. But to keep them, and to increase their numbers, we must increase their paychecks.

Doing the right and honorable thing - voting yes on this proposition - will not cause a tax-increase; neither, will it decrease any essential services. The only programs Council has to cut are their own pet projects. Don't believe the horror stories. This initiative will cost only slightly more than 1% of the City's budget.

San Diego is the most dangerous city in the nation for police officers. San Diego officers are on duty around the clock, on weekends and holidays keeping us safe. They risk their lives to protect us.

Vote "Yes" for San Diego Police. They're worth it. They're really worth it.

OLIN THOMPSON, Chairman,
Citizens for Fair Pay

RUTH PEYTON, Research Director,
Stamp Out Crime Council

SID DAVIS, Peninsula
Neighborhood Watch Coordinator

DONALD H. ERWIN, Legislative Advocate
United Federation of Small Business

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION F

THE POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION HAS PUBLICLY WITHDRAWN ITS SUPPORT FOR THIS PROPOSITION.

The Police Officers Association and the City recently entered into a two year labor contract which provides a 17% pay increase over the next two years. This contract has been ratified by the City's Police Officers and the City Council.

APPROVAL OF PROPOSITION F WOULD DESTROY THIS CONTRACT AND WOULD DISRUPT THE CITY'S LABOR PEACE.

PROPOSITION F WOULD NOT ADD A SINGLE OFFICER AND COULD ACTUALLY REDUCE THE NUMBER OF POLICE.

Proposition F would grant existing San Diego police officers a 17% pay raise the first year alone.

But since Proposition F allows no new taxes, the \$10 million it would cost would have to be cut from existing City programs.

That means we would have to eliminate police positions to pay officers the 17% salary increase in one year.

Or the City Council would be forced to cut from other programs — parks, libraries, street sweeping and road repairs.

PROPOSITION F IS BAD LAW. IT MEANS CITY COUNCILS IN LOS ANGELES, OAKLAND, LONG BEACH AND SAN JOSE WOULD SET THE PAY FOR SAN DIEGO POLICE.

PROPOSITION F IS UNFAIR TO OTHER CITY EMPLOYEES.

Other employee unions are anxiously watching.

If all City employees received the same 17% raise, it would cost taxpayers \$30 million a year more than we are paying today.

SAN DIEGO DECISIONS SHOULD BE MADE HERE BY YOU.

THE POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION HAS FORMALLY AND PUBLICLY WITHDRAWN SUPPORT FOR THIS PROPOSITION.

VOTE "NO" ON PROPOSITION F.

IT'S BAD FOR SAN DIEGO — AND IT'S BAD FOR YOU.

ED STRUIKSMA
San Diego Deputy Mayor

MIKE GOTCH
San Diego City Councilman

MARGARET SELLERS
President, Civil Service
Commission

BILL CLEATOR
San Diego City Councilman

J. TERENCE O'MALLEY President,
San Diego Taxpayers Association