

Sample

GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 3, 1992 - SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY OF SAN DIEGOVote for OneMAYORPETER NAVARRO
Business Professor/PLAN! Chairperson106 -> ○SUSAN GOLDING
San Diego County Supervisor107 -> ○

.

N SD 107-06

*-

Sample

GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 3, 1992 - SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

AMENDS SECTION 103 OF THE CHARTER OF THE		5
PROP D CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND AMENDS ORDINANCE		
DIEGO PERTAINING TO FRANCHISES GRANTED TO SAN DIEGO GAS		
& ELECTRIC COMPANY. Amends Section 103 of the Charter of the City		
of San Diego, and the gas and electric franchises currently held by Sar		
Diego Gas & Electric Company, to provide that a transfer of the franchise		
is required to be approved by the City Council when there is any change		
in the legal structure of the franchise holder which alters the ownership of		
control of the franchise holder. Changes in legal structure are defined to		
include, but not necessarily be limited to, sale, lease, assignment, corpo-		-
rate merger, stock swap, leveraged buy-out reorganization or any other		
method which changes the ownership or control of the franchise holder.	260	YES⇒(
These amendments are intended to be declaratory in nature as a clarifica- tion of existing transfer provisions in the Charter and in the various City fran-		
chises presently in existence.	269	NO 🖛 🤇
DDOD C AMENDS SECTIONS 10 AND 12 OF THE CHARTER		
PROPE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Amends Charter sec-		
a on to require that commending with the year 1990		
the municipal primary elections to the office of Council member for districts		
 3, 5, and 7 shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for 		
these offices shall be held on the same date as the California State general		
election for that year. Commencing with the year 1998, the municipal pri-		
mary elections to the offices of Council member for Districts 2, 4, 6, and		
8 shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California		
State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices		
shall be held on the same date as the California State general election for		
that year.		
Amends Charter Section 12 to provide that, at the municipal primary and		
general elections in 1993, Council members for districts, 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall		
be chosen by the electors for a term of three (3) years. Provides that Coun-		
cil members for districts 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall thereafter be elected for a term		
of four (4) years in the manner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter.		
Provides that, at the municipal primary and general election in 1995, Coun-		
cil members for Districts 2, 4, 6 and 8 shall be chosen by the electors for		YES⇒(
a term of three (3) years. Provides that, Council members for Districts 2,		123-
4, 6, and 8 shall thereafter be elected for a term of four (4) years in the man-		NO => (
ner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter	200	

N SD 107-10

-

N-11-6

Sample

GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 3, 1992 - SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICIAL BALLOT

CITY OF SAN DIEGO (CONTINUED)

DRODF AMENDS THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO BY AMENDING SECTION 55. Amends Sec-		1
PROP F DIEGO BY AMENDING SECTION 55. Amends Sec- tion 55 of the City Charter by deleting existing language		
which authorizes the City Council, without a vote of the people, to establish		
streets and highways through dedicated public parks. Language is added to Charter Section 55 to require an annual review by the City Council of		
all property owned by the City which may be suitable for park dedication,		
and requires such dedication where the Council does not find that dedica-		
tion is contrary to the public interest. Language is also added which allows the City Council by a two-thirds vote to authorize the realignment or widen-		
ing of existing streets in dedicated parks. Specific language is added to		
require a majority vote of the qualified electors of the City voting at an elec-		
tion in order to establish new non-park roads through dedicated public parks. The words "or later ratified" are also stricken from the existing lan-	294	YES⇒⊂
guage to preclude the City Council's authorization of a non-park use of		
dedicated park land prior to voter authorization.	295	<u>No⇒</u> ⊂
DDOD O AMENDS SECTION 66 OF THE CHARTER OF THE		
PROP G CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Establishes that San Diego Uni- fied School District Board members shall be nomi-		
nated, elected, and recalled by district rather than nominated by district		
and elected citywide.		
Provides Notice to the Voter, as follows: This proposition, if adopted,		
would amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter. Proposition H,		
which would increase the number of Board members from 5 to 7, also appears on this ballot and would also amend Section 66 of the San Diego		
City Charter if adopted. You may vote for or against either proposition. If		VFO .
both are adopted by majority vote, then Section 66 will be treated as		<u>YES</u> →C
amended to include both amendments. This paragraph will not be codified in Charter section 66.	302	NO 🔿 🔿
DDOD IL AMENDS SECTION 66 OF THE CHARTER OF THE		
PROP H CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Increases the number of seats on the San Diego Unified School District Board from		
five (5) to seven (7) commencing in 1994.		
••••••		
Provides Notice to the Voter, as follows: This proposition, if adopted, would amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter. Proposition G,		
which would establish that San Diego Unified School District Board mem-		
bers shall be nominated, elected and recalled by district rather than nomi- nated by district and elected citywide, also appears on this ballot and		-
would also amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter if adopted.		W = A
You may vote for or against either proposition. If both are adopted by	308	YES⇒⊂
majority vote, then Section 66 will be treated as amended to include both amendments. This paragraph will not be codified in Charter section 66.	309	NO 🛶 🔿
	1	

N SD 107-11

N-12-1

.

•

.

\$

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Mayor



PETER NAVARRO

Married, 43 **Business Professor** University of California

CHAIRPERSON, PLAN!, PREVENT LOS ANGELIZATION NOW! ENDORSED BY THE SIERRA CLUB

The Antidote to Politics as Usual

Inmanaged growth, economic stagnation, and soaring crime are overwhelming San Diego secause special interests control our government. San Diego's next mayor must preserve our city, lot sell it to the highest bidder.

Don't Yield to Developers

'ETER NAVARRO:

- * San Diego's growth management and environmental leader
- * The candidate Los Angeles developers fear most
- * Authored the Planned Growth/Taxpayer Relief Initiative

<u>Crime-Free Zone Ahead</u> ETER NAVARRO, author of the PLAN! POLICE INITIATIVE, will:

- * Put more police on our streets without raising taxes
- * Expand police-community partnerships
- * Create crime victim restitution programs

A Business Professor to Build a Strong Economic Future

ETER NAVARRO, an economist with a doctorate from Harvard, has written a Business and ndustrial Policy to:

- * Protect manufacturing jobs and create opportunities for high-tech industries
- * Foster business-labor-education cooperation
- * Eliminate city taxes/red tape for small businesses

Don't Yield to Politicians

'ETER NAVARRO will:

- * Reform campaign finance laws
- * Hold evening council meetings in your neighborhood
- * Fight unscrupulous developers' stranglehold on our city
- * Provide non-partisan leadership for San Diego

Tired of Career Politicians?

ELECT PETER NAVARRO MAYOR

S-1690-2

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Mayor



SUSAN GOLDING San Diego County Supervisor

EXPERIENCE:

California Deputy Secretary, Business, Transportation and Housing

Businesswoman

ENDORSED BY SAN DIEGO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

Endorsed by the Bernardo News because:

San Diego needs a strong leader; a person with a new vision, knowledge, and commitment . . . Susan Golding is that person.

VIGOROUS, INDEPENDENT LEADERSHIP

Our economy is in trouble. We need performance, not theories. Golding will -

- * Fight to keep San Diego businesses
- * Cut the size of government instead of raising taxes
- * Restore common sense
- * Get our economy moving again

When bureaucrats wanted to cut services, Golding cut her own salary instead.

Susan Golding knows it takes cooperation, not confrontation, to protect our quality of life. She is a recognized leader in protecting our environment.

Honored for outstanding achievement by the Council of Environmental Organizations.

MAKE OUR NEIGHBORHOODS AND FAMILIES SAFE.

- * Doubled law enforcement budget
- * Established the Drug Court that sent over 1,000 criminals to prison.
- * Will put more police on our streets
- * Will attack causes of crime drug use, school drop-outs and unemployment.
- * Will restore neighborhoods and give them a voice

Endorsed by <u>San Diego Voice & Viewpoint</u> because: she has demonstrated the sensitivity and ability to lead with fairness.

CS-1690-1

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition D

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form:)

AMENDS SECTION 103 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND AMENDS ORDINANCE NOS. 10465 AND 10466, OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO PERTAINING TO FRANCHISES GRANTED TO SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY. Amends Section 103 of the Charter of the City of San Diego, and the gas and electric franchises currently held by San Diego Gas & Electric Company, to provide that a transfer of the franchise is required to be approved by the City Council when there is any change in the legal structure of the franchise holder which alters the ownership or control of the franchise holder. Changes in legal structure are defined to include, but not necessarily be limited to, sale, lease, assignment, corporate merger, stock swap, leveraged buy-out reorganization or any other method which changes the ownership or control of the franchise holder. These amendments are intended to be declaratory in nature as a clarification of existing transfer provisions in the Charter and in the various City franchises presently in existence.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

The portions of the charter to be added are <u>underlined</u>.

Amends Ordinances Nos. 10465 and 10466 pertaining to City's Franchise granted to SDG&E and amends Section 103 (Franchises) of Article VII (Finance) of the Charter of The City of San Diego to read as follows:

Sections 15 and 16 of the franchises awarded by Ordinance Nos. 10465 and 10466, respectively, are amended to add the following:

For purposes of this section, City Council consent to transfer shall be required when there is any change in the legal structure of Grantee which alters the ownership or control of Grantee. Such change shall include, but not be necessarily limited to, sale, lease, assignment, corporate merger, stock swap, leveraged buy-out, reorganization or any other method heretofore or hereafter devised which results in a change of ownership or control of Grantee. Without City Council consent as provided in Section 103 of the City Charter as it now exists or may exist in the future, this franchise shall not be deemed to be transferred. This amendment is intended to be declaratory in nature as a clarification of this existing transfer provision;

and

City Charter section 103 is amended to read as follows:

SECTION 103. FRANCHISES.

The Council shall have power to grant to any person, firm or corporation, franchises, and all renewals, extensions and amendments thereof, for the use of any public property under the jurisdiction of the City. Such grants shall be made by ordinance adopted by vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the Council and only after recommendations thereon have been made by the Manager and an opportunity for free and open competition and for public hearings have been given. No ordinance granting a franchise or a renewal, PR-1690-1 N SD 107-42

extension or amendment of an existing franchise shall be effective until thirty days after its passage, during which time it shall be subject to the referendum provisions of this Charter. No franchises shall be transferable except with the approval of the Council expressed by ordinance. For purposes of this section, a Council approved transfer shall be required when there is any change in the legal structure of the entity which holds the franchise, which change alters the ownership or control of the entity. Such changes include, but are not necessarily limited to, sale, lease, assignment, corporate merger, stock swap, leveraged buyout, reorganization, or any other method heretofore or hereafter devised which results in a change of ownership or control of the entity. Absent Council approval, the franchise shall not be deemed to have been transferred to the new entity. This amendment is intended to be declaratory in nature as an explanation of the existing transfer of franchise provisions as set forth above and in the various City franchises presently in existence.

PR-1690-2

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D

SAVE SAN DIEGO JOBS

SAVE SAN DIEGO'S LOCALLY RUN UTILITY

AND SAVE YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION D

LAST YEAR, THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ALMOST LOST SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO LOS ANGELES BASED SOUTHERN. CALIFORNIA EDISON IN A HOSTILE TAKEOVER BID.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON SPENT OVER \$100 MILLION IN AN ATTEMPT TO FORCE THE TAKEOVER. THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO SPENT NEARLY \$7 MILLION FIGHTING BACK AND WON.

THIS FIGHT COULD HAPPEN AGAIN, UNLESS YOU VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION D.

STOP FUTURE TAKEOVERS OF SAN DIEGO'S UTILITIES.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION D.

PROPOSITION D OFFERS STRONGER CHARTER LANGUAGE AND STRONGER CHARTER PROTECTION TO PREVENT ANY UNWANTED FUTURE TAKEOVERS OF SAN DIEGO'S UTILITIES.

PROTECT SAN DIEGO'S JOBS

PROTECT SAN DIEGO'S LOCALLY BASED UTILITIES FROM OUTSIDE TAKEOVER AND <u>PROTECT</u> YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE ON THE ISSUE

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION D

PROPOSITION D PROTECTS ALL OF US AND PROTECTS SAN DIEGO'S JOBS AND SAN DIEGO'S FUTURE.

DON'T BE FOOLED BY PROMISES OF LOWER UTILITY RATES OR BETTER STOCK PRICES, THEY WON'T HAPPEN, WE WILL ONLY LOSE JOBS.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION D.

THANK YOU

MAUREEN F. O'CONNOR Mayor, City of San Diego MICHAEL SHAMES Executive Director, UCAN

PR-1690-3

N SD 107-44

÷ ..

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D

GOVERNMENT FLAPDOODLE - ANOTHER HUMONGOUS EXAMPLE OF WASTE

This proposition is just another way for the City of San Diego to waste money. The City has already spent over \$6,300,000.00 of <u>your tax dollars</u> on this issue. For what purpose, Ego?

Both the California Constitution and the California Legislature require the Public Utilities Commission to regulate public utilities in California.

Before a public utility can acquire the stock of another public utility it must first obtain permission from the PUC.

Regulations, Regulations, Regulations! Now the City wants to add its 2 cents by adding even more regulations. Talk about overkill! <u>Regulations equal higher costs to you the consumer</u>.

Under existing law a public utility cannot sell, lease, assign, mortgage or otherwise dispose of its property and may not merge its property with any other public utility without first obtaining permission from the PUC.

The State Corporations Commission, the Secretary of State, the Securities & Exchange Commission, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission also regulate mergers between public utilities, including SDG&E.

All this costs money! Your money! The costs are added to your utility bills or added to your taxes collected by the City. Isn't it time to just say no to the City on stupid and needlessly higher taxes?

In 1980 SDG&E had the highest utility bills in California. Today, SDG&E has the lowest rates in California and 32nd lowest nationwide. SDG&E continues to find every possible way of lowering your bills at a time when every level of government is trying to raise your taxes and blame the raise on someone else – just look at how the City has been raising your water and sewer bills. Tell the City to clean up its own house first and-

JUST SAY NO TO PROPOSITION D

FRED SCHNAUBELT Former San Diego City Councilman Taxpayers' Representative

PR-1690-4

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition E

(This proposition will appear on the bailot in the following form.)

PROPE AMENDS SECTIONS 10 AND 12 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Amends Charter section 10 to require that commencing with the year 1996, the municipal primary elections to the office of Council member for districts 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same date as the California State general election for that year. Commencing with the year 1998, the municipal primary elections to the offices of Council member for Districts 2, 4, 6, and 8 shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same date as the California State general election that year.

Amends Charter Section 12 to provide that, at the municipal primary and general elections in 1993, Council members for districts, 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall be chosen by the electors for a term of three (3) years. Provides that Council members for districts 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall thereafter be elected for a term of four (4) years in the manner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter. Provides that, at the municipal primary and general election in 1995, Council members for Districts 2, 4, 6 and 8 shall be chosen by the electors for a term of three (3) years. Provides that, Council members for Districts 2, 4, 6, and 8 shall be chosen by the electors for a term of three (3) years. Provides that, Council members for Districts 2, 4, 6, and 8 shall thereafter be elected for a term of four (4) years in the manner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

The portions of the charter to be deleted are printed in strike-out type and the portions to be added are underlined.

Amends Sections 10 and 12 of the Charter of The City of San Diego to read as follows:

SECTION 10. ELECTIONS.

Elective officers of the City shall be nominated and elected by all of the electors of the City except that City Council members other than the Mayor shall be nominated and elected by the electors of the district for which elective office they are a candidate.

The regular municipal primary election shall be held on the third Tuesday in September in each odd-numbered year, and the general municipal election shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of the same year, or, if either of these days falls on a legal holiday, then the election shall be held on the next succeeding day which is not a legal holiday; provided, however that Commencing with the year 1996, the municipal primary elections to the office of Council member for Districts 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall be held on same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same date as the California State general elections to the offices of Council member for Districts 2, 4, 6, and 8 shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same date as the California State general election for that year.

PR-1690-5

e<u>C</u>ommencing with the year 1984 the elections to the offices of Mayor and City Attorney shall be held every four (4) years. The municipal primary election for these the offices of Mayor and City Attorney shall be held on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election, and the general municipal election for these offices shall be held on the same day as the California State general election for that year. All other municipal elections which may be held under this charter shall be known as special municipal elections.

All elective officers of the City shall be nominated at the municipal primary election. In the event one candidate receives the majority of votes cast for all candidates for nomination to a particular elective office, the candidate so receiving such majority of votes shall be deemed to be and declared by the Council to be elected to such office. In the event no candidate receives a majority of votes cast as aforesaid, the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes for a particular elective office at said primary shall be the candidates, and only candidates, for such office and the names of only those two candidates shall be printed upon the ballots to be used at the general municipal election.

At the general municipal election held for the purpose of electing Council members other than the Mayor the electors of each Council district shall select from among the candidates chosen at the primary election in that district one candidate for the office of the Council member whose term expires the succeeding December. At the general municipal election held for the purpose of electing any other elective officer there shall be chosen by all of the electors of the whole City from among the candidates chosen at the primary one candidate to succeed any other elective officer whose term expires in December succeeding the election.

After the result of an election for any office is declared, or when an appointment is made, the City Clerk, under his hand and official seal, shall issue a certificate therefor, and shall deliver the same immediately to the person elected or appointed, and such person must within ten days after receiving such certificate file his official bond, if one be required for his office, and take and subscribe to the oath of office required of him by this Charter, which oath must be filed with the City Clerk.

SECTION 12. THE COUNCIL.

The Council shall be composed of nine (9) Council members, including the Mayor, and shall be the legislative body of the City, each of the members of which including the Mayor, shall have the right to vote upon all questions before it.

At the municipal primary and general election in 1979, a Mayor shall be chosen by the electors for a term of five (5) years. A Mayor shall thereafter be elected for a term of four (4) years in the manner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter. The Mayor shall hold office for the term prescribed from and after 10 a.m. the first Monday after the first day of December next succeeding the election and until a successor is elected and qualified.

At the municipal primary and general elections in 1993, Council members for Districts 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall be chosen by the electors for a term of three (3) PR-1690-6 N SD 107-47 years. Council members for Districts 1, 3, 5, and 7 shall thereafter be elected for a term of four (4) years in the manner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter.

At the municipal primary and general election in 1995, Council members for Districts 2, 4, 6, and 8 shall be chosen by the electors for a term of three (3) years. Council members for Districts 2, 4, 6, and 8 shall thereafter be elected for a term of four (4) years in the manner prescribed by Section 10 of this Charter.

Except as provided in this Section, Council members, other than the Mayor, shall-be-olocted at either the municipal primary or the general municipal election held in the odd numbered years and, except as hereinafter provided, shall hold office for the term of four (4) years from and after 10 a.m. the first Monday after the first day of December next succeeding their election and until their successors are elected and qualified.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter and commencing with elections held in 1992, no person shall serve more than two consecutive fouryear terms as a Council member from any particular district. If for any reason a person serves a partial term as Council member from a particular district in excess of two (2) years, that partial term shall be considered a full term for purposes of this term limit provision. Persons holding office prior to the November 1992 election shall not have prior or current terms be counted for the purpose of applying this term limit provision to future elections.

Upon any redistricting pursuant to the provisions of this Charter, incumbent Council members will continue to represent the district in which they reside, unless as a result of such redistricting more than one incumbent Council member resides within any one district, in which case the City Council may determine by lot which Council member shall represent each district. At the next municipal primary and general elections following a redistricting, Council members shall be elected from those districts not represented and from those districts represented by incumbent Council members whose terms expire as of the general election in said year. If as a result of any redistricting more than a simple majority of the City Council as redistricted shall be elected at either the municipal primary or general election next following any such redistricting, the City Council prior to any such election shall designate one or more new districts for which the initial councilmanic term shall be two (2) years in order to retain staggered terms for Council members.

Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, in the event a vacancy occurs for any reason in the office of a Council District, the Council shall immediately cause an election to be held to fill such vacancy solely in the district in which the vacancy occurred. Such election shall be conducted within ninety (90) days of the vacancy. The candidate receiving the greatest number of votes shall be deemed to be and declared by the Council to be elected to the vacant office. If, however, a vacancy occurs for any reason in the office of a Council District within 100 days of an upcoming regular municipal election, the Council may in its discretion forgo the election process and fill the vacancy by appointment. Any person who fills the vacancy shall hold office only until the next regular municipal election, at which time a person shall be elected under the provisions of Section 10 of this Charter to serve for the remainder, if any, of the unexpired term.

PR-1690-7

It is the duty of the Council members to attend all Council meetings. The Council shall vacate the seat of any Council member who is absent from eight (8) consecutive meetings or fifty percent (50%) of any scheduled meetings within a month unless the absence thereof is excused by resolution of the Council.

Council members, including the Mayor, shall devote full time to the duties of their office and not engage in any outside employment, trade, business or profession which interferes or conflicts with those duties.

Council members shall not be eligible during the term for which they were appointed or elected to hold any other office or employment with the City, except as Mayor or City Attorney and as a member of any Board, Commission or Committee thereof, of which they are constituted such a member by general law or by this Charter.

PR-1690-8

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

No argument in favor of this proposition was filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E

Save the Charter! Vote No on Proposition El

San Diego's City Charter put odd-year elections into the City Charter in order to:

- · Have elections only on municipal issues.
- · Keep Party politics out of City elections.
- · Make sure Council members face the voters without the protection of even-year big ballots.

Why change?

The other side says changing will save money. NO! If even one City-wide special election has to be called in an odd year it will cost \$800,000. All the so-called savings for half a decade will be wiped out. If there have to be District elections in off-years, MONEY IS SPENT! And <u>already there</u> has to be at least one district election in 1993. PHONY SAVINGS!!!

In the past four years five incumbents have been removed! Would they have been thrown out if they had the protection of a massive ballot and party politics? NO!

- There will be less attention on City issues by putting City elections in the same elections as the President, the Governor and all State and Congressional races.
- Incumbents will be protected! Challengers can't <u>spotlight the incumbents and spotlight City</u>
 issues!
- Challengers will have trouble raising volunteers and money <u>unless they join with the Party</u>
 politicians! Do you want Party politics in City election? NO! NO!

Nine out of 10 of the largest cities in America – even New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles – hold their elections in the odd-years. WHY? To have elections <u>only</u> on local issues and with local candidates.

Don't give the incumbents the protection they want!

Don't give the incumbents the power of Party politics!

Don't give incumbents the chance to hide.

Save money and Vote NO on incumbent protection! Vote NO on party politics! .

Vote NO on Proposition E.

JUDY McCARTY San Diego City Councilmember DICK DALEKE Assembly Candidate, 76th District

PR-1690-9

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition F

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

PROPF AMENDS THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO BY AMENDING SECTION 55. Amends Section 55 of the City Charter by deleting existing language which authorizes the City Council, without a vote of the people, to establish streets and highways through dedicated public parks. Language is added to Charter Section 55 to require an annual review by the City Council of all property owned by the City which may be suitable for park dedication, and requires such dedication where the Council does not find that dedication is contrary to the public interest. Language is also added which allows the City Council by a two-thirds vote to authorize the realignment or widening of existing streets in dedicated parks. Specific language is added to require a majority vote of the qualified electors of the City voting at an election in order to establish new non-park roads through dedicated public parks. The words "or later ratified" are also stricken from the existing language to preclude the City Council's authorization of a non-park use of dedicated park land prior to voter authorization.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

The portions of the charter to be deleted are printed in strike out type and the portions to be added are underlined.

Amends Section 55 of the Charter of The City of San Diego to read as follows:

SECTION 55. PARK AND RECREATION

The City Manager shall have the control and management of parks, <u>open</u> <u>space</u>, parkways, plazas, beaches, cemeteries, street trees, landscaping of City-owned property, golf courses, playgrounds, recreation centers, recreation camps and recreation activities held on any City playgrounds, parks, beaches and piers, which may be owned, controlled or operated by the City. The City Council shall by ordinance adopt regulations for the proper use and protection of said park property, cemeteries, playgrounds and recreation facilities, and provide penalties for violations thereof. The Manager is charged with the enforcement of such regulations.

All real property owned in fee by the City heretofore or hereafter formally dedicated in perpetuity by ordinance of the Council or by statute of the State Legislature for park, recreation or cemetery purposes shall not be used for any but park, recreation or cemetery purposes without such changed use or purpose having been first authorized or later ratified by a vote of two-thirds of the qualified electors of the City voting at an election for such purpose. However, real property which has been heretofore or which may hereafter be set aside without the formality of an ordinance or statute dedicating such lands for park, recreation or cemetery purposes may be used for any public purpose deemed necessary by the Council.

Whenever the City Manager-recommends it, and the City Council finds that the public interest-domands it, the City Council-may, without a vote of the people, authorize the opening and maintenance of streets and highways over, through and across City fee owned land which has heretofore or hereafter been-formally-dedicated in perpetuity by ordinance or statute for park, recreation and cometery-purposes.

PR-1690-10

The City Council shall annually review the inventory of all real property owned in fee by the City which has been set aside or designated by City Council action for park and recreation purposes without being formally dedicated by ordinance to such purposes. Following such annual review, the City Council shall officially dedicate any such previously undedicated land for park and recreation purposes unless such dedication is deemed contrary to the public interest. The decision of the City Council shall be final. This provision shall not apply to lands owned by the City's Water Utility.

Whenever the City Manager recommends it, and the City Council finds that the public interest demands it, the City Council may, by a two-thirds vote of the Council, without a vote of the people, authorize the realignment or widening of existing streets through or adjacent to dedicated park land to protect the public health or safety.

The dedication, setting aside or development of new non-park roads through dedicated parks or dedicated open space shall require prior approval by a majority vote of the qualified electors of the City voting at an election for such purpose. For the purposes of this paragraph, the phrase "non-park roads" shall mean roads which serve the primary purpose of providing motor vehicular access from one boundary line of a dedicated park to an area beyond another portion of the boundary line of the dedicated park.

The City Manager shall also have charge of the management, control, preservation, regulation, improvement and embellishment of all public burial grounds and cemeteries belonging to the City, and the sale of lots therein. At least twenty percent of the net proceeds from the sale of all cemetery lots shall be deposited with the City Treasurer and be kept in a fund to be known as the Cemetery Perpetuity Fund. This fund shall be administered by the Funds Commission and shall be invested in such income-producing securities as the Funds Commission may decide. The principal of the perpetuity fund (subject to such accretion or diminution as may result from investing the same) shall not be available for meeting expenses for maintenance or upkeep of the cemeteries in any manner whatsoever. All income derived from the investment of the moneys in said perpetuity fund, together with the balance of the sale price of said lots not placed in the perpetuity fund, shall be expended in the maintenance and upkeep of the cemeteries and the perpetual care and upkeep of all graves and lots in said cemeteries; provided, however, that if in any one vear such income is more than needed for the purpose of such maintenance. upkeep and perpetual care the Council may direct that the excess over and above that needed as above provided may be used for any other municipal purpose. If the income from said investments of said perpetuity fund and the balance of the sale price of said lots each year are not sufficient to maintain the cemeteries and to provide perpetual care and upkeep of all graves and lots in said cemeteries the Council shall annually appropriate from other revenues an amount sufficient to enable the City to provide perpetual care and upkeep of all graves and lots in the cemeteries.

PR-1690-11

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

Our parks need your help. This proposed city charter amendment eliminates a costly and unfair loophole in the current charter. And it protects our parks and open space.

Currently, the City Charter requires approval by 2/3 vote of the public for any non-park development in existing dedicated parkland. This means that no strip malls, no gas stations, no radio towers or the like can be built in a city park without public approval. Unfortunately, a loophole permits nonpark-serving roads to be built through parkland without public approval.

Over the years, the City Council has badly abused this loophole at great cost to the public. Recently, the Council wasted more than \$2.7 million and 3 years on the now defunct Jackson Drive extension through Mission Trails Regional Park. It is a road that would never have gotten as far as the drawing board had the public had its say.

Proposition F will allow you-the people-to determine when and whether non-park roads should be built through your parks.

Proposition F will also require the city to review its inventory of publicly held parkland annually. Each year the council will be required to explain to the public why it is not dedicating its designated parkland. This review adds accountability and makes it tougher for the City Council to trade away public land that is designated for park use.

Your YES vote on Proposition F will protect our parks for future generations and will eliminate costly waste of taxpayers dollars on unwanted intrusions into the parks.

RAMONA SALISBURY KILTZ League of Women Voters San Diego

DAVID KREITZER San Diegans for Managed Growth EUGENE McELROY San Diego Park & Recreation Board

JULIE HOCKING Sierra Club

N SD 107-53

PR-1690-12

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION F

The proponents of Proposition F want you to believe that our parks are in imminent danger of being paved over. Nonsense!

The issue is the public's right to use our parks!

Imagine trying to spend a day in Balboa Park or Mission Bay Park with no roads to take you there. These parks are your parks!

Proposition F would severely restrict your access to some of our greatest natural resources by adding another level of needless regulation to an already bloated bureaucracy.

Proposition F would severely restrict planned public access to public parks.

The proponents want you to believe that they only want "the people to decide."

In fact, Proposition F would delay or render impossible park improvements because it's difficult for communities to mount a citywide campaign on their own behalf. <u>Proposition F replaces</u> <u>democracy with City Council whimsy and politics.</u>

Let's face it. The proponents believe people should stay out of parks. If Proposition F was around years ago we wouldn't have Balboa Park or Mission Bay Park. The costs, delays, red tape, and plain old politics would have made it impossible to build either.

<u>Proposition F is bad government and poor planning.</u> It overrides adopted community plans, park plans and the General Plan. These plans are written by citizens to serve citizen interests. They are the result of years of consensus building and public review. Proposition F simply fails the common sense test!

If you want to keep parks for people, if you want to keep public access to public parks, then vote NO no Proposition F.

And, if you prefer good planning to bad politics, vote NO on Proposition F.

JUDY McCARTY San Diego City Councilmember BETTY OGILVIE Native San Diegan

PHIL STAHL Parks for People Advocate MARY M. JONES Community Activist MAJ Ret., U.S.A.R.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition G

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

PROP G AMENDS SECTION 66 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Establishes that San Diego Unified School District Board members shall be nominated, elected, and recalled by district rather than nominated by district and elected citywide.

Provides Notice to the Voter, as follows: This proposition, if adopted, would amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter. Proposition H, which would increase the number of Board members from 5 to 7, also appears on this ballot and would also amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter if adopted. You may vote for or against either proposition. If both are adopted by majority vote, then Section 66 will be treated as amended to include both amendments. This paragraph will not be codified in Charter section 66.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

The portions of the charter to be deleted are printed in strike out type and the portions to be added are underlined.

Amends Section 66 of the Charter of The City of San Diego to read as follows:

SECTION 66. BOARD OF EDUCATION.

The government of the San Diego Unified School District shall be vested in a Board of Education, composed of five members who shall be nominated and elected on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election and the California state general election in that year. Members shall be nominated and elected by the electors of the Board member trustee district for which elective office they are a candidate. at the regular municipal primary elections and the general municipal elections at the same time as the election of Council members. At the municipal primary election there-shall be chosen by the registered voters of each Board of Education District two candidates for the office of any Board of Education member from a District whose term expires the succeeding December. At the general-municipal election the registered voters of the whole San Diego Unified School District shall select from among the candidates chosen at the primary election in each district one candidate for the office of each Board of Education member whose term expires the succeeding December. Each candidate for the Board of Education shall have been a registered voter of the San Diego Unified School District and an actual resident of the election district from which he the candidate seeks to be nominated for thirty (30) calendar days immediately preceding filing of a nomination petition. The members shall serve for a term of four years from and after 10 a.m. the first Monday after the first day of December next succeeding this election and until their successors are elected and qualified, except as herein provided. Any vacancy occurring in the Board shall be filled from the election district in which the vacancy occurs by appointment by the remaining Board members; but in the event that said remaining members fail to fill such vacancy by appointment within thirty (30) calendar days after the vacancy PR-1690-14 N SD 107-55

occurs, they must immediately cause to be held a primary election in the district in which the vacancy occurs and general election within the entire scheel-district to fill such vacancy; provided, however, that any person appointed to fill such vacancy shall hold office only until the next regular municipal election, at which date a person shall be elected to serve for the remainder of such unexpired term.

For the purpose of electing members of the Board of Education, the San Diego Unified School District shall be divided into five (5) districts as nearly equal in population as practicable. For the first primary and regular election held under this section, as amended, the boundaries of such election districts shall be established by the Board of Education as such Board existed on the effective date of the amendment to this section. Thereafter, the boundaries of such election districts shall be subject to alteration and change under the provisions of this section. The Board of Education, by resolution, may change and alter the boundaries of the election districts and in the resolution may describe the new boundaries by reference to a map on file in the office of the City Clerk; a metes and bounds description of the new boundaries need not be contained in said resolution.

Notice to the Voter: This proposition, if adopted, would amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter. Proposition H, which would increase the number of Board of Education members from five to seven, also appears on this ballot and would also amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter if adopted. You may vote for or against either proposition. If both are adopted by majority vote, then Section 66 will be treated as amended to include both amendments. This paragraph will not be codified in Charter Section 66.

PR-1690-15

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION G

The Board of Education of the San Diego Unified School District has placed a measure on the ballot to amend the City charter to change the method of electing school board members. The proposal would change the present system of citywide elections to district-only elections bringing the school board's process in line with other elected representatives in the city, county, state, and nation.

The board believes that district-only elections would serve to empower voters and result in increased voter participation. The generally recognized feelings of disenfranchisement evidenced by low-voter turnout and large blocks of non-voters for school issues suggests a need for this kind of empowerment.

With district-only elections, individual voters would have a greater impact on each district candidate. So it follows that the elected board members may be more sensitive to local district issues.

With district-only elections, voters would be much more likely to know their individual district candidates. Therefore, they would vote in a more informed way and would hold their board members more accountable.

District-only elections would be much less costly for the candidates. It would cost considerably less to campaign within one district than it would be to conduct a districtwide campaign.

ANN ARMSTRONG President, Board of Education

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION G

The Board of Education of the San Diego Unified School District is responsible for making policy decisions that affect the education of children throughout the entire school district. A Citizens' Advisory Committee for Reapportionment, appointed by the board and representing all communities in San Diego, recommended that the current method of electing board members continue. Through the nomination process, a community is able to select individuals to represent it. By the election in the total city, all the citizens have a choice in selecting the school board.

AT-LARGE ELECTIONS

- * Empower voters by giving them the opportunity to vote for ALL the members of the board.
- * Encourage candidates to consider the needs of the total school district by making them more responsive to all issues and all citizens.
- * Help familiarize all candidates for the board with the wide range of educational concerns throughout the school district by requiring them to campaign for election in all parts of the city.
- * Ensure greater cooperation among board members.

DISTRICT-ONLY ELECTIONS

- * Disempower citizens by allowing them to vote for only one member of the school board rather than all of them.
- * Create a board whose members are accountable only to the needs of voters in their own district.
- * Will not limit the amount of money candidates raise or spend to run for office.

BECAUSE THE ENTIRE SCHOOL BOARD VOTES ON ISSUES AFFECTING ALL STUDENTS THROUGHOUT SAN DIEGO, KEEP YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE FOR ALL SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS. MAKE SURE THAT ALL BOARD MEMBERS ARE ACCOUNTABLE TO YOU. VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G.

JOAN GASS Member, San Diego City Schools Reapportionment Advisory Committee KATHLEEN E. GAUSTAD Chair, San Diego City Schools Reapportionment Advisory Committee

ROBERT W. COLEMAN Member, San Diego City Schools Reapportionment Advisory Committee SUE BRAUN Member, Board of Education

MARJORIE LARSON Community Activist/Substitute Teacher

PR-1690-16

CITY OF SAN DIEGO Proposition H

(This proposition will appear on the ballot in the following form.)

PROPH AMENDS SECTION 66 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. Increases the number of seats on the San Diego Unified School District Board from five (5) to seven (7) commencing in 1994.

Provides Notice to the Voter, as follows: This proposition, if adopted, would amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter. Proposition G, which would establish that San Diego Unified School District Board members shall be nominated, elected and recalled by district rather than nominated by district and elected citywide, also appears on this ballot and would also amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter if adopted. You may vote for or against either proposition. If both are adopted by majority vote, then Section 66 will be treated as amended to include both amendments. This paragraph will not be codified in Charter section 66.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

The portions of the charter to be deleted are printed in strike out type and the portions to be added are underlined.

Amends Section 66 of the Charter of The City of San Diego to read as follows:

SECTION 66. BOARD OF EDUCATION.

The government of the San Diego Unified School District shall be vested in a Board of Education, composed of five seven members who shall be nominated and elected at the on the same date in each election year as the California State primary election and the California State general election in that year. At the municipal primary election there shall be chosen by the registered voters of each Board of Education District two candidates for the office of any Board of Education member from a District whose term expires the succeeding December. At the general municipal election the registered voters of the whole San Diego Unified School District shall select from among the candidates chosen at the primary election in each district one candidate for the office of each Board of Education member whose term expires the succeeding December. Each candidate for the Board of Education shall have been a registered voter of the San Diego Unified School District and an actual resident of the election district from which he the candidate seeks to be nominated for thirty (30) calendar days immediately preceding filing of a nomination petition. The members shall serve for a term of four years from and after 10 a.m. the first Monday after the first day of December next succeeding this election and until their successors are elected and qualified, except as herein provided. Any vacancy occurring in the Board shall be filled from the election district in which the vacancy occurs by appointment by the remaining Board members; but in the event that said remaining members fail to fill such vacancy by appointment within thirty (30) calendar days after the vacancy occurs, they must immediately cause to be held a primary election in the district in which the vacancy occurs and general election within the entire school district to fill such vacancy; provided, however, that any person appointed to fill such vacancy shall hold office only until the next regular municipal election, at which date a person shall be elected to serve for the remainder of such unexpired term. PR-1690-17 N SD 107-58

For the purpose of electing members of the Board of Education, the San Diego Unified School District shall be divided into five (5) seven (7) districts as nearly equal in population as practicable. For the first primary and regular election held under this section, as amended, the boundaries of such election districts shall be established by the Board of Education as such Board existed on the effective date of the amendment to this section.

Thereafter, the boundaries of such election districts shall be subject to alteration and change under the provisions of this section. The Board of Education, by resolution, may change and alter the boundaries of the election districts and in the resolution may describe the new boundaries by reference to a map on file in the office of the City Clerk; a metes and bounds description of the new boundaries need not be contained in said resolution.

Upon any redistricting incumbent Board of Education members shall continue to represent the district in which they reside, unless as a result of such redistricting more than one incumbent Board of Education member resides within any one district in which case the Board of Education shall determine by lot which Board of Education member shall represent each district. At the next primary and general elections following a redistricting Board members shall be elected from those districts not represented and from those districts represented by incumbent Board of Education members whose terms expire as of the general election in said year. If as a result of any redistricting more than a simple majority of the Board of Education is scheduled to be elected at the general election shall designate one or more new districts for which the initial Board member term shall be two (2) years in order to retain staggered terms for Board of Education members.

Notice to the Voter: This proposition, if adopted, would amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter. Proposition G, which would establish trustee elections by district only, also appears on this ballot and would also amend Section 66 of the San Diego City Charter if adopted. You may vote for or against either proposition. If both are adopted by majority vote, then Section 66 will be treated as amended to include both amendments. This paragraph will not be codified in Charter Section 66.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

Following the recommendations of a broad-based citizens' committee, a majority of the Board of Education of the San Diego Unified School District has agreed to place a proposal on the ballot to amend the City charter to increase the number of trustee districts from five to seven.

The seven-trustee area map being proposed provides seven areas virtually equal in total population, and only somewhat less equal in voting-age population. Changing from five to seven trustee areas would reduce the number of residents each board member would represent and thereby enhance the opportunities for citizen participation.

The seven-trustee area map also provides a greater opportunity for improving the diversity of representation on the Board of Education. Proposed trustee areas 1 and 2 would be predominately nonwhite increasing the possibility of electing African American, Asian, or Hispanic board members. The other five proposed trustee areas range from two-thirds to five-sixths white.

Assuming that voters approve a companion charter amendment measure changing from citywide to district-only elections for board members, savings realized from that change could help offset any increase in costs that might result from a seven-member Board of Education.

ANN ARMSTRONG President, Board of Education

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION H

The five-member Board of Education has served this district well, and at this time needs no expansion. The board considered the expansion in order to increase the potential for greater diversity of board membership. This goal is one that is supported by the proponents and opponents of the proposition. However, given the demographics of the city, increasing the size of the board will <u>not</u> create greater diversity. The current board has two ethnic majority districts. With the addition of two new districts, there will continue to be only two ethnic majority districts. In fact, the proposal will dilute potential ethnic voting power from 2/5 to 2/7.

The cost of changing from a five- to a seven-member board is also a concern. Given the fact that the proposition does not create greater diversity, it appears to be an <u>unnecessary added expense</u> in time of fiscal constraints.

A seven-member board will create smaller districts and possibly increased accessibility of board members to constituents. However, over the past two years, the district has moved to empower communities in making decisions that affect individual sites <u>and</u> has decreased the number of central office administrators. To increase the board size is contrary to these actions. In line with the district's goals, <u>we should be decreasing the bureaucracy instead of increasing it</u>. Consequently, we recommend a No vote on Proposition H.

KATHLEEN E. GAUSTAD San Diego City Schools Reapportionment Advisory Committee

ROBERT W. COLEMAN San Diego City Schools Reapportionment Advisory Committee SHIRLEY N. WEBER Member, Board of Education

SUE BRAUN Member, Board of Education

PR-1690-19