



**OFFICE OF COUNCIL PRESIDENT TODD GLORIA  
COUNCIL DISTRICT THREE**

**M E M O R A N D U M**

**DATE:** Wednesday, February 6, 2013  
**TO:** Mayor Bob Filner  
**FROM:** Council President Todd Gloria   
**SUBJECT:** Stop Signs on Upas Street and Granada Avenue in North Park

---

Per the alternative process contained in Council Policy 200-08, several concerned Upas Street neighbors have requested for the installation of a stop sign at the intersection of Upas Street and Granada Avenue.

In August 2009, initial studies conducted by City traffic engineering staff indicated the intersection did not meet the criteria for a traffic calming device. At the request of neighbors, two variable message sign speed indicators were installed May 2011.

Upas Street neighbors then circulated a petition for the installation of traffic circles. Due to insufficient funding for this capital improvement project they sought an immediate solution through the alternative stop sign installation process.

On October 10, 2012, concerned neighbors presented their request to the North Park Planning Public Facilities, Transportation, Parks, and Public Art Sub-Committee. The subcommittee unanimously recommended to the North Park Planning Committee the installation of a stop sign on Upas Street at southbound Granada Avenue for traffic calming purposes based on information provided, as long as the constituents of all corners of the intersection were contacted. On October 16, 2012, the North Park Planning Committee voted 11-0-0 in favor of installing a stop sign at the intersection of Upas Street and Granada Avenue.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please keep me informed of the progress of the installation of this stop sign so that I may relay that information to my constituents.

TG/ab

cc: Gary Pence, Senior Traffic Engineer  
Vicki Granowitz, Chair of North Park Planning Committee  
Jennifer McDonald, Upas Street Neighbor



**NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**Draft Minutes: October 16, 2012– 6:30 PM**  
[www.northparkplanning.org](http://www.northparkplanning.org)  
[info@northparkplanning.org](mailto:info@northparkplanning.org)

Like us:  [NorthParkPlanning](https://www.facebook.com/NorthParkPlanning) Follow us:  [@NPPlanning](https://twitter.com/NPPlanning)

- I. Call to order: 6:32pm
- II. Attendance Report (11):

Member	NPPC	UD/PR	City Council	Planning Commission	PF/PA	MAD	Social Media	CPC	Utility Boxes	Sustainable NPMS	Bylaws	Other
Robert Barry	X	X			X							McKinley
David Cohen	X											NPMS
Dionne Carlson		X			X							AABA
Cheryl Dye	X	X			X					X		NPMS, NPBIDs
Vicki Granowitz	X		X		X			X				NPMS, AABA, NPBID,s McKinley, Panorama Historical District
Peter Hill	X	X			X			X		X		UH Library, AABA
Brandon Hilpert	X						X					
Roger Lewis												
Carl Moczydlowsky	X											
Lucky Morrison												
Dang Nguyen	X	X										
Omar Passons												
Rick Pyles	X	X										
Rene' Vidales	X	X			X			X		X		
Steven Williamson												

- a. Steven Williamson (3<sup>rd</sup> in row – forfeits seat),
- III. Modifications to and Adoption of the 9/18/12 Agenda.  
 Motion to adopt: Vidales/Pyles 11-0-0
- IV. Consent Agenda:
  - a. (UDPR 10/1/12 In attendance: Barry, Dye, Carlson, Hill, Morrison, Nguyen, Vidales, Callen, Steppke)  
 (PFPA 10/10/12 In Attendance: Carlson, Vidales, Hill, Barry, Granowitz, Bonn, Callen, and Steppke-Easement Vacation & Adams & Idaho TM Waiver only)  
**Easement Vacation, 4212 Texas St Project #291363 CHW.** 2 unused sewer easements recorded on the deed in 1923. Currently there are no sewer lines on the site, none are needed by the City or a project, DSD has no objections. Possible affordable housing project, no project currently. Located northwest corner of Texas & Howard, formerly AT&T, site includes parking lots on both the east and west sides of Texas Street **MOTION: Support Sewer Easement Vacation at Texas St. and Howard Ave. Pyles/UDPR 11-0-0 (On Consent)**
  - b. **Traffic Calming at Upas Street and Granada Street.** Request for Stop Sign/Median Chokers along Upas Street. **MOTION: To support the installation of a stop sign on Upas Street at Granada Street for traffic calming purposes based on information provided as long as the constituents of all corners have been contacted. Pyles/PFPA 11-0-0 (On Consent)**
- V. Approval of Previous NPPC Minutes: September 18, 2012
  - a. Carlson/Dye 11-0-0
- VI. Treasurer's Report
  - a. David Cohen - \$621.87 is the current balance.
- VII. Chair's Report/CPC
  - a. CPC: 4th Tuesday of the month, 7 pm MOCII 9192 Topaz Way, Kearney Mesa

- i. DSD Reorganization – Kelly Braughton & Cecilia Gallardo
    - ii. Housing Element – 10,000 more units to North Park via the mathematical formula.
    - iii. Canyonlands – at LUHC this month
    - iv. Mayoral Forum – 30 minutes from each candidate. Each candidate tailored the answers to the CPC board. Filner spoke on neighborhoods while Demaio spoke on reorganization of planning in general. On the issue of Council vote on boundary adjustments. Demaio would not support and Filner said he would consider.
  - b. Added Social Media to Agenda
  - c. Alcohol Policy Panel – interesting to hear about how ABC come about; related to the end of prohibition. Heard about some strategies might be helpful in dealing with problem bars.
  - d. U31's Cabaret License was suspended.
- VIII. **Social Media** – updated the Wikipedia page: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North\\_Park,\\_San\\_Diego](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North_Park,_San_Diego)
- IX. **Planner's Report** - Marlon Pangilinan, 619.235.5293; [mpangilinan@sandiego.gov](mailto:mpangilinan@sandiego.gov)
  - a. Community Plan Update – See Agenda Item XIV.a.below
- X. **Announcements & Non Agenda Public Comment**
  - a. **Junior Chef's** program starting at the YMCA
  - b. **Canyonlands Proposal for Dedication of Open Space** Oct 17, 2pm LU&H 202 C St 12th Flr
  - c. **SANDAG-Regional Transportation Plan, Sustainable Communities...** Oct 19, 11:30-2 4050 Taylor St Old Town, lunch is provided RSVP to: <http://www.sandag.org/flier> is below
  - d. **Toyland Parade December 1, 2012** needs financial assistance & sponsorship, for more info Debra Fuentes: [mkmtrust2@yahoo.com](mailto:mkmtrust2@yahoo.com). See letter included below. Coupon for NP Businesses supporting the parade available as well as special fundraising at Alexander's, Zensei Sushi, Bar Pink, The Office. More information at <http://vhcorp.org/>
- XI. **Elected Official's Report**
  - a. **Katherine Fortner, Hon. Susan Davis, US Congressional District 53**, [Katherine.Fortner@mail.house.gov](mailto:Katherine.Fortner@mail.house.gov) - Medicare enrollment and coverage forum on October 17, 10am. Working on improved voter access across the country. ESTOP Act to end the practice of dynamic pricing.
  - b. **Lindsey Masukawa, Hon. Toni Atkins, State Assembly District 76**, [Lindsey.Masukawa@asm.ca.gov](mailto:Lindsey.Masukawa@asm.ca.gov) - No Report
  - c. **Anthony Bernal, Hon. Todd Gloria, City Councilmember District 3**, [ABernal@sandiego.gov](mailto:ABernal@sandiego.gov) - No Report
- XII. **Subcommittee Reports:**
  - a. **Urban Design/Project Review**, Robert Barry, Cheryl Dye - NP Adult Community Center, 6:00pm 1st Monday. Next meeting November 5, 2012
    - i. See consent agenda
    - ii. Draft land use map discussion in relation to the existing community plan. Looking at the density hierarchies.
  - b. **Public Facilities/Public Art**, Dionne Carlson, Rene Vidales – NP Adult Community Center, 6:00 pm, 2nd Wednesday. Next meeting, Wednesday November 14, 2012.
    - i. See Consent Agenda
    - ii. CIP Plan discussion
    - iii. Traffic calming best practices for North Park
  - c. **Utility Boxes**, Cheryl Dye, - September 24<sup>th</sup> workshop with Councilmember Gloria's office and local utility providers. Communities would like to be involved before engineering begins. Passionate discussion. SDGE will put together 2 information sheets on undergrounding costs, and the nature of the safety issues (according to the meeting facilitator's notes). No next steps identified.
  - d. **Bylaws Ad Hoc Committee**, Vicki Granowitz – send comments on provided materials
- XIII. **Liaisons Report**
  - a. **Balboa Park Committee** - Rob Steppke via email.
    - i. Presentation by the 2015 celebration committee that has branded the term "edge" as part of their campaign.
    - ii. The second item dealt with the new monument sign for the San Diego Zoo. There are a number of design regulations etc. that apply in the Park. The Zoo chose to play arrogant and ignore all of them and just plunk down the new sign. After being alerted, they are falling all over themselves with apologies and now wanting everyone associated with the Park to approve the new sign and logo that has already been erected.
  - a. **Maintenance Assessment District** – No Report
  - b. **NPMS Sustainability Committee** - Rene Vidales SHPO Grant to hire a Sustainability Manager and to implement the strategies. The committee has been working on RFPs and a job description. Additional work as far as scoping the website, etc.

- c. **Regional Bike Plan Proposed Initial Implementation** – Setting up meetings, appointed Vidales and Carlson to the Uptown and Mid-City Regional Bike Plans.
- d. **North Park Mainstreet** - Taste of North Park was a big success. Policy issue around entry monuments is potentially needed. NPMS board input on CIP issues.

**XIV. Action & Discussion Items**

- a. **Community Plan Update** – Consider adding an Arts Element to the Community Plan Update.
    - i. **Dye** – Arts and “Culture” element is the preferred language
    - ii. **Barry to Marlon:** Do other Community Plans have an element? Yes – Barrio Logan  
**MOTION: To add an Arts & Culture Element to the Community Plan Update Vidales/Dye 11-0-0**
  - b. **Adams & Idaho Tentative Map Waiver, 2767 Adams Ave Project# 291263**
    - i. Proposal to build 12 town homes, 1250 sf of retail, & the required 23 parking spaces. Currently the property is used for storing cars. The project was permitted previously for apartments. In order to sell the units as townhomes, developer requires a TM waiver. The project is consistent with the NP Community Plan. There are 2 second floor units, will include individual rear yards & 2 car garages. All but one unit is accessed through the alley. Contemporary architecture. Underground parking cost prohibited. Developer would be willing to pay fees into a fund for parking to help free up space, 4 jacaranda trees will be installed.
    - ii. Russ Hailey - City Mark Development – Mixed Use Residential Projects in urban areas
      - 1. Parking – over parked by 1 space. 1 ADA for the 1200 sq ft commercial space. Also generating 2 on-street spaces. Residence spaces are garaged. All but one of the units will be through a 36’ driveway courtyard via the alley.
      - 2. Concerns regarding utility boxes being vaulted – not adding requirements for additional structures. The proposed pole relocation in front of the violin shop has been eliminated. Also an additional pole will be removed.  
**MOTION: To approve the map waiver, conditional on no new above ground utility appurtances installed in the public right-of-way. Carlson/Vidales 11-0-0**
  - c. **Capital Improvement Program:** To approve a North Park CIP Priority Recommendations List for submission to the CPC & the City of San Diego’s FY 14 CIP Budget. Recommendations by Nov 7, 2012. For more info see: FY14 Budget Development Process for CPC, <http://www.northparkplanning.org/cip.html> & <http://www.sandiego.gov/iba/pdf/cipguidefullversion.pdf>
    - i. \$2 Billion Program, with \$25 Million in undedicated funds. NPPC’s list will be aggregated with all CPG submittals.
    - ii. North Park should have an unfunded needs list. This will go to both the CPC list and a North Park Unfunded Needs List.
    - iii. Each CPG was provided a list. Sewer, water and cross community or city wide projects were removed. Financing plan projects were posted by Public Facilities.
    - iv. Outreach by face to face meeting, phone and email with: AABA, ECBIA, NPMS, WalkSanDiego, SD Bike Coalition, McKinley Joint Use Working Group
    - v. Public Facilities took the lead, held 2 public hearings. Projects ended up being identified based on
      - 1. What the public had been most recently reviewed
      - 2. Unserved or underserved areas of NP
      - 3. Safety issues
    - vi. **MOTION: To recommend a tentative priority list for CIP projects in the following order:**
      - 1. **McKinley Elementary School Joint Use Improvements (\$12001)**
      - 2. **North Park Mini Park and Streetscape Improvements (\$10050)**
      - 3. **University Avenue Mobility Plan (\$00915)**
      - 4. **North Park/University Heights Library (\$00809) - If the boundary between Uptown Planners and North Park Planning changes, then NPPC vote should be null and void on the NP/UH Library project.**
      - 5. **Five (5) projects for traffic calming & safety to be voted separately**
        - a. **Barry/Bonn. 8-0-0 (Members Present PF/PA October 10, 2012: Dionné Carlson, René Vidales, Vicki Granowitz, Robert Barry, Peter Hill, Ernie Bonn, Kitty Callen)**
- MOTION: To continue the priority list for CIP projects in the following order:**
- 6. **El Cajon Blvd. Acorn Lights [El Cajon Blvd. BIA]**
  - 7. **I-805 Bridge Pedestrian & Bike Improvements [Adams Ave. BIA]**
  - 8. **University Ave & 32<sup>nd</sup> St Traffic Signal Modification [Unfunded Needs List from 8/16/11 NPPC request]**

**9. Park Blvd. and Cypress Ave Concrete Pop-outs & Lighted Crosswalk (B00994)**

**10. 30<sup>th</sup> & Adams Traffic Signal Modification [Adams Ave. BIA]**

**a. Granowitz/Barry. 7-0-0**

**vii. Public Comment**

1. Grant Merkel: President of the Burlingame Community Association on behalf of Switzer Canyon project. Application didn't get submitted because of some out of town issues. Have been working with the MAD on the project, a lot of activity in the last year. Would like it added to the list. Meets two of the major criteria for consideration. Preliminary review being provided by the city of architectural plans. Also a safety consideration.
  - a. James Nagelvoort via email: FYI, the Switzer Canyon/30th Street Bridge Enhancement Project (S10054) is already in the FY2013 CIP Budget. The Public Works Department met with CD2 and Roger in the month of August and agreed to take on this project and initiate the preliminary engineering phase. We will be developing this preliminary scope-of-work, budget, and schedule for this project. Sorry that this project is not listed on the spreadsheets that we provide the community planning groups, there is a lag in getting new projects assigned to us into our systems. This project will remain in the CIP Budget. We will carry it forward from FY13 into FY14. However, only \$60K has been budgeted for this project out of the North Park MAD. If the community feels it is a high priority, they may want to push for more funding in the FY14 CIP Budget.
2. Daniel Gevreselassie: Glad that the University Heights Library made the list. But it doesn't make sense to build a new library based on the very limited hours. Would like to push for expanded hours.
3. Jennifer Spencer: Voice support for the McKinley Joint Use project. There is a lot of community support, especially since there is partial funding for the design phase. Submitted many signatures via petition. Helps with the park deficit and helps raise the values of the neighborhood. School field is in need of upgrade.
4. Julie Ashton-Grey, Principal of McKinley Elementary: In support of the project, there is tremendous community support. Access to the property would be great for the community.
5. Sandi Weiner: 60% of the students at the school are low-income without access to playing fields and yards. Would be beneficial to that community
6. Nick Pollard: Board member of Altadena Neighborhood Association, speaking on behalf of the Association and as an individual. Strong communities are built on strong relationships. Parks serve as a great resource for the community to meet neighbors and build community. Would be more likely to keep local kids in the community.
7. 8 supporters for McKinley present.

**viii. Board Comments:**

1. **Carlson** – Spreadsheet ranking corrections.
2. **Cohen** – would suggest moving Switzer Canyon up above the Library.
3. **Barry** – Description for McKinley is misleading. Pleased that people are supporting. Switzer Canyon is a major thoroughfare and a safety issue between North Park and South Park. Agrees that the Switzer Canyon project should be higher than the Library.
4. **Hilpert** – should add Switzer as a back-up for the Library.
5. **Moczydlowsky** – agrees to move up Switzer above the Library.
6. **Pyles** - agrees to move up Switzer above the Library.
7. **Granowitz** – Would like to remind that the goal was to focus on all of North Park and moving up Switzer Canyon continues the appearance of neglect to areas north of University. Would like 32<sup>nd</sup> and University traffic signal to #10 in the list.
8. **Dye** – agrees with Granowitz.

**ix. MOTION: to approve the order of the CIP list below.**

1. **McKinley Elementary School Joint Use Improvements (S12001)**
2. **North Park Mini Park and Streetscape Improvements (S10050)**
3. **University Avenue Mobility Plan (S00915)**
4. **North Park/University Heights Library (S00809) - If the boundary between Uptown Planners and North Park Planning changes, then NPPC vote should be null and void on the NP/UH Library project.**
5. **Switzer Canyon/30th Street Bridge Enhancement Project (S10054)**
6. **El Cajon Blvd. Acorn Lights [El Cajon Blvd. BIA]**
7. **Adams Avenue Traffic Calming & Pedestrian Enhancement**
8. **Park Blvd. and Cypress Ave Concrete Pop-outs & Lighted Crosswalk (B00994)**
9. **Gateway to Adams Avenue from 805 at Madison**

**10. University Ave & 32<sup>nd</sup> St Traffic Signal Modification  
Dye/Vidales 11-0-0**

- d. Update changes to NPPC Social Media – Deferred to next month**

**XV. Unfinished & Future Agenda Items**

- a. Steven Williamson has missed 4 meetings and 3 in a row. Will be replaced via a mid-term election vacancy in January.
- b. Monday article in the Union Tribune regarding the Zoo Paid Parking Plan.
  - i. Zoo has not voted on that issue. May address if the Zoo does vote on that plan.

**XVI. Next Meeting Date:** November 20, 2012

**XVII. Motion to Adjourn: Barry/Ngyuen 11-0-0 8:41PM**

- a. Minutes submitted by Carl Moczydlowsky



NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE

[northparkplanning.org](http://northparkplanning.org)

Like us:  [NorthParkPlanning](https://www.facebook.com/NorthParkPlanning) Follow us:  [@NPPlanning](https://twitter.com/NPPlanning)

**PUBLIC FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, PARKS, AND PUBLIC ART SUBCOMMITTEE**

**MEETING MINUTES**

Wednesday, October 10, 2012, 6:00 p.m., North Park Recreation Center/Adult Center  
2719 Howard Avenue, San Diego, CA 92104

**Attendance:**

**Seated Board Members:** Dionné Carlson (Chair), René Vidales (Vice-Chair), Vicki Granowitz, Robert Barry, Peter Hill

**Community Voting Members:** Ernie Bonn, Rob Steppke, Kitty Callen (arrived 6:14)

**Board members not seated:** None

**Also present:** Jennifer McDonald, Judy Elliot, Joseph Smith, Jennifer Spencer

**Parliamentary Items:**

**Call to order.** The meeting was called to order at 6:05 pm

**Approve October 10, 2012 Agenda. Motion: Approve Agenda. Vidales/Granowitz. 7-0-0**

**Chair's Comments.**

- OLP Update: Trial in OLP's RLUIPA suit against the City of San Diego starts Oct 15 2012. Becky Sullivan and Chair Carlson will be the only two witnesses from the community for the City.

**Approval of Previous Minutes, September 12, 2012.**

**Motion: Approve September 12, 2012 Meeting Minutes as presented. Vidales/Steppke. 6-0-1 (Barry abstained)**

**Announcements:**

1. **Combined Regional Plan for San Diego County.** Public Workshop hosted by SANDAG. Friday, October 19, 11:30 a.m. to 2 p.m. at Caltrans District 11 Headquarters, 4050 Taylor Street, Garcia Room, San Diego, CA 92110

**Non Agenda Public Comment:**

- A. Jennifer McDonald commented on recent waste of water due to a construction project. A subcontractor was bleeding the lines and water was running near Jefferson Elementary for a long time. It was suggested to call Water Conservation hotline at 619-515-3500. Sub-Committee will research to see if there is a better solution for these types of practices.

**Information Items:**

- A. Chair Carlson gave an update on various Community Plan Update efforts

**New Business**

**A. Traffic Calming at Upas Street and Granada Street (north side of intersection).** Request for Stop Sign/Median Chokers along Upas Street.

Chair Carlson gave a brief presentation about the location and recent communication with City staff and community members.

This intersection is not located within the North Park Maintenance Assessment District (MAD). A meeting was held with City staff where the community was interested in median chokers. Chair Carlson talked with City staff and the Fire Department. The Fire Department explained safety reasons for not approving a roundabout, but might reluctantly approve median chokers under certain conditions if the community really wants them. It should be noted that the surrounding area is saturated with traffic calmers like speed lumps, presenting response time difficulties for the fire department. The Fire department has no objections to a stop sign as a traffic-calmer.

Jennifer McDonald lives nearby and has been working with the City for 6 years on this intersection. The active speeding sign (V-Calm sign) installed a few years back has not been very effective as a traffic calming measure. Community members have explored the possibility of a roundabout; but the Fire Department could not approve due to insufficient turning radius for emergency vehicles, reducing response times along a primary response route. This location appears to have safety issues for pedestrians and for residents backing out their driveways. Ms. McDonald presented a petition requesting a Stop Sign, with 23 signatures from residents in the area.

After conversations with City staff, installation of a stop sign would be the best suggestion at this time.

Ernie Bonn suggested driving around the median chokers that were constructed on Madison Avenue between Kansas St. and Utah St., where cars have not slowed down that much. Carlson expressed concern about median chokers in general as a traffic-calmer, due to the unpopularity and anecdotal ineffectiveness of the ones at Madison and Utah.

Vicki Granowitz requested signatures from the property owners on the four corners; in order to ensure input from the properties that would be most impacted. It would help the case to have those property owners in support.

Vice-Chair Vidales mentioned that once the stop sign is installed, it could be a lengthy process to try to remove it or to replace it with another traffic calming measure. Chair Carlson requested that the language "for traffic calming purposes" be included in any motion, to make it clear the intersection did not require the stop for safety, and so as to allow for additional/different traffic calming at a future date should the opportunity for bump-outs or some other more desirable traffic calmer arise.

Peter Hill acknowledged that waiting for 6 years is disappointing and suggested to have a visual presentation ready when this item is presented to the full board.

Rob Steppke mentioned it is not uncommon to see cars driving around 55 mph on Pershing Dr. and therefore he supports stop sign.

After further discussion, the following motion was made

**MOTION: To support the installation of a stop sign on Upas Street at southbound Granada Street for traffic calming purposes based on information provided as long the constituents of all corners have been contacted. Vidales/Granowitz 8-0-0**

Motion carries.

**B. Fiscal Year 2014 (& Future years) Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Budget.** Each fiscal year, a CIP conformance review is conducted to ensure that proposed CIP projects are consistent with their respective community plans and the General Plan. Council Policy 000-02 requires that City's CIP budget be submitted to the City Council for approval. This year, for the first time, the CIP conformance information is provided to make each community aware of CIP projects scheduled for their communities and to provide the CPGs a better opportunity to review the CIP which will be presented to the City Council.

Vice-Chair Vidales gave a brief presentation about the CIP Budget Development Process. Deadline is November 7 for recommendations from NPPC to CPC.

A tabulation of North Park projects was distributed along with one application for most of the projects being discussed. The list and applications included the following projects that were suggested the previous month by this sub-committee as priorities:

1. University Avenue Mobility Plan (UAMP)
2. North Park Mini Park
3. McKinley Elementary School Joint Use Agreement and Improvements
4. Several Traffic Calming and Safety Projects lumped in one category
5. North Park/University Heights Library

Vice-Chair Vidales mentioned that Walk San Diego supported the UAMP as the first priority and the NP Mini Park as the second priority.

A number of sub-committee members were in agreement with last month's selection of priorities. Vicki Granowitz reminded everyone that every year from now on there will be another opportunity to reassess. Robert Barry would like to see McKinley Elementary as a 1<sup>st</sup> priority, with the North Park Mini Park as 2<sup>nd</sup>, and the UAMP as 3<sup>rd</sup>. Ernie Bonn mentioned that the NP/UH Library will be beneficial for all communities in the area and would like to see this project elevated in the list of priorities.

Judy Elliot from the Adams Avenue BIA would like to add the following projects to the list: (1) 30<sup>th</sup> and Adams islands with pedestrian refuge (as it appears in the Hofman study) and improvements to Gateway at 30<sup>th</sup> & Madison, including directional signage and entry monument; (2) I-805 bridge widening of sidewalk and bike lanes; (3) Pop outs & pedestrian enhancements along Adams Ave; (4) improvements to intersection at 30<sup>th</sup> & Adams.

Jennifer Spencer presented a list with numerous signed petitions for community support for the Jefferson Elementary Joint Use Agreement and Improvements project. She has children at Jefferson Elementary, and mentioned that the project has been on the CIP list for years. She stated that the community is very excited for the project and should be the number one priority. Robert Barry & Rob Steppke also expressed support for making this project the 1<sup>st</sup> priority on the list.

After further discussion the following motion was made:

**MOTION: To recommend a tentative priority list for CIP projects in the following order:**

1. McKinley Elementary School Joint Use Improvements (S12001)
  2. North Park Mini Park and Streetscape Improvements (S10050)
  3. University Avenue Mobility Plan (S00915)
  4. North Park/University Heights Library (S00809)
  5. Five (5) projects for traffic calming & safety to be voted separately
- If the boundary between Uptown Planners and North Park Planning changes, then NPPC vote should be null and void on the NP/UH Library project.**  
**Barry/Bonn. 8-0-0**

Motion carries.

(Rob Steppke left at 7:35 p.m.)

Board discussed ensuring that projects be prioritized for underserved areas of North Park like Adams Ave and Park Boulevard South, and that each BID have a least one project on the CIP priority list.

Peter Hill suggested Park Boulevard project # B00994 as important. The Boulevard requested the Acorn lights project via NPPC Chair Granowitz. Judy Elliot from AABA was present and requested projects from the AABA mobility plan be prioritized. Vidales suggested 32<sup>nd</sup> street signal modification for University Ave. After additional discussion, the following motion was made.

**MOTION: To continue the priority list for CIP projects in the following order:**

- 5. El Cajon Blvd, Acorn Lights [El Cajon Blvd, BIA]**
- 6. I-805 Bridge Pedestrian & Bike Improvements [Adams Ave, BIA]**
- 7. University Ave & 32<sup>nd</sup> St Traffic Signal Modification [Unfunded Needs List from 8/16/11 NPPC request]**
- 8. Park Blvd, and Cypress Ave Concrete Pop-outs & Lighted Crosswalk (B00994)**
- 9. 30<sup>th</sup> & Adams Traffic Signal Modification & Intersection improvements [Adams Ave, BIA] Granowitz/Barry, 7-0-0**

Motion carries.

- C. Traffic Calming “best practices” for North Park CPUAC.**  
This item will be discussed at a future meeting.

**Old Business**

- A. Texas Street Improvement Design.** Update on improvements on Texas Street.  
This item will be discussed at a future meeting.
- B. North Park Entry/Gateway Monuments.** Ongoing discussion of potential improvements to entryways to North Park, including landscaping, signage and entry monuments.  
This item will be discussed at a future meeting.
- C. Utility Box Task Force.** Update and Next Steps.  
This item will be discussed at a future meeting.

**Future Agenda items:** None

**Next Meeting date:** Wednesday, November 14, 2012.

**Adjournment. Motion: To adjourn meeting Carlson/Granowitz. 7-0-0.** Meeting adjourned 7:55 p.m.

**City of San Diego  
TRAFFIC CIRCLE PETITION**

Return to: Transportation Engineering Division  
1010 Second Avenue, Ste. 800  
San Diego CA 92101-4907  
Tel: (619) 533-3126  
Fax: (619) 533-3131

Submitted by: Jennifer McDonald  
(Name)  
2832 Upas Street San Diego, CA 92104  
(Address - include Zip Code)  
(619) 574-0494  
(Phone number)

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED PROPERTY OWNERS/MANAGERS/TENANTS have read the procedures on the reverse

side and hereby request that a traffic circle be  installed  removed at the intersection of Upas and Granada  
(Street name) (Street Name)

**NOTE:** Only the property owner, manager or tenant may sign. In cases of multiple tenants, the owner or manager may sign for the entire property. In cases of collective ownership, a representative of the homeowners association may sign.

PROPERTY ADDRESS	NAME (Print)	NAME (Signature)	MAILING ADDRESS
<u>3406 GRANADA</u>	<u>RANDALL SAPPENFIELD</u>	<u>Randall Sappenfield</u>	<u>3406 GRANADA</u>
<u>2832 Upas</u>	<u>Jennifer McDonald</u>	<u>Jennifer McDonald</u>	<u>2832 Upas</u>
<u>2853 Upas S</u>	<u>Claudine &amp; Robert Vini</u>	<u>Cl. Vini</u>	<u>3393 Granada Ave.</u>
<u>3393 Granada Av.</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>Cl. Vini</u>	<u>" "</u>
<u>3406 24th St.</u>	<u>DANA HOSSEINI</u>	<u>Dana Hosseini</u>	<u>3406 24th St.</u>
<u>3388 GRANADA AVE</u>	<u>MARIE STACCON</u>	<u>Marie Staccon</u>	<u>3388 GRANADA AVE</u>
<u>2910 THORN</u>	<u>MELVIN W. MAH</u>	<u>Melvin W. Mah</u>	<u>2910 THORN</u>
<u>3305 29th St</u>	<u>Kathleen Courtney</u>	<u>Kathleen Courtney</u>	<u>3305 - 29th St</u>
<u>2925 UPAS</u>	<u>DC Trump Trust</u>	<u>SC Trump Trust</u>	<u>2925 Upas 92104</u>
<u>3353 28th</u>	<u>Quinn Trump</u>	<u>Susan Trump</u>	<u>3353 28th 92104</u>
<u>3703 Ray St</u>	<u>DON LEICHTLING</u>	<u>Don Leichtling</u>	<u>3703 Ray St 92104</u>
<u>3703 Ray St</u>	<u>DORIS LEICHTLING</u>	<u>Dorise Leichtling</u>	<u>3703 Ray St</u>
<u>2832 UPAS ST</u>	<u>Jeanne Rempel</u>	<u>Jeanne Rempel</u>	<u>2832 UPAS / 92104</u>

(Procedures on reverse side)

This information is available in alternative formats upon request.

**City of San Diego  
TRAFFIC CIRCLE PETITION**

Return to: Transportation Engineering Division  
1010 Second Avenue, Ste. 800  
San Diego CA 92101-4907  
Tel: (619) 533-3126  
Fax: (619) 533-3131

Submitted by: JENNIFER McDONALD  
(Name)  
2832 UPAS ST SAN DIEGO, CA 92104  
(Address -- include Zip Code)  
619 574-0494  
(Phone number)

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED PROPERTY OWNERS/MANAGERS/TENANTS have read the procedures on the reverse

side and hereby request that a traffic circle be  installed  removed at the intersection of

UPAS ST and GRANADA AVE  
(Street name) (Street Name)

**NOTE:** Only the property owner, manager or tenant may sign. In cases of multiple tenants, the owner or manager may sign for the entire property. In cases of collective ownership, a representative of the homeowners association may sign.

PROPERTY ADDRESS	NAME (Print)	NAME (Signature)	MAILING ADDRESS
<u>3384-29th St</u>	<u>SARA CLARKE</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>same</u>
<u>3384-37th St</u>	<u>Natalie Rader</u>	<u>Natalie Rader</u>	<u>same</u>
<u>3375-29th St</u>	<u>Signe McGrayson</u>	<u>Signe M. Grayson</u>	<u>3614 Texas St. San Diego, CA</u>
<u>3370-29th St</u>	<u>Lisette Cauchon</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>same</u>
<u>2905 UPAS ST</u>	<u>Edward Davier</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>SAME</u>
<u>2917 UPAS ST</u>	<u>RICK PYLES</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>SAME</u>
<u>2911 UPAS ST</u>	<u>RICK PYLES</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2917 UPAS ST SD CA 92104</u>
<u>2917 UPAS ST</u>	<u>Gerald Montoya</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2917 Upas St SD 92104</u>
<u>3461 Granada</u>	<u>SHARON OLSON</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3461 Granada Ave 92104</u>
<u>3410 Granada Ave</u>	<u>Judy Anderson</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3410 Granada Ave SD 92104</u>
<u>3430 Granada Ave</u>	<u>Quiana Neff</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3430 Granada Ave SD 92104</u>
<u>3421 Granada</u>	<u>Corinne Hoyd Moad</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>same</u>
<u>3421 Granada Ave</u>	<u>Chris Moad</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>same</u>

(Procedures on reverse side)

This information is available in alternative formats upon request.

Traffic Circle Petition signature page 2

PROPERTY ADDRESS

NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

(Print)

(Signature)

3354-29th St  
3394 29th st.  
3394 29th st  
3388 Branadu Ave

BETTY MARSHALL  
Wendy Hutchison  
Joseph Smith  
Nancee Lewis

Betty Marshall  
Wendy Hutchison  
Joseph Smith  
Nancee Lewis

3354-29th St. 92104  
3394 29th St. 92104  
3394 29th St. 92104  
3388 Granada Ave SD 92104

# Daily Speed Report

Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of San Diego

Date: Tuesday, 8/4/2009

Project #: 09-4293-002e

Location: Upas St (Granada Av - Utah St)

File#: SP095-09

East Bound

	5	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	
Time	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54	59	64	69	74+	Total
00:00 AM	0	0	1	13	12	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	35
01:00	0	0	3	8	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	16
02:00	0	0	1	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
03:00	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
04:00	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
05:00	0	3	3	6	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
06:00	2	6	4	12	19	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
07:00	0	5	7	25	43	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	104
08:00	1	14	15	33	64	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	147
09:00	2	10	17	59	71	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	170
10:00	0	8	17	53	81	29	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	194
11:00	3	5	21	65	84	28	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	210
12:00 PM	1	7	15	87	118	39	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	274
13:00	2	5	17	81	109	27	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	245
14:00	6	7	25	77	131	34	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	282
15:00	3	13	28	129	136	31	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	344
16:00	3	8	23	164	176	34	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	414
17:00	2	6	22	161	186	48	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	427
18:00	6	26	75	160	111	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	397
19:00	3	6	35	114	95	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	275
20:00	2	7	38	115	67	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	241
21:00	2	7	14	95	56	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	180
22:00	0	6	23	40	33	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110
23:00	1	2	4	34	26	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
<b>Totals</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>1539</b>	<b>1633</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>4</b>						<b>4233</b>
<b>% of Totals</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>100%</b>
<b>% AM</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>23%</b>
<b>AM Peak Hour</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>08:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>10:00</b>	<b>10:00</b>							<b>11:00</b>
<b>Volume</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>						<b>210</b>
<b>% PM</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>77%</b>
<b>PM Peak Hour</b>	<b>14:00</b>	<b>18:00</b>	<b>18:00</b>	<b>16:00</b>	<b>17:00</b>	<b>17:00</b>	<b>12:00</b>	<b>16:00</b>						<b>17:00</b>
<b>Volume</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>						<b>427</b>

Average Speed	50th Percentile	85th Percentile
29.6	30	34

# Daily Speed Report

Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of San Diego

Date: Tuesday, 8/4/2009

Project #: 09-4293-002w

Location: Upas St (Granada Av - Utah St)

File#: SP095-09

West Bound

	5	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	
Time	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54	59	64	69	74+	Total
00:00 AM	0	2	2	9	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
01:00	0	0	2	6	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
02:00	0	0	2	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
03:00	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
04:00	0	0	2	9	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
05:00	1	0	5	26	31	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	82
06:00	3	3	10	57	97	29	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	201
07:00	1	5	15	111	165	57	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	359
08:00	6	8	29	130	153	46	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	376
09:00	2	2	22	71	107	42	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	252
10:00	2	1	15	71	107	41	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	244
11:00	2	7	13	53	73	31	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	187
12:00 PM	4	6	20	79	114	32	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	265
13:00	3	5	24	70	106	29	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	224
14:00	3	7	19	63	111	28	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	234
15:00	2	3	12	85	85	35	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	227
16:00	2	6	18	101	115	28	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	274
17:00	4	6	25	113	112	21	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	285
18:00	4	18	56	123	75	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	291
19:00	8	6	30	68	63	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	194
20:00	0	4	20	60	41	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	135
21:00	0	2	11	52	36	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	113
22:00	0	0	9	35	25	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	79
23:00	0	0	5	13	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
<b>Totals</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>1417</b>	<b>1659</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>5</b>						<b>4168</b>
<b>% of Totals</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>100%</b>
<b>% AM</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>43%</b>
<b>AM Peak Hour</b>	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	07:00	07:00	10:00	07:00						08:00
<b>Volume</b>	6	8	29	130	165	57	7	1						376
<b>% PM</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>57%</b>
<b>PM Peak Hour</b>	19:00	18:00	18:00	18:00	16:00	15:00	12:00	12:00						18:00
<b>Volume</b>	8	18	56	123	115	35	9	1						291

Average Speed	50th Percentile	85th Percentile
30.1	30	35

# Daily Speed Report

Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of San Diego

Date: Thursday, 7/30/2009

Project #: 09-4293-001e

Location: Upas St (29th St - Granada Av)

File#: SP094-09

East Bound

	5	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	
Time	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54	59	64	69	74+	Total
00:00 AM	1	1	7	8	26	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	53
01:00	0	0	0	8	13	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
02:00	0	0	1	7	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
03:00	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
04:00	0	0	1	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
05:00	0	0	0	8	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
06:00	0	0	5	20	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
07:00	4	1	15	32	51	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	113
08:00	4	2	18	67	61	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	167
09:00	3	6	19	72	65	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	177
10:00	5	3	9	70	86	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	189
11:00	4	2	23	71	104	24	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	233
12:00 PM	5	16	25	113	102	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	278
13:00	3	6	12	97	101	26	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	247
14:00	2	3	27	108	81	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	245
15:00	4	7	19	143	133	28	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	337
16:00	8	8	27	202	160	35	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	444
17:00	7	6	40	184	151	32	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	422
18:00	6	5	60	190	129	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	413
19:00	3	9	50	152	82	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	304
20:00	7	6	43	135	61	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	256
21:00	7	3	31	89	63	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	205
22:00	2	3	17	52	42	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122
23:00	1	2	9	30	39	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
<b>Totals</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>1865</b>	<b>1580</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>					<b>4411</b>
<b>% of Totals</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>					<b>100%</b>
<b>% AM</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>						<b>24%</b>
<b>AM Peak Hour</b>	<b>10:00</b>	<b>09:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>09:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>							<b>11:00</b>
<b>Volume</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>						<b>233</b>
<b>% PM</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>					<b>76%</b>
<b>PM Peak Hour</b>	<b>16:00</b>	<b>12:00</b>	<b>18:00</b>	<b>16:00</b>	<b>16:00</b>	<b>16:00</b>	<b>16:00</b>	<b>17:00</b>	<b>15:00</b>					<b>16:00</b>
<b>Volume</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>					<b>444</b>

Average Speed	50th Percentile	85th Percentile
29.1	29	34

# Daily Speed Report

Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of San Diego

Date: Thursday, 7/30/2009

Project #: 09-4293-001w

Location: Upas St (29th St - Granada Av)  
West Bound

File#: SP094-09

	5	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	
Time	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54	59	64	69	74+	Total
00:00 AM	0	0	10	15	10	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
01:00	0	1	2	11	9	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
02:00	0	0	4	6	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19
03:00	0	0	2	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
04:00	0	0	3	15	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
05:00	2	3	4	38	30	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	87
06:00	0	3	13	55	74	15	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	167
07:00	4	7	26	129	136	38	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	340
08:00	3	6	27	146	140	35	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	363
09:00	4	4	30	164	100	31	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	276
10:00	3	3	32	85	97	24	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	249
11:00	1	2	26	85	82	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	220
12:00 PM	4	9	28	102	77	16	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	242
13:00	0	2	38	89	74	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	233
14:00	1	1	17	74	87	20	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	206
15:00	2	4	27	116	85	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	253
16:00	2	8	51	126	71	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	276
17:00	5	7	58	121	119	24	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	317
18:00	3	8	43	122	83	25	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	287
19:00	3	9	27	114	76	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	240
20:00	2	3	46	79	37	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	174
21:00	0	2	32	52	31	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	131
22:00	0	7	22	44	29	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	108
23:00	0	2	14	36	10	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64
<b>Totals</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>1768</b>	<b>1481</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>					<b>4363</b>
<b>% of Totals</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>					<b>100%</b>
<b>% AM</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>					<b>42%</b>
<b>AM Peak Hour</b>	07:00	08:00	10:00	08:00	08:00	07:00	06:00	05:00	02:00					08:00
<b>Volume</b>	4	6	32	146	140	38	6	1	1					363
<b>% PM</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>					<b>58%</b>
<b>PM Peak Hour</b>	17:00	12:00	16:00	16:00	17:00	13:00	14:00	12:00	12:00					17:00
<b>Volume</b>	5	9	51	126	119	29	6	1	1					317

Average Speed	50th Percentile	85th Percentile
29.3	29	34

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
**COUNCIL POLICY**

**CURRENT**

SUBJECT: CRITERIA FOR THE INSTALLATION OF STOP SIGNS  
POLICY NO.: 200-08  
EFFECTIVE DATE: December 2, 1997

BACKGROUND:

Stop signs are installed to establish right-of-way at intersections, reduce vehicle delay and decrease accidents. Stop signs are placed at entrances to designated through highways or at any intersection designated by resolution as a stop intersection in accordance with Section 82.20 of the Municipal Code. All-way stops are usually installed at the intersections of streets with similar traffic volumes or where justified by other criteria.

GENERAL:

Only those intersections meeting certain criteria should be considered for stop signs. The criteria contained herein have general national acceptance as factors to be analyzed to determine where stop signs should be installed. In special situations stop signs may not be advisable because of the adverse effect they could cause in a total area traffic pattern despite other justifying factors.

POLICY:

It is the policy of the City Council that the installation of stop signs shall be made using engineering judgment based on with the following criteria, and that such analyses, measurements, and computations as may be required in determining the appropriate traffic controls shall be the responsibility of the City Manager or designee. The affected City Councilmember and community planning group shall be provided with advance notification at least 30 days before a final decision is made regarding a stop sign installation.

CRITERIA:

A. Stop Sign Control Installation Criteria

Stop signs shall be installed facing traffic on each and every street intersecting a through street, as defined in Council Policy 200-11. At other locations, the following criteria is used. The total possible points is 30. The installation of a stop sign control on a side street approach is justified by 15 or more points or where justified by other criteria in accordance with this policy.

1. Accident Experience - 9 points possible.

Three points are assigned for each accident, susceptible to correction by stop signs, that occurred during a recent three-year period. If three or more accidents have occurred in the period, then the 15 point requirement may be waived and stop signs installed based entirely on accident experience.

2. Visibility Conditions - 9 points possible.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
**COUNCIL POLICY**

**CURRENT**

On the approaches for which stop signs are being considered, if the critical approach speed to the intersection is less than 20 miles per hour, one point shall be assigned for each mile-per-hour under 20 miles per hour. The 15 point requirement may be waived and stop signs may be justified if the critical approach speed is 10 miles per hour or less.

3. Traffic and Pedestrian Volumes - 9 points possible.

Points are dependent upon the 24-hour main street volume and the peak hour side street volume. The side street volume also considers pedestrians crossing the side street during the peak hour.

4. Special Conditions - 3 points possible.

Special conditions include, but are not limited to schools, fire stations, playgrounds, steep hills, bus routes, strip commercial districts, parks, libraries, hospitals, and post offices. Points are assigned on the basis of engineering judgement. The 15 point requirement may be waived and stop signs may be justified based entirely on special conditions.

POINT ASSIGNMENT TABLES FOR STOP SIGNS

Traffic and Pedestrian Volumes:

Total of Main Street Approach Vehicular Volumes		Combined Total of Side Street Approach Vehicular Volumes and Pedestrians Crossing the Side Street Approaches	
<u>24-Hour Volume</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Peak-Hour Volume</u>	<u>Points</u>
0-500	0	0-24	0
501-600	1	25-49	1
601-700	2	50-74	2
701-800	3	75-99	3
801-900	4	100-over	4
901-over	5		

B. All-Way Stop Control Installation Criteria

The total possible points is 50. An all-way stop is justified by 25 or more points at an intersection that includes a street classified as "Major," at other intersections by 20 or more points, or where justified by other criteria in accordance with this policy.

1. Accident Experience - 15 points possible.

Three points are assigned for each accident, susceptible to correction by an all-way stop, that occurred during a recent three-year period. The 25-point and 20-point installation requirement

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
**COUNCIL POLICY**

**CURRENT**

may be waived and an all-way stop may be justified if five or more accidents have occurred during the period and attempts using less restrictive controls have not corrected the problem.

2. Special Conditions - 5 points possible.

Special conditions include, but are not limited to schools; fire stations; playgrounds; visibility conditions; steep hills; bus routes; strip commercial districts; parks; libraries; hospitals; post offices; pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle circulation patterns; and other conditions that may be identified by the community. Points are assigned on the basis of engineering judgement. The 25-point and 20-point requirements may be waived and an all-way stop may be justified based entirely on special conditions, or if the criteria for the installation of traffic signals has been met in accordance with Council Policy 200-06.

3. Traffic Volumes - 15 points possible.

Points are dependent upon the magnitude of vehicular volumes entering the intersection from the main street approaches and the highest-volume side street approach during the four highest hours of an average day.

4. Traffic Volume Difference - 10 points possible.

All-way stops operate best when the traffic volumes on both intersecting streets are nearly equal. The traffic volume difference is calculated by subtracting double the highest-volume side street approach from the total of the main street approaches. If the result is a negative number, then use a traffic volume difference of zero for the purpose of assigning points.

5. Pedestrian Volumes - 5 points possible.

Points are assigned based on the volume of pedestrians crossing the main street approaches during the four highest hours of an average day.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
**COUNCIL POLICY**

**CURRENT**

POINT ASSIGNMENT TABLES FOR ALL-WAY STOPS

Traffic Volumes:

Total of Main  
Street Approaches

Highest-Volume Side  
Street Approach

<u>4-hour Volume</u>	<u>Points</u>
0 - 400	0
401 - 600	1
601 - 800	2
801 - 1000	3
1001 - 1200	4
1201 - 2600	5
2601 - 2900	4
2901 - 3200	3
3201 - 3500	2
3501 - 3800	1
3801 - over	0

<u>4-hour Volume</u>	<u>Points</u>
0 - 200	0
201 - 300	1
301 - 400	2
401 - 500	3
501 - 600	4
601 - 700	5
701 - 800	6
801 - 900	7
901 - 1000	8
1001 - 1100	9
1101 - over	10

Traffic Volume Difference:

<u>Traffic Volume Difference</u>	<u>Points</u>
0 - 150	10
151 - 300	9
301 - 450	8
451 - 600	7
601 - 750	6
751 - 900	5
901 - 1050	4
1051 - 1200	3
1201 - 1350	2
1351 - 1500	1
1501 - over	0

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
**COUNCIL POLICY**

**CURRENT**

Pedestrian Volumes:

<u>Pedestrian Volume Crossing Main Street Approaches in 4 Highest Hours</u>	<u>Points</u>
0	0
1 - 50	1
51 - 100	2
101 - 150	3
151 - 200	4
201 - over	5

C. Alternative Process

Notwithstanding other provisions of this Policy, stop signs may be installed by this Alternative Process. If an intersection is found to not satisfy the criteria established in Sections A and B of this Policy upon an initial evaluation, then the following process may be used:

1. Any person or community planning group may request a re-evaluation, bringing forth any additional information that may cause the intersection to satisfy the criteria. The City Manager or designee shall then re-evaluate the intersection based on this request, notify the requesting party of the results, and install the stop signs if the criteria are satisfied.
2. If the criteria are not satisfied upon the re-evaluation, then a community planning group may hold a public hearing to discuss the matter. If the community planning group votes to support the stop signs, then a City Councilmember may request, by written memorandum, that the City Manager either install the requested stop signs or submit a report to the City Council discussing why the stop signs are not recommended. The City Council may subsequently adopt a resolution authorizing the installation of stop signs at the intersection.
3. Stop signs may also be removed by following the steps of this process. Stop signs installed by City Council resolution may only be removed by a subsequent resolution.
4. For stop signs installed or removed by City Councilmember request or by City Council resolution, the City Manager or designee shall submit a report analyzing traffic conditions at the location for the first year after the change.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
**COUNCIL POLICY**

**CURRENT**

HISTORY:

Adopted by Resolution R-172823 09/27/1962  
Amended by Resolution R-212198 12/12/1974  
Amended by Resolution R-271496 07/25/1988  
Amended by Resolution R-280611 09/14/1992  
Amended by Resolution R-289500 12/02/1997