Technical Advisory Committee Agenda June 12, 2013 12:00 noon to 2:00 p.m. Development Services Center / City Operations Building 1222 First Ave, San Diego, CA 92101 4th Floor Training Room

Group Represented	Primary Member	Alternate
Accessibility	□ Vacant	Mike Conroy
Accessibility	□ Connie Soucy	Cyndi Jones
AGC	Debbie Day	🗆 Brad Barnum
AIA	John Ziebarth	□ Kirk O'Brien
AIA	David Pfeifer	John Ziebarth
APA	🗆 Dan Wery	□ Greg Konar
ASLA	□ Andrew Reese	
BIA	🗆 Kathi Riser	
BIA	□ Matt Adams	
BID Council	□ Tiffany Bromfield	□ Warren Simon
BIOCOM	□ Faith Picking	
ACEC	□ Rob Gehrke	□ Jeff Barfield
Chamber of Commerce	□ Mike Nagy	
EDC	John Eardensohn	□ Ted Shaw
In-Fill Developer		
NAIOP	□ James Lawson	Craig Benedetto
Permit Consultants	□ Brian Longmore	🗆 Barbara Harris
Small Business Advisory Bd.	□ Gary Peterson	Edward Barbat
SDAR	🗆 Jordan Marks	□ Kimberlee Theis
Sustainable Energy Advisory Bd	□ Alison Whitelaw	
LU&H Liaison (non-voting)	□ Leslie Perkins	

1) Announcements

2) Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

3) **Discussion/Action/Informational**

- A. Capital Improvements Projects (Action) Kerry Santoro (30 minutes)
- B. Draft General Plan Amendments (Action) Nancy Bragado) (15 minutes)
- C. Adhoc Committee Engineering Flat Fees Report (Informational) Kathi Riser (15 minutes)

4) Future Agenda Item

- Quimby Ordinance Reinstatement LDC Amendment (Deborah Sharpe)
- Medical Marijuana
- Discretionary Process Improvements-Process Committee Report
- Mixed use and multi-family zones being developed through community plan updates (CMT and TAC)
- Re-roof recycling (construction recycling)

5) Adjourn – next meeting July 10, 2013 or August 13, 2013

TAC Mission: "To proactively advise the Mayor and the Land Use and Housing Committee on improvements to the regulatory process through the review of policies and regulations that impact development. And to advise on improvements to the development review process through communications, technology and best business practices to reduce processing times and improve customer service. And to advocate for quality development to meet the needs of all citizens of San Diego."

Decision Process - Capital Improvement Projects DRAFT

Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures Article 2: Required Steps in Processing Division 1: Applications

§112.0101 and **§112.0101** [No change in text.]

§112.0103 Consolidation of Processing

When an *applicant* applies for more than one permit, map, or other approval for a single *development*, the applications shall be consolidated for processing and shall be reviewed by a single decision maker. The decision maker shall act on the consolidated application at the highest level of authority for that *development* as set forth in Section 111.0105. The *findings* required for approval of each permit shall be considered individually, consistent with Section 126.0105. Where the consolidation of process combines Processes Two, Process Three, Process Four, or Process Five; with Process CIP-Two or Process CIP-Five the consolidation shall be made as follows:

May 16, 2013

- (a) Consolidation of Process Two and Process CIP-Two shall be consolidated into Process CIP-Two.
- (b) Consolidation of Process Three, Process Four, or Process Five with Process CIP-Five shall be consolidated into Process CIP-Five except that any consolidation with a Process Five for rezoning shall be consolidated into Process Five.

Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures Article 2: Required Steps in Processing Division 3: Notice

§112.0301 Types of Notice

(a) Notice of Application. A Notice of Application is required for an application for a permit, map, or other matter acted upon in accordance with Process Three, Process Four, or Process Five, or Process CIP-Five.

(1) and (2) [No change in text.]

(b) Notice of Future Decision. A Notice of Future Decision shall be provided for an application for a permit or other matter acted upon in accordance with Process Two<u>or a Process CIP-Two</u>.

(1) and (2) [No change in text.]

(c) Notice of Public Hearing. A Notice of Public Hearing shall be provided before a decision is made on an application for a permit, map, or other matter acted upon in accordance with Process Three, Process Four, or Process Five, or Process CIP-Five, or an appeal of Process Two, <u>Process CIP-Two</u>, Process Three, Process Four decision, or of an *environmental determination*. A Notice of Public Hearing shall also be provided before a decision is made by the City Council in accordance with Section 132.1555 (Overrule Process).

Page 1 of 12

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1" + Indent at: 1.25" Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1.5"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5"

ATTACHMENT 1

(1) through (3) [No change in text.]

(d) and (e) [No change in text.]

§112.0302 through §112.0305 [No change in text.]

§112.0306 Notice for Coastal Development Permits

All notices for a Coastal Development Permit shall include a statement that the *development* is within the Coastal Overlay Zone, the date of filing of the application and the number assigned to the application. When a Coastal Development Permit is to be considered under Process Two. <u>Process CIP-Two.</u> or at a public hearing, the City Manager shall mail a Notice of Future Decision or Notice of Public Hearing to the California Coastal Commission and all persons requesting notice on Coastal Development Permits. This notice shall be provided in addition to the other notices required by this division. Notices for appealable Coastal Development Permits shall include provisions for appeals to the California Coastal Commission.

May 16, 2013

§112.0307 [No change in text.]

§112.0308 Notice for Appeal Hearings

The notice for an appeal hearing of a Process Two, Process Three, Process Four<u>. Process CIP-</u> <u>Two</u> decision, or of an *environmental determination* shall be provided in accordance with sections 112.0301(c), 112.0302, and 112.0303.

§112.0309 and **§112.0310** [No change in text.]

Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures Article 2: Required Steps in Process Division 4: Public Hearings

§112.0401 Procedures for Public Hearings

(a) Rules for Decision Makers. The City Manager shall establish rules and procedures to regulate the public hearing proceedings for Process Two, Process Three, Process Four, and Process Five, Process CIP-Two, and Process CIP-Five consistent with the requirements set forth in this chapter.

(b) and (c) [No change in text.]

Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures Article 2: Required Steps in Processing Division 5: Decision Process

§ 112.0501 Overview of Decision Process

Applications for permits, maps, or other matters shall be acted upon in accordance with one of the five decision processes established in this division and depicted on Page 2 of 12 Formatted: Font: Bold

Decision Process - Capital Improvement Projects DRAFT

May 16, 2013

ATTACHMENT 1

Formatted: Font: Italic

Diagram 112-05A except that applications for *capital improvement projects* specified in Chapter 11, Article 2, Division 6 shall be acted upon in accordance that division. The subject matter of the *development* application determines the process that shall be followed for each application. The provisions of Chapter 12 that pertain to each permit, map, or other matter describe the decision process in more detail. Diagram 112-05A is provided for convenience of reference only and does not define, describe, or limit the scope, meaning, or intent of any provision of the Land Development Code. This diagram describes the City of San Diego's processes only and does not describe other decision processes that may be required by other agencies, such as the State Coastal Commission.



§112.0502 through § 112.0520 [No change in text.]





Page 4 of 12

Decision Process - Capital Improvement Projects	
DRAFT	

ATTACHMENT 1

<u>(a)</u>	Notice. The designated staff person shall mail a Notice of Future Decision to the persons
	identified in Section 112.0302(b). Persons who wish to receive notice of the approval or
	denial of the application may request this information from the staff person. The request
	must be received no later than 10 business days after the date on which the Notice of
	Future Decision is mailed.

May 16, 2013

(b)Decision Process. The designated staff person may approve, conditionally approve, or
deny the application without a public hearing. The decision shall be made no less than 11
business days after the date on which the Notice of Future Decision is mailed to allow for
sufficient time for public comment.

This 11 *business days* minimum time frame for a staff decision will be extended by a period not to exceed an additional 20 *business days* to allow time for a recommendation by a recognized community planning group, if requested by the group's chair, or the chair's designee. Notification of the decision shall be given to the *applicant* and to those persons who request notification in accordance with this section, no later than 2 *business days* after the *decision date*.

§112.0603 Process CIP- Two Appeal Hearing

Appeals of Process CIP- Two decisions shall be subject to the requirements of Section 112.0504 except that the City Council shall hear the appeal.

<u>§ 112.0604 Process CIP-Five</u>

An application for a Site Development Permit for a *capital improvement project* that deviates from the Environmentally Sensitive Land Regulations or a City-issued Coastal Development Permit in the *appealable area* of the Coastal Overlay Zone, shall be acted upon in accordance with Process CIP-Five. An application for a Process CIP- Five may be approved, conditionally approved, or denied by the City Council. A Process CIP- Five decision shall be made in the following manner.

(a) Notice. The City Manager shall mail a Notice of Application to the persons described in Section 112.0302(b) no later than 10 *business days* after the date on which an application for a permit, map, or other matter is *deemed complete*.

Chapter 11: Land development Procedures Article 3: Land Development Terms Division 1: Definitions

§113.0101 and through **§113.0102** [No change in text.]

§113.0103 Definitions

Page 5 of 12

Formatted: Default, Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0", Hyphenate, Tab stops: Not at 0"

--- (Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

⁽b) Decision Process. The City Council shall hold a public hearing to consider the application. The hearing shall be noticed in accordance with Sections 112.0301(c), 112.0302, and 112.0303. The City Council may approve, conditionally approve, or deny the application at the conclusion of the hearing.

ATTACHMENT 1

Abutting property through Business day [No change in text.]

Capital Improvement Project means a tangible City project with a life expectancy greater than one year that is counted as a fixed asset with values for capitalization purposes. Capitalized assets have values for assessment of prosperity and financing purposes.

May 16, 2013

Certificate of Correction through Yard [No change in text.]

Chapter 12: Land Development Reviews Article 6: Development Permits Division 1: General Development Permit Procedures

§126.0101 through §126.0103 [No change in text.]

§126.0104 Decision Processes for a Development Permit

A decision on an application for a *development permit* shall be made in accordance with Process Two, Process Three, Process Four, or Process Five, <u>Process CIP-Two, or Process CIP-Five</u> as indicated in Chapter 12, Article 6, Divisions 1 through 8, for each type of *development permit*.

§126.0104 through §126.0111 [No change in text.]

§126.0112 Minor Modifications to a Development Permit

§126.0113 through §126.0155 [No change in text.]

Chapter 12: Land Development Reviews Article 6: Development Permits Division 5: Site Development Permit Procedures

§126.0502 When a Site Development Permit is Required

(a) A Site Development Permit decided in accordance with Process Three is required where *environmentally sensitive lands* are present for the following types of *development*.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

Page 6 of 12

Decision Pro	cess - C	Capital 1	Improvement Projects	May 16, 2013	ATTACHMENT 1	
		(1)	City public works projects of <i>lands</i> , as described in Section 1	on 143.0110 except that ca		Formatted: Font: Italic
		(2) an	d (3) [No change in text.]			
1	(b)	[No cł	ange in text.]			
I	(c)		Development Permit decided ing types of <i>development</i> .	d in accordance with Proce	ss Three is required for the	
		(1) thr	ough (3) [No change in text.]]		
		(4)	Public improvements requir involve development of mor Section 142.0612 except that	re than 3,000 feet of proper	ty frontage, as described in	(Formatted: Font: Italic
			Section 126.0502(f).			
		(5)	Public improvements requir adopted City standards do n	ot apply, as described in S	ection 142.0612 except that	
			<u>capital improvement projec</u>	ts shall be subject to Section	<u>on 126.0502(f)</u> .	Formatted: Font: Italic
		(6) thr	ough (8) [No change in text.]			
	(d)		Development Permit decided ing types of <i>development</i> .	d in accordance with Proce	ss Four is required for the	
		(1)	Within <i>historical districts</i> o unless exempt under Section		al resources are present,	
			(A) through (C) [No change	in text.]		
			(D) Public works project subject to Section 12	ts <u>except that <i>capital impro</i>26.0502(f);</u> and	ovement projects shall be	
		(2)	(E) [No change in text.] Where <i>historical resources</i> <i>resources</i> are present, unles			
			(A) through (C) [No change	e in text.]		
				uction projects <u>except that</u> ject to Section 126.0502(f		
			Page 7 o	of 12		

Decision Pro	ocess - Capital Improvement Projects May 16, 2013 ATTACHMENT 1	
DRAFT		
	(E) [No change in text.]	
I	(3) through (9) [No change in text.]	Formatted, Cont. 12 nt
	(f) A Site Development Permit in accordance with Process CIP-Two is required for the any	Formatted: Font: 12 pt
	<u>capital improvement project determined to be in compliance with all base zone</u> regulations, or all <i>development</i> , or does not exceed limited deviations allowed by the	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Line spacing: single, Don't hyphenate, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0", Left
I	regulations in Chapter 14, as described in Section 143.0402 without deviation.	Formatted: Font: Italic
§126.0503	Decision Processes for Site Development Permits	Formatted: Font: Italic
	(a) through (c) [No change in Text]	
	(d) Process CIP-Two	Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Line spacing: single
	A decision on an application for a Site Development Permit for the types of <i>development</i> + - <u>listed in 126.0502(f)(2)shall be made in accordance with Process CIP-Two.</u>	Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Line spacing: single
	(e) Process CIP- Five	
	<u>A decision on an application for a Site Development Permit for the types of <i>development</i> \leftarrow - listed in 126.0502(f)(3)shall be made in accordance with Process CIP-Five.</u>	Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Line spacing: single
§ 126.0504 tł	arough §126.0505 [No change in text.]	
I	Chapter 12: Land Development Reviews Article 6: Development Permits Division 6: Planned Development Permit Procedures	
§126.0601	[No change in text.]	
§126.0602	When a Planned Development Permit May be Requested	
	(a) through (c) [No change in Text.]	
	(d) The following types of <i>development</i> may be requested with a Planned Development <u>Permit to be decided in accordance with Process CIP-Five.</u>	Formatted: Hyphenate, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers
	Capital Improvement projects that do not comply with all base zone regulations or all development regulations (except as permitted in accordance with Section 126.0602(a)(2), or that proposes to exceed limited deviations allowed by the regulations in Chapter 14, as described in Section 143.0410.	Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Hyphenate, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers
§126.0603 D	ecision Process for Planned Development Permits	
	(a) through (c) [No change in text.]	

Page 8 of 12

Decision Pro	ocess - (Capital Improvement Projects May 16, 2013 ATTACHMENT 1	
	<u>(d)</u>	A decision on an application for a Planned Development Permit for the <i>development</i> listed in Section 126.0602(d) shall be made in accordance with Process CIP-Five.	
		Chapter 12: Land Development Reviews Article 6: Development Permits Division 7: Coastal Development Permit Procedures	
§126.0701 th	hrough §	\$126.0706 [No change in text.]	
§126.0707	Decis	sion Process for a Coastal Development Permit	
	(a)	A decision on an application for a City-issued Coastal Development Permit in the <i>non-appealable area</i> of the Coastal Overlay Zone shall be made in accordance with Process Two, except that a decision on a <i>capital improvement project</i> shall be made in accordance with Section 126.0707(c). The decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 112.0504.	Formatted: Font: Italic, Not Highlight
	(b)	A decision on an application for a City-issued Coastal Development Permit in the <i>appealable area</i> of the Coastal Overlay Zone shall be made in accordance with Process Three, except that a decision on a <i>capital improvement project</i> shall be made in <u>accordance with Section 126.0707(c)</u> . The decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 112.0506.	Formatted: Font: Italic, Not Highlight
	<u>(c)</u>	A decision on an application for a <i>capital improvement project</i> shall be made as follows:	Formatted: Font: Italic
		(1) For a City-issued Coastal Development Permit in the <i>non-appealable area</i> of the Coastal Overlay Zone the decision shall be made in accordance with Process CIP- Two. The decision may be appealed to the City Council in accordance with	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, + Start at: 3 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1" + Indent at: 1.25"
		 <u>Section 112.060.</u> (2) For a City-issued Coastal Development Permit in the <i>appealable area</i> of the 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"
		<u>Coastal Overlay Zone the decision shall be made in accordance with Process CIP-</u> <u>Five.</u>	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.5" + Indent at: 1.75", Tab stops: Not at 0"
	(<u>ed</u>)	Conditions may be imposed by the decision maker when approving a Coastal Development Permit to carry out the purpose and the requirements of this division. The conditions may include a provision for public access, open space, or conservation easements or the relocation or redesign of proposed site improvements. In any <i>subdivision</i> or other land division, such conditions shall be imposed at the time of the <i>subdivision</i> or other land division, rather than through subsequent development permits. When conditions pertaining to public access, open space, or conservation easements are imposed, the City Manager shall notify the Executive Director of the Coastal Commission as set forth in Section 126.0719.	
	(<u>4e</u>)	When more than one permit, map or other approval is required for a single <i>development</i> , the applications shall be consolidated and the action of the decision maker shall be considered one consolidated action. In the Coastal Overlay Zone, the <i>findings</i> for each approval shall be consolidated and shall constitute the <i>findings</i> of the Coastal Development Permit. For decisions involving <i>coastal development</i> within the <i>appealable area</i> , the entire consolidated decision is appealable to the Coastal Commission.	
		Page 9 of 12	

Decision Process - Capital Improvement Projects	May
DRAFT	

(ef) Any *coastal development* involving a *subdivision* pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act and any other division of land requires a Coastal Development Permit. The land division shall be processed as part of the Coastal Development Permit in accordance with the Subdivision Regulations (Chapter 14, Article 4) and Subdivision Procedures (Chapter 12, Article 5). Any tentative map, lot line adjustment, merger, public right-of-way vacation or public easement abandonment may be approved or conditionally approved only if the decision maker makes the *findings* pursuant to Section 126.0708.

16, 2013

§126.0708 through §126.0724 [No change in text.]

Chapter 13: Zones Article 2: General Development Regulations Division 4: Coastal Overlay Zone

§132.0401 [No change in text.]

§132.0402 Where the Coastal Overlay Zone Applies

- (a) [No change in text.]
- (b) Table 132-04A shows the sections that contain the supplemental regulations and the type of permit required by this division, if any, for specific types of *development* proposals in this overlay zone. Coastal Development Permit procedures are provided in Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 7.

Table 132-04ACoastal Overlay Zone Applicability

Type of Development Proposal	Supplemental Development Regulations	Required Permit Type/ Decision Process
(1) through (3) [No change in text.]	[No change in text.]	
(4) Coastal development for a capital	See use and development	Coastal Development
improvement project in this overlay zone	regulations of the base zone	Permit/Process CIP-Two
that is not exempt under (1) of this table	and Chapter 14, Article 3,	
or that is not in the area described in (2)	Division 1, Environmentally	
of this table and is the non-appealable	Sensitive Lands Regulations	
(5) <i>Coastal development</i> for a <i>capital</i>	See use and development	Coastal Development
improvement project in this overlay zone	regulations of the base zone	Permit/Process CIP-Five
that is not exempt under (1) of this table	and Chapter 14, Article 3,	
or that is not in the area described in (2)	Division 1, Environmentally	
of this table and is the appealable area of this overlay zone.	Sensitive Lands Regulations	

Diagram 132-04A [No change in text.]

Chapter 14: General Regulations Article 3: Supplemental Development Regulations Division 3: Supplemental Neighborhood Development Permit and Site Development Permit Regulations

Page 10 of 12

Formatted Table Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: Italic Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Highlight Formatted: Font: Italic, Not Highlight Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Highlight Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Highlight Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Highlight Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Highlight Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Highlight

ATTACHMENT 1

ATTACHMENT 1

§143.0301 [No change in text.]

§143.0302 When Supplemental Neighborhood Development Permit and Site Development Permit Regulations Apply

This division applies to any *development* proposal for which a Neighborhood Development Permit or Site Development Permit is required as described in Sections 126.0402 and 126.0502, in accordance with Table 143-03A.

May 16, 2013

Table 143-03A Supplemental Neighborhood Development Permit or Site Development Permit Regulations Applicability

Type of <i>Development</i> Proposal	Applicable Sections	Required Development Permit/Decision Process	
Affordable/In-Fill Housing and Sustainable Building Projects with Deviations through Site Containing <i>Environmentally</i> Sensitive Lands	[No change in text.]		
Any Capital Improvement	<u>143.0101-143.0160, 143.0303,</u>		Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Project on a Site Containing	<u>143.0305, 143.0350, 143.0375,</u>	SDP/Process CIP-Two	Formatted: Font: Italic
Environmentally Sensitive Lands	<u>143.0380</u>		Formatted: Font: Italic
Site Containing Historical Resources	[No change in text.]		
Any Capital Improvement	143.0201, 143.0260, 143.0303,		Formatted Table
Project on a Site Containing	143.0305, 143.0360, 143.0375,	SDP/Process CIP-Two	Formatted: Font: Italic
<u>Historical Resources</u>	<u>143.0380</u>		
Fences or Retaining Walls Exceeding the Permitted Height through Public Improvements on More Than 3,000 Feet of Frontage or Where City Standards Do Not Apply	[No change in text.]		
<u>Capital Improvements Project on</u> <u>More Than 3,000 Feet of</u> <u>Frontage or Where City</u> <u>Standards Do Not Apply</u>	<u>142.0601-142.0670, 143.0303,</u> <u>143.0305, 143.0375,143.0380</u>	SDP/Process CIP-Two	← Formatted Table
Manufactured Slopes in Excess of 25% Gradient and 25 Feet in Height through Clairemont Mesa Height Limit Overlay Zone	[No change in text.]		

Legend to Table 143-03A		
NDP	NDP means Neighborhood Development Permit	
SDP	SDP SDP means Site Development Permit	
Page 11 of 12		

Decision Process - Capital Improvement Projects DRAFT ATTACHMENT 1

§143.0303 through §143.0380 [No change in text.]

Chapter 15: Planned Districts Article 1: Planned Districts Division 2: Permits and Procedures for Planned Districts

§151.0201 Processing of Planned District Permits

Planned district permits will be processed in accordance with the Land Development Code as follows:

May 16, 2013

(a) [No change in text.]

- (b) Where a planned district requires a discretionary planned district permit that is identified as a Process Two decision, <u>one of the following shall apply:</u>
 - (1) an An applicant shall apply for a Neighborhood Development Permit in accordance with Land Development Code Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 (General Development Permit Procedures) and Division 4 (Neighborhood Development Permit Procedures). The findings required for approval will be the general findings for Neighborhood Development Permits in Land Development Code Section 126.0404(a), any applicable supplemental findings in Section 126.0404, and any additional findings provided in the planned district.
- (c) Where a planned district requires a discretionary planned district permit that is identified as a Process Three, Process Four, or Process Five decision, an applicant shall apply for a Site Development Permit in accordance with Land Development Code Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 (General Development Permit Procedures) and Division 5 (Site Development Permit Procedures), except that a <u>capital improvement project</u>, as defined in Section 113.0103, shall be processed in accordance with Process CIP-Two. The findings required for approval will be the general findings for Site Development Permits in Land Development Code Section 126.0504(a), any applicable supplemental findings in Section 126.0504, and any additional findings provided in the planned district.

(d) and (e) [No change in text.]

\$151.0202 through \$151.0204 [No change in text.]

• >	Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Black		
	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.5" + Indent at: 1.75"		
i i i	Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Black		
•	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 2", First line: 0"		
\underline{t}	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.5" + Indent at: 1.75"		
<u> </u>	Formatted: Font: Italic		
<u>28</u>			
	Formatted: Font color: Black		
1 1			
	Formatted: Font: Italic		
in			

Page 12 of 12

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: Font color: Black

General Plan Amendments Summary

June 3, 2013

Element	Proposed General Plan Amendment	Purpose	
Land Use &Section D. Amend initiation criteria language to clarify how to hand projects that do not involve land use changes, clarify the technical amendment initiation process, allow for the administrative correction mistakes in certain circumstances, and address appeal procedures.		Clarification and clean-up.	
	Section J. Expand discussion section to provide a more in-depth legislative history of Proposition A, discuss the Environmental Tier, and provide context for multiple Proposition A implementation measures. Add new goals and policies regarding the applicability of the North City Future Urbanizing Framework Plan, and ongoing requirements of Proposition A.	Clarify the purpose, intent, and requirements of Proposition A and provide a guide to its continued implementation.	
Mobility	Introduction. Revise discussion to reflect changes that occurred in 2009 related to how the region addresses congestion management.	To provide up-to-date information.	
Economic Prosperity	Section A. Amend Policy EP-A.14 to allow for the continued operation of existing hospitals and adult education uses in Prime Industrial Lands.	Policy refinement based on experience gained through implementation.	
	Section G. Expand Community and Infrastructure Investment section to provide additional discussion and policies on community investment and revitalization tools.	To provide up-to-date information.	
	Section K. Edit Redevelopment section to provide historical information on the Redevelopment process and its demise. Cross reference to Section G – Community and Infrastructure Investment	To provide up-to-date information.	
	Section L. Edit Policy EP-L.2 to remove reference to the term "CEBA" as it is not further defined or described in the General Plan, or used in common practice. Continue to require that the information be provided.	Clarification.	

Element	Proposed General Plan Amendment	Purpose
Public Facilities, Services and Safety	Section D. Amend Fire-Rescue discussion and policies to reflect new performance measures.	In 2011 the City conducted a deployment study. The City Council adopted the study's recommendations, including new performance measures. The amendments reflect the Council-approved measures.
Recreation	Section A. Add new sub-policies RE-A.1.k and RE.A.2.d to provide the policy basis to allow for non-residential development to contribute to park and recreation facilities, when certain processes and conditions are met.	Incorporates the provisions of Council Policy 600-17; intent is to rescind the Council Policy.
	Add new sub-policies RE-A-8.d & e to ensure that parks can be accessed from a public right-of-way and to reference the "Consultants Guide to Park Design & Development."	Incorporates the provisions of Council Policy 600-11; intent is to rescind the Council Policy.
	Change in data source for Figure RE-1 – Community Plan Designated Open Space and Parks Map. The General Plan Open Space and Parks Map depicts generalized open space and park land uses in the City of San Diego. This map is intended as a representation of the distribution of open space and park lands throughout the City	At the time of General Plan adoption in 2008, the open space and parks source data was from SANDAG and an existing park land inventory. The revised version is a composite of open space and park uses that are mapped in adopted land use plans. This more accurately portrays community plan designated uses. Users are referred to adopted land use plans for more information.
Conservation	Section B. Edit pp. CE-13, 14, 35, & 36. Add text regarding the Vernal Pool Habitat Conservation Plan (VPHCP).	To provide up-to-date information (must be timed to follow VPHCP adoption).
Noise	Section A. Edit Noise Element Table NE-3, to adjust noise level compatibility for parks and religious assembly. Use land use terms that are consistent with the Land Development Code and reference LDC Chapter 13, use regulation tables. Propose an alternative method of measuring noise levels in parks. New Policy NE-B.9 to address park planning with respect to noise. New	Helps support urban park development and recognizes current ambient noise conditions. Addresses differences in ALUCP noise requirements. Proposed park/noise levels are consistent with State of California General Plan

Element	Proposed General Plan Amendment	Purpose	
	Section D discussion text and Policy NE-D.7 to acknowledge that noise policies in Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans (ALUCP) may be more restrictive than what is shown on Table NE-3.	Guidelines and most major California cities. Maintains policy support to plan for quieter parks.	
		The change to religious assembly uses is to be consistent with how other assembly uses are treated.	

Land Use and Community Planning Element

D. Plan Amendment Process

Goals: No changes

Discussion

No changes to 1st two paragraphs.

Initiation of Privately-Proposed Plan Amendments

The City is <u>one of few unique among</u> jurisdictions in that the process to amend the General Plan requires either Planning Commission or City Council initiation <u>of a plan amendment</u> before the <u>a</u> <u>privately-proposed</u> plan amendment process and accompanying project may actually proceed. The initiation process has been in effect since 1986 in response to intense development activity in the 1979 Progress Guide & General Plan's "Planned Urbanizing Area." The process was first placed in Council Policy 600-35 which also required "batching" of privately-proposed community plan amendments. Subsequently it was moved to the Land Development Code prior to being moved into the 2008 General Plan.

While <u>the initiation it</u> is the first point of consideration by a decision-maker (the Planning Commission or City Council), it is a limited decision. It is neither an approval nor denial of the plan amendment and accompanying development proposal. <u>(Occasionally, privately-initiated</u> some plan amendments are presented without a development proposal, <u>if an applicant wants to see</u> if the initiation will be approved prior to submitting a project.) The purpose of the hearing is not to discuss the details of the development proposal, but rather focus upon the more fundamental question of whether the proposed change to the General Plan is worthy of further analysis based upon compliance with the initiation criteria (provided below).

Although applicants have the right to submit amendment requests to the City, not all requests merit study and consideration by City staff and the decision-makers. The initiation process allows for the City to deny an application for amendment if it is clearly inconsistent with the major goals and policies of the General Plan. Most importantly, the initiation process allows for early public knowledge and involvement in the process as a whole. Additionally, the Planning Commission has the opportunity to advise City staff to evaluate specific factors during the processing of the proposed plan amendment.

City-Proposed Plan Amendments

Most City-proposed plan amendments occur through established work programs and do not undergo an initiation process. However, initiation is still required for land use designation amendments to allow an opportunity for an early input from the Planning Commission or City Council, the recognized community planning group for the area, and the broader public.

Technical Amendment Initiation Process

This process was established to correct errors or omissions, or to benefit the public health, safety and welfare as expeditiously as possible. In this narrowly-constructed process, the decision to initiate is a staff-level one; however the actual plan amendment process is the same as for privately-proposed plan amendments. Origination is typically based on City identification of an issue, however a request may be considered from a private party.

Public Hearing Process for Plan Amendments

After initiation, a plan amendment may be processed and brought forward to public hearing, subject to the permit processing, environmental review, and public hearing procedures specified in the Land Development Code. The Planning Commission and the City Council will consider the factors as described in LU-D.10 and LU-D.13 in making a determination to approve or deny the proposed amendment during the public hearings.

The post-initiation process for City-proposed land use plan amendments is identical to that for privately-proposed amendments. Where an amendment is community-specific, City staff will work with the affected community. When an amendment addresses a citywide issue or has larger-area implications, City staff will work with multiple communities or the Community Planners Committee, and the Planning Commission during the review and hearing process

Policies

Land Use Plan Amendment

LU-D.1.- D.2 no changes

LU-D.3. Evaluate all <u>privately-proposed</u> plan amendment requests through the plan amendment initiation process and present the proposal to the Planning Commission or City Council for consideration.

LU-D.4.-D.5 no changes

Technical Amendment Initiation

- LU-D.6. no changes
- LU-D.7. Subject technical amendments to the <u>same post-initiation processing</u>, <u>review</u>, <u>and</u> <u>input procedures identified in the General Plan Amendment Manual</u>. <u>that are required</u> for privately-proposed plan amendments, except where there is an obvious mistake that can be corrected by reference to City Council approved documents on file, or by reference to the legislative record.

Criteria for Initiation of Amendments

LU-D.8. Require that General Plan and community plan amendment initiations (except those determined to be technical as specified in LU-D.6) be decided by the Planning Commission with the ability for the applicant to submit a request to the City Clerk for the City Council to consider the initiation if it is denied. <u>The applicant must file the appeal with the City Clerk within 10 business days of the Planning Commission denial.</u>

LU-D.9.- D.14 No changes

J. Proposition A – The Managed Growth Initiative (1985)

Goals

- Future growth and development that is consistent with current land use intensity or that is subject to a "phase shift" process to approve increased intensity.
- Continued adherence to the North City Future Urbanizing Area (NCFUA) Framework Plan and other adopted subarea plans.

Discussion

The 1979 Progress Guide and General Plan

The 1979 Progress Guide and General Plan (1979 General Plan) included Guidelines for Future Development that divided the city into three planning areas, or tiers, for the purposes of managing growth: Urbanized, Planned Urbanizing, and Future Urbanizing. Growth was to be directed to the Urbanized (developed) communities as infill development, and to the Planned Urbanizing Areas where comprehensive community plans were to be developed. The Future Urbanizing Area was set aside as an urban reserve. Major objectives of the growth management system were to prevent premature urban development, conserve open space and natural environmental features, and protect the fiscal resources of the City by precluding costly sprawl and/or leapfrog urban development.

To help implement the growth strategy embodied in the tier system, the City adopted a series of Council Policies, including two in1981 that played key roles in development timing and phasing: 600-29 "Maintenance of Future Urbanizing Areas as an Urban Reserve," and 600-30 "General Plan Amendments to Shift Land from Future Urbanizing to Planned Urbanizing Area".

During the 1980s, it became apparent that the objectives of maintaining an urban reserve were being jeopardized through incremental approvals of General Plan amendments to shift land from Future Urbanizing to Planned Urbanizing. These approvals reduced the City's opportunities to plan for the area comprehensively and to provide a viable open space network for conservation of natural resources. In response to citizen concerns, in 1983 the City strengthened Council Policy 600-30 by adding a "Threshold Determination" which was a two-step process to evaluate the need of a phase shift by analyzing the need for developable land and the fiscal and environmental impacts of proposed shifts.

The Managed Growth Initiative

The public remained concerned with the extent of phase shifts that were occurring and, in 1985, the electorate approved Proposition A, The Managed Growth Initiative. This initiative amended the 1979 General Plan to state that: "no property shall be changed from the "future urbanizing" land use designation in the Progress Guide and General Plan to any other land use designation, and the provisions restricting development in the future urbanizing area shall not be amended except by majority vote of the people..." In addition to restrictions on land use designation changes, Proposition A (Section 3, Implementation) directed the City to implement the proposition by taking actions "including but not limited to adoption and implementation on any amendments to the General Plan and zoning ordinance or City Code reasonably necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this initiative measure." A comprehensive package of legislative and regulatory actions implementing Proposition A was adopted by the City Council in 1990, including amendments to: the 1979 General Plan Guidelines for Future Development; Council Policy 600-29 "Maintenance of Future Urbanizing Area as an Urban Reserve"; and zoning regulations for Planned Residential Developments, A-1 zones, and Conditional Use Permits. The full text of Proposition A is included in Appendix B.

Land Use Policy Development Following the Passage of Proposition A

Proposition A was effective in insuring that full evaluation of general plan amendments proposing phase shifts on individual properties would occur. However, the opportunity to comprehensively plan the urban reserve was in jeopardy due to approvals of residential subdivisions at rural densities consistent with existing Agriculture zones and Proposition A. As a result, a public planning process took place and the City adopted the North City Future Urbanizing Area Framework Plan (NCFUA) in1992. This plan established the vision for the City's 12,000 acre northern urban reserve and identified five subareas where more detailed land use, transportation and open space planning was to occur. It also called for the establishment of an interconnected open space system that would comprise a new "Environmental Tier" of the General Plan.

The NCFUA Framework Plan is still in effect for Subarea II. Additional planning took place in the remaining subareas resulting in voter-approved phase shifts for property within Black Mountain Ranch (Subarea I), Pacific Highlands Ranch (Subarea III), and Torrey Highlands (Subarea IV); and a specific plan for Del Mar Mesa (NCFUA Subarea V) that limits residential development to rural densities and identifies MSCP core habitat area for conservation, without processing a phase shift.

The NCFUA encompasses about one-quarter of all non-shifted acres. Other planning areas that contain Proposition A lands are: Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve; Tijuana River Valley; Rancho Encantada; and the San Pasqual Valley. The City, in collaboration with landowners and other agencies, completed additional planning efforts to address land use in the Future Urbanizing Area, including:

General Plan Amendments Errata Sheet 6/3/13 Draft

- a comprehensive update to the San Pasqual Valley Plan that calls for preservation of the valley for agricultural, open space, and habitat uses;
- the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) and associated preserve system that encompassed much of the land called out as a part of the potential "environmental tier"
- the San Dieguito River Park Concept Plan; and
- open space and habitat preservation actions in the Tijuana River Valley.

Proposed "environmental tier" lands have become protected through the MSCP, dedications or easements, or through Open Space land use designation. In addition, Environmentally Sensitive Lands regulations and new open space zoning tools were added to the Land Development Code. While the "Environmental Tier" was not formally added to the General Plan, the MSCP and the Environmentally Sensitive Lands regulations have become the primary means of implementing the Environmental Tier concept and protecting open space lands.

The two remaining areas of Proposition A lands shown on Figure LU-4 are Military Use Facilities and County lands (both County Islands and Prospective Annexation Areas). Since military lands are not presently subject to the City's land use authority, the City has chosen to follow the development intensity restrictions and the requirements for a vote of the people to approve an amendment to shift the area from Proposition A lands upon receipt of jurisdiction of former military installations. County lands that have not been annexed into the City are unlikely to do so in the future. However, the annexation evaluation criteria required through the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) process appropriately address the future land use and impact on City services issues that are key to the City's desire to annex.

By 2005, phase shifts, per Proposition A and the 1979 General Plan, have occurred for the land determined to be appropriate for more urban levels of development within the planning horizon of this General Plan. Completion of these large-scale comprehensive planning efforts and public land acquisition of open space has changed the planning focus in the remaining undeveloped Proposition A lands from maintaining an urban reserve for future growth to implementing NCFUA and General Plan policies for natural resource conservation, public recreation, and protection of agriculture and open space lands. Proposition A lands also include military and other lands not subject to the City's jurisdiction. In the past, the City Council has chosen to follow the development intensity restrictions and the requirement for a vote of the people to approve an amendment to shift the area from Future to Planned Urbanizing Area as specified in Proposition A, upon receipt of jurisdiction over former military installations.

As described_<u>previously</u>, the phased development areas system has, for the most part, become an outdated system to address future growth and development. The City has grown into a jurisdiction with primarily two tiers, <u>(see Figure LU-4</u>, Proposition A Lands Map):

• Proposition A Lands (Managed Growth Initiative) Lands as previously defined) characterized by very low-density, residential, open space, natural resource-based park, and agricultural uses; and • Urbanized Lands – characterized by older, recently developed, and developing communities at urban and suburban levels of density and intensity.

<u>By As of</u> 2006, communities formerly known as Planned Urbanizing were largely completed according to the adopted community plan, and of that group, the oldest were beginning to experience limited redevelopment on smaller sites. For information on how the tier system was linked to public facilities financing, see the Public Facilities Element Introduction and Section A.

Policies

- LU-J.1. Identify non-phase shifted lands as Proposition A lands and no longer refer to them as Future Urbanizing Area.
- LU-J.2. Follow a public planning and voter approval process consistent with the provisions of this Land Use Element for reuse planning of additional military lands identified as Proposition A lands, and other areas if and when they become subject to the City's jurisdiction.
- <u>LU-J.3.</u> In accordance with Proposition A, require an amendment to the General Plan for development proposals in Proposition A lands that result in an intensification of use.
- LU-J.4.In accordance with Proposition A, require a majority vote of the people voting at a
City-wide election for amendments to the General Plan text and maps that affect
Proposition A lands except for amendments that are neutral or make the designation
more restrictive in terms of permitting development-

Mobility Element

Introduction

- 1st four paragraphs: No changes.
- 5th paragraph –reformat bullets and edit text as follows:

The Mobility Element is part of a larger body of plans and programs that guide the development and management of our transportation system. The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), prepared and adopted by the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), is the region's long-range mobility plan. The RTP plans for and identifies projects for multiple modes of transportation in order to achieve a balanced regional system. It establishes the basis for state funding of local and regional transportation projects, and is a prerequisite for federal funding. General Plan Amendments Errata Sheet 6/3/13 Draft

SANDAG prioritizes and allocates the expenditure of regional, state and federal transportation funds to implement RTP projects. In order to meet federal congestion management requirements, the 2050 RTP includes: performance monitoring and measurement of the regional transportation system, multimodal alternatives and non-single occupancy vehicle analysis, land use impact analysis, the provision of congestion management tools, and integration with the regional transportation improvement program (RTIP). The RTIP, also prepared by SANDAG, identifies RTP highway, arterial, transit, and bikeway projects that are planned for implementation over the next five years.

• The region's Congestion Management Program (CMP), also prepared by SANDAG, serves as a short-term element of the RTP. It focuses on actions that can be implemented in advance of the longer-range transportation solutions contained within the RTP. The CMP establishes programs for mitigating the traffic impacts of new development and monitoring the performance of system roads relative to Level of Service (LOS) standards. It links land use, transportation, and air quality concerns.

The Mobility Element and, the RTP and the CMP all <u>both</u> highlight the importance of integrating transportation and land use planning decisions, and using multi-modal strategies to reduce congestion and increase travel choices. However, the Mobility Element more specifically plans for the City of San Diego's transportation goals and needs. The City recognizes that regional planning necessitates close working relationships between City and SANDAG planners and that optimum transportation infrastructure planning must be coordinated through state agencies such as Caltrans. To this end, staff participation on SANDAG advisory committees is critical. The Mobility Element, Section K, and Public Facilities Element, Section B, contain policies on how to work effectively with SANDAG to help ensure that City of San Diego transportation priorities are implemented.

Economic Prosperity Element

- A. Industrial Land Use
- Goals: No changes
- Discussion: No changes
- EP-A.1-A.13 No changes
- EP-A.14. In areas identified as Prime Industrial Land as shown on Figure EP-1, <u>the following</u> uses may be considered and allowed under certain conditions:
 - a. <u>C</u>ehild care facilities for employees' children, as an ancillary use to industrial uses on a site, <u>may be considered and allowed</u> when they: are sited at a demonstrably adequate distance from the property line, so as not to limit the current or future

operations of any adjacent industrially-designated property; can assure that health and safety requirements are met in compliance with required permits; and are not precluded by the applicable Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.

- a.b.Existing hospitals previously approved through Conditional Use Permits (CUPs), provided that no new day care or long-term care facilities are established.
- c. Existing colleges and universities, previously approved through CUPs, provided that the facilities are for adult education and do not include day care facilities.

G. Community and Infrastructure Investment

Goals: No changes

Discussion

Capital is necessary for communities, small businesses, and industries to grow, improve productivity, and compete. The City, with the assistance of state and federal programs, invests in communities and provides assistance to small business and targeted base sector industries. These public investments leverage private investments many times over, to the benefit of San Diego's economic prosperity. Access to public and private capital is important for all communities within the City, without discrimination.

A city's most important investment in support of economic prosperity is its investment in infrastructure, particularly infrastructure that helps communities and base sector industries become more productive, leverages private investment, and help direct investment to areas with the greatest needs or potential benefits.

Some of San Diego's older core communities and suburbs need further investment and revitalization. These areas may have issues related to must address vacant and underutilized properties, aging infrastructure, and economic activity that should be addressed. There are existing local, state and federal programs and incentives designed to spur revitalization, and work continues on new strategies and partnerships to achieve community goals.

Policies

- EP-G.1. No changes
- EP-G.2. Prioritize economic development efforts to attract and induce investment in local businesses throughout the City.
 - a. Foster economic development in economically distressed communities using the incentives of the City's development programs that include business improvement districts, the Enterprise Zone, and the Foreign Trade Zone.

- a.b. Assist existing business owners in accessing programs that can provide financial assistance and business consulting services. Such programs include Small Business Administration loans, façade renovation, and <u>Community Development Block Grant (-CDBG)</u>redevelopment assistance.
- <u>c.b.</u>Expand small business assistance to include direct or referred technical and financial assistance for small emerging technology firms and firms involved in international trade.
- <u>d.</u>e.Pursue public/private partnerships to provide incubation spaces for small business.

<u>e.d.</u> Enhance funding opportunities for local businesses by supporting communitybased lending initiatives and equity programs

- EP-G.3.- G.5 No changes
- EP-G.6 Partner with other municipalities, school districts, and other public or non-profit agencies, whenever possible, to achieve General Plan and community plan goals.
- EP-G.7. Eliminate or minimize land use conflicts that pose a significant hazard to human health and safety.
- EP-G.8. Minimize displacement of existing residents, businesses, and uses. Those displaced should have adequate access to institutions, employment and services.
- EP-G.9 Work closely with the Workforce Investment Board, school districts, and job training/placement providers to facilitate employment opportunities for San Diego residents created through the City's economic development efforts. Support education and training programs which improve the quality of San Diego's labor force and coordinate these efforts with economic development activities to ensure that unemployed, underemployed and disadvantaged San Diegans find jobs.
- EP-G.10Utilize existing tools and zones for revitalization that include the Capital Improvement
Program, Infrastructure Financing Districts, Business Improvement Districts,
Maintenance Assessment Districts, Community Facilities Districts, and conduit
revenue bond financing for industrial development.
- <u>EP-G.11</u> Pursue new tools, programs, and funding mechanisms for continued community revitalization and economic development.

K. Redevelopment

Goal

• A city which redevelops and revitalizes areas which were blighted, to a condition of social, economic, and physical vitality consistent with community plan.

Discussion

Within the State of California Redevelopment wasis a state enabled legal process and financial tool that assisteds in the elimination of blight from designated areas. through new development, infrastructure, public spaces and facilities, reconstruction, and rehabilitation. It provideds cities and counties with a powerful tool to address deteriorating conditions of slum and blight within older urbanized areas of their jurisdictions. The Redevelopment Agency of the City (Former RDA) operated between was established in 1958 and 20142, and managed 144 adopted project areas to alleviate conditions of blight, increase housing opportunities, and promote economic development. The City Council also established two public corporations, the Centre City Development Corporation and the Southeastern Economic Development Corporation, to manage redevelopment and economic development projects and activities within specific geographic areas. In 2011, the State Legislature dissolved all redevelopment agencies. In February 2012, the City of San Diego's Former RDA dissolved, and its rights, powers, duties and obligations vested in the Successor Agency. The Successor Agency, Civic San Diego, and its Oversight Board oversee the winding down of the Former RDA operations that include enforceable and recognized obligation payments. Civic San Diego is a nonprofit public benefit corporation wholly owned by the City of San Diego with the mission of planning and permitting downtown, administering the downtown parking district program, managing public improvement, affordable housing, and public-private partnership projects of the City's former Redevelopment Agency, and other responsibilities as determined by the City Council. Future state legislation could implement programs that replicate some of the redevelopment agencies' activities. Refer to Section G for applicable policies for revitalization.

based on California Community Redevelopment Law (CCRL), Health & Safety Code, § 33000, et. seq.

Redevelopment plans define the boundaries of the project area and provide a general description of the projects to be implemented therein. The redevelopment plan adoption process is prescribed by CCRL and provides for substantial citizen participation. Redevelopment plans must conform to the General Plan and respective community plan(s). Project areas are predominantly urbanized and exhibit conditions of both physical and economic blight. "Predominantly urbanized" is defined as developed, vacant parcels that are an integral part of and surrounded by urban uses, and irregular subdivided lots in multiple ownership that cannot be properly used. Blight covers conditions that constitute a serious physical and economic burden on the community, which the community cannot reasonably be expected to be reversed, or alleviated, by private enterprise or government action, or both, without redevelopment. The CCRL defines the various conditions of physical and economic blight which include unsafe or unhealthy buildings, substandard design, lack of parking, incompatible uses, and subdivided lots of irregular form and shape, and inadequate size for proper usefulness and development that are in multiple ownership.

Redevelopment project areas are frequently proposed as a tool for community revitalization.

There are potential social costs, as well as benefits, associated with redevelopment. Social costs can include displacement of residents and businesses, while social benefits may include new employment opportunities, affordable housing, improved physical appearance, new or renovated public facilities, and increased community pride. Per the CCRL, the Redevelopment Agency is required to assist with the relocation of any persons or businesses that are displaced. Implementation of redevelopment projects typically occurs over a number of decades and the revitalization that redevelopment is intended to spark may take several years. Adoption of a redevelopment plan allows the Agency to utilize a variety of extraordinary financial and legal tools, such as tax increment financing, owner participation agreements, eminent domain, and affordable housing requirements, in promoting sustainable development in the community.

Policies

- EP-K.1. Support the use of redevelopment in conjunction with input from the respective communities, subject to public hearings and approvals by the City Council, for those urbanized areas meeting the requirements of California Community Redevelopment Law (CCRL).
- EP-K.2. Establish project areas that are large enough to create critical mass and generate sufficient tax increment to stimulate successful redevelopment activities over the life of the redevelopment plan and achieve long term community objectives.
- EP K.3. Use tax increment funds for projects and associated infrastructure improvements that will stimulate future tax increment growth within the project areas that are consistent with the respective five year implementation plans.
- EP-K.4. Redevelop assisted affordable housing investment within the same redevelopment project area, or in close proximity to, where the tax increment is generated, only to the degree that such affordable housing is not over concentrated in particular areas.
- EP-K.5. Ensure the timely provision of affordable housing with all redevelopment assisted residential and mixed use development projects.
- EP-K.6. Partner with other municipalities, school districts, and other public or non-profit agencies, whenever possible, to achieve General Plan, redevelopment, and community plan goals.
- L. Economic Information, Monitoring, and Strategic Initiatives

Goal: No changes

Discussion: No changes

Policies

EP-L.1. No changes

EP-L.2. <u>Require submittal of Prepare a Community and Economic Benefit Assessment</u> (CEBA) process focusing on economic and fiscal impact information for significant community plan amendments involving land use or intensity revisions. A determination of whether a this information CEBA is required for community plan amendments will be made when the community plan amendment is initiated.

EP-L.3.- L.5 No changes

Public Facilities, Services and Safety Element

D. Fire-Rescue

Goal: No changes

Discussion

Historically, the primary mission of the fire service was limited to fire protection. Over the past two decades the fire service's mission has expanded both locally and nationally to include the management and mitigation of broader hazards and risks to public safety. This expansion included the delivery of medical advanced life support services through a comprehensive firstresponder paramedic system. In conjunction with a contracted medical transportation provider, the Fire-Rescue department has provided a system of care utilizing parametrics on first responder apparatus as well as ambulances. . Over the past two decades the fire service's mission has expanded both locally and nationally. In 1997 the San Diego Medical Services Enterprise limited liability corporation was formed, through a partnership between the City and Rural/Metro Corporation, to deliver paramedic services citywide. This program utilizes paramedics on the first responder apparatus as well as the ambulance units. In addition to the wide variety of traditional fire suppression services such as structural, airport, marine, and vegetation firefighting, today's services include Emergency Medical Services (EMS), water rescue, hazardous material response, confined space rescue, cliff rescue, high angle rescue, mass casualty incidents, and response to terrorism and weapons of mass destruction. Figure PF-3, Fire and Lifeguard Facilities, illustrates the location of fire stations and permanent lifeguard towers. The fire service is also responsible for hazard prevention and public safety education.

Due to climate, topography, and native vegetation, the City is subject to both wildland and urban fires. In 2003 and 2007, the City experienced wildland fires that resulted in the loss of structures and significant burned acreage.

The extended droughts characteristic of the region's Mediterranean climate and increasingly severe dry periods associated with global warming results in large areas of dry, native vegetation that provides fuel for wildland fires. The most critical times of year for wildland fires are late summer and fall when Santa Ana winds bring hot, dry desert air into the region. The air temperature quickly dries vegetation, thereby increasing the amount of natural fuel. The Santa

General Plan Amendments Errata Sheet 6/3/13 Draft

Ana conditions create wind-driven fires such as 2003 and 2007 wildfires, which require a huge number of assets, more than the City has available.

Development pressures increase the threat of wildland fire on human populations and property as development is located adjacent to areas of natural vegetation. The City contains over 900 linear miles of wildland/urban interface due to established development along the open space areas and canyons. In 2005, the brush management regulations were updated to require 100 foot defensible space between structures and native wildlands (see also Conservation Element, policy CE-B.6 on the management of the urban/wildland interface and Urban Design Element, policy UD-A.3.p on the design of structures adjacent to open space).

The San Diego-Fire Rescue Department is responsible for the preparation, maintenance, and execution of Fire Preparedness and Management Plans and participates in multi-jurisdictional disaster preparedness efforts (see also PF Section P). In the event of a large wildfire within or threatening City limits, they could be assisted by <u>state and federal agencies, or other</u> jurisdictions.the California Department of Forestry, Federal Fire Department, or other local fire department jurisdictions.

The City is challenged with meeting current and future public facilities needs, as well as covering operations and maintenance costs for each new or expanded facility. Generally, operations and maintenance issues are addressed as part of the initial phase in developing specific Capital Improvement Projects and within the annual operating budget development once the facility is under construction. The Public Facilities Financing Strategy is being developed to address the funding of operations and maintenance and identify major revenue options. In addition, during community plan updates, fiscal impact analyses will be prepared which compare annual revenues against costs.

The few remaining newly developing areas of the City often present challenges associated with proper site location, funding of fire stations, and timing of development. In redeveloping communities, funding and site locations for new or expanded facilities also require great effort and coordination. Typically a two to two and one-half_three_mile distance between fire stations is sufficient to achieve response time objectives. The natural environment throughout the City presents considerable demands on fire-rescue services under various conditions and can also affect response times. For additional support, City forces rely on numerous Automatic Aid agreements with jurisdictions adjoining the City. These agreements assure that the closest engine company responds to a given incident regardless of which jurisdiction they represent. Mutual Aid agreements with county, state, and federal government agencies further allow the City, and any other participating agency, to request additional resources depending on the complexity and needs of a given incident.

Suburban residential development patterns and anticipated future infill development throughout the City will place an increasing demand on the capabilities of fire-rescue resources to deliver an acceptable level of emergency service. Service delivery depends on the availability of adequate equipment, sufficient numbers of qualified personnel, effective alarm/monitoring systems, and proper siting of fire stations and lifeguard towers. As fire-rescue facilities built in the 1950s and

equipment continue to age, new investments must be made to support growth patterns and maintain levels of service to ensure public safety.

In 2011 the City undertook a Fire Service Standards of Deployment Study to analyze existing performance measures and to make recommendations on alternative deployment and staffing models. The City Council adopted the study's recommendations, including new performance measures, as a framework to address the Fire-Rescue Department's current and projected needs. The recommendations take into account the challenges posed by San Diego's topography and road network, and the wide range of firefighting, other emergency response, and rescue risks that are present in the City.

The Council also adopted an implementation plan to help make progress toward meeting the desired level of emergency service standards.

In order to meet National Fire Protection Association 1710 standards for emergency response times and to assure adequate emergency response coverage, the Fire-Rescue Department has The plan identifiesd the need to construct additional fire stations and to provide other enhancements in several presently underserved communities. Full implementation of the Deployment Study is expected to take multiple years and is dependent on identifying revenues for operating and capital costs. The new performance measures are provided in Tables PF-D.1 and 2, and in Policies PF-D.1 and D.2, below. Evaluation of the need for additional new fire stations and fire station remodels will occur through community plan updates and amendments as needed.

The Fire Station Master Plan (FSMP) has been developed to assure levels of service standards are attained for existing development and as future development occurs. The FSMP has identified the communities in which fire stations are needed and has prioritized implementation based on the following risk assessment criteria: Response Time Compliance, Annual Incident Response Volume, Square Miles Protected and Firefighter to 1,000 Population.

Policies

- PF-D.1. Locate, staff, and equip fire stations to meet established response times as follows:.
 - a) To treat medical patients and control small fires, the first-due unit should arrive within 7.5 minutes, 90 percent of the time from the receipt of the 911 call in fire dispatch. This equates to 1-minute dispatch time, 1.5 minutes company turnout time and 5 minutes drive time in the most populated areas.
 - b) To provide an effective response force for serious emergencies, a multiple-unit response of at least 17 personnel should arrive within 10.5 minutes from the time of 911-call receipt in fire dispatch, 90 percent of the time.

General Plan Amendments Errata Sheet 6/3/13 Draft

- This response is designed to confine fires near the room of origin, to stop wildland fires to under 3 acres when noticed promptly, and to treat up to 5 medical patients at once,
- This equates to 1-minute dispatch time, 1.5 minutes company turnout time and 8 minutes drive time spacing for multiple units in the most populated areas.
- . Response time objectives are based on national standards. Add one minute for turnout time to all response time objectives on all incidents.
 - Total response time for deployment and arrival of the first in engine company for fire suppression incidents should be within four minutes 90 percent of the time.
 - Total response time for deployment and arrival of the full first alarm assignment for fire suppression incidents should be within eight minutes 90 percent of the time.
 - Total response time for the deployment and arrival of first responder or higher level capability at emergency medical incidents should be within four minutes 90 percent of the time.
 - Total response time for deployment and arrival of a unit with advanced life support (ALS) capability at emergency medical incidents, where this service is provided by the City, should be within eight minutes 90 percent of the time.

TABLE PF-D.1 Deployment Measures for San Diego City Growth

By Population Density Per Square Mile

	Structure Fire Urban <u>Area</u>	<u>Structure</u> <u>Fire Rural</u> <u>Area</u>	<u>Structure</u> <u>Fire Remote</u> <u>Area</u>	<u>Wildfires</u> <u>Populated Areas</u>
	<u>≥1,000-</u> people/sq. <u>mi.</u>	<u>1,000 to</u> <u>500</u> people/sq. <u>mi.</u>	<u>500 to 50</u> people/sq. <u>mi. *</u>	<u>Permanent open</u> <u>space areas</u>
<u>1st Due Travel Time</u>	<u>5 minutes</u>	<u>12 minutes</u>	<u>20 minutes</u>	<u>10 minutes</u>
<u>Total Reflex* Time</u>	<u>7.5 minutes</u>	<u>14.5 minutes</u>	<u>22.5 minutes</u>	<u>12.5 minutes</u>
<u>1st Alarm Travel Time</u>	<u>8 minutes</u>	<u>16 minutes</u>	<u>24 minutes</u>	<u>15 minutes</u>
1 st Alarm Total Reflex*	<u>10.5 minutes</u>	<u>18.5 minutes</u>	<u>26.5 minutes</u>	<u>17.5 minutes</u>

*Reflex time is the total time from receipt of a 9-1-1 call to arrival of the required number of emergency units.

- PF-D.2. Determine fire station needs, location, crew size and timing of implementation as the community grows.
 - a) Use the fire unit deployment performance measures (based on population density zones) shown in Table PF-D.1 to plan for needed facilities. Where more than one square mile is not populated at similar densities, and/or a contiguous area with different zoning types aggregates into a population "cluster," use the measures provided in Table PF-D.2.
 - b) Revise community plans and facilities financing plans as a part of community plan updates and amendments to reflect needed facilities.

Deploy to advance life support emergency responses EMS personnel including a minimum of two members trained at the emergency medical technician-paramedic level and two members trained at the emergency medical technician-basic level arriving on scene within the established response time as follows:

Total response time for deployment and arrival of EMS first responder with Automatic External Defibrillator (AED) should be within four minutes to 90 percent of the incidents; and

Total response time for deployment and arrival of EMS for providing advanced life support should be within eight minutes to 90 percent of the incidents.

TABLE PF-D.2 Deployment Measures for San Diego City Growth

By Population Clusters

Area	Aggregate Population	<u>First-Due Unit Travel Time</u> <u>Goal</u>	
Metropolitan	<u>> 200,000 people</u>	<u>4 minutes</u>	
Urban-Suburban	<u>< 200,000 people</u>	<u>5 minutes</u>	
<u>Rural</u>	<u>500 - 1,000 people</u>	<u>12 minutes</u>	
Remote	<u>< 500</u>	> 15 minutes	

- PF-D.3. Adopt, <u>Mm</u>onitor, and maintain <u>adopted</u> service delivery objectives based on time standards for all fire, rescue, emergency response, and lifeguard services.
- PF-D.4. Provide a <u>minimum</u> 3/4-acre fire station site area and allow room for station expansion with additional considerations:

- Consider the inclusion of fire station facilities in villages or development projects as an alternative method to the acreage guideline;
- Acquire adjacent sites that would allow for station expansion as opportunities allow; and
- Gain greater utility of fire facilities by pursuing joint use opportunities such as community meeting rooms or collocating with police, libraries, or parks where appropriate.

PF-D.5.- D. 11 No changes

Recreation Element

A. Park and Recreation Guidelines

Policies

Park Planning

RE-A.1. Develop a citywide Parks Master Plan through a public process.

- a. -j No changes.
- <u>k.</u> Develop a policy on non-residential development contributions to park and recreation facilities. See Policy RE-A.2.d.
- RE-A.2. Use community plan updates to further refine citywide park and recreation land use policies consistent with the Parks Master Plan.

a.- c No changes.

- d. Evaluate whether non-residential development benefits from park and recreation facilities, on a community basis. Where a benefit can be demonstrated, include a policy in the community plan, or in a citywide Park Master Plan, that nonresidential development should contribute to the cost of park and recreation facilities. In order to adopt and implement such a policy there must be:
 - A determination that the non-residential development would create an impact to park and recreation infrastructure, and would benefit from improvements to such infrastructure;
 - A nexus study that provides justification for the proposed sharing of facilities costs between residential and non-residential uses, and identifies which costs will be shared; and

• <u>A fee established that equitably reflects the proportions of the population-</u> based costs to be shared by residential and non-residential development.

RE-A.3.- RE-A.7 No changes.

Park Standards

- RE-A.8. Provide population-based parks at a minimum ratio of 2.8 useable acres per 1,000 residents (see also Table RE-2, Parks Guidelines).
 - a. -c: No changes
 - d. Ensure that parks can be accessed from a public right-of-way.
 - e. Adhere to the "Consultant's Guide to Park Design & Development" maintained by the Park and Recreation Department.

Conservation Element

B. Open Space and Landform Preservation

Goal

• Preservation and long-term management of the natural landforms and open spaces that help make San Diego unique.

Discussion

Open space may be defined as land or water areas that are undeveloped, generally free from development or developed with low-intensity uses that respect natural environmental characteristics and are compatible with open space use. Open space may have utility for: primarily passive park and recreation; conservation of land, water, or other natural biological resources; historic or scenic purposes; visual relief; or landform preservation. San Diego's many canyons, valleys, mesas, hillsides, beaches, and other landforms create a unique setting that fosters biodiversity, a sense of place, and recreational opportunities. Designated parks and open spaces are shown on the General Plan Land Use and Street System Map (see also Land Use Element, Figure LU-2).

San Diego has a long history of planning for open space preservation and protection, including:

- 1868 The City Board of Trustees set land aside for a City park, later named Balboa Park.
- 1908 John Nolen's comprehensive plan for San Diego called for development to conform to and respect the natural environment.
- 1972 The City amended the City Charter Section 103.1a to establish the Environmental Growth Fund, two-thirds of which is to be used to pay principle and interest on bonds

issued for the acquisition of open space lands, with the remainder to be used to preserve and enhance the environment of the City.

- 1978 San Diego voters approved Proposition C which authorized the sale of bonds to purchase open space.
- 1979 The Progress Guide and General Plan, Open Space Element called for providing an open space system.
- 1987 The City's Residential Growth Management Program included a policy recommendation to allow topography and environmentally sensitive lands to define the City's urban form.
- 1997 The Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) was adopted to preserve and manage sensitive species at the ecosystem level through habitat protection.
- 2013 The Vernal Pool Habitat Conservation Plan (VPHCP) was adopted to provide comprehensive preservation and management of vernal pools and seven state and federal threatened and endangered vernal pool species.

The City's Environmentally Sensitive Lands (ESL) regulations help protect, preserve, and restore lands containing steep hillsides, sensitive biological resources, coastal beaches, sensitive coastal bluffs, or Special Flood Hazard Areas. The intent of the ESL regulations is to assure that development occurs in a manner that protects the overall quality of the resources, encourages a sensitive form of development, retains biodiversity and interconnected habitats, maximizes physical and visual public access to and along the shoreline, and reduces hazards due to flooding in specific areas while minimizing the need for construction of flood control facilities. Steep hillsides are shown on Figure CE-1, Steep Slopes and 200 Foot Contours.

The development regulations and guidelines for environmentally sensitive lands also serve to implement the MSCP and VPHCP by placing priority on the preservation of biological resources within the Multi-Habitat Planning Area (MHPA) (see Figure CE-2b, Multi-Habitat Planning Area) and the Vernal Pool Preserve Area (see Figure CE-3, Vernal Pool Preserve Area). The goal of the MSCP and VPHCP is to achieve a sustainable balance between species preservation and smart growth by identifying areas for habitat/species protection (within the MHPA_and Vernal Pool Preserve Area) and areas for development (outside the MHPA_and Vernal Pool Preserve Area), as further discussed in Sections G_and H.

Add Figure CE-2.b

The City's parks, open space, trails and pedestrian linkages are part of an integrated system that connect with regional and state resources and provide opportunities for residents and visitors to experience San Diego's open spaces. The Recreation Element describes the attributes of designated and dedicated park and open space lands for the provision of outdoor recreation. Some important open space areas are not preserved as dedicated park land, but are protected through regulations or other private property restrictions such as conservation or open space easements. Open space that is designated in community plans and other land use plans is an important component of the open space system because of its value in protecting natural landforms, defining community boundaries, providing natural linkages between communities, providing

visually appealing open spaces, and protecting habitat and biological systems of community importance that are not otherwise included in the MHPA.

- CE-B.1. Protect and conserve the landforms, canyon lands, and open spaces that: define the City's urban form; provide public views/vistas; serve as core biological areas and wildlife linkages; are wetlands habitats; provide buffers within and between communities; or provide outdoor recreational opportunities.
 - a. Utilize Environmental Growth Funds and pursue additional funding for the acquisition and management of MHPA, <u>VPHCP</u>, and other important community open space lands.
 - b-f: No Changes

G. Biological Diversity Goal

• Preservation of healthy, biologically diverse regional ecosystems and conservation of endangered, threatened, and key sensitive species and their habitats.

Discussion

No changes to 1st four paragraphs.

In 2013, the City adopted a Vernal Pool Habitat Conservation Plan (VPHCP) in order to comprehensively designate, manage, and monitor a vernal pool ecosystem preserve within the City of San Diego's jurisdiction (see Figure CE-2.b, Vernal Pool Preserve Area). The VPHCP includes seven vernal pool species: San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegonensis), Riverside fairy shrimp (Streptocephalus woottonii), San Diego button celery (Eryngium aristulatum var. parishii), Spreading navarretia (Navarretia fossalis), San Diego mesa mint (Pogogyne abramsii), California Orcutt grass (Orcuttia californica), and Otay mesa mint (Pogogyne nudiscula). San Diego and Riverside fairy shrimp are listed by the USFWS as endangered species. With the exception of spreading navarretia which is listed as a federally threatened species, all the plant species are federally and state listed as endangered species.

Policies

CE-G.1 Preserve natural habitats pursuant to the MSCP<u>and VPHCP</u>, preserve rare plants and animals to the maximum extent practicable, and manage all City-owned native habitats to ensure their long-term biological viability.

a– c: No changes

- CE-G.2 No changes.
- CE-G.3 Implement the conservation goals/policies of the City's MSCP Subarea Plan<u>and</u> <u>VPHCP</u>, such as providing connectivity between habitats and limiting recreational
access and use to appropriate areas.

CE-G.4. No changes.

H. Wetlands

Goals: No changes.

Discussion

San Diego supports a unique assemblage of wetlands that are not specifically addressed in the Multiple Species Conservation Program or Vernal Pool Habitat Conservation Plan (see Section G). These include tidal and freshwater marshes, and riparian wetlands, and vernal pools. Wetlands are vitally important to the survival of many fish, birds, and plants. Waterways and their riparian areas are critical habitats for a variety of wildlife. Straightening, cementing over, and otherwise altering waterways and wetlands removes the opportunities for biodiversity and also impacts important ecological processes that remove pollutants and improve water quality. The health of wetland areas is an important indicator of ecosystem health, and of the sustainability of human activity within a watershed.

No changes to remainder of discussion.

Noise Element

See separate document



Noise Element

A. Noise and Land Use Compatibility

Goal

• Consider existing and future noise levels when making land use planning decisions to minimize people's exposure to excessive noise.

Discussion

The Noise Element influences Land Use Element policies since excessive noise affects land uses, specifically, the quality of life of people working and living in the City. The planning of future noise-sensitive land uses should have a sufficient spatial separation or incorporate site design and construction techniques to ensure compatibility with noise-generating uses. Noise-sensitive land uses include, but are not necessarily limited to residential uses, hospitals, nursing facilities, intermediate care facilities, child educational facilities, libraries, museums, places of worshipand, child care facilities, and certain types of passive recreational parks and open space.

The City uses the Land Use - Noise Compatibility Guidelines shown on Table NE-3 for evaluating land use noise compatibility when reviewing proposed land use development projects. The land uses described provide examples of uses under each land use category. A more complete listing of use categories and subcategories is found in the Land Development Code Chapter 13, in the use regulation tables. A "compatible" land use indicates that standard construction methods will attenuate exterior noise to an acceptable indoor noise level and people can carry out outdoor activities with minimal noise interference. Evaluation of land use that falls into the "conditionally compatible" noise environment should have an acoustical study. In general, an acoustical study should include, but is not limited to the analysis listed on Table NE-4, Acoustical Study Guidelines, with consideration of the type of noise source, the sensitivity of the noise receptor, and the degree to which the noise source may interfere with speech, sleep, or other activities characteristic of the land use. For land uses indicated as conditionally compatible, structures must be capable of attenuating exterior noise to the indoor noise level as shown on Table NE-3. For land uses indicated as incompatible, new construction should generally not be undertaken. Due to severe noise interference, outdoor activities are generally unacceptable and for structures, extensive mitigation techniques are required to make the indoor environment acceptable. For uses related to motor vehicle traffic noise, refer to Section B for additional guidance. For uses affected by aircraft noise, refer to Section D, since noise compatibility policies in the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans could be more restrictive for uses affected by aircraft noise than shown on Table NE-3. Refer to Section I for a discussion of typical noise attenuation measures.

Policies

NE-A.1. Separate excessive noise-generating uses from residential and other noise-sensitive land uses with a sufficient spatial buffer of less sensitive uses.

- NE-A.2. Assure the appropriateness of proposed developments relative to existing and future noise levels by consulting the guidelines for noise-compatible land use (shown on Table NE-3) to minimize the effects on noise-sensitive land uses.
- NE-A.3. Limit future residential and other noise-sensitive land uses in areas exposed to high levels of noise.
- NE-A.4. Require an acoustical study consistent with Acoustical Study Guidelines (Table NE-4) for proposed developments in areas where the existing or future noise level exceeds or would exceed the "compatible" noise level thresholds as indicated on the Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines (Table NE-3), so that noise mitigation measures can be included in the project design to meet the noise guidelines.
- NE-A.5. Prepare noise studies to address existing and future noise levels from noise sources that are specific to a community when updating community plans.

Land Use Category		Exterior Noise Exposure (dBA CNEL)					
	60	65	70	7:	5		
Open Space and Parks and Recreational							
Community & Neighborhood Parks; Passive Recreation							
-Parks, Active and Passive Recreation (<u>Regional Parks; Outdoor Spectator Sports, Golf</u> Courses; Athletic Fields: Outdoor Spectator Sports, Water Recreational Facilities; Horse Stables; Park Maint. Facilities							
Outdoor Spectator Sports, Golf Courses; Water Recreational Facilities; Indoor Recreation Facilities							
Agricultural							
Crop Raising & Farming; <u>Community Gardens</u> , -Aquaculture, Dairies; Horticulture Nurserie & Greenhouses; Animal Raising, Maintain & Keeping; Commercial Stables							
Residential							
Single <u>Dwelling</u> Units; Mobile Homes ; Senior Housing		45					
Multiple <u>Dwelling</u> Units; <u>Mixed Use Commercial/Residential; Live Work; Group Living</u> Accommodations *For uses affected by aircraft noise, refer to Policies NE-D.2. & NE-D.3.		45	45*				
Institutional							
Hospitals; Nursing Facilities; Intermediate Care Facilities; Kindergarten through Grade 12 Educational Facilities; Libraries; Museums;-: Places of Worship; Child Care Facilities		45					
Educational Facilities including Vocational./Trade Schools and -or Professional Educational Facilities; Higher Education Institution Facilities (Community or Junior Colleges, Colleges and, or Universities)		45	45				
Cemeteries							
<u>Retail</u> Sales	(
Building Supplies/Equipment; Food, Beverages & Groceries; Pets & Pet Supplies; Sundries. Pharmaceutical, & Convenience Sales; Wearing Apparel & Accessories			50	50			
Commercial Services							

TABLE NE-3 Land Use - Noise Compatibility Guidelines

Land Use Category	Exterior Noise Exposure (dBA CNEL)					
		06	5 7	0 7	5	
Building Services; Business Support; Eating & Drinking; Financial Institutions; <u>Maintenanc</u> <u>& Repair, Personal Services</u> ; Assembly & Entertainment <u>(includes public and religious assembly)</u> ; Radio & Television Studios; Golf Course Support			50	50		
Visitor Accommodations		45	45	45		
Offices						
Business & Professional; Government; Medical, Dental & Health Practitioner; Regional & Corporate Headquarters			50	50		

Vehicle and	Vehicular Equipmer	nt Sales and Servi	ices Use					
Commercial or Personal Vehicle Repair & Maintenance; Commercial or Personal Vehicle Sales & Rentals; Vehicle Equipment & Supplies Sales & Rentals; Vehicle Parking								
Wholesale, D	Distribution, Storage	e Use Category						
Equipment & Wholesale D		z Storage Facilities; Warehouse;						
Industrial								
Heavy Manufacturing; Light Manufacturing; Marine Industry; Trucking & Transportation Terminals; Mining & Extractive Industries								
Research & I	Development			50				
	Compatible	Indoor Uses	Standard construction methods should attenuate exterior noise to an acceptable indoor noise level. Refer to Section I.					
		Outdoor Uses	Activities associated with the land use ma	ay be carried	out.			
45, 50	Conditionally	Indoor Uses	Building structure must attenuate exterior indicated by the number (45 or 50) for oc					
	Compatible	uld be analyz lefer to Sectio	lyzed and incorporated t ection I.					
	Incompatible	Indoor Uses	New construction should not be undertak	en.				
	incompatible	Outdoor Uses	Severe noise interference makes outdoor	activities un	acceptable.			

TABLE NE-4 Acoustical Study Guidelines

An acoustical study should include, but is not limited to the following analysis:

Provide noise level measurements to describe existing local conditions and the predominant noise sources.

Measure existing single event noise levels (SENEL, SEL, or Time Above) within airport influence areas.

Estimate existing and projected noise levels (CNEL) and compare them to levels on Table NE-2. For parks, may consider motor vehicle traffic noise measurements during the one-hour period where the worst-case traffic noise levels are expected to occur from dawn to dusk at a park.

Recommend appropriate mitigation measures to achieve acceptable noise levels on Table NE-2.

Estimate noise exposure levels with recommended mitigation measures.

Describe a post-project assessment to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures.

B. Motor Vehicle Traffic Noise

Goal

• Minimal excessive motor vehicle traffic noise on residential and other noise-sensitive land uses.

Discussion

Motor vehicle traffic noise is a major contributor of noise within the City. Excessive noise levels along arterial roads, interstate freeways, and state highways affect much of the urban environment. Traffic noise level is dependent upon traffic volume, speed, flow, vehicle mix, pavement type and condition, the use of barriers, as well as distance to the receptor.

Local roadway design features and traffic management and calming techniques can minimize noise from traffic speed and frequent vehicle acceleration and deceleration, and innovative roadway paving material can further reduce traffic noise. Vehicles equipped with a properly functioning muffler system help to limit excessive exhaust noise. Future use of hybrid transit buses could help to reduce noise along mixed-use transit corridors.

At higher speeds, typically on freeways, highways and primary arterials, the noise from tire/pavement interaction can be greater than from vehicle exhaust and engine noise. The use of lower noise paving surfaces can reduce tire/pavement interaction noise. For noise-sensitive land uses adjacent to freeways and highways, these uses should be buffered from excessive noise levels by intervening, less sensitive, industrial-commercial uses or shielded by sound walls or landscaped berms. The City can, however, influence daily traffic volumes and reduce peak-hour traffic by promoting alternative transportation modes and integration of mixed-use infill development. The peak hour traffic may or may not be the worst-case noise levels since higher traffic volumes can lead to higher congestion and lower operating speeds. The worst-case noise levels may occur in hours with lower volumes and higher speeds.

Although not generally considered compatible, the City conditionally allows multiple unit and mixed-use residential uses up to 75 dBA CNEL in areas affected primarily by motor vehicle traffic noise with existing residential uses. Any future residential use above the 70 dBA CNEL must include noise attenuation measures to ensure an interior noise level of 45 dBA CNEL and be located in an area where a community plan allows multiple unit and mixed-use residential uses.

Policies

- NE-B.1. Encourage noise-compatible land uses and site planning adjoining existing and future highways and freeways.
- NE-B.2. Consider traffic calming design, traffic control measures, and low-noise pavement surfaces that minimize motor vehicle traffic noise (see also Mobility Element, Policy ME–C.5 regarding traffic calming).
- NE-B.3. Require noise reducing site design, and/or traffic control measures for new development in areas of high noise to ensure that the mitigated levels meet acceptable decibel limits.
- NE-B.4. Require new development to provide facilities which support the use of alternative transportation modes such as walking, bicycling, carpooling and, where applicable, transit to reduce peak-hour traffic.
- NE-B.5. Designate local truck routes to reduce truck traffic in noise-sensitive land uses areas.
- NE-B.6. Work with Caltrans to landscape freeway-highway rights-of-way buffers and install low noise pavement surfaces, berms, and noise barriers to mitigate state freeway and highway traffic noise.
- NE-B.7. Promote the use of berms, landscaping, setbacks, and architectural design where appropriate and effective, rather than conventional wall barriers to enhance aesthetics.
- NE-B.8. Enforce the state vehicle code to ensure that motor vehicles are equipped with a functioning muffler and are not producing excessive noise levels.
- NE-B.9Locate parks in quieter areas where possible, and consider noise exposure levels as a
part of the park planning and design process. When parks are located in noisier areas,
seek to reduce exposure through site planning, including locating the most noise
sensitive uses, such as children's play areas and picnic tables, in the quieter areas of
the site; and in accordance with the other policies of this section.

C. Trolley and Train Noise

Goal

• Minimal excessive fixed rail-related noise on residential and other noise-sensitive land uses.

Discussion

Daily traffic from passenger and freight train and trolley operations produces noise that may disrupt adjacent noise-sensitive uses. Trains can generate high, yet relatively brief, intermittent noise events. The interaction of the steel wheels and rails is a major component of train noise. Factors that influence the overall rail noise include the train speed, train horns, type of engine,

track conditions, use of concrete cross ties and welded track, the intermittent nature of train events, time of day, and sound walls or other barriers. When operating in residential areas, trains are required to travel at a reduced speed to minimize noise.

Federal regulations require trains to sound their horns at all roadway-rail grade crossings and the warning sound of train horns is a common sound experienced by communities near the rail corridor. In an effort to minimize excess train horn noise, the federal government allows local jurisdictions to establish train horn "quiet zones." This requires the implementation of supplementary and alternative safety measures to compensate for the loss of the train horn usage.

The state is planning for high-speed rail service that would connect the San Diego region to other regions in the state. Air turbulence noise generated from high-speed train traffic may affect noise-sensitive uses along the potential rail corridors.

Policies

- NE-C.1. Use site planning to help minimize exposure of noise sensitive uses to rail corridor and trolley line noise.
- NE-C.2. Work with the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), Caltrans, Metropolitan Transit System (MTS), California High-Speed Rail Authority, and passenger and freight rail operators to install noise attenuation features to minimize impacts to adjacent residential or other noise-sensitive uses. Such features include rail and wheel maintenance, grade separation along existing and future rail corridors, and other means.
- NE-C.3. Establish train horn "quiet zones" consistent with the federal regulations, where applicable.
- NE-C.4. Work with SANDAG, Caltrans, MTS, and passenger and freight rail operators to install grade separation at existing roadway-rail grade crossings as a noise and safety measure.

D. Aircraft Noise

Goal

• Minimal excessive aircraft-related noise on residential and other noise-sensitive land uses.

Discussion

Aircraft noise primarily affects communities within an airport influence area. The noise impact or the perceived annoyance depends upon the noise volume, length of the noise event and the time of day. In general, aircraft noise varies with the type and size of the aircraft, the power the aircraft is using, and the altitude or distance of the aircraft from the receptor. Another variable affecting the overall impact of noise is a perceived increase in aircraft noise at night. The City evaluates the potential aircraft noise impacts on noise sensitive land uses when considering the

siting or expansion of airports, heliports, and helistops/helipads as addressed in the Land Use Element.

Aircraft noise is one of the factors that the state-required Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans addresses with established policies for land use compatibility for each public use airport and military air installation. The Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans, as discussed in the Land Use Element, incorporates the California Airport Noise Standards that establishes the 65-dBA CNEL as the boundary for the normally acceptable level of aircraft noise for noise-sensitive land uses including residential uses near airports. The land use noise compatibility policies in the compatibility plans could be more restrictive for uses affected by aircraft noise than shown on Table NE-3. The City implements the noise policies contained in the compatibility plans through development regulations and zoning ordinances in the Land Development Code.

Since CNEL represents averaged noise exposure over a 24-hour period, there can be single event noise levels that may exceed the reported CNEL. Although there is no single event standard for aircraft noise exposure, the measurement of the duration and maximum noise levels during single event noises can assist in evaluating potential affects on future noise sensitive land uses.

Uses that have outdoor areas exposed to high levels of aircraft noise cannot mitigate noise levels to an acceptable level due to overflights. Noise-sensitive uses that have outdoor areas used daily by the occupants, such as schools for children and child care centers, are incompatible in areas that exceed the 65 dBA CNEL since mitigation measures cannot reduce exposure to outdoor play areas from prolonged periods of high aircraft noise.

San Diego International Airport (SDIA)

San Diego International Airport (SDIA) at Lindbergh Field is the commercial air carrier airport serving the region located in the City's urban center and is adjacent to downtown. Although various industrial, commercial, and residential uses surround the airport, residential is the primary use and the most affected by the airport. Primarily commercial air carrier aircraft with a limited number of general aviation corporate jet aircraft use SDIA. Normally, aircraft arrive from the east and depart to the west. Noise from aircraft taking off and climbing affect more areas west or adjacent to SDIA, whereas noise from aircraft approaching and landing affects fewer areas east of the airport. Commercial aircraft noise has been declining due to advances in engine technology. However, noise will affect more areas as operations at SDIA increase in the future.

The SDIA requires a variance from the California Airport Noise Standards in order to operate with noise in excess of the 65 dBA CNEL affecting residential uses. As the airport operator, the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority has implemented monitoring and mitigation measures to minimize aircraft noise affecting residential areas. The SDIA prohibits most late night takeoffs to help limit noise impacts. As a mitigation measure, the Quieter Home Program retrofits affected homes to reduce interior noise levels to an acceptable level. The variance requires that the Airport Authority obtain avigation easements for new residential uses and other noise sensitive uses above the 60 dBA CNEL and for participating homes in the Quieter Home Program.

Communities surrounding SDIA contain existing and planned areas for residential uses including higher-density residential uses. Higher-density residential structures use construction materials that can mitigate higher exterior noise levels to acceptable levels. Higher-density residential uses also contain limited outdoor areas, which limit the length of outdoor exposure to higher noise levels. Given the geographic extent of the areas above the 65 dBA CNEL within the SDIA airport influence area and the desire to maintain and enhance the character of these neighborhoods, the City conditionally allows future single unit, multiple unit, and mixed-use residential uses in the areas above the 65 dBA CNEL. Although not generally considered compatible with aircraft noise, the City conditionally allows multiple unit and mixed-use residential uses above the 65 dBA CNEL only in areas with existing residential uses, and single unit residential uses only on existing single unit lots. Any future residential use above the 65 dBA CNEL, provision of an avigation easement, and be located in an area where a community plan and the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan allow residential uses.

Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar

MCAS Miramar operates a mixture of jet fighter, transport, and helicopter aircraft. Noise from military air installations presents different noise issues compared to civilian airports. Military readiness requires constant training. Aircraft training includes touch and goes (takeoffs and landings with a close-in circuit around the airport), aircraft carrier simulated landings, practice instrument approaches, and normal departures to and arrivals from other installations or training areas. As a result, noise can affect more areas than from civilian airports. Helicopter noise can be an annoyance since helicopter noise events last longer and pulsate.

As indicated by the Air Installations Compatibility Use Zones (AICUZ) study, adjacent industrial and commercial uses are compatible with MCAS Miramar's noise levels. Noise from MCAS Miramar affects residential areas in surrounding communities. To minimize aircraft noise impact on residential areas, the Marine Corps implements noise abatement and monitoring programs as described in the AICUZ study.

Brown Field and Montgomery Field

Noise levels from Brown Field and Montgomery Field municipal airports are not as extensive as the noise levels from SDIA and MCAS Miramar. Typically, the smaller general aviation aircraft, both propeller and jet aircraft operate from Brown and Montgomery Fields.

Due to the length of its runways, Montgomery Field cannot accommodate all types of general aviation aircraft. Noise-compatible commercial and industrial uses are adjacent to the airport. Aircraft noise affects residential areas in surrounding communities. To minimize the impact on surrounding residential areas, Montgomery Field has a noise-monitoring program to assess aircraft noise and regulations, including a nighttime noise limits and a weight limit for aircraft using the airport.

General aviation propeller and jet aircraft, as well as law enforcement and military aircraft, use Brown Field. Noise-compatible open space and industrial uses are primarily adjacent to Brown Field. Aircraft noise affects residential uses to the west of the airport.

Airports Outside of the City

Aircraft noise from airports outside of the City is also less extensive than noise from SDIA and MCAS Miramar. Military aircraft operations at Naval Air Station (NAS) North Island and Naval Outlying Field (NOLF) Imperial Beach primarily use the airspace over the Pacific Ocean and the San Diego Bay. The primary traffic pattern for helicopters training at NOLF Imperial Beach is along the Tijuana River Valley and then offshore. Overflight noise from general aviation aircraft operating at Gillespie Field has the potential to affect residential areas in the City west of the airport. Aircraft noise from commercial air carrier operations at the Tijuana International Airport in Mexico primarily affect open space and industrial uses adjacent to the international border in the Otay Mesa area.

Helicopter Operations

The noise levels associated with operations at a heliport or helipad/helistop depend upon the flight path, the helicopter types used, the number of operations, and the time of day. Helicopter activity from military helicopters, private, police, fire/rescue, medical, and news/traffic monitoring helicopters contribute to the general noise environment in the City. In particular, low-flying helicopters are a source of noise complaints in the City, especially at night. Within the City, most helicopters operate from existing airports. Emergency medical or public safety helicopters primarily use the few certified off-airport heliports.

Policies

- NE-D.1. Encourage noise-compatible land use within airport influence areas in accordance with federal and state noise standards and guidelines.
- NE-D.2. Limit future residential uses within airport influence areas to the 65 dBA CNEL airport noise contour, except for multiple-unit, mixed-use, and live work residential uses within the San Diego International Airport influence area in areas with existing residential uses and where a community plan and the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan allow future residential uses.
- NE-D.3. Ensure that future multiple-unit, mixed-use, and live work residential uses within the San Diego International Airport influence area that are located greater than the 65 dBA CNEL airport noise contour are located in areas with existing residential uses and where a community plan and Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan allow future residential uses.
 - a. Limit the amount of outdoor areas subject to exposure above the 65 dBA CNEL; and;
 - b. Provide noise attenuation to ensure an interior noise level that does not exceed 45 dBA CNEL.

- NE-D.4. Discourage outdoor uses in areas where people could be exposed to prolonged periods of high aircraft noise levels greater than the 65 dBA CNEL airport noise contour.
- NE-D.5. Minimize excessive aircraft noise from aircraft operating at Montgomery Field to surrounding residential areas.
 - a. Implement a noise-monitoring program to assess aircraft noise.
 - b. Implement nighttime aircraft noise limits and a weight limit for aircraft using the airport.
- NE-D.6. Encourage civilian and military airport operators, to the extent practical, to monitor aircraft noise, implement noise-reducing operation measures, and promote pilot awareness of where aircraft noise affects noise-sensitive land uses.
- <u>NE-D.7.</u> Limit future uses within airport influence areas when the noise policies in the compatibility plans are more restrictive for uses affected by aircraft noise than shown on Table NE-3.