THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

May 9, 2014

VIA REGULAR & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Clemons
Too Extreme for San Diego – a Committee to Oppose Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012
3737 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 403
San Diego, CA 92108

Re: Too Extreme for San Diego - a Committee to Oppose Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012, Sponsored by the San Diego and Imperial Counties Labor Council, AFL-CIO (ID # 1338945)

Dear Ms. Clemons:

The Ethics Commission audit of the above-referenced committee is now concluded, and the Final Audit Report is enclosed. This report was delivered to the Ethics Commission at its regularly-scheduled meeting held on May 8, 2014. The Commission observed that the findings reflected in the Audit Report indicate that the committee substantially complied with local campaign laws. As a result, the Commission voted to accept the report.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Rosalba Gomez
Ethics Commission Auditor

Enclosure

Ethics Commission
1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 • San Diego, CA 92101
Tel (619) 533-3476 Fax (619) 533-3448

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

FINAL AUDIT REPORT

April 29, 2014

Ms. Kirsten Clemons
Too Extreme for San Diego – a Committee to Oppose Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012, Sponsored by the San Diego and Imperial Counties Labor Council, AFL-CIO
3737 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 403
San Diego, CA 92108

Treasurer: Kirsten Clemons
3737 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 403
San Diego, CA 92108

SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION
AUDIT REPORT:
Too Extreme for San Diego - a Committee to Oppose Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012, Sponsored by the San Diego and Imperial Counties Labor Council, AFL-CIO

I. Introduction

This Audit Report contains information pertaining to the audit of the committee, Too Extreme for San Diego - a Committee to Oppose Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012, Sponsored by the San Diego and Imperial Counties Labor Council, AFL-CIO, Identification Number 1338945 ("the Committee") for the period from May 27, 2011, through January 31, 2013. The Committee was selected for audit by a designee of the City Clerk in a random drawing conducted at a public meeting of the Ethics Commission held on September 12, 2013. The audit was conducted to determine whether the Committee materially complied with the requirements and prohibitions imposed by the City of San Diego's Election Campaign Control Ordinance (San Diego Municipal Code Chapter 2, Article 7, Division 29).

During the period covered by the audit, the Committee reported total contributions of $394,616.25 (inclusive of $23,906.25 in non-monetary contributions) and total expenditures of $378,665.64. Total cash contributions relative to total expenditures resulted in a $7,955.64 differential that was reconciled by the Committee's miscellaneous increase to cash. **The audit revealed no material findings. The committee substantially complied with the disclosure and record-keeping provisions of the San Diego Election Campaign Control Ordinance.**

II. Committee Information

On May 27, 2011, the Committee filed a Statement of Organization with the San Diego City Clerk indicating that it qualified as a committee. The Committee was formed to oppose the election of Carl DeMaio for Mayor in the June 5, 2012, primary election and November 6, 2012, general election. On February 12, 2013, the Committee filed a Statement of Termination indicating that its filing obligations were completed on January 31, 2013. Evan McLaughlin and Kirsten Clemons both served as the Committee's principal officers and treasures.

III. Audit Authority

The Commission is mandated by San Diego Municipal Code section 26.0414 to audit campaign statements and other relevant documents to determine whether campaign committees comply with applicable requirements and prohibitions imposed by local law.

IV. Audit Scope and Procedures

This audit was performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. The audit involved a thorough review of the Committee's records for the time period covered by the audit. This review was conducted to determine:

1. Compliance with all disclosure requirements, pertaining to contributions, expenditures, accrued expenditures, and loans, including itemization when required;
2. Compliance with applicable filing deadlines;
3. Compliance with restrictions on contributions, loans and expenditures;
4. Accuracy of total reported receipts, disbursements and cash balances as compared to bank records; and
5. Compliance with all record-keeping requirements.

V. Conclusion

Through the examination of the Committee's records and campaign disclosure statements, the Auditor verified that the Committee timely disclosed all contributions received and all expenditures made, and that the Committee maintained the necessary documentation regarding contributions and expenditures. **As a result, the Auditor determined that there were no material findings. The Committee substantially complied with the disclosure and record-keeping provisions of the San Diego Election Campaign Control Ordinance.**
[REDACTED]

_____ _____
Rosalba Gomez Date
Ethics Commission Auditor

[REDACTED]

_____ _____
Lauri Davis Date
Ethics Commission Senior Investigator