



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

September 13, 2010

Mr. John Hartley  
John Hartley for City Council  
PO Box 16066  
San Diego, CA 92176

Re: John Hartley for City Council (ID # 1298855)

Dear Mr. Hartley:

The Ethics Commission audit of the above-referenced committee is now concluded, and the Final Audit Report is enclosed. This report was delivered to the Ethics Commission at its regularly-scheduled meeting held on September 9, 2010. The Commission observed that the findings reflected in the Audit Report indicate that the committee substantially complied with local campaign laws. As a result, the Commission voted to accept the report.

Sincerely,

Rosalba Gomez  
Ethics Commission Auditor

Enclosure

cc: Simon Mayeski  
11152 Portobelo Drive  
San Diego, CA 92124



**Ethics Commission**

1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 • San Diego, CA 92101  
Tel (619) 533-3476 Fax (619) 533-3448





THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

FINAL AUDIT REPORT

August 23, 2010

Mr. John Hartley  
John Hartley for City Council  
PO Box 16066  
San Diego, CA 92176

Treasurer: Simon Mayeski  
11152 Portobelo Drive  
San Diego, CA 92124

SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION  
AUDIT REPORT:  
*John Hartley for City Council*

**I. Introduction**

This Audit Report contains information pertaining to the audit of the committee, Hartley for City Council, Identification Number 1298855 ("the Committee") for the period from June 4, 2007, through October 29, 2008. The Committee was selected for audit by a designee of the City Clerk in a random drawing conducted at a public meeting of the Ethics Commission held on September 10, 2009. The audit was conducted to determine whether the Committee materially complied with the requirements and prohibitions imposed by the City of San Diego's Election Campaign Control Ordinance (San Diego Municipal Code Chapter 2, Article 7, Division 29).

During the period covered by the audit, the Committee reported total contributions of \$60,002.24 (inclusive of \$39,818.24 in candidate loans) and total expenditures of \$60,078.05. Total cash contributions relative to total expenditures resulted in a \$75.81 monetary shortfall that was offset by the Committee's miscellaneous increase to cash. **The audit revealed no material findings. The committee substantially complied with the disclosure and record-keeping provisions of the San Diego Election Campaign Control Ordinance.**

**II. Committee Information**

On June 4, 2007, the Committee filed a Statement of Organization with the San Diego City Clerk indicating that it had not yet qualified as a committee. The Committee never filed an amended Statement of Organization to report the date that it qualified as a committee (received contributions totaling \$1,000). Although the Committee failed to file the amended Statement of Organization, the



**Ethics Commission**

1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 • San Diego, CA 92101  
Tel (619) 533-3476 Fax (619) 533-3448

audit revealed the Committee qualified on June 5, 2007. The Committee was formed to support the election of John Hartley for Council District 3 in the June 3, 2008, primary election. On November 10, 2008, the Committee filed a Statement of Termination indicating that its filing obligations were completed on October 2, 2008. The Committee's treasurer was Simon Mayeski.

### III. Audit Authority

The Commission is mandated by San Diego Municipal Code section 26.0414 to audit campaign statements and other relevant documents to determine whether campaign committees comply with applicable requirements and prohibitions imposed by local law.

### IV. Audit Scope and Procedures

This audit was performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. The audit involved a thorough review of the Committee's records for the time period covered by the audit. This review was conducted to determine:

1. Compliance with all disclosure requirements, pertaining to contributions, expenditures, accrued expenditures, and loans, including itemization when required;
2. Compliance with applicable filing deadlines;
3. Compliance with restrictions on contributions, loans and expenditures;
4. Accuracy of total reported receipts, disbursements and cash balances as compared to bank records; and
5. Compliance with all record-keeping requirements.

### V. Conclusion

Through the examination of the Committee's records and campaign disclosure statements, the Auditor verified that the Committee timely disclosed all contributions received and all expenditures made, and that the Committee maintained the necessary documentation regarding contributions and expenditures. **As a result, the Auditor determined that there were no material findings. The Committee substantially complied with the disclosure and record-keeping provisions of the San Diego Election Campaign Control Ordinance.**

---

Rosalba Gomez  
Ethics Commission Auditor

---

Date

---

Lauri Davis  
Ethics Commission Senior Investigator

---

Date