1 2 3 4 5 6	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476 Facsimile: (619) 533-3448 Petitioner		
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:	) Case No.: 2005-69	
11	TONY YOUNG,	) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
12	Respondent.	) ORDER )	
13		) _)	
14	STIPULATION		
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
16	Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
17	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,		
18	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
19	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the City's Election Campaign		
20	Control Ordinance [ECCO].		
21	2. At all times mentioned herein, Tony Young [Young] was a candidate for the Fourth		
22	District City Council seat in the City of San Diego. The Tony Young for City Council		
23	committee [Committee] is a campaign committee registered with the State of California		
24	(Identification No. 1270661) established to support Young's candidacy in the November 2004		
25	special election and the January 2005 special runoff election. At all relevant times herein, the		
26	committee was controlled by Young within the meaning of the California Political Reform Act,		
27	California Government Code section 82016. Young is referred to herein as "Respondent."		
28	///		
	1		

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

///

///

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter and arising out of the audit of the Committee by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability.
- 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto. Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

2

11 12

13 14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

## **Summary of Law and Facts**

- 8. Although ECCO was amended and renumbered in early 2005, this Stipulation refers to the applicable provisions of the SDMC by the section number and language in force and effect at the time of the actions that are the subject of this Stipulation.
- 9. Because the Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a candidate in a City of San Diego election, Respondent is required to comply with the provisions of ECCO.

## Vendor Debt

- At the time of the violations herein, SDMC section 27.2945 required candidates and committees to pay for goods and services in full no later than ninety calendar days after receipt of a bill or invoice, and in no event later than ninety calendar days after the last calendar day of the month in which the goods were delivered or the services were rendered.
- Respondent did not pay two campaign vendors within ninety calendar days as required by SDMC section 29.2945. In particular, Respondent agreed to pay April Goldstein a "win bonus" in the amount of \$20,000. Respondent did not pay this bill in full until June 30, 2006, approximately eighteen months after the January 2005 special runoff election. In addition, Respondent agreed to pay Myrtle Cole a "win bonus" of \$10,000. Respondent did not pay this bill in full until February 16, 2006, approximately thirteen months after the January 2005 special runoff election.

## Disbursements and Accounting

- 12. At the time of the violations herein, SDMC section 27.2918 required all disbursements from a campaign checking account to be made by check signed by the candidate or the candidate's campaign treasurer.
- 13. The Commission's investigation reveals that the Respondent did not comply with the requirements of SDMC section 27.2918. In particular, individuals other than the candidate or the candidate's campaign treasurer signed many of the Committee's disbursement checks.
- 14. At the time of the violations herein, SDMC section 27.2925 required committees to maintain a record of any contribution received and any disbursement made from the

28

///

28. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to comply with all provisions of the Election Campaign Control Ordinance in the future.

25

26

27

28

29. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000 for violating SDMC sections 27.2918, 27.2925, 27.2945, and 27.2955. This amount must be paid no later than June 1, 2007, by check or money order made payable to the City Treasurer. Respondent

1	acknowledges that if the fine is not timely paid in full, the Commission may refer the collection	
2	of the fine to the City Treasurer's Collection Division, which may pursue any or all available	
3	legal remedies to recover late penalties, interest, and costs, in addition to seeking the	
4	outstanding balance owed.	
5	DATED.	
6	DATED:  STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director	
7	ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner	
8	DATED: TONY YOUNG, Respondent	
9		
10		
11	DECISION AND ORDER	
12	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on,	
13	2007. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance	
14	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000.	
15		
16	DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair	
17	SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	7	

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER