| 1 2 | STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 | | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| 3 | San Diego, CA 92101 | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (619) 533-3476 Facsimile: (619) 533-3448 | | |
| 5 | Petitioner | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO | | |
| 8 | ETHICS COMMISSION | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | In re the Matter of: |) Case No.: 2006-62 | |
| 11 | GLORIA TYLER-MALLERY, |) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND) ORDER | |
| 12 | Respondent. |) | |
| 13 | |) | |
| 14 | STIPULATION | | |
| 15 | THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS: | | |
| 16 | 1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego | | |
| 17 | Ethics Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to | | |
| 18 | administer, implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego | | |
| 19 | Municipal Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the filing of campaign statements as | | |
| 20 | required by the City's Election Campaign Control Ordinance [ECCO]. | | |
| 21 | 2. Respondent Gloria Tyler-Mallery [Respondent] was a candidate in the November | | |
| 22 | 16, 2004, special election for City Council District 4. | | |
| 23 | 3. The Friends of Gloria Tyler-Mallery committee [Committee] is a campaign | | |
| 24 | committee (Committee Identification No. 1271465) established to support Respondent's | | |
| 25 | candidacy in the District 4 City Council race. The committee has not yet been terminated. At all | | |
| 26 | relevant times herein, the committee was controlled by Respondent within the meaning of the | | |
| 27 | California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82016. | | |
| 28 | /// | | |
| | | -1- | |

4. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at
 its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the
 approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics
 Commission.

5 5. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the
6 Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine
7 Respondent's liability.

8 6. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all 9 procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable 10 cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in 11 any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses 12 testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoen a witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to 13 have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees 14 to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the 15 Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related 16 thereto. Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with 17 the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a 18 reference to each violation, and an order.

7. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law
enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring
this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency
with regard to this or any other related matter.

8. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this
Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics
Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission
becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified
because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

28 ////

| 1 | Summary of Law and Facts | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | 9. As a candidate for elective office in the City of San Diego, Respondent is required | | |
| 3 | to comply with the provisions of ECCO. | | |
| 4 | 10. SDMC section 27.2930 requires City candidates and committees to file campaign | | |
| 5 | statements in the time and manner required by California Government Code sections 81000 et | | |
| 6 | seq. California Government Code section 84200(a) provides that candidates and committees | | |
| 7 | shall file semiannual statements no later than July 31 for the period ending June 30, and no later | | |
| 8 | than January 31 for the period ending December 31. | | |
| 9 | 11. Respondent's semi-annual campaign statement for the period ending December | | |
| 10 | 31, 2004, was due on January 31, 2005. Respondent has not yet filed this campaign statement. | | |
| 11 | 12. Respondent's semi-annual campaign statement for the period ending June 30, | | |
| 12 | 2005, was due on July 31, 2005. Respondent has not yet filed this campaign statement. | | |
| 13 | 13. Respondent's semi-annual campaign statement for the period ending December | | |
| 14 | 31, 2005, was due on January 31, 2006. Respondent has not yet filed this campaign statement. | | |
| 15 | 14. Respondent's semi-annual campaign statement for the period ending June 30, | | |
| 16 | 2006, was due on July 31, 2006. Respondent has not yet filed this campaign statement. | | |
| 17 | 15. Respondent's semi-annual campaign statement for the period ending December | | |
| 18 | 31, 2006, was due on January 31, 2007. Respondent has not yet filed this campaign statement. | | |
| 19 | Counts | | |
| 20 | Counts 1 through 5 – Violations of SDMC section 27.2930 | | |
| 21 | 16. Respondent failed to file five semi-annual campaign statements for the periods | | |
| 22 | ending December 31, 2004, June 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, June 30, 2006, and December | | |
| 23 | 31, 2006, as required by SDMC section 27.2930. | | |
| 24 | Factors in Aggravation | | |
| 25 | 17. The City Clerk sent Respondent numerous letters regarding her filing | | |
| 26 | responsibilities, both before and after the filing deadlines. Despite these efforts by the City | | |
| 27 | Clerk, Respondent failed to file her campaign statements. | | |
| 28 | /// | | |
| | -3- STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER | | |
| | | | |

| 18. Respondent has a prior history of not timely filing campaign statements. In | |
|--|--|
| particular, Respondent was a candidate in the February 27, 2001, special election for City | |
| Council District 8, and failed to file her campaign statement for the period ending June 30, 2002, | |
| until November 15, 2002, more than four months late. | |
| Conclusion | |
| 19. Respondent agrees to file all overdue campaign statements and terminate the | |
| Committee no later than April 6, 2007. | |
| 20. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$500 for violating SDMC | |
| section 27.2930. This amount must be paid no later than August 1, 2007, by check or money | |
| order made payable to the City Treasurer. Respondent acknowledges that if the fine is not | |
| timely paid in full, the Commission may refer the collection of the fine to the City Treasurer's | |
| Collection Division, which may pursue any or all available legal remedies to recover late | |
| penalties, interest, and costs, in addition to seeking the outstanding balance owed. | |
| The submitted payment will be held pending Commission approval of this Stipulation and | |
| execution of the Decision and Order set forth below. | |
| | |
| DATED: | |
| STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner | |
| | |
| DATED: GLORIA TYLER-MALLERY, Respondent | |
| | |
| DECISION AND ORDER | |
| The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on, | |
| 2007. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance | |
| with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$500. | |
| | |
| DATED: | |
| Dorothy Leonard, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION -4- | |
| -4- STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER | |
| | |