1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530	
2		
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476	
4	Facsimile: (619) 533-3448	
5	Petitioner	
6		
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO	
8	ETHICS COMMISSION	
9		
10	In re the Matter of:	) Case No.: 2009-36
11	EUGENE HEYTOW,	) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER
12	Respondent.	)
13		)
14		) _)
15		
16	STIPULATION	
17	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:	
18	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics	
19	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,	
20	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal	
21	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the filing of campaign statements as required by	
22	the City's Election Campaign Control Ordinance [ECCO].	
23	2. At all times mentioned herein, Et	agene Heytow was a person who made an
24	independent expenditure in support of a City of San Diego candidate in the November 2008	
25	general election. Heytow is referred to herein as "Respondent."	
26	3. This Stipulation will be submitted	d for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its
27	next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval	
28	of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.	
I	.1	

4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability.

- 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter.
- 6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

## **Summary of Law and Facts**

- 8. SDMC section 27.2930 requires candidates and committees participating in City of San Diego elections to file campaign statements in the time and manner required by California Government Code sections 81000 et seq.
- 9. According to SDMC section 27.2903, an "independent expenditure" means any expenditure made by any person in connection with a communication that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate or measure, but that is not made in cooperation or coordination with the affected candidate or committee. An "independent expenditure committee" means any person who makes independent expenditures totaling \$1,000 or more within a single calendar year.

1

2

5

7

11

15

17

19

21

25

26

27

28

///

1	solicited or accepted his \$5,000 payment, nor did they notify him before the October 23, 2008,		
2	filing deadline.		
3	20. On about October 31, 2008, Respondent's attorney, Pam Wilson, learned through		
4	the media of Respondent's payment to SDVEP and notified him that he had a reporting		
5	obligation. Respondent instructed his attorney on October 31, 2008, to file the requisite		
6	disclosure form. On November 3, 2008, one day prior to the election, Forms 496 were filed in		
7	four other filing offices in California. However, the Form 496 was not filed with the City Clerk		
8	until November 13, 2008.		
9	<u>Conclusion</u>		
10	21. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure timely		
11	disclosure of independent expenditures in the future, and to comply with all of the provisions of		
12	the City's Election Campaign Control Ordinance.		
13	22. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$2,500 for violating SDMC		
14	section 27.2930. This amount must be paid no later than October 7, 2009, by check or money		
15	order made payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending		
16	Commission approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set		
17	forth below.		
18			
19	DATED: STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
20	ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner		
21	DATED.		
22	DATED: EUGENE HEYTOW, Respondent		
23			
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
28			

## **DECISION AND ORDER** The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on November 12, 2009. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$2,500. DATED:\_\_\_\_\_ Richard Valdez, Chair CITY OF SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER