1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission	
2	1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530	
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476	
4	Facsimile: (619) 533-3448	
5	Petitioner	
6		
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO	
8	ETHICS COMMISSION	
9		
10	In re the Matter of:	) Case No.: 2010-43
11	ADRIAN VAZQUEZ,	) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER
12	Respondent.	)
13		) )
14	STIPULATION	
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:	
16	Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics	
17	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,	
18	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal	
19	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the City's Election Campaign	
20	Control Ordinance [ECCO].	
21	2. At all times mentioned herein, Adrian Vazquez was a candidate for City Council	
22	District 8 in the June 8, 2010, primary election. The Adrian Vazquez for City Council 2010	
23	committee [Committee] was a campaign committee registered with the State of California	
24	(Identification # 1327527) and established to support Mr. Vazquez's candidacy for Council	
25	District 8. At all relevant times herein, the Committee was controlled by Mr. Vazquez within the	
26	meaning of the California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82016.	
27	Mr. Vazquez is referred to herein as "Respondent."	
28	///	

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

///

///

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability.
- 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto. Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

## **Summary of Law and Facts**

- 8. Because the Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a candidate in a City of San Diego election, Respondent is required to comply with the provisions of ECCO.
- 9. At SDMC section 27.2930, ECCO requires candidates and committees to file campaign statements in the time and manner required by California Government Code sections 81000 *et seq*. In addition to the two pre-election filings required by local and state law, SDMC section 27.2930(e) requires City candidates to file a third pre-election campaign statement on the Friday before the election, covering the period from the last campaign statement through the Thursday before the election. Candidates in the June primary election were required to file a pre-election statement covering the period from May 23, 2010, through June 3, 2010, on or before June 4, 2010.
- 10. Respondent did not file a campaign statement covering the period from May 23, 2010, through June 3, 2010, until August 23, 2010, eighty days late and after he was contacted by Ethics Commission staff. During this reporting period, the Committee received no contributions and made expenditures totaling \$160.00.

Counts

## **Count 1 – Violation of SDMC section 27.2930**

11. Respondent failed to timely file a campaign statement covering the period from May 23, 2010, through June 3, 2010, in violation of SDMC 27.2930. Although this statement was due on June 4, 2010, Respondent did not file it until August 23, 2010, eighty days late.

## **Factors in Aggravation**

12. The City Clerk sent Respondent letters regarding his filing responsibilities, both before and after the filing deadline. Despite these efforts by the City Clerk, Respondent failed to timely file a campaign statement covering the period from May 23, 2010, through June 3, 2010, until well after the June 8, 2010, primary election.

## **Factors in Mitigation**

13. Respondent has fully cooperated with the Ethics Commission's investigation.

28 | | / / /

1	<u>Conclusion</u>	
2	14. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to comply with all	
3	provisions of the Election Campaign Control Ordinance in the future.	
4	15. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the total amount of \$600 for violating SDMC	
5	section 27.2930. This amount must be paid by check or money order made payable to the City	
6	Treasurer no later than October 21, 2010. Respondent acknowledges that if the fine is not timely	
7	paid in full, the Commission may refer the collection of the fine to the City Treasurer's	
8	Collection Division, which may pursue any or all available legal remedies to recover late	
9	penalties, interest, and costs, in addition to seeking the outstanding balance owed.	
10		
11	DATED: STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director	
12	ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner	
13		
14	DATED:	
15	ADRIAN VAZQUEZ, Respondent	
16		
17	DECISION AND ORDER	
18	DECISION AND ORDER	
19	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on,	
20	2010. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance	
21	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$600.	
22		
23	DATED: LARRY WESTFALL, Chair	
24	SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	