1 2 3 4	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476 Facsimile: (619) 533-3448		
5	Petitioner		
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:	Case No.: 2010-89	
11	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL 569	STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
12	COMMITTEE ON POLITICAL EDUCATION and KINDE DURKEE,		
13	Respondents.		
14			
15	STIPULATION		
16	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
17	Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the	Executive Director of the City of San Diego	
18	Ethics Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to		
19	administer, implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego		
20	Municipal Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the filing of campaign statements as		
21	required by the City's Election Campaign Control Ordinance [ECCO].		
22	2. At all times mentioned herein, In	ternational Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	
23	Local 569 Committee on Political Education [IBEW] was a committee registered with the State		
24	of California as a general purpose recipient committee (Identification No. 1332191).		
25	3. At all times mentioned herein, K	inde Durkee [Durkee] was the treasurer for	
26	IBEW.		
27	4. IBEW and Durkee are collective	ly referred to herein as "Respondents."	
28	111		

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

2	U	
2	1	

- 5. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 6. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondents' liability.
- 7. Respondents understand and knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter.
- 8. Respondents acknowledge that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 9. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondents further agree that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

Summary of Law and Facts

- 10. ECCO defines "committee" as any person or combination of persons who raise \$1,000 or more for the purpose of supporting or opposing a candidate or ballot measure, or make independent expenditures of \$1,000 or more, within a single calendar year. SDMC § 27.2903.
- 11. ECCO requires committees to file campaign statements in the time and manner required by California Government Code section 81000, *et seq.* and the regulations adopted by

26

27

28

///

///

///

1	<u>Counts</u>		
2	Counts 1 and 2 - Violations of SDMC section 27.2930		
3	15. Respondents violated SDMC section 27.2930 by failing to file a Form 465 with		
4	the City Clerk disclosing independent expenditures made between October 1 and October 16,		
5	2010, to support a City candidate. Although the Form 465 was due on October 21, 2010,		
6	Respondents have not yet filed it.		
7	16. Respondents violated SDMC section 27.2930 by failing to file a Form 496 with		
8	the City Clerk within twenty-four hours of making a late independent expenditure on October 18		
9	2010. Respondents filed the Form 496 on October 22, 2010, three days late.		
10	<u>Conclusion</u>		
11	17. Respondents agree to file a Form 465 with the City Clerk no later than April 8,		
12	2011, reflecting the independent expenditures described above in Paragraph 13.		
13	18. Respondents agree to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure timely		
14	disclosure of independent expenditures in the future, and to comply with all of the provisions of		
15	the City's Election Campaign Control Ordinance.		
16	19. Respondents agree to pay a fine in the amount of \$3,000 for violating SDMC		
17	section 27.2930. This amount must be paid no later than April 8, 2011, by check or money orde		
18	made payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending Commission		
19	approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set forth below.		
20			
21	DATED: STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
22	ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner		
23	DATED:		
24	JOHNNY SIMPSON, on behalf of Respondent INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL		
2526	WORKERS LOCAL 569 COMMITTEE ON POLITICAL EDUCATION		
27			
28	DATED:KINDE DURKEE, Respondent		
-	-4-		

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

DECISION AND ORDER The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on ______, 2011. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the Stipulation, Respondents pay a fine in the amount of \$3,000. DATED:____ Larry Westfall, Chair CITY OF SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER