	. [
1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530		
2			
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476		
4	Facsimile: (619) 533-3448		
5	Petitioner		
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 2011-33	
11	ROBERT ILKO,) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
12	Respondent.))	
13)	
14	STIPULATION		
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
16	Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
17	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,		
18	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
19	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the City's Election Campaign		
20	Control Ordinance [ECCO].		
21	2. At all times mentioned herein, Robert Ilko was a candidate for City Council District 5		
22	in the June 4, 2008, primary election. (Although he withdrew from the race in March of 2008, he		
23	is considered a candidate until his campaign committee is terminated.) The Friends of Bob Ilko		
24	committee (Identification No. 1299411) [Committee] was a campaign committee registered with		
25	the State of California established to support Mr. Ilko's candidacy for Council District 5. At all		
26	relevant times herein, the Committee was controlled by Mr. Ilko within the meaning of the		
27	California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82016. Mr. Ilko is		
28	referred to herein as "Respondent."		
		1	

///

///

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability.
- 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto. Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

Summary of Law and Facts

- 8. On September 10, 2009, the Committee was selected for audit at a random drawing conducted in accordance with the provisions in the Ethics Commission Audit Manual.

 Accordingly, an audit of the Committee was performed for the period from June 4, 2007, through December 31, 2010.
- 9. Because the Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a candidate in a City of San Diego election, Respondent is required to comply with the provisions of ECCO. ECCO was amended and renumbered on January 1, 2009. Accordingly, this Stipulation refers to the applicable provisions of ECCO that were in effect at the time of the actions that are the subject of this Stipulation.
- 10. SDMC section 27.2930 requires candidates and committees to file campaign statements and disclose all of the information required by state law. California Government Code section 84211 requires disclosure of the following information:
 - (f) If the cumulative amount of contributions (including loans) received from a person is one hundred dollars (\$100) or more and a contribution or loan has been received from that person during the period covered by the campaign statement, all of the following:
 - (1) His or her full name.
 - (2) His or her street address.
 - (3) His or her occupation.
 - (4) The name of his or her employer, or if self-employed, the name of the business.
 - (5) The date and amount received for each contribution received during the period covered by the campaign statement and if the contribution is a loan, the interest rate for the loan.
 - (6) The cumulative amount of contributions.
 - (g) If the cumulative amount of loans received from or made to a person is one hundred dollars (\$100) or more, and a loan has been received from or made to a person

not uncommon for City candidates to retrieve copies of certain documents from their respective

24.

27

28

Although City candidates are required to maintain campaign-related records, it is

1	financial institutions. In this case, Respondent was unable to obtain copies of records associated		
2	with the 27 contributions discussed above from his bank because it recently merged with another		
3	financial institution.		
4	<u>Conclusion</u>		
5	25. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to comply with all		
6	provisions of the Election Campaign Control Ordinance in the future.		
7	26. Respondent acknowledges that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fines		
8	in connection with any future violations of the City's campaign laws.		
9	27. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$ 2,000.00 for violating SDMC		
10	sections 27.2925 and 27.2930. This amount must be paid by check or money order made		
11	payable to the City Treasurer no later than July 8, 2011. The submitted payment will be held		
12	pending Commission approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order		
13	portion set forth below.		
14			
15	DATED: STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
16	ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner		
17	DATED:ROBERT ILKO, Respondent		
18			
19			
20	DECISION AND ORDER		
21	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on,		
22	2011. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance		
23	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$2,000.00.		
24			
25	DATED:		
26	CLYDE FULLER, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION		
27			
28			