1 2 3 4 5 6	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476 Facsimile: (619) 533-3448 Petitioner			
7	BEFORE THE C	ITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION			
9				
10	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 2005-67		
11	MICHAEL AGUIRRE,) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER		
12	Respondent.) ORDER)		
13) _)		
14	STIPU	JLATION		
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FO	LLOWS:		
16	Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the E	Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
17	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics	Commission is charged with a duty to administer,		
18	implement, and enforce local governmental eth	nics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
19	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things,	the provisions of the City's Election Campaign		
20	Control Ordinance [ECCO].			
21	2. At all times mentioned herein, Mi	chael Aguirre [Aguirre] was a candidate for City		
22	Attorney for the City of San Diego. The Mike	Aguirre for City Attorney committee [Committee]		
23	is a campaign committee registered with the St	ate of California (Identification No. 1254870)		
24	established to support Aguirre's candidacy in t	he 2004 election cycle. At all relevant times		
25	herein, the committee was controlled by Aguir	re within the meaning of the California Political		
26	Reform Act, California Government Code sect	ion 82016. Aguirre is referred to herein as		
27	"Respondent."			
28	///			

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

28 ||

///

///

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter and arising out of the audit of the Committee by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability.
- 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto. Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

8.

9.

10.

11.

2003, through March 31, 2005.

9

14

13

16

15

18

17

19

21

20

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

SDMC section 27.2931 required committees to file campaign statements in the time and manner required by state law. California Government Code section

84303 requires Committees to report any payments of \$500 or more made by an agent to a subvendor. 12.

Summary of Law and Facts

refers to the applicable provisions of the SDMC by the section number and language in force and

City of San Diego election, Respondent is required to comply with the provisions of ECCO.

drawing conducted on April 25, 2005. An audit was performed for the period from January 1,

effect at the time of the actions that are the subject of this Stipulation.

Although ECCO was amended and renumbered in early 2005, this Stipulation

Because the Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a candidate in a

The Committee was selected for audit by the Ethics Commission at a random

The Commission's audit reveals that the Committee did not comply with the subvendor disclosure requirements in local and state law. In particular, the Committee failed to timely disclose 37 payments made by agents totaling \$316,306.24, as follows:

riod Ending	Name of Agent	Amount	Subvendor
09/30/03	Kennedy Communications	\$ 3,213.00	Vanard Lithographers
02/14/04	Poste Haste	\$ 11,419.13	USPS
02/14/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 13,578.75	KFMB
02/14/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 9,987.50	KGTV
02/14/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 14,700.75	KNSD
02/14/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 850.00	KSWB
02/14/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 3,400.00	KUSI
02/14/04	John Kennedy	\$ 13,903.25	American Printing & Mailing
02/14/04	Christopher Ward	\$ 2,517.08	America's Campaign Store
02/14/04	Christopher Ward	\$ 2,369.86	America's Campaign Store
	02/14/04 02/14/04 02/14/04 02/14/04 02/14/04 02/14/04 02/14/04	09/30/03 Kennedy Communications 02/14/04 Poste Haste 02/14/04 The Campaign Group 02/14/04 Christopher Ward	09/30/03 Kennedy Communications \$ 3,213.00 02/14/04 Poste Haste \$ 11,419.13 02/14/04 The Campaign Group \$ 13,578.75 02/14/04 The Campaign Group \$ 9,987.50 02/14/04 The Campaign Group \$ 14,700.75 02/14/04 The Campaign Group \$ 850.00 02/14/04 The Campaign Group \$ 3,400.00 02/14/04 John Kennedy \$ 13,903.25 02/14/04 Christopher Ward \$ 2,517.08

				1	
1	Per	iod Ending	Name of Agent	Amount	Subvendor
2	11	06/30/04	Poste Haste	\$ 939.26	USPS
3	12	06/30/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 41,395.00	KFMB
4	13	06/30/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 25,725.00	KGTV
5	14	06/30/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 17,850.00	KNSD
6	15	06/30/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 1,742.50	KSWB
7	16	06/30/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 6,757.50	KUSI
8	17	06/30/04	John Kennedy	\$ 4,079.85	American Printing & Mailing
9	18	06/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 740.00	USPS
	19	06/30/04	Christopher Ward	\$ 1,257.00	USPS
10	20	09/30/04	Kennedy Communications	\$ 6,950.00	Vanard Lithographers
11	21	09/30/04	Kennedy Communications	\$ 9,270.00	Vanard Lithographers
12	22	09/30/04	Poste Haste	\$ 542.50	USPS
13	23	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 527.54	Kinkos
14	24	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 524.81	Post Haste
15	25	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 1,124.18	Post Haste
16	26	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 589.84	Post Haste
17	27	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 740.00	USPS
18	28	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 740.00	USPS
19	29	10/16/04	Poste Haste	\$ 7,237.47	USPS
20	30	10/16/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 25,602.00	KFMB
	31	10/16/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 19,358.75	KGTV
21	32	10/16/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 35,806.25	KNSD
22	33	10/16/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 2,720.00	KSWB
23	34	10/16/04	The Campaign Group	\$ 10,390.00	KUSI
24	35	10/16/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 6,481.51	Post Haste
25	36	10/16/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$ 6,201.81	Post Haste
26	37	12/31/04	Capital One	\$ 5,074.15	Marketouch Media
27			Total	\$316,306.24	
28					1

The Committee ultimately disclosed these subvendor payments on July 1, 2005, on amended campaign statements filed for each of the above-referenced periods.

- 13. In addition, SDMC section 27.2944(b) requires candidates and committees to disclose expenditures in the same time and manner required by California Government Code section 84211, and further requires expenditures that have been incurred but not paid during a reporting period to be disclosed as an accrued expense. California Government Code section 84211 requires the disclosure of all expenditures over \$100, and specifically includes accrued expenses.
- 14. The Commission's audit reveals that the Committee did not fully comply with local and state law pertaining to the disclosure of accrued expenses. The Commission's audit reveals that the Committee failed to timely disclose 34 accrued expenses totaling \$55,583.36, as follows:

Per	riod Ending	Payee	Amount	Check #
1	09/30/03	Lauri Keller	\$3,547.11	147
2	12/31/03	Pomerado Publishing	\$474.18	198
3	12/31/03	Tierra Times	\$275.00	197
4	12/31/03	Lauri Keller	\$2,590.83	200
5	12/31/03	Chris Ward	\$2,089.75	183
6	12/31/03	Hi Sierra	\$365.00	211
7	12/31/03	Kathleen Blavatt	\$120.00	215
8	12/31/03	DeFrance Printing	\$107.75	259
9	01/17/04	Hi Sierra	\$365.00	211
10	01/17/04	Lauri Keller	\$2,590.83	200
11	01/17/04	Kathleen Blavatt	\$120.00	215
12	01/17/04	Chris Ward	\$3,734.58	199
13	01/17/04	DeFrance Printing	\$107.75	259
14	02/14/04	John Kennedy	\$2,000.00	246
15	02/14/04	John Kennedy	\$13,903.25	247
16	02/14/04	DeFrance Printing	\$107.75	259

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Peı	riod Ending	Payee	Amount	Check #
17	06/30/04	Marketouch Media	\$100.70	296
18	06/30/04	Penn, Schoen & Berland	\$1,007.45	343
19	06/30/04	Karen Goyette	\$260.59	290
20	09/30/04	Elegent Events	\$1,064.84	408
21	09/30/04	Hi Sierra	\$365.00	393
22	09/30/04	Law Offices of Aguirre & Meyer	\$498.86	340
23	09/30/04	Sullivan Wertz McDade & Wallace	\$2,134.03	361
24	09/30/04	Karen Goyette	\$4,000.00	400
25	09/30/04	Karen Goyette	\$198.41	355
26	09/30/04	Gloria Johnson	\$750.00	341
27	09/30/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$2,184.11	339
28	10/16/04	Rancho Bernardo Sun	\$381.48	398
29	10/16/04	SBC	\$189.43	363
30	10/16/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$1,047.63	391
31	10/16/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$6,201.81	402
32	12/31/04	Planned Parenthood	\$138.18	414
33	12/31/04	Voter Education Group	\$1,500.00	343
34	12/31/04	Jeff Van Deerlin	\$1,062.06	1001
	<u> </u>	Total	\$55,583.36	

The Committee ultimately disclosed these accrued expenses on July 1, 2005, and September 1, 2005, on amended campaign statements filed for each of the above-referenced periods.

Counts

Counts 1 through 37 - Violations of SDMC section 27.2931

15. The Committee did not properly disclose campaign expenditures, in violation of SDMC section 27.2931. In particular, the Committee failed to timely disclose 37 payments by agents totaling \$316,306.24, as described above in paragraph 12.

27 | | / / /

///

Counts 38 through 71 – Violations of SDMC section 27.2944

16. The Committee did not properly disclose campaign expenditures, in violation of SDMC section 27.2944. In particular, the Committee failed to timely disclose 34 accrued expenses totaling \$55,583.36, as described above in paragraph 14.

Factors in Mitigation

17. The Commission's investigation indicates that Respondent Aguirre relied on his treasurer to properly disclose subvendors and accrued expenses. In addition, the Commission's investigation does not suggest that the Committee intended to conceal information or deceive the public. Through the examination of the Committee's records and campaign disclosure statements, the Ethics Commission's auditor verified that the Committee timely filed all campaign statements, and disclosed all contributions and loans received. The Committee reported payments to vendors with accurate explanations of the reasons for the payments, but failed to report specific payments by agents to individual subvendors. For example, the Committee timely reported payments identified as "television ads" to campaign consultants, but failed to timely report actual payments by the consultant to the television stations. In addition, the Committee reported campaign expenditures at the time they were paid, but failed to report accrued expenditures that were incurred but not paid by the close of a reporting period. After discovering these errors, the Committee filed amendments properly disclosing all expenditures made by the Committee and its agents.

Conclusion

	18.	Respondent a	igrees to tal	ke necessar	y and pri	ident pre	cautions	to comply	with al
provisi	ons of t	he Election C	ampaign C	ontrol Ordi	nance in	the future	e.		

///

1	19. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000 for violating SDMC
2	sections 27.2931 and 27.2944. This amount must be paid no later than December 9, 2005, by
3	check or money order made payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held
4	pending Commission approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order
5	portion set forth below.
6	
7	DATED:
8	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner
9	
10	DATED: MICHAEL AGUIRRE, Respondent
11	
12	
13	DECISION AND ORDER
14	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on,
15	2005. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance
16	2005. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000.
16 17	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000.
16 17 18	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16 17 18 19	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000.
16 17 18 19 20	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16 17 18 19 20 21	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair
16	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$9,000. DATED: Dorothy Leonard, Chair