1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476
4	Facsimile: (619) 533-3448
5	Petitioner
6	
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
8	ETHICS COMMISSION
9	
10	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 2012-58
11	CONSERVATIVES FOR GAY RIGHTS SUPPORTING CARL DEMAIO FOR ORDER STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER
12	MAYOR 2012 WITH MAJOR FUNDING) PROVIDED BY THE CHARLES)
13	MCHAFFIE TRUST, JUAN BOYCE, JESUS)
14	CARDENAS, and CYNARA VELAZQUEZ,)
15	Respondents.)
16	
17	STIPULATION
18	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:
19	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics
20	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,
21	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal
22	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the Election Campaign Control
23	Ordinance [ECCO], SDMC section 27.2901, et seq.
24	2. At all times mentioned herein, Juan Boyce [Boyce], Jesus Cardenas [Cardenas], and
25	Cynara Velazquez [Velazquez] were the principal officers of Conservatives for Gay Rights
26	Supporting Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012 with Major Funding Provided by the Charles McHaffie
27	Trust [CGR], a City committee registered with the State of California
28	///
	-1-

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

(Identification No. 1352465). CGR, Boyce, Cardenas, and Velazquez are collectively referred to herein as "Respondents."

- 3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
- 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine Respondents' liability.
- 5. Respondents understand and knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondents agree to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the Commission's investigation, this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto. Respondents further agree that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a reference to each violation, and an order.
- 6. Respondents acknowledge that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency with regard to this or any other related matter.
- 7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondents further agree that in the event the Ethics Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

Summary of Law and Facts

- 8. ECCO defines "committee" as any person or combination of persons who raise \$1,000 or more for the purpose of supporting or opposing a candidate or ballot measure, or make independent expenditures of \$1,000 or more, within a single calendar year. SDMC § 27.2903.
- 9. ECCO requires committees to file campaign statements in the time and manner required by California Government Code sections 81000, *et seq.* and the regulations adopted by the Fair Political Practices Commission [FPPC]. It is unlawful under ECCO to fail to comply with the disclosure requirements of ECCO and state law. SDMC § 27.2930(g).
- 10. According to FPPC Regulation 18402.1, committees must disclose the names of their principal officers on their Statements of Organization [Forms 410]. The term "principal officer" is defined as the "individual primarily responsible for approving the political activity of the committee including, but not limited to, the following activities:
 - (1) Authorizing the content of the communications made by the committee.
 - (2) Authorizing expenditures, including contributions, on behalf of the committee.
 - (3) Determining the committee's campaign strategy."
- 11. The Commission's investigation revealed that Respondent Cardenas was responsible for authorizing the content of CGR's communications and determining its campaign strategy, Respondents Boyce and Cardenas were responsible for authorizing CGR's expenditures, and Respondent Velazquez helped organize the committee and was responsible for establishing an email account for CGR and preparing its campaign disclosure statements.
- 12. On October 4, 2012, Respondents filed a Form 410 that identified Juan Boyce as the only principal officer of CGR. The Form 410 failed to also identify Respondents Cardenas and Velazquez as principal officers. Evidence obtained during the course of the Commission's investigation reveals that Respondents had no basis for failing to identify Cardenas and Velazquez as principal officers of CGR.
- 13. When filing a Form 410, a committee must disclose its street address. SDMC § 27.2930, Cal. Gov't Code § 84102. Identifying a post office box is not legally sufficient, nor is identifying the street address of an entity that provides mail receiving and forwarding services.

- 14. The Form 410 filed by Respondents on October 4, 2012, includes an address for a "virtual office" (i.e., mailbox service) leased by Respondents for the period from October 1 through 31, 2012. Respondents failed to provide an actual street address for CGR.
- 15. ECCO requires committees to maintain records in accordance with the guidelines set forth in FPPC Regulation 18401 for a period of four years. SDMC § 27.2925. With respect to expenditures, committees are required to maintain detailed records reflecting the goods or services provided as well as the person or vendor who provided them. FPPC Regulation 18401(a)(4). In addition, if a committee pays for live or recorded telephone calls to 500 or more individuals or households that mention a City candidate, ECCO requires the committee to maintain a record of the number of calls made. SDMC § 27.2971(e).
- 16. CGR paid Asher Burke dba San Diego Research Group \$7,000 to disseminate a robo-call on approximately October 31, 2012, using the script attached hereto at Exhibit 1. Neither the Respondents nor Mr. Burke maintained any records reflecting the number of robocalls made.
- 17. CGR also paid Asher Burke dba San Diego Research Group \$5,000 for printing and canvassing. The literature printed by CGR and disseminated by canvassers in the weeks leading up to the November 2012 general election is attached as Exhibit 2. On November 3, 2012, Respondents filed a Late Independent Expenditure Report [Form 496] stating that they paid \$2,000 for "campaign literature and mailing" and \$3,000 for "canvassers" on November 2, 2012. (Respondents subsequently filed an amended Form 496 to change the descriptions to "printing expenses" and "field team.") During the course of the Commission's investigation, witnesses asserted that the initial plan to mail the literature was changed and that the literature was distributed by canvassers; however, this information could not be corroborated because Mr. Burke did not maintain or provide records to Respondents reflecting the identity of the printer who produced the literature, the number of pieces produced, or the identity of canvassers reportedly paid to distribute the literature.

1	23. Respondents agree to pay a fine in the amount of \$7,500 for violating SDMC
2	sections 27.2925 and 27.2930. This amount must be paid no later than October 9, 2013, by
3	check or money order payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held
4	pending Commission approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order
5	portion set forth below.
6	[DEDACTED]
7	[REDACTED] DATED:
8	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner
9	
10	[REDACTED] DATED:
11	JUAN BOYCE
12	
13	[REDACTED] DATED:
14	JESUS CARDENAS
15	[REDACTED]
16	DATED: CYNARA VELAZQUEZ
17	CITARAT VELLIZQUEZ
18	
19	DECISION AND ORDER
20	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on,
21	2013. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance
22	with the Stipulation, Respondents pay a fine in the amount of \$7,500.
23	with the Supulation, Respondents pay a line in the amount of \$\psi\$7,500.
24	[DEDACTED]
25	DATED: [REDACTED]
26	WILLIAM HOWATT, JR., Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION
27	
28	

(1) {NEW RECORDING}

Hello, I'm calling to conduct a brief survey regarding the upcoming race for the City of San Diego Mayoral race.

(2) {NEW RECORDING}

In the mayoral race for the City of San Diego, the candidates are:

Bob Filner, Congressman or Carl DeMaio, San Diego Councilman.

If you intend to vote for Bob Filner, Congressman, please press 1.

If you intend to vote for Carl DeMaio, San Diego Councilman, please press 2.

If you are undecided, please press 3.

(3) {NEW RECODING}

[If they press 1]

Thank you for supporting Bob Filner. Conservatives for Gay Rights In support of Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012 With major funding provided by the Charles McHaffie Trust

(4) {NEW RECORDING}

[If they press 2]

You have selected Carl DeMaio. I would like to ask you a few more brief questions regarding your decision of who to vote for in this election.

I'm going to read you a few facts about Carl DeMaio and would like to find out if they make you more likely, or less likely, to support Carl DeMaio.

If you knew that Carl DeMaio wants require city employees to pay their full and fair share of the cost of their pension benefits, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, or does this fact make no difference?

If you'd be more likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 1. If you'd be less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 2. If this fact makes no difference, please press 3.

(5) {NEW RECORDING}

Thank you. If you knew that Carl DeMaio, If you knew Carl DeMaio was the first openly gay man to be elected to our San Diego Council, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, or does this fact make no difference?

If you'd be more likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 1. If you'd be less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 2. If this fact makes no difference, please press 3.

(6) {NEW RECORDING}

Thank you. If you knew Carl DeMaio was the leading advocate of creating a message of hope to small business growth, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, or does this fact make no difference?

If you'd be more likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 1. If you'd be less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 2. If this fact makes no difference, please press 3.

(7) {NEW RECORDING}

Thank you. If you knew Carl DeMaio has the full support of Defense Industry Business Leaders and the Port of San Diego Ship Repair Association, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, or does this fact make no difference?

If you'd be more likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 1. If you'd be less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 2. If this fact makes no difference, please press 3.

(8) {NEW RECORDING}

Thank you. Just one final question. If you knew that Carl DeMaio would be the first openly gay mayor of a big city, would you be more likely or less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, or does this fact make no difference?

If you'd be more likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 1. If you'd be less likely to vote for Carl DeMaio, please press 2. If this fact makes no difference, please press 3.

(9) {NEW RECORDING}

Thank you for your time. Have a nice day!

This call was paid for by Conservatives for Gay Rights In support of Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012 With major funding provided by the Charles McHaffie Trust



Conservatives for Gay Rights endorses: Carl Demaio for Mayor

We conservatives know that liberty means that someone can pick a partner of their choice.

We commend Carl on his conservative policies and excercising his liberties.

Stand up for Liberty.

Stand up for Carl DeMaio for Mayor!

CARL DEMAIO for Mayor



or right of doing, thinking, speaking, etc., freedom from control, interference, obligation, according to choice. restriction, hampering conditions, etc.; power

Conservatives for Gay Rights in support of Carl DeMaio for Mayor 2012 with major funding provided by the Charles McHaffie Trust ID #1352465 4370 La Jolla Village Dr, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92121