1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530		
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476		
4	Facsimile: (619) 533-3448		
5	Petitioner		
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 2013-07	
11	DWAYNE CRENSHAW,) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND) ORDER	
12	Respondent.		
13)	
14	STIPU	LATION	
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
16	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
17	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,		
18	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
19	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the City's Election Campaign		
20	Control Ordinance [ECCO].		
21	2. At all times mentioned herein, Dwayne Crenshaw was a candidate for City Council		
22	District 4 in the March 26, 2013, special election. The Crenshaw for City Council 2013		
23	committee (Identification No. 1354758) [Committee] is a campaign committee registered with		
24	the State of California established to support Mr. Crenshaw's candidacy for Council District 4.		
25	At all relevant times herein, the Committee was controlled by Mr. Crenshaw within the meaning		
26	of the California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 82016. Mr.		
27	Crenshaw is referred to herein as "Respondent."		
28	///		
		-1-	

This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its
 next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval
 of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.

4 4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the
5 Ethics Commission without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine
6 Respondent's liability.

7 5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all 8 procedural rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable 9 cause, the issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses 10 11 testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to 12 have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the 13 Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related 14 15 thereto. Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a 16 reference to each violation, and an order. 17

6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law
enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring
this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency
with regard to this or any other related matter.

7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this
Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics
Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission
becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified
because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

27 11/1

111

28

1	Summary of Law and Facts		
2	8. Because the Committee was formed for the purpose of supporting a candidate in a		
3	City of San Diego election, Respondent is required to comply with the provisions of ECCO.		
4	9. ECCO prohibits City candidates from directly or indirectly soliciting campaign		
5	contributions from persons they know to be City employees. SDMC §27.2946. In order to avoid		
6	violations of this law, candidates are advised to comb their e-mail lists and remove those that end		
7	with a domain name associated with the City of San Diego or any of its agencies (e.g.,		
8	sandiego.gov).		
9	10. Respondent sent five campaign-related e-mails that included solicitations for		
10	campaign contributions to City employees at their sandiego.gov e-mail addresses, as follows:		
11	• an e-mail dated February 3, 2013, was sent to approximately 40 recipients, including		
12	one Council staffer;		
13	• an e-mail dated February 4, 2013, was sent to an unknown number of recipients,		
14	including one Council staffer;		
15	• an e-mail dated February 5, 2013, was sent to approximately 75 recipients, including		
16	one Council staffer;		
17	• an e-mail dated March 17, 2013, was sent to approximately 240 recipients, including		
18	one Councilmember and two Council staffers;		
19	• an e-mail dated March 20, 2013, was sent to approximately 89 recipients, including		
20	two Councilmembers and five Council staffers.		
21	Counts		
22	Count 1 - Violation of SDMC section 27.2946		
23	11. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.2946 when he sent five e-mails to City		
24	employees as described above in Paragraph 10 that included solicitations for campaign		
25	contributions.		
26	Factors in Mitigation		
27	12. Respondent has fully cooperated with the Ethics Commission's investigation.		
28	///		
	-3- STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER		

1	Conclusion		
2	13. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to comply with all		
3	provisions of the Election Campaign Control Ordinance in the future.		
4	14. Respondents acknowledge that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fines		
5	in connection with any future violations of the City's campaign laws.		
6	15. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$250 for violating SDMC section		
7	27.2946. This amount must be paid no later than May 15, 2013, by check or money order made		
8	payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending Commission		
9	approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set forth below.		
10	[REDACTED]		
11 12	DATED:STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner		
13	[REDACTED] DATED:		
14	DWAYNE CRENSHAW, Respondent		
15	DECISION AND ORDER		
16	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on,		
17 18	2013. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance		
18	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$250.		
20	[REDACTED]		
20	DATED: CLYDE FULLER, Chair		
22	SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION		
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-4-		
	STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER		