1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director City of San Diego Ethics Commission
2	1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1530
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476
4	Facsimile: (619) 533-3448
5	Petitioner
6	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
7	ETHICS COMMISSION
8	
9	In re the Matter of: Case No.: 2013-26 (SH)
10	SEAN HUGHES,) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND
11) ORDER
12	Respondent.
13)
14	STIPULATION
15	SIII ULAIION
16	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:
17	1. Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics
18	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer,
19	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal
20	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the City's Election Campaign
21	Control Ordinance [ECCO].
22	2. At all times mentioned herein, Sean Hughes was a sales representative employed by
23	Symbolic Motor Car Company, a luxury automobile dealership doing business in La Jolla,
24	California. Mr. Hughes is referred to herein as "Respondent."
25	3. This Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its
26	next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval of
27	the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.
28	///

4. This Stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter by the Ethics Commission concerning the Respondent's conduct without the necessity of holding an 2 administrative hearing to determine Respondent's liability. 3

1

24

5. Respondent understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all procedural 4 rights under the SDMC, including, but not limited to, a determination of probable cause, the 5 6 issuance and receipt of an administrative complaint, the right to appear personally in any 7 administrative hearing held in this matter, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses 8 testifying at the hearing, the right to subpoen a witnesses to testify at the hearing, and the right to 9 have the Ethics Commission or an impartial hearing officer hear this matter. Respondent agrees to hold the City of San Diego harmless from any and all claims or damages resulting from the 10 Commission's investigation or this stipulated agreement, or any matter reasonably related thereto. 11 Respondent further agrees that the terms of this Stipulation constitute compliance with the 12 provisions of SDMC section 26.0450 in that the Stipulation includes a recitation of facts, a 13 reference to each violation, and an order. 14

6. Respondent acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding upon any other law 15 enforcement or government agency and does not preclude the Ethics Commission from referring 16 this matter to, cooperating with, or assisting any other law enforcement or government agency 17 with regard to this or any other related matter. 18

7. The parties agree that in the event the Ethics Commission refuses to accept this 19 Stipulation, it shall become null and void. Respondent further agrees that in the event the Ethics 20 Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Ethics Commission 21 becomes necessary, no member of the Ethics Commission or its staff shall be disqualified because 22 of prior consideration of this Stipulation. 23

Summary of Law and Facts

8. ECCO imposes limits on contributions to City candidates in order to prevent the 25 corruption and appearance of corruption that would result if candidates for elective City office 26 were permitted to accept large campaign contributions. At all times mentioned herein, the 27 contribution limit for City candidates was \$500 per election. SDMC § 27.2935. 28

9. In order to prevent circumvention of the \$500 contribution limit, ECCO prohibits any 1 person from making a contribution on behalf of another, or while acting as an intermediary or 2 agent of another, without disclosing to the recipient the true source of the funds. SDMC § 3 27.2944. Requiring the identity of the true source making a contribution serves to ensure that no 4 one contributes more than \$500 to a candidate. Making a contribution on behalf of another 5 6 without the requisite disclosure is commonly known as money laundering, and is prohibited by 7 ECCO because it deprives the public of important information concerning the identity of campaign donors. 8

9 10. ECCO also prohibits any person from counseling, aiding, abetting, advising, or
10 participating with any other person to commit a violation of ECCO. SDMC § 27.2991.

11. In December of 2011, Respondent's employer, Marc Chase [Chase], asked 11 Respondent to contribute \$1,000 to the Bonnie Dumanis for Mayor 2012 committee [Dumanis 12 Mayoral Committee] in the names of Respondent and his spouse (\$500 each). Chase explained 13 that this was a favor for Jose Susumo Azano Matsura [Azano], a customer of Symbolic Motor Car 14 Company, and that Respondent would be reimbursed from funds Chase had received from Azano. 15 Respondent agreed to this arrangement. He promptly wrote a \$1,000 check to the Dumanis 16 Mayoral Committee and, in exchange, Chase gave him \$1,000 in cash. Neither Respondent nor 17 Chase informed the Dumanis Mayoral Committee that the source of the two \$500 contributions 18 was anyone other than Respondent and his spouse. The Dumanis Mayoral Committee filed a 19 campaign disclosure statement reflecting receipt of a \$500 contribution from Respondent and a 20 \$500 contribution from Respondent's spouse on December 29, 2011. 21

12. As described above, Azano was the source of the \$1,000 cash that Chase gave to
Respondent. Consequently, at the time Respondent made his \$500 contribution to the Dumanis
Mayoral Committee, Azano was the true source of the contribution, and Respondent was acting as
an intermediary for Azano, a fact that was not disclosed to the Dumanis Mayoral Committee.
Additionally, at the time he arranged for his spouse to make a \$500 contribution to the Dumanis
Mayoral Committee, Respondent was aiding and abetting Azano in the making of a separate \$500
contribution using Respondent's spouse as an intermediary, a fact that was not disclosed to the

1	Dumanis Mayoral Committee. By failing to disclose that he and his spouse were not the true
2	source of the two \$500 contributions, Respondent (and a series of other straw donors) enabled
3	Azano to make contributions to the Dumanis Mayoral Committee far in excess of the City's
4	contribution limit.
5	Counts
6	Count 1 - Violation of SDMC section 27.2944
7	13. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.2944 when he made a \$500 contribution to the
8	Dumanis Mayoral Committee on behalf of someone else without disclosing that he was not the
9	true source of the funds.
10	Count 2 - Violation of SDMC section 27.2991
11	14. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.2991 by aiding and abetting Azano in the
12	making of a \$500 contribution to the Dumanis Mayoral Committee in the name of Respondent's
13	spouse, without disclosing that Respondent's spouse was not the true source of the funds.
14	Factors in Mitigation
15	15. Respondent cooperated with the Ethics Commission's investigation.
16	Conclusion
17	16. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure compliance
18	with all provisions of ECCO in the future.
19	17. Respondent acknowledges that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fines in
20	connection with any future violations of the City's campaign laws.
21	///
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///
	- 4 - STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

1	18. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000 for violating SDMC section
2	27.2944 and 27.2991. This amount must be paid no later than July 3, 2014, by check or money
3	order made payable to the City Treasurer. The submitted payment will be held pending
4	Commission approval of this Stipulation and execution of the Decision and Order portion set
5	forth below.
6	
7	[REDACTED]
8	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director ETHICS COMMISSION, Petitioner
9	
10	[REDACTED]
11	DATED: SEAN HUGHES, Respondent
12	
13	
14	DECISION AND ODDED
15	DECISION AND ORDER The Ethics Commission considered the above Stimulation at its meeting on Univ 10
16	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on <u>July 10</u> ,
	2014 The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stinulation and orders that in accordance
17	2014. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance
17 18	2014. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000.
	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000.
18	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. [REDACTED] DATED:
18 19	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. [REDACTED]
18 19 20	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$10,000. DATED: [REDACTED] JOHN C. O'NEILL, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION