

Department Description

The Development Services Department (DSD) provides review, permit, inspection, and code enforcement services for building and development projects throughout the City of San Diego. The major functions of Entitlements, Building, Construction and Safety, and Neighborhood Code Enforcement are organized to efficiently manage the development process for the complete life cycle of a project.

The Department's mission is:

To provide effective, safe, and quality development, enhancing San Diegans' quality of life through community, customer service, and timely and effective management of development and compliance processes

Goals and Objectives

The following goals and objectives represent the action plan for the Department.

Goal 1: Protect the public's health, safety, and welfare

The purpose of any development regulation is to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public. The Department strives to assure that this is the primary focus of all its activities. The Department will move toward accomplishing this goal by focusing on the following objectives.

- Implement and enforce established policies, regulations, standards, and codes
- Provide regulation reviews

Goal 2: Provide quality services at a reasonable cost

The Department strives to provide the most value to its customers for the services provided, as well as to minimize the cost of the regulatory process of development in time and actual costs. The Department will move toward accomplishing this goal by focusing on the following objectives.

- Continually review services to ensure that they are in line with expectations
- Train staff to provide outstanding service delivery
- Utilize efficient and effective processes in the delivery of services
- Develop strategies to appropriately size the Department's resources to workload/demand fluctuations

Goal 3: Develop and support the workforce

As a public service organization, the Department's most important resources are the knowledge and skill of its staff. The development regulation process is extremely complex and technical, thereby, requiring specialized knowledge. Maintaining a highly-trained workforce is critical in providing high levels of customer service. The Department will move toward accomplishing this goal by focusing on the following objectives.

- Provide a comfortable and productive office environment
- Ensure personnel remain current in their disciplines
- Ensure the safety of the workforce as they perform their duties
- Stabilize staffing levels and reduce turnover

Goal 4: Serve the City by balancing the diverse desires and needs of its stakeholder groups

Development regulations are designed to balance the needs of the community with the rights of the individual. The Department has a very wide range of stakeholders whose needs and desires constantly change. Staying in tune with these changes and balancing them is a never-ending challenge. The Department will move toward accomplishing this goal by focusing on the following objectives.

- Enforce regulations/ensure compliance
- Provide excellent customer service
- Remain sensitive and responsive to public interest
- Identify solutions that holistically support the City's long-term interests, thereby, ensuring that any future implications of development (e.g., maintenance) are appropriately considered
- Continually update regulations and processes to align with the City's overall goals

Goal 5: Ensure the financial health of the Department

Workload, technology, and costs can change rapidly due to the development industry's ties to economic trends. The Department needs to be able to manage costs and maintain adequate reserves to respond to these changes. The Department will move toward accomplishing this goal by focusing on the following objectives.

- Utilize efficient and effective processes in the delivery of services
- Associate resources requested and received with the workload to assure full cost recovery and the maintenance of adequate reserves

Service Efforts and Accomplishments

2007 San Diego Fire Storm

In October 2007, San Diego experienced a major fire emergency in which 362 homes were damaged or destroyed. The Department immediately deployed staff to establish a remote information and permit processing site at the Local Assistance Center in Rancho Bernardo. As of March 11, 2009, the Development Services Department has provided 435 building records to owners, issued 153 demolition permits, 218 single family dwelling permits, 170 repair and miscellaneous building permits, performed more than 2,500 plan reviews, and responded to more than 12,000 customer inquiries.

Customer Service Improvements

DSD's strong Department-wide focus on customer service in the last four years has been a major contributor to the increase in customer satisfaction. It is also a reason that other departments have turned to DSD as a model for their customer service programs. The 2007 external *True North Customer Satisfaction Survey* results indicate that DSD is performing very well in often difficult circumstances. More than three-quarters of ministerial customers and two-thirds of discretionary customers indicated that they were generally satisfied with the Department's performance in meeting their needs on their most recent projects.

Outreach via the Internet

The Department's webpage is continually updated with more than 500 updates and additions made to DSD's web page in the past year. In Fiscal Year 2008, the Department created an extensive Customer Service section that promotes ombudsman and conflict resolution services. The web page also includes informational sections on hot topics including Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) requirements, mini-dorms, and land development code

updates. The Department successfully used web noticing and email for public input and several land development code amendments this year.

Affordable/In-Fill Housing and Sustainable Buildings Expedite Program

The Affordable/In-Fill Housing and Sustainable Buildings Expedite Program was created as part of a City-wide comprehensive collaborative effort to help produce more affordable housing and sustainable buildings in the shortest time possible. Since its inception in August 2003, over 200 projects have elected to utilize the Expedite Program, producing over 2,700 affordable housing units and 1,200 sustainable housing units. The Expedite Program is processing discretionary permits approximately twice as fast as the Department's standard permit process. The Expedite Program continues to experience strong interest and demand from both the public and private sector, and remains a strong incentive for the building industry to provide affordable housing units and sustainable development.

Unreinforced Masonry (URM) Building Compliance Program

This program protects homes and businesses in San Diego by assisting property owners in complying with laws for earthquake safety. The goal of the City of San Diego's URM Ordinance is to save lives by minimizing the possibility of potential collapse of URM buildings during an earthquake. Following the original January 1, 2006 deadline for compliance, 858 buildings in San Diego remained in violation. DSD has worked closely with these property owners to bring them into compliance with the mandatory provisions of the City of San Diego URM Regulations. Additional seismic strengthening is often required based upon triggering mechanisms associated with remodeling, renovation, or change of occupancy. To date, more than 75 percent of these buildings have been brought into compliance. Approximately 205 buildings remain on the list and DSD is working aggressively to achieve compliance with the Ordinance.

Storm Water

By working with the Storm Water Department to enforce the provisions of the City's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, the Department added functionality to its project tracking system to automatically track and schedule compliance inspections of private development during construction. This simplified the Department's process for generating mandatory storm water best management practices inspections and made mandatory reporting requirements to the Regional Water Quality Control Board more efficient.

Neighborhood Code Compliance

In response to the current foreclosure crisis, Neighborhood Code Compliance (NCC) partnered with City Planning and Community Investment to increase the scope of the Vacant Properties Program (VPP). NCC recently shifted three field investigators to the VPP and assigned two of them to work proactively in hard-hit neighborhoods. The VPP requires owners of vacant and unsecured properties to clean and properly board and secure those properties in order to prevent nuisance activities. Property owners must then file and implement a Statement of Intent with the City describing a plan to return the property to productive use. More than 1,000 structures have been rehabilitated since the Program's inception.

Local Enforcement Agency

The Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) assures a high level of regulatory compliance at all solid waste facilities including the West Miramar and Sycamore landfills, five composting sites, three transfer/processing operations, and 29 closed disposal sites. Proper maintenance of closed landfills mitigates ongoing environmental risks such as landfill gas migration, as well as ground and surface water pollution. Recent solid waste permitting projects include the West Miramar landfill's height increase, the expansion of the greenery composting site, and permit actions for transfer and processing facilities. The LEA will also be processing the permit for the proposed expansion of the Sycamore landfill. Nearly 500 tire-related businesses are inspected under the Regional Waste Tire Enforcement program which is funded by State grants. Compliance with tire transportation and storage rules prevents illegal dumping, fire hazards, and mosquito breeding associated with improperly handled waste tires. The LEA has secured grant funding to support projects for collecting trash and tires from the Tijuana River Valley that impact the environmentally-sensitive estuary. The LEA also has regulatory authority over the City's trash fleet and commercial solid waste haulers working in San Diego.

Department Efficiencies/Process Improvements

The Department has continued to implement process improvements made from Business Process Reengineering (BPR) and manage its resources to respond to workload demand and the downturn in the economy. While the Department has experienced a 17 percent decrease in revenues, the number of reviews and inspections performed per employee has increased by 20 percent. Staff has continued to meet or exceed established performance measures for the Department.

Some of the results of the BPR study are listed below.

- Hand-held computers for inspectors. This program was fully implemented as of June 2008. All DSD inspectors are now able to enter inspection results while still in the field. In addition, they have real-time access to the Department's Project Tracking System and email. The hand-held computers also function as phones and digital cameras. The Department is working with Engineering & Capital Projects-Field Engineering Division to implement hand-held computers with their inspections.
- **Subdivision account statements.** The City is implementing a new accounting system and the subdivision statements will be changing as a result. Statements will go from period-end to monthly and the look of the statement will change as well.
- Electronic archival of imaged records. A system is being created for electronic archival of imaged records in Development Services Department. The purpose of this is to quickly share information and improve reviews of this information. The implementation will be dependent on availability of funds in the budget.
- **Project management.** Project management for ministerial permits is offered as an enhanced service. An applicant may use this program for complex multi-phase projects such as subdivisions, high-rise buildings, or multi-building complexes, affordable housing or sustainable building expedite projects, and projects requiring coordination with other City departments.

Budget Dollars at Work: Performance Expectations

Goal 1: Protect the public's health, safety, and welfare

| | Performance Measure | Baseline FY2008 | Actual FY2009 | Target FY2010 |
|----|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Customer satisfaction rating on the mapping and construction permit process | 84% | N/A ¹ | 80% |
| 2. | Customer satisfaction rating on the discretionary entitlement (public hearing decision) process | 81% | N/A ¹ | 80% |

Goal 2: Provide quality services at a reasonable cost

| | Performance Measure | Baseline FY2008 | Actual FY2009 | Target FY2010 |
|----|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Percent of plan reviews completed in two cycles or | 70% | 86% | 80% |
| | less | | | |
| 2. | Percent of plan reviews achieved within stakeholder | $87\%^{2}$ | 90% | 80% |
| | group-established turnaround times | | | |
| 3. | Percent of development inspections completed within | 98% | 95% | 90% |
| | next working day of request | | | |

¹ This measure is dependent upon the use of an outside consultant that the Department did not have sufficient revenues to pay for in Fiscal Year 2009.

² Turnaround times vary based on project type, size, and complexity. Established ranges are from 1-45 business days per review cycle. Typical turnaround time goals are 21 business days for the first review cycle and 14 days for subsequent review of submitted projects.

Goal 3: Develop and support the workforce

| | Performance Measure | Baseline FY2008 | Actual FY2009 | Target FY2010 |
|----|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Percent of supervisors attending formalized City | 86% | 100% | 80% |
| | supervisor training within one year of being selected | | | |
| | to supervise | | | |

Goal 4: Serve the City by balancing the diverse desires and needs of its stakeholder groups

| | Performance Measure | Baseline FY2008 | Actual FY2009 | Target FY2010 |
|-------|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. Pe | ercent of Code Violation cases investigated within | | | |
| 18 | 80 days | | | |
| • | Building/Housing/Noise | 90% | 91% | 90% |
| - | Land Development/Zoning | 90% | 91% | 90% |
| 2. C | ustomers surveyed rating DSD services as | | | |
| sa | atisfactory or higher | | | |
| • | Ministerial Reviews | 81% ³ | N/A^1 | 80% |
| - | Discretionary Reviews | $72\%^{4}$ | N/A^1 | 80% |

Goal 5: Ensure the financial health of the Department

| Performance Measure | Baseline | Actual | Target |
|---|--------------------|--------|--------|
| | FY2008 | FY2009 | FY2010 |
| 1. Level of fund reserves (in order to achieve City policy targets for fund reserves) | -5.1% ⁵ | -19.2% | 1.6% |

Budget Dollars at Work: Sizing and Workload Data

| | Actual FY2006 | Actual FY2007 | Actual FY2008 | Actual FY2009 | Target FY2010 | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Workload Data | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of plan checks performed | 75,906 | 67,348 | 64,655 | 51,548 | 46,300 | | | | |
| Valuation of building permits allowed | \$1.96B | \$1.49B | \$1.35B ⁶ | \$0.77B | \$0.82B | | | | |
| Building permits issued | 10,203 | 8,568 | 8,225 | 7,301 | 6,160 | | | | |
| Valuation of public improvements and grading permitted | \$176.4M | \$178.8M | \$69.8M ³ | \$45.1M | \$33.3M | | | | |
| Number of inspections performed | 157,535 | 150,127 | 129,714 | 124,654 | 105,700 | | | | |
| Number of discretionary project applications completed | 775 | 512 | 350 | 337 | 220 | | | | |
| Number of code compliance cases investigated | 7,152 | 6,091 | 6,152 | 5,539 | 5,800 | | | | |

³ Includes building, grading, public right-of-way, and other construction-level permit reviews. This is a staff-level review for compliance with regulations; no public review or public hearings are involved.

⁴ Includes community plan amendments, rezoning actions, development permits, conditional use permits, and other conceptual reviews. Public notice and review is involved; decisions are subject to California Environmental Quality Act, and most decisions involve public hearings with the ability to appeal the initial decision.

⁵ Due to the increases in employee fringe rates and the downturn of the economy, DSD has had to fund their operations from their reserve funds. DSD is currently studying their fees and will come forward to Council in Fiscal Year 2010 for a fee adjustment.

⁶ Fiscal Year 2008 data has been revised to reflect accurate data based on a thorough data review conducted after the release of the Fiscal Year 2009 Annual Budget.

Department Summary

| | | D | | 4.0. | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|-------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------|----|------------------------|
| Development Services | | | | | | | | |
| | | FY 2008 BUDGET | | FY 2009 BUDGET | | FY 2010 FINAL | | FY 2009-2010 CHANGE |
| Positions | | 543.00 | | 527.00 | | 480.00 | | (47.00) |
| Personnel Expense | \$ | 47,090,842 | \$ | 42,948,706 | \$ | 36,992,502 | \$ | (5,956,204) |
| Non-Personnel Expense | \$ | 13,592,895 | \$ | 13,766,654 | \$ | 14,441,930 | \$ | 675,276 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 60,683,737 | \$ | 56,715,360 | \$ | 51,434,432 | \$ | (5,280,928) |

Department Staffing

| | FY 2008 BUDGET | FY 2009 BUDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| GENERAL FUND | | | |
| Neighborhood Code Compliance | | | |
| Community Outreach | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Field Services Division | 42.50 | 42.50 | 43.00 |
| Graffiti Control | 12.50 | 12.50 | 9.00 |
| Management Services Division | 11.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
| Total | 68.00 | 68.00 | 64.00 |
| SOLID WASTE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT A | GENCY | | |
| Solid Waste Local Enforcement Agency | | | |
| Administration | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Office Support | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Regulatory Compliance | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Total | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE | FUND | | |
| Development Services Enterprise | | | |
| Administration | 11.00 | 11.00 | 10.00 |
| Department Support | 42.30 | 40.30 | 41.30 |
| Financial Services | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| Inspection Services | 89.00 | 88.00 | 80.00 |
| Land Use & Planning | 87.75 | 80.75 | 76.75 |
| New Construction Fire Plan Check | 19.00 | 19.00 | 4.00 |
| Plan Check | 62.10 | 60.10 | 54.10 |
| Planning Project Review | 38.35 | 38.35 | 35.35 |
| Project Management | 39.00 | 38.00 | 37.00 |
| Project Submittal | 35.00 | 35.00 | 31.00 |
| Public Information | 16.75 | 15.75 | 14.75 |
| Technical Information Services | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.50 |
| | | | |

Department Staffing

| | | FY 2008 BUDGET | | FY 2009 BUDGET | | FY 2010 FINAL |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIS | E FUND | Debell | | DODOLI | | 111112 |
| Development Services Enterprise | | | | | | |
| Unreinforced Masonry | | 14.25 | | 12.25 | | 11.25 |
| Total | | 469.00 | | 453.00 | | 410.00 |
| DEPARTMENT TOTAL | | 543.00 | | 527.00 | | 480.00 |
| Department Expenditures | | | | | | |
| - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | FY 2008 BUDGET | | FY 2009 BUDGET | | FY 2010 FINAL |
| GENERAL FUND | | | | | | · |
| Neighborhood Code Compliance | | | | | | |
| Community Outreach | \$ | 181,950 | \$ | 186,820 | \$ | 102,773 |
| Department Administration | \$ | 23,198 | \$ | 33,310 | \$ | 258,330 |
| Field Services Division | \$ | 4,178,161 | \$ | 4,302,020 | \$ | 4,192,401 |
| Graffiti Control | \$ | 1,487,086 | \$ | 1,515,042 | \$ | 1,227,792 |
| Management Services Division | \$ | 813,013 | \$ | 836,106 | \$ | 814,317 |
| Neighborhood Code Compliance | \$ | 20,508 | \$ | (373,951) | \$ | (65,016) |
| Total | \$ | 6,703,916 | \$ | 6,499,347 | \$ | 6,530,597 |
| Solid Waste Local Enforcement Agency Administration Office Support | \$ \$ | 317,875 79,630 | \$ \$ | 304,873 104,099 | \$ \$ | 295,994 102,844 |
| Regulatory Compliance | \$ | 517,498 | \$ | 523,024 | \$ | 504,114 |
| Regulatory Compliance Solid Wste Local Enfrcmnt Agcy | \$ \$ | 517,498 16,926 | \$ \$ | 523,024 2,854 | \$ \$ | 504,114 (8,247) |
| | | | | | | |
| Solid Wste Local Enfrcmnt Agcy | <u>\$</u> \$ | 16,926 | \$ | 2,854 | \$ | (8,247) |
| Solid Wste Local Enfrcmnt Agcy Total | <u>\$</u> \$ | 16,926 | \$ | 2,854 934,850 | \$ | (8,247) |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration | \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 | \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 | \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination | \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 | \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 | \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support | \$ \$ E FUND \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 | \$\$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 | \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info | \$ \$ E FUND \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services | \$ \$ E FUND \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services | \$ \$ E FUND \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Intake | \$ \$ E FUND \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Intake Land Use & Planning | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 11,291,010 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 10,716,420 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 10,192,936 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Intake Land Use & Planning New Construction Fire Plan Check | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 10,716,420 2,879,411 | * * * * * * * * * | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 10,192,936 687,680 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Intake Land Use & Planning New Construction Fire Plan Check Non Personnel Expense, Bldg Dev | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 11,291,010 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 10,716,420 2,879,411 11,256 | * * * * * * * * * * | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 10,192,936 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Inspection Services Intake Land Use & Planning New Construction Fire Plan Check Non Personnel Expense, Bldg Dev Non Personnel Expense, Management | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 11,291,010 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 10,716,420 2,879,411 11,256 8,233 | * * * * * * * * * * * | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 10,192,936 687,680 119,108 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Intake Land Use & Planning New Construction Fire Plan Check Non Personnel Expense, Bldg Dev Non Personnel Expense, Suport Serv | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 11,291,010 2,637,067 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 10,716,420 2,879,411 11,256 8,233 682,952 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 10,192,936 687,680 119,108 - 251,944 |
| Solid Wste Local Enfremnt Agey Total DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRIST Development Services Enterprise Administration Customer Needs Determination Department Support Development & Permit Info Financial Services Inspection Services Inspection Services Intake Land Use & Planning New Construction Fire Plan Check Non Personnel Expense, Bldg Dev Non Personnel Expense, Management | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 16,926 931,929 3,278,665 250 7,710,332 1,957 1,771,029 9,936,169 76,334 11,291,010 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 2,854 934,850 2,491,963 250 6,903,162 1,957 1,726,681 10,124,328 76,334 10,716,420 2,879,411 11,256 8,233 | * * * * * * * * * * * | (8,247) 894,705 1,913,558 250 7,541,897 1,957 2,035,655 9,402,187 76,334 10,192,936 687,680 119,108 |

| | | FY 2008 BUDGET | FY 2009 BUDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
|--|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRI | SE FUND | | | |
| Development Services Enterprise | | | | |
| Planning & Development Review | \$ | (7,945,478) | \$ (9,647,606) | \$ (9,889,021) |
| Planning Project Review | \$ | 3,439,319 | \$ 3,502,259 | \$ 3,129,509 |
| Project Management | \$ | 3,924,942 | \$ 3,868,081 | \$ 4,246,227 |
| Project Submittal | \$ | 2,578,632 | \$ 2,576,545 | \$ 2,231,009 |
| Project Submittal and Support | \$ | 4,285 | \$ 4,285 | \$ 4,285 |
| Project with Plans (Submitted) | \$ | 592,604 | \$ 151,354 | \$ 151,354 |
| Public Information | \$ | 1,169,919 | \$ 1,145,136 | \$ 1,063,197 |
| Records | \$ | 102,129 | \$ 102,129 | \$ 102,129 |
| Support/Plan Processing | \$ | 888,936 | \$ 772,561 | \$ 278,471 |
| Technical Information Services | \$ | 1,727,440 | \$ 1,392,061 | \$ 1,721,311 |
| Training | \$ | 13,625 | \$ 12,803 | \$ 12,612 |
| Unreinforced Masonry | \$ | 1,539,886 | \$ 1,439,590 | \$ 1,310,234 |
| Total | \$ | 52,797,045 | \$ 49,281,163 | \$ 44,009,130 |
| Project Management | | | | |
| Project Management | \$ | 167,232 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Project Submittal | \$ | 83,615 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Total | \$ | 250,847 | \$ - | \$ - |
| DEPARTMENT TOTAL | \$ | 60,683,737 | \$ 56,715,360 | \$ 51,434,432 |

Department Expenditures

Significant Budget Adjustments

GENERAL FUND

| Neighborhood Code Compliance | Positions | Cost | Revenue |
|---|-----------|------------|---------|
| Salary and Benefit Adjustments | 0.00 \$ | 112,438 \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to reflect the annualization of the Fiscal Year 2009 negotiated salary compensation schedule, changes to average salaries, retirement contributions, retiree health contributions, and labor negotiation adjustments. | | | |
| Equipment/Support for Information Technology | 0.00 \$ | 235,598 \$ | 0 |
| Funding allocated according to a zero-based annual review of information technology funding requirements and priority analyses. | | | |
| Funding of Terminal Leave | 0.00 \$ | 107,662 \$ | 0 |
| Funding of additional personnel expenditures for terminal leave paid to employees who upon conclusion of their tenure | | | |

leave paid to employees who, upon conclusion of their tenure with the City, possess a large leave balance.

Significant Budget Adjustments

GENERAL FUND

| Neighborhood Code Compliance | Positions | Cost | Revenue |
|--|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Revised Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Revenue | 0.00 \$ | 0\$ | (375,667) |
| Adjustment to reflect the revised CDBG allocation for Fiscal Year 2010. | | | |
| Revenue from New/Revised User Fees | 0.00 \$ | 0\$ | 16,000 |
| Adjustment to reflect an anticipated increase from the implementation of new and revised user fee charges. | | | |
| Revised Revenue | 0.00 \$ | 0\$ | 176,500 |
| Adjustment to reflect Fiscal Year 2010 revenue projections. | | | |
| Revised Redevelopment Agency Revenue | 0.00 \$ | 0 \$ | 263,034 |
| Adjustment to reflect revised revenue from a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Redevelopment Agency. | | | |
| Non-Discretionary Adjustment | 0.00 \$ | (25,986) \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to expense allocations that are determined outside of the department's direct control. These adjustments are generally based on prior year expenditure trends and examples of these include utilities, insurance, and rent. | | | |
| Vacancy Savings | 0.00 \$ | (128,548) \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to personnel expenses to reflect the anticipated savings resulting from positions projected to be vacant for any period of the fiscal year due to personnel attrition and salary differentials for new employees. | | | |
| Fiscal Year 2009 Budget Amendment | (4.00) \$ | (269,914) \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to personnel and non-personnel expenses, and revenue as a result of the Fiscal Year 2009 Budget Amendment. These adjustments are further discussed in Volume 1: Budget Overview and Schedules. | | | |

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND

| Development Services Enterprise | Positions | Cost | Revenue |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------|
| Salary and Benefit Adjustments | 0.00 \$ | 8,120,709 \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to reflect the annualization of the Fiscal Year 2009 negotiated salary compensation schedule, changes to average salaries, retirement contributions, retiree health contributions, and labor negotiation adjustments. | | | |
| Non-Discretionary Adjustment | 0.00 \$ | 865,318 \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to expense allocations that are determined outside of the department's direct control. These adjustments are | | | |

of the department's direct control. These adjustments are generally based on prior year expenditure trends and examples of these include utilities, insurance, and rent.

Significant Budget Adjustments

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND

| Development Services Enterprise | Positions | Cost | Revenue |
|--|------------|----------------|-------------|
| Mandated Addition | 0.00 \$ | 30,000 \$ | 0 |
| Addition of non-personnel expenses for a heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system replacement. | | | |
| Purchase Order Re-budget | 0.00 \$ | 7,033 \$ | 0 |
| Adjustment to reflect the re-budgeting of remaining balances in open purchase orders from Fiscal Years 2007 and 2008. | | | |
| One-Time Reduction | 0.00 \$ | 0 \$ | (700,000) |
| Adjustment to reflect the removal of one-time revenues and expenditures implemented in Fiscal Year 2009. | | | |
| Revised Revenue | 0.00 \$ | 0 \$ | (974,961) |
| Adjustment to reflect Fiscal Year 2010 revenue projections. | | | |
| City Planning and Community Investment Transfer | (1.00) \$ | (95,437) \$ | 0 |
| Transfer of 1.00 Associate Planner position from the Development Services Department to the City Planning and Community Investment Department. | | | |
| Special Pay Adjustment | 0.00 \$ | (182,000) \$ | 0 |
| Adjustment to reduce expenses for special pay associated with the 15.00 FTE positions transferred from the Development Services Department to the Fire-Rescue Department. | | | |
| Equipment/Support for Information Technology | 0.00 \$ | (307,401) \$ | 0 |
| Funding allocated according to a zero-based annual review of information technology funding requirements and priority analyses. | | | |
| Fire-Rescue Transfer | (15.00) \$ | (1,938,907) \$ | (1,994,667) |
| Transfer of 15.00 FTE positions and related non-personnel expenses and revenues from the Development Services Department to the Fire-Rescue Department per a Service Level Agreement (SLA). | | | |
| Personnel Reductions | (27.00) \$ | (2,557,058) \$ | 0 |
| Reduction of 27.00 FTE positions in response to a continuing decline in the department's development permit and inspection workload. | | | |
| Vacancy Savings | 0.00 \$ | (9,214,290) \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to personnel expenses to reflect the anticipated savings resulting from positions projected to be vacant for any period of the fiscal year due to personnel attrition and salary differentials for new employees. | | | |

Significant Budget Adjustments

SOLID WASTE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

| Solid Waste Local Enforcement Agency | Positions | Cost | Revenue |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Salary and Benefit Adjustments | 0.00 \$ | (39,999) \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to reflect the annualization of the Fiscal Year 2009 negotiated salary compensation schedule, changes to average salaries, retirement contributions, retiree health contributions, and labor negotiation adjustments. | | | |
| Non-Discretionary Adjustment | 0.00 \$ | 384 \$ | 0 |
| Adjustments to expense allocations that are determined outside of the department's direct control. These adjustments are generally based on prior year expenditure trends and examples of these include utilities, insurance, and rent. | | | |
| Equipment/Support for Information Technology | 0.00 \$ | (530) \$ | 0 |
| Funding allocated according to a zero-based annual review of information technology funding requirements and priority | | | |

analyses.

| Expenditures by Category | FY 2008 BUDGET | FY 2009 BUDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| PERSONNEL | | | |
| Salaries & Wages | \$ 29,793,418 | \$ 26,496,839 | \$ 22,096,749 |
| Fringe Benefits | \$ 17,297,424 | \$ 16,451,867 | \$ 14,895,753 |
| SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL | \$ 47,090,842 | \$ 42,948,706 | \$ 36,992,502 |
| NON-PERSONNEL | | | |
| Supplies & Services | \$ 8,629,896 | \$ 9,440,853 | \$ 10,253,974 |
| Information Technology | \$ 3,799,648 | \$ 3,074,866 | \$ 3,063,098 |
| Energy/Utilities | \$ 759,748 | \$ 847,332 | \$ 721,255 |
| Equipment Outlay | \$ 403,603 | \$ 403,603 | \$ 403,603 |
| SUBTOTAL NON-PERSONNEL | \$ 13,592,895 | \$ 13,766,654 | \$ 14,441,930 |
| TOTAL | \$ 60,683,737 | \$ 56,715,360 | \$ 51,434,432 |
| Revenues by Category | FY 2008 BUDGET | FY 2009 BUDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
| GENERAL FUND | | | |
| Licenses and Permits | \$ 54,000 | \$ 54,000 | \$ 82,000 |
| Fines, Forfeitures, and Penalties | \$ 44,000 | \$ 44,000 | \$ 307,034 |
| Revenue from Other Agencies | \$ 215,500 | \$ 215,500 | \$ 210,000 |
| Charges for Current Services | \$ 996,588 | \$ 405,767 | \$ 200,100 |
| Transfers from Other Funds | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,000 |

| Rev | venues by Category | | FY 2 BUD | | | | Y 2009 UDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------|--------|----|-----------------|------------------|
| GEN | NERAL FUND | | | | | | | |
| Ot | her Revenues | \$ | 1 | 1,000 | \$ | | 1,000 \$ | 1,000 |
| тот | FAL | \$ | 1,321 | 1,088 | \$ | , | 730,267 \$ | 810,134 |
| Sala | ary Schedule | | | | | | | |
| GENE | ERAL FUND | | | | | | | |
| | borhood Code Compliance | | | | | | | |
| | - | | 2009 | | 2010 | | | |
| Class | Position Title | Pos | sitions | Pos | itions | | Salary | Total |
| 1105 | Administrative Aide I | | 0.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 42,243 | \$ 42,243 |
| 1218 | Assoc Management Analyst | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 61,687 | \$ 61,687 |
| 1277 | Combination Inspector II | | 13.00 | | 15.00 | \$ | 64,586 | \$ 968,786 |
| 1352 | Community Development Spec II | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 62,194 | \$ 62,194 |
| 1356 | Code Compliance Officer | | 9.00 | | 4.00 | \$ | 42,838 | \$ 171,352 |
| 1465 | Field Representative | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 37,749 | \$ 37,749 |
| 1535 | Clerical Assistant II | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 34,340 | \$ 34,340 |
| 1648 | Payroll Specialist II | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 40,262 | \$ 40,262 |
| 1746 | Word Processing Operator | | 4.00 | | 3.00 | \$ | 36,514 | \$ 109,542 |
| 1776 | Public Information Clerk | | 3.00 | | 2.00 | \$ | 36,314 | \$ 72,627 |
| 1849 | Sr Combination Inspector | | 2.00 | | 2.00 | \$ | 74,175 | \$ 148,350 |
| 1855 | Sr Civil Engineer | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 89,183 | \$ 89,183 |
| 1872 | Sr Planner | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 75,857 | \$ 75,857 |
| 1879 | Sr Clerk/Typist | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 41,822 | \$ 41,822 |
| 1880 | Sr Zoning Investigator | | 5.00 | | 5.00 | \$ | 64,545 | \$ 322,727 |
| 1974 | Utility Supv | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 49,708 | \$ 49,708 |
| 1978 | Utility Worker I | | 2.00 | | 2.00 | \$ | 36,233 | \$ 72,466 |
| 1979 | Utility Worker II | | 5.00 | | 5.00 | \$ | 39,666 | \$ 198,328 |
| 1998 | Zoning Investigator II | | 15.00 | | 15.00 | \$ | 57,331 | \$ 859,971 |
| 2214 | Deputy Director | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | \$ | 111,549 | \$ 111,549 |
| | Vacancy Factor Adjustment | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ (128,548) |
| | Furlough Savings | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ (79,710) |
| | Field Training Pay | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ 3,393 |
| | Overtime Budgeted | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ 16,788 |
| | Reg Pay For Engineers | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ 9,858 |
| | Termination Pay Annual Leave | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ 107,662 |
| | Total | | 68.00 | | 64.00 | | | \$ 3,500,186 |

Salary Schedule

SOLID WASTE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

Solid Waste Local Enforcement Agency

| | | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Class | Position Title | Positions | Positions | Salary | Total |
| 1526 | Hazardous Materials Insp I | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 51,728 | \$ 51,728 |
| 1535 | Clerical Assistant II | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 34,340 | \$ 34,340 |
| 1544 | Hazardous Material Inspector III | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$ 69,660 | \$ 208,980 |
| 2270 | Program Manager | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 95,554 | \$ 95,554 |
| | Furlough Savings | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ (7,376) |
| | Total | 6.00 | 6.00 | | \$ 383,226 |

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND

Development Services Enterprise

| Deven | pinent bei vices Enterprise | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Class | Position Title | Positions | PT 2010 Positions | Salary | Total |
| 1104 | Account Clerk | 3.00 | 2.00 | \$ 36,680 | \$ 73,359 |
| 1105 | Administrative Aide I | 0.00 | 1.00 | \$ 42,243 | \$ 42,243 |
| 1106 | Sr Management Analyst | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 69,135 | \$ 138,270 |
| 1107 | Administrative Aide II | 4.00 | 4.00 | \$ 48,897 | \$ 195,588 |
| 1153 | Asst Engineer-Civil | 20.00 | 18.00 | \$ 66,931 | \$ 1,204,750 |
| 1162 | Electrical Inspector II | 8.00 | 8.00 | \$ 64,586 | \$ 516,685 |
| 1163 | Sr Electrical Inspector | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 74,175 | \$ 74,175 |
| 1172 | Mechanical Inspector II | 7.00 | 6.00 | \$ 64,807 | \$ 388,844 |
| 1173 | Sr Mechanical Inspector | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 74,429 | \$ 74,429 |
| 1178 | Structural Inspector II | 13.00 | 12.00 | \$ 64,537 | \$ 774,440 |
| 1179 | Sr Structural Inspector | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 74,074 | \$ 148,148 |
| 1184 | Development Project Manager I | 11.00 | 10.00 | \$ 67,513 | \$ 675,130 |
| 1185 | Development Project Manager II | 15.00 | 14.00 | \$ 77,928 | \$ 1,090,997 |
| 1186 | Development Project Manager III | 8.00 | 9.00 | \$ 90,066 | \$ 810,591 |
| 1194 | Auto Messenger II | 2.00 | 1.00 | \$ 34,903 | \$ 34,903 |
| 1207 | Asst Engineer-Traffic | 8.00 | 8.00 | \$ 67,336 | \$ 538,690 |
| 1218 | Assoc Management Analyst | 3.00 | 4.00 | \$ 61,687 | \$ 246,749 |
| 1221 | Assoc Engineer-Civil | 8.00 | 8.00 | \$ 77,530 | \$ 620,242 |
| 1223 | Assoc Engineer-Electrical | 4.00 | 3.00 | \$ 77,881 | \$ 233,643 |
| 1225 | Assoc Engineer-Mechanical | 4.00 | 3.00 | \$ 77,867 | \$ 233,602 |
| 1227 | Assoc Planner | 41.00 | 39.00 | \$ 64,561 | \$ 2,517,869 |
| 1231 | Structural Engineering Assoc | 19.00 | 17.00 | \$ 78,036 | \$ 1,326,612 |
| 1233 | Assoc Engineer-Traffic | 5.00 | 6.00 | \$ 77,564 | \$ 465,382 |
| 1243 | Info Systems Administrator | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 85,917 | \$ 85,917 |
| 1277 | Combination Inspector II | 37.00 | 33.00 | \$ 64,586 | \$ 2,131,326 |
| 1328 | Apprentice - Electrician | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 41,065 | \$ 41,065 |
| 1330 | Cashier | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$ 36,126 | \$ 108,378 |
| 1348 | Info Systems Analyst II | 4.00 | 4.00 | \$ 61,372 | \$ 245,489 |
| 1382 | Legislative Recorder I | 0.00 | 1.00 | \$ 45,476 | \$ 45,476 |
| | | | | | |

Salary Schedule

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND

Development Services Enterprise

| Devel | opment Services Enterprise | TH 2 000 | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Class | Position Title | FY 2009 Positions | FY 2010 Positions | Salary | Total |
| 1423 | Sr Drafting Aide | 5.00 | 5.00 | \$ 51,662 | \$ 258,312 |
| 1457 | Sr Engineer-Fire Protection | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 89,954 | \$ 89,954 |
| 1475 | Fire Prevention Inspector II | 14.00 | 2.00 | \$ 74,346 | \$ 148,692 |
| 1476 | Fire Prevention Supv | 2.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ - |
| 1535 | Clerical Assistant II | 29.00 | 26.00 | \$ 34,340 | \$ 892,831 |
| 1555 | Junior Engineering Aide | 2.00 | 1.00 | \$ 45,177 | \$ 45,177 |
| 1622 | Biologist III | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 72,326 | \$ 72,326 |
| 1638 | Park Designer | 0.00 | 1.00 | \$ 77,503 | \$ 77,503 |
| 1648 | Payroll Specialist II | 4.00 | 4.00 | \$ 40,262 | \$ 161,046 |
| 1657 | Plan Review Specialist III | 39.00 | 34.00 | \$ 58,768 | \$ 1,998,118 |
| 1658 | Plan Review Specialist IV | 7.00 | 6.00 | \$ 64,462 | \$ 386,774 |
| 1727 | Principal Engineering Aide | 3.00 | 2.00 | \$ 58,255 | \$ 116,510 |
| 1746 | Word Processing Operator | 14.00 | 14.00 | \$ 36,514 | \$ 511,197 |
| 1751 | Project Officer I | 1.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ - |
| 1776 | Public Information Clerk | 20.00 | 17.00 | \$ 36,313 | \$ 617,326 |
| 1806 | Sr Engineering Geologist | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 90,368 | \$ 90,368 |
| 1830 | Sr Mechanical Engineer | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 89,875 | \$ 89,875 |
| 1840 | Sr Cashier | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 41,036 | \$ 41,036 |
| 1844 | Sr Account Clerk | 0.00 | 1.00 | \$ 41,440 | \$ 41,440 |
| 1849 | Sr Combination Inspector | 7.00 | 6.00 | \$ 74,175 | \$ 445,050 |
| 1855 | Sr Civil Engineer | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 89,183 | \$ 178,365 |
| 1861 | Sr Engineering Aide | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 52,002 | \$ 52,002 |
| 1871 | Sr Public Information Officer | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 62,840 | \$ 62,840 |
| 1872 | Sr Planner | 16.00 | 15.00 | \$ 75,857 | \$ 1,137,851 |
| 1875 | Structural Engineering Sr | 7.00 | 7.00 | \$ 90,149 | \$ 631,044 |
| 1876 | Executive Secretary | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 49,992 | \$ 49,992 |
| 1879 | Sr Clerk/Typist | 8.00 | 7.00 | \$ 41,822 | \$ 292,751 |
| 1917 | Supv Management Analyst | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 77,888 | \$ 77,888 |
| 1926 | Info Systems Analyst IV | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 77,568 | \$ 155,136 |
| 1928 | Supv Plan Review Specialist | 8.00 | 8.00 | \$ 70,729 | \$ 565,833 |
| 1938 | Land Surveying Asst | 5.00 | 5.00 | \$ 67,377 | \$ 336,885 |
| 1939 | Land Surveying Assoc | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 76,729 | \$ 76,729 |
| 1940 | Supv Public Info Officer | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 67,778 | \$ 67,778 |
| 2105 | Asst Development Services Director | 1.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2131 | Development Services Director | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 139,196 | \$ 139,196 |
| 2202 | Building Inspection Supv | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 94,778 | \$ 189,556 |
| 2214 | Deputy Director | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 118,833 | \$ 237,666 |
| 2250 | Asst Deputy Director | 4.00 | 4.00 | \$ 104,399 | \$ 417,595 |
| 2270 | Program Manager | 2.00 | 3.00 | \$ 110,828 | \$ 332,483 |
| | Vacancy Factor Adjustment | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ (9,214,290) |
| | Furlough Savings | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ (603,462) |
| | | | | | |

Salary Schedule

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND

Development Services Enterprise

| Class | Position Title | FY 2009 Positions | FY 2010 Positions | Salary | Total |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|
| | Bilingual - Regular | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,358 |
| | Engineering Geologist Pay | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ 125,424 |
| | Overtime Budgeted | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,051,558 |
| | Reg Pay For Engineers | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ 681,632 |
| | Total | 453.00 | 410.00 | | \$ 18,213,337 |
| DEVE | CLOPMENT SERVICES TOTAL | 527.00 | 480.00 | | \$ 22,096,749 |

Revenue and Expense Statement(Non-General Fund)DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUNDFY 2008*FY 2009*FY 2010

| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND | FY 2008* BUDGET | FY 2009* BUDGET | | FY 2010 FINAL |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|------|------------------|
| BEGINNING BALANCE AND RESERVE | | | | |
| Balance from Prior Year | \$ 5,047,378 | \$ 6,945 | \$ (| (11,638,205) |
| Development Services Office Space Reserve | \$ 1,300,000 | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Information Technology Reserve | \$ 1,200,000 | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Prior Year Encumbrance | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| TOTAL BALANCE | \$ 7,597,378 | \$ 56,945 | \$ (| (11,588,205) |
| REVENUE | , , | , | | ()) |
| Affordable Housing Expedite Program | \$ 147,984 | \$ 536,244 | \$ | 536,244 |
| Building Plan Check Fees | \$ 7,319,261 | \$ 7,740,713 | \$ | 7,083,511 |
| Commerical, Multi-Family & Industrial Building | \$ 2,774,948 | \$ 2,867,827 | \$ | 2,624,343 |
| Decrease/(Increase) in Subdivision Account Liability | \$ 500,000 | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Decrease/(Increase) in Work in Progress Liability | \$ 780,956 | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Deposit Accounts | \$ 13,004,464 | \$ 13,902,955 | \$ | 13,022,567 |
| Engineering Permits | \$ 517,999 | \$ 587,310 | \$ | 537,446 |
| Fire Plan Check and Inspection | \$ 2,652,514 | \$ 2,952,932 | \$ | 2,702,223 |
| Interest - Revenue from Money and Property | \$ 1,325,671 | \$ 877,072 | \$ | 802,607 |
| Land Development Review Fees | \$ 2,640,061 | \$ 6,438,202 | \$ | 5,391,586 |
| Mechanical, Plumbing & Electrical Permits | \$ 5,311,184 | \$ 5,069,982 | \$ | 4,970,815 |
| Miscellaneous Building Permits | \$ 4,499,557 | \$ 3,642,337 | \$ | 3,633,096 |
| Other Revenues | \$ 673,284 | \$ 1,502,361 | \$ | 1,374,808 |
| Reimbursement for Fire Permit Fees Waived | \$ - | \$ 700,000 | \$ | 700,000 |
| Reimbursement for Transnet | \$ 526,935 | \$ - | \$ | - |
| Single Family/Duplex Permits | \$ 2,188,886 | \$ 1,915,671 | \$ | 1,753,027 |
| Water & Sewer Projects Reimbursement | \$ 169,398 | \$ 189,545 | \$ | 173,452 |
| Zoning and Sign Permits | \$ 524,349 | \$ 614,847 | \$ | 562,645 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | \$ 45,557,451 | \$ 49,537,998 | \$ | 45,868,370 |
| TOTAL BALANCE AND REVENUE | \$ 53,154,829 | \$ 49,594,943 | \$ | 34,280,165 |
| CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM (CIP) | | | | |
| HVAC - Ridgehaven CIP Project | \$ - | \$ 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 |
| TOTAL CIP EXPENSE | \$ - | \$ 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 |
| OPERATING EXPENSE | | | | |
| Non-Personnel Expense | \$ 12,400,871 | \$ 12,558,433 | \$ | 13,024,243 |
| Personnel Expense | \$ 40,647,015 | \$ 36,722,730 | \$ | 30,984,887 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE | \$ 53,047,886 | \$ 49,281,163 | \$ | 44,009,130 |
| EXPENDITURE OF PRIOR YEAR FUND | | | | |
| Prior Year Expenditures | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF PRIOR YEAR FUND | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| TOTAL EXPENSE | \$ 53,097,886 | \$ 49,361,163 | \$ | 44,089,130 |

Revenue and Expense Statement (Non-General Fund)

| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND | FY 2008* BUDGET | FY 2009* BUDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| RESERVE | | | |
| Reserve for Encumbrance | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| TOTAL RESERVE | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| BALANCE | \$ 6,943 | \$ 183,780 | \$ (9,858,965) |
| TOTAL EXPENSE, RESERVE, AND BALANCE | \$ 53,154,829 | \$ 49,594,943 | \$ 34,280,165 |

* The Fiscal Years 2008 and 2009 columns reflect final budget amounts from the Fiscal Year 2008 and 2009 Annual Budgets. As such, balances and reserves do not reflect carryover from the previous fiscal year.

Revenue and Expense Statement (Non-General Fund)

| SOLID WASTE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FUND 10235 | FY 2008* BUDGET | FY 2009* BUDGET | FY 2010 FINAL |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| BEGINNING BALANCE AND RESERVE | | | |
| Balance from Prior Year | \$ 333,832 | \$ 247,931 | \$ 170,609 |
| Prior Year Reserves | \$ 250,000 | \$ 250,000 | \$ 250,000 |
| TOTAL BALANCE | \$ 583,832 | \$ 497,931 | \$ 420,609 |
| REVENUE | | | , |
| Facility Fees | \$ 246,465 | \$ 246,465 | \$ 246,465 |
| Interest | \$ 8,500 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 |
| Services to Other Funds | \$ 273,863 | \$ 273,863 | \$ 273,863 |
| Tonnage Fees | \$ 317,200 | \$ 317,200 | \$ 317,200 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | \$ 846,028 | \$ 857,528 | \$ 857,528 |
| TOTAL BALANCE AND REVENUE | \$ 1,429,860 | \$ 1,355,459 | \$ 1,278,137 |
| OPERATING EXPENSE | | | |
| Non-Personnel Expense | \$ 317,492 | \$ 325,030 | \$ 324,884 |
| Personnel Services | \$ 614,437 | \$ 609,820 | \$ 569,821 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE | \$ 931,929 | \$ 934,850 | \$ 894,705 |
| TOTAL EXPENSE | \$ 931,929 | \$ 934,850 | \$ 894,705 |
| RESERVE | | | |
| Contingency Reserve | \$ 250,000 | \$ 250,000 | \$ - |
| Reserve for Encumbrance | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000 |
| TOTAL RESERVE | \$ 250,000 | \$ 250,000 | \$ 250,000 |
| BALANCE | \$ 247,931 | \$ 170,609 | \$ 133,432 |
| TOTAL EXPENSE, RESERVE, AND BALANCE | \$ 1,429,860 | \$ 1,355,459 | \$ 1,278,137 |

* The Fiscal Years 2008 and 2009 columns reflect final budget amounts from the Fiscal Year 2008 and 2009 Annual Budgets. As such, balances and reserves do not reflect carryover from the previous fiscal year.