

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 (Santaluz), Improvement Area No. 1; Special Assessments

Primary Credit Analyst:

Kaiti Wang, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5084; kaiti.wang@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Michael Z Stock, New York (1) 212-438-2611; michael.stock@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 (Santaluz), Improvement Area No. 1; Special Assessments

Credit Profile

San Diego Comnty Facs Dist No. 2 (Santaluz) Imp Area No. 1 spl tax rfdg bnds

Long Term Rating

BBB+/Stable

Affirmed

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed its 'BBB+' rating on San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 (Santaluz) Improvement Area No. 1, Calif.'s series 2011A special tax refunding bonds. The outlook is stable.

The rating reflects our view of:

- The adequate, 17.2 to 1 combined direct and overlapping value-to-lien (VTL);
- A Standard & Poor's calculated 16% of the levy from properties with an overall VTL ratio below 10 to 1; and
- Only adequate coverage of 1.1x annual debt service from special tax revenue, including from property without improvement value.

The preceding credit weaknesses are offset in part by our view of:

- The diverse, primarily residential nature of the district in San Diego;
- An improved tax collection rate in the past couple of years; and
- A closed parity lien except for refunding purposes.

The bonds are secured by special taxes collected from real property in the district, net of administrative expenses.

San Diego Community Facilities District No. 2 is 20 miles northeast of downtown San Diego and encompasses 2,546 gross acres. The district is primarily residential and levied a special tax on 996 parcels in fiscal 2014 with 84% of the special tax levy from completed parcels.

The district's direct and overlapping, or overall, VTL ratio is about 17.2 to 1 in fiscal 2015, reflecting what we consider to be a relatively large amount of district debt. The direct VTL ratio in fiscal 2015 is 27.6 to 1. In fiscal 2015, district assessed value (AV) increased 14.4% to about \$1.25 billion, marking the second year of AV increase after the recession. While the obligation to pay special taxes is unrelated to AV, AV does drive VTL ratios on the properties.

In our view, given the primarily residential composition of the district, the tax base is diverse, with the top 10 taxpayers responsible for 6.4% of the special taxes. The leading taxpayer, a golf course, constitutes only 2.7% of the fiscal 2015 special tax levy.

Special tax rates vary across parcels and are based on square footage. The fiscal 2015 actual special tax ranged from \$1,645 to \$6,393, depending on square footage, for a developed single family residence. An "undeveloped" property, as defined by the rate and method, is property owned by owners in the business of home building. The assigned maximum special tax for an undeveloped lot is very high, in our view, at \$22,969; there are nine undeveloped parcels left in the district. While the district has not levied special taxes on undeveloped property as defined by the rate and method, the 2015 special tax levy is imposed on 76 vacant residential parcels that are undeveloped and owned by nonhomebuilders. Given the level of unimproved property, about 16% of the fiscal 2015 levy is generated by properties with an overall VTL under 10 to 1, which we consider weak.

The median AV for improved single-family homes in the district is about \$1 million. The district's effective tax rate is about 1.44% in fiscal 2015.

About 0.65% of the fiscal 2014 tax levy was delinquent as of August 2014; however, all of the previous-year delinquencies had been collected. We understand the district has historically set the actual levy based on historical delinquency rates, estimated administrative fees, and debt service.

The district's 2014 levy represented roughly 63% of its maximum levy. The maximum tax levy would generate \$6.2 million, which would cover annual debt service in each year by about 1.5x. However, we estimate a lower practical levy given state law that limits the levy increase to 10% on residential owners as a result of delinquencies. Assuming the district was to levy at 1.1x annual debt service, it could withstand a 14.3% delinquency rate over the life of the bonds with the use of the debt service reserve.

Median household effective buying income for San Diego is strong in our view, at 117% of the national level. Unemployment for both the city and San Diego County was 5.2% in December 2014.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the district's diversity and strong median incomes, which we believe will likely provide support for continued good collections and coverage. Given the level of unimproved properties in the district, we are unlikely to raise the rating in the next two years. If there is substantial development on vacant parcels, and delinquency rates remain low, we could raise the rating. If delinquency rates were to return to the higher levels seen in previous years, or if AV values fall significantly, we could lower the rating.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

USPF Criteria: Special-Purpose Districts, June 14, 2007

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.