

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# San Diego, California; Appropriations; General Obligation

### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Misty L Newland, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5073; misty.newland@standardandpoors.com

### **Secondary Contact:**

Lisa R Schroeer, Charlottesville (1) 434-220-0892; lisa.schroeer@standardandpoors.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

## Summary:

# San Diego, California; Appropriations; General Obligation

### Credit Profile

US\$116.915 mil lse rev rfdg bnds (San Diego) (Ballpark Rfdg) ser 2015 due 10/15/2031

*Long Term Rating*

AA-/Stable

New

## Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA-' rating to San Diego, Calif.'s lease revenue refunding bonds, series 2015 (ballpark refunding). We also affirmed our 'AA' issuer credit rating (ICR) on the city and our 'AA-' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on various authorities' lease revenue bonds, issued for San Diego. The outlook on all ratings is stable.

The city's lease revenue bonds are secured by lease payments made by San Diego, as lessee, to various authorities as lessor, for the use and possession of the leased assets. The ratings on the authorities' lease revenue bonds reflect our view of the city's general credit characteristics and its covenant to budget and appropriate annual lease payments for various properties' use. Payments are triple net, without right of set-offs, and the city is responsible for maintenance, taxes, and utilities. Payments may be abated in the event of damage to, or the destruction of, the leased assets. To mitigate abatement risk in such a case, the city has covenanted to maintain rental-interruption insurance coverage equal to 24 months of maximum annual debt service.

We understand proceeds from the series 2015 lease revenue refunding bonds will be used to refund the series 2007A (ballpark refunding) lease revenue refunding bonds. The leased asset includes the Petco Park Baseball Stadium. We evaluated the seismic risk of the leased asset pursuant to our criteria and estimated that it does not have a greater than 5% probability of incurring 25% damage during the life of the bonds.

We understand that litigation challenging the validity of the city's series 2015A and 2015B lease revenue bonds is currently pending in the California Court of Appeals. In the opinion of the city's bond counsel, the California Supreme Court, acting reasonably and properly briefed on the issues, would not conclude that the 2015A and 2015B bond approvals are invalid based on such allegations. We understand the series 2015 (ballpark refunding) lease revenue bonds are not subject to the same litigation.

The ratings reflect our assessment of the following factors for the city:

- Very strong economy, which benefits from participation in the broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Strong budgetary flexibility, with available general fund reserves equal to 14% of general fund expenditures for fiscal 2014;
- Adequate budgetary performance;

- Very strong liquidity providing very strong cash levels to cover both debt service and expenditures;
- Very strong management with strong financial policies; and
- Very weak debt and contingent liabilities profile

### **Very strong economy**

We consider the city's economy to be very strong, with projected per capita effective buying income at 119% of the U.S. and per capita market value of \$150,623. Assessed valuation (AV) reached \$206.1 billion for fiscal 2015, an increase of 6%. The city informed us that AV grew 4.2% for fiscal 2014. The city, with a population of 1.3 million, participates in the San Diego-Carlsbad, Calif. MSA, which we consider broad and diverse. The county's unemployment rate for calendar year 2014 was 6.4%. The city's unemployment rate for that year was lower, at 6.1%, and has continued to improve, with a monthly rate of 5.1% for March 2015 (preliminary, not seasonally adjusted), according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

### **Strong budgetary flexibility**

In our opinion, the city's budgetary flexibility is strong, with available general fund reserves equal to about 14% of adjusted general fund expenditures for audited fiscal 2014. Available general fund reserves include the combined unassigned and assigned balance and the restricted balance for the city's policy-required emergency reserve.

### **Adequate budgetary performance**

The city's budgetary performance factor is adequate, in our view. The fiscal 2014 general fund and total governmental funds net adjusted results include a deficit of 2.6% (after transfers) and a surplus of 4.2% (before transfers), respectively. We have excluded general fund items we view as one-time in nature, including \$22.85 million of capital lease proceeds, \$21.1 million extraordinary loss, and \$34.9 million of former redevelopment property tax revenue redistribution. The city's fiscal 2015 midyear budget monitoring report shows major general fund revenues are outperforming the adopted budget by about 1.2% and expenditures are down about 1%. General fund results are balanced if including \$13.9 million of fund balance, but show a deficit of \$8.9 million (equal to 0.7% of expenditures) if excluding the \$13.9 million of fund balance. We understand that the \$13.9 million will be used to fund the public liability reserve (\$12.8 million) and \$1.7 million for the City Council Districts community projects, programs, and services. We understand that the city's fiscal 2016 proposed general fund budget is also break even.

### **Very strong liquidity**

Supporting the city's finances is liquidity we consider very strong, with total primary government cash and investments equal to 122% of total governmental funds expenditures and 19.8x total governmental funds debt service. Due to the frequency of debt issuances and diverse types of debt, we believe the city has exceptional access to external liquidity.

### **Very strong management conditions**

We view the city's management conditions as very strong with strong financial practices. Strengths include strong oversight of budget-to-actual results during the year. The city also maintains a five-year financial forecast and capital improvement plan and a policy of maintaining a general fund emergency reserve of 8% and a stability reserve of 6%, for a total reserve minimum of 14%. The reserve is calculated as a percentage of the most recent three-year average of general fund operating revenues. The emergency reserve is held in the restricted general fund balance while the stability reserve is held within the assigned and unassigned general fund balance.

## Very weak debt and contingent liabilities profile

In our opinion, the city's debt and contingent liabilities profile is very weak, due to retiree benefit obligations that we view as large. The city contributes the annual required contribution (ARC) to the San Diego City Employees' Retirement System. The retiree benefit contributions (combined pension ARC and other postemployment benefit pay-as you-go payment) for fiscal 2014 was 18.6% of total governmental fund expenditures. According to management, the actuarial liability was 74% funded as of June 30, 2014. In 2012, voters approved Proposition B, which replaced defined benefit pension plans for new city hires (except police officers) with a 401(k)-style plan and froze pensionable pay for five years. However, we understand this is currently subject to legal challenge.

The total governmental fund debt service as a percentage of total governmental fund expenditures is 6.1%, and net direct debt (including about \$518 million of tax increment bonds) as a percentage of total governmental fund revenue is 67%. We anticipate that a planned debt issuance within the next two years will have a minimal impact on the net direct debt ratio. The city plans to issue \$270 million for public infrastructure improvements over three years starting in fiscal 2017.

## Strong institutional framework

We consider the institutional framework score for California cities with \$500,000 or more in federal awards expended a year and two or more federal programs strong based on the legislative and functional environment under which these local governments operate, including a framework that encourages transparency by requiring these local governments to perform annual financial statement audits of their entire operations. See institutional framework score for California.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the city's very strong economy and strong budgetary flexibility, which is supported by very strong management conditions. We could consider raising the ratings if retiree benefit obligations moderate significantly and budgetary performance improves to a level we consider strong, coupled with no deterioration in other factors. Although not expected, we could lower the ratings if budgetary performance and flexibility weaken.

## Related Criteria And Research

### Related Criteria

USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013

USPF Criteria: Appropriation-Backed Obligations, June 13, 2007

### Related Research

- Standard & Poor's Earthquake Model, Oct. 25, 2012
- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: California Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of May 12, 2015)

**Ratings Detail (As Of May 12, 2015) (cont.)**

San Diego lse rev bnds (Capital Improvement Projects) ser 2015A due 10/15/2044		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego lse rev bnds (Capital Improvement Projects) ser 2015B due 10/15/2033		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego lse rev rfdg bnds (Balboa Park & Mission Bay Pk Rfdg)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego lse rev & rfdg bnds (Capital Improvement Project & Old Town Light Rail Rfdg) ser 2013A due 10/15/2042		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego lse (Cap Imp Proj & Old Town Light Rail Rfdg)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego ICR due 04/30/2040		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
<b>San Diego Convtn Ctr Expansion Fing Auth, California</b>		
San Diego, California		
San Diego Convtn Ctr Expansion Fing Auth (San Diego) lse rev rfdg bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
<b>San Diego Pub Facs Fincg Auth, California</b>		
San Diego, California		
San Diego Pub Facs Fincg Auth (San Diego) lse rev bnds (Cap Imp Proj)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego Pub Facs Fincg Auth (San Diego) lse rev rfdg bnds ser 2007A		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego Pub Facs Fincg Auth (San Diego) lse rev rfdg bnds (Fire & Life Saftey Facs Rfdg)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
San Diego Pub Facs Fincg Auth (San Diego) lse rev rfdg bnds (San Diego) (Master Rfdg Proj) ser 2010A due 03/01/2040		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).