



America's Finest City

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO



Assessment Engineer's Report

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH NORTH MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT

Annual Update for Fiscal Year 2010

under the provisions of the

**San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Ordinance
of the San Diego Municipal Code**

and

**Landscaping & Lighting Act of 1972
of the California Streets & Highways Code**

Prepared For

City of San Diego, California

Prepared By

AECOM

7807 Convoy Court, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92111
(858) 268-8080

May 2009

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Mayor

Jerry Sanders

City Council Members

Sherrí Lightner
District 1

Carl DeMaio
District 5

Kevin Faulconer
District 2 (Council President Pro Tem)

Donna Frye
District 6

Todd Gloria
District 3

Marti Emerald
District 7

Anthony Young
District 4

Ben Hueso
District 8 (Council President)

City Attorney

Jan Goldsmith

Chief Operating Officer

Jay Goldstone

City Clerk

Elizabeth Maland

Independent Budget Analyst

Andrea Tevlin

City Engineer

Afshin Oskoui

Assessment Engineer

AECOM USA, Inc.

Table of Contents

Assessment Engineer's Report Black Mountain Ranch North Maintenance Assessment District

Preamble.....	1
Executive Summary	2
Background	3
District Proceedings for Fiscal Year 2010.....	3
Bond Declaration	3
District Boundary.....	4
Project Description	4
Separation of General and Special Benefits.....	5
Cost Estimate.....	6
Estimated Costs	6
Annual Cost-Indexing.....	6
Method of Apportionment.....	7
Estimated Benefit of Improvements	7
Camino Del Sur & Paseo Del Sur	7
Other Collector & Neighborhood Streets.....	7
Apportionment Methodology	8
Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs)	8
Land Use Factor	8
Unit Assessment Rates	10
Summary Results	12

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A: Boundary Map

Exhibit B: Estimated Annual Expense, Revenues & Reserves

Exhibit C: Assessment Roll

Assessment Engineer's Report Black Mountain Ranch North Maintenance Assessment District

Preamble

Pursuant to the provisions of the "San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Ordinance" (being Division 2, Article 5, Chapter VI beginning at Section 65.0201 of the San Diego Municipal Code), provisions of the "Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972" (being Part 2 of Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code), applicable provisions of "Proposition 218" (being Article XIID of the California Constitution), and provisions of the "Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act" (being California Senate Bill 919) (the aforementioned provisions are hereinafter referred to collectively as "Assessment Law"), in connection with the proceedings for the BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH NORTH MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT (hereinafter referred to as "District"), AECOM USA, INC., as Assessment Engineer to the City of San Diego for these proceedings, submits herewith this report for the District as required by California Streets and Highways Code Section 22565.

FINAL APPROVAL, BY RESOLUTION NO. _____ ,
ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN
DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, ON THE
_____ DAY OF _____, 2009.

Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Executive Summary

Project: Black Mountain Ranch North
 Maintenance Assessment District

Apportionment Method: Equivalent Benefit Unit (EBU)

	FY 2009	FY 2010⁽¹⁾	Maximum⁽²⁾ Authorized
Total Parcels Assessed:	1,402	1,456	--
Total Estimated Assessment:	\$191,492	\$191,551	--
Total Number of EBUs:	5,632.86	5,639.51	--
<i>Zone A</i>	1,062.78	1,062.78	--
<i>Zone B</i>	12.00	12.00	--
<i>Zone C</i>	58.00	58.00	--
<i>Zone D</i>	618.83	618.83	--
<i>Zone E</i>	575.24	575.24	--
<i>Zone F</i>	1,756.28	1,756.28	--
<i>Zone G</i>	609.34	609.34	--
<i>Zone H</i>	940.39	947.04	--
Assessment per EBU:			
<i>Zone A</i>	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$12.48 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone B</i>	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$201.48 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone C</i>	\$25.98	\$25.98	\$32.89 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone D</i>	\$129.36	\$129.36	\$155.33 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone E</i>	\$98.38	\$98.38	\$118.63 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone F</i>	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$34.75 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone G</i>	\$33.32	\$33.32	\$41.57 ⁽³⁾
<i>Zone H</i>	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$24.60 ⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾ FY 2010 is the City's Fiscal Year 2010, which begins July 1, 2009 and ends June 30, 2010. Total Parcels Assessed, Total Estimated Assessment, and Total Number of EBUs may vary from prior fiscal year values due to parcel changes and/or land use re-classifications.

⁽²⁾ Maximum authorized annual amounts subject to cost-indexing provisions as set forth in this Assessment Engineer's Report.

⁽³⁾ Prior fiscal year's maximum authorized annual assessment increased by cost-indexing factor of 6.16%.

Annual Cost-Indexing: The maximum authorized assessment rate has been increased based on the approved annual cost-indexing provisions.

Bonds: No bonds will be issued in connection with this District.

Background

Black Mountain Ranch – “Subarea 1” of the City of San Diego (City) North City Future Urbanizing Area – is located east of Fairbanks Ranch and north of Torrey Highlands. The purpose of the Black Mountain Ranch North Maintenance Assessment District (District) is to fund annual maintenance of identified lighting improvements within the northern portion of Subarea 1. The City retained AECOM USA, Inc. (AECOM), formerly Boyle Engineering Corporation, to prepare an Assessment Engineer’s Report for Fiscal Year 2007 and beyond. The Assessment Engineer’s Report was approved and assessments confirmed in Fiscal Year 2007.

District Proceedings for Fiscal Year 2010

This District is authorized and administered under the provisions of the “San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Ordinance” (being Division 2, Article 5, Chapter VI beginning at Section 65.0201 of the San Diego Municipal Code), provisions of the “Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972” (being Part 2 of Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code), applicable provisions of “Proposition 218” (being Article XIID of the California Constitution), and provisions of the “Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act” (being California Senate Bill 919) (the aforementioned provisions are hereinafter referred to collectively as “Assessment Law”). This report has been prepared in compliance with Assessment Law.

The purpose of the proposed proceedings and this Assessment Engineer’s Report is to update the District budget and assessments for Fiscal Year 2010. The Fiscal Year 2010 assessments proposed within this Assessment Engineer’s Report are equal to or less than the maximum authorized assessment. Therefore, the vote requirements of Section 4 of Article XIID do not apply to these proceedings.

A public hearing will be scheduled where public testimony will be heard by the Council, and the Council may, at its discretion, adopt a resolution ordering the levying of the proposed assessments.

Bond Declaration

No bonds will be issued in connection with this District.

District Boundary

The boundary of the District generally coincides with the northern portion of the Black Mountain Ranch, areas which receive direct and immediate access from the improvement (maintenance) corridors. For benefit apportionment purposes, the District has been divided into eight zones (denoted as "A," "B," "C," "D," "E," "F," "G" and "H") as shown in Exhibit A.


The Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram for the District are on file in the Maintenance Assessment Districts section of the Park and Recreation Department of the City of San Diego, and by reference are made a part of this report. The Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram for the District are available for public inspection during normal business hours. A reduced copy of the Boundary Map is included as Exhibit A.

Project Description


The project to be funded by the proposed assessments is the annual maintenance of identified street lighting improvements (i.e., decorative acorn style and cobra style fixtures) within the District. The District improvements are generally located as shown in Figure 1. For additional detail as to location and type of improvements, please refer to the engineering plans and specifications on file with the City Park and Recreation Department. The improvements include, but are not limited to, the following: poles, fixtures, bulbs, conduits, and other appurtenant facilities required to provide such lighting service. Maintenance includes, but is not limited to, the furnishing services and materials necessary for the ordinary and usual operation, preservation, repair, and rehabilitation of the District improvements.

The proposed assessments may be used to construct and/or maintain additional improvements of like character and nature within the District to the extent that such improvements are consistent with the current apportionment methodology.

The engineering drawings for the improvements to be maintained by the District are on file at Map and Records in the Development Services Department. The improvements and services provided by the District will be maintained in accordance with specifications and contracts on file with the Park and Recreation Department. These documents are



NOTE:
ANY LUMINAIRE USED THROUGHOUT THE DEVELOPMENT THAT IS A DEVIATION FROM THE STANDARD STREET LUMINAIRE AS OUTLINED BY THE STREET DESIGN MANUAL AND CITY OF SAN DIEGO STANDARD DRAWING SDE-101 SHALL BE MAINTAINED BY A CITY-ADMINISTERED MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT (MAD).



LEGEND

- DEVELOPER INSTALLED 12' HIGH ACORN LIGHT
- ▲ DEVELOPER INSTALLED COBRA LIGHT WITH ORNAMENTAL BASE


-- STREET LIGHT ANALYSIS BY STYLE & LOCATION --

CAMINO DEL SUR	(TM-40-0529)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED COBRA LIGHTS = 91	
ARTESIAN ROAD	
DEVELOPER INSTALLED COBRA LIGHTS = 5	
WEST CLUSTER - UNIT 1 - (CERRO DEL SUR)	TM-40-0529
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 4	
PASEO DEL SUR	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED COBRA LIGHTS = 40 DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 61	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 1	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 57	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 2	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 58	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 9	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 37	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 3	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED COBRA LIGHTS = 4 DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 62	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 5	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 21	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 10	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 19	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 11	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 27	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 12	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 41	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 13	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 12	
NORTH VILLAGE - UNIT 16	(TM-40-0528)
DEVELOPER INSTALLED ACORN LIGHTS = 10	


LIGHTING DETAILS AND AESTHETICS



DEL SUR - STREET LIGHTS & SIGNAGE



1 ORNAMENTAL STREET LIGHT DETAIL NOT TO SCALE



2 STREET LIGHT DETAIL NOT TO SCALE

3 ORNAMENTAL STREET LIGHT BOLT PATTERN NOT TO SCALE

DEL SUR - PUBLIC STREET LIGHT EXHIBIT



CLIENT: Black Mountain Ranch LLC
CONSULTANT: Wimmer Yamada and Caughey
DATE: February 24, 2006



available for public inspection during normal business hours.

Separation of General and Special Benefits

Consistent with City policy for the public at large, the City will contribute for lighting maintenance and energy costs an amount equivalent to that used for City minimum required streetlights (see *City Council Policy 200-18* for lighting standards). These cost allocations, reviewed and adjusted annually by the City, are considered to be “general benefit” administered by the District. All other maintenance, operations, and administration costs associated with the District, which exceed the City’s contribution to the public at large, are accordingly considered to be “special benefit” funded by the District. Table 1 provides a summary of lighting fixtures by zone, and a quantification of those fixtures in excess of the City standard.

TABLE 1: Lighting Fixture Count by Zone

	ZONE								Total
	A ⁽¹⁾	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	
Total Fixture Count									
■ Acorn Style	61	4	0	140	95	65	28	18	411
■ Cobra Style	131	0	5	0	4	0	0	0	140
Total:	192	4	5	140	99	65	28	18	551
City Standard Fixture Count ⁽²⁾									
■ Acorn Style	26	4	0	72	48	48	14	9	221
■ Cobra Style	131	0	5	0	4	0	0	0	140
Total	157	4	5	72	52	48	14	9	361
Fixtures In Excess of City Standard ⁽³⁾									
■ Acorn Style	35	0	0	68	47	17	14	9	190
■ Cobra Style	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	35	0	0	68	47	17	14	9	190

⁽¹⁾ Overlay lighting fixtures (located along Camino Del Sur and Paseo Del Sur).

⁽²⁾ Acorn style fixture count for “general benefit” energy contribution purposes only. Maintenance of acorn style light fixtures is entirely a “special benefit.”

⁽³⁾ Identified based on standard street light spacing requirements.

Cost Estimate

Estimated Costs

Estimated Fiscal Year 2010 annual expenses, revenues, reserves, and assessments (provided by the City) are included as Exhibit B hereto.

Annual Cost-Indexing

With the passage of Proposition 218, any proposed increase in assessments must be placed for approval before the property owners by a mail ballot and a public hearing process, similar to these proceedings. A majority of ballots received must be affirmative for the City Council to confirm and levy the increased assessments. For small assessment districts or districts with relatively low dollar assessments, the cost of an engineer's report, balloting, and the public hearing process can potentially exceed the total cost of the increase. These incidental costs of the proceedings can be added to the assessments, resulting in even higher assessments.

Indexing assessments annually to the San Diego Consumer Price Index for Urban Consumers (SDCPI-U) plus 3%, as approved by the District property owners in Fiscal Year 2007, allows for minor increases for normal maintenance and operating cost escalation without incurring the costs of the Proposition 218 ballot proceedings. Any significant change in the assessment initiated by an increase in service provided or other significant changes to the District would still require the Proposition 218 proceedings and property owner approval.

The maximum authorized assessment established in the Fiscal Year 2007 proceedings are authorized to be indexed (increased or decreased) annually by the factor published in the SDCPI-U plus 3%. The maximum authorized assessment rates contained within this Assessment Engineer's Report have been indexed in accordance with these cost-indexing provisions.

Method of Apportionment

Estimated Benefit of Improvements

The Community Design Element of the *Black Mountain Ranch Subarea Plan* (Subarea Plan) and the general policy recommendations found in the City's *Progress Guide & General Plan* (General Plan) establish several goals and guidelines for the planned development of the community. The District improvements are consistent with these goals. The City's General Plan and Subarea Plan supports the establishment of community-based improvement and maintenance districts, such as this District, to fund maintenance of enhanced improvements and/or services.

Camino Del Sur & Paseo Del Sur

Collectively, Camino Del Sur and Paseo Del Sur make up the backbone street network for the community. These streets serve as the primary access routes for inter-community and intra-community trips, serving all parcels within the community. All parcels within the District benefit from the aesthetic enhancement of these streets and the enhanced community image provided by the improvements being maintained by the District. As such, all parcels within the District have been assessed for the maintenance of the improvements on Camino Del Sur and Paseo Del Sur. The assessment costs associated with these improvements (common to all parcels) are termed "overlay" costs.

Other Collector & Neighborhood Streets

The other collector and neighborhood streets serve as the primary access routes to and from the backbone street system, serving parcels within a specific area. Only those parcels served by such collector and neighborhood streets benefit from their enhancement. Consistent with this concept, the District has been divided into eight zones as shown in Exhibit A. The assessment costs associated with improvements located along the other collector and neighborhood streets are termed "zone" costs.

Apportionment Methodology

The total cost for maintenance of the identified improvements will be assessed proportionally to the parcels in the District based on Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs). The total assessment for a given parcel is equal to the parcel's total EBUs multiplied by the Unit Assessment Rate (unique to the zone in which parcel is situated) as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{Total Assessment} = \text{Total EBUs} \times \text{Unit Assessment Rate}$$

Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs)

EBUs for each parcel have been determined as a function of parcel area or number of residential units and a Land Use Factor, as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{EBUs} = (\text{Acres or Units}) \times \text{Land Use Factor}$$

Parcels determined to receive no benefit from maintenance of the District improvements have been assigned zero (0) EBUs.

Land Use Factor

Since the proposed District improvements are primarily associated with the Transportation Element of City's General Plan and Community Plan, trip generation rates for various land use categories (as previously established by the City's Transportation Planning Section) have been used as the primary basis for the development of Land Use Factors. While these trip generation rates address vehicular trips, they are also considered to approximately reflect relative trip generation for other modes of transportation (e.g., pedestrian trips, bicycle trips, etc.), and are considered the best available information for these other transportation modes.

Trip generation rates provide the required nexus and basis for assigning relative proportionality of potential benefit to the various land use/zoning classifications (as defined by the City's Municipal Code) within the District. Land use/zoning classifications have been grouped with averaged trip generation rates assigned to establish the Land Use Factors as shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2: Land Use Factors

Land Use/Zoning	Code	Land Use Factor ⁽¹⁾
Residential – Single Family (detached)	SFD	1.0 per dwelling unit
Residential – Condominium	CND	0.7 per dwelling unit
Residential – Multi-Family & Apartment	MFR	0.7 per dwelling unit
Commercial – Office & Retail	COM	45.0 per acre
Educational – Primary & Secondary	EPS	5.0 per acre
Fire/Police Station	FPS	15.0 per acre
Golf Course	GLF	0.80 per acre
Hotel	HTL	15.0 per acre
Industrial & Institutional	IND	15.0 per acre
Open Space (designated)	OSP	0 per acre
Park – Developed	PKD	5.0 per acre
Park – Undeveloped	PKU	0.5 per acre
Recreational Facility	REC	3.0 per acre
Street/Roadway	STR	0 per acre
Transit Station	TRN	30.0 per acre
Street/Roadway	STR	0 per acre
Undevelopable	UND	0 per acre
Utility Facility	UTL	3.0 per acre

⁽¹⁾ Proportional to trip generation rates contained in the City of San Diego *Trip Generation Manual* (May 2003).

Designated open space serves primarily to preserve natural landscape and habitat. While access for study and passive recreation is often permitted, these activities are allowed only to the extent they are consistent with the primary purpose of natural preservation. Since this land is essentially “unused” in the customary terms of land use (which relate to human use and development), the trip generation rate is zero. Therefore, the designated open space itself receives no benefit from the District improvements and has been assigned a Land Use Factor of zero.

While those traveling the streets and roadways visually enjoy the enhanced improvements being maintained by the District, the actual benefit accrues to the lands at the origins and destinations of their trips, not to the lands of the streets and roadways, themselves. Accordingly, the Streets/Roadways category receives no benefit and has been assigned a Land Use Factor of zero.

The Recreational Facility category includes those parcels that

consist primarily of concentrated facilities, such as swimming pools, gymnasiums, racquetball clubs, etc. Recreational facilities of a more dispersed nature (e.g., parks, golf courses, etc.) have been categorized separately.

Unit Assessment Rates

As previously mentioned, all parcels have been assessed for the maintenance of improvements located along Camino Del Sur and Paseo Del Sur. The assessment costs associated with these improvements (common to all parcels) are termed “overlay” costs. Parcels within each zone have been assessed for the maintenance of the improvements on the collector and neighborhood streets serving their respective zone. The assessment costs associated with these improvements are termed “zone” costs.

The total “overlay” costs have been apportioned to each parcel in proportion to the parcel’s estimated EBUs relative to the total of all District EBUs. The total “zone” costs have been apportioned to each parcel in proportion to the parcel’s estimated EBUs relative to the total of all EBUs within the zone.

The Unit Assessment Rate (rate per EBU) is equal to the sum of the “overlay” unit rate and the “zone” unit rate as shown in the following equation:

$\text{Unit Assessment Rate} = \text{Overlay Unit Rate} + \text{Zone Unit Rate}$
--

Table 3 summarizes the Fiscal Year 2010 and maximum authorized unit assessment rates.

TABLE 3: Fiscal Year 2010 & Maximum Authorized Unit Assessment Rates

	Unit Assessment Rate by Zone							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Fiscal Year 2010 ⁽¹⁾								
Overlay Unit Rate	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.76
Zone Unit Rate	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17.22	\$120.60	\$89.62	\$0.00	\$24.56	\$0.00
Unit Assessment Rate	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$25.98	\$129.36	\$98.38	\$8.76	\$33.32	\$8.76
Maximum Authorized ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾								
Overlay Unit Rate	\$12.48	\$12.48	\$12.48	\$12.48	\$12.48	\$12.48	\$12.48	\$12.48
Zone Unit Rate	\$0.00	\$189.00	\$20.41	\$142.85	\$106.15	\$22.27	\$29.09	\$12.12
Unit Assessment Rate	\$12.48	\$201.48	\$32.89	\$155.33	\$118.63	\$34.75	\$41.57	\$24.60

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal Year 2010 begins July 1, 2009 and ends June 30, 2010.
⁽²⁾ Prior fiscal year's maximum authorized annual assessment increased by cost-indexing factor of 6.16%.
⁽³⁾ Subject to cost-indexing provisions set forth in this Assessment Engineer's Report.

As described above, the total assessment assigned to each parcel in the District has been calculated, based on the preceding factors, as follows:

$\text{Total Assessment} = \text{Total EBUs} \times \text{Unit Assessment Rate}$
--

Based on the above formula, the EBUs, unit assessment rate, and total assessment calculated for each property, can be found in the Assessment Roll (Exhibit C).

Summary Results

The District Boundary is presented in Exhibit A.

An estimate of the costs of the improvements provided by the District is included as Exhibit B to this report.

The assessment methodology utilized is as described in the text of this report. Based on this methodology, the EBUs and Fiscal Year 2010 District assessment for each parcel were calculated and are shown in the Assessment Roll (Exhibit C).

Each lot or parcel of land within the District has been identified by unique County Assessor's Parcel Number on the Assessment Roll and the Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram referenced herein. The net assessment for each parcel for Fiscal Year 2010 can be found on the Assessment Roll.

This report has been prepared and respectfully submitted by:

AECOM USA, INC.

Eugene F. Shank, PE

C 52792

Carolyn R. Crull

I, _____, as CITY CLERK of the CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, do hereby certify that the Assessment as shown on the Assessment Roll, together with the Assessment Diagram, both of which are incorporated into this report, were filed in my office on the ____ day of _____, 2009.

Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I, _____, as CITY CLERK of the CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, do hereby certify that the foregoing Assessment, together with the Assessment Diagram incorporated into this report, was approved and confirmed by the CITY COUNCIL of said City on the ____ day of _____, 2009.

Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I, _____, as CITY ENGINEER of the CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, do hereby certify that the foregoing Assessment, together with the Assessment Diagram was recorded in my office on the ____ day of _____, 2009.

Afshin Oskoui, CITY ENGINEER
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EXHIBIT A



BOUNDARY MAP & ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS ___ DAY OF _____, 2006.

ELIZABETH MALAND, CITY CLERK
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PREPARED BY:
BOYLE ENGINEERING CORPORATION
7807 Conroy Court, Suite 200, San Diego, California 92111 (659) 268-8080

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF THE BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH NORTH MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, HELD ON THE ___ DAY OF _____, 2006, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO. _____.

ELIZABETH MALAND, CITY CLERK
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

AN ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ON THE LOTS, PIECES, AND PARCELS OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM. SAID ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED ON THE ___ DAY OF _____, 2006; SAID ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM AND THE ASSESSMENT ROLL WERE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA ON THE ___ DAY OF _____, 2006. REFERENCE IS MADE TO THE ASSESSMENT ROLL RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS FOR THE EXACT AMOUNT OF EACH ASSESSMENT LEVIED AGAINST EACH PARCEL OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM.

LEGEND:

- District Boundary
- - - Zone Boundary
- Parcel Line

NOTE:
FOR A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE LINES AND DIMENSIONS OF LOTS OR PARCELS SHOWN ON THIS MAP, REFER TO THE COUNTY ASSESSOR'S MAPS WHICH SHALL GOVERN WITH RESPECT TO ALL DETAILS CONCERNING THE LINES AND DIMENSIONS OF SUCH LOTS OR PARCELS.

REFER TO THE ENGINEER'S REPORT AND REFERENCED DOCUMENTS, INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE, FOR LOCATION AND TYPE OF IMPROVEMENTS.



CITY OF
SAN DIEGO

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH NORTH MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT

W.O.

DATE: MAR 2006

REVS:

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B - Estimated Annual Expenses, Revenues & Reserves

Black Mountain Ranch North - Fund 70270

	FY 2008 BUDGET	FY 2009 BUDGET	FY 2010 BUDGET
BALANCE FROM PRIOR YEAR	\$ 49,756	\$ 327,059	\$ 449,851
REVENUE			
Assessments	\$ 191,492	\$ 191,492	\$ 191,551
Interest	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ 16,000
Environmental Growth Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Gas Tax Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
General Fund	\$ 15,480	\$ -	\$ -
Miscellaneous	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 206,972	\$ 194,492	\$ 207,551
TOTAL BALANCE AND REVENUE	\$ 256,728	\$ 521,551	\$ 657,402
EXPENSE			
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM	\$ 28,000	\$ 28,000	\$ -
OPERATING EXPENSE			
Personnel	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Contractual	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Incidental	\$ 122,056	\$ 119,203	\$ 80,222
Utilities	\$ 24,540	\$ 25,767	\$ 27,055
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	\$ 146,596	\$ 144,970	\$ 107,277
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 174,596	\$ 172,970	\$ 107,277
RESERVE			
Contingency Reserve	\$ 82,132	\$ 348,581	\$ 550,125
TOTAL RESERVE	\$ 82,132	\$ 348,581	\$ 550,125
BALANCE	\$ (0)	\$ (0)	\$ (0)
TOTAL EXPENSE, RESERVE AND BALANCE	\$ 256,728	\$ 521,551	\$ 657,402

EXHIBIT C

Due to the size of the Assessment Roll (Exhibit C), only limited copies are available. Please contact the City of San Diego, Park & Recreation Department, Open Space Division, Maintenance Assessment Districts Program at (619) 685-1350 to review the Assessment Roll.