City of San Diego Park and Recreation Department User Fees and Charges Study

Fee Study Results Presentation

March 19, 2015

Presented by: Nicole Kissam, Director of Financial Consulting Tel: 800.676.7516 Web: www.nbsgov.com



Presentation Topics

- Present key issues framing the study
- Discuss user fee principles and practices
- Present basic costing methodology and approach
- Discuss a summary of findings



Scope of Services

- Study the full cost of providing services for the Park and Recreation Department:
 - Athletic Programs
 - Balboa Park Building Use, Recreation Center Rentals
 - Beaches and Bay
 - Camps
 - Miscellaneous Fees
 - Mount Hope Cemetery
- Use the resulting information to update the department's fee schedule
- Not included: Taxes, Fines, Development Impact Fees, Utility Rates, etc.



Key Issues Framing a User Fees and Charges Study

- Consideration of a defensible methodology for calculating fees for service.
- The extent to which the City is properly recognizing fee-forservice revenue in accordance with various State laws.
- Discussion and analysis of current service levels, and the resources available to meet workload demands.
- Collection of data to validate a reasonable cost of providing services.
- Recommendation to elected regarding cost recovery policies, fee schedule updates, and implementation.



Proposition 26 – Fees and Charges Guidance

- 11/2/2010 Prop 26 was a successful voter initiative:
 - Reclassifies fees which are imposed to raise revenue for a new program and are not part of any licensing or permitting program as taxes
 - Requires fees to prove a service/benefit received to the payor
- Restricts ability of state and local agencies to raise revenue to fund services, facilities and programs
- Amends CA Constitution article 13C by reclassifying certain fees as taxes
- TAX = any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by local government, except seven noted exemptions.



P 26 – Exceptions with Cost of Service Limitations

I

As imposed for a **specific benefit conferred or privilege** granted directly to the payor, that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the cost to the local government for conferring/granting the privilege



As imposed for a specific government **service or product provided** directly to the payor, that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable cost of providing the service/product



As imposed for reasonable regulatory costs: **permits**, **licenses**, investigations, inspections, audits, administrative enforcement, etc.

Fee amounts are limited to the estimated costs to the local government for providing the service



P 26 – Exception for Use of Government Property

As imposed for entrance or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property.

Fee amounts do not include the cost limitations of prior exceptions.



Fee Study Methodology – Cost of Service Study

- Establish goals and objectives for the study.
- Define current and potential fees for service.
- Gather input from staff at many levels in the organization.
- Approach is structured to determine the "total estimated and reasonable cost of providing services."
- Analyze the total costs and revenues associated with services.
- Check results and validate data.
- Review and revise results at the Department and City Management levels.
- Present results to elected and community.



Fee Study Methodology – Comparison Survey

- Provide a sense of where San Diego's fees fall in relation to similar agencies
- Assist in determining appropriate pricing for services.
- Demonstrate participation in San Diego's programs is meaningfully voluntary.
- Support determination that fees studies are not subject to Proposition 26's definition of a tax.
- Comparative fee surveys do not provide information about the cost recovery policies or procedures inherent in each comparison agency.



Fee Study Methodology – Key Data Components

- Fiscal Year 2013-14 adopted budget
- Complete listing of Department personnel and associated costs
- Correspondence with Department staff
- Prevailing Master Fee Schedule document
- Workload and current service level information, either estimated or tracked



Summary Results for Cost of Service Study

		Option 1		Option 2		Option 3		Option 4		Option 5		Option 6	
Fee / Activity Name	Current Cost Recovery %	Annual Revenue at Current Fee Amount		Annual Revenue at 100% Cost Recovery		Annual Revenue at CPI Increase		Annual Revenue at 25% Cost Recovery		Annual Revenue at 50% Cost Recovery		Annual Revenue at 75% Cost Recovery	
Athletic	43%	\$ 498,8	62	\$	1,162,316	\$	926,045	\$	530,607	\$	741,177	\$	951,746
Aquatics	26%	\$ 858,5	93	\$	3,339,661	\$	1,059,511	\$	1,070,456	\$	1,672,000	\$	2,504,746
Balboa Park	168%	\$ 3,9	80	\$	2,323	\$	2,323	\$	2,323	\$	2,323	\$	2,323
Beaches & Bays	75%	\$ 8,7	93	\$	11,700	\$	7,413	\$	6,975	\$	6,975	\$	9,061
Camps	14%	\$ 56,3	32	\$	416,940	\$	60,557	\$	107,005	\$	208,470	\$	312,705
Other	35%	\$ 938,6	26	\$	2,682,635	\$	904,037	\$	996,118	\$	1,518,295	\$	2,098,419
Mount Hope	104%	\$ 178,8	77	\$	172,495	\$	164,772	\$	161,352	\$	161,654	\$	163,669
	66%	\$ 2,543,9	91	\$	7,788,070	\$	3,124,657	\$	2,874,836	\$	4,310,894	\$	6,042,669

- Approximately \$2.5 million in current fee revenue
- Up to \$5.25 million in unrecovered costs
- Table above does not include facility use or rental fees
- The Cost of Service Study provides information for the City to "realign" fees to collect up to the "maximum" full cost, based on the City's policy recovery goals.



Cost Recovery Considerations in Fee-Setting

fund tomorrow



Setting Fees Consistent with Cost Recovery Policy

Local policy determines how individual services are funded

- City has a diverse approach to fee setting for Park and Recreation fees for service
- Current policies are in place for specific programs and/or user groups
- Specific industry standard for cost recovery policy does not exist
- Common to see fees set at less than 100% cost recovery for many recreation programs
- Cost recovery policy typically varies by program; often varies by user group



User Fee Best Practices

The industry best practice for review of fees for service:

- Comprehensive study every 3 to 5 years
- Annual increase mechanism such as CPI or labor costs



Nicole Kissam, Director of Financial Consulting

- 800.676.7516
- nkissam@nbsgov.com

