

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

## **REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION**

DATE ISSUED:	September 19, 2008	REPORT NO. PC-08-110	
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Agenda of September 25, 2008		
SUBJECT:	BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL – WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITIES · SPRINT NEXTEL – PROJECT NO. 16218 · AT&T – PROJECT NO. 10860 · T-MOBILE – PROJECT NO. 6749 APPEAL OF PROCESS 2 DECISION		
OWNER/ APPLICANT:	Poway Unified School District/ Sprint Nextel and AT&T, T-Mobile (See	e Attachment 17)	

## SUMMARY

**Issue:** Should the Planning Commission approve or deny an appeal of the Development Services Department's decision to approve Neighborhood Use Permits for three wireless communication facilities on the sports field of Black Mountain Middle School located at 9353 Oviedo Street within the Rancho Penasquitos Community Planning area?

## **Staff Recommendation:**

- 1. **Deny** the appeal and **Uphold** the Development Services Department's decision to **Approve** Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520535 (T-Mobile Project No. 6749); and
- Deny the appeal and Uphold the Development Services Department's decision to Approve Neighborhood Use permit No. 520536 (Sprint Nextel – Project No. 16218); and
- 3. **Deny** the appeal and **Uphold** the Development Services Department's decision to **Approve** Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520534 (AT&T Project No. 10860).



## **Community Planning Group Recommendation:**

## First Recommendation:

Sprint Nextel – (Reviewed originally as Sprint.) On October 1, 2003, the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board voted 15-1-0 to recommend approval of the Sprint project, which included a collocation facility on the existing Verizon faux tree and an associated equipment enclosure. Conditions included modifying the existing Verizon tree to appear more realistic, planting six to ten pine trees to assist in blending, and providing revegetation of the trench associated with the Sprint and Verizon facilities.

AT&T Mobility– (Pre-Cingular and reviewed originally as AT&T) On August 6, 2003, the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board voted 10-4 to recommend approval of the AT&T project, which included a new 35 foot high faux tree and an associated equipment enclosure. Conditions included requiring a realistic looking tree, allowing collocation on the tree and planting a sufficient number of pines around the faux tree to aid in blending the tree.

T-Mobile – (Submitted originally as Cingular.) On August 6, 2003, the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board voted 11-3 to recommend approval of the T-Mobile project, which included a 35 foot high faux tree and an associated equipment enclosure. Conditions included requiring a realistic looking pine tree and additional landscape.

#### Second Recommendation:

Sprint Nextel – On May 3, 2006, the Rancho Penaquitos Planning Board voted 13-0-0 to rescind their original recommendation of approval and recommended denial of the revised project.

AT&T – On May 3, 2006, the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board voted 13-0-0 to rescind their original recommendation of approval and recommended denial of the revised project.

T-Mobile – On May 3, 2006, the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board voted 10-3-0 to rescind the original recommendation and voted 11-2-0 to recommend denial of the T-Mobile project. (This project was not legally docketed for review by the planning group.) The project was reviewed again on September 3, 2008 and denied. No details were available at the time this report was being printed.

## **Environmental Review:**

Sprint Nextel – Negative Declaration No. 16218 has been prepared for the project in accordance with State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines.

AT&T – Negative Declaration No. 10860 has been prepared for the project in accordance with State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines.

T-Mobile – Negative Declaration No. 6749 has been prepared for the project in accordance with State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines.

The Notices of Right to Appeal were posted on January 8, 2008 according to Land Development Code Section 112.0310. The Notices of Decision were filed with the County Recorder on February 1, 2008 and consequently the environmental documents may not be appealed.

**Fiscal Impact Statement:** All costs associated with the processing of this appeal will be paid from deposit accounts maintained by the applicants.

Code Enforcement Impact: None.

Housing Impact Statement: None.

## BACKGROUND

This project includes three separate applications for Wireless Communication Facilities (WCF's) on the northwest corner of the sports field at Black Mountain Middle School (Attachment 1). Currently, there is one existing 44 foot high faux pine tree ("monopine") on the property that supports panel antennas for Verizon Wireless. Sprint Nextel is proposing to add panel antennas to the existing monopine. Sprint will install additional branches to enhance the appearance of the tree and better screen the antennas. An additional monopine, 35 feet tall, is being proposed by T-Mobile and will support their panel antennas as well as AT&T's. Three equipment enclosures are included in these applications ranging in size from 240 square feet to 466 square feet. (Attachments 5 and 6) Verizon's equipment enclosure is existing, previously permitted, and located on the lower field at Sunset Hills Elementary School below the monopine.

The project site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the Rancho Penasquitos Community Planning area (Attachments 1,2 and 3). This portion of the property is zoned RS-1-14 and is designated for school use in the community plan (Attachment 2). Surrounding land uses include single unit residential to the west across Black Mountain Road, Mt. Carmel High School to the east, Sunset Hills Elementary School to the north and single unit residential and commercial below to the south (Attachments 1 and 4). The portion of the sports field where the WCF's are proposed sits approximately 50 feet above the adjacent street level.

The overall scope of the project has changed over the years since each project was originally submitted in 2003 (Attachment 18), making these projects subject to the previous regulations – Section 141.0405 of the Land Development Code (LDC), Communication Antennas (Attachment 20). Company mergers and buyouts resulting in name changes have stimulated project

## modifications.

## **Original Project Scope:**

AT&T (Pre-Cingular) originally proposed a new faux tree as well, supporting 12 antennas with associated equipment located in a 408 square foot enclosure. During the processing of the project, AT&T sold their network to Cingular who took over the processing of the application and eliminated the need for the tree by agreeing to collocate with T-Mobile on their proposed tree and increasing the size of their equipment enclosure to 466 square feet. Cingular has since changed their name to AT&T Mobility.

Prior to the Sprint Nextel merger, Nextel's application proposed to add 12 antennas to the Cingular (now T-Mobile) tree and construct a 460 square foot enclosure. Sprint originally proposed to locate six antennas on the Verizon tree and construct a 180 square foot enclosure. Sprint and Nextel merged in 2005 and as a result dropped the Nextel application in pursuit of a single Sprint Nextel application to collocate on the Verizon tree.

Cingular originally submitted an application for a 30 foot high faux tree supporting 12 antennas and an associated 466 square foot enclosure. During the processing of the project, Cingular sold their network to T-Mobile who took over the processing of the application. The T-Mobile tree is the only new faux tree being proposed as part of this project.

The original project scope consisted of two monopines in addition to the existing Verizon monopine all supporting a variety of antennas. A total of four new equipment enclosures (Sprint, Cingular, T-Mobile, and Nextel) would have supported the proposed antenna facilities.

## **New Project Scope:**

The new project scope consists of one new monopine in addition to the existing Verizon monopine. A total of three equipment enclosures will be located at the northwest corner of the sports field adjacent to the monopines. The facilities are visible from the field and from Black Mountain Road, but with the additional landscape proposed on site and the adjacent slope, the facilities will be well integrated into the setting. Because these projects were submitted and deemed complete in 2003, they are subject to the previous Communication Antenna regulations (Section 141.0405), which require facilities to be minimally visible through the use of architecture, landscape architecture, and siting solutions.

Locating a wireless communication facility on a residentially zoned property that contains a nonresidential use required a Neighborhood Use Permit (NUP) Process 2. The projects were reviewed and found to be consistent with the Communication Antenna regulations. On January 7, 2008, the Development Services Division approved the three NUP's. The appeal period ended at the close of business January 23, 2008. The permits were signed and recorded and the school district initiated improvement activities that were contingent on approval of the NUP's. On July 7, 2008, staff discovered that an appeal of the projects had actually been filed by Charles Sellers, Chair of the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board. Due to a clerical error, the appeal had been misrouted and never came to the attention of the project manager.

## DISCUSSION

#### Project Description:

The current project consists of the addition of one monopine located near the existing Verizon monopine on the northwest corner of the Black Mountain Middle School sports fields. Each monopine will support a total of 24 antennas, consisting of 12 per carrier.

- Sprint Nextel collocate 12 antennas on to the existing Verizon monopine and add a 240 square foot equipment enclosure.
- AT&T collocate 12 antennas on to the proposed T-Mobile monopine and add a 466 square foot enclosure.
- T-Mobile 35 foot high monopine supporting 12 antennas and a 466 square foot equipment enclosure.

Not only are the facilities situated 50 feet above the street level, the actual location of the facilities is far removed from any residential structures and from the surrounding school classrooms.

#### **Community Plan Analysis:**

The Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan does not specifically address wireless communication facilities, however the Community Appearance and Design Element does recommend that new development be sensitive to the environment. Additionally, the City's General Plan requires that wireless facilities be minimally visible and be visually respectful and compatible with the community. Rancho Peñasquitos is a community physically characterized by a unique system of canyons, hillsides and ridges. As a consequence, most of the neighborhoods in the community possess substantial open space areas and views into canyons. A major issue in the community is the preservation of open space and significant environmental resources including major canyon systems, sensitive slopes and tree grove areas. One of most sensitive environmental resources in the community includes Black Mountain and its surrounding hillsides. The proposed project will be located at the foothill of Black Mountain.

The antennas associated with these facilities are proposed to be mounted on monopines located on the perimeter of a sports field. The addition of landscape material adjacent to the wireless facilities, as well as on the nearby slope, will serve to blend the monopines into the surroundings. Equipment associated with the monopines will be located within enclosures, surrounded by block walls with trellis structures. The design of the enclosures will be consistent between the three carriers. Based on the project's design, it can be determined that the proposed wireless communication facilities are compatible with the City's General Plan and meets the intent of Community Appearance and Design section of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan.

## **Environmental Analysis:**

Environmental Initial Studies, prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), were conducted for each of the proposed projects. Potential adverse impacts to biology, health and public safety and geology were identified and analyzed during the review process. Separate Negative Declarations were prepared for each of the projects in accordance with CEQA.

## Appeal:

On January 15, 2008, Charles Sellers, Chair of the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board appealed the Development Services Department decision to approve all three NUP's, siting that the decision was in direct conflict with the planning group's vote of May 3, 2006 recommending denial of all three projects (Attachment 21).

On May 3, 2006, the planning group reviewed the Cingular (now AT&T) and Sprint Nextel projects and voted to recommend denial of the projects based on the following:

- 1. The carriers did not provide alternate locations for situating their facilities.
- 2. The equipment should be located underground.
- 3. The location of equipment shelters should be modified.
- 4. If equipment is not vaulted underground, then locate all equipment in one building.
- 5. Use of soccer fields is being eliminated.
- 6. The carriers did not communicate with the community.

The planning group also voted to reconsider the original approval of the T-Mobile project. Contrary to Council Policy 600-24 (Standard Operating Procedures and Responsibilities of Recognized Community Planning Groups) the project was not published in the agenda for May 3, 2006 and therefore, the group's action is not considered to be a valid recommendation. They proceeded to vote to recommend denial of the facility stating that it was intermingled both physically and conceptually with the AT&T (Cingular) project, which had changed since the first vote and that the T-Mobile monopine could no longer stand on its own.

It has come to staffs attention that the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board scheduled the T-Mobile project for their September 3, 2008 meeting and voted to deny the project. No details were available at the time this report was printed.

## Staff Response:

Site justifications submitted by the carriers indicate that this site is the only one of four nonresidential sites within the search ring area which meet the carrier's coverage objectives (Attachment 8). Three of the four sites are schools and one is commercial. The commercial property sits approximately 20 feet below the proposed project site and coverage to Black Mountain Road and Highway 56 would be very limited due to the lower elevation. The proposed project site achieves the coverage objective for all three carriers. All other land uses within the search ring are residential. As an institutional use, the proposed project site is a Preference 2 location, which the City considers more preferable for these types of facilities over the other lower preference land uses. LDC Section 141.0405 (Communication Antennas, Attachment 20) also encourages collocation achieved by this application, which includes three additional carriers where one already exists. The addition of a variety of shrubs and trees will help to blend the facilities into the surroundings.

The Poway Unified School District has indicated that the impacts to the school and its everyday activities would be significant if the equipment were to be vaulted underground. The limits of construction would greatly increase in order to accommodate the area needed for a vault and that would affect timing and the duration of the activities as well as school operations. As it is, the enclosures would be minimally visible from the surrounding properties due to the elevation differences. The trellis structures above the enclosures and added landscape material will work to screen the facilities and enhance views of the project site. The equipment enclosures are proposed to be located on the northwest corner of the sports field away from the activities that occur on the field. The field is not currently being utilized by soccer clubs, but in the past when soccer tournaments have occurred on site, the fields have not been impacted by the existing Verizon monopine.

In terms of communication between the carriers and the community, the planning group has been involved in the review of these projects over the years. A wireless subcommittee was initiated as a result of community interest several years back. These projects have received two different recommendations from the group within a three-year span.

## **Conclusion**:

Staff has reviewed the projects separately and has determined that each one not only complies with the development regulations for the RS-1-14 zone, but the overall project also complies with the Communication Antenna regulations and Council Policy 600-43. The facilities are proposed at a Preference 2 location on the perimeter of a sports field, which is at a higher elevation than the surrounding neighborhood. Additional landscape will enhance the project area and will allow the facilities to blend into the setting in which they are proposed. It should also be noted that the Telecommunication Act of 1996 precludes local jurisdictions from unreasonably discriminating among providers of functionally equivalent services. The fact that a Verizon facility exists at the site should highlight the fact that, as with any wireless project, it is imperative to make detailed and concrete findings. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission deny the appeal and uphold the Development Services Department's decision to approve the Neighborhood Use Permits.

## **ALTERNATIVE**

- 1. Approve Neighborhood Use Permits with modifications.
- 2. Deny Neighborhood Use Permits, if the findings required to approve the project cannot be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Jell Pr Mike Westlake

Program Manager Development Services Department

## BROUGHTON/kla

Attachments:

- 1. Aerial Photo
- 2. Community Plan Land Use Map
- 3. Location Map
- 4. Data Sheet
- 5. Enlarged Site Plan
- 6. Photo Sims
- 7. Coverage Maps
- 8. Site Justification
- 9. Site Photos
- 10. T-Mobile Permit
- 11. T-Mobile Resolution
- 12. AT&T Permit
- 13. AT&T Resolution
- 14. Sprint Nextel Permit
- 15. Sprint Nextel Resolution
- 16. Community Planning Group Recommendations
- 17. Ownership Disclosure Statement and Corporate Officers
- 18. Project Chronology
- 19. Planning Commission Notices
- 20. LDC 141.0405
- 21. Copy of Appeals
- 22. Plans

Warentych Ashcupt

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Project Manager Development Services Department





## **Aerial Photo**

Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facilities

9353 Oviedo Street



Page 1 of 1





## **Project Location Map**

**Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facilities** 

9353 Oviedo Street



ATTACHMENT 3

## ATTACHMENT 4

PROJ	ECT DATA SI	HEET	
PROJECT NAME:	Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facilities		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Wireless Communication Facilities consisting of: 12 Sprint/Nextel antennas located on an existing Verizon monopine and 24 AT&T and T-Mobile antennas located on a new 35' tall monopine. Associated equipment will be located adjacent to the monopines.		
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	Rancho Penasquitos		
DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS:	Neighborhood Use Permits (one permit per carrier)		
COMMUNITY PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION:	School		
FRONT SETBACK: SIDE SETBACK: STREETSIDE SETBACK: REAR SETBACK:	4 feet 10 feet		
STREETSIDE SETBACK:	10 feet 10 feet		
ADJACENT PROPERTIES:	LAND USE DESIGNATION & ZONE	EXISTING LAND USE	
NORTH:	School AR-1-1	School/Sports Fields	
SOUTH:	Residential RS-1-14	School/Sports Fields	
EAST:	Residential RS-1-14	School/Residential	
WEST:	Residential RS-1-14	Residential	
DEVIATIONS OR VARIANCES REQUESTED:	None.		
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP RECOMMENDATION:	See Attachment 12.		



## **Enlarged Site Plan**

**Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facilities** 

9353 Oviedo Street



Photosimulation of proposed telecommunications site



Photosimulation of proposed telecommunications site



Coverage Map - Black Mountain School:

Page 1 of 5

ATTACHMENT 7

## Coverage Map -

Commercial area @ the northeast corner of Black Mtn. Rd and Carmel Mtn. Rd.:



Page 2 of 5



Coverage Map - Hilltop Park:

Page 3 of 5

 $\mathbf{T} \sim \mathrm{Mobile}^\perp$ 









# ATTACHMENT 7





SD0767

Adjacent Sites:

- SD0599
- SD0388
- SD0404
- SD0460





## SITE JUSTIFICATION AND INVESTIGATION

The first step in determining a wireless telecommunication site is to determine the need. This is done through two processes.

- The carrier determines there is a need based on the location of the sales of the handsets and user addresses either at work or home. Additionally, the carrier receives calls from users complaining of dropped calls or low coverage.
- 2) The radio frequency team determines a need by use of their design tool, which will show an area of low coverage. Additionally, the technicians at the switch may determine the capacity is overloaded within a given area, which can also dictate a need for either additional radios at an existing site.

Once the need has been determined the radio frequency engineers review the data supplied by the design tool. They have to take into account the design of the surrounding sites so as to not interfere with those sites or to optimize those sites so that the new site will not interfere with the existing signal.

The radio frequency engineers submit a search ring to the site acquisition team. This search ring is a set of parameters that must be met in order for the site to be acceptable based on the theoretical design. The search ring has a varied radius and may be elliptical in shape. The site acquisition team must find an acceptable site within the search ring that meets the design criteria.

The design criteria include location, height, and lack of clutter such as buildings and vertical landscape, and ability to see into the coverage objective area.

The site acquisition team gravitates toward zoning in the search ring that is commensurate to the installation of the facility such as commercial and industrial type zoning. The team also looks for existing structures to support the antenna system so as to limit the visual impact within any view shed.

#### SPRINT/NEXTEL

The search ring for this proposed facility consists mainly of residentially zoned property. There are limited properties that would be considered preferred zones within this geographical area and there are no preferred structures that meet the coverage objectives.

The search ring was investigated thoroughly and only one property zoned commercial was identified. The topography and existing uses within the search ring area makes Black Mountain Middle School (BMMS) the only viable candidate for this particular wireless facility. Specifically, the areas surrounding the two school properties are largely residential, with the exception of Hilltop Community Park, and the commercial site to the south, at Carmel Mountain Road and Black Mountain Road. The commercial site is too far south to be effective for the search rings and too low to accommodate antennas without adding significant height to a structure. As such, while the property lends itself to providing a stealth installation, the ability to locate equipment was limited and the elevation of the property denied any ability to provide

coverage to the north and very limited ability to provide coverage to the east. Additionally, there is much vertical landscape on-site to interfere with coverage to the west and south. The park is on the furthest east boundary of the search ring, and has limited coverage to the west. The remaining uses are residential with little or no space for equipment and reasonable antenna locations. The high site migration from the Black Mountain telecommunications site for required network operations frequency re-use necessitates the lower siting into the community identified in the search ring.

This site was chosen because of its ability to see the coverage area, existing access and existing vertical landscape to help screen the installation. Additionally, the site was chosen because of the existing Verizon installation, which provided a collocation opportunity and willingness for other carriers to collocate. Due to the merger of the Sprint and Nextel companies, we are able to combine the technologies onto the existing Verizon tree, and reduce the area of equipment to one enclosure that is only slightly larger than the originally proposed Sprint-only site. The Nextel facility area has been abandoned in favor of Cingular for collocation on the T-Mobile tree.

Furthermore, the City has discouraged locations within residential use areas, especially when there is a viable non-residential use alternative. BMMS not only provides that location, but Verizon is already located on-site, and Cingular andT-Mobile have received approval from the Community Planning Group to locate there. The proposed equipment siting is in line with the other carriers' facilities, and will not be visible to the surrounding community by virtue of the existing and proposed landscaping.

Vaulting was proposed by the community and the City as an option, but one that SprintNextel cannot accommodate. Not only does vaulting present ongoing maintenance and operational problems that frequently result in having to dig up the vault and replace with conventional equipment enclosures, the prospect of vaulting along the toe of the slope on either the north or western sides of the upper field is made impossible due to the retaining system that would be required and the easement that runs on the western end of the property.

## CINGULAR

Originally the AT&T location, Cingular purchased this project and has proceeded with obtaining approval. Siting issues for this site are similar to those described by Sprint and Nextel, with the resulting selection of BMMS as the logical and most appropriate location within their coverage search ring area.

## **T-MOBILE**

T-Mobile purchased their location at BMMS from Cingular and has obtained approval for this installation. Cingular's original selection of this location is based upon the same general criteria as the preceding carriers, as the residential nature of the search ring precludes alternatives. The additional elevation of the BMMS ball field allows for meeting the coverage objectives and allows the site to be located on non-residential use property.



**ATTACHMENT 9** 

Existing Verizon monopine.



Existing Verizon monopine.



ATTACHMENT 9

Adjacent sports fields.



Page 4 of 5

Existing monopine and adjacent existing vegetation.



Monopine as viewed from the road.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

JOB ORDER NUMBER: 004451

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520535 T-Mobile – Black Mountain Middle School Planning Commission

PTS No. 6749

This Neighborhood Use Permit is granted by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego to the Poway Unified School District, Owner, and Omnipoint Communications dba T-Mobile, Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 141.0405. The 44.80 acre site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the RS-1-14 zone of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan. The project site is legally described as Lot 2 of Penasquitos School Sites, according to Map No. 7582

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to install a wireless communication facility, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated August 7, 2008, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. The construction of a 35 foot high faux monopine supporting 12 panel antennas for T-Mobile. An additional 12 panel antennas will be installed by AT&T Mobility as part of this project (approved under NUP No. 520534) and the construction of an 8 foot high concrete block enclosure measuring approximately 20 feet by 23 feet that will contain the associated wireless communication equipment;
- b. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements); and
- c. Accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards in effect for this site per the adopted community plan, California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, public and private improvement requirements of the City Engineer, the underlying zone(s),

conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC in effect for this site.

## **STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:**

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. Failure to utilize and maintain utilization of this permit as described in the SDMC will automatically void the permit unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in affect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker.

2. This Neighborhood Use Permit [NUP] and corresponding use of this site shall **expire on August 7, 2018.** Upon expiration of this Permit, the facilities and improvements described herein shall be removed from this site and the property shall be restored to its original condition preceding approval of this Permit unless the applicant of record files a new application for a facility which will be subject to compliance with all regulations in effect at the time.

3. No later than ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of this permit, the Owner/Permittee may submit a new application to the Development Services Department for consideration with review and a decision by the appropriate decision maker at that time. Failure to submit prior to the deadline will be cause for compliance, which may include penalties and fines.

4. Under no circumstances, does approval of this permit authorize T-Mobile or their tenants to utilize this site for wireless communication purposes beyond the permit expiration date. Implicit use of this permit beyond the effective date of this permit is prohibited.

5. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and

b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

6. Unless this Permit has been revoked by the City of San Diego the property included by reference within this Permit shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the Development Services Department.

7. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor or successors, and the interests of any successor shall be subject to each and every condition set out in this Permit and all referenced documents.

8. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

9. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

10. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial modifications to the building and site improvements to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical and plumbing codes and State law requiring access for disabled people may be required.

11. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

12. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and have been determined to be necessary in order to make the findings required for this Permit. It is the intent of the City that the holder of this Permit be required to comply with each and every condition in order to be afforded the special rights which the holder of the Permit is entitled as a result of obtaining this Permit.

In the event that any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

13. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, including, but not limited to, any to any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, applicant shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and applicant regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by applicant.

## **ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:**

14. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

15. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

16. Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within 90 days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code 66020.

## LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

17. In the event that the Landscape Plan and the Site Plan conflict, the Site Plan shall be revised to be consistent with the Landscape Plan such that landscape areas are consistent with the Exhibit "A" Landscape Development Plan.

18. Prior to issuance of any construction permits for buildings (including shell), complete landscape and irrigation construction documents consistent with the Land Development Manual: Landscape Standards shall be submitted to Development Services for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Development Plan, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department. Construction plans shall take into account a 40 square foot area around each tree which is unencumbered by hardscape and utilities as set forth under LDC 142.0403(b)5.

19. All required landscape shall be maintained in a disease, weed and litter free condition at all times. Severe pruning or "topping" of trees is not permitted. The trees shall be maintained in a safe manner to allow each tree to grow to its mature height and spread.

20. If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction document plans is damaged or removed during demolition or construction, it shall be repaired and/or replaced in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of Development Services within 30 days of damage, use of facility, or a Final Landscape Inspection.

## **PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:**

21. Prior to the issuance of a construction permit, the telecommunication provider shall provide certification describing evidence that the cumulative field measurements of radio frequency power densities for all antennas installed on the premises are below the federal standards.

22. No overhead cabling is permitted.

23. No pipes absent antennas are permitted on the faux tree at any time. All pipes must be no longer than the antenna and all pipes, arms, cabling and mounting hardware must be painted the same color as the branches.

24. No exposed cabling shall be permitted. All cabling must be pulled taught so as to avoid looping above or below the antennas.

25. All antennas shall be covered with mesh antenna socks that maintain the same pine needles as the tree.

26. All equipment, including transformers, emergency generators and air conditioners shall be designed and operated consistent with the City noise ordinance. Ventilation openings shall be baffled and directed away from residential areas. Vibration resonance of operating equipment in the equipment enclosures shall be eliminated.

27. Prior to obtaining a Construction Permit the following items must be illustrated on the construction drawings; coax cable tray, meters, telco, A/C units, generator receptacles, cable runs, bridges, dog houses and external ports. These appurtenances must be minimized visually so as to avoid the effect of changing the outward appearance of the project from what was approved on the exhibits.

28. The applicant of record is responsible for notifying the city prior to the sale or takeover of this site to any other provider.

29. This wireless communication facility shall be removed or replaced if it is determined that the facility or components of the facility are obsolete.

30. All private outdoor lighting installed by carrier (T-Mobile or Cingular) shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

## **INFORMATION ONLY:**

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code §66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance

APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego on August 7, 2008 by Resolution No. XXXXX.

## ATTACHMENT 10

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.: <u>NUP No. 520535</u> Date of Approval: <u>August 7, 2008</u>

## AUTHENTICATED BY THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

Omnipoint Communications dba T-Mobile Owner/Permittee

> NAME TITLE

**Poway Unified School District** Owner/Permittee

By

By

NAME TITLE

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

Rev. 05/18/07 rh

## PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. PC-XXXX NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 520535 T-Mobile – BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL Project No. 6749

WHEREAS, Poway Unified School District, Owner and Omnipoint Communications dba T-Mobile, Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit for a wireless communication facility (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit No. 520535, on portions of a 44.80 acre site;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the RS-1-14 zone of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lot 2 of Penasquitos School Sites, according to Map No. 7582;

WHEREAS, on January 7, 2008, the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego approved Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520535 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2008, Charles Sellers, Chair of the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board appealed the decision of the Development Services Department to approve Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520535 pursuant to the Land Development Code;

WHEREAS, on August 7, 2008, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520535 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego, NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Planning Commission adopts the following written Findings, dated August 7, 2008.

## FINDINGS:

## Neighborhood Use Permit - Section 126.0205

# 1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan;

The Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan does not address wireless communication facilities as a specific land use, however, the City of San Diego's General Plan (UD-15) requires that the visual impact of wireless facilities be minimized by concealing wireless facilities in existing structures or using screening techniques to hide or blend them into the surrounding area. The plan also calls for these facilities to be designed to be aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context. Furthermore, the plan states that equipment associated with wireless facilities be concealed from view. The antennas associated with this wireless facility are proposed to be mounted on a monopine located on the perimeter of the sports field. The addition of landscape

## ATTACHMENT 11

material adjacent to the facility, and on the nearby slope, will serve to blend the project into the surroundings. Equipment associated with this facility will be located within enclosures, surrounded by block walls with trellis structures. The design of the enclosure will be consistent between the three carriers. Therefore, this facility will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

Pursuant to the San Diego Land Development Code, wireless communication facilities are permitted in all zones citywide with the appropriate permit process. Wireless communication facilities are separately regulated uses, which have limitations or require compliance with conditions in order to minimize potential impacts. The intent of the regulations is to camouflage facilities from public view. T-Mobile is proposing to construct a 35 foot high monopine that will support 12 panel antennas as well 12 panel antennas for AT&T Mobility (approved under NUP No. 520534) In this case, the facility will be located on the perimeter of a sports field of a middle school adjacent to an existing Verizon monopine. All of the antennas will be covered with mesh pine needle socks to camouflage the antennas. The associated equipment is located within a concrete block enclosure that will be accented with a wood lattice cover. The addition of landscape materials adjacent to the communication facilities as well as on the neighboring slope will help to integrate and camouflage the installation. The overall project is designed to blend in with the existing and proposed landscaping. Additionally, the Communication Antenna regulations state that an antenna facility will considered minor if the facility including the equipment is concealed from public view or integrated into the architecture or surrounding environment through architectural enhancement, unique design solutions or accessory use structures. The project complies with the development regulations for the RS-1-14 zone and the Communication Antenna regulations. Therefore, the proposed development would not adversely affect the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan nor the City of San Diego General Plan and Progress Guide.

# 2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare; and

The Telecommunication Act of 1996 preempts local governments from regulating the "placement, construction and modification of wireless communication facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of Radio Frequency (RF) emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) standards for such emissions." The proposed project would be consistent with the FCC's regulations for wireless facilities. To insure that the FCC standards are being met, a condition has been added to the permit to require T-Mobile to perform a cumulative RF study and submit the finding in a report to the City of San Diego within 30 days of approval of this permit. Therefore, based on the above, the project would not result in any significant health or safety risks to the surrounding area.

# 3. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

The project complies with all applicable development regulations including the RS-1-14 development regulations of the Land Development Code and the City of San Diego Communication Antenna Regulations (Land Development Code Section 141.0405). This section of the code requires architectural integration and/or enhancements with the existing buildings or landscape on the property. The monopine is proposed to be 35 feet high and will be a collocation

## ATTACHMENT 11

facility with AT&T Mobility. It is located adjacent to an existing monopine on the perimeter of a middle school sports field. The addition of antenna socks as well as a landscape planting palette for the project site and neighboring slope will minimize any potential visual impacts. The existing use of the property as a school requires that a Neighborhood Use Permit be obtained for the wireless communication facility. There are no deviations from the development regulations or variances requested with this application. Therefore, the project is in full compliance with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520535 is hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Permit No. 520535, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: August 7, 2008 Job Order No. 004451
RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

JOB ORDER NUMBER: 004450

Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520534 AT&T (Cingular) – Black Mountain Middle School Planning Commission PTS No. 10860

This Neighborhood Use Permit is granted by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego to the Poway Unified School District, Owner, and AT&T Mobility, Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 141.0405. The 44.80 acre site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the RS-1-14 zone of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan. The project site is legally described as Lot 2 of Penasquitos School Sites, according to Map No. 7582

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to install a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF), described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated August 7, 2008, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. The installation of 12 panel antennas on to a 35 foot high faux monopine (owned and constructed by T-Mobile NUP No. 520535) and the construction of an 8 foot high concrete block enclosure measuring approximately 20 feet by 23 feet that will contain the associated wireless communication equipment;
- b. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements); and
- c. Accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards in effect for this site per the adopted community plan, California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, public and private improvement requirements of the City Engineer, the underlying zone(s), conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC in effect for this site.

# **STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:**

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. Failure to utilize and maintain utilization of this permit as described in the SDMC will automatically void the permit unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in affect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker.

2. This Neighborhood Use Permit [NUP] and corresponding use of this site shall **expire on August 7, 2018.** Upon expiration of this Permit, the facilities and improvements described herein shall be removed from this site and the property shall be restored to its original condition preceding approval of this Permit unless the applicant of record files a new application for a facility which will be subject to compliance with all regulations in effect at the time.

3. No later than ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of this permit, the Owner/Permittee may submit a new application to the Development Services Department for consideration with review and a decision by the appropriate decision maker at that time. Failure to submit prior to the deadline will be cause for compliance, which may include penalties and fines.

4. Under no circumstances, does approval of this permit authorize Cingular Wireless to utilize this site for wireless communication purposes beyond the permit expiration date. Implicit use of this permit beyond the effective date of this permit is prohibited.

5. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and

b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

6. Unless this Permit has been revoked by the City of San Diego the property included by reference within this Permit shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the Development Services Department.

7. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor or successors, and the interests of any successor shall be subject to each and every condition set out in this Permit and all referenced documents.

8. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

9. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies

including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

10. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial modifications to the building and site improvements to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical and plumbing codes and State law requiring access for disabled people may be required.

11. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

12. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and have been determined to be necessary in order to make the findings required for this Permit. It is the intent of the City that the holder of this Permit be required to comply with each and every condition in order to be afforded the special rights which the holder of the Permit is entitled as a result of obtaining this Permit.

In the event that any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

13. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, including, but not limited to, any to any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, applicant shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and applicant regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by applicant.

# **ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:**

14. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

15. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

16. Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within 90 days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code 66020.

## LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

17. In the event that the Landscape Plan and the Site Plan conflict, the Site Plan shall be revised to be consistent with the Landscape Plan such that landscape areas are consistent with the Exhibit "A" Landscape Development Plan.

18. Prior to issuance of any construction permits for buildings (including shell), complete landscape and irrigation construction documents consistent with the Land Development Manual: Landscape Standards shall be submitted to Development Services for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Development Plan, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department. Construction plans shall take into account a 40 square foot area around each tree which is unencumbered by hardscape and utilities as set forth under LDC 142.0403(b)5.

19. All required landscape shall be maintained in a disease, weed and litter free condition at all times. Severe pruning or "topping" of trees is not permitted. The trees shall be maintained in a safe manner to allow each tree to grow to its mature height and spread.

20. If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction document plans is damaged or removed during demolition or construction, it shall be repaired and/or replaced in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of Development Services within 30 days of damage, use of facility, or a Final Landscape Inspection.

## PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

21. Prior to the issuance of a construction permit, the telecommunication provider shall provide certification describing evidence that the cumulative field measurements of radio frequency power densities for all antennas installed on the premises are below the federal standards.

22. All equipment, including transformers, emergency generators and air conditioners shall be designed and operated consistent with the City noise ordinance. Ventilation openings shall be baffled and directed away from residential areas. Vibration resonance of operating equipment in the equipment enclosures shall be eliminated.

23. Branches must extend a minimum of 24 inches beyond all antennas.

24. No overhead cabling is permitted.

25. No pipes absent antennas are permitted on the faux tree at any time. All pipes must be no longer than the antenna and all pipes, arms, cabling and mounting hardware must be painted the same color as the branches.

26. No exposed cabling shall be permitted. All cabling must be pulled taught so as to avoid looping above or below the antennas.

27. All antennas shall be covered with mesh antenna socks that maintain the same pine needles as the tree.

28. Prior to obtaining a Construction Permit the following items must be illustrated on the construction drawings; coax cable tray, meters, telco, A/C units, generator receptacles, cable runs, bridges, dog houses and external ports. These appurtenances must be minimized visually so as to avoid the effect of changing the outward appearance of the project from what was approved on the exhibits.

29. The applicant of record is responsible for notifying the city prior to the sale or takeover of this site to any other provider.

30. This wireless communication facility shall be removed or replaced if it is determined that the facility or components of the facility are obsolete.

31. All private outdoor lighting installed by the carrier (AT&T or T-Mobile) shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

## **INFORMATION ONLY:**

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code §66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance

APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego on August 7, 2008 by Resolution No. XXXXX.

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.: <u>NUP No. 520534</u> Date of Approval: <u>January 7, 2008</u>

# AUTHENTICATED BY THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Development Project Manager	-
NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.	
The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by exthis Permit and promises to perform each a	recution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of nd every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.
	AT&T Mobility Owner/Permittee By
	NAME TITLE
	<b>Poway Unified School District</b> Owner/Permittee
Y	By

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

Rev. 05/18/07 rh

NAME TITLE

## PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. PC-XXXX NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 520534 AT&T Mobility (Cingular) – BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL Project No. 10860

WHEREAS, Poway Unified School District, Owner and AT&T Mobility, Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit for a wireless communication facility (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit No. 520534, on portions of a 44.80 acre site;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the RS-1-14 zone of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lot 2 of Penasquitos School Sites, according to Map No. 7582;

WHEREAS, on January 7, 2008, the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego approved Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520534 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2008, Charles Sellers, Chair of the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board appealed the decision of the Development Services Department to approve Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520534 pursuant to the Land Development Code;

WHEREAS, on August 7, 2008, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520534 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego, NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Planning Commission adopts the following written Findings, dated August 7, 2008.

# FINDINGS:

# Neighborhood Use Permit - Section 126.0205

# 1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan;

The Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan does not address wireless communication facilities as a specific land use, however, the City of San Diego's General Plan (UD-15) requires that the visual impact of wireless facilities be minimized by concealing wireless facilities in existing structures or using screening techniques to hide or blend them into the surrounding area. The plan also calls for these facilities to be designed to be aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context. Furthermore, the plan states that equipment associated with wireless facilities be concealed from view. The antennas associated with this wireless facility are proposed to be mounted on a monopine located on the perimeter of the sports field. The addition of landscape

material adjacent to the facility, and on the nearby slope, will serve to blend the project into the surroundings. Equipment associated with this facility will be located within enclosures, surrounded by block walls with trellis structures. The design of the enclosure will be consistent between the three carriers. Therefore, this facility will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

Pursuant to the San Diego Land Development Code, wireless communication facilities are permitted in all zones citywide with the appropriate permit process. Wireless communication facilities are separately regulated uses, which have limitations or require compliance with conditions in order to minimize potential impacts. The intent of the regulations is to camouflage facilities from public view. AT&T is proposing to install 12 panel antennas on to a T-Mobile monopine (approved under NUP No. 520535). In this case, the facility will be located on the perimeter of a sports field of a middle school adjacent to an existing Verizon monopine. All of the antennas will be covered with mesh pine needle socks to camouflage the antennas. The associated equipment is located within a concrete block enclosure that will be accented with a wood lattice cover. The addition of landscape materials adjacent to the communication facilities as well as on the neighboring slope will help to integrate and camouflage the installation. The overall project is designed to blend in with the existing and proposed landscaping. Additionally, the Communication Antenna regulations state that an antenna facility will considered minor if the facility including the equipment is concealed from public view or integrated into the architecture or surrounding environment through architectural enhancement, unique design solutions or accessory use structures. The project complies with the development regulations for the RS-1-14 zone and the Communication Antenna regulations. Therefore, the proposed development would not adversely affect the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan nor the City of San Diego General Plan and Progress Guide.

# 2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare; and

The Telecommunication Act of 1996 preempts local governments from regulating the "placement, construction and modification of wireless communication facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of Radio Frequency (RF) emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) standards for such emissions." The proposed project would be consistent with the FCC's regulations for wireless facilities. To insure that the FCC standards are being met, a condition has been added to the permit to require AT&T Mobility to perform a cumulative RF study and submit the finding in a report to the City of San Diego within 30 days of approval of this permit. Therefore, based on the above, the project would not result in any significant health or safety risks to the surrounding area.

# 3. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

The project complies with all applicable development regulations including the RS-1-14 development regulations of the Land Development Code and the City of San Diego Communication Antenna Regulations (Land Development Code Section 141.0405). This section of the code requires architectural integration and/or enhancements with the existing buildings or landscape on the property. The installation of 12 panel antennas on to a new monopine will meet the intent of the Communication Antenna regulations to encourage collocation. The addition of

antenna socks as well as a landscape planting palette for the project site and neighboring slope will minimize any potential visual impacts. The existing use of the property as a school requires that a Neighborhood Use Permit be obtained for the wireless communication facility. There are no deviations from the development regulations or variances requested with this application. Therefore, the project is in full compliance with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520534 is hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Permit No. 520534, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: August 7, 2008 Job Order No. 004450 RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

JOB ORDER NUMBER: 004452

Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520536 Sprint Nextel – Black Mountain Middle School Planning Commission PTS No. 16218

This Neighborhood Use Permit is granted by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego to the Poway Unified School District, Owner, and Sprint Nextel Communications, Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 141.0405. The 44.80 acre site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the RS-1-14 zone of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan. The project site is legally described as Lot 2 of Penasquitos School Sites, according to Map No. 7582

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to install a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF), described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated August 7, 2008, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. The installation of 12 panel antennas to an existing Verizon monopine located on the sports field at Black Mountain Middle School and the construction of a nine foot high concrete block enclosure measuring approximately 12 feet by 20 feet that will contain the associated wireless communication equipment;
- b. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements); and
- c. Accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards in effect for this site per the adopted community plan, California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, public and private improvement requirements of the City Engineer, the underlying zone(s), conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC in effect for this site.

# STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. Failure to utilize and maintain utilization of this permit as described in the SDMC will automatically void the permit unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in affect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker.

2. This Neighborhood Use Permit [NUP] and corresponding use of this site shall **expire on** September 12, 2010 along with the Verizon faux tree upon which these antennas are to be collocated. If Verizon or it's successor does not file an application for a new permit, then upon expiration of this Permit, the facilities and improvements described herein shall be removed from this site and the property shall be restored to its original condition preceding approval of this Permit unless the applicant of record files a new application for a facility which will be subject to compliance with all regulations in effect at the time.

3. No later than ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of this permit, the Owner/Permittee may submit a new application to the Development Services Department for consideration with review and a decision by the appropriate decision maker at that time. Failure to submit prior to the deadline will be cause for compliance, which may include penalties and fines.

4. Under no circumstances, does approval of this permit authorize Sprint Nextel to utilize this site for wireless communication purposes beyond the permit expiration date. Implicit use of this permit beyond the effective date of this permit is prohibited.

5. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and

b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

6. Unless this Permit has been revoked by the City of San Diego the property included by reference within this Permit shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the Development Services Department.

7. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor or successors, and the interests of any successor shall be subject to each and every condition set out in this Permit and all referenced documents.

8. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

9. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

10. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial modifications to the building and site improvements to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical and plumbing codes and State law requiring access for disabled people may be required.

11. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

12. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and have been determined to be necessary in order to make the findings required for this Permit. It is the intent of the City that the holder of this Permit be required to comply with each and every condition in order to be afforded the special rights which the holder of the Permit is entitled as a result of obtaining this Permit.

In the event that any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and 13. employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, including, but not limited to, any to any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, applicant shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and applicant regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by applicant.

# **ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:**

14. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

15. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

16. Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within 90 days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code 66020.

17. Prior to issuance of any construction permits, the applicant shall add the Lessee's Certificate for Post-construction BMP's and have it signed by the company on sheet A-101.

18. Prior to issuance of any construction permits, complete and submit the Storm Water Requirements Applicability Checklist (available from the City's website at: http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/news/pdf/ds-5601stormwtr.pdf) in order to determine the project's storm water Best Management Practices (BMPs) requirements during construction and post construction.

## LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

19. In the event that the Landscape Plan and the Site Plan conflict, the Site Plan shall be revised to be consistent with the Landscape Plan such that landscape areas are consistent with the Exhibit "A" Landscape Development Plan.

20. Prior to issuance of any construction permits for buildings (including shell), complete landscape and irrigation construction documents consistent with the Land Development Manual: Landscape Standards shall be submitted to Development Services for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Development Plan, on file in the Office of the Development Services Department. Construction plans shall take into account a 40 square foot area around each tree which is unencumbered by hardscape and utilities as set forth under LDC 142.0403(b)5.

21. All required landscape shall be maintained in a disease, weed and litter free condition at all times. Severe pruning or "topping" of trees is not permitted. The trees shall be maintained in a safe manner to allow each tree to grow to its mature height and spread.

22. If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction document plans is damaged or removed during demolition or construction, it shall be repaired and/or replaced in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of Development Services within 30 days of damage, use of facility, or a Final Landscape Inspection.

# PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

23. Prior to the issuance of a construction permit, the telecommunication provider shall provide certification describing evidence that the cumulative field measurements of radio frequency power densities for all antennas installed on the premises comply with FCC regulations.

24. This project is likely to cause interference with public safety radio systems. The proposed antennas may not be high enough to avoid this interference. The carrier should consider the possibility of this interference and shall utilize best practices to reduce the interference potential.

25. The carrier shall submit a statement that review has been made to ensure the site will not cause harmful interference to public safety radios. If interference is detected after installation, the carrier shall follow all FCC required procedures to mitigate interference.

26. Color of added branches and type of needles must be a precise match to the existing tree. If there is a discrepancy in either the color or type of branches, all existing branches must be removed and replaced with new branches. Branches must extend a minimum of 24" beyond all antennas. Branches shall be attached to the monopine starting at 12 feet above ground level.

27. No overhead cabling is permitted.

28. No pipes absent antennas are permitted on the faux tree at any time. All pipes must be no longer than the antenna and all pipes, arms, cabling and mounting hardware must be painted the same color as the branches.

29. No exposed cabling shall be permitted. All cabling must be pulled taught so as to avoid looping above or below the antennas.

30. All antennas shall be covered with mesh antenna socks that maintain the same pine needles as the tree.

31. If upon completion of structural calculations, it is determined that the existing pole cannot support the additional weight of branches, this permit will be voided.

32. All equipment, including transformers, emergency generators and air conditioners shall be designed and operated consistent with the City noise ordinance. Ventilation openings shall be baffled and directed away from residential areas. Vibration resonance of operating equipment in the equipment enclosures shall be eliminated.

33. Prior to obtaining a Construction Permit the following items must be illustrated on the construction drawings; coax cable tray, meters, telco, A/C units, generator receptacles, cable

runs, bridges, dog houses and external ports. These appurtenances must be minimized visually so as to avoid the effect of changing the outward appearance of the project from what was approved on the exhibits.

34. The applicant of record is responsible for notifying the city prior to the sale or takeover of this site to any other provider.

35. This wireless communication facility shall be removed or replaced if it is determined that the facility or components of the facility are obsolete.

36. All private outdoor lighting installed by Sprint Nextel shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

## **INFORMATION ONLY:**

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code §66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance

APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego on August 7, 2008 by Resolution No. XXXXX.

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.: <u>NUP No. 520536</u> Date of Approval: <u>August 7, 2008</u>

## AUTHENTICATED BY THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

Sprint Nextel Owner/Permittee

> NAME TITLE

Poway Unified School District Owner/Permittee

By

By

NAME TITLE

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

Rev. 05/18/07 rh

### PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. PC-XXXX NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 520536 SPRINT NEXTEL – BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL Project No. 16218

WHEREAS, Poway Unified School District, Owner and Sprint Nextel, Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit for a wireless communication facility (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit No. 520536, on portions of a 44.80 acre site;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 9353 Oviedo Street in the RS-1-14 zone of the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lot 2 of Penasquitos School Sites, according to Map No. 7582;

WHEREAS, on January 7, 2008, the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego considered Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520536 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2008, Charles Sellers, Chair of the Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board appealed the decision of the Development Services Department to approve Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520536 pursuant to the Land Development Code;

WHEREAS, on August 7, 2008, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520536 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego, NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Planning Commission adopts the following written Findings, dated August 7, 2008.

FINDINGS:

## Neighborhood Use Permit - Section 126.0205

# 1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan;

Neither the City of San Diego General Plan nor the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan addresses wireless communication facilities as a specific land use. Pursuant to the San Diego Land Development Code, wireless communication facilities are permitted in all zones citywide with the appropriate permit process. Wireless communication facilities are separately regulated uses, which have limitations or require compliance with conditions in order to minimize potential impacts. The intent of the regulations is to camouflage facilities from public view. In this case, the antennas will be located on an existing monopine located on the perimeter of a sports field of a middle school.

Branches will be added to the tree to help integrate the antennas better and the antennas will be covered with mesh pine needle socks to camouflage the antennas. The associated equipment is located within a concrete block enclosure that will be accented with a wood lattice cover. The addition of landscape materials adjacent to the communication facilities as well as on the neighboring slope will help to integrate and camouflage the installation. The Communication Antenna regulations encourages collocation and the addition of panel antennas to the existing tree, which will also be enhanced with additional branches, complies with this requirement. The overall project is designed to blend in with the existing and proposed landscaping. Additionally, the Communication Antenna regulations state that an antenna facility will considered minor if the facility including the equipment is concealed from public view or integrated into the architecture or surrounding environment through architectural enhancement, unique design solutions or accessory use structures. The project complies with the development regulations for the RS-1-14 zone and the Communication Antenna regulations. Therefore, the proposed development would not adversely affect the Rancho Penasquitos Community Plan nor the City of San Diego General Plan and Progress Guide.

# 2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare; and

The Telecommunication Act of 1996 preempts local governments from regulating the "placement, construction and modification of wireless communication facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of Radio Frequency (RF) emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) standards for such emissions." The proposed project would be consistent with the FCC's regulations for wireless facilities. To insure that the FCC standards are being met, a condition has been added to the permit to require Sprint Nextel to perform a cumulative RF study and submit the finding in a report to the City of San Diego within 30 days of approval of this permit. Therefore, based on the above, the project would not result in any significant health or safety risks to the surrounding area.

# 3. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

The project complies with all applicable development regulations including the RS-1-14 development regulations of the Land Development Code and the City of San Diego Communication Antenna Regulations (Land Development Code Section 141.0405). This section of the code requires architectural integration and/or enhancements with the existing buildings or landscape on the property. The additional panel antennas on the Verizon tree meets the intent of the Communication Antenna regulations, which encourage collocation. The addition of branches and antenna socks as well as a landscape planting palette for the project site and neighboring slope will minimize any potential visual impacts. The existing use of the property as a school requires that a Neighborhood Use Permit be obtained for the wireless communication facility. There are no deviations from the development regulations or variances requested with this application. Therefore, the project is in full compliance with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, Neighborhood Use Permit No. 520536 is hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission

to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Permit No. 520536, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: August 7, 2008 Job Order No. 004452

#### 8-6-03RPPBminutes.doc

### ATTACHMENT 16 Page 1

### RANCHO PEÑASQUITOS PLANNING BOARD MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday August 6, 2003 (7:30 PM) Sandpiper Room, DoubleTree Golf Resort 14455 Peñasquitos Drive, San Diego, CA 92129

#### Call To Order:

The meeting was called to order on Wednesday, August 6, 2003 at 7:30 p.m.

Present: Lee, Egbert, Lemker, Pehaim, Berg, Shimansky, Bende, Verfurth, Bell, Sellers, Rhodes, Flanagan, Kaneyuki and Thalheimer.

Excused: Bill Diehl, John Keating, Lynn Murphy and Jeanette Waltz.

Agenda Additions or Deletions: There were no agenda additions or deletions.

Approval of Minutes: (June and July) Approval of the minutes for June and July was tabled until September for lack of same (14-0).

Chair Report: Joost Bende Secretary Report: Jeanette Waltz There were no reports given by either the Chair or the Secretary.

Public Forum: (limit 3 minutes per person / 10 minutes per topic)

1. Fred McManus spoke at length during the Public Forum about the ongoing Rodguez flasco. As did Christine W. who lives across the street from subject property and commented that the situation is going from bad to worse. A hearing is scheduled for this month at which the City Attorney may ask the Superior Court to sanction Mr. Rodguez for his failure to comply with its earlier rulings to return the property to its original state. Mr. McManus urged us all to stay tuned for further details.

#### Announcements / Information Items:

City of San Diego Council District One Report (Tyler Sherer)

Tyler Sherer distributed the San Diego City Council District One report. The SDCC will be on hiatus until Tuesday, September 2, 2003. Its last order of business was to approve an extension of the negotiating window on the Charger stadium lease until Saturday, May 1, 2004.

- City of San Diego Mayoral Report (Gayle Hom Zemen)

Gayle Hom Zemen was not present to deliver the San Diego Mayoral Report.

City of San Diego P&D Report (Dan Joyce)

Dan Joyce stated in his P&D report that the Mormon and Catholic church projects were progressing through the planning process. He also commented that the Mormon church design was particularly attractive.

- Assemblyman George Plescia (Aaron)

Aaron from Assemblymember George Plescia's office passed out copies of their News & Notes. It stated that AM Plescia voted against the compromise budget which recently passed the State Legislature. He did assure us, however, that the completion of State Route 56 and the Interstate 15 Improvement Project will continue to be funded.

- Sewer Pump Station #62 - 90% Design Review (Sue Blackman)

San Diego City representatives gave an update on the progress of Sewer Pump Station 62. Construction begins in January 2005, with projected completion by June 2006. Several members expressed concern that this project might overlap the construction of seven new residences scheduled for Almazon Drive, thus inconveniencing nearby residents even further.

Old Business:

Page 2

## **ATTACHMENT 16**

 Cingular Wireless at Black Mtn. Middle School – REVISED PLANS (Shelly Kilbourne) (Action Item) The revised Cingular Wireless site at Black Mountain Middle School was approved (11-3). Please see the minutes of the July meeting for the conditions of the approval.

- AT&T Cellular facility at Black Mtn. Middle School (Action Item)
  - The AT&T Wireless site at Black Mountain Middle School was also approved (10-4). Approval was conditioned upon AT&T's installation of a realistic looking monopine, such as the one just approved for Cingular, as opposed to the existing Verizon monopine. AT&T also agreed to install a monopine suitable to accept a second carrier, should one come forward, with all additional branches needed to conceal a second carrier's equipment already in place. AT&T also agreed to plant a sufficient number of live pines around their monopine so as to blend it into the surrounding landscape, a la Cingular's site.
- Almazon Residences (7 homes on 7 lots) (Kam Ashegian) (Action Item)
   The aforementioned Almazon residence project of seven proposed homes was remanded
  - to the September LUSC meeting, pending issuance of its environmental document (14-0). Torrey Highlands Village Center PDP Amendment Application (Action Item)
  - The Torrey Highlands Village Center PDP Amendment was approved (12-2) after some members commented that, with only ten residential over commercial units, it was a pretty sorry excuse for a village to begin with. But now that the R/C units have been reduced to just four, it sounds pitiful to even call this project a village at all.
- Torrey Highlands Day Care (Erin Bishop) (Action Item) The Torrey Highlands Daycare Center was also remanded to the September LUSC meeting (14-0), based upon a scheduling error by the Chair.
- Skate Park at Carmel Mtn. Road and SR56 (Action Item)
- Final design plans for the Rancho Penasquitos Skate Park, to be located at Freeport Road and Carmel Mountain Road, were approved (14-0).
- Rhodes Crossing (Tentative Action Item)

No action was taken on the Rhodes Crossing Project. There was discussion of the project by the Board and the public.

- Planning Board Vacancies (Renter, Commercial)
  - o No action was taken to fill current vacancies at this time.

#### New Business:

There was no New Business to transact.

#### Subcommittee / Ranch Organization Reports:

- Bylaws/Election Subcommittee (Steve Egbert)
- Community Fund Subcommittee (Jeanette Waltz)
- COMPACT ()
- Land Use Subcommittee (Dan Lee)
- Traffic Subcommittee (John Keating)
- Black Mountain Open Space (Kelly Lemker)
- HOOPA (Dick Flanagan)
- Peñasquitos Canyon CAC ()
- Peñasquitos East MAD (Dick Flanagan)
- Recreation Council (Wayne Kaneyuki)
- SR 56 Subcommittee (Charles Sellers)
- Town Council (Phil Thalheimer)
- Wireless Subcommittee (Dick Flanagan)

The Subcommittee and Organization reports were given.

#### Adjourn:

The meeting was adjourned on Wednesday, August 6, 2003 at 9:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Charles Sellers, RPPB Vice-Chair and Acting Secretary.

## ATTACHMENT 16 ND. 475

### RANCHO PEÑASQUITOS PLANNING BOARD MEETING MINUTES

#### Wednesday July 2, 2003 (7:30 PM) Sandpiper Room, DoubleTree Golf Resort 14455 Pcfasquitos Drive, San Diego, CA 92129

#### Call To Order:

The meeting was called to order on Wednesday, July 2, 2003 at 8:05 p.m. (instead of 7:30) because the LUSC meeting had finished late. Agenda Additions or Deletions:

Present: Lee, Egbert, Lemker, Pehaim, Berg, Shimansky, Bende, Diehl, Verfurth, Bell, Sellers, Rhodes, Flanagan and Kaneyuki.

Excused: Lynn Murphy and John Keatting, Jeanette Waltz

Approval of Minutes:

Chair Report: Joost Bende

Up coming Projects: Lady of Mt Carmel Church, Skate Park, Torrey Pines Daycare should come to Land use in August

CWA to hold meeting on Pressure Control Station at Mercy Road, Dick Flanagan to attend as rep. of Board, on 10 July at 4pm at Topaz road

#### Secretary Report: Jeanette Waltz

No report due to absence.

Public Forum: (limit 3 minutes per person / 10 minutes per topic)

- 1. Mary Fox
  - a. Rhodes much happier
  - b. 4 homes back to 1
    - i. is it feasible
  - c. ihbdsgns@aol.com
    - i. email for suggestions and comments
- 2. Cheryal Bamuker
  - a. Plan for bridge over highway
  - b. On Carmel Mt. Road
  - c. Storage, what really is the benefit
  - MaryAnn Issly
    - a. More pedestrian friendly for commercial
    - b. KR is better but not good enough
    - c. Reduce behind Sends Panacea
    - Linda Schulmen
    - a. Board doing good job.
      - i. Not enough give on units
      - ii. Want the good schools
        - 1. We are going to get lots of kids from this project
      - iii. Traffic still a problem on 56
      - iv. Median not good enough
        - 1. Don't do for family with kids
          - a. How about playground equipment
      - v. Get sample of unites we can see

#### Page 3 of 7

- a. Stop signs on Sedoras
  - 1. How about stoplights without KR project

5.

3.

4.

Cynthia O'Neal

10:43

6.

a. Will they all go to West View

Announcements / Information Items:

1.

- City of San Diego Council District One Report (Tyler Sherer)
  - Skate Park approved dealgn approved 24 to 1 by Rec Council.
  - a. Mitigated negative Dec. on BIR.
  - 2. Tote Lot opening, some vandalism
  - 3. Canyon side drainage
    - a. Working on it
  - 4. Black Mt Road pipeline
    - a. Getting fixed
      - i. Should be next ten days
  - 5. Budget impacts
    - a. Parks lose of hours
      - i. Not after school or weekend
  - 6. Granny Flats allowed on only double average lot size of community
  - City of San Diego Mayoral Report (Gayle Hom, Zemen)
    - Budget adopted
    - 2 million found by manager
      - Fire Rescue
      - Library to remain open on Sunday s
- City of San Diego P&D Report (Dan Joyce)
  - Not here tonight
- Assemblyman George Ploscia (Aaron)
  - 1. Focus on Transportation and Health care
  - 3. Vehicle license fees up
    - a. About \$276 from 76.00
- FBA/Community Fund Update (Jennifer Carroll)
  - o Not here tonight

#### Old Business:

- Almazon Residences (7 homes on 7 lots) (Kam Ashegian) (Action Item)

Not here

- Cingular Wireless at Black Mtn. Middle School (Shelly Kilbourne) (Action Item)
  - o 30 foot mone pine
    - 60 feet apart from the existing one
    - New equipment building
    - City comments
      - · Relocate equipment building from Black Mt road
        - o To Hide it better
      - Not to Look like the Verizon one
    - Branches 4ft past the antonna
      - · Better making
    - Problem with building view of Black Mt.
      - Done by school not provider
    - Can not look at health issues due to Federal Law
    - PUSD approved the site
    - Motion to approve with conditions.
      - o Pine looks like pine-i.e. use use Cingulars mono-pine
      - o Landscape and clean up
      - Motion passed 11-3-0
- Future Cingular Wireless projects:
  - o Penasquitos Water Tank
    - Existing Nextel site
      - · Same as existing site

• Hind 4 of 7

**P**Ø4

- o Will fit into existing landscaping
- o Canyon Side Park
  - Through all park boards
    - Is now moving forward
      - 6 antennas
        - o Flush with pole of existing light standards
          - 3<sup>rd</sup> base side of field 1
        - o Still in city
        - a Some light study and noise study
    - 20k benefit to the City Parks

- Planning Board Vacancies (Renter, Commercial) / COW Training; July 12, 2003

#### Subcommittee / Ranch Organization Reports:

.

- Bylaws/Election Subcommittee (Steve Egbert)

- Community Fund Subcommittee (Jeanette Waltz)
- COMPACT ()

10:43

- Land Use Subcommittee (Dan Lee)
- Traffic Subcommittee (John Kenting)
- Black Mountain Open Space ()
- HOOPA (Dick Flanagan) a. Ouesti
  - Question about fonces on road and poor taste of owner along Blank Mt Road
- Peñasquitos Canyon CAC ()
- Peflasquitos East MAD (Dick Flanagan)
  - a. Looking at the vote next year.
    - For the wish list items
- Recreation Council (Wayne)
  - 3 hours on Skate Park approval
  - Concert in park

Not very good attendance

Fireworks 3 July

Celebrate the Family 29 August-Time Warner sponsoring

- SR 56 Subcommittee (Charles Sellers)
  - o Has driven it
    - He has seen it
- Town Council (Phil Thalbeimer)
  - 1. Election
    - a. The Corridor listed it
    - B. TJ Zane the new chair
- Wireless Subcommittee (Dick Flanagan)
  - o Revised draft policy out
  - o Will be going back to CC sometime

Adjourn:

The meeting was adjourned at 10:45pm

Respectfully submitted by Phil Thalheimer, Board Member and Acting Secretary

8582726199

JAIN MALKIN INC

ATTACHMENT 16 81/02

City of San Diegu Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101 1619) 446-5210			nmunity Planning Committee ution Form Part 1
Project Name : BLACK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL	PRINT	Project Number 16218	Distribution Date 9/11/03
Project Scope :Rancho Fenasquitos. JO# 42 consisting of 6 panel antennas mounted o an approximately 18(1-square-foot concret project site is located at 9353 Oviedo Stre RS-1-14. Council District 1. Provide 3 p	n an existing monop te block wall with a ect in the Rancho Pe	ine . Associated cqu treliis cover adjacent	ipment is proposed inside t to the monopine. The
Project Location 9353 ()viedo Street			10BILE # 119 957-5894-1-
Applicant Name: Alex Bladley		Applicant Phone No. (8	
Related Projects			
Project Manager Firouzish Tirandazi	Phone Number (619) 446-5370	Fax Number (619) 446-5499	E-mail Address FTirandazi@sandlego.gov
Community Plan Rancho Penasquitos	Council District	Existing Zone	Proposed Zone
Project Issues (To be completed by Community Plan <u>CONDITIONS</u> OF <u>APPR</u> 1. MODIFY EXISTING UN (SIMILAN TO PEC 2. PROVICE G-10 LIN NEAR TREE TO B 3. REVENETATE BO TRENCHES. (UEK:	EKIBON TREI EKIBON TREI VE PINE T BUEND MON TH THE SPI	E TP APPEnde 2000 EUD CINGU PEES TP BE 0 PINE. CINT AND 1	E PLANTED
Attach Additional Pages J, Necessary. Printed un recycled paper. This info	Project City Of Develop 1222 Fit San Die	Management Division San Diego ment Scrvices Departn st Avenue, MS 302 go, CA 92101	

To request this document in alternative format, call (619) 446-5446 or (800) 735-2929 (TT). Be sure to see us on the WorldWide Web at www.sundiego.gov/development-services 8582726199 JAIN MALKIN INC.

ATTACHMENTAGE 6 02/02

	City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 446-5210			unity Planning Committee n Form Part 2
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO				T
Project Name : BLACK M	OUNTAIN SCHOOL	SPRINT	Project Number 16218	Distribution Date 9/11/03
consisting of 6 panel an approximately 180	antennas mounted on -square-foot concrete at 9353 Oviedo Stree strict 1. Provide 3 po	0942. NUP - Process Two an existing monopine. A block wall with a treliis c et in the Rancho Penasquite sted notice cards.	ssociated equipme over adjacent to th	ent is proposed inside te monopine. The
Applicant Name: Alex H	adley	· · · · ·	Applicant Phone No.	(858) 547-0394
Related Projects	·			
Project Manager Firduz	nh Tirandazi	Phone Number (619) 446-5370	Fax Number (619) 446-5499	E-mail Address FTirandez]@sandiego.gov
Community Plan Ranch	Penasquitos	Council District		
Existing Zone	Proposed Zone	Building Height	Number of Stories	FAR
Committee Recommendatio	ns (To be completed for In			
Vote to Approve		Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
Vote to Approve With Conditions Listed I	Relow	Members Yes 15	Members No /	Members Abstain O
Vote to Approve With Non-Binding Reco	unmendations Listed Below	Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
Vote to Deny		Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
No Action (Please specify	. e.g., Need further inform	nation, Split vote, Lack of quorum	n, etc.)	Continued
CONDITIONS: SEE	PAGE 1.			
NAME JOOS	H. BENDE.		TITLE CHAI	pe.
SIGNATURE	post 11- Pron	NE.	DATE 0.7	. 2003
Attach Additional Pages	Li Necessary.	Please Return Within 30 Days of Project Management Division City Of San Diego Development Services Departn 1222 First Avenue, MS 302 San Diego, CA 92101	<ul> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.5</li> </ul>	nny To:

(1222 Firs	nent Šervices t Ave., MS-302 o, CA 92101	Own	ership Disclosure Statement
	ate box for type of approval (s) reques Permit Site Development Permit Vesting Tentative Map Map W		Coastal Development Permit
Project Title			Project No. For Clly Use Only
Sprint/Nextel wireless tele	comunications facility.		
Project Address: 9553 Ovlědo Street, San D	lego, CA 92129-2198		
By signing the Ownership Disclose above, will be filed with the City of below the owner(s) and tenant(s) who have an interest in the property individuals who own the property from the Assistant Executive Direc Development Agreement (DDA) h Manager of any changes in owne	(If applicable) of the above reference ty, recorded or otherwise, and state the . A signature is required of at least of clor of the San Diego Redevelopment has been approved / executed by the rship during the time the application if y days prior to any public hearing of in the hearing process. <b>Yes No</b> Rint): Ct <u>Doug Mann</u> e Redevelopment Agency Fax No: (858) 668-2711 Date:	dge that an application for a permit. with the Intent to record an encumed property. The list must include it he type of property interest (e.g., ter one of the property owners. Attach t Agency shall be required for all pro- a City Council. Note: The applican s being processed or considered. C	brance against the property. Please list ne names and addresses of all persons nants who will benefit from the permit, all additional pages if needed. A signature oject parcels for which a Disposition and t is responsible for notifying the Project Changes in ownership are to be given to provide accurate and current ownership r print):
Name of Individual (type or p	Jul 15, 2008	Name of Individual (type o	r printly
Owner TenanVLessee	e CRedevelopment Agency	Owner Tenant/Les	see Redevelopment Agency
Street Address:		Street Address:	
Clty/State/Zlp:		Clty/State/Zip:	
Phone No:	Fax No:	Phone No:	Fax No:
Signature :	Date:	Signature :	Dale:
		r	

Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at <u>www.sandlego.gov/development-services</u> Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities. DS-318 (5-05)

San Diego, CA 92101 Till City of Base Diego (619) 446-5000	O	wnership Disclosure Statement
Approval Type: Check appropriate box for type of Neighborhood Development Permit Site D Variance Tontative Map Vesting Tentat		
Project Title		Project No. For City Use Only
T-Mobile Telecommunications Facility Project Address:		renative and the second design of the second s
9553 Ovledo Street, San Diego, CA 92129	-2198	
Part II - To be completed when property is h	ald by Individual/d	·
Individuals who own the property). <u>A signature is re</u> from the Assistant Executive Director of the San Die Development Agreement (DDA) has been approve Manager of any changes in ownership during the tir the Project Manager at least thirty days prior to ar information could result in a delay in the hearing pro	ego Redevelopment Agency shall be required for ad / executed by the City Council. Note: The ap me the application is being processed or conside ny public hearing on the subject property. Failu cess.	all project parcels for which a Disposition and plicant is responsible for notifying the Project red. Changes in ownership are to be given to
· · · · · · ·	No	vpe or print):
Name of Individual (type or print): Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann	Name of Individual (	
Name of Individual (type or print): Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann X Owner Tenant/Lessee Redevelop Street Address:	Name of Individual (	ype or print): ant/Lessee Redevelopment Agency
Name of Individual (type or print): Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann	Name of Individual (1 oment Agency Owner Ten	
Name of Individual (type or print): Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann X Owner Tenant/Lessee Redevelop Street Address: 13626 Twin Peaks Road City/State/Zip: Poway, CA, 92064	Name of Individual (1 pment Agency Owner Ten Street Address: City/State/Zip:	ant/Lessee TRedevelopment Agency
Name of Individual (type or print):         Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann         IX Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:         13626 Twin Peaks Road         City/State/Zip:         Poway, CA, 92064         Phone No:       Fax No         (858)679-2522       (858)         Signature:       1	Name of Individual (1 pment Agency Owner Ten Street Address: City/State/Zip: Phone No: 8 )668-2711 Signature :	
Name of Individual (type or print):         Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann         IX Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:         13626 Twin Peaks Road         City/State/Zip:         Poway, CA, 92064         Phone No:       Fax M         ( 858)679-2522       ( 858)         Signature :       Date:         Jul	Name of Individual (1 pment Agency	ant/Lessee TRedevelopment Agency Fax No: Date:
Name of Individual (type or print): Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann X Owner Tenant/Lessee Redevelop Street Address: 13626 Twin Peaks Road City/State/Zip: Poway, CA, 92064 Phone No: (858)679-2522 (858 Signature: A Date:	Name of Individual (1 pment Agency Owner Ten Street Address: City/State/Zip: Phone No: 8 )668-2711 Signature :	ant/Lessee Thedevelopment Agency Fax No: Date:
Name of Individual (type or print):         Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann         IX Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:         13626 Twin Peaks Road         City/State/Zip:         Poway, CA, 92064         Phone No:         (858)679-2522         Signature:         Date:         Jul         Name of Individual (type or print):	Name of Individual (1 pment Agency Ten Street Address: City/State/Zip: Phone No: 3 )668-2711 Signature : 16, 2008	ant/Lessee The Redevelopment Agency Fax No: Date:
Name of Individual (type or print):         Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann         IX Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:         13626 Twin Peaks Road         City/State/Zip:         Poway, CA, 92064         Phone No:         (858)679-2522         Signature :         Date:         Jul         Name of Individual (type or print):	Name of Individual (1 pment Agency Ten Street Address: City/State/Zip: Phone No: 3 )668-2711 Signature : 16, 2008	ant/Lessee TRedevelopment Agency Fax No: Date: ype or print):
Name of Individual (type or print):         Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann         IX Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:         13626 Twin Peaks Road         City/State/Zip:         Poway, CA, 92064         Phone No:         ( 858)679-2522         Signature :         Date:         Jul         Name of Individual (type or print):         Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Prodevelop         Phone No:         Fax N         ( 858)679-2522         Output         Jul         Name of Individual (type or print):	Name of Individual (1       pment Agency       Street Address:       City/State/Zip:       No:       8       )668-2711       Signature :       16, 2008       Name of Individual (1       ment Agency	ant/Lessee TRedevelopment Agency Fax No: Date: ype or print):
Name of Individual (type or print):         Poway Unified School District - Doug Mann         IX Owner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:         13626 Twin Peaks Road         City/State/Zip:         Poway, CA, 92064         Phone No:       Fax N         ( 858)679-2522       ( 858)         Signature :       Date:         Jul         Name of Individual (type or print):         Cowner       Tenant/Lessee         Street Address:	Name of Individual (1         Doment Agency         Doment Agency         Street Address:         City/State/Zip:         No:         B         )668-2711         Signature ;         16, 2008         Name of Individual (1         ment Agency         City/State/Zip:         City/State/Zip:         City/State/Zip:	ant/Lessee TRedevelopment Agency Fax No: Date: ype or print):

Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u> Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities. DS-318 (5-05)

(1222 Firs	ment Šervices t Ave., MS-302 o, CA 92101	Ownership	Disclosure Statement
1 (A) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3	ate box for type of approval (s) requeste Permit Site Development Permit Vesting Tentative Map Map Wa	ed: Neighborhood Use Permit Coastal D Planned Development Permit Condition iver Land Use Plan Amendment • Other	Development Permit nal Use Permit
Project Title			No. For City Use Only
ATT Wireless Telecommur	hications Facility		
Project Address: 9353 Ovlědo Street, San D	Piego, CA 92129		
Part I - To be completed whe	n property is held by individual(	i)	
who have an Interest in the proper individuals who own the property from the Assistant Executive Dire Development Agreement (DDA) I Manager of any changes in owne	ty, recorded or otherwise, and state the A signature is required of at least on ctor of the San Diego Redevelopment A tas been approved / executed by the rship during the time the application is y days prior to any public hearing on in the hearing process. Yes X No	A property. The list must include the names and a type of property interest (e.g., tenants who will <u>e of the property owners</u> . Attach additional pag Agency shall be required for all project parcels for City Council. Note: The applicant is responsible being processed or considered. Changes in ow the subject property. Fallure to provide accuration Name of Individual (type or print):	benefit from the permit, all ges if needed. A signature or which a Disposition and le for notifying the Project mership are to be given to
Poway Unified School Distri	ct - Doug Mann		
X Owner Tenant/Lesse	e Redevelopment Agency		edevelopment Agency
Street Address: 13626 Twin Peaks Road		Street Address:	
City/State/Zip:		Clty/State/Zlp:	
Poway, CA, 92064 Phone No:	Fax No:	Phone No:	Fax No:
(858)679-2522 Signature: Wan	(858)668-2711 Date: Jul 16, 2008	Signature :	Date:
Name of Individual (type or p	rint):	Name of Individual (type or print):	
Owner Tenant/Lessee		Owner Tenant/Lessee Rede	evelopment Agency
Street Address:		Street Address:	
City/State/Zip:		City/State/Zip:	
Phone No:	Fax No:	Phone No:	Fax No:
Signature :	Date:	Signature :	Date:

Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u> Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities. DS-318 (5-05)

# **AT&T Mobility Executives**

# ATTACHMENT 17

Ralph de la Vega President and Chief Executive Officer-AT&T Mobility

Kristin S. Rinne Senior Vice President-Architecture & Planning

Peter A. Ritcher Senior Vice President and Wireless Chief Financial Officer

Martin E. Grambow Senior Vice President and General Counsel AT&T Mobility/ and Senior Vice President & Assistant General Counsel -Southeast AT&T Services, Inc.

Fred Devereux President - Wireless Operations/West

Bill Hague Executive Vice President - International

LeAnn Priebe Regional President-Central

Pam Parisian Senior Vice President-IT, AT&T Mobility (Wireless) Paul R. Roth President-Sales and Marketing

Kathleen L. Dowling Senior Vice President-Customer Service

John Dwyer Senior Vice President, Sales Operations and Customer Experience

Kent Mathy President-Small Business

Glenn Lurie President-National Distribution

Steve Hodges Regional President-Northeast

Stephen A. McGaw Senior Vice President - Wireless Strategy

William Hogg President, Network Services

Steve Sitton Regional President-Southeast

David Christopher Chief Marketing Officer-Wireless

# **Sprint Nextel Executives**

**ATTACHMENT 17** 



Dan Hesse Chief Executive Officer Sprint Nextel Corporation Recent Speeches



Robert H. Brust Chief Financial Officer



Danny Bowman President, iDEN Business Unit



President, Sales & Distribution



Keith Cowan President, Strategy and Corporate Development



Damet P. Dooley III President, Wireline Business Unit



Steve Elfman President, Network Operations and Wholesale



John A. Garcia President, CDMA business unit



Bob Johnson Chief Service Officer



General Counsel and Secretary

# **Sprint Nextel Executives**





James Patterson President, Wholesale Services



Sandra J. Price Senior Vice President, Human Resources



Kathy Walker Chief Network Officer



Barry West President, Xohm Business Unit



Bill White Senior Vice President, Corporate Communications

# **T-Mobile Executives**

## **Robert Dotson**

President and Chief Executive Officer

## Cole Brodman

Chief Technology and Innovation Officer

## **Brian Kirkpatrick**

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## **David Miller**

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Interim Chief People Officer

## Susan Nokes

Chief Customer and Operations Officer

## **Neville Ray**

Senior Vice President, Engineering Operations

# **Rob Strickland**

Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**Project Chronology** 

# T-Mobile - Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facility

Project No. 6749

Date	Action	Description	City Review Time	Applicant Response
5/29/03	First Submittal	Project Deemed Complete		
6/20/03	First Assessment Letter		22	
8/6/2003	CPG Recommendation	Recommendation to Approve		
9/2/03	Second Submittal			74
9/23/03	Second Assessment Letter		21	
1/28/04	Third Submittal			127
2/13/04	Third Assessment Letter		16	
5/10/04	Fourth Submittal			87
9/14/04	Fourth Assessment Letter		127	
12/17/04	Final Neg Dec		94	
5/3/2006	2nd CPG Recommendation	Invalid recommendation to deny		
10/9/06	Fifth Submittal			755
10/18/06	Fifth Assessment Letter		9	
2/8/07	Sixth Submittal			113
3/28/07	Sixth Assessment Letter		48	
9/18/07	Seventh Submittal			174
11/2/2007	Final Neg Dec Update		45	
1/5/08	All Issues Resolved		109	
1/7/08	DSD Decision - Project Approved		2	
1/15/08	Project Appealed			
8/7/08	Public Hearing – Planning Commission		213	
TOTAL ST	AFF TIME		565	
TOTAL AP	PLICANT TIME			1,330

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

# **Project Chronology**

# AT&T – Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facility Project No. 10860

Date	Action	Description	City Review Time	Applicant Response
7/1/03	First Submittal	Project Deemed Complete		
8/1/03	First Assessment Letter		31	
8/6/2003	First CPG Recommendation	Recommendation to Approve		
10/10/03	Second Submittal			70
10/31/03	Second Assessment Letter		21	
2/19/04	Third Submittal			111
3/23/04	Third Assessment Letter		33	
5/3/2006	2 <sup>nd</sup> CPG Recommendation	Recommendation to Deny		
10/11/06	Fourth Submittal			932
11/29/06	Fourth Assessment Letter		49	
2/6/07	Fifth Submittal			69
4/18/07	Fifth Assessment Letter		71	
9/18/07	Sixth Submittal			153
12/19/2007	Neg Dec Finaled		153	
1/5/08	All Issues Resolved		109	
1/7/08	DSD Decision – Project Approved		2	
1/15/08	Project Appealed			
8/7/08	Public Hearing – Planning Commission		213	
TOTAL STA	AFF TIME		529	
TOTAL API	PLICANT TIME			1,335
TOTAL PROJECT RUNNING TIME		From Deemed Complete to Planning Commission Hearing	5 years, 1 month, 6 days	

## DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

# **Project Chronology**

# Sprint/Nextel – Black Mountain Middle School Wireless Communication Facility Project No. 16218

Date	Action	Description	City Review Time	Applicant Response
9/10/03	First Submittal	Project Deemed Complete		
10/9/03	First Assessment Letter		29	
10/1/2003	First CPG Recommendation	Recommendation to Approve		
1/23/04	Second Submittal			106
2/24/04	Second Assessment Letter		32	
3/24/04	Third Submittal			29
9/14/04	Third Assessment Letter		174	
9/13/06	Fourth Submittal			729
10/14/06	Fourth Assessment Letter		31	
5/3/2006	2 <sup>nd</sup> CPG Recommendation	Recommendation to Deny	1	
10/2/07	Fifth Submittal			353
12/19/2007	Neg Dec Finaled		78	
1/5/08	All Issues Resolved		95	
1/7/08	Public Hearing – Hearing Officer – Project Approved		2	
1/15/08	Project Appealed			
8/7/08	Public Hearing – Planning Commission		213	
TOTAL ST	AFF TIME		576	
TOTAL AP	PLICANT TIME			1,217
TOTAL PROJECT RUNNING TIME		From Deemed Complete to Planning Commission Hearing	4 years, 10 months, 28 days	


THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

# Date of Notice: July 24, 2008 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING APPEAL TO PLANNING COMMISSION

As a property owner, tenant, or person who has requested notice, you should know that a public hearing will be held by the Planning Commission to consider an appeal on an application for a wireless communication facility consisting of 12 panel antennas located on a proposed 35 foot high faux pine tree (T-Mobile application PTS No. 6749) and a 466 square foot equipment enclosure located on the northwest corner of the perimeter of the sports field at Black Mountain Middle School at 9353 Oviedo Street.

DATE OF HEARING:	August 7, 2008
TIME OF HEARING:	9:00 A.M.
LOCATION OF HEARING:	City Administration Building 202 C Street, 12 <sup>th</sup> floor City Council Chambers
PROJECT TYPE/PROJECT NUMBER:	Neighborhood Use Permit PTS No. 10860
PROJECT NAME:	<u>AT&amp;T (CINGULAR) – BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE</u> SCHOOL
APPLICANT NAME:	Shelly Kilbourn, PlanCom, Inc. Agent for AT&T
<b>COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:</b>	Rancho Penasquitos
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	1
CITY PROJECT MANAGER:	Karen LynchAshcraft, Development Project Manager
PHONE NUMBER:	(619) 446-5351

The decision made by the Planning Commission is the final decision by the City.

If you wish to challenge the City's action on the above proceedings in court, you may be limited to addressing only those issues you or someone else have raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or written in correspondence to the City at or before the public hearing.

The certification of an Environmental Impact Report, adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration or Negative Declaration, or determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality may be appealed to the City Council **after all other appeal rights have been exhausted.** All such appeals must be filed by 5:00 PM within ten (10) business days from the date of the Planning Commission's certification/adoption of the environmental document. The proper forms are available from the City Clerk's Office, located on the second floor of the City Administration Building, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

If you have any questions about this matter, you can contact the City Project Manager listed above. This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request an agenda in alternative format or to request a sign language or oral interpreter for the meeting, call the Disability Services

Program Coordinator at 236-5979, at least five working days prior to the meeting to insure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALD's) are available for the meeting upon request.



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

# Date of Notice: July 24, 2008 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING APPEAL TO PLANNING COMMISSION

As a property owner, tenant, or person who has requested notice, you should know that a public hearing will be held by the Planning Commission to consider an appeal on an application for a wireless communication facility consisting of 12 panel antennas located on the existing 42 foot high Verizon faux pine tree and a 240 square foot equipment enclosure located on the northwest corner of the perimeter of the sports field at Black Mountain Middle School at 9353 Oviedo Street.

DATE OF HEARING:	August 7, 2008
TIME OF HEARING:	9:00 A.M.
LOCATION OF HEARING:	City Administration Building 202 C Street, 12 <sup>th</sup> floor City Council Chambers
PROJECT TYPE/PROJECT NUMBER:	Neighborhood Use Permit PTS No. 16218
PROJECT NAME:	SPRINT NEXTEL - BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE
APPLICANT NAME:	<u>SCHOOL</u> Anne Ford, Sprint Nextel
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	Rancho Penasquitos
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	1
CITY PROJECT MANAGER:	Karen LynchAshcraft, Development Project Manager
PHONE NUMBER:	(619) 446-5351

The decision made by the Planning Commission is the final decision by the City.

If you wish to challenge the City's action on the above proceedings in court, you may be limited to addressing only those issues you or someone else have raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or written in correspondence to the City at or before the public hearing.

The certification of an Environmental Impact Report, adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration or Negative Declaration, or determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality may be appealed to the City Council **after all other appeal rights have been exhausted.** All such appeals must be filed by 5:00 PM within ten (10) business days from the date of the Planning Commission's certification/adoption of the environmental document. The proper forms are available from the City Clerk's Office, located on the second floor of the City Administration Building, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

If you have any questions about this matter, you can contact the City Project Manager listed above. This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request an agenda in alternative format or to request a sign language or oral interpreter for the meeting, call the Disability Services

Program Coordinator at 236-5979, at least five working days prior to the meeting to insure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALD's) are available for the meeting upon request.



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

# Date of Notice: July 24, 2008 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING APPEAL TO PLANNING COMMISSION

As a property owner, tenant, or person who has requested notice, you should know that a public hearing will be held by the Planning Commission to consider an appeal on an application for a wireless communication facility consisting of a new 35 foot high faux pine tree supporting 12 T-Mobile antennas and twelve AT&T antennas (processed separately under PTS No. 10860) and a 466 square foot equipment enclosure located on the northwest corner of the perimeter of the sports field at Black Mountain Middle School at 9353 Oviedo Street.

DATE OF HEARING:	August 7, 2008
TIME OF HEARING:	9:00 A.M.
LOCATION OF HEARING:	City Administration Building 202 C Street, 12th floor City
	Council Chambers
<b>PROJECT TYPE/PROJECT NUMBER:</b>	Neighborhood Use Permit PTS No. 6749
PROJECT NAME:	T-MOBILE – BLACK MOUNTAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL
APPLICANT NAME:	Shelly Kilbourn, PlanCom, Inc. Agent for T-Mobile
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	Rancho Penasquitos
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	1
<b>CITY PROJECT MANAGER:</b>	Karen LynchAshcraft, Development Project Manager
PHONE NUMBER:	(619) 446-5351

The decision made by the Planning Commission is the final decision by the City.

If you wish to challenge the City's action on the above proceedings in court, you may be limited to addressing only those issues you or someone else have raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or written in correspondence to the City at or before the public hearing.

The certification of an Environmental Impact Report, adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration or Negative Declaration, or determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality may be appealed to the City Council **after all other appeal rights have been exhausted.** All such appeals must be filed by 5:00 PM within ten (10) business days from the date of the Planning Commission's certification/adoption of the environmental document. The proper forms are available from the City Clerk's Office, located on the second floor of the City Administration Building, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

If you have any questions about this matter, you can contact the City Project Manager listed above. This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request an agenda in alternative format or to request a sign language or oral interpreter for the meeting, call the Disability Services

Program Coordinator at 236-5979, at least five working days prior to the meeting to insure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALD's) are available for the meeting upon request.



CHRISTENSEN ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

~

~









the second s



2





(B) Limitations on the number of on-premises fund-raising or social activities to a specific number of occurrences each year. (Added 12-9-1997 by O-18451 N.S.; amended 10-18-1999 by O-18691 N.S.; effective 1-1-2000.)

# §141.0405 Communication Antennas

- (a) Section 141.0405 regulates the following communication antennas. Amateur (HAM) radio facilities or temporary telecommunication facilities necessitated by natural or man-made disasters are not regulated as communication antennas. Section 141.0405 does not apply to single dish antennas smaller than 24 inches in diameter or to remote panel antennas less than 24 inches in length and in width, except when associated with another telecommunication facility.
  - (1) Minor telecommunication facilities: Antenna facilities used in wireless telephone services, paging systems, or similar services that comply with all development regulations of the underlying zone and overlay(s) and that meet the criteria in Section 141.0405(e)(1) or (2).
  - (2) Major telecommunication facilities: Antenna facilities that do not meet the criteria for minor telecommunication facilities in Section 141.0405(e)(1) or (2).
  - (3) Satellite antennas: Antennas capable of transmitting or receiving signals to or from a transmitter or a transmitter relay located in a planetary orbit. Satellite antennas include satellite earth stations, television-reception-only satellite antennas, and satellite microwave antennas.
- (b) General Rules for Telecommunication Facilities All telecommunication facilities must comply with the following requirements:
  - (1) All approved telecommunication facilities must comply with the Federal standards for RF radiation in accordance with the Telecommunication Act of 1996 or any subsequent amendment to the Act pertaining to RF radiation. Documentation shall be submitted to the City providing evidence that the cumulative field measurements of radiofrequency power densities for all antennas installed on the *premises* are below the Federal standards.



(2) Except in the event of an emergency, routine maintenance and inspection of telecommunication facilities located on residentially zoned *premises*, including all of the system components, shall occur during normal business hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

- (3) Antenna facilities or associated equipment proposed for installation in the *public right-of-way* are subject to the following regulations:
  - (A) Antennas or associated equipment located in *public right-of-way* which is adjacent to a residentially zoned *premises* may be permitted with a Neighborhood Use Permit.
  - (B) Antennas and associated equipment located in the *public right-of-way* adjacent to non-residentially zoned *premises* are subject to review and approval by the City Manager.
  - (C) All equipment associated with antenna facilities shall be undergrounded, except for small services connection boxes or as permitted in Section 141.0405(b)(4).
  - (D) A construction plan must be submitted to and is subject to review and approval by the City Engineer in accordance with Chapter 6, Article 2.
- (4) Antennas and associated equipment located in the *public right-of-way* may be placed above ground only if the equipment is integrated into the architecture or surrounding environment through architectural enhancement (enhancements that complement the scale, texture, color, and style), unique design solutions, enhanced landscape architecture, or complementary siting solutions to minimize visual or pedestrian impacts. These facilities may be permitted with a Conditional Use Permit decided in accordance with Process Three.
- (c) Temporary facilities that provide services to public events and are limited to a one-time maximum duration of 90 calendar days are subject to the temporary use permit procedures in Chapter 12, Article 3, Division 4.
- (d) All telecommunication facilities that are required to obtain encroachment authorization to locate on city-owned dedicated or designated parkland or open space areas shall comply with the following:



- (1) The City Manager shall determine that the proposed facility would not be detrimental to the City's property interest; would not preclude other appropriate uses; would not change or interfere with the use or purpose of the parkland or open space; and would not violate any deed restrictions related to City property, map requirements or other land use regulations.
- (2) The proposed facility shall be integrated with existing park facilities or open space; shall not disturb the environmental integrity of the parkland or open space; and shall be disguised such that it does not detract from the recreational or natural character of the parkland or open space.
- (3) The proposed facility shall be consistent with The City of San Diego Progress Guide and General Plan.
- (e) Minor Telecommunication Facilities

Minor telecommunication facilities are permitted as a limited use or may be permitted with a Neighborhood Use Permit in the zones indicated with an "L" or an "N", respectively, in the Use Regulations Tables in Chapter 13, Article 1 (Base Zones) subject to the following regulations.

- (1) An antenna facility will be considered a minor telecommunication facility if the facility, including equipment and *structures*, is concealed from public view or integrated into the architecture or surrounding environment through architectural enhancement (enhancements that complement the scale, texture, color, and style), unique design solutions, or *accessory use structures*.
- (2) In an effort to encourage collocation and to recognize that some telecommunication facilities are minimally visible, the following shall be considered minor telecommunication facilities:
  - (A) Additions or modifications to telecommunication facilities that do not increase the area occupied by the antennas or the existing antenna enclosure by more than 100 percent of the originally approved facility and do not increase the area occupied by an outdoor equipment unit more than 150 feet beyond the originally approved facility, if the additions and modifications are designed to minimize visibility.



- (B) Panel-shaped antennas that are flush-mounted to an existing building facade on at least one edge, extend a maximum of 18 inches from the building facade at any edge, do not exceed the height of the building, and are designed to blend with the color and texture of the existing building.
- (C) Whip antennas if the number of antennas that are visible from the *public right-of-way* does not exceed six, if the antennas measure 4 inches or less in diameter, and if they have a mounting apparatus that is concealed from public view.
- (3) Minor telecommunication facilities are not permitted in the following locations:
  - (A) On *premises* that are developed with residential uses in residential zones;
  - (B) On vacant premises zoned for residential development;
  - (C) On premises that have been designated as historical resources;
  - (D) On *premises* that have been designated or mapped as containing sensitive resources;
  - (E) On premises within the MHPA; or
  - (F) On *premises* that are leased for billboard use.
- (4) The installation of a minor telecommunication facility shall not result in the elimination of required parking spaces.
- (5) Minor telecommunication facilities that terminate operation shall be removed by the operator within 90 calendar days of termination.
- (f) Major Telecommunication Facilities

Major telecommunication facilities may be permitted with a Conditional Use Permit decided in accordance with Process Three, except that major telecommunication facilities on dedicated or designated parkland and open space may be permitted with a Conditional Use Permit decided in accordance with Process Five, in the zones indicated with a "C" in the Use Regulations



Tables in Chapter 13, Article 1 (Base Zones) subject to the following regulations.

- (1) Major telecommunication facilities are not permitted in the following locations:
  - (A) On premises containing designated historical resources;
  - (B) Within viewsheds of designated and recommended State Scenic Highways and City Scenic Routes; or
  - (C) Within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile of another major telecommunication facility, unless the proposed facility will be concealed from public view or integrated into the architecture or surrounding environment through architectural enhancement (enhancements that complement the scale, texture, color, and style), unique design solutions, and *accessory use structures*.
  - (D) Within the Coastal Overlay Zone, on *premises* within the MHPA and/or containing *steep hillsides* with *sensitive biological resources*, or within pubic view corridors or view sheds identified in applicable *land use plans*.
- (2) Major telecommunication facilities shall be designed to be minimally visible through the use of architecture, landscape architecture, and siting solutions.
- (3) Major telecommunication facilities shall use the smallest and least visually intrusive antennas and components that meet the requirements of the facility.
- (g) Satellite Antennas

Satellite antennas are permitted as a limited use subject to Section 141.0405(g)(2), and may be permitted with a Neighborhood Use Permit subject to Section 141.0405(g)(3), or with a Conditional Use Permit decided in accordance with Process Three subject to Section 141.0405(g)(4).

(1) Exemption. Satellite antennas that are 5 feet in diameter or smaller are permitted in all zones and are exempt from this section.



(2) Limited Use Regulations. Satellite antennas that exceed 5 feet in diameter are permitted as a limited use in the zones indicated with an "L" in the Use Regulations Tables in Chapter 13, Article 1 (Base Zones) subject to the following regulations.

- (A) Satellite antennas are not permitted within the *MHPA*.
- (B) Satellite antennas are not permitted on *premises* that have been designated as *historical resources*.
- (C) Satellite antennas shall not exceed 10 feet in diameter.
- (D) Ground-mounted satellite antennas shall not exceed 15 feet in *structure height*.
- (E) Ground-mounted satellite antennas shall not be located in the *street yard*, front *yard*, or *street side yard* of a *premises*.
- (F) Satellite antennas shall not be light-reflective.
- (G) Satellite antennas shall not have any *sign copy* on them nor shall they be illuminated.
- (H) Ground-, roof-, and pole-mounted satellite antennas shall be screened by fencing, buildings, or parapets that appear to be an integral part of the building, or by landscaping so that not more than 25 percent of the antenna height is visible from the grade level of adjacent premises and adjacent public rights-of-way.
- (3) Neighborhood Use Permit Regulations. Proposed satellite antennas that do not comply with Section 141.0405(b)(2) may be permitted with a Neighborhood Use Permit subject to the following regulations.
  - (A) Satellite antennas are not permitted within the MHPA.
  - (B) Satellite antennas are not permitted on *premises* that have been designated as *historical resources*.
  - (C) Satellite antennas shall not exceed 10 feet in diameter.
  - (D) Satellite antennas shall not be light-reflective.



- (E) Satellite antennas shall not have any *sign copy* on them nor shall they be illuminated.
- (F) The visual impacts of the antenna to adjacent *premises* and adjacent *public rights-of-way* shall be minimized by the positioning of the antenna on the site and the use of landscape or other *screening*.
- (4) Conditional Use Permit Regulations. Except for proposed satellite antennas which are *accessory uses* in industrial zones, proposed satellite antennas that exceed 10 feet in diameter may be permitted only with a Conditional Use Permit decided in accordance with Process Three subject to the following regulations.
  - (A) Satellite antennas are not permitted within the MHPA.
  - (B) Satellite antennas are not permitted on *premises* or its appurtenances that have been designated as *historical resources*.
  - (C) The visual impacts of the antenna to adjacent *premises* and adjacent *public rights-of-way* shall be minimized by the positioning of the antenna on the site and the use of landscaping or other *screening*.

(Amended 1-9-2001 by O-18910 N.S.; effective 8-8-2001.)

## §141.0406 Correctional Placement Centers

Correctional placement centers may be permitted with a Conditional Use Permit decided in accordance with Process Four in the zones indicated with a "C" in the Use Regulations Tables in Chapter 13, Article 1 (Base Zones) subject to the following regulations.

- (a) Correctional placement centers are not permitted in any of the following locations:
  - (1) Within the beach impact area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone;
  - (2) Within 1/4 mile of any type of residential care facility, *social service institution*, welfare institution, or similar type of facility, measured from *property line* to *property line* in accordance with Section 113.0225;



City of San Diego		Dévelopment l	Permit/	FORM
1222 First Ave. 3rd Floor	Enviro	nmental Determi	nation	<b>DS-3031</b>
San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 446-5210		Appeal Appl		Малси 2007
THE GITY OF BAN DIEGO		простирни		
See Information Bulletin 505, "Development	Permits Appe	al Procedure," for Information on	the appeal pi	ocedure.
1. Type of Appeal: Process Two Decision - Appeal to Planning Co Process Three Decision - Appeal to Planning	ommission	Environmental Determinat	on - Appeal to	City Council
Process Three Decision - Appeal to Planning     Process Four Decision - Appeal to City Counc	Commission :11	Appeal of a Hearing Office	r Decision to re	woke a permit
2. Appellant Please check one Applicant 1 113.0103)	Officially reco	gnized Planning Committee U fint	erested Person	" (Per M.C. Sec.
Name Rancho Penasquitos Planning Board (Charles So Address	ellers, Chair) Cit	v State Zip Code	Tolo-had	
8931 Oviedo Street:	San Diego.	CA 92129-2149	Telephon (858) 484	
3. Applicant Name (As shown on the Permit/App	proval being app	ealed). Complete if different from ap	<b>pellant</b> .	
Shelly Kilbourn of PlanComm, Inc. for T-Mobile				
Permit/Environmental Determination & Permit/Do	cument No.:	Date of Decision/Determination:	City Project M	aneger:
PTS # 06749 (T-Mobile at Black Mountain Middl Decision (describe the permit/approval decision);		January 7, 2008	Karen Lynch-	Ashcraft
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Approval for the construction of a wireless comm	nunications facilit	y consisting of antennas and an ass	ociated equipm	ent enclosure.
5. Grounds for Appeal (Please check all that a Factual Error (Process Three and Four decision)	npply) ns only)	New Information (Process	Three and Four (	facisions only)
Conflict with other matters (Process Three and Findings Not Supported (Process Three and	nd Four decisions of	nly) 🛛 City-wide Significance (Pi	nocess Four decis	ions only)
Description of Grounds for Appeal (Please reli	·	•		, dependent in
<u>Chapter 11. Article 2. Division 5 of the San Disgo</u>	Municipal Code	. Attach additional sheets if necessi	ny.)	y described in
This approval is in direct conflict with the unanim				Community
Planning Group on May 3, 2006 and communica	ated thereafter to	the Project Manager named above.	-	
	<u> </u>			
		````		
		<u> </u>		
	NUT			
	JV			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		····
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6. Appellant's Signature: I certify under penalty	of perjury that th	e forecoing, including all names and	addresses is	The and correct
	in the second se	Bau . Bf. a serected of the summer of th		
Signature:	ellero	Date: <u>January 10, 2007.</u>		
Note: Faxed speels are not accepted. Appe				
		is at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-se</u>		

.

DS-3031 (03-07)

	AT	TACHME	NT 21
San Diego, CA 92101	Development I onmental Determi	nation	
THE CITY OF SAN DIECO (819) 448-5210	Appeal Appl	cation	Малсн 2007
See Information Bulletin 505, "Development Permits Appe	al Procedure," for information on	the appeal pr	ocedure.
Type of Appeal:     Process Two Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission     Process Three Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission     Process Four Decision - Appeal to City Council	<ul> <li>Environmental Determinat</li> <li>Appeal of a Hearing Office</li> </ul>	ion - Appeal to Ir Decision to re	City Council voke a permit
2. Appellant Please check one D Applicant D Officially reco 113.0103)	gnized Planning Committee U "Inf	erested Person	* (Per M.C. Sec.
Name Rancho Penesquitos Planning Board (Charles Sellers, Chair)			
Address Ci 8931 Ovledo Street: San Diego.	ty State Zip Code CA 92129-2149	Telephor (858) 484	
3. Applicant Name (As shown on the Parmit/Approval being app Shelly Kilbourn of PlanComm, Inc. for Cingular	pealed). Com <b>plete II different from a</b> j	opellant.	
4. Project Information Permit/Environmental Determination & Permit/Document No.:	Date of Decision/Determination:	City Project M	
PTS # 10860 (Cingular - Black Mountain Middle School) Decision (describe the permit/approval decision):	January 7, 2008	Karen Lynch-	
Approval for the construction of a wireless communications facili 5. Grounds for Appeal (Please check all that apply) Factual Error (Process Three and Four decisions only) Conflict with other matters (Process Three and Four decisions on Findings Not Supported (Process Three and Four decisions on	New Information (Process only)     City-wide Significance (P	Three and Four	decisions only)
Description of Grounds for Appeal (Piezse relate your descrip Chapter 11. Article 2. Division 5 of the San Diego Municipal Code	tion to the allowable reasons for app 2. Attach additional sheets if necess	aal as more fully ary.)	y described in
This approval is in direct conflict with the unanimous recommend	istion for denial made to the City by	the responsible	Community
Planning Group on May 3, 2006 and communicated thereafter to	the Project Manager named above.		
I GUD.	1		······
- Id Hist	ed.		······
Che ' War	·		
<u> </u>			·
	·····		
6. Appellant's Signature: I certify under penalty of perjury that t	to formation including all names and		
	୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦	. 2001 <i>7336</i> 3, 15	and driv Collect.
Signature:	Date: <u>Jenuary 10, 2007.</u>		
Note: Fexed appeals are not accepted. Appeal fees are non			
Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web a Upon request, this information is evaluable is			

•1

D8-3091 (03-07)

			AT	TACHME	NT 21
	City of San Diego		<b>Development</b>	Permit/	FORM
	Development Services 1222 First Ave. 3rd Floor	Envir	onmental Determi		DS-3031
	San Diego, CA 92101				
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO	(619) 448-5210		Appeal Appl	cation	MARCH 2007
Can Information	Pulletin 505 · "Development	Dormite Appe	al Procedure," for information on	the enneal n	
		remus Appe	al Procedere, 101 anormation or	are appear p	ioceoure.
1. Type of Appeal:	; <b>icision - Appeel t</b> o Planning <b>Co</b>	mmission	Environmental Determinal	ion - Appeal to	City Council
Process Three I	Decision - Appeal to Planning C ecision - Appeal to City Counci	Commission	Appeal of a Hearing Office		
2. Appellant Pleas 113.0103)	e check one U Applicant &	2 Officially reco	gnized Planning Committee U "In	erested Person	" (Per M.C. Sec.
Name Rancho Penasquit	os Planning Board (Charles Se	llers, Chair)			
Address		Ci		Telephor	
8931 Oviedo Stree 3. Applicant Name	t: As shown on the Permit/App	<u>San Diego.</u> woval being apu	CA 92129-2149 bealed). Complete If different from a	<b>(858) 464</b> Doellant	-3911.
••	•	······································			
Anne Ford for Sori 4. Project Informa	tion				
Permit/Environmen	tal Determination & Permit/Doc	cument No.:	Date of Decision/Determination:	City Project N	ianager.
PTS # 16218 (Spi	int/Nextel - Black Mtn. Middle	School)	January 7, 2008	Karen Lynch	Ashcraft
Decision (describe	the permit/approval decision):				
Account for the o	netruction of a wiminer comm	unicelloos Incili	ty consisting of antennes and an ass	ociotad equipa	
Approver for the or					ANIX GROUDOULG.
Conflict with Findings Not Description of Gru Chapter 11, Article This approval is in	2. Division 5 of the San Diego direct conflict with the unanim	d Four decisions Four decisions on Its your descrip Municipal Code Gus recommen	New Information (Process only) City-wide Significance (P iy) tion to the allowable reasons for app 2. Attach additional sheets if necess dation for denial made to the City by the Project Manager named above	rocess Feur deci eal as more ful ary.) the responsible	sians only) y described in
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			•	· • • <u> </u>	
<b>├</b> ─── <b>─↓</b> ,	4010 1115100		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u> </u>					
		A Well.			
	La M	1000			
6. Appellant's Sig			he foregoing, including all names an	t addresses, is	true and correct.
Classic C	) alon bo	Non	Date: January 10, 2007.		
Signature:			Jane: January TU, 2007.		
Note: Fexed app	eals are not accepted. Appen	el fons are non	-retundable.		
L	Printed on recycled and	er. Visit our web s	he at your sandiago.gov/dayaloomeni-se	Dices.	
			alternative formets for persons with disc		

•~~

DS-3031 (03-07)



Page 1 of 8



Page 2 of 8







Page 5 of 8



Page 6 of 8





Plan Clicck No:













.....





