

DATE ISSUED:	December 1, 2011	REPORT NO. PC-11-095
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Ag	enda of December 8, 2011
SUBJECT:	Permit/Planned Development	eet) – Centre City Development nt Permit No. 2011-04 – East Village town Community Plan Area.
OWNER/ APPLICANT:	Victoria Land Partners, L	.P. / Jack in the Box, Inc.

SUMMARY

Issue(s): "Should the Planning Commission ("Commission") APPROVE OR DENY Centre City Development Permit/Planned Development Permit (CCDP/PDP) No. 2011-04 allowing the reconstruction of a Jack in the Box restaurant ("Project") located at 1110 C Street in the Downtown Community Plan (DCP) Area?"

Staff Recommendation: That the Commission denies CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 for the Project based on the following: 1) the required findings for approval of a CCDP/PDP cannot be made; and, 2) approval of the Project is inconsistent with the goals and policies of the DCP.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u>: On November 9, 2011, the Centre City Advisory Committee (CCAC) voted 13-7, with two recusals to recommend that the Commission grant CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 for the Project.

<u>Centre City Development Corporation ("Corporation") Recommendation</u>: On November 16, 2011, the Corporation Board of Directors voted 4-3 to recommend that the Commission deny CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 based on the inability to make the required findings for approval. Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -2-

> **Environmental Review:** This activity is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15302 Replacement or Reconstruction (Class 2) and Section 15303 New Construction (Class 3). Class 2 applies to replacement or reconstruction of commercial structure with a new structure of substantially the same size, purpose and capacity and Class 3 applies to construction of new structures under 10,000 square feet in floor area. The project consists of the demolition and reconstruction of a new 2,156 square-foot restaurant; and therefore, the Class 2 and Class 3 exemptions are applicable.

Fiscal Impact Statement: None.

Code Enforcement Impact: None.

Housing Impact Statement: None.

BACKGROUND

Jack in the Box, Inc. ("Applicant"), based in San Diego, is a fast-food restaurant company that operates and franchises Jack in the Box restaurants in 19 states. The Applicant is seeking approval of CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 to allow the construction of a new replacement 2,156 square-foot, one-story (21-foot-tall) restaurant with a drive-thru on a 10,000 square-foot parcel located at 1110 C Street within the East Village neighborhood of the DCP area. The proposed construction does not conform to the land use provisions and development standards of the Centre City Planned District Ordinance (CCPDO) including, but not limited to, land use requirements of the Residential Emphasis (RE) Land Use District minimum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) and minimum street wall height.

ROLE	FIRM/CONTACT	OWNERSHIP
Property Owner/Landlord	Victoria Land Partners, L.P.	Privately Owned
	David Trackman	
Applicant/Tenant	Jack In the Box, Inc.	Privately Owned Corporation
	Mike Hogenboom	(See Attached Executive and
		Board of Directors List)
Architect/Project Manager	PM Design Group	David Lundy
	David Lundy	Privately Owned
Public Relations Consultant	Focuscom, Inc.	Dan Hom, President and CEO
		(Privately Owned)

DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -3-

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The following is a summary of the project:

Site Area	10,039 sq. ft.
Maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) Permitted	10.0
Minimum FAR Required	6.0
Proposed FAR	0.21
Stories / Height	Single-story/21 feet
Amount of Retail Space	2,156 sq. ft.
Parking	
Required	None
Proposed	7
Assessor's Parcel Nos.	534-193-05

DISCUSSION

<u>Site Description</u> – The Project is proposed on a 10,000 square-foot site located on the northeast corner of Eleventh Avenue and C Street. The Project site lies within the RE District, which is intended to accommodate primarily residential development. Small-scale businesses, offices, services and ground-floor commercial uses are allowed, subject to size and area limitations. Within the RE District, at least 80 percent of the gross floor area must be occupied by residential land uses. Non-residential uses may not occupy more than 20 percent of the gross floor area. The site is also located within the Commercial Street Overlay along C Street, which requires a minimum of 60 percent of the street-level frontage to be designated for active commercial uses.

The site is relatively flat and is currently developed with a 2,340 square-foot, single-story Jack in the Box with a drive-thru including seven parking spaces. Other uses on the block include surface parking lots, a one-story Del Taco restaurant with a drive-thru to the north, the four-story Baltimore Apartments located on the northwest corner of the block and a single-story tire repair shop to the east. Surrounding uses include surface parking lots to the west, San Diego City College to the east and the Smart Corner mixed-use project, C Street Trolley tracks and MTS transit station to the south. The Base Minimum FAR for the site is 6.0 and the Base Maximum is 10.0.

<u>Project Analysis</u> – The proposed Project includes the demolition of the existing 2,340 square-foot Jack in the Box building to accommodate the construction of a new 2,156 square-foot, single-story, 21-foot-tall building with a drive-thru and seven parking spaces.

Stand alone eating and drinking establishments with drive thru facilities are no longer permitted uses within the DCP area. The existing Jack in the Box was established under development regulations which permitted its construction and use, and is therefore considered an existing

Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -4-

"previously conforming structure and use" under the City of San Diego's ("City") Land Development Code (LDC) provisions for Previously Conforming Premises and Uses. The proposed demolition of the existing Jack in the Box building eliminates the property's previously conforming status, and the proposed reconstruction and replacement is therefore subject to the design and development standards of the CCPDO. These standards include, but are not limited to, minimum FARs, minimum street wall height, and minimum residential use requirements.

The Project is proposed to contain a total of 2,156 square feet of floor area. This equates to a 0.21 FAR on the 10,000 square-foot site. The DCP and CCPDO require a minimum FAR of 6.0 with a maximum FAR of 10.0. Adjacent sites to the west and south also have significant development potential and are currently developed with low-density buildings and at-grade surface parking lots. The East Village's long-term goals are envisioned to contain high-density residential with a variety of commercial neighborhood services. Therefore, the Project as currently proposed does not comply with the goals and policies of the DCP or the development standards of the CCPDO. As an alternative to demolition and reconstruction, the CCPDO would allow for the remodel of the existing Jack in the Box building. Additionally, the CCPDO allows an increase in 100 percent of the floor area of a previously conforming structure with approval of a Neighborhood Use Permit.

<u>Design Review</u> – The new building will consist primarily of a stucco finish with varying size reveals and aluminum accents. The exterior paint colors include beige, gray, wheat and dark rust as well as Jack in the Box Corporate red. The building will be accentuated with black metal awnings and decorative goose neck lighting fixtures. The Project will also include new landscaping and public right-of-way improvements consistent with the Centre City Streetscape Manual (new sidewalks, street trees, street lights, etc.). The existing surface parking lot is proposed to be re-paved and striped. One of the key design issues is that that proposed building is designed to reflect Jack in the Box's corporate standard and construction style and does not exhibit a higher level of design as would typically be expected in an urban setting. While the Corporation Board of Directors voted to recommend denial of the Project based on the inability to make the findings for approval of the CCDP/PDP, there was a general consensus that the proposed design was inadequate and should be upgraded if the land use permits were to be approved by the Commission.

ENTITLEMENTS

<u>Centre City Development Permit</u> – Under the CCPDO, construction with 1,000 square feet or more of gross floor area requires approval of a CCDP. Under the adopted Design Review thresholds of the CCPDO, developments containing less than 100,000 square feet of gross floor area or less than 50 dwelling units are reviewed and approved administratively by staff on behalf of the Corporation President. A development permit may be granted if the decision-maker finds that the proposed development is consistent with the DCP, Centre City Redevelopment Plan, Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -5-

CCPDO, LDC, and all other adopted plans or policies of the City or Redevelopment Agency pertaining to downtown.

The following goals and policies of the DCP should be evaluated in the context of the proposed Project:

• Goals: Development Intensities and Incentives, and Plan Buildout

3.2-G-1: Target a residential population of approximately 90,000, and downtown employment of over 165,000 by 2030, to create vitality, a market for a broad array of supporting stores and services, opportunities for living close to jobs and transit, and support regional growth strategies.

• Policies: Development Intensities and Incentives, and Plan Buildout

3.2-P-1: Require a minimum FAR on all development sites, as shown in Figure 3-9, avoid exceptions unless conditioned on finding of hardship, exceptional circumstances, or public health and welfare.

• Policies: Housing

3.3-P-1: Establish minimum FARs to achieve city and regional goals for making downtown a major population center.

3.3-P-3: Achieve a mix of housing types and forms, consistent with FAR and urban design policies.

<u>Planned Development Permit</u> – The Applicant is requesting deviations to the following key development regulations of the CCPDO:

- Land use requirements of the RE District The CCPDO requires new developments within the RE District to provide a minimum of 80 percent residential land uses and no more than 20 percent non-residential uses. The Applicant is seeking a deviation to allow 100 percent commercial use within the RE District.
- 2. Minimum FAR The minimum FAR for the site is a 6.0 under the CCPDO and DCP. Deviations to the Base Minimum FAR are being requested to allow a 0.21 FAR.
- 3. Street Wall Height The CCPDO requires developments to provide a minimum street wall height of 45 feet along 100 percent of the street frontage, with limited exceptions (public parks, courtyards, auto courts, etc.). The Applicant is requesting a deviation to allow a 21-foot-tall street wall and surface parking lot.

Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -6-

4. Vehicular Access – The Applicant is seeking deviations to the Vehicular Access requirements of the CCPDO, which limit the amount of curb cut to one linear foot per 500 square feet of site area. Sites of 10,000 square feet or less may double this ratio. Under the CCPDO, the Project site would be limited to a total of no more than 40 feet of curb cut. Additionally, the CCPDO requires that curb cuts serving up to 10 parking spaces be between 12 and 20 feet wide. The Applicant is proposing to utilize the existing curb cuts located along 11th Avenue and C Street, which are approximately 37 and 30 feet, respectively.

While staff does not support the requested deviations, the LDC allows an Applicant to apply for and seek approval of a PDP. The purpose of the PDP procedures is to establish a review process for development that allows an applicant to request greater flexibility from the strict application of the regulations.

Under Section 112.0103 of the LDC, projects requiring the approval of multiple permits are required to be consolidated for processing and acted upon by the highest level of authority for the consolidated application. In this case, the PDP is a Process Four and requires final approval by the City of San Diego Planning Commission (with potential appeal to the City Council).

<u>PDP Findings</u> –The findings for approval of a PDP listed below are evaluated to determine if the proposed deviations facilitate a project that is beneficial to the community and results in a more desirable project than could otherwise be achieved if the project were required to rigorously adhere to the development regulations.

In order to grant approval of a PDP, the following findings must be made:

1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

The goals and polices of the DCP are intended to ensure that downtown develops into a high-density, mixed-use urban center. The FAR requirements of the DCP were established to ensure that the population and employment goals of the DCP can be met by future development. Approval of the Project as proposed would limit the redevelopment potential of the site which, due to its RE designation and allowable 10.0 FAR, has an extremely high residential development potential. The site could also be combined with the adjoining underdeveloped sites to the north and east to create a redevelopment project consistent with the DCP. Any proposed development project on this site would be required to comply with the goals and policies of the DCP, which require a minimum FAR on all development sites except in conditions of hardship, exceptional circumstances, or public health and welfare. The Project contains approximately 2,156 square feet of gross floor area, which equates to approximately 0.21 FAR where the

Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -7-

minimum FAR for the site is a 6.0 FAR. In order to meet the goals and policies of the DCP, the site will need to be redeveloped with a much higher intensity project. Therefore, the Project as currently proposed adversely affects the applicable land use plan.

2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to public health, safety, and welfare.

While the proposed Project will not result in any immediate negative impacts to public health, safety, and welfare of the downtown community, the current proposal to redevelop the site in this manner could lead to its being grossly underutilized for a larger period of time in conflict with the redevelopment, housing and urban development goals for downtown. In order to meet the DCP's housing goals, minimum FAR and residential requirements of the in the RE Districts were established. The approval of this Project and potentially other similar projects, could conflict with the housing needs of the downtown area.

3. <u>The proposed development will comply with the regulations of the Land Development</u> <u>Code including any proposed deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) that are</u> <u>appropriate for this location and will result in a more desirable project than would be</u> <u>achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the</u> <u>applicable zone, and any allowable deviations that are otherwise authorized pursuant to</u> <u>the Land Development Code.</u>

The CCPDO contains regulations and controls pertaining to land use, density and intensity, building massing, architectural design and other development regulations, with the intent of implementing the goals and polices of the DCP. Therefore, all development downtown is required to conform to these regulations. As previously mentioned, the proposed Project does not comply with any of the land use and development regulations of the CCPDO, including those pertaining to density, intensity, street wall height, and the 80 percent residential requirement of the RE District. Implementation of the Project requires significant deviations to the regulations of the CCPDO. While the purpose of the PDP is to allow a process whereby a development may request exemptions that will result in a more desirable project design, it is not intended to permit project designs that require deviations from the vast majority of the development standards contained in the CCPDO, especially without any mitigating benefits. The proposed Project is located within the RE District of the DCP area, which requires a minimum of 80 percent residential uses and a maximum of 20 percent non-residential uses. It is also located within the East Village neighborhood, which is envisioned to become a thriving residential and mixed-use community containing the highest residential intensities downtown. The East Village also contains the largest amount of property with redevelopment potential and is anticipated to experience the most growth and considerable transformation over the next 15-20 years.

Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -8-

The Project is located on a site with significant redevelopment potential and is inconsistent with the provisions of the CCPDO and the goals and policies of the DCP for this area. Therefore, the construction of a new 2,156 square-foot, 23-foot-tall single-story fast-food establishment is not appropriate at the proposed location and does not result in a more desirable project than would be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the CCPDO.

Based on the discussion above, staff cannot support the findings required in order to grant approval of a PDP for the Project. The Applicant has provided an analysis of the findings in Attachment C to this report.

<u>Environmental Impact</u>: This activity is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15302 Replacement or Reconstruction (Class 2) and Section 15303 New Construction (Class 3). Class 2 applies to replacement or reconstruction of commercial structure with a new structure of substantially the same size, purpose and capacity and Class 3 applies to construction of new structures under 10,000 square feet in floor area. The project consists of the demolition and reconstruction of a new 2,156 square foot restaurant; and therefore, the Class 2 and Class 3 exemptions are applicable.

CONCLUSION

Corporation staff must evaluate all applications relative to their consistency with the long-term goals and policies for the redevelopment of downtown. It is staff's conclusion that: 1) approval of the Project is inconsistent with the goals and policies of the DCP; and, 2) the required findings for approval of a PDP cannot be made. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission denies CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. If the Commission can make the required findings for approval of the CCDP/PDP, then the Commission may approve CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04, subject to the conditions as shown in the attached Draft Permit, including:
 - 1. Design modifications made to include upgraded building materials with a prohibition of stucco or plaster materials.
 - 2. Driveway along C Street to be entrance only to avoid conflicts with narrow drive aisle adjacent to drive-thru lane (vehicle exiting site would block driveway and result in vehicles blocking sidewalk or drive aisle).
 - 3. Adequate stacking on-site minimum three cars on site before menu board/order station to avoid cars queuing along C Street.

Planning Commission Agenda of November 10, 2011 Page -9-

- 4. Minimize driveway widths of 24 feet.
- 5. Installation of full street improvements consistent with the Centre City Streetscape Manual.
- 6. Trash and recycling to be fully enclosed.
- 7. All rooftop mechanical equipment to be screened.
- 8. No pole sign shall be permitted.
- 2. Continue the public hearing for 45-60 days if the Commission can make the findings for approval of the CCDP/PDP with direction to the applicant to continue working on the design of the building.
- 3. Approve CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04, subject to the Draft Permit and future Design Review approval by the Corporation Board of Directors.

Respectfully submitted, Senior Planner

Concurred by:

Brad Richter Assistant Vice President, Planning

Attachments:

A - Project Drawings

- B Existing Site Photos
- C Applicants' Analysis of PDP Findings
- D-FAR Maps
- E Jack in the Box Executive and Board of Directors List
- F Draft CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04

S:\Contreras\DEVREV\Jack In The Box\Jack In The Box - Planning Commission Report-JH Edits Final.Doc



MK9A_SM2 1110 C STREET SAN DIEGO, CA

											RELEASE: NOV 17, 2001
	LEGEND & AB	BREV	IATIONS			DEFERRED SUBMITTALS	PLANNING NOTES	Sł	IEET INDEX	(IDFAL OF 12 SHEETS)	PH UPSATES JAN 5, 2010
	ALSO REFER TO LECEND & AGOREWA	A DN CN	WL. SIVE, PLUMINHO, MECHAN	P.C	THICAL PLANNE CONTRACTOR	THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WILL BE SUBMITTED \$7 A FUTURE DATE:	THE FOLLOWING ARE ARENITECTURAL & SITE REVIEW CONDITIONS:		GENERAL		SUBMITAL DATE:
the second		AR AC	FI MCCOR BOL ADVALUE CONCRETE ANDREAD CONTRETE ADDREAD ANDREAD DIA DIALONG ADDREAD DIAL DIALONG ADDREAD DIAL DIALONG ADDREAD A		PLANENC CONTRACTOR PLASTIC LANDLAFE DE PARALAN (SELAN) PLANE ANDERTY LINE ALTROCO			INTE MALE MALET			E JULE 16, 2040
1400	INDICATES SHEARMALL LOCATIONS WHERE NO CONDUCT OR POPUL MILL	ACT ACT AG AAS	ANCINCAN CONCRETE MERINAL ACCURSING COLUMN PANEL ANCINCANS, INTO ASSAULTS AC	-				Soft? MICLIMMANY CRADING & ORY 4412 LANDSCAPE PLANTING PLAN	MINE PLAN		2 JULY 8, 2011
	BE PERMITTED TO PENETRATE SALL	465.	ACCESSION IT OUDCLINES ABOVE FINISH FLOOD		POUNDS PCR SQ. IDOT POUNDS PCR SQ. INDI			SHITZ LANDSCAPE CALCULATIONS			· ·
	ADDETIONAL NOTES.	22 2 2 0 0	ANCRICAN ALTHODO ASSOCIA REP ADDASTIC CONNECT RUL	04				Sel12 DOROLITON SITE PLAN Twit2 SITE PLAN			CONSTRUCTION
77777	BATT INSULADON	ADE MON ASTW	Automate Augusta au viendo associa lien Addatud (Gander Bal Automate States Automate States)	\$10 pe 141	AAR/S REPORTED			Sof12 DVCAMA FLOOR PLAN Sof12 CXEROR LLCWARDINS			REVISIONS
	INCO INSULATION	ALLAN ALL AU	RIGCERS BRUNDARY MAL					HWATT CEREMOR LLCWATIONS			A PLAN COMMENTS
100000		4 Da	Balling or .001 Ball of ADDING		SOCIAL SHELL			12wnt SIT SIC NONS			A MELH EVEN COMENTS \$17.74
	CYPSUM BOARD	100 TT. DT (R)	BOTHEN E	Sec. (3)	Sell' weint some						A
	CERANIC MID QUARRY THE	ž.,	CONTRALUME CONTRALUME CONTRALUME CONTRAL JOINT (COLD JOINT)		SAM-SUT JOHT SOCIAL SOC			1 SIK PHOTO REA MAP	ETHERA E		
0.000			LER. MG	50 rt.	SOUCHE FOOT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	CONSULTANT INFORMATION				۵
	PL 19000	CAL	CLEAR CONCRETE GASCARY UNIT CONCRETE GASCARY UNIT CONCRETE GASCARY UNIT		4 RCD. 4 Million Tradition. Switt Professor Strated Tradition.		ARCHITECT: OWNER:				1
	CONCRETE	C1 C4 C4 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1	COMPLETION CONSTRUCTION	0_		The property formation of the complete formation of construction of a the sound (foot acce in the foot installation of the construction of a large lists soft and the the foot installation of the provesting the construction of a large lists soft and the	PH DESIGN GROUP, INC. JACK IN THE BOX				
	MASONRA	CONS.	CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION	14.	REALIZED (TELEPERS (UME)) BACKARESE REALIZED (DEBOW(ON STE WORK THE MOLIDE LANDSCHARGE FAMILY FOR THE DAVE - INTO AND INLEMANT, HE'R TRASK	ROY PEDRO, ARCHIECT MIKE HOGENBOOM, CONST MANAGER 44TO TAMKEE HU, BU, SUFE 220 9330 BALBOA AVENUE				
		CT	COMPACED DONO	1AA	HOC MAR HOF OF BETAN HOF OF FROTHER	CHELDELINE, MED PHONE SPACES.	ROCIUM, CA 95677 SAN (06/03), CA 92123 PHONE: (91A) 415-5356 PHONE: (415) 706-9024				
	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE PAWNG	005 0m -	BOURL BECHEL BALCHEL BALCHER BALCHER BALCHER	1990 4 6 4 4 4 6 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	109 RUPOKD ([CMPCA.but]) RUPOKT & CADON(SKC MA RUP OF FROING RUP OF FROING RUP OF FROING RUP OF ROING RUP OF RAIL RUP OF RAIL	OT OR HOME INCLUDES INSTALLANDIN OF PRINTIN THEE ALCING IN IN ANEMAL	rpedro@pmdginz.com wilk hogenbeom@jschishabos.com				
111110	CARTH GRADE			THP.		PROPOSED USES/ACTIVITIES IN-IT IIILL OCENT RESIDE THE BUILDING ARE THIFEAL RESTAURIANT/FAST FOOD DAMIC ACTIVITIES INCL INCLUDE PREPARATION OF FOOD, TAKE-BUIL/DRIVE-THAW REAGAE DONS AND SEATED DAMIC.					
	SAND BASE	ever (c)	(ACH	0.05	UNFORM BUILDING CODE UNLESS ORIGINALE HORE		CIVIL ENGINEER: TLA ENGINEERING & PLAMMING				
		55	CACH ELECTREAL CONTRACTION CACH FACE ELEVATION	VER1. 48 (WHITE BELLEONERING	PROPOSED USES/ACTIVITIES THAT HEL OCCUR OUTSOE THE BUILDING INCLUDE & DRIVE- THRN LANE. CLISTRINGT PARKING, AND TRUCH PREX-LIP.	#AWNOK LONGTH, CIVIL ENCINCEA				
		a oc	EDER OF DEMAND	¥.,	Arthu 19000	HOURS OF OPDITATION AND WOMBAY-SUNDA'S & DAAM-MOMBAY FOR THE DWING NODA, AND 24 MR Ofdiation for the drive-think.	1520 EUREAA ROAD, SUITE HER ROSEVELE, CA 93661				
NITCHEN	ROOM MANE	64 OF -	(AC) and		NOCO BAICE RESISTANT CYPELIN ROAD	TOLAL, MUNICE OF CAPLONES: 143 NOVAL MUNICE OF CAPLONES: OF ANOLYTICS OF ANOLYTICS OF CAPLONES	PHONE: (919) 766-0683 CMAL: plengtin@tis-inc.com				
110	ROOM HUNBER		CIPACION CIPACION	and a	NCURCH WIRE WEDH	NDWL, NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES OR LARCEST SHIFT. 1					
(176)	INTERIOR FINISHES	1	FINDER FLOOR				LANDSCAPE: MRLA				
			Flags Filia Ma				WAISHA MUTH, LANDSCAPE ARCHIELT				
	ITEMS SUPPLIED BY OWNER	TUR	THE OF OCH				NT TIALIPA CIRCLE SANTA ROSA, CA 95405				
		14 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	1.901 045m 70400.1 Mo 70400.1 Mo 70400.1 Mo 70400 70400 7040				PHONE: {702} 545=1294				DALE
	CATERIOR MATERIAL / FINISH		hade the								
<u> </u>	CHERIOR COLOR		CECT CECTER								1118
L.A.	WITEMOR ELEVATION	3444	CAUTE (CACE) CUTCAN COMB CUTCAN COMBACTOR CAUTACTS CAUTACTS MON CAUTACTS MON CAUTACTS MON					1			group
· • • • • • • •	NEADUAR CIT AN ITIN	41 G.B	GAL MANAZED MON GLIC -LANNALTED BEAM								Avenilecta
											en7g versee mit Pd Suite A/G FOCLUBE CA 9567*
0	DOOR SIMBOL	HET. MA (HI	CLUE -LAMMATED GEAM HAR BRAN HARDON HARDON HARDON HOLDON ACTIN HOLDON ACTIN HARDON ACTIN HARDON HAR			VICINITY MAP	PROJECT IN	FORMATION			FT (FT4) 415-5358
		ning of p	HORZENIAL BOLT				OWNER: JACK IN THE BOX, INC.	YEAR BUILT:	1985		special special to the special
	SHEARBALL / ANCHOR BOLT SYMBOL	-	NCH ST Dise. NCH angle ICB				OWNER: JACK IN THE BOX, INC. BUILDING ADDRESS: 1110 C STREET	ISCAL OSSCRIPTION		24. OF HORIDN'S ADDITION	Bug Ppdan kayapaya
_			section			a second a finance and a finance and	LEGAL AURISOICTION SAN DEGO, CA		IN THE BITY OF SAN DECO, STATE OF CALFORNIA, ACCC NO. 389, FILED IN THE OFFI RECORDER OF SAN DECD C	COUNTY OF SAN DECO	SITE INFORMATION
	FOOTING STINBOL	30 GR (213	3 Jant a the Bec Inc.				APN NUMBER: \$34-193-05-000		NO. 369, FLED IN THE OFFI	CE OF THE COUNTY	W. THPE: 94_542-0PT1
		La De (40	And).[PoundS Long				PLANNING 20HE. CCPO-N	PARKING RECURED:		AT. CICIMPT PEA POD	# t 0031
	DETAIL MUMBER	MAN T.	MARTINA, MATTANI MACHINE (IQL)			STE LOCATION IN 1	MURICIPAL CODES: 2007 E.B.C. 2007 C.P.E. 2007 E.B.C. 2002 C.L.C. 2007 C.F.E. AND ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL AND STATE	PARING PROVIDED:	SPACES + 1 HANDICAP S		ACCACSS
		WLZE	MACHINE BOLF			SHELOCATION	LAWS AND RECULATIONS	HOURS OF OPEAATION	A DD AM TO MOMICHT FOR I 24 MR OP(64.00M FOR ORV	DHANG AREA	SAN DEGD, CA
	MALL SECTION NUMBER / CLEV NO	112 052 052 112 052 112 052 115 055	Microsoft Micros							E - HANG	
350	SHELT HUMBER	MAA.	WAR SHIDL BOND				THISTING BUILDING AREA: 2,340 S.F TO BE DEMOUSHED	FLOOR AREA 44110.	REQUIRED MAXING 10		DRAIN BY:
	BLDG. SECTION NUMBER	100 DE (40	MANUER MON TO SCALE				PROPOSED BUILDING AREA: 2.156 S.T.		PROVIDED .21	5	PROJECT & 3810007 SCALE:
1	SHEET HUMBER	SC.	ON CONTA				1074, SITE AREA: 10.030 S.F				
	REVISION STINIC	0 H	CONTRACTOR ACTIVITY CONTRACTOR ACTIVITY CONTRACTOR ACTIVITY CONTRACTOR				LANDSCAPE ARONDED 1,005 S.F.				TITLE
	11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,						SEATING: 34 SEATS (INDOOR)				SHEET
+	ELEVATION/DATEM WARK										
											4
											1 of 12

ATTACHMENT A





έQ Ξ





ENGHTE.

9130 BALBOA BYENUE

Nong Stanlag allasted or standad is angel . Addited is prighting dis-stalig multiplies implies problem that does one does all spatial state participation of the state of the state of participation of the state of the state of the participation of the state of the state of the participation of the state of the state of the participation of the state of the participation of the state of the sta

DATES

£

REVISIONS

NGINI | KENG # | ANNI

ROUTER ADADAS STITLES

P8-40 (1911 16-00

PA (17)

10 CA 80

PM esign

group

ROCKESS A MARY

Ph. (Phaj 615-5358 m-med Navehoth (mulgone c nm

P . Tarra Jornau P

PRELIMINARY

3 of 12

chilect

17-31-

Drou

SUBWINAL DATE

NOV 17, 20

1 J.17 6, 201

DECO. CA \$212







CONCEPT DESIGN STATEMENT

DESIGN OBECTIVE LA CHEAT ACLOURLATER

DESION ELEMENTS 1 (5P % x 1.5 USE P. WINDOS FACTOR 10 1 HOME IN SHIELD CO HAVE TOUR SELECTOR AND APPRIADED FOR HITIGEST. AND 5-JLL CONTRACE FIRTHER 1 37 AND,

PSOM CLIMNT MOARS PORTURE OF REALIST OF REALIST
PORTURE OF REALIST OF REALIST
PORTURE COLOR HER SHORE SHORE SHORE SHORE COLOR HER SHORE S

AB 1881 LANDSCAPE DOCUMENTATION

Q	PROJECT BROBINSEN
	JACK PT R 6 BOX
	**3%C \$TREE1
	SHIDEOD CA
	6-26-2010
	"01-to Landbac APTNO + Ine-3.7.
	HEW PROJECT
	POTABLE GATER

PROFER'S 20400 . IN CORP. Let ME 00 - too \$ 10000 vir.com

A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE A STAT

PRC200CTM	16.36	MENANION MENANI	A66,A 63.	LOF (REDBCNPT MEA	PLANT FACTOR
14E	SHYNING & LANDEVING	0499	10	1075	
114	THEE Studies Frig	ONP	-	WITHES MAYS	

Martine Jr 1 tog 1044,858 X 387 F394,6-11 & 1 Cat 19 6394,0-635 88664

tes menerates PERF CRADING HON-TELLTHING, APPRAINE, RECURSED IN-EVILIN-TOP OF PLANT SELECTION REQUIRED VEDV TELT REDUCTS.

CITY REQUIREMENTS MET

JUDDU INCOME VIEWNESS - PLANES CROUPEZ & -- KRACKONES SHEREY CONSERVED HIT'Y THEE SHADING Control (1997)
Control TELER CONSONED AT VION THIRE USE PLANTER USE MON-FLAMMARLE VEOLIA NON LODA EMMACED LANDBCAPE VEHIC2/90H PUBLIC ARCAS PROVIDED APPLICABLE REGULATIONS HET HER THELE HERONA S NO READER TWEET THE HE BY, A, ANTER PROVIDED PER THEE - HET DATE DOLLARS MIL OF VORTHE ANEAS SCREENED HTH 32 - EXC-F PUNITS

W 80% MELLE 7% 42-400 - 48.400 M R 6000

CITY OF SAN DIEGO WATER CONSERVATION STATEMENT

6710

LANDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION NOTES

A CREETINE THE FOLLOWING WOMPAUX SETSACE DECKNOTTINE PLANTING

IN ALL SAFFACE AND BLO-BURACE BILBLES (MINING) STRUCTURES AND PATTERING BARLINE WANTANED

H. 6. K2PGPD1 Inflected Intel Trends Contraction 45 (To entropy 1) equivalence (CONTRACTOR 5) and (CONTR

11 LOCATIONS AND DURN WHEN OF EXETTING LANDBOARD HANDONES AND AMAGINES INC.

edonting to be deduced a figure of and by to be familiar ratio for the production of the deduced of the test of the test of the test. If i recently the area indices in an

continuetor simile ocate a sector unstanding for more than a the next to approach during a sector real operation of approach during a

4 ALL MUTCHELS AND CLUPHENS (ALCEN AND CLUPHENS PARAL, BC PREADED AND PRETALLE) AS \$10000 m THE DEFENSE AND ALCENTAL AND CLUCHELS AND ALCENTAL AN

In a district annual, sena 1 all: TOP ORESISTE ABLES From Reading States of 12" or ORGANIC COMPOSED IN INCOMENTATION OR BASIN OF BOUND.

6 MERER TO ANOMITECTURAL AND DAYL PLANS FOR DESCRIPTIONS (# ELEMENTS NOT HODINTIFIC) ON THIS PLAN.

(35) •38/400 21043-

6 CONTRACTOR & RESPONDED FOR OF MARK ALL REQUIRED , MOREARE INC. INVESTIGATION TO MET Construction is improved that the service of the se

- YELLER BADDET + & TOBRESSELTER + 12000 YELLER BADDET + 42 TOBRESSELTER + 12000 YELLER BADDET + 42 TOBRESSELTER + 12 TOBRESSELTER
- 2. YENTERUME + 442 n. F25 (FF 11 +445/4) 126.0 + (24 mig 4 n. 845 (F) g-40 + 11 Mid 4 con YR

CITY OF SAN DEGO WATER CONSERVATION STATEMENT

verse, an 2010 0001 (+ a stop or and the best
verse, an 2010 + march or and the best
verse, and 2010 or and or and the best
verse, and 2010 or and or and the best
verse, and 2010 or and or and the best

TABLE 142-048 PLANT POINT SCHEDULE

Table 142-848 Plant Poigt Schutnie

Propened Pipet Material		Floet Points Achieved per Plant	Propect Parels
Plant Type	Plant Stor	1. The second	
Proposed Stands	(-galilizen	1.0	1841+15
	5-pallon	2.0	96 X 7 = 18
	LS-gallon of larger	14.0	
Proposed Dwarf Palas	Fac floor, of farows to int, taright	3.0	+
Proposed Feet	5-galim	\$.0	
	15-gallan	0.0	
	24-lock box	20.0	
	34-inch finst	500	3 x 90 + 190
	48-tends toos and integet	100 0	
Proposad Broad Headed Peather Palm Scar	Per fost of lerows insek lacight	10	1.
Proposed Peether Polm Tree	Per fost of broom truck height up in 20 feet in height.	30	
	such fushes palse pro over 20 feet in huight	0.06	
Proposed I on Pales I ree	Par faor of lowers trank height up v6.20 Part to height	1.5	
	etath fins pailet tree over 54 fant in braght	30.0	
		Think	264

Existing Plant Material		Plant Pointy Achieved per Plant	Property Party
Plant Type	Plant Star		
Extension Marcell	12-tech -to 24-tech spread and height	4.0	0
	24-tuch total larger spread and height	15.0	0
Exerting Hatter Free	3-und redpor manatesi = 6 fan chave grade	rộ0.0	
	math middlebougi incle beyound 2 implem-	54.8	
Bingting Hon-Jingive Tree	3-bob subject summered >: (Bot above grant	90.0	1 6 90+90
	each additional look beyond 7 landers	25.0	
Luisting, Bread Clubbed Pattlear Palm Tree	Per Stat of Serves truth height	50	
Currenting Facilitate Palate Treat	Fin that of proves trust height up in 20 fact in height	30	1
	annis finteper pains pour over 30 first in bragist	60.0	f
Episting Page Pales Trees	For that of Brown truth taight up to 20 flot at height.	٤١	
	enelt fine pains was over 20 dant or baight	30.0	

-

KOTA

group chitect

SHE INFORMATION

JB # 00.31 A304LSS SAN DIEGO. C4

0F×114 36 PPOJECE # JARIOOOZ SCALE 1'+10-0"

5 OF 12

LANDSCAPE HELLING OF AN INFLUENCE FOR THE CARE THE CARENCE OF CALCULATIONS

- ያምመፅርት ባርሥ ርሥርአብርርርሳቲያ ሐ ኃህ/ሃርመብርና ለ40 ሕ ቀርባ 5/ተርቀላ ሥርዓይን ያቀጫፅፅ ለ40 ዓቀርያ 5 G ለ4011 በርጉሞክላር የንጽ ግን እናታል። ለቀርሃቱ ያቀጫልፉ አለባ ካፍተያ 50 ባይ 1 ከ ዓመራ አለባ ዓቀርያ 5 በቀላዊ የጉ ሐርር የረሳ 8 J ለ ሀርጋር.
- B) CONTRACTOR SHALL HERE YES END THE END AND PROPORTESSEE THEY YES AND MOREY ADD-RECT OF ANY DECEMPANCES. SUBJECT SALL OF ENDINES CONDITIONS INAU SUPPLES IN ORIGIN. H COMBACTOR TO AND YOR & LODINGLY & CORE MANA PROVING A STRUCTURES.

Bur, Valunty and Goordiamins of Mark 112, and Mark 112,

THE CONTINUE OF SACANAGE TO PROVIDE THE QUANTITY OF MALE SHO 5 SHOPING ON DRAWING, GLANTELS IN THE RECEIVE AND REPORT A AUGUST, OWNERS & SHOPING ON TANK PRECEDENCE OVER QUANTITY & LISTES IN THE LEQUAD.

FINAL CERTIFICATION OF THE GAMBECARE BETALLATION IS BEEN RED. INFO GAMBECTURE CONTINUE TO RECORDER OF GAMBECARE AND RECEIPTING AND RECEIPTING CONTENTS AND NORMETS ARRANGET OF THE GAMBECTURE SET RECEIPTING AND RECEIPT

N CUT

Provemble and an an exactly an exactly and account of the strength of stores and the contraction of stores and strength and stores and stores

ACLEM TO ESP (C) ANALO, SP BOT RALES, SP BOT RALES, SP BOT RALES, SP COLORAD, SP COLORAD,

PMON3 . 9330 84,004 44CMUC SAN DECO, C4 92122 C 2007 Joch in the Box Inc. hose seeps, manys are packation profile property of anti-s the flat and that no us topold in -sprake. writing its process writing agreent Barg Sprong, changi wi pipete ta disti be entiti ta papata de alga sudados patente proteí el sub en ago de sudados patente derang dantaj e fortila den er en es p ta patental de sudados patente derang dantaj e fortila den er en es p patente de sudados patente patente de sudados patente es patental suda OAILS

NOV 12, 2002 P# UPESITS JUN 5, 7010 SUBMITIAL DATE. 1 .84 4 6, 2010



Δ

Δ

A

 \wedge







4470 THE - HE &A Sal 225) RGCXL34 C4 55617 Ph 19141 615-5358 8-1454 19407 Standgers carts

WA PAPE SA_SW2-OP11



SCALE 1"=10"-6"

٦

⁶ of 12



SCALE 1"+10'-0"

DRAWN BY SCALE 1-10-0" (2) DAL STREES WARNAUTS AND COLORE OTT SAMO SITE CRACK CONTRACT OFFICE AND CARD PLAN CHIC C STREET

≙ ົ

۲

٦

7 of 12





8 of 12





-7











West Elevation



Jack in the Box JIB#0031 1110 C STREET San Diego, CA





East Elevation



Jack in the Box JIB#0031 1110 C STREET San Diego, CA





ATTACHMENT B









California 4470 Yankee Hill Rd. Suite 110 Rocklin, CA. 95677

P. 916-415-5358 F. 916-303-4340

Washington 1325 NW Whitman St. Camas, WA. 98607

P. 360.834.6536

Arizona 1425 N First Street Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ. 85004

www.pmdginc.com

In Partnership With Our Clients Pedro + McCracken Design Group, Inc.

j

April 13, 2011

Center City Development Corporation

RE: Jack in the Box 1110 C Street - Findings for PDP

Dear Development Services,

This letter will address the PDP draft finding as required by the Center City Planned District Ordinance and San Diego Land Development Code section 126.0604.

Jack in the Box has chosen to demolish their existing store and reconstruct a new Jack in the Box at this location. Jack in the Box has a long term lease on this site and does not plan to vacate the location anytime in the near future. By rebuilding the existing use the project will not meet all sections of the Center City Planned District Ordinance and Land Development Code but the proposed building and site improvements are a significant upgrade to the existing facility.

Instead of doing general maintenance to the existing building they would like to construct a new building that will be more attractive to patrons and fit in with the growth of the surrounding area. The existing Jack in the Box building on this site was originally constructed in 1965. The building is a very plain with white paint, a red standing seam metal roof, flat fascias, and a greenhouse. The building design is dated and is not very aesthetically pleasing. The proposed site plan and elevations will address many of the existing sites shortcomings and will be a definite improvement to the circulation on the site, through the drive thru, and the parking areas.

Deviations from Center City Planned District Ordinance

Land Use District: Residential Emphasis – Project will not have any residential.

Commercial Street Overlay: Commercial Street Overlay (-CS) – Project will be 100% commercial.

Limited Vehicle Access: On C Street and 11th Avenue – Existing curb cuts are not being modified. No additional curb cuts will be added.

Building Height Minimum: 45' above ground level – Proposed building is 21' to top of parapet. 13'-11" to top of roof deck.

improvement to the existing facility. High quality construction materials are proposed and the building will better fit into the downtown district.

(5) Any proposed deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) are appropriate for this location and will result in a more desirable project then be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the applicable zone.

Jack in the Box is proposing to make a substantial investment to improve the existing facility. This is an improvement project so strict conformance to the development standards should not be employed on this type of submittal. The deviations from the development standards already exist on the site and will continue to exist even if this project as submitted is not approved. The substantial improvements proposed will result in a more desirable project than the current existing condition.

Respectfully,

Í

David Lundy PM Design Group

M: Jack in the Box/JIB10007 San Diego #31/Documents/PDP letter 4-13-11.doc

San Diego Municipal Code Final per City Council Action February 28, 2006





ATTACHMENT D

Chapter 15: Planned Districts

San Diego Municipal Code Final per City Council Action February 28, 2006



Ch.	Art	Div.	
15	1	3	86

Jack in the Box Board of Directors

David L. Goebel Murray H. Hutchison Madeleine Kleiner Linda A. Lang Michael W. Murphy James M. Myers David M. Tehle Wendy M. Webb John T. Wyatt

Jack in the Box Corporate Governance

Linda A. Lang, CEO & President Lenny Comma, Executive Vice President Jerry P. Rebel, Chief Financial Officer Philip H. Rudolph, General Counsel Terri E. Graham, Senior Vice President Mark H. Blankenship, Senior Vice President & CAO Carol D. Raimo, VP of Investor Relations

ATTACHMENT E

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

Centre City Development Corporation Architecture & Planning Division 401 B Street, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92101

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

Centre City Development Corporation Attn: Architecture & Planning 401 B Street, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92101

THIS SPACE FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY NOTE: COUNTY RECORDER, PLEASE RECORD AS RESTRICTION USE OR DEVELOPMENT OF REAL PROPERTY AFFECTING THE TITLE TO OR POSSESSION THEREOF

CENTRE CITY DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/ PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT No. 2011-04 Jack in the Box - 1110 C Street (APN#534-193-05)

City of San Diego Planning Commission CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 Jack in the Box - 1110 C Street

WHEREAS, Victoria Land Partners L.P./Jack in the Box, Inc., Owner/Permittee, filed an application for a Centre City Development Corporation Development Permit/Planned Development Permit (CCDP/PDP) No. 2011-04 to allow the demolition of an existing drive-thru/walk-up restaurant and construction of a new, approximately 2,156 square-foot, drive-thru/walk-up restaurant, including outdoor dining, with deviations to the development regulations of the Centre City Planned District Ordinance (CCPDO), located at 1110 C Street within the East Village neighborhood of the Downtown Community Plan Area and more particularly describes in "Exhibit A" in the City of San Diego, State of California, according to map thereof made on file in the office of the County Recorder of San Diego; and,

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2011 the City of San Diego Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing and considered CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04, including a staff report and recommendation, and public testimony, and granted CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 pursuant to Sections 156.0304 (e)(D) of the CCPDO and Sections 126.0601-126.0604 of the San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC); and,

WHEAREAS, the project is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15302 Replacement or Reconstruction (Class 2) and Section 15303 New Construction (Class 3). Class 2 applies to replacement or reconstruction of commercial structure with a new structure of substantially the same size, purpose and capacity and Class 3 applies to construction of new structures under 10,000 square feet in floor area. The project consists of the demolition and reconstruction of a new 2,156 square foot restaurant and, therefore, the Class 2 and Class 3 exemptions are applicable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City of San Diego Planning Commission as follows:

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS

1. The proposed development is consistent with the Downtown Community Plan, Centre City Redevelopment Plan, Centre City Planned District Ordinance, San Diego Land Development Code, and all other adopted plans and policies of the City of San Diego Redevelopment Agency pertaining to the Centre City Planned District.

PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS

- 1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.
- 2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 JACK IN THE BOX

3. The proposed use or development will comply to the maximum extent feasible with the regulations of the Land Development Code including any deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) that are appropriate for this location and will result in a more desirable project than would be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the applicable zone, and any allowable deviations that are otherwise authorized pursuant to the Land Development Code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that based on the above Findings hereinbefore adopted by the City of San Diego Planning Commission; that CCDP/PDP No. 2011-04 is hereby GRANTED to the Owner/Permittee to construct and operate uses as described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type and location as follows and on the approved exhibits on file in the offices of the Centre City Development Corporation subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit.

1. General

The Owner/Permittee shall construct, or cause to be constructed on the site, the construction of a new replacement 2,156 square-foot, single-story (21-foot-tall) restaurant with a drive-thru, including seven off-street parking spaces at 1110 C Street within the East Village Neighborhood of the Downtown Community Plan Area.

2. Planned Development Permit

The City of San Diego Planning Commission hereby grants a Planned Development Permit (PDP) allowing for the following deviations:

- a. Deviations from the following development standards of the CCPDO:
 - 1. Section 156.0307(a) (7) Deviation to the Land Use Percentage Requirements of the Residential Emphasis (RE) District of a minimum of 80 percent residential and maximum 20 percent non-residential uses to allow 100 percent commercial use within the RE District.
 - 2. Section 156.0309 (a) Reductions to the Base Minimum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 6.0 to 0.21 FAR.
 - 3. Section 156.0310 Deviations to the Development Regulations for new construction including, but not limited to, minimum street wall height, ground floor heights, commercial space depth and lot coverage.
 - 4. Section 156.0313(l) (2) Deviations to the Vehicular Access requirements of the CCPDO requiring a maximum 40 linear feet of curb cut for the site and a maximum 20 foot curb cut for driveways serving up to ten cars to allow the existing curb cuts to remain and driveway dimensions to be reduced to a size acceptable to the City of San Diego Engineering Department.

PLANNING AND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

3. Urban Design Standards

The proposed development, including its architectural design concepts and off-site improvements, shall be consistent with the CCPDO and Centre City Streetscape Manual. These standards, together with the following specific conditions, will be used as a basis for evaluating the development through all stages of the development process.

- a. Architectural Standards The architecture of the development shall establish a high quality of design and complement the design and character of the East Village Neighborhood and the site's location along C Street as shown in the approved Basic Concept/Schematic Drawings on file with the Corporation. The development shall utilize a coordinated color scheme consistent with the approved Basic Concept/Schematic Drawings.
- b. Form and Scale The development shall consist of an one-story building with a maximum building height of 21 feet measured to the top of the parapet, with roof equipment enclosures, elevator penthouses, and mechanical screening above this height permitted per the Centre City PDO and the FAA. All building elements shall be complementary in form, scale, and architectural style.
- c. Elevations The development shall minimize the appearance of continuous solid walls on the east and west elevation by providing glazing, off-set planes, reveals, texture and distinct material/colors to create shadowing and texture, as shown in the Basic Concept/Schematic Drawings.
- d. Building Materials All building materials shall be of a high quality utilizing stone, tile and/or metal panels as approved by CCDC. No stucco or plaster materials shall be used on the building walls. All materials and installation shall exhibit high-quality design, detailing, and construction execution to create a durable and high quality finish. The base of the buildings shall be clad in upgraded materials and carry down to within 1 (one) inch of finish sidewalk grade. Any stone materials shall employ larger modules such as 12" x 24" and full-corner profiles to create a substantial and non-veneer appearance. All downspouts, exhaust caps, and other additive elements shall be superior grade for urban locations, carefully composed to reinforce the architectural design. Reflectivity of the glass shall be the minimum reflectivity required by Title 24.

All construction details shall be highest standard and executed to minimize weathering, eliminate staining, and not cause deterioration of materials on adjacent properties or the public right of way. No substitutions of materials or colors shall be permitted without the prior written consent of the Corporation. A final materials board which illustrates the location, color, quality, and texture of proposed exterior materials shall be submitted with 100% Construction Drawings

and shall be consistent with the materials board approved with the Basic Concept/ Schematic Drawings.

- e. Street Level Design Street level windows shall be clear glass and may be lightly tinted. Architectural features such as awnings and other design features which add human scale to the streetscape are encouraged where they are consistent with the design theme of the structure.
- f. Utilitarian Areas Areas housing trash, recycling, storage, or other utility services shall be completely concealed from view of the public right-of-way and adjoining developments, except for utilities required to be exposed by the City or utility company. The development shall provide trash and recyclable material storage areas per Municipal Code Sections 142.0810 and 142.0820. Such areas shall be provided within an enclosed area and shall be kept clean and orderly at all times. The development shall implement a recycling program to provide for the separation of recyclable materials from the non-recyclable trash materials.
- g. Circulation and Parking The Owner/Permittee shall prepare a plan which identifies the location of curbside parking control zones, parking meters, fire hydrants, trees, and street lights. Such plan shall be submitted in conjunction with 100% Construction Drawings.
- h. Open Space/Project Amenities A landscape plan that illustrates the relationship of the proposed on and off-site improvements and the location of water, and electrical hookups shall be submitted with 100% Construction Drawings.
- i. Roof Tops A rooftop equipment and appurtenance location and screening plan shall be prepared and submitted with 100% Construction Drawings. Any roof top mechanical equipment must be grouped, enclosed, and screened from surrounding views.
- j. Signage All signs shall comply with the City of San Diego Sign Regulations and the CCPDO. No pole signs shall be permitted.
- k. Lighting A lighting plan which highlights the architectural qualities of the proposed development and also enhances the lighting of the public right-of-way shall be submitted with 100% Construction Drawings. All lighting shall be designed to avoid illumination of adjoining properties.
- 1. Noise Control All mechanical equipment, including but not limited to, air conditioning, heating and exhaust systems, shall comply with the City of San Diego Noise Ordinance and California Noise Insulation Standards as set forth in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations. All mechanical equipment shall be located to mitigate noise and exhaust impacts on adjoining development, particularly residential. Owner/Permittee shall provide evidence of compliance at 100% Construction Drawings.

- m. Energy Considerations The design of the improvements shall include, where feasible, energy conservation construction techniques and design, including cogeneration facilities, and active and passive solar energy design. The Owner/Permittee shall demonstrate consideration of such energy features during the review of the 100% Construction Drawings.
- n. Street Address Building address numbers shall be provided that are visible and legible from the public right-of-way.
- 4. Off-Site Parking

Owner/Permittee shall maintain a minimum of seven off-street parking spaces on the property at all times in the approximate locations shown on the approved Exhibit "A." Further, all on-site parking stalls and aisle widths shall be in compliance with requirements of the City's Land Development Code. Parking spaces shall comply at all times with the SDMC and shall not be converted for any other use unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate decision maker in accordance with the SDMC.

- 5. Drive Thru
 - a. Owner/Permittee shall maintain adequate space to allow a minimum of three cars to be stacked on-site up to and including the area in front of the menu board/order station to avoid queuing along the C Street right-of-way.
 - b. The driveway located along C Street shall be utilized as a one-way entry only and shall be signed, including permanent markings.
- 6. On-Site Improvements

All off-site and on-site improvements shall be designed as part of an integral site development. An on-site improvement plan shall be submitted with the 100% Construction Drawings. Any on-site landscaping shall establish a high quality of design and be sensitive to landscape materials and design planned for the adjoining public rights-of-way.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, LANDSCAPING AND UTILITY REQUIREMENTS

7. Off-Site Improvements

The following public improvements shall be installed in accordance with the Centre City Streetscape Manual. The Manual is currently being updated and the Owner/Permittee shall install the appropriate improvements according to the latest requirements at the time of Building Permit issuance:

	C Street	11th Avenue
Paving	Standard Paving	Gateway Paving
Street Trees	Carrot Wood	Jacaranda
Street Lights	Standard Lights	Gateway Lights

All trees shall be planted at a minimum 36-inch box size with tree grates provided as specified in the Centre City Streetscape Manual, and shall meet the requirements of Title 24. Tree spacing shall be accommodated after street lights have been sited, and generally spaced 20 to 25 feet on center. All landscaping shall be irrigated with private water service from the subject property.

The Owner/Permittee will be responsible for evaluating, with consultation with the Corporation, whether any existing trees within the right-of-way shall be maintained and preserved. No trees shall be removed prior to obtaining a Tree Removal Permit from the Development Services Department per City Council Policy 200-05.

- a. Street Lights All existing lights shall be evaluated to determine if they meet current Corporation and City requirements, and shall be modified or replaced if necessary.
- b. Sidewalk Paving Any specialized paving materials shall be approved through the execution of an Encroachment Removal and Maintenance Agreement with the City.
- c. Landscaping All required landscaping shall be maintained in a disease, weed and litter free condition at all times. If any required landscaping (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction documents is damaged or removed during demolition or construction, it shall be repaired and/or replaced in kind and equivalent in size per the approved documents and to the satisfaction of the Centre City Development Corporation within 30 days of damage or Certificate of Occupancy.
- d. On-Street Parking The Owner/Permittee shall maximize the on-street parking wherever feasible.
- e. Public Utilities The Owner/Permittee shall be responsible for the connection of on-site sewer, water and storm drain systems from the development to the City Utilities located in the public right-of-way. Sewer, water, and roof drain laterals shall be connected to the appropriate utility mains within the street and beneath the sidewalk. The Owner/Permittee may use existing laterals if acceptable to the City, and if not, Owner/Permittee shall cut and plug existing laterals at such places and in the manner required by the City, and install new laterals. Private sewer laterals require an Encroachment Maintenance and Removal Agreement.

All roof drainage and sump drainage, if any, shall be connected to the storm drain system in the public street, or if no system exists, to the street gutters through sidewalk underdrains. Such underdrains shall be approved through an Encroachment Removal Agreement with the City. The Owner/Permittee shall comply with the City of San Diego Storm Water Management and Discharge Control Ordinance and the storm water pollution prevention requirements of Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 and Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 2 of the Land Development Code.

- f. Franchise Public Utilities The Owner/Permittee shall be responsible for the installation or relocation of franchise utility connections including, but not limited to, gas, electric, telephone and cable, to the development and all extensions of those utilities in public streets. Existing franchised utilities located above grade serving the property and in the sidewalk right-of-way shall be removed and incorporated into the adjoining development where feasible.
- g. Fire Hydrants If required, the Owner/Permittee shall install fire hydrants at locations satisfactory to the City of San Diego Fire Department and Development Services Department.
- h. Water Meters and Backflow Preventers The Owner/Permittee shall locate all water meters and backflow preventers in locations satisfactory to the Public Utilities Department and Corporation. Backflow preventers shall be located outside of the public right-of-way adjacent to the development's water meters, either within the building, a recessed alcove area, or within a plaza or landscaping area. The devices shall be screened from view from the public right-of-way. All items of improvement shall be performed in accordance with the technical specifications, standards, and practices of the City of San Diego's Engineering, Public Utilities and Building Inspection Departments and shall be subject to their review and approval. Improvements shall meet the requirements of Title 24 of the State Building Code.
- 8. Removal and/or Remedy of Soil and/or Water Contamination

The Owner/Permittee shall (at its own cost and expense) remove and/or otherwise remedy as provided by law and implementing rules and regulations, and as required by appropriate governmental authorities, any contaminated or hazardous soil and/or water conditions on the Site. Such work may include without limitation the following:

a. Remove (and dispose of) and/or treat any contaminated soil and/or water on the site (and encountered during installation of improvements in the adjacent public rights-of-way which the Owner/Permittee is to install) as necessary to comply with applicable governmental standards and requirements.

- b. Design construct all improvements on the site in a manner which will assure protection of occupants and all improvements from any contamination, whether in vapor or other form, and/or from the direct and indirect effects thereof.
- c. Prepare a site safety plan and submit it to the appropriate governmental agency, Corporation, and other authorities for approval in connection with obtaining a building permit for the construction of improvements on the site. Such site safety plan shall assure workers and other visitors to the site of protection from any health and safety hazards during development and construction of the improvements. Such site safety plan shall include monitoring and appropriate protective action against vapors and/or the effect thereof.
- d. Obtain from the County of San Diego and/or California Regional Water Quality Control Board and/or any other authorities required by law any permits or other approvals required in connection with the removal and/or remedy of soil and/or water contamination, in connection with the development and construction on the site.
- e. If required due to the presence of contamination, an impermeable membrane or other acceptable construction alternative shall be installed beneath the foundation of the building. Drawings and specifications for such vapor barrier system shall be submitted for review and approval by the appropriate governmental authorities.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS

9. Environmental Impact Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)

As required by the San Diego Municipal Code Section 156.0304 (f), the development shall comply with all applicable MMRP measures from the 2006 Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Downtown Community Plan.

10. Development Impact Fees

The development will be subject to Centre City Development Impact Fees. For developments containing commercial space(s) the Owner/Permittee shall provide to the City's Facilities Financing Department the following information at the time of application for building permit plan check: 1) total square footage for commercial lease spaces and all areas within the building dedicated to support those commercial spaces including, but not limited to: loading areas, service areas and corridors, utility rooms, and commercial parking areas; and 2) applicable floor plans showing those areas outlined for verification. In addition, it shall be responsibility of the Owner/Permittee to provide all necessary documentation for receiving any "credit" for existing buildings to be removed.

11. Construction Fence

Owner/Permittee shall install a construction fence pursuant to specifications of, and a permit from, the City Engineer. The fence shall be solid plywood with wood framing, painted a consistent color with the development's design, and shall contain a pedestrian passageway, signs, and lighting as required by the City Engineer. The fencing shall be maintained in good condition and free of graffiti at all times.

12. Development Identification Signs

Prior to commencement of construction on the Site, the Owner/Permittee shall prepare and install, at its cost and expense, one sign on the barricade around the site which identifies the development. The sign shall be at least four (4) feet by six (6) feet and be visible to passing pedestrian and vehicular traffic. The signs shall at a minimum include:

- --- Color rendering of the development
- --- Development name
- --- Developer
- --- Completion Date
- --- For information call __

The sign shall also contain the Corporation "Paradise in Progress" logo and the Downtown Construction Hotline phone number. Additional development signs may be provided around the perimeter of the site. All signs shall be limited to a maximum of 160 square feet per street frontage. Graphics may also be painted on any barricades surrounding the site. All signs and graphics shall be submitted to the Corporation for approval prior to installation.

- 13. This Centre City Development Permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this Permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36 month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time (EOT) has been granted. Any such EOT must meet all SDMC and CCPDO requirements in effect at the time of extension are considered by the appropriate decision maker.
- 14. Issuance of this Permit by the Centre City Development Corporation does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies.
- 15. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.
- 16. This development shall comply with the standards, policies, and requirements in effect at the time of approval of this development, including any successor(s) or new policies,

financing mechanisms, phasing schedules, plans and ordinances adopted by the City of San Diego.

- 17. No permit for construction, operation, or occupancy of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until this Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.
- The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, 18. officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

APPROVED by the City of San Diego Planning Commission on December 8, 2011

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CENTRE CITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Lucy Contreras Senior Planner

Note: Notary Acknowledgement must be attached per Civil Code Section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

Jack in the Box, Inc. Owner/Permittee

By: ____

NAME TITLE

Note: Notary Acknowledgement must be attached per Civil Code Section 1189 et seq.

S:\Contreras\DEVREV\Jack in the Box\Jack in the Box CCDPPDP 2011-04.doc