DATE ISSUED:	May 24, 2012	REPORT NO. PC-12-054	
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Agenda of May 31, 2012		
SUBJECT:	KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE EOT- PH PROCESS FOUR	ROJECT NO. 259646	
REFERENCE:	Planning Commission Report No. PC- City Council Resolution No. R-30452 Site Development Permit No. 482270 Permit No. 581890 for the Kretowicz	8 Denying the Appeal and Granting and Denying Neighborhood Use	
OWNER/ APPLICANT:	DUK Trust / Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kreto	wicz	

SUMMARY

Issue: Should the Planning Commission approve an Extension of Time (EOT) for Site Development Permit No. 482270 to allow the applicant time to continue processing the Coastal Development Permit with the California Coastal Commission for modifications and additions to a single family residence located at 7957 Princess Street in the La Jolla Community Plan Area?

Staff Recommendation: APPROVE Extension of Time No. 979355.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u>: On March 1, 2012, the La Jolla Community Planning Association voted 11-1-4 to recommend denial of the Extension of Time for the Site Development Permit (Attachment 13).

Environmental Review: Negative Declaration (ND) No. 138513 was prepared for the Kretowicz Residence project, which was before the City of San Diego City Council and certified and adopted on December 2, 2008, by Resolution No. 304527. The project proposes an Extension of Time for Site Development Permit No. 482270. In accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15162(a): (1) No substantial changes are proposed to the project which would require major revisions of

the previous ND; (2) No substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require any revisions to the previous ND; and (3) There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous ND was certified. Therefore, no subsequent environmental document is required, in that no new additional impacts and/or mitigation measures are required beyond those that were analyzed in the original environmental document. All of the impacts were adequately addressed and disclosed in previously certified ND No. 138513.

Fiscal Impact Statement: None with this action. All costs associated with the processing of this project are paid from a deposit account maintained by the applicant.

<u>Code Enforcement Impact</u>: Neighborhood Code Compliance Case (No. NC40952) is currently active at this property for previously constructed improvements and additions to an existing single-family residence that were constructed without obtaining an amendment to the original Coastal Commission Permit and/or without obtaining building and public improvement permits.

Housing Impact Statement: None with this action.

BACKGROUND

The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street (Attachment 1), the northern terminus of Princess Street, west of Spindrift Drive (Attachment 2). The site contains three legal lots, two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and are located in the RS-1-7 Zone. The third lot is approximately 436 square feet in size and is located at the terminus of Princess Street, and is located in the SF Zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District (Attachment 3). The site is within the La Jolla Community Plan Area (Attachment 4), Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone. The zoning designations allow for single family residential and the La Jolla Community Plan (LJCP) designates the proposed project site for single family use (5-9 dwelling units per acre). Princess Street is a public street and the culde-sac located at the terminus of the street is within the public right-of-way.

The property originally contained an existing two story single family residence constructed prior to 1915, and the prior owner of the property obtained three Coastal Development Permits (CDP) that were issued by the California Coastal Commission for several additions and modifications to the existing structure.

The property was purchased by the current applicant in 1993, and is developed with a two-story, 7,249 square foot, single family residence with an attached two car garage, and detached accessory structures. The property has undergone several improvements, modifications, and additions by the current owner that were constructed without obtaining an amendment to the original CDP and/or without obtaining building and public improvement permits pursuant to Neighborhood Code Compliance Department (NCCD) Case No. NC40952. A brief history of

the coastal actions, appeals, litigation, permits, and Civil Penalty Hearing are located in Attachment 6-Permit History.

Development of the proposed project required the approval of a Process 4 Site Development Permit (SDP) to amend SDP No. 108967 for development on a premise containing sensitive coastal bluffs, and for encroachments established and maintained in the public right-of-way when the applicant is not the record owner of the property on which the proposed encroachment will be located; and the applicant requested a Neighborhood Use Permit (NUP) for a proposed guest quarters in the existing structure within the public right-of-way. The Coastal Commission is exclusively responsible for the CDP and or amendments pursuant to Section 126.0717 of the Land Development Code (LDC). Therefore, the CDP will be processed and issued by the Coastal Commission as an amendment to the original CDP.

Previous Planning Commission Action: On October 9, 2008, the Planning Commission heard the proposed project for the improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed as outlined in the Planning Commission Report No. PC-08-120. The request included a new trellis addition over the second floor deck and a new jacuzzi, which includes new retaining walls and a raised platform. An existing detached accessory building is located at the terminus of Princess Street and was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921, and a portion or 1/3 of this structure is within the public right-of-way. The applicant was proposing to use the remodeled detached accessory building as a guest quarters. However, the approval of the NUP for guest quarters would grant habitable living space/use within the public right-of-way, which creates a life and safety issue for those living and sleeping in the structure, a liability for the City, and did not benefit a public purpose. The Planning Commission voted 5-0-2 to approve staff's alternative recommendation to Certify ND No. 138513, Approve SDP No. 482270, and Deny NUP No. 581890 on the reasons stated above (Planning Commission Resolution No. 4463-PC).

<u>Previous City Council Action</u>: On October 23, 2008, an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision and the Environmental Determination was submitted. The matter was set for public hearing on December 2, 2008, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same voted 7-1-0 to Deny the Appeal; and Certify ND No. 138513 pursuant to Resolution No. R-304427 (Attachment 10), and Approve SDP No. 482270 and Deny NUP No. 581890 pursuant to Resolution No. R-304428 (Attachment 11); and Site Development Permit No. 482270 (Attachment 12).

<u>California Coastal Commission Action</u>: An amendment application was filed with the Coastal Commission and the CDP Application No. A-133-79-A5/F6760-A6, and was set for public hearing on July 14, 2011. The Coastal Commission approved the permit and required the applicant to record a vertical public access easement prior to issuance of the permit amendment, but did not approve the applicant's request to defer the opening of the easement. Instead, the Coastal Commission required that the easement be opened to the public as soon as the easement is accepted and access improvements are constructed. But emergency lifeguard access will be made available immediately. This decision is currently under litigation.

DISCUSSION

Project Description:

The project proposes a three year EOT for SDP No. 482270 to allow the applicant time to continue processing the CDP with the Coastal Commission, which is currently under litigation. On August 2, 2011, the City Council adopted the 7th code updates to the LDC which includes provisions to allow for tolling for development permits during a lawsuit. However, the Coastal Commission has not certified the local coastal program amendments regarding the code updates; therefore, the provisions to allow for tolling for development permits during a lawsuit are not effective within the coastal zone.

Project-Related Issues:

<u>Extension of Time (EOT)</u>: In accordance with LDC Section 126.0111(e), an extension of time, except for a CDP, may be approved without new conditions if the decision maker makes both of the following findings:

(1) The project as originally approved and without any new conditions would not place the occupants of the proposed *development* or the immediate community in a condition dangerous to their health or safety; and

<u>Staff's Response</u>: The applicant is not proposing or required by the City to do any changes to the project as originally approved in 2008 by both the Planning Commission and the City Council. Therefore, the original Finding regarding health or safety outlined in City Council Resolution No. R-304428 (Attachment 11) would still apply to the project.

(2) No new condition is required to comply with state or federal law.

<u>Staff's Response</u>: New City Storm Water Regulations were adopted on January 14, 2011 to conform to requirements from the Regional Water Quality Control Board. However, the subject project is deemed a "standard project" under the City's Storm Water Standards and is not subject to the hydromodification requirements under the current regulations; therefore, there are no new conditions required for the project to comply with state or federal law.

The expiration date of an approved development permit may be extended one or more times, provided the extensions do not exceed a total of 36 months beyond the expiration of the initial utilization period in accordance with LDC Section 126.0111(a). On December 2, 2008, the City Council approved the development permit on an appeal; therefore, the expiration date for the EOT may be granted through December 2, 2014.

Conclusion:

The project before the Planning Commission is the same project as originally approved and the

request for the extension of time to allow for the applicant time to continue processing the CDP with the Coastal Commission and the application has been determined by staff to be incompliance with the LDC. There are no new conditions required for the extension of time; therefore, staff recommends the Planning Commission to approve the EOT No. 979355 with an expiration date of December 2, 2014.

ALTERNATIVE

1. Deny Extension of Time No. 979355, if the findings required to approve the project cannot be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Westlake Program Manager Development Services Department

WESTLAKE /JAP

Attachments:

- 1. Project Location Map
- 2. Aerial Photograph
- 3. Zoning Map
- 4. Community Plan Land Use Map
- 5. Project Data Sheet
- 6. Permit History
- 7. Draft EOT Permit Resolution with Findings
- 8. Draft EOT Permit with Conditions
- 9. Project Plans
- 10. Copy of City Council Resolution No. R-304527
- 11. Copy of City Council Resolution No. R-304528
- 12. Copy of Recorded Site Development Permit No. 482270
- 13. Community Planning Group Recommendation
- 14. Ownership Disclosure Statement
- 15. Project Chronology

Internal Order No. 24002359

Jeffrey A. Peterson Development Project Manager Development Services Department



7957 Princess Street





Aerial Photo

Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646 7957 Princess Street

North	
$\overline{1}$	





Aerial Photo -Looking East (Enlarged)

Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646 7957 Princess Street







Aerial Photo -Looking West (Enlarged)

Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646



7957 Princess Street





Aerial Photo -Looking West (Enlarged)

Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646

North

7957 Princess Street



Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646 7957 Princess Street





Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646 7957 Princess Street

PROJECT DATA SHEET

INUJ	ECT DATA SI				
PROJECT NAME:	ROJECT NAME: Kretowicz Residence EOT- Project No. 259646				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	The project proposes a three year Extension of Time (EOT) for Site Development Permit No. 482270 to allow the applicant time to continue processing the CDP with the Coastal Commission, which is currently under litigation.				
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	La Jolla				
DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS:	Extension of Time (EOT) for	r Site Development Permit No. 482270			
COMMUNITY PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION:	Single Family Use (5-9 dwel	ling units per acre)			
HEIGHT LIMIT: 30 fee LOT SIZE: Minin FLOOR AREA RATIO: 45 per LOT COVERAGE: 50 per FRONT SETBACK: 6 feet	num 5,000 square feet rcent (RS-1-7) rcent (RS-1-7) and 60 percent ((LDC Section 131.0443(a)(2)) (North) and 2 feet 2 inches (So	(SF)			
ADJACENT PROPERTIES:	LAND USE DESIGNATION & ZONE	EXISTING LAND USE			
NORTH:	Single Family Use; SF Zone of the LJSPD	Single -Family Residence			
SOUTH:	Single Family Use; RS-1-7 Zone	Single -Family Residence			
EAST:	Single Family Use; RS-1-7 Zone and SF Zone of the LJSPD	Single -Family Residence and Public Right-of-Way (Street)			
WEST:	Pacific Ocean	Pacific Ocean			
DEVIATIONS OR VARIANCES REQUESTED:	None				
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP RECOMMENDATION:	On March 1, 2012, the La Jolla Community Planning Association voted 11-1-4 to recommend denial of the Extension of Time for the Site Development Permit.				

PERMIT HISTORY

<u>Permit History (Prior Owner)</u>: The original two-story Hopi house was constructed prior to 1915 and was approximately 1,456 square feet (Attachment 9-Plans, Sheet A-1.0(b)). In 1959 Building Permit No. B14412 was issued for the enclosure of an existing front porch, approximately 216 square feet (Attachment 9-Plans, Sheet A-1.0(c)). A new porch addition at the rear of the structure, approximately 182 square feet, and a new second floor addition, approximately 455 square feet, was approved on January 7, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E38684 (Attachment 9-Plans, Sheet A-1.0(c)). A new detached accessory building labeled as "Photo Lab" located at the front property, approximately 209 square feet, was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921 (Attachment 9-Plans, Sheet A-1.0(e)).

On June 2, 1978, the California Coastal Commission, San Diego Coastal Regional Commission, (hereafter "Commission") approved a 3,066 square foot addition to the existing single family residence pursuant to Permit No. F6760. This permit was appealed to the State Coastal Commission by concerned citizens pursuant to Appeal No. 221-78. On July 18, 1978, the State Coastal Commission upheld the Commission's approval of the permit. On August 14, 1978, a building permit was issued for the construction pursuant to Building Permit No. M19031. In reliance upon this permit, the applicant commenced development.

On September 15, 1978, one of the concerned citizens (Anthony C. Ciani) filed a lawsuit against the Commission and State Coastal Commission for having failed to make a public access and recreation finding on the project as required by the Coastal Act. On February 27, 1979, A Finding of Fact and Conclusion of Law was issued by the Superior Court of the State of California regarding the public access and recreation finding. The court ruled that such a finding must be made and the matter was remanded to the Commission to make specific findings on the public access and recreation. It was made clear by the court that no other aspects of the approved project were to be reconsidered. After several hearings and much testimony, the Commission adopted findings which found the site inappropriate for vertical access and required no such access due to safety factors and lack of street parking among others. This decision was appealed to the State Coastal Commission, which on September 20, 1979, found that public access should be required and issued Permit No. A-133-79. This permit included a condition that required the applicant to record both a lateral (from the toe of the bluff to the mean high tide line) and vertical (five feet wide extending from the street down to the bluff along the southern property line) public access easements. A recent search of the records revealed that the easements were never offered and or recorded.

A condition of Permit No. F6760 required the applicant to submit a drainage plan to control runoff and that the plan be reviewed and determined adequate in writing by the staff engineer for the State Coastal Commission. On March 26, 1980, the applicant submitted an application to the Commission for an amendment to the original permit (F6760-A) to legitimize the drainage and runoff control measures which were implemented prior to the Commission approval. The Commission approved the amendment on April 4, 1980.

<u>Coastal Permit Jurisdiction</u>: The Local Coastal Program (LCP) was adopted by the City Council and certified by the State Coastal Commission. The City of San Diego obtained responsibility for issuing Coastal Development Permits from the State Coastal Commission in this area of the city on October 17, 1988.

Permit History (Kretowicz): The applicant (Ure and Diane Kretowicz) purchased the subject property in March 1993. The existing master bedroom area was remodeled into a new kitchen, pursuant to Building Permit No. C302021-98 approved on April 15, 1998 (Attachment 9-Plans, Sheet A-1.0(g)). On February 17, 2001, the Planning Commission approved Sensitive Coastal Resource/Coastal Development Permit No. 96-7148 for the removal of the previously constructed bluff improvements and approved the construction of a pool and spa, deck, retaining walls, area drains, landscaping, and provide an emergency access easement. This development application was originally submitted in the early 1997 in response to a code enforcement complaint filed earlier for constructing improper landscape and hardscape improvements onto the coastal bluff. The Planning Commission's approval required the removal of all bluff improvements in violation (including wood timber stairs, retaining walls, and palm trees). The non-drought tolerant plant material on the bluff was permitted to remain without irrigation, so that the removal of the landscape would not further impact the bluff. On March 2, 2001, the Planning Commission's approval was appealed to the City Council. On June 5, 2001, the City Council denied the appeal and approved Permit No. 96-7148 with one additional condition that a gate is to be installed and, if for any reason the lateral access in not dedicated, that it is made sure it is a conditional of the project approval.

The City Council's decision was appealed to the Commission on the basis of being inconsistent with the LCP and the conditions of the of the Commission's Permit. (Appeal No. A-6-LJS-01-95). On August 6, 2001, the Commission found that a Substantial Issue existed with respect to the grounds on which the appeal was filed. The de novo review of the City's permit application was subsequently scheduled for October 2001; however, this hearing was postponed by the applicant. On May 14, 2002, the project was withdrawn by the applicant, which resulted in no permit for the development by the City or the Coastal Commission. On December 21, 2001, the Commission issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) of the California Coastal Act, Violation File No. V-6-01-106. The applicant's attorney submitted a letter of intent regarding the NOV on January 4, 2002.

On April 2, 2002, the applicant was issued Engineering Permit No. W50238 for private enhanced concrete pavement with in the public right-of-way at the terminus of Princess Street. On February 28, 2006, the applicant was approved for a construction change to the engineering permit to install exposed aggregate pavement and this work has been completed.

On May 1, 2002, the City's Neighborhood Code Compliance Department (NCCD) was contacted for construction on the project site without permits. NCCD conducted an inspection and found un-permitted excavation being done in the garage. The City of San Diego filed a lawsuit against the applicant and on April 14, 2004, and a "Stipulated Judgment" was entered into with the applicant and the City of San Diego, which required the concurrent processing to amend Coastal Development Permit No. A-133-79/F6760

(both permit numbers combined as one) with the Commission and process a Site Development Permit (SDP) with the City to resolve the outstanding issues.

On April 23, 2004, the applicant submitted an application with the City for a SDP to allow for the previous garage modifications, retaining walls, rear yard improvements, and an easement for emergency lifeguard access. The SDP No. 108967 was approved on January 26, 2005. On February 11, 2005, the applicant submitted an application with the Commission to amend the Coastal Development Permit (Application No. A-133-79-A1/F6760-A2). In June 2005, the Commission reviewed the amendment to 1) replace the requirement that the property owner offer to dedicate (OTD) a vertical public access easement with a) an easement for emergency lifeguard access and b) contribute \$10,000.00 for public access improvements in the La Jolla area; 2) remove un-permitted improvements including, but not limited to, wooden timber stairs, retaining walls and palm trees on the face of the coastal bluff; 3) modify an existing retaining wall located in the vard (bluff top) of the site: and 4) install patio, barbecue, landscaping and modifications to the existing garage, including a car lift and storage. The Commission denied the applicant's request to revise the OTD requirements, but approved the other proposed improvements, except those located within the alignment of the access easement or those that could interfere with use of the access in the future. The applicant subsequently filed a lawsuit against the Commission regarding their decision (Case No. GIC 851915). In early 2007, a "Stipulated Judgment" was entered into with the applicant and the Commission, which allowed a new application to be submitted with the Commission to amend the Coastal Development Permit Application No. A-133-79-A2/F6760-A3. This application was submitted on April 3, 2007, and withdrawn by the applicant on July 3, 2008. The applicant will submit a new coastal development permit application to the Commission once all of the City's actions have been completed.

Civil Penalty Hearing: On May 6, 2005, the City's NCCD was contacted for construction on the project site without permits. NCCD conducted several inspections (September 12, 2005; August 14, 2006; August 24, 2006; March 19, 2007; August 7, 2007; November 8, 2007; and November 9, 2007) and was observed to be in violation, but not limited to unpermitted block walls, retaining walls, additions to the lower level (access to the interior space was denied), new deck on the second floor, upper level addition and modifications to the interior, addition and modification to the accessory structure in the front of the property, construction of masonry walls in the public right-of-way and the view corridor, garage modifications, and landscaping and irrigation on the coastal bluff in violation of the SDP No. 8967 that was approved on January 26, 2005. After several requests to Stop Work, the NCCD issued a Civil Penalty Notice & Order (CPNO), dated November 14, 2007. On December 6, 2007, NCCD issued a Notice of Civil Penalty Hearing which was scheduled for December 18, 2007. After the public hearing, a Civil Penalty Administrative Enforcement Order was issued by the Administrative Hearing Officer on December 26, 2007. This order required the immediate cessation of all work at the property, payment of civil penalty and costs, and all violations noted in the CPNO to be added to the plans and included in Project No. 138513. This order included requirements for submitting for the ministerial permits, construction, and final inspections.

<u>Previous Planning Commission Action</u>: On October 9, 2008, the Planning Commission heard the proposed project for the improvements, modifications, and additions to the

existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed as outlined in the Planning Commission Report No. PC-08-120. The request included a new trellis addition over the second floor deck and a new jacuzzi, which includes new retaining walls and a raised platform. An existing detached accessory building is located at the terminus of Princess Street and was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921, and a portion or 1/3 of this structure is within the public right-of-way. The applicant was proposing to use the remodeled detached accessory building as a guest quarters. However, the approval of the NUP for guest quarters would grant habitable living space/use within the public right-ofway, which creates a life and safety issue for those living and sleeping in the structure, a liability for the City, and did not benefit a public purpose. The Planning Commission voted 5-0-2 to approve staff's alternative recommendation to Certify ND No. 138513, Approve SDP No. 482270, and Deny NUP No. 581890 on the reasons stated above (Planning Commission Resolution No. 4463-PC).

<u>Previous City Council Action</u>: On October 23, 2008, an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision and the Environmental Determination was submitted. The matter was set for public hearing on December 2, 2008, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same voted 7-1-0 to Deny the Appeal; and Certify ND No. 138513 pursuant to Resolution No. R-304427, and Approve SDP No. 482270 and Deny NUP No. 581890 pursuant to Resolution No. R-304428.

<u>California Coastal Commission Action</u>: An amendment application was filed with the Coastal Commission and the CDP Application No. A-133-79-A5/F6760-A6, and was set for public hearing on July 14, 2011. The Coastal Commission approved the permit and required the applicant to record a vertical public access easement prior to issuance of the permit amendment, but did not approve the applicant's request to defer the opening of the easement. Instead, the Coastal Commission required that the easement be opened to the public as soon as the easement is accepted and access improvements are constructed. But emergency lifeguard access will be made available immediately. This decision is currently under litigation.

PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. EXTENSION OF TIME NO. 979355 EXTENSION OF TIME TO SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 482270 KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE EOT- PROJECT NO. 259646

WHEREAS, DUK TRUST (Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz Trustees), Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a three-year Extension of Time to Site Development Permit No. 482270, to maintain the previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to an existing single-family residence, and add a new trellis and jacuzzi. The 0.52-acre project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, in the RS-1-7 Zone and the SF Zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District within the La Jolla Community Plan Area, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone. The project site is legally described as all of Lots 10 and 11, Block 3 of the Amalfi Subdivision, according to Map 959; and all that portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 of Pueblo Lands of San Diego, according to Map thereof made by James Pascoe in 1870, Miscellaneous Map No. 36; and

WHEREAS, all associated permits shall conform to the previously approved Exhibit "A" and conditions on file with the Development Services Department pursuant to Site Development Permit No. 482270, with the exception of the expiration date; and

WHEREAS, the activity is covered under Negative Declaration (ND) No. 138513, which was before the City of San Diego City Council and certified and adopted on December 2, 2008, by Resolution No. 304527. The activity is adequately addressed in the environmental document and there is no change in circumstance, additional information, or project changes to warrant additional environmental review. The prior environmental documents adequately covered this activity as part of the previously approved project and the activity in not a separate project for the purpose of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego, that it adopts the following findings with respect to the Extension of Time No. 979355:

1. The project as originally approved and without any new conditions would not place the occupants of the proposed development or the immediate community in a condition dangerous to their health or safety.

The project as originally approved for the improvements, modifications, and additions to an existing single-family residence that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and a new jacuzzi. An existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. The property owner proposed the conversion of the existing detached accessory building from a non-habitable accessory use into a guest quarters (habitable accessory use). A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the property lines.

On December 2, 2008, the City Council approved Site Development Permit No. 482270 and denied the request for a Neighborhood Use Permit to convert the existing detached accessory building into a guest quarters. The approval of the permit would have granted habitable living space/use within the public right-of-way, which would have created a life and safety issue for those living and sleeping in the structure, a liability for the City, and did not benefit a public purpose. Therefore, the project as originally approved and without any new conditions would not place the occupants of the proposed development or the immediate community in a condition dangerous to their health or safety.

2. No new condition is required to comply with state or federal law.

New City Storm Water Regulations were adopted on January 14, 2011 to conform to requirements from the Regional Water Quality Control Board. However, the subject project is deemed a "standard project" under the City's Storm Water Standards and is not subject to the hydromodification requirements under the current regulations; therefore, there are no new conditions required for the project to comply with state or federal law.

The above findings are supported by the minutes and exhibits, all of which are herein incorporated by reference; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, Extension of Time No. 979355 is hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in, Extension of Time No. 979355, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, ON MAY 31, 2012.

By

Jeffrey A. Peterson Development Project Manager Development Services Department

Internal Order No. 24002359

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

JOB ORDER NUMBER: 24002359

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

EXTENSION OF TIME NO. 979355 EXTENSION OF TIME TO SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 482270 KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE EOT- PROJECT NO. 259646 PLANNING COMMISSION

This Extension of Time No. 979355 is a three-year Extension of Time to previously approved Site Development Permit No. 482270, and is hereby granted by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego to DUK TRUST (Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz Trustees), Owners/Permittees, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code Section 126.0111. The 0.52-acre project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, in the RS-1-7 Zone and the SF Zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District within the La Jolla Community Plan Area, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone. The project site is legally described as all of Lots 10 and 11, Block 3 of the Amalfi Subdivision, according to Map 959; and all that portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 of Pueblo Lands of San Diego, according to Map thereof made by James Pascoe in 1870, Miscellaneous Map No. 36.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, and previously approved Site Development Permit No. 482270, permission is granted to DUK TRUST (Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz Trustees), Owners/Permittees to maintain the previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to an existing single-family residence, and add a new trellis and jacuzzi, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the previously approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] and conditions on file in the Development Services Department. The original project Site Development Permit No. 482270 approved by the City Council of the City of San Diego on December 2, 2008, is hereby extended as indicated within this permit until December 2, 2014.

The project shall include:

a. A three year extension of time for the previously approved Site Development Permit No. 482270, Project No. 138513.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized prior to **December 2, 2014**, which does not exceed thirty-six (36) months from the expiration date of the original permit. Failure to utilize and maintain utilization of this permit as described in the SDMC will automatically void the permit.

2. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.
- 3. No further Extension of Time may be granted pursuant to SDMC Section 126.0111(a).

4. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A," per the previously approved Exhibits and conditions on file with Development Services for Site Development Permit No. 482270, Project No. 138513, Recorded with the County of San Diego Recorder on January 30, 2009, Document Number 2009-0045834, with the exception of the expiration dates. No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

5. The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

INFORMATION ONLY:

• The issuance of this discretionary use permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. The operation allowed by this discretionary use permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed

on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received final inspection.

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code §66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego on May 31, 2012 pursuant to [EOT RESOLUTION NUMBER].

EXTENSION OF TIME NO. 979355 EXTENSION OF TIME TO SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 482270 MAY 31, 2012

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Jeffrey A. Peterson Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owners/Permittees, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owners/Permittees hereunder.

DUK Trust

Owner/Permittee

By_

Ure R. Kretowicz Trustee

DUK Trust Owner/Permittee

By_

Diane M. Kretowicz Trustee

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

Т	AMENI O SITE DEVELOPMI	ENT PERMIT #108967 ORHOOD USE PERM ICESS ST.	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ATTACHMENT 9 Warengo Marengo Morton Architects 7855 Ivanhoe Ave. Suite 110 La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel. (858) 459-3769 Fax. (858) 459-3768 Michael Morton AIA Claude Anthony Marengo Desa
SUSTAINABLE HOUSING	SCOPE OF WORK	PROJECT DATA	COASTAL PERMIT CONDTIONS		(
THIS PROJECT IS BEING PRESENTED AS A SUSTAINABLE HOL PROJECT UTILIZING THE FOLLOWING DESIGN FEATURES: SO PANELS LOCATED ON THE ROOF, A WATER RECLAMATION SYSTEM FOR IRRIGATION, DENIM INSULATION FOR INCREAS THERMAL EFFICIENCY, HIGH EFFICIENT LOW -E WINDOWS, ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCES AND A CRESTRON ELECTRO SYSTEM SMART HOUSE SYSTEM.	SING LAR TO AMEND SDP# 108967: AND ENCLOSE A ROOM AT LOWER LEVEL, UPPER LEVEL REMODEL, ADDITIONS TO EXTERIOR GUEST QUARTERS AND REMOVAL OF EXISTING DECK AREA. EXTERIOR SITE INPROVEMENTS; NEW TRELLS / COVERED DECK	PROJECT INFORMATION PROJECT INFORMATION PROJECT ADDRESS: 14 ATDLA, CA 92097 ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER: 330-151-01.02, & 346-440-12 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: 14 ATDLA, CA 92097 ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER: 330-151-01.02, & 346-440-12 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: 16 ATDLA, CA 92097 ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER: 330-151-01.02, & 346-440-12 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: 16 ATDLA, CA 92097 Couns of Stan Diego, State of California, econting to Map thereof No. 959, Eledi in the Office of the County Rescute of San Diego County, Sept. 25, 1905; and all the protein on OPaoble Late (State Diego, Inset), State of California and Diego, County, Sept. 25, 1905, and all die ab Diego County, Nov. 14 1921; and is larown as Miscellancost Map. No. 36 destribut as follows: Commendies at point in the Enterty line of Lot. 11 Block 3 of Annall h and City according to Map thereof No. 959, Field in the Recorder's Office Set. 25, 1905; distant Northery 10 feer form the Southaestript count of San Diego County, Sept. 25, 1905; distant Northery 10 feer form the southaestript count of San Diego County and Lot. 11 Index at of Janet to a point			All design, kinns med armagements to kolevand on these drawings are the scoperigit and legal property of Massrap Motion Arabitess, Incorporated and the specific project for which they were propered as indicated on the project by were propered as indicated on the project by were project and the specific project for which they were project as indicated on the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of the project by were project as indicated on the project of
ENVIRONMENTAL NOTE NOTE: NO SUBSURFACE BORINGS FOR ANY GEOTECHNICAL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED WITHOUT FIRST CONTACTING E.A.S. (ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS SECTION) STAFF FOR FURTHER DIRECTION DUE TO THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY OF THE AREA.	 duit development on a premise containing acustive coasained to bindis; Frocess Three The proposed development does not require a SDP for development in the LJSPD. Prior CDP #A ,133-79-A2/F6760-A3 issued by Coastal Commission, and prior SDP # 108967 issued by Coty. A SDP decided in accordance with Process Four is required for encroachments established and maintained in the public right-of-way when the applicant is not the record owner of the property on which the proposed encroachment will be located. Owner is requising an NBP for the Guest Quarters. 	thence Southerly to a point in a line which is the prolongation of the Earthry line of said Lot 11 which is Westery line of said a Pueblo Lot, 125 Shant Southerly a sitteme of Si and Er from the Southeasterly corner of said Let 11; these Northerly slows the Westerly line of said Lot 11 to the Point of Eagleming. Excepting therefrom any portion thereof lying below the mean high the line. TEAR MULT The South Control of the Mark South Control of the Mark Southerly and Southerl			express writing contact of Marcago Mestan Arabitets, happengatet is prohibited. These tables no charges, substitutions, modifications or deviations from these deviations of accomparying specifications without the trained of Arabiteto Matanta 2, Mortan, ALA, of the set of Arabiteto Matanta 2, Mortan, ALA, of these dividings and standard specifications shall constitute the acceptance of all these restrictions.
STORM WATER QUALITY NO This project shall comply with all requirements of the state permit; Calit Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, order NO, 2001.01 NPDES NO. CAS010875 (<htp: mych9="" programs="" sd_<br="" www.swrbc.ac.gov="">storm/watc.htm>) and the City of San Diego Land Development Code (<htp: mych9="" programs="" sd_<br="" www.swrbc.ac.gov="">damw_objectid=090014518008cc43>) Notes 1-9 below represent key minimum requirements for construction 1 1. Sufficient BMP must be installed to prevent silt, mud or other construction advirty. contractor shall be reponsible for cleaning any stuch debris fram below represent key of a storm water conv systems due to construction requirements and the below in the adjacent street(s) or storm water conv systems due to construction advirty. contractor shall be reponsible for cleaning any stuch debris fitt may. </htp:></htp:>	PROJECT TEAM SITE & OWNER Ure R. Kretowicz 7957 Princess St. La Jolla, CA 92037 MPs. Letion ARCHITECT Marengo Morton Architects 7855 Ivanhoe Ave. La Jolla, CA 92037 Integration Princess St. Calphone: (S8) 459-3769 Pax: (S8) 459-3769 Pax: (S8) 459-3769 Office Context-Claude Anthony Marengo Cell: (619) 417-1111 wys Cararengo@pacbell.net CIVLL ENGINEER SB&O INC. 3615 KEARNY VILLA RD., SUITE 201 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123	NUMBER OF DWELLINGS: I CONSTRUCTION TYPE: TYPE V NUMBER OF STORES: EXISTING TWO STORY LOT AREA: 227.530.53. ZONE RS-1-7.6 SF (SINGLE FAMILY ZONE OF LISPD) ZONE Costal Heigh Limit Overlay Zone. ZONE Costal Heigh Limit Overlay Zone. ZONE Costal Heigh Limit Overlay Zone. ZONE Costal Theigh Zone. ZONE Costal Theigh Zone. ZONE Costal Theigh Zone. GUELAY ZONES: Costal Theigh Zone. Coverlay Zone. Task Aven Overlay Zone. SETBACKS (AT Pressor Costal Overlay Zone. Task Costal Overlay Zone. SIDE (NONTH) 2-0" 16-16-14" SIDE(NONTH) 2-0" 16-16-14" SIDE(NONTH) 2-0" 20-4" BUILDING HEIGHT DMITATIONS: 2-20" 20-4" BUILDING HEIGHT DMITATIONS: 2-40" 20-4" BUILDING AREAS EXISTING I REMOVED [REGOSIDTOTAL AREA LOWER LEVEL 500.35 F. 118-35 F. 118-35 F. LOWER LEVEL 500.35 F. 118-35 S. LO			KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE 7957 PRINCESS ST. LA JOLLA, CA 92037
 t all times. S. All slopes that are created or disturbed by construction activity must protocted against erosion and sediment transport at all times. The storage of all construction materials and equipment must be prot against any potential release of pollutants into the environment. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the applicant shall en a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent BMP maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent. BMP maintenance 8. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the applicant shall enorporte any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the applicant shall an Maintenance 4 any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction active statement of the construction plans or specifications. Prior to the issuance of any construction the prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards. PERMANNENT STORM WATER BMPS No how sidewalks proposed. All drainage form any coastal bluff and either into an existing or newly improved public form any constal bluff and either into an existing or usely inproved public f	Cover / Title Sheet TOPO Topographic Survey A-1.0 Site Plan A-1.0(a) Permit History Digram A-1.0(b) Previous Site Plan Original Hopi House A-1.0(c) Previous Site Plan Original Hopi House A-1.0(c) Previous Site Plan Permit #23664 mit a A-1.0(c) Previous Site Plan Permit #23664 A-1.0(c) Previous Site Plan Permit #2362021-98 A-1.0(c) Previous Site Plan Permit #319031 A-1.0(c) Previous Site Plan Permit #3062021-98 A-1.1(c) Previous Site Plan Permit #306021-98 A-1.1 GEA Plan A-1.2 Lower Level Demolition Plan A-2.1 Proposed Upper Level Floor Plan A-2.2 Proposed Upper Level Floor Plan A-2.1 Proposed Upper Level Floor Plan A-2.2 Proposed Spa Area & Trelis A-4.1 Roof Plan A-5.1 Elevations (North & South) Om A 5.2 Elowations (North & South)	VICINITY MAP			Revisions 08/23/07 SD City Coastal Submittal 12/18/07 NOCD Hearing Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal 0 <tr< td=""></tr<>
X1006 Project 2000 Data in a constant of the second s			STAINABLE BUILDINGS EXPEDITE PROGRAM AUTHÓRIZED BY COUNCIL POLICY 900-14	APPROVED EXHIBIT "A" PROJECT NO. 138513 APPROVED BY LEADING OFFICER, FUNNING GOMMESSION CITY COUNCIDEN/2/29 SIGNATURE	TACK AND A CONTRACT OF THE ADDRESS O



]	ATTACHMENT 9)
		Marengo Morton Architects 7855 Ivanhoe Ave. Suite 110 La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel. (858) 459-3769 Fax. (858) 459-3769 Fax. (858) 459-3768 Michael Morton ALA Claude Anthony Marengo DESA	
		All design, ideas and arrangements as infinited on these drawings are the copyright and legal property of Marcago Morion Andiletas, Laroxpanned and the specific paper for which they were prepared as infinited on the project rifle block. Reproduction, publication or a case by any mathed, in which are part, without the Architectas, however, and the specific public of the block means from these deviations of a comparison from these deviations of these deviations and an achieved appendications shall consults the acceptance of all these nutricitions.	
	MSB 7/13/04	KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE 7957 princess st. LA JOLLA, CA 92037	
SB&O PLANNING DESIGN CONSULTATION SB&O Land Planning Civil Engineering Land Surveying SB&O, Inc. SB&O, Inc.	PRINCESS STREET VACATION -	REVENDOS 08/29/07 SD City Coastal Submittal 12/18/07 NCCD Henring Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal DATE FRASE COASTAL DOCUMENTS FROMENTING DATE 07-15-08	
62152.00 (52842H) DINGS APPROVED EXHIBIT "A" PROJECT NO. 139513 APPROVAL NO(s) 4000000 APPROVAL NO(s) 4000000 SIGNATURE		Mange Moreo Available, tar h perioding by methods of the second second second second second product and the second second second second the period second seco	



ATTACHMENT 9



BLUFF LINE SET BACKS	ATTACHM	ENT 9
GEOLOGICAL BLUFF LINE		
PROPERTY LINE		
GRADE DRAINAGE PATTERN		
	Marengo	
DRANT LOCATION	Morton	
SITE LOCATION 7957 PRINCESS ST.	Architects	
	7855 Ivanhoe Ave.	
	Suite 110	
	La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel. (858) 459-3769	
	Fax. (858) 459-3768	
	Michael Morton AIA	
	Claude Anthony Marengo DESA	
	TED ARCH	
	C-19371	
AN ALL AL	REN. 04/30/2007	
	TH OF CALIFOR	
	All design, ideas and arrangements as indicated on these drawings are the copyright and legal	
I JALITI TI TIL	property of Marengo Morton Architects, Incorporated and the specific project for which they man account as indicated on the project	
	title block. Reproduction, publication or re-use by any method, in whole or part, without the express written consent of Marengo Morion Architects, incorporated is prohibited. There	
Owner's Cardificate	Architects, incorporated is prohibited. There shall be no changes, substitutions, modifications or deviations from these drawings or accompanying specifications without the consent of Architect Michael R. Morton, A.I.A.	
for Post Construction BMP's	VIFUEL, privatcal, of electronic contact of use of	
as owner(s) of the property described	these drawings and attached specifications shall constitute the acceptance of all these restrictions.	
Development Manual - Storm Water Standards, this project is Development Manual - Storm Water Standards, this project is ollutants from the Project Area" and incorporate "Site Design" and		
"s. knowledge, pollutants anticipated by the proposed land use are as	ш	
knowledge, pullitants anacipated by the property same	<u> </u>	
ns nding Substances	A H E	
Trusee	ES ss s a 92	
	WICZ RESIL 7957 princess st.	
following into the site design:		
levelopment runoff characteristics ervious foot print constructing walkways, patios and driveways e surfaces.	WI 957 AJC	
e suntaves. rail areas ainage systems as opposed to lined swales or underground drainage	O LI	
malleways nations and driveways into adjacent landscaping prior	KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE 7957 princess st. la jolla, ca 92037	
to the public drainage system. ing native trees and shrubs.	X I	
pes from eroston.		
use of pesticides	·	
use of pesticides irrigation systems and landscape design - incorporating rain shutoff low reducers.	REVISIONS 08/29/07 SD City Coastal Submittal	
the above Standard Permanent BMP's in perpetuity.	12/18/07 NCCD Hearing Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal	
B. KRETOWICE		
Date 7.11. 2027-	Δ	
ature	THASE COASTAL	
	DOCUMENTS	
	FROMECT NO, 2006-43	
rainable buildings	REVHIWED BY CAM	
(PEDITE PROGRAM	DRAWN BY LB	
AUTHÓRIZED BY	DATE 07-15-08	
COUNCIL POLICY 900-14	Mireogo Morton Architette, inc. is providing, by agreement with certain parks, materials stored electronically. The parties recognize that data, plans, specifications, reports, documents, or other information periods as or transmission as electronic methic fictualing	ĺ
	Manage Motion Acditions, Eas, is providing, buy encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and here the encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an en- able of an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and an encoded and and an encoded and an encoded an	1
	aurension. Accordingly, all such documents are provided to the parties for informational purposes only and not as an end product nor as a record document, Any reliance thereon is deened to be unreasonable and unmitpretable. The identified summed board conduction for any elements of the summer and summed board conductions.	1
	the Architect of Record are the Architects instruments of Service and are the colly trac contract documents of record.	
APPROVED EXHIBIT "A" PROJECT NO 38513	SHEET TITLE	
APPROVAL NO(5) 482270 SDP	SITE PLAN	
APPROVED BY: HEADING OFFICER, PLANNING COMMISSION CITY COUNCIDON 18/8/00	A-1.0	
SIGNATURE THE STATE	SHEET 3 OF 22	
<i>pH</i>	· · ·	





ATTACHMENT 9



SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS EXPEDITE PROGRAM

Authórized by Council Policy 900-14



Marengo			
Morton			
Architects			
7855 Ivanhoe Ave. Suite 110 La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel. (858) 459-3769 Fax. (858) 459-3768 Michael Morton AIA			
Claude Anthony Marengo Desa			
All design, ideas and arrangements as indicated on these drawings are the copyright and legal property of Marenge Mortan Availtean, Iscopromid- and the special gravity of the which have mergeneous an indicated on the project by any method, in while expansion, which are types ary method, in while expansion, which are arrange and the special probability. And are arranged to the special probability of the argument of the special probability of the constant of Availity of the special probability of the argument of the special probability of the argument of the special probability of the special probability of the special probability of the special probability of the special probability of the special probability of the special probability of the special probability of the special probabi			
KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE 7957 princess st. la jolla, ca 92037			
REVISIONS 68/29/07 SD City Coastal Submittal 12/18/07 NCCD Hearing Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal			
PHASE COASTAL			
DOCUMENTS PROTECT NO. 2006-43			
REVIEWED BY CAM			
DRAWN BY LB			
DATE 07-15-08			
Manage Mortan Academia that as provided by the second sec			
SREET TITLE PREVIOUS SITE PLAN PERMIT #B14412			
A-1.0(c)			
SHEET 6 OF 22			

ATTACHMENT 9

APPROVED EXHIBIT "A" PROJECT NO. 138513 PROVAL NO(S). 482270



ATTACHMENT 9









ATTACHMENT 9



DEMOLITION WALL LEGEND

EXISTING WALL - TO BE REMOVED

EXISTING WALL - TO BE INFILL EXISTING WALL (NOT CONSIDERED PERIMETER)

PERIMETER WALLS TO REMAIN PERIMETER WALLS TO BE REMOVED

SHEET	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
KRETOWICZ	RESIDENCE		-
2005-43			
7957 PRINCES			
LA JOLLA, C/	92037		
			<u> </u>
SHEET MAD	J LEVEL		
	EXISTING LENGTH	REMAINING LENGTH	LENGTH REMOVI
	30.51 LF	30.51 LF	00.00 LF
	07.50 LF	05.00 LF	01,50 LF
	07.50 LF	01.50 LF	06.00 LF
	30.50 LF	03.83 LF	26,67 LF
	04.26 LF	02.84 LF	01.42 I.F
	02.84 LF	00.00 LF	02.84 LF
	07.00 LF	00.50 LF	06.50 LF
	07.00 LF	00.00 LF	07.00 LF
	04,00 LF	04.00 LF	00.00 LF
	03.00 LF	03.00 LF	(00,00 LF
	08,58 LF	60.00 LF	08.58 LF
	02.83 LF	01.33 LF	01.50 LF
	02.83 LF	01.33 LF	01.50 LF
	01.33LF	01.33 LF	00.00 LF
WERLEVEL	119.68 LF	56,171.8	
WERLEVEL	59.84 LF 50%	47%	63.51 LF 53%
		47%	23%
L	-7.34		
TH	637.28LF	465.85 LF	171.43 LF
WED	318.64 LF 50%	73%	27%



ATTACHMENT

9

APPROVED EXHIBIT "A"

ROJECT NO. 138513

ROVED BY: HEARH

PPROVAL NO(S). 482270 SDP

CITY COUNCIDO



- ... ·

and the second second

				ATTA	CHME	NT 9
					4	
				Maren	go	
	TION WAI	LL LEGEND		Morto	n	
		LAIN AND HAVE BEEN PERMITTER		Archit	ects	
	KISTING WALL - TO BEI KISTING WALL - TO BEI			7855 Ivanho	be Ave.	
E	CISTING WALL (NOT CO	NSIDERED PERIMETER)		Suite 110		
	ERIMETER WALLS TO RE ERIMETER WALLS TO BE			La Jolla, CA Tel. (858) 4		
]	Fax. (858) 4		
HEET	<u> </u>			Michael Morton Claude Anthony	1	
RETOW	ICZ RESIDENCE			-		
A JOLL	A, CA 92037	······		Cansel R	AR CELLER CT	
HEET	MAIN LEVEL EXISTING LENGTH	REMAINING LENGTH 38.75 LF 65.33 LF	LENGTH REMOVED		571 ×	
	46.75 FL 73.83 LF 30.50 LF 25.50 LF	65.33 LF 65.33 LF 30.50 LF 25.50 LF 11.83 LF	08.00 LF 08.50 LF 00.00 LF 00.00 LF 10.42 LF	REN. 04		
	22.25 LF 14.75 LF 13.00 LF	11.83 LF 00.00 LF 00.00 LF 02.50 LF	10.42 LF 14.75 LF	e c	ALIFO	
	16,50 LF 25.00 LF 07.42 LF	02.50 LF 02.50 LF 16.92 LF 02.34 LF	14.75 LF 13.00 LF 14.00 LF 05.08 LF 05.08 LF			
	30.08 LF 57.00 LF	25.161F 57.001F	04.92 LF 00.00 LF	All design, ideas and an on these drawings are t property of Marenge	Monton Amhitents	
	46.58 LF 01.92 LF 00.58 LF 01.58 LF	46.58 LF 01.92 LF 00.58 LF	00.00 LF 00.00 LF 00.00 LF	Incorporated and the spi they were prepared as in title block. Reproduction	eific project for which adjusted on the project	
	01.75 LF 18.67 LF	01.58 LF 01.75 LF 18.67 LF	00.00 LF 0.00 LF 0.00 LF	by any method, in who express written conson Architects, Incorporate	ole or part, without the	
	03.25 FL 06.42 LF 00.92 LF 01.17 LF	03.25 LF 06.42 LF 00.92 LF	00.00 LF 00.00 LF 00.00 LF	shall be no changes , subs or deviations from	titutions, modifications these drawings or	
	01.75 LF 01.75 LF	01.17 LF 01.75 LF 01.75 LF	00.00 LF 00.00 LF 00.00 LF	accompanying specific consent of Architect Mic Visual, physical, or elec- three deminant and deter	stronic contact or use of	
	01.17 LF 05.00 LF 00.92 FL	01.17 LF 05.00 LF 00.92 LF	00.00 LF 00.00 LF 00.00 LF	these drawings and attac constitute the acceptance	of all these restrictions.	
	02.42 LF 19.00 LF 10.92 LF	02.42 LF 19.00 LF 00.00 LF	00.00 LP 00.00 LF 10.92 LF			
	10.25 LF 19.00 LF	00.00 LF 19.00 LF	10.25 LF 00.00 LF	LT LT		
EVEL EVEL	517.60 LF 258.80 LF (58%)	409.68 LF 79%	107.92 LF 21%	Ð		
H ED	105.88 LF 637.28LF 318.64 LF 50%	465.851.F	171.43 LF	DWICZ RESIDENCE		
	AIN TO QUALIFY FOR C	0ASTAL EXEMPTION	27%		sT. 037	
		······		ES ES	7957 PRINCESS ST. LA JOLLA, CA 92037	
					CCE	
				CZ	PRD / ILL	
				IN	957) A JO	
				j õ	L J	
				E		
				l H		
				REVISIONS 08/29/07 SD City	Coastal Submittal	
				12/18/07 NCCD H	learing Submittal Coastal Re-Submittal	
(C) W	- A B A D A D A	ä 94000 1220-14 51 55 51 6950-1			Journal Ke Cuchinan	
		le Buildi				
EX	PEDITI	: PROGRA		Δ		
		RIZED BY		PHASE COAS DOCUN		
¢.	COUNCIL P	OLICY 900-14	2	PROJECT NO. 2006	-43	
				REVIEWED BY CA	м	
				DRAWN BY L	3	
				DATE 07-1	5-08	
		APPROVED EXHIB	IT "A"	Marengo Morion Archite agreement with certain p	rts, Inc. is providing, by arties, materials stored	
		PROJECT NO. 1385		Minimpo Merica Arthite appreneat with cervita a sporemeat with cervita a incrediffections, report, does rectrede on or imagilities of a bibject to undetectible ather uninteritional, due to, among centrevision, media degradata interaction. Accordingly, all use the parties for informational signed and stamped hard copi distance of Record are U Berryke and hard here of the the system of Record are U Berryke and hard here of the the signed and stamped hard copi distance of Record are U Berryke and hard here of the the signed and stamped hard copi distance of Record are u berryke and are the coly true	ments, or other information electronic media (including to "CAD documents") are ration, eliber intentional or	
			670	unintentional, due to, among conversion, media degradation interation. Accordingly, all suc the parties for informational	other causes, transmission, on, software error, or human & documents are provided to purposes only and not as an	
		APPROVED BY: HEARING O	FICER, PLANNING	is decrete to be unreasonable signed and stamped hard copi the Architect of Recent are the	turnent. Any tellance thereon is and usenforeable. The es with the wet signature of he Architect's Instruments of	
		SIGNATURE		Service and are the only true of SHEET TITLE	entract documents of record.	
		17		UPPER DEMOLITI		
				A-1		
				1-1		
				SHEET 1	3 OF 22	

ATTACHMENT 9


ATTACHMENT 9













	ATTACHMENT 9
ROOF LEGEND PARAFET WALL AT ROOF PARAFET WALL AT ROOF NEW SOLAR COLLECTOR TO BE INSTALLED FLAT ON ROOF SURFACE & SCREENED BY PARAFETS.	All design, ideas and arrangements as indicated on these dravings are the copyright and legal property of Marcago Marcia Architects, Incorporated and Cas specific project for which they even represent a indicated are or project in the second structure of the second structure express writes, nonstaticity, and constrained and is no advances, nonstaticity, and constrained as a second structure, in the second structure of a second architects Missale R. Marcine, A. LA. Virsai, physicai, c electronic constant or use of these downlaws and statedies appeliations shall common the acceptance of all dese gesisticitans.
SLOPE 1/4":12" TYP. ROOF DRAINAGE SLOPE.	KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE 7957 princess st. LA jolla, ca 92037
	REVENUES 08/29/07 SD City Coastal Submittal 12/18/07 NCCD Hearing Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal 03/07/08 SD City Coastal Re-Submittal Charter State PHASE COASTAL DOCUMENTS PROJECT NR. REVERVED BY CAM DAWN BY
APPROVED EXHIBIT "A" PROJECT NO. <u>138513</u> APPROVAL NO(9) <u>482270</u> SIGNATURE <u>1324/28</u> SIGNATURE	International production of the second secon

TACHMENT 9













COOPER'S HARDY ICE PLANTS Temporary Irrigation, consisting of microsprayers and or drip irrigation.

To be replaced w/ native or naturalized plant materials w/ Temporary Irrigation, consisting of microsprayers and or drip irrigatio

SEDUM SP (STONE CROP) - HEIGHT 24"MAX

ACHILLEA (YARROW) - PERENNIAL FLOWER

CERATIU (SNOW IN SUMMER) - HEIGHT 6" MAX.

* NOTE: All drainage from any unimproved areas shall be appropriately collected and discharged into existing drainage sump pump system in order to reduce, control, or mitigate erosion of coastal bluff.

SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS EXPEDITE PROGRAM

AUTHORIZED BY COUNCIL POLICY 900-14

APPROVED EXHIBIT "A"	
PROJECT NO. 138513 APPROVAL NO(S). 482270 301	P
APPROVED BY HEADING DEFICER, FLAT	17ING
SIGNATURE	<u> </u>



 \supset TTACHMENT

ATTACHMENT 10 (R-2009-730) 342A HEET 12/02/08

ORIGINAL

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-304527

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE DECEMBER 2, 2008

A RESOLUTION DENYING THE APPEAL AND CERTIFYING NEGATIVE DECLARATION NO. 138513 – KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE.

WHEREAS, on September 12, 2007, DUK TRUST (Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz, Trustees), Owner/Permittee, submitted an application to the City of San Diego for a Site Development Permit [SDP] No. 482270 to amend SDP No. 108967 and a neighborhood use permit, for the Kretowicz Residence [Project]; and

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2008, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Site Development Permit No 482270/Neighborhood Use Permit No. 581890 and Negative Declaration No. 138513, and pursuant to Resolution No. 4463-PC-2, voted to approve Site Development Permit No. 482270 to amend SDP No. 108967, to deny Neighborhood Use Permit No. 581890 and to certify Negative Declaration No. 138513 for the Kretowicz Residence; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Public Resources Code section 21151, George Krikorian appealed the Planning Commission decision to approve the Negative Declaration to the Council of the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the matter was set for a public hearing to be conducted by the Council of the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, under Charter section 280(a)(2) this resolution is not subject to veto by the Mayor because this matter requires the City Council to act as a quasi-judicial body and where a public hearing was required by law implicating due process rights of individuals affected by the

-PAGE 1 OF 3-

P-304527

decision and where the Council was required by law to consider evidence at the hearing and to make legal findings based on the evidence presented; and

WHEREAS, the issue was heard by the City Council on December 2, 2008; and

WHEREAS, the City Council considered the issues discussed in Negative Declaration No. 138513; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that it is certified that Negative Declaration No. 138513, on file in the office of the City Clerk, has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (California Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), as amended, and the State guidelines thereto (California Code of Regulations section 15000 et seq.), that the declaration reflects the independent judgment of the City of San Diego as Lead Agency and that the information contained in the report, together with any comments received during the public review process, has been reviewed and considered by this Council in connection with the approval of the land use actions for the Kretowicz Residence.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Council finds, based upon the Initial Study and any comments received, that there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment and therefore the Negative Declaration is approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of the Planning Commission is sustained, and the appeal of George Krikorian is denied.

ORIGINAL

-PAGE 2 OF 3-

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of

Determination [NOD] with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Diego

regarding the above project.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By

Keith Bauerle Deputy City Attorney

KB:pev 12/11/08 Or.Dept:Clerk R-2009-730 MMS #7112 ENVIRONMENTAL-Appeal of Environmental Documents 11-01-04

ORIGINAL

-PAGE 3 OF 3-

J-304527

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-304528

ATTACHMENT 11

(R-2009-731) 342 B MEET 62/02/08

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE DECEMBER 2, 2008

A RESOLUTION DENYING THE APPEAL AND GRANTING SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 482270 AND DENYING NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 581890 - KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE.

WHEREAS, DUK TRUST (Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz, Trustees), Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a site development permit/neighborhood use permit to maintain the previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to an existing single-family residence, and to convert an existing accessory building into a guest quarters, add a new trellis and jacuzzi, for the project to be known as the Kretowicz Residence project, located at 7957 Princess Street, and legally described as Lots 10 and 11, Block 3 of the Amalfi Subdivision, according to Map 959; and all of Pueblo Lands of San Diego, according to Map thereof made by James Pascoe in 1870, Miscellaneous Map No. 36, in the La Jolla Community Plan area, in the RS-1-7 zone and the SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone; and

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2008, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Site Development Permit [SDP] No. 482270/Neighborhood Use Permit [NUP] No. 581890, and pursuant to Resolution No. 4463-PC-1 voted to grant Site Development Permit No. 482270 and to deny Neighborhood Use Permit No. 581890; and

ORIGINAL

-PAGE 1 OF 10-

WHEREAS, George Krikorian appealed the Planning Commission decision to the Council of the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, under Charter section 280(a)(2) this resolution is not subject to veto by the Mayor because this matter requires the City Council to act as a quasi-judicial body and where a public hearing was required by law implicating due process rights of individuals affected by the decision and where the Council was required by law to consider evidence at the hearing and to make legal findings based on the evidence presented; and

WHEREAS, the matter was set for public hearing on December 2, 2008, testimony having been heard, evidence having been submitted, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that it adopts the following findings with respect to Site Development Permit No. 482270/Neighborhood Use Permit No. 581890:

A. <u>SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT – SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE [SDMC]</u> <u>SECTION 126.0504</u>

1. Findings for all Site Development Permits – SDMC section 1260504(a):

a. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, which is a public street and the cul-de-sac located at the terminus of the street is within the public right-of-way. The site contains three legal lots, two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and are located in the RS-1-7 zone. The third lot is approximately 436 square feet in size and is located at the terminus of Princess Street, and is located in the SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District. This lot contains an existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street which was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the small lot. The project site is within the La Jolla Community Plan Area [LJCP], Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone.



-PAGE 2 OF 10-



The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and a new jacuzzi. The existing detached accessory building, located at the terminus of Princess Street, is proposed to be used as a guest quarters, and would be classified as an accessory use to a single-family residence. The zoning designations are for a single-family residential and the LJCP designates the proposed project site for single-family use (5-9 dwelling units per acre [du/ac]). Therefore, the proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

b. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare. The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and a new jacuzzi. An existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. This existing detached accessory building is proposed to be converted from a non-habitable accessory use into a guest quarters (habitable accessory use). A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the property lines. The building records for the detached accessory building indicate that the structure was a "Photo Lab," a nonhabitable accessory use.

The Planning Commission did not recommend approval of the neighborhood use permit, because the approval of the permit would grant habitable living space/use within the public right-of-way, which creates a life and safety issue for those living and sleeping in the structure, a liability for the City, and does not benefit a public purpose. Therefore, the Planning Commission determined that approval of the site development permit with the denial of the neighborhood use permit would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

c. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, in the RS-1-7 zone and the SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District. The site is within the LJCP, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone. The zoning designations are for a single-family residential and the LJCP designates the proposed project site for single-family use (5-9 du/ac).

The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and new jacuzzi. The existing and proposed development is consistent with the development regulations on size, location, and setbacks, and the intent of the regulations for the sensitive coastal bluffs guidelines. The accessory structures do not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of way for public travel.

The Coastal Development Permit will be processed and issued by the California Coastal Commission (as an amendment to the original coastal development permit issued by the Commission) once all of the City's actions have been completed. The California Coastal



-PAGE 3 OF 10-

R-304528

P- 304528

Commission is exclusively responsible for the Coastal Development Permit and/or amendments pursuant to Section 126.0717 of the Land Development Code [LDC]. Therefore, the proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the LDC.

2. <u>Supplemental Findings – Environmentally Sensitive Lands – SDMC</u> section 126.0504(b):

The site is physically suitable for the design and siting of the proposed а. development and the development will result in minimum disturbance to environmentally sensitive lands. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street and contains three legal lots; two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and the third lot at the terminus of Princess Street. The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and new jacuzzi. The proposed new jacuzzi and new trellis would be located on top of existing retaining walls and columns that support the existing deck structure. No additional load-bearing support structures would be needed to support the proposed jacuzzi and trellis structures, consistent with the development regulations for sensitive coastal bluffs. The proposed jacuzzi and trellis provides the required 5 feet setback from the established coastal bluff edge. The existing and proposed development is consistent with the development regulations on size, location, and setbacks, and the intent of the regulations for the sensitive coastal bluffs guidelines. The accessory structures do not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of-way for public travel. Therefore, the site is physically suitable for the design and siting of the proposed development and the development will result in minimum disturbance to environmentally sensitive lands.

b. The proposed development will minimize the alteration of natural land forms and will not result in undue risk from geologic and erosional forces, flood hazards, or fire hazards. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street and contains three legal lots; two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and the third lot at the terminus of Princess Street. The site is located in a seismically active region of California, in the geologic hazard category 43, and in a high sensitivity area for archaeological resources, and within close proximity to a recorded significant archaeological site (Spindrift site). A Negative Declaration has been prepared for the project in accordance with the State of California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] Guidelines.

The previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence were within the footprint of the existing structure. The proposed new jacuzzi and new trellis would be located on top of existing retaining walls and columns that support the existing deck structure. No additional load-bearing support structures would be needed to support the proposed jacuzzi and trellis structures, consistent with the development regulations for sensitive coastal bluffs. The proposed jacuzzi and trellis provides the required 5 feet setback from the established coastal bluff edge. The project site is not located within the flood zone and would not contain any use that would create a fire hazard. Therefore, the proposed development will minimize the alteration of natural land forms and will not result in undue risk from geologic and erosional forces, flood hazards, or fire hazards.



-PAGE 4 OF 10-

c. The proposed development will be sited and designed to prevent adverse impacts on any adjacent environmentally sensitive lands. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street and contains three legal lots; two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and the third lot at the terminus of Princess Street. The site is located in a seismically active region of California, in the geologic hazard category 43, and in a high sensitivity area for archaeological resources, and within close proximity to a recorded significant archaeological site (Spindrift site). A Negative Declaration has been prepared for the project in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines.

The previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence were within the footprint of the existing structure. The proposed new jacuzzi and new trellis would be located on top of existing retaining walls and columns that support the existing deck structure. No additional load-bearing support structures would be needed to support the proposed jacuzzi and trellis structures, consistent with the development regulations for sensitive coastal bluffs. The proposed jacuzzi and trellis provides the required 5 feet setback from the established coastal bluff edge. The project site is not located within the flood zone and would not contain any use that would create a fire hazard. Therefore, the site is physically suitable for the design and siting of the proposed development and the development will result in minimum disturbance to environmentally sensitive lands.

d. The proposed development will be consistent with the City of San Diego's Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Subarea Plan. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, and is not located within or adjacent to the Multi-Habitat Planning Area [MHPA] of the City of San Diego's Multiple Species Conservation Program [MSCP] Subarea Plan.

e. The proposed development will not contribute to the erosion of public beaches or adversely impact local shoreline sand supply. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street and contains three legal lots, two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and the third lot at the terminus of Princess Street. The previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence were within the footprint of the existing structure. The existing and proposed development is consistent with the development regulations on size, location, and setbacks, and the intent of the regulations for the sensitive coastal bluffs guidelines. The accessory structures do not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of way for public travel. A Negative Declaration has been prepared for the project in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines. Therefore, the proposed development will not contribute to the erosion of public beaches or adversely impact local shoreline sand supply.

f. The nature and extent of mitigation required as a condition of the permit is reasonably related to, and calculated to alleviate, negative impacts created by the proposed development. The previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence were within the footprint of the existing structure. The proposed new jacuzzi and new trellis would be located on top of existing retaining walls and columns that support the existing deck structure. No additional load-bearing support structures would be needed to support the proposed jacuzzi and trellis structures, consistent with the development regulations for sensitive coastal bluffs. The proposed jacuzzi and trellis provide the



-PAGE 5 OF 10-

R- 304528

(R-2009-731)

required 5 feet setback from the established coastal bluff edge. The existing and proposed development is consistent with the development regulations on size, location, and setbacks, and the intent of the regulations for the sensitive coastal bluffs guidelines. The accessory structures do not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of-way for public travel. A Negative Declaration has been prepared for the project in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines.

3. <u>Supplemental findings—Public Right-of-Way Encroachments – SDMC</u> section 126.0504(o):

a. The proposed encroachment is reasonably related to public travel, or benefits a public purpose, or all record owners have given the applicant written permission to maintain the encroachment on their property. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, which is a public street and the cul-de-sac located at the terminus of the street is within the public right-of-way. The site contains three legal lots; two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and the third lot is approximately 436 square feet in size and is located at the terminus of Princess Street. This lot contains an existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street which was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the small lot. The previously constructed improvements and modifications (walls, fences, and gate) within the public right-of-way do not encroach further than the existing accessory building. Therefore, the proposed encroachment is reasonably related to public travel.

b. The proposed encroachment does not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of-way for public travel. The northern side of the cul-desac, located at the terminus of Princess Street, is within the public right-of-way and does not contain public sidewalks. The previously constructed improvements and modifications (walls, fences, and gate) within the public right-of-way do not encroach further than the existing accessory building. Therefore, the accessory structures do not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of-way for public travel.

c. The proposed encroachment will not adversely affect the aesthetic character of the community. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, west of Spindrift Drive within the LJCP. The community plan designates the proposed project site for single-family use (5-9 du/ac). This range is characterized by single dwelling unit residential homes on 5,000 - 7,000 square foot lots. The surrounding residential development is a mixture of styles, color, and scale. The previously constructed improvements and modifications (walls, fences, and gate) within the public right-of-way do not encroach further than the existing accessory building and are designed to be integrated into the style and color of the existing single-family residence. Therefore, the proposed encroachment will not adversely affect the aesthetic character of the community.

d. The proposed encroachment does not violate any other Municipal Code provisions or other local, state, or federal law. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, which is a public street and the cul-de-



-PAGE 6 OF 10-

R-304528

1-301528

sac located at the terminus of the street is within the public right-of-way. The site contains three legal lots; two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and the third lot is approximately 436 square feet in size and is located at the terminus of Princess Street. This lot contains an existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street which was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the small lot.

The property has several improvements, modifications, and additions by the current owner that were constructed without obtaining an amendment to the original Coastal Development Permits and/or without obtaining building and public improvement permits pursuant to Neighborhood Code Compliance Case No. NC40952. A Civil Penalty Administrative Enforcement Order was issued by an Administrative Hearing Officer on December 26, 2007. This order required the immediate cessation of all work at the property, payment of civil penalty and costs, and all violations to be added to the plans and included in this project. The previously constructed improvements and modifications (walls, fences, and gate) within the public right-of-way do not encroach further than the existing accessory building.

The Coastal Development Permit will be processed and issued by the California Coastal Commission (as an amendment to the original coastal development permit issued by the Commission) once all of the City's actions have been completed. The California Coastal Commission is exclusively responsible for the Coastal Development Permit and/or amendments pursuant to Section 126.0717 of the LDC.

e. For coastal development in the coastal overlay zone, the encroachment is consistent with Section 132.0403 (Supplement Use Regulations of the Coastal Overlay Zone). The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, west of Spindrift Drive within the LJCP. The site is located in an identified scenic overlook in the LJCP Subarea D, which is described as a scenic view over private properties from a public right-of-way along Princess Street. Additionally, the community plan sites this lot within a major viewshed, an unobstructed panoramic view from a public vantage point from Spindrift Drive. The previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to the single-family residence would not create any obstruction of these identified viewsheds as the residence is situated much lower than the level of the right-of-way from where the view is observed. The existing view from these identified public viewing locations toward the ocean would not result in any substantial changes.

The Coastal Development Permit will be processed and issued by the California Coastal Commission (as an amendment to the original coastal development permit issued by the Commission) once all of the City's actions have been completed. The California Coastal Commission is exclusively responsible for the Coastal Development Permit and/or amendments pursuant to Section 126.0717 of the LDC. Therefore, the encroachments are consistent with Section 132.0403 of the LDC.



-PAGE 7 OF 10-

B. <u>NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT – SDMC SECTION 126.0205</u>

1. Findings for all Neighborhood Use Permits

a. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, which is a public street and the cul-de-sac located at the terminus of the street is within the public right-of-way. The site contains three legal lots; two of the lots are at the nexus of a coastal bluff and coastal canyon, and are located in the RS-1-7 zone. The third lot is approximately 436 square feet in size and is located at the terminus of Princess Street, and is located in the SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District. This lot contains an existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street which was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the small lot. The project site is within the LJCP, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone.

The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and a new jacuzzi. The existing detached accessory building, located at the terminus of Princess Street, is proposed to be used as a guest quarters, and would be classified as an accessory use to a single-family residence. The zoning designations are for a single-family residential and the LJCP designates the proposed project site. for single-family use (5-9 du/ac). Therefore, the proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

b. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare. This Finding can not be made. The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and a new jacuzzi. An existing detached accessory building located at the terminus of Princess Street was approved on January 28, 1969, pursuant to Building Permit No. E40921. This existing detached accessory building is proposed to be converted from a non-habitable accessory use into a guest quarters (habitable accessory use). A portion or 1/3 of this existing accessory building is located within the public right-of-way and the remaining 2/3 of this structure is within the property lines. The building records for the detached accessory building indicate that the structure was a "Photo Lab," a non-habitable accessory use.

The Planning Commission did not recommend approval of Neighborhood Use Permit, because the approval of the permit would grant habitable living space/use within the public right-of-way, which creates a life and safety issue for those living and sleeping in the structure, a liability for the City, and does not benefit a public purpose. Therefore, the Planning Commission determined that approval of the Neighborhood Use Permit would be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare; and determined that this Finding can not be made.



-PAGE 8 OF 10-

R- 304528

R- 304528

(R-2009-731)

c. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code. The project site is located at 7957 Princess Street, the northern terminus of Princess Street, in the RS-1-7 zone and the SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District. The site is within the LJCP, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and Transit Area Overlay Zone. The zoning designations are for a single-family residential and the LJCP designates the proposed project site for single-family use (5-9 du/ac).

The project includes improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and a new trellis addition over the deck and new jacuzzi. The existing and proposed development is consistent with the development regulations on size, location, and setbacks, and the intent of the regulations for the sensitive coastal bluffs guidelines. The accessory structures do not interfere with the free and unobstructed use of the public right-of way for public travel.

The Coastal Development Permit will be processed and issued by the California Coastal Commission (as an amendment to the original coastal development permit issued by the Commission) once all of the City's actions have been completed. The California Coastal Commission is exclusively responsible for the Coastal Development Permit and/or amendments pursuant to Section 126.0717 of the LDC. Therefore, the proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the LDC.

The above findings are supported by the minutes, maps, and exhibits, all of which are

incorporated herein by this reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appeal of George Krikorian is denied; the

decision of the Planning Commission is sustained; and Site Development Permit No. 482270 is

granted to DUK TRUST (Ure R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz, Trustees), Owner/

Permittee, under the terms and conditions set forth in the attached permit which is made a part of this resolution.

ORIGINAL

-PAGE 9 OF 10-

(R-2009-731)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Neighborhood Use Permit No. 581890 is denied.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By

Keith Bauerle

Deputy City Attorney

KB:pev 12/12/08 Or.Dept:Clerks R-2009-731 MMS #7112 L:\Bauerle, Keith\Resolutions\2009\R-2009-732 Kretowicz Permit Reso.doc

ORIGINAL

-PAGE 10 OF 10-

R- 304528

Passed by the Council of The City of San Diego on <u>December 2, 2008</u> by the following vote:

YEAS:PETERS, FAULCONER, ATKINS, YOUNG, MAIENSCHEIN,
MADAFFER, HUESO.NAYS:FRYE.NOT PRESENT:NONE.VACANT:NONE.

RECUSED: NONE.

AUTHENTICATED BY:

JERRY SANDERS

Mayor of The City of San Diego, California

ELIZABETH S. MALAND

City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(Seal)

By: Mary Zumaya, Deputy

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of RESOLUTION NO. <u>R-304528</u> approved by the Council of the City of San Diego, California on December 2, 2008.

ELIZABETH S. MALAND

City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(SEAL)

By: Man Inmain ___, Deputy



THE ORIGINAL OF THIS DOCUMENT WAS RECORDED ON JAN 30. 2009 DOCUMENT NUMBER 2009-0045834 DAVID L. BUTLER, COUNTY RECORDER SAN DIEGO COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE TIME: 9:41 AM

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT PERMIT INTAKE. MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO CITY-CLERK MAIL STATION 2A

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

JOB ORDER NUMBER 42-8447

SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 482270 **KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE – PROJECT NO. 138513** AMENDMENT TO SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 108967 CITY COUNCIL

This Site Development Permit No. 482270, amendment to Site Development Permit No. 108967 is granted by the City Council of the City of San Diego to DUK TRUST (Ure. R. Kretowicz and Diane M. Kretowicz, Trustees), Owner/Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 126.0504. The 0.52-acre site is located at 7957 Princess Street in the RS-1-7 zone and the SF zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District, Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, Sensitive Coastal Overlay Zone, the Beach Impact Area of the Parking Overlay Zone, Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Transit Area Overlay Zone, of the La Jolla Community Plan area. The project site is legally described as all of Lots 10 and 11, Block 3 of the Amalfi Subdivision, according to Map 959; and all that portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 of Pueblo Lands of San Diego, according to Map thereof made by James Pascoe in 1870, Miscellaneous Map No. 36.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to maintain the previously constructed improvements, modifications, and additions to an existing single-family residence, and add a new trellis and jacuzzi, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated December 2, 2008, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

a. The improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing singlefamily residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed (the upper level includes all new interior walls and portions of new exterior walls, new fireplace, reconstructed deck, new cantilevered balcony, new deck cover, modifications to the garage and front entry walls; the lower level addition of approximately

ORIGINAL

R-304528

760 square feet and the complete remodeling of the existing area; the existing detached accessory building addition of an approximately 52 square feet for a bathroom and the remaining existing exterior walls (portions are within the public right-of-way) and windows modifications; modifications to the existing retaining walls at the front property; and the walls, fences, and trash enclosure gate within the public right-of-way). A proposed new trellis over the existing deck and a new jacuzzi/structure, which includes new retaining walls and a raised platform;

- b. The existing detached accessory building located at the front of the property and partially within the public right-of-way shall not be used for living or sleeping purposes;
- c. A roof-mounted photovoltaic system consisting of solar panels sufficient to generate at least 50 percent of the proposed project's projected energy consumption, as established by Council Policy 900-14;

d. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements);

- e. Off-street parking;
- f. Accessory improvements determined by the City Manager to be consistent with the land use and development standards in effect for this site per the adopted community plan, California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, public and private improvement requirements of the City Engineer, the underlying zone(s), conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC in effect for this site;
- g. Correction of permit number for Site Development Permit No. 108967, which was recorded as Site Development Permit No. 8967 (correction of permit number only).

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. Failure to utilize and maintain utilization of the permit as described in the SDMC will automatically void the permit unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all the SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in affect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker.

2. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the Owner/Permittee shall show evidence of a Coastal Development Permit (as an amendment to the original coastal development permit issued by the Commission) by the California Coastal Commission that includes the improvements, modifications, and additions to the existing single-family residence, the project site, and the accessory structures that have been previously constructed, and the new trellis over the existing deck and the new jacuzzi/structure.





3. No permit for the construction, occupancy or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and

b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

4. Unless this Permit has been revoked by the City of San Diego the property included by reference within this Permit shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the City Manager.

5. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor or successors, and the interests of any successor shall be subject to each and every condition set out in this Permit and all referenced documents.

6. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

7. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Permittee for this permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

8. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/ Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial modifications to the building and site improvements to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical and plumbing codes and State law requiring access for disabled people may be required.

9. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." No changes, modifications or alterations shall be made unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

10. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and have been determined to be necessary in order to make the findings required for this Permit. It is the intent of the City that the holder of this Permit be required to comply with each and every condition in order to be afforded the special rights which the holder of the Permit is entitled as a result of obtaining this Permit.

In the event that any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/ Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to

ORIGINAL

÷.,

R- 304528

whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

11. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents; officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, applicant shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and applicant regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by applicant.

SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS REQUIREMENTS:

12. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, construction documents shall fully illustrate the incorporation of a roof-mounted photovoltaic system consisting of solar panels sufficient to generate at least 50 percent of the proposed project's projected energy consumption, as established by Council Policy 900-14.

NEIGHBORHOOD CODE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS:

13. The Owner/Permittee shall submit an application and plans for all necessary construction permits within ninety calendar days from the date of the Coastal Development Permit issued by the California Coastal Commission and shall provide the Neighborhood Code Compliance Department the application number within two calendar days from the date of the submittal.

14. The Owner/Permittee shall start construction within thirty calendar days from the start date of the construction and shall notify the Neighborhood Code Compliance Department within two calendar days prior to the starting date of the construction.

15. The Owner/Permittee shall obtain all final inspections and approvals within 120 calendar days from the date of the construction permits and shall provide evidence to the Neighborhood Code Compliance Department within two calendar days on the finalization of all construction permits.





ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:

16. The drainage system proposed for this development is private and subject to approval by the City Engineer.

17. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the Owner/Permittee shall obtain an Encroachment Maintenance and Removal Agreement [EMRA] for the existing private structures that lie within the Princess Street right-of-way, which structures include walls, portion of an accessory building, and portions of a trash enclosure.

18. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan [WPCP]. The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

19. Prior to the building occupancy, the Owner/Permittee shall enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent Best Management Practices [BMP] maintenance.

GEOLOGY REQUIREMENTS:

20. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, an updated geotechnical report shall be submitted and approved by Building Development Review that demonstrates that the project does not require additional footings and/or foundation to support the development authorized by this permit.

LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

21. Prior to issuance of any construction documents, the Permittee or Subsequent Owner shall submit complete landscape and irrigation construction documents with the Land Development Manual, Landscape Standards and the Coastal Bluffs and Beaches Guidelines to the City Manager for approval. The construction documents shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit "A," Landscape Development Plan.

22. Prior to issuance to a Final Inspection, it shall be the responsibility of the Permittee or Subsequent Owner to install all required landscape. All existing irrigation on the coastal bluff and within the 5-foot bluff setback, shall be capped and no new irrigation system(s) is permitted. All existing "flood lights" located on the Coastal Bluff and possibly within the 5-foot bluff setback shall be removed.

23. The Permittee or Subsequent Owner shall maintain all landscape in a disease, weed, and litter free condition at all times. Severe pruning or "topping" of trees, including the existing "Torrey Pine" located in the front yard, is not permitted. The trees shall be maintained in a safe manner to allow each tree to grow to its mature height and spread.

24. The Permittee or Subsequent Owner shall be responsible for the maintenance of all landscape improvements in the right-of-way consistent with the Land Development Manual, Landscape Standards.





25. If any required landscape (including existing or new plantings, hardscape, landscape features, etc.) indicated on the approved construction document plans is damaged or removed during demolition, or construction, the Permittee or Subsequent Owner is responsible to repair and/or replace any landscape in kind and equivalent size per the approved documents to the satisfaction of the City Manager within thirty days of damage or prior to a Final Landscape Inspection.

26. Prior to a Final Landscape Inspection, all existing turf and irrigation located west of the existing residence, shall be removed and replaced with a stone patio as indicated on Exhibit "A" and consistent with the Land Development Manual's Coastal Bluffs and Beaches Guidelines.

27. Prior to issuance of construction permits for grading, the Permittee or Subsequent Owner shall ensure that all proposed landscaping, especially landscaping adjacent to native habitat and/or sensitive coastal bluffs, shall not include exotic plant species that may be invasive to native habitats. Plant species found within the California Invasive Plant Council's [Cal-IPC] Invasive Plant Inventory and the prohibited plant species list found in "Table 1" of the Landscape Standards shall not be permitted.

PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

28. The subject property shall comply with all conditions and requirements in Site Development Permit No. 108967 and this amended Site Development Permit No. 482270.

29. Prior to the commencement of any work or activity authorized by this Permit, the Owner/Permittee shall record a Deed Restriction in a form and content acceptable to the Director of the Development Services Department to waive all rights to future shoreline protective devices associated with the property.

30. No fewer than two off-street parking spaces shall be maintained on the property at all times in the approximate locations shown on the approved Exhibit "A." Parking spaces shall comply at all times with the SDMC and shall not be converted for any other use unless otherwise authorized by the City Manager.

31. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or a regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.

32. The detached accessory building located at the front of the property and partially within the public right-of-way shall not be used for living or sleeping purposes.

33. Prior to the issuance of any construction permits, the Permittee or Subsequent Owner shall execute and record in favor of the City a hold harmless and/or indemnification agreement for the approved development.

ORIGINAL

34. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

INFORMATION ONLY:

ø

- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code section 66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the City Council of the City of San Diego on December 2, 2008, by Resolution No. R-304528.

ORIGINAL

R-304528

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY MANAGER

By Mike Westlake, Program Manager

The undersigned Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Permittee hereunder.

DUK TRUST
Owner/nermittee
By By
Ure R. Kretowicz
Trustee
By PAMMA M. Ketowic
Niane M. Kretowicz

Trustee

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1180 et seq.

PERMIT/OTHER - Permit Shell 11-01-04

ORIGINAL

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California		
County of San Diego		
On JANUARY 28, 2009	before me,	Phillip D. Hill, Notary Public Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer
1011		
personally appeared		MIKE WESTLAKE Name(s) of Signer(s)



who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that (he/she/they executed the same in (his/her/their authorized capacity(ies); and that by (his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s); or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

of Notary Public

DRIGINAL

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Place Notary Seal Above

OPTIONAL -

Signature

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: <u>SDP 482270 K</u>	RETOWICZ RESIDENCE	
Document Date: DECEMBER 2, 2008	Number of Pages:8	
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:		an a
Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)		
Signer's Name:	Signer's Name: Individual Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — I Limited I General Attorney in Fact Trustee Guardian or Conservator Other:	
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing:	

©2007 National Notary Association • 9350 De Soto Ave., P.O. Box 2402 • Chatsworth, CA 91313-2402 • www.NationalNotary.org Item #5907 Reorder: Call Toll-Free 1-800-876-6827

ORIGINAL

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California
County of SAN DIEgo
On JAN. 14, 2009 before me, JOANNA FILISON, MOTARY MultiC
personally appeared URF R. KRETOWICZ AND
personally appealed <u>CCCC</u> / CCCC / Name(s) of Signer(s)
DIANNE M. RRETOWILL



who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she(the) executed the same in his/he/the) authorized capacity(ies) and that by his/he/the) signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Place Notary Seal Above

Signature ture of Notary Public **OPTIONAL**

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Site Developm	rent Permit #182270	
Title or Type of Document: Site Development Document Date: JANUARY 12, 200;	Number of Pages:	2
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:		
Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)		
Signer's Name: UKE R. KRETOW'L Z Individual Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — Limited General Attorney in Fact Trustee Guardian or Conservator Other:	Signer's Name: <u>DIAMME M</u> . Individual Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — Limited General Attorney in Fact Trustee Guardian or Conservator Other:	
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing:	



LA JOLLA COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 889 La Jolla CA 92038 Ph 858.456.7900 http://www.LaJollaCPA.org Email: Info@LaJollaCPA.org Regular Meeting – 01 March 2012

Attention:	Jeff Peterson, PM		
	City of San Diego		

- Project: Kretowicz Residence EOT 7957 Princess Street PN: 259646
- Motion: Findings can NOT be made for an Extension Vote: 11-1-4 of Time (EOT) for Site Development Permit.

01 March 2012

Submitted by:

Tony Crisafi, President La Jolla CPA

Date

FAX No. 1858416 JO

12/01/2011 11:05 8584593768

MARENGO MORTON ARCH

PAGE 02/03

Development Šervices 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101 Tuk Crive of Baki Dicean (619) 446-5000	Ownership Disclosure Statemen
Approval Type: Check appropriate box for type of approval (s) reque Noighborhood Development Permit Site Development Permit Warlance Tentative Map Map Vesting Tentative Map Map V	it Elatrad Devalopment Paralt ElCabrillional Lizo Devak
Project Title	Project Na. Por City Use Only
Kretowicz Residence	259640
Project Address:	a)10-16
7957 Princess Street, La Jolla, CA 92037	
1. To be constant at solution measures. In the left to the design	
art I - To be completed when property is held by individua	it(3) Idge that an application for a permit, map or other matter, as identified
dividuals who own the property). <u>A signature is required of at least o</u> on the Assistant Executive Director of the San Diego Redevelopment evelopment Agreement (DDA) has been approved / executed by the anager of any changes in ownership during the time the application is	the type of property Interest (e.g., tenants who will benefit from the permit, all one of the property owners. Attach additional pages if needed. A signature t Agency shall be required for all project parcels for which a Disposition and e City Council. Note: The applicant is responsible for notifying the Project is being processed or considered. Changes in ownership are to be given to in the subject property. Failure to provide accurate and current ownership
iditional pages attached TYes TNo	
Name of Individual (type or print):	Name of Individuel (type or print):
JE Kretowicz TRUSTER OF THE DUK TRUST	Owner Tehant/Lessee Radevalopment Agency
Owner Tanani/Lessee Redevelopment Agency	
Iteet Address: 957 Princess ST	Stred Address
ity/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
-a Jolla, CA 92037 hone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No;
hone Nn: Fax No.	Phone No: Signature Date:
hope No: Fay No.	
hone No: 858 922 8666 Ighature : Ighature : Trustee	
none No: 558 922 8666 12-01-2011 ghaure: Date: Trustee	Signature Date:
ame of Individual (type or print): Cwner Tirenant/Lessee TRedevelopment Agency	Signeture Date: Name of Individual (type or print):
Anne No: Ignature : Ignature	Signeture Date: Name of Individual (type or print): Cwner Tenant/Lessee Redevelopment Agency
hone No: Ighaulre : Ighaulre : Ighaulre : Ighaulre : Ighaulre : Date: Ighaulre : Date: Ighaulre : Ighaulre : Ighaul	Signature Date: Name of Individual (type or print): Cwner Tenant/Lessee Street Address:
hone No: Fax No: Ighature : I2-01-2011 Ighature : Date: Thursdee Identifies ame of Individual (type or print): Cwner Cwner ITenant/Lessee individual (type or print): Identifies Conner Itenant/Lessee individual (type or print): Identifies Individual (type or print): Identifies Individual (type or print): Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies Identifies	Signeture Date: Name of Individual (type or print): Cwner Tenent/Lessee Street Addresis: City/State/Zip;
hone No: Fax No: Ighal Fax No: Ighal Fax No: I2-01-2011 Date: Date: Cwner [Tenant/Lessee [Redevelopment Agency treet Address: IV/State/21p: hone No: Fax No;	Signature Date: Name of Individual (type or print):
hone No: Fax No: Ighature : Ighature :	Signature Date: Name of Individual (type or print):
hone No: Fax No: Ighature : Ighature :	Signature Date: Name of Individual (type or print):
Park No: Fark No: Ighat Ine: I2-01-2011 Ighat Ine: Date: Thus See True See Iame of Individual (type or print): Individual (type or print): Owner Itenant/Lessee Image: Itenant/Lessee	Signature Date: Name of Individual (type or print):
hone No: Fax No: Ighature : Ighature :	Signature Date: Name of Individual (type or print):

DS-318 (5-05)

. .

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT PROJECT CHRONOLOGY KRETOWICZ RESIDENCE EOT- PROJECT NO. 259646

Date	Action	Description	City Review Time (Working Days)	Applicant Response
12/1/2011	First Submittal	Project Deemed Complete	· _	_
1/5/2012	First Assessment Letter		19 days	
3/1/2012	Community Group	Community Group voted on the project.		38 days
4/3/2012	Second Submittal			22 days
4/4/2012	Second Review Completed	All issues resolved	1 day	
5/31/2012	Public Hearing	First available date	40 days	
TOTAL STA	AFF TIME	(Does not include City Holidays or City Furlough)	60 days	
TOTAL AP	PLICANT TIME	(Does not include City Holidays or City Furlough)		60 days
TOTAL PRO TIME	OJECT RUNNING	From Deemed Complete to Hearing	120 worki (182 calend	.