

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED:	September 5, 2013	REPORT NO. PC-13-088
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Agenda of Septen	ber 12, 2013
SUBJECT:	TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. A 290417. PROCESS THREE	PPEAL – PROJECT NO.
REFERENCE:	Hearing Officer Report No. HO-13-049: (http://www.sandiego.gov/development- services/pdf/hearingofficer/reports/2013/HO	<u>D-13-049.pdf</u>)
OWNER:	Ronald J. Bills, Owner of Triplex for WIR	Holdings, LLC.
APPLICANT:	Hilary Lowe, Mark Mitchell Architecture a	nd Planning

SUMMARY

Issue: Appeal of the Hearing Officer's decision to approve a Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjustment for the proposed demolition of an existing two-story duplex and construction of a new three-story triplex located at 2719 Bayside Walk within the Mission Beach Precise Plan area.

Staff Recommendations:

- 1. DENY the appeal; and
- 2. APPROVE Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u>: On January 15, 2013, the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board voted 9-0-1 to recommend denial of the project. The applicant presented the project a second time on March 19, 2013, and the Board voted 10-0-1 to recommend denial of the project. Reasons for their denial are expanded within the Hearing Officer Report, included as Attachment 1 to this report.

Environmental Review: The project was determined to be exempt pursuant to

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15332 – Infill Development Projects. This project is not pending an appeal of the environmental determination. The environmental exemption determination for this project was made on April 12, 2013, and the opportunity to appeal that determination ended May 3, 2013.

Fiscal Impact Statement: None. The processing of this application is paid for through a deposit account established by the applicant.

Code Enforcement Impact: None.

Housing Impact Statement: The subject property being developed is a legal building site zoned for multi-family residential use. The project proposes to demolish an existing two-story duplex and construct a new three-story triplex. There will be a net gain of one residential unit to the available housing stock within the Mission Beach Precise Plan Area.

BACKGROUND

The property is located at 2719 Bayside Walk on the east side of Bayside Walk between Avalon Court and San Luis Rey Place, within the Mission Beach Precise Plan, which designates the site for residential development at a maximum density of 36 dwelling units per acre, and the proposed development is consistent with this designation. The site is located in the MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, which allows for residential development. The site is also located in the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Area. The site is bordered by existing residential development to the north, south and west, with Mission Bay located to the east.

The site is east of the Pacific Ocean, west of Mission Bay, and east side of Bayside Walk between Avalon Court and San Luis Rey Place. The property located at 2715 Bayside Walk constructed a garage over a portion of the shared property line. This is the southern property line for the project site. The proposed redevelopment of the site cannot occur without this adjustment to the shared property line as the garage needs to be completely located on the site addressed as 2715 Bayside Walk. The total amount of the adjustment is approximately 8.2 square feet, which will then allow the project site to be redeveloped with the proposed three-story triplex.

On June 12, 2013, the Hearing Officer approved the proposed project with a modification to the draft permit. The Hearing Officer added Condition No. 27 to specify that the project's guard rails cannot be moved into the required yard (Attachment 6).

On June 25, 2013, Deborah Watkins, Chair of the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board, filed an appeal of the Hearing Officer decision on the basis of Factual Error and Findings Not

Supported. A copy of that Appeal is included as Attachment 2, and the issues raised in the Appeal are discussed within this Staff Report.

DISCUSSION

Project Description:

In the interest of reducing impacts to resources required to produce this document, please reference the attached Report to the Hearing Officer No. 13-049 for the complete project description and relevant attachments as described within this Report (Attachment 1).

Appeal of the Hearing Officer's Approval:

On June 12, 2013, the Hearing Officer approved the project and adopted the project resolutions after hearing public testimony. The Appeal of that decision was filed on June 25, 2013 (Attachment 2). The Appeal focuses on Factual Errors and Findings Not Supported. The following is the description of the appeal issues followed by the City Staff response to each appeal issue.

Appeal Issue #1: The evidence and statements relied upon by the City in its findings that a deck overhang is a roof and that the portion of the deck that encroaches beyond the setback line is in effect a roof eave that is an allowable encroachment in the Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance ("PDO") is incorrect.

Staff's Response: The eaves and rain gutters proposed in this project comply with the Mission Beach PDO, effective August 2012. San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC) Table 1513-03B allows eaves to encroach 2-feet into the required yards on courts, places and walks, and 6-inches on interior and street side yards. It also allows rain gutters to encroach 6-inches into all yards. Footnote 2 in this table states that the eave "area that encroaches may not be used to support decks, exterior balconies, or floors" (Attachment 4). Although "eave," as used in this section, is not defined, the regulation itself implies that a portion of a balcony that extends beyond the railing can encroach into the setback.

As designed the eaves do not support the decks or railings. Therefore, the proposed eaves have been designed to comply with all of these regulations. Additionally, Condition No. 27 was added to the permit, related to moving balcony railings (Attachment 6). Staff believes that the proposed design conforms to the regulations and is consistent with the purpose and intent of the Mission Beach PDO.

Appeal Issue #2: The pop-outs located along a portion of the second and third floors on the South elevation in the interior side yard of the building are eaves is incorrect.

Staff's Response: On the interior side yard, rain gutters are shown to transport moisture and rainwater from the surfaces of the building. These rain gutters encroach 6-inches into the yard as permitted by the Mission Beach PDO, Table 1513-03B (Attachment 4). The eaves also house rain gutters for the same purpose. The building sections shown on Sheets A5.1 and A5.2, and

the encroachments exhibit on sheet E1.0 reflect this project design (Attachment 7).

Appeal Issue #3: The applicant has designed his project at 2719 Bayside Walk with deck overhangs that encroach into the required yard setbacks on Bayside Walk and Avalon Court, which is not allowed in the Mission Beach PDO. The applicant claims that the decks are in fact "roofs" and that the portion of the decks that encroach into the yards are "roof eaves" as that term is set forth in the Mission Beach PDO. They are not. The intent and application of the Mission Beach PDO is that roofs are at the tops of structures. The decks on the second and third floors of this project are balconies because they do not support the entire roofing system and are not the top of the structure.

<u>Staff's Response:</u> As stated within Staff's Response to Appeal Issue #1, the eaves and rain gutters proposed in this project comply with the Mission Beach PDO.

Conclusion:

The Hearing Officer made all required findings in the affirmative after receiving all public testimony, including a letter received at the June 12, 2013, hearing. Staff has determined the proposed project complies the applicable Coastal Development Regulations, the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the City of San Diego Land Development Code, and recommends the Planning Commission deny the appeal and affirm the approval of the proposed project as conditioned.

ALTERNATIVES:

- 1. Deny the appeal and approve Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742, with modifications.
- 2. Approve the appeal and deny Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742, if the findings required to approve the project cannot be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Westlake Acting Deputy Director Development Services Department

And Cake

Laura C. Black, AICP, Project Manager Development Services Department

WESTLAKE/LCB

Attachments:

- Report to the Hearing Officer No. 13-049, including attachments Copy of Appeal filed June 25, 2013 Letter received at the Hearing Officer hearing on June 12, 2013 Table 1513-03B Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- Draft Permit Resolution with Findings for Planning Commission 5.
- Draft Permit with Conditions for Planning Commission 6.
- Project Plans 7.

ATTACHMENT 0 1



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

REPORT TO THE HEARING OFFICER

HEARING DATE:	June 12, 2013	REPORT NO. HO 13-049		
ATTENTION:	Hearing Officer			
SUBJECT:	TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. PTS PROJECT NUMBER: 290417			
LOCATION:	2719 Bayside Walk			
APPLICANT: OWNER:	Hilary Lowe, Mark Mitchell Archite Ronald J. Bills, Owner of WIR Hold	6		

SUMMARY

<u>Issue(s)</u>: Should the Hearing Officer approve a Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjustment to demolish an existing residential dwelling unit and construct a new three-story triplex located at 2719 Bayside Walk and a Lot Line Adjustment between 2719 Bayside Walk and 2715 Bayside Walk within the Mission Beach Precise Plan area?

Staff Recommendation -

APPROVE Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u> – On March 19, 2013, the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board voted 10-0-1 to recommend denial of the project. Reasons for their denial are included in Attachment 8.

<u>Environmental Review</u>: The project was determined to be exempt pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15332 – Infill Development Projects (Attachment 7). This project is not pending an appeal of the environmental determination. The environmental exemption determination for this project was made on April 12, 2013, and the opportunity to appeal that determination ended May 3, 2013.

BACKGROUND

The 4,477 square foot project site is currently developed with a two-story residential building that was built in 1946. The property is located at 2719 Bayside Walk on the east side of Bayside Walk between Avalon Court and San Luis Rey Place, within the Mission Beach Precise Plan,

which designates the site for residential development at a maximum density of 36 dwelling units per acre, and the proposed development is consistent with this designation. The site is located in the MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, which allows for residential development. The site is also located in the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Area. (Attachments 1-3). The site is bordered by existing residential development to the north, south and west, with Mission Bay located to the east.

A Coastal Development Permit is required for the proposed demolition of the existing structure and construction of the new three-story triplex and a Lot Line Adjustment is required due to the property located at 2715 Bayside Walk constructing a garage over the shared property line.

A historic review of the existing residential building was conducted by staff in January 2013. Staff determined that the site does not meet local designation criteria as an individually significant historic resource under any adopted Historic Resources Board Criteria.

DISCUSSION

A Coastal Development Permit (CDP) and Lot Line Adjustment are required to demolish the existing residential building and construct a new three-story, 5,276 square foot triplex located on a 4,477 square foot site. The site is east of the Pacific Ocean, east side of Bayside Walk between Avalon Court and San Luis Rey Place. The property located at 2715 Bayside Walk constructed a garage over a portion of the shared property line. This is the southern property line for the project site. The proposed redevelopment of the site cannot occur without this adjustment to the shared property line as the garage needs to be completely located on the site addressed as 2715 Bayside Walk. The total amount of the adjustment is approximately 8.2 square feet, which will then allow the project site to be redeveloped with the proposed three-story triplex.

The proposed triplex would consist of the following: a four car tandem garage, a two car tandem garage and a one bedroom unit on the first floor; a three bedroom unit on the second floor; a two bedroom unit on the third floor and a roof deck on the very top of the proposed residential structure.

The first floor consists of a one bedroom unit that includes a living room, dining room, kitchen, one bedroom, one bathroom, laundry area, storage area with outside patio areas. The garages for the entire building will also be located on the first floor of the building. The four car tandem garage will be assigned to the first and third floor units and the two car tandem garage will be assigned to the second floor unit.

The second floor consists of a three bedroom unit that includes a living room, dining room, kitchen, three bedrooms, three bathrooms, laundry area, storage area and exterior patio space.

The third floor consists of a two bedroom unit that includes a living room, dining room, kitchen, two bedrooms, two bathrooms, an office, laundry area, storage area, linen closet and exterior patio space.

ATTACHMENT 01

The roof deck would be accessed from the stair well that starts on the first floor of the building and provides access to all three units within the building. There are approximately 600 square feet of solar panels proposed on the flat roof as well.

The proposed project would be consistent with the bulk and scale of the surrounding residential community. The three-story building would have a height not greater than 30'-0" as defined, which would comply with the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone's maximum allowable height of 30 feet. Landscaping would conform to the Mission Beach Planned District minimum percentage and the City's Landscape Technical Manual.

The structure design includes stucco, glass, wood siding and stone veneer with a flat roof for a maximum building height of 30' to the roof deck rail. As designed the project complies with the 30-foot Coastal Height Limit Overlay Zone, Mission Beach Planned District requirements and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program policies (Attachments 5-6).

The project site is located within the Airport Influence Area (AIA) for the San Diego International Airport (SDIA) Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). Due to the project site location within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour, the project information was submitted to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (SDCRAA) for a determination of consistency. The SDCRAA, acting in its capacity as the San Diego County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC), has determined that the proposed project is conditionally consistent with the SDIA ALUCP. Condition numbers 13-15 of the Coastal Development Permit are consistent with conditions presented in Resolution 2013-0008 ALUC, approved on April 4, 2013 by the ALUC (Attachment 9).

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

On March 19, 2013, the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board (MBPPB) voted 10-0-1 to recommend denial of the project. The MBPPB denied the proposed project based on the fact that they believe the project to extend 6 inches into required yard setbacks for the project along Bayside Walk, Avalon Court and the interior side yard. Staff has analyzed the information provided by the MBPPB and determined that the project conforms to the underlying zone and the Mission Beach Precise Plan.

CONCLUSION

Staff has reviewed the application for the Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjustment and determined the project is consistent with the applicable Coastal Development Regulations, the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the City of San Diego Land Development Code. Staff recommends that the Hearing Officer approve the requested permits.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742, with modifications.
- 2. Deny Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742, if the findings required to approve the project cannot be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura C. Black, AICP, Development Project Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Aerial Photograph
- 2. Community Plan Land Use Map
- 3. Project Location Map
- 4. Project Data Sheet
- 5. Draft Permit Resolution with Findings
- 6. Draft Permit with Conditions
- 7. Environmental Exemption
- 8. Community Planning Group Recommendation (including meeting minutes)
- 9. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Review and Resolution No. 2013-0008 ALUC
- 10. Ownership Disclosure Statement
- 11. Project Chronology
- 12. Project Plans/Parcel Map (Full size set provided to Hearing Officer)





Aerial Photo <u>TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC – 8469 2719 Bayside Walk</u> PROJECT NO. 290417



ATTACHMENT 0 ATTACHMENT 1

ATTACHMENT 01







TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS LLC – 2719 Bayside Walk PROJECT NO. 290417

Land Use Map





Project Location Map

<u>TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS LLC – 2719 Bayside Walk</u> PROJECT NO. 290417



ATTACHMENT 0 ATTACHMENT 3

PROJECT DATA SHEET

PROJECT NAME:	Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Demolition of an existing residential dwelling unit and the construction of a three-story, 5,276 square foot triplex on a 4,477 square foot lot.
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	Mission Beach
DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS:	Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjustment
COMMUNITY PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION:	Residential (Allows residential development to a maximum of 36 dwelling units per acre).

ZONING INFORMATION:

ZONE: MBPD- R-S: (A residential zone located in the Mission Beach

Planned District)

HEIGHT LIMIT: 30-Foot maximum height limit / proposed 30' max height

LOT SIZE: Minimum lot area allowed 2,400 square feet / Proposed lot area – 4,477.44 square feet

FLOOR AREA RATIO: Max Allowed – 1.1 /Proposed 0.95 – Lot Coverage 0.65 max / proposed 0.49

FRONT SETBACK: 8.5 feet / 10 feet/ 11.5 feet required - 8.5 feet/ 10 feet/ 11.5 feet proposed

SIDE SETBACK: 5 feet required / 5 feet proposed

REAR SETBACK: 0 feet required / 0 feet proposed

SIDE (AVALON CT.) SETBACK: 13.5 feet/ 15 feet/ 16.5 feet required – 13.5 feet/ 15 feet/ 16.5 feet proposed

PARKING: 6 parking spaces required / 6 parking spaces provided (tandem)

ADJACENT PROPERTIES:	LAND USE DESIGNATION & ZONE	EXISTING LAND USE		
NORTH:	Residential; R-S-MBPD	Single Family Residence		
SOUTH:	Residential; R-S-MBPD	Single Family Residence		
EAST:	Residential; R-S-MBPD	Single Family Residence		
WEST:	C: Residential; R-S-MBPD Single Family Residence			
DEVIATIONS OR VARIANCES REQUESTED:	None			
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP RECOMMENDATION:	On March 19, 2013, the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board voted 10-0-1 to recommend denial of the project.			

ATTACHMENT 01

Attachment 5

HEARING OFFICER RESOLUTION NO. HO-XXXX COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1018896 LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT NO. 1098742 TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. - PROJECT NO. 290417

WHEREAS, WIR HOLDINGS, LLC., Ronald J. Bills, Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit to demolish the existing residential dwelling unit and construct a threestory triplex (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742) on portions of a 4,477 square foot site;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lot A of Mission Beach, Block 17, Map No. 1809;

WHEREAS, on June 12, 2013, the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego considered Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2013, the City of San Diego, as Lead Agency, through the Development Services Department, made and issued an Environmental Determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et. seq.) under CEQA Guideline Section 15332 (Infill Development Projects) and there was no appeal of the Environmental Determination filed within the time period provided by San Diego Municipal Code Section 112.0520;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Hearing Officer adopts the following written Findings, dated June 12, 2013.

FINDINGS:

Coastal Development Permit - Section 126.0708

1. The proposed coastal development will not encroach upon any existing physical accessway that is legally used by the public or any proposed public accessway identified in a Local Coastal Program land use plan; and the proposed coastal development will enhance and protect public views to and along the ocean and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the Local Coastal Program land use plan.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local

ATTACHMENT 01

Coastal Program. The proposed project building footprint will not change substantially from the existing building on site that is proposed to be demolished as part of the project. The proposed building is setback according to the required minimum setback guidelines within the Mission Beach Planned District (MBPD). Although no specific views are identified through the project site in the precise plan, the plan states that views to, and along the shoreline from public areas shall be protected from blockage by development and or vegetation. In addition SDMC 132.0403(b) requires the preservation of a visual corridor of not less than the side yard setbacks or more than 10 feet in width, and running the full depth of the premises whenever there is a potential view to the water. Potential views to Mission Bay looking east from Bayside Lane and through the property will be improved with the proposed development. New open fences and landscape less than three feet in height may be located within the required vards provided they do not obstruct views. Condition Number 23 of the Coastal Development permit will require a view corridor easement 10 feet wide on the north side and 5 feet wide on the south side. Therefore, the proposed project would not encroach upon any existing physical accessway and would not impact the Mission Beach Precise Plan or Local Coastal Program.

2. The proposed coastal development will not adversely affect environmentally sensitive lands.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The existing developed site is relatively flat with the proposed building situated very close to the existing structure proposed to be demolished as part of the project. The site is surrounded by development and there is no environmentally sensitive lands mapped on the project site, nor on the adjacent properties; therefore, the proposed project would not have an adverse affect on environmentally sensitive lands.

3. The proposed coastal development is in conformity with the certified Local Coastal Program land use plan and complies with all regulations of the certified Implementation Program.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The project site is designated for residential development, with a maximum density of 36 dwelling units per acre, within the Mission Beach Precise Plan. The project proposes 3 dwelling units on a 4,477 square foot lot for a density of approximately 29 dwelling units per acre. The project is consistent with the designated use and density in the Mission Beach Precise Plan. Therefore, the proposed project conforms to the identified land use in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program, and the certified Implementation Program.

4. For every Coastal Development Permit issued for any coastal development between the nearest public road and the sea or the shoreline of any body of water located within the Coastal Overlay Zone the coastal development is in conformity with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

ATTACHMENT 0 1 Attachment 5

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The project is located between the nearest public road, Bayside Lane and the sea or shoreline. Bayside Walk is adjacent to the shoreline and Bayside Walk is an area used by pedestrians. Bayside Lane is the first public road adjacent to the project site and to the west of the site. Therefore, the proposed development is located between the first public road and the shoreline of a body of water within the Coastal Overlay Zone. Although no specific views are identified through the project site in the Mission Beach Precise Plan, the plan states that views to, and along the shoreline from public areas shall be protected from blockage by development and or vegetation. In addition SDMC 132.0403(b) requires the preservation of a visual corridor of not less than the side vard setbacks or more than 10 feet in width, and running the full depth of the premises whenever there is a potential view to the water. Condition Number 23 has been added to the Coastal Development Permit to ensure this visual corridor is preserved adjacent to the project site. Potential views to Mission Bay looking east from Bayside Lane and through the property are currently obstructed by existing landscape and structures. The proposed development will remove all existing solid fences or walls or open fences greater than 3 feet in height from the required yards along Avalon Court and the south side yard. New open fences and landscape less than three feet in height may be located within the required yards provided they do not obstruct views. Therefore, the proposed development is in conformity with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

Lot Line Adjustment - Section 125.0340

1. The proposed adjustment complies with the applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. The proposed adjustment will not subdivide any lots. It will adjust the lot line between two existing parcels. Therefore, the proposed adjustment complies with the applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act.

2. Before adjustment, all lots or parcels are existing parcels of land created by separate fee conveyance and meeting the criteria for determination of a lot as specified in Section 113.0237.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. Before the lot line adjustment, the individual parcels shown on Parcel Map No. 1809 have been recorded by the County Recorder and meets the criteria for determination of a lot as specified in SDMC Section 113.0237.

3. All adjusted lots or parcels comply with the minimum requirements of the Land Development Code and all existing structures comply with the established yards, except for property for which a Variance has been granted pursuant to Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 8 (Variances) and for previously conforming lots or structures, for which the Lot Line Adjustment shall not increase the existing noncompliance.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. The individual parcels comply with the minimum requirements of the Land Development Code, the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the General Plan. All existing structures comply with the established yards, no deviations or variances are requested from the regulations, so there is no existing noncompliance. Therefore, the proposed lot line adjustment shall not increase the existing noncompliance.

4. The Lot Line Adjustment will not result in the creation of any additional parcels.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. The proposed adjustment will not subdivide any lots. It will adjust the lot line between two existing parcels. Therefore, the proposed lot line adjustment will not result in the creation of any additional parcels.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Hearing Officer, Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 are hereby GRANTED by the Hearing Officer to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Laura C. Black, AICP Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: June 12, 2013

Internal Order No. 24003080

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

INTERNAL ORDER NUMBER: 24003080

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1018896 LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT NO. 1098742 TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. - PROJECT NO. 290417 HEARING OFFICER

This Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 is granted by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego to WIR HOLDINGS, LLC., Ronald J. Bills, Owner/Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] sections 126.0708 and 125.0340. The 4,477 square foot site is located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone , the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Area. The project site is legally described as: Lot A of Mission Beach, Block 17, Map No. 1809.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to demolish the existing residential dwelling unit and construct a three-story triplex, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated June 12, 2013, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Demolition of the existing residential dwelling unit and the construction of a threestory, 5,276 square foot triplex on a 4,477 square foot lot;
- b. Lot Line adjustment for an approximate 8.2 square foot portion of Parcel 1 to be transferred to Parcel 2, along the southern property line for the project;

- c. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements);
- d. Off-street parking;
- e. A roof-mounted photovoltaic system consisting of solar panels sufficient to generate at least 50 percent of the project's projected energy consumption in accordance with Council Policy 900-14; and
- f. Public and private accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards for this site in accordance with the adopted community plan, the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] and the CEQA Guidelines, the City Engineer's requirements, zoning regulations, conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36 month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker. This permit must be utilized by June 26, 2016.

2. This Coastal Development Permit shall become effective on the eleventh working day following receipt by the California Coastal Commission of the Notice of Final Action, or following all appeals.

3. No permit for the construction, occupancy, or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

4. While this Permit is in effect, the subject property shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision maker.

5. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.

Attachment 6

6. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

7. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

8. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial building modifications and site improvements may be required to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State and Federal disability access laws.

9. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." Changes, modifications, or alterations to the construction plans are prohibited unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

10. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and were determinednecessary to make the findings required for approval of this Permit. The Permit holder is required to comply with each and every condition in order to maintain the entitlements that are granted by this Permit.

If any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo, and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

11. The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to,

settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS:

12. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall comply with the affordable housing requirements of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Regulations (SDMC § 142.1301 et seq.).

AIRPORT REQUIREMENTS:

13. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall grant an avigation easement to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority as the operator of the San Diego International Airport for the purposes of aircraft operations, noise and other effects caused by the operation of aircraft, and for structure height if the same would interfere with the intended use of the easement. The Owner/Permittee shall use the avigation easement form provided by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

14. Prior to submitting building plans to the City for review, the Owner/Permittee shall place a note on all building plans indicating that an avigation easement has been granted across the property to the airport operator. The note shall include the County Recorder's recording number for the avigation easement.

15. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall provide a copy of the signed agreement [DS-503] and show certification on the building plans verifying that the structures do not require Federal Aviation Administration [FAA] notice for Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation, or provide an FAA Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation as specified in Information Bulletin 520

ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:

16. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent BMP maintenance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

17. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

18. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

19. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall submit an building pad certification signed by a Registered Civil Engineer or a Licensed Land Surveyor, certifying

the pad elevation based on USGS datum is consistent with Exhibit 'A', satisfactory to the City Engineer.

20. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall remove all private improvements from the City's right-of-way, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

21. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Owner/Permittee to install all required landscape and obtain all required landscape inspections.

22. Landscaping materials shall not encroach or overhang into the Courts and Places rights-ofway and view corridors.

PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

23. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall record a 10-foot wide View Corridor Easement measured from the north property line inward and a 5-foot wide View Corridor Easement measured from the south property line inward, running the full length of the property from east to west in accordance with SDMC Section 132.0403.

24. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or a regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.

25. Prior to the issuance of building permits, construction documents shall fully illustrate the incorporation of a roof-mounted photovoltaic system consisting of solar panels sufficient to generate at least 50 percent of the project's projected energy consumption, in accordance with Council Policy 900-14.

26. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

TRANSPORTATION REQUIREMENTS:

27. Owner/Permittee shall maintain a minimum of 6 off-street parking spaces (provided in the configuration of 3 tandem parking spaces) shall be permanently maintained on the property within the approximate location shown on the project's Exhibit "A". Further, all on-site parking stalls and aisle widths shall be in compliance with requirements of the City of San Diego Municipal Code, and shall not be converted and/or utilized for any other purpose, unless otherwise authorized in writing by the Development Services Department Director.

28. Each automobile tandem parking space shall be assigned to the same dwelling unit.

PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS:

29. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall apply for a plumbing permit for the installation of appropriate private back flow prevention device(s), on each water service (domestic, fire and irrigation), in a manner satisfactory to the Director of Public Utilities and the City Engineer. BFPDs shall be located above ground on private property, in line with the service and immediately adjacent to the right-of-way.

30. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond, the design and construction of all public water and sewer facilities are to be in accordance with established criteria in the most current City of San Diego Water and Sewer Design Guides.

31. All proposed private water and sewer facilities located within a single lot are to be designed to meet the requirements of the California Uniform Plumbing Code and will be reviewed as part of the building permit plan check.

32. No trees or shrubs exceeding three feet in height at maturity shall be installed within ten feet of any water and sewer facilities.

33. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond, to cap (abandon) at the property line any existing unused sewer lateral and install new sewer lateral(s) which must be located outside of any driveway or vehicular use area.

34. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond, to remove (kill) at the main any existing unused water service.

INFORMATION ONLY:

- The issuance of this discretionary use permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. The operation allowed by this discretionary use permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received final inspection.
- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this Permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code-section 66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego on June 12, 2013, and Resolution No. HO-XXXX.

Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 Date of Approval: June 12, 2013

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Laura C. Black, AICP Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. Owner/Permittee

By

Ronald J. Bills Owner

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: April 12, 2013

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Internal Order No. 24003080

PROJECT NAME/NUMBER: TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS LLC / 290417

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: Mission Beach

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

LOCATION: 2719 Bayside Walk, San Diego, CA 92109

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The applicant is requesting a COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT and a LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT for the demolition of the existing single-dwelling residence and subsequent construction of a three-story, 5,276-square foot triplex. Additionally, the project would construct various associated site improvements (e.g. hardscape and landscaping). The project would also incorporate a variety of sustainable features including photovoltaic roof panels to achieve a LEED Silver Certification. The developed 4,477.44-square-foot project site is located at 2719 Bayside Walk. The scope of work also includes a lot line adjustment to adjust a portion of the southern lot line. The land use designation for the project site is residential development (with a maximum density of 36 dwelling units per acre) within the community plan. The project site is located within MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone , the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program plan area. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot A of Mission Beach, Block 17, Map No. 1809).

ENTITY CONSIDERING PROJECT APPROVAL: City of San Diego Hearing Officer Decision.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA State Guidelines, Section 15332 (Infill Development Projects).

ENTITY MAKING ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: City of San Diego

ATTACHMENT 0 1 Attachment 7

STATEMENT SUPPORTING REASON FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The project meets the criteria set forth in CEQA Section 15332 which allows for the construction of infill development within an urbanized area that can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. The development occurs within the City of San Diego jurisdiction and the project site is less than five acres (0.103 acre) and is surrounded by urban uses; the project site does not contain any habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species; the project would not result in any significant impacts to biological resources, historical resources, traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and lastly, the project can be adequately be served by all required utilities and public services.

Furthermore, the exceptions listed in CEQA Section 15300.2 would not apply in that no cumulative impacts were identified; no significant effect on the environmental were identified; the project is not adjacent to a scenic highway; the project was not identified on a list of hazardous waste sites pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code.

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGER:	Laura Black
MAILING ADDRESS:	1222 First Avenue, MS-501, San Diego CA 92101
PHONE NUMBER:	(619) 236-6327

On March 6, 2013 the City of San Diego made the above-referenced environmental determination pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This determination is appealable to the City Council. If you have any questions about this determination, contact the City Development Project Manager listed above.

Applications to appeal CEQA determination made by staff (including the City Manager) to the City Council must be filed in the office of the City Clerk within 15 business (May 3, 2013) from the date of the posting of this Notice. The appeal application can be obtained from the City Clerk, 202 'C' Street, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92101.

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request.

Attachment 8

ATTACHMENT 01

	Ť.
AGE THE A	

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101

Community Planning
Committee
Distribution Form Part 1

Project Name:			Project Number:		Distribution Date:	
Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC			290417		2/21/2013	
Project Scope/Location:						
MISSION BEACH *SUSTAINABLE EXPEDI Line Adjstmnt to demo the existing residence located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the R-S Zor Part 77 Noticing Area, Coastal Height, Coast Parking and Mission Beach Community Plan	e and construct a ne of the Mission tal (appealable),	i new Beac First l	three-story, 5,27 ch Planned Distric Public Roadway,	6 sq ct, Air	ft triplex on a 4,477 sq ft lot port Influence Area, FAA	
Applicant Name:			Applicant Phone	e Nun	nber:	
Hilary Lowe	te and an an and a state of the		858.274.597	78		
Project Manager:	Phone Number	:	Fax Number:	E-n	nail Address:	
Laura C. Black	(619) 236-63	327	(619) 321-3200	Iblac	ck@sandiego.gov	
Project Issues (To be completed by Communit	y Planning Com	nittee	for initial review)):	,	
See attach paper						
Attach Additional Pages If Necessary.	City of S Develop 1222 Fir San Dieg	Manag San Di ment S st Ave go, CA	gement Division ego Services Departmen nue, MS 302 . 92101			
Printed on recycled paper. Vi Upon request, this information	San Dieg isit our web site at <u>w</u>	go, CA ww.sa	92101 ndiego.gov/developr			

ATTACHMENT 0 1 Attachment 8



City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101

Community Planning Committee Distribution Form Part 2

Project Name:			Project Number:		Distribution Date:	
Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC			2	290417	2/21/2013	
Project Scope/Location:	<i>ut</i>			i da na		
MISSION BEACH *SUSTAINABLE EXPEDITE P Line Adjstmnt to demo the existing residence and located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the R-S Zone of Part 77 Noticing Area, Coastal Height, Coastal (a Parking and Mission Beach Community Plan. CD	l cons the M ppeal	truct a new th lission Beach able), First Pi	nree-si Planr ublic F	tory, 5,276 s ied District, /	q ft triplex on a 4,477 sq ft lot Airport Influence Area, FAA	
Applicant Name:				Applicant	Phone Number:	
Hilary Lowe				858.274	.5978	
Project Manager:	Pho	ne Number:	Fax	Number:	E-mail Address:	
Laura C. Black	(619	9) 236-6327	619	9) 321-3200	lblack@sandiego.gov	
Committee Recommendations (To be completed for	r Initis	al Review):				
Vote to Approve		Members Ye	es IV	lembers No	Members Abstain	
Vote to Approve With Conditions Listed Below		Members Ye	es M	lembers No	Members Abstain	
Vote to Approve With Non-Binding Recommendations Listed Belo	ow	Members Ye	s M	lembers No	Members Abstain	
Vote to Deny.		Members Ye	s M	lembers No · O	Members Abstain /	
No Action (Please specify, e.g., Need further information, Split v quorum, etc.)			, Lack	of	Continued	
CONDITIONS:			-			
NAME: MIKE MEYER				TITLE:		
SIGNATURE: Mike Maner			DATE: 3-28-13			
Attach Additional Pages If Negessary. Project Man City of San I Developmen 1222 First A San Diego, C			ment E 30 rvices ue, MS 92101	Department 302		
Printed on recycled paper. Visit our Upon request, this information is avai						

ATTACHMENT 0 1 Attachment 8

2719 BAYSIDE WALK

Project issues:

We are still having problem with projections into the Bayside Walk, Avalon Ct. and the interior side yard. The applicant has extended the floor joist beyond the walls into the setbacks. Some extends two feet (Bayside Walk and Court) and some extend 6 inches into intereior side yard.

We do not allow these projections unless they are eves.

These are not eves. Using Google as definition of eaves and roofs. The whole first page defines eaves as overhang from a roof. Roofs are defined as tops of buildings.

These projection are coming off a deck on the Bayside Walk. They are not eaves.

Also the courts are not eaves.

The reason we have put the restriction of projections in front of the front yard setback because people have later after building or when redoing decks ten years later for water damage uses the projections to extend the decks into the setback.

The California Coastal Commission after the Planning Group had wanted to allow a three foot cover extended from the building over the front entry door was disallowed because of view corridor last summer.

The Mision Beach Planning Group voted to deny 2719 Bayside Walk 10-0-1 because of the intrusions into yard setback on the Bayside Walk, Avalon Ct. and the interior side yard.

Mission Beach Precise Planning Board Tuesday, March 19, 2013 Belmont Park Community Room Minutes of Meeting

Board Members Present:

Peggy Bradshaw	Carole Havlat	Dennis Lynch	Mike Meyer
Carlton Nettleton	Robert Ondeck	John Ready	Mary Saska
Gernot Trolf	John Vallas	Debbie Watkins	Mary Willmont

<u>Absent:</u>

Nick Cantalupo

OPENING FUNCTIONS

Meeting was called to order by Chair Debbie Watkins at 7:05 p.m.

• Approval of Minutes for February, 2013 Copies of the draft of the February 19, 2013 Minutes of Meeting were distributed and reviewed. There were no changes. The Minutes were approved by unanimous consent as written.

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

Revisions to Agenda
 Copies of the March 19, 2013 Agenda were distributed and reviewed. There were no additions
 to the Agenda.

Chair's Report

(1) Election Results – Chair Watkins announced the results of the 2013 Election of Area Representatives held this night would be announced at the end of the meeting.

(2) MB Precise Planning Board Appeals Update:

(a) <u>Project No. 271240 at 2975 Ocean Front Walk</u> – The Board's Appeal to the Planning Commission filed on July 14, 2012 regarding the Map Waiver to convert to condominiums was heard on February 18, 2013. Chair Watkins and Dennis Lynch represented the Board. The Planning Commission directed the owner/developer to resubmit plans to alleviate the encroachments along Ocean Front Walk and the stairs on Ensenada Court, and present the revised plans to the Board before the next Planning Commission Hearing on March 28, 2013. Those plans will be reviewed tonight as an Action Item.

(b) <u>Appeal of AT&T's 30-ft clock tower at Bonita Cove</u> – Chair noted the alternative location at Belmont Park to install cell phone antennas and computer room equipment has been agreed to by AT&T and plans were filed with the City to accomplish this siting. According to the City's Project Manager, AT&T representatives and the new leaseholder are in the process of reviewing and signing the lease and are optimistically looking at March to get started.

 Secretary's Report None.

PUBLIC COMMENT (limited to 3 minutes per speaker)

Destry Whitney of GoGreen4Education commented his organization is working with the Mission Bay Cluster Schools to assist with funding. Mr. Whitney explained that recycle bins are provided to businesses and residents and free pick-up is available. Proceeds are donated to the schools for their use. Mr. Whitney is working with Councilmember Faulconer's office to help alleviate the fly problem in Mission Beach caused by a reduced trash pick-up schedule and overflowing trash bins.

Resident Bob Craig distributed a flyer regarding the Sustainable Design Assistance Team that will come to San Diego in October 2013 to look at ways to improve the environment in Pacific Beach, Mission Beach and Mission Bay.

Dan Hayden, Director of Engineering at Pacifica Real Estate Services, Inc., the new Belmont Park Leaseholder, commented they are considering a roof-top bar/eatery. He will be conducting a second workshop to share their concept plans for Belmont Park and get feedback from the community on February 21, 2013 from 7:00 p.m. – 8:30 p.m. in the Belmont Park Community Room.

REPORTS FROM GOVERNMENT OFFICALS

• Ian Clampett, (Community Representative - Office of Councilmember Kevin Faulconer) Mr.Clampett reported there was a beach clean-up last weekend at the South Mission Beach Jetty sponsored by Evans Hotel and Surfrider. He commented that the trash and flies problem in Mission Beach is a priority for Councilmember Faulconer, who is looking at the cost for a second trash pickup to be restored. In addition, Mr. Faulconer is talking with GoGreen4Education to partner with to help alleviate the trash and flies problem, which would donate proceeds to schools. Mr. Clampett stated the Land Use and Housing Committee will take action on the oversized vehicle ordinance at its next meeting on March 22, 2013.

OTHER

Possible Action Item

• Alternative uses for Mission Beach Elementary School – Presented by Jennifer Tandy, Chair, Mission Bay Cluster Schools. Ms. Tandy stated she was interested in assuring the sale of the school would be used for the benefit of the community. She proposed setting up a meeting with Mayor Filner to determine whether the City would be interested in purchasing the property for use by different public entities such as Park and Recreation, Police or Homeland Security. Ms. Tandy pointed out that time is of the essence because the property will be sold at a public auction on May 1, 2013 to the highest bidder, and April 12, 2013 is the deadline for public entities to place a bid. She asked the Board whether it would be interested in setting up a subcommittee to look into the matter further and meet with the Mayor. Chair Watkins, Carole Havlat, Mike Meyer and Carlton Nettleton volunteered to sit on the subcommittee. After further discussion, the following motion was duly made to form an ad-hoc subcommittee to meet and develop a plan of action to present to Mayor Filner.

Motion 1 was made by Peggy Bradshaw and seconded by Gernot Trolf TO FORM an ad-hoc subcommittee for the purpose of formulating a plan of action for the sale of the Mission Beach Elementary School to be presented to Mayor Bob Filner as discussed above.

VOTEFor: 10Against: 0Abstain: 0Motion passes. [Absent for vote: M. Willmont]

Information Item

 West Mission Bay Bridge Project Update – City of San Diego Project Management Team

Nitsuh Aberra, City Project Manager presented the project update. Ms. Aberra pointed out the bridge was built in 1950, and is functionally obsolete and structurally deficient. The City will get 80% of the funding from the Federal government and the City will pay for 20% of the cost. She reported the design stage is still in process. The current bridge will be replaced in two pieces at the eastern half and a free lane will be open to traffic during construction. It will be replaced with a 5-span haunched-box girder bridge structure with three thru lanes, an auxiliary lane to I-8, a 12-foot wide bicycle space, and pedestrian seating. The inside of the bridge will provide vehicular lighting. Construction is scheduled to start in 2015 and will take two years to complete. Several Board members expressed concern about the turn lanes off and onto I-8. Ms. Aberra stated she would look into the matter further and get back to the Board since the bridge is still in its design stage.

BUILDING PLAN REVIEWS

Action Items:

• 2719 Bayside Walk – Project No. 290417 (Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC): Sustainable Expedite Program Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjustment to demo existing residence and construct a new 3-story 5,276 sq. ft. Triplex on 4,477 sq. ft. lot – Review revised project plans for conformity with Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

Mark Mitchell of Mark Mitchell Architecture represented the owner.

The Board heard this project on January 15, 2013. The project plans were denied (9-0-1) because several areas in the plans did not conform to the new PDO requirements, effective August 9, 2012.

Architect Mark Mitchell presented the revised project plans.

Plan Reviewers Mike Meyer and Dennis Lynch reviewed the revised project plans on behalf of the Board. They pointed out several areas in the project plans were corrected to conform to the new PDO requirements as follows:

- (1) The interior side yard on the south can not have construction greater than 3 feet in height. Refuse/recyclable storage, steps, railings, etc. that exceed this height must be removed;
- (2) Our PDO allows for an 18-inch vertical offset from the setback line extending the full height of the building. This building is greater than 30 feet wide for which that offset becomes mandatory for the entire width of the building;
- (3) Walls, fences, planters and anything man-made greater than 36 inches in height can not be in the required yards along Avalon Court and Bayside Walk

However, the Plan Reviewers pointed out there is a problem with projections into Bayside Walk, Avalon Court and the interior side yard because the applicant extended the floor joist beyond the walls into the setback. Some extend two feet on Bayside Walk and Avalon Court and some extend six inches into the interior side yard. These projections are not allowed unless they are eaves. The Plan Reviewers explained that an eave is an overhang from a roof and these extensions are not considered eaves because they are coming off a deck on the Bayside Walk. After further discussion, a motion was duly made to deny the project as follows: Motion 2 was made by Dennis Lynch and seconded by Mike Meyer TO DENY the project plans at 2719 Bayside Walk because the intrusions into the yard setbacks are violations of the new PDO requirements as discussed above.

VOTE For: 10 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

Motion passes. [Abstain: J. Ready]

• 3610 Bayside Walk – Project No. 296187 (Cadena Residence): Sustainable Expedite Program Coastal Development Permit to demo SFR and construct a 2,762 sq. ft. SFR with attached 399 sq. ft. garage on a 0.06 acre site – Review revised project plans for conformity with Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

Architect Chad Beaver of Golba Architecture represented the owner.

The Board heard this project on November 20, 2012. The project plans were denied (8-0-0) because several areas in the plans did not conform to the new PDO requirements, effective August 9, 2012.

Architect Chad Beaver presented the revised plans.

Plan Reviewers Mike Meyer and Dennis Lynch reviewed the revised project plans on behalf of the Board. Plan Review Lynch pointed out the problem areas in the project plans were corrected to conform to the new PDO requirements as follows:

- The open tandem parking space must be 36 feet long and 8 ½ feet wide [See: PDO, effective August 9, 2012, Section 1513.0403 (b) (4) (A)];
- (2) The eaves on the third floor roof can not encroach more than 6 inches into the interior side yard setbacks on the North and South elevations. This also applies to the first floor garage eave on the North interior side yard;
- (3) The railings have a curved portion that encroaches into the front yard second story setback on the East and into the side yard setbacks on the North third floor and on the second and third floors on the South.
- (4) Landscaping: No landscape plans were submitted. PDO requires 50% softscape 50% hardscape. Trees must be planted within 4 to 5 feet from the building, and no man-made objects greater than 36 inches shall be located in the required front yard setback.

After discussion, the following motion was duly made to approve the project because all concerns have been met to conform to the new PDO requirements.

Motion 3 was made by Dennis Lynch and seconded by Peggy Bradshaw TO APPROVE the project plans at 3610 Bayside Walk because all concerns have been met to conform to the new PDO requirements as discussed above.

VOTEFor: 11Against: 0Abstain: 0Motion passes.

ATTACHMENT 0 1

• 729 Devon Court – Project No. 296192 (Nelson Duplex): Sustainable Expedite Program Coastal Development Permit to demo SFR and construct a 2,646 sq. ft. Duplex with attached 496 sq. ft. garage on a 0.05 acre site – Review revised project plans for conformity with Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

Architect Chad Beaver represented the owner.

The Board heard this project on November 20, 2012. The project plans were denied (8-0-0) because several areas in the plans did not conform to the new PDO requirements, effective August 9, 2012.

Architect Chad Beaver presented the project plans.

Plan Reviewers Mike Meyer and Dennis Lynch reviewed the revised project plans on behalf of the Board. Plan Review Lynch pointed out the problem areas in the project plans were corrected to conform to the new PDO requirements as follows:

- (1) Tandem parking space on the Eastern portion of the lot must be 8 ½ feet wide [See: PDO, effective August 9, 2012, Section 1513.0403 (b) (4) (A)];
- (2) Roof eaves can not encroach into interior side yards more than 6 inches. Exact measurements of eaves are not noted on the plans; and
- (3) The tree located in the front yard setback must be planted within 4 to 5 feet of the primary structure [See: PDO, effective August 9, 2012, Section 1513.0402 (a) (1)]. No man-made objects greater than 36 inches shall be located in the required front yard setback.

After discussion, the following motion was duly made to approve the project because all concerns have been met to conform to the new PDO requirements.

Motion 4 was made by Dennis Lynch and seconded by Carole Havlat TO APPROVE the project plans at 729 Devon Court because all concerns have been met to conform to the new PDO requirements as discussed above. VOTE For: 11 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

Motion passes.

• 2975 Ocean Front Walk (Review pursuant to Appeal before Planning Commission on February 28, 2013): Map Waiver application to waive the requirements of a Tentative Map to convert 3 existing residential units to condominiums – Review revised project plans for conformity with Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

Matthew Peterson, Attorney/Lobbyist represented owner/developer.

The Board heard this project on March 20, 2012. The Map Waiver Application was denied (8-0-0) because several areas in the plans did not confirm to the PDO requirements. The Board filed an Appeal of the Hearing Officer Decision on July 14, 2012 to the Planning Commission, and the Appeal before the Planning Commission was heard on February 28, 2013. On that date, the Planning Commission directed the owner to resubmit plans to alleviate the encroachments along

ATTACHMENT 01

Ocean Front Walk and the stairs on Ensenada Court, and present the revised plans to the Board before the next Planning Commission Hearing on March 28, 2013.

Matthew Peterson presented the revised project plans. Mr. Peterson pointed out the encroachments along Ocean Front Walk have been removed.

Plan Reviewers Mike Meyer and Dennis Lynch reviewed the revised project plans on behalf of the Board. They confirmed several areas in the project plans were corrected to conform to the PDO requirements as follows:

- (1) The stair case and underlying substructure encroaches into the required front yard setback along Ocean Front Walk at a height in excess of 36 inches; and
- (2) The concrete planter and concrete entry steps encroach into the required front Yard setback along Ocean Front Walk by a height in excess of 36 inches

With regard to the stairs and railing encroaching into the required front yard setback in excess of 36 inches on Ensenada Court, Mr. Peterson distributed copies of the Ensenada Court plans. He stated the owner/developer does not want to make any changes regarding the concrete stairs along Ensenada Court except to add a glass railing.

Plan Reviewer Dennis Lynch pointed out that prior to the March 19th Board Meeting, he met with Matthew Peterson along with Chair Watkins and Mike Meyer at the project site to discuss ways to alleviate the stairs and railing along Ensenada Court. At that time, a viable solution was suggested to remedy the violation. Plan Reviews Lynch and Meyer explained the remedy to the Board. Mr. Peterson stated the remedy recommended would cost \$30-\$40,000 to accomplish.

Plan Reviewer Lynch advised these concrete entry stairs are a violation of our PDO, and an obstruction of the Ensenada Court view corridor. He pointed out that our PDO was in full force at the time this project was built in 1986; and as a result, these items must be corrected whether they were or were not in the original approved plans before a tentative map waiver can be issued.

After further discussion, the following motion was made to deny the project:

Motion 5 was made by Dennis Lynch and seconded by Peggy Bradshaw TO DENY the Tentative Map Waiver Application at 2975 Ocean Front Walk because the concrete entry stairs along Ensenada Court need to be resolved to conform to the new PDO requirements.

VOTEFor: 10Against: 1Abstain: 0Motion passes.

BOARD COMMUNICATIONS

- Information items:
 - Mission Boulevard Maintenance Assessment District Ad-hoc Subcommittee Update

Chair John Vallas distributed the subcommittee's report dated March 19, 2013. He reported that 98% of the property owners responded to the community survey to gauge public interest in expanding the Mission Boulevard Maintenance Assessment District ("MBMAD") beyond its current purpose of providing for the maintenance of landscaped right-of-way trees and planters located on Mission Boulevard. Mr. Vallas pointed out that this is a statistically valid data sample with an error

ATTACHMENT 01

correction rate of +/-6% points. He apprised the Board that 90.38% of survey responders support expanding the scope of the MBMAD, with 67.49% supporting increasing their Maintenance Assessment Fee from the current \$14.68 per year to \$34.69 - \$64.88. He noted the responders' levels of importance include clean streets and sidewalks, extra trash and recycling pickup, and improved pedestrian lighting.

Chair Vallas stated the next step in the process is to work with Park and Recreation to create a petition to distribute and collect signatures of at least 30% of property owners in order to utilize the Formation Fund to pay for the engineer's report and mail-in balloting. He requested an Action Item be placed on the April 16, 2013 Agenda to discuss and approve the creation of a Petition to move forward with the Ballot measure.

• March 2013 Board Election for Area Representatives Results

Election Secretary Mary Saska reported the results of the 2013 Election of Area Representatives as follows:

- Area I (between San Diego PI & South Side of Capistrano PI) Dennis Lynch – Term expiring 2016 John Ready – Term expiring 2015
- Area II (between North Side of Capistrano PI & South Side of W. Mission Bay Dr and Ventura PI) Nick Cantalupo – Term expiring 2016
- Area III (between North Side of W. Mission Bay Dr and Ventura PI & South Side of El Carmel PI) Carole Havlat – Term expiring 2016

Area IV (between North Side of El Carmel Pl & South Side of San Jose Place) Gernot Trolf – Term expiring 2016

Area V (between North Side of San Jose Place & South Side of Pacific Beach Drive) Peggy Bradshaw – Term expiring 2014 Tim Cruickshank – Term expiring 2016

Chair Watkins certified the results of the March 19, 2013 Election of Area Representatives.

Chair Watkins pointed out Agenda Items need to be submitted to the Chair 10 days PRIOR to the scheduled Board meeting. The next Board Meeting is **Tuesday, April 16, 2013** in the Belmont Park Community Room.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion 6 was	duly made	and seconde	ed to ADJOURN	the meeting.
VOTE	For:	Unanimous	Against:	Abstain:

Respectfully submitted, Debbie Watkins, Secretary
RESOLUTION NO. 2013-0008 ALUC

A RESOLUTION OF THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY, MAKING DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED A PROJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF 3 MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL UNITS AT 2719 BAYSIDE WALK, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, IS CONDITIONALLY CONSISTENT WITH THE SAN DIEGO **INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT - AIRPORT LAND USE** COMPATIBILITY PLAN

WHEREAS, the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, acting in its capacity as the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) for San Diego County, pursuant to Section 21670.3 of the Public Utilities Code, was requested by the City of San Diego to determine the consistency of a proposed development project: Construction of 3 Multi-Family Residential Units at 2719 Bayside Walk, City of San Diego, which is located within the Airport Influence Area (AIA) for the San Diego International Airport (SDIA) Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP), originally adopted in 1992 and amended in 1994 and 2004; and

WHEREAS, the plans submitted to the ALUC for the proposed project indicate that it would involve the construction of 3 attached residential units and associated parking; and

WHEREAS, the proposed project would be located within the 60-65 decibel (dB) Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contour, and the ALUCP identifies residential uses located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour as compatible with airport uses, provided that the residences are sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level, and that an avigation easement is recorded with the County Recorder; and

WHEREAS, the proposed project is located outside the City of San Diego Airport Approach Overlay Zone (AAOZ) and is in compliance with the ALUCP airspace protection surfaces because the project proponent has certified that notification to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is not required pursuant to Section 77.15 of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (FAR Part 77) if a structure is located within an urbanized area and shielded by existing structures or natural terrain of equal or greater height and could not reasonably pose adverse safety to air navigation; and

WHEREAS, the proposed project is located outside the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ); and

Resolution No. 2013-0008 ALUC Page 2 of 3

WHEREAS, the ALUC has considered the information provided by staff, including information in the staff report and other relevant material regarding the project; and

WHEREAS, the ALUC has provided an opportunity for the City of San Diego and interested members of the public to present information regarding this matter.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the ALUC determines that the proposed project: Construction of 3 Multi-Family Residential Units at 2719 Bayside Walk, City of San Diego, is conditionally consistent with the SDIA ALUCP, which was originally adopted in 1992 and amended in 1994 and 2004, based upon the following facts and findings:

- (1) The proposed project involves the construction of 3 attached residential units and associated parking.
- (2) The proposed project is located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour. The ALUCP identifies residential uses located within the 60-65 dB CNEL noise contour as compatible with airport uses, provided that the residences are sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level and that an avigation easement is recorded with the County Recorder. Therefore, as a condition of project approval, the residences must be sound attenuated to 45 dB CNEL interior noise level and an avigation easement must be recorded with the County Recorder.
- (3) The proposed project is located outside the AAOZ. The proposed project is in compliance with the ALUCP airspace protection surfaces because the project proponent has certified that notification to the FAA is not required pursuant to FAR Part 77 if a structure is located within an urbanized area and shielded by existing structures or natural terrain of equal or greater height and could not reasonably pose adverse safety to air navigation.
- (4) The proposed project is located outside the RPZ.
- (5) Therefore, if the proposed project contains the above-required conditions, the proposed project would be consistent with the SDIA ALUCP.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this ALUC determination is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Pub. Res. Code Section 21065, and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act, Pub. Res. Code Section 30106. Resolution No. 2013-0008 ALUC Page 3 of 3

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the ALUC for San Diego County at a regular meeting this 4TH day of April, 2013, by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners: Alvarez, Cox, Desmond, Gleason, Hubbs, Robinson, Sessom, Smisek

NOES: Commissioners: None

ABSENT: Commissioners: Boland

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL DIRECTOR, CORPORATE SERVICES/ AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER GENERAL COUNSEL

and the second s

ATTACHMENT 0 1 ATTACHMENT 10

4

;

,

Develo 1222 F San Di	San Diego opment Services irst Ave., MS-302 ego, CA 92101 46-5000	Ov	vnership Disclosure Statement
Neighborhood Developme	priate box for type of approval (s) rec nt Permit Site Development Pe p Uesting Tentative Map Ma		ndment • [] Other
Project Title BILLS	RESIDENCE		Project No. For City Use Only 290417
Project Address: 2719	BAYSIDE WAL	K, SAN DIEGO	, CA 92109
By signing the Ownership Discle above, will be filed with the City below the owner(s) and tenant(who have an interest in the proper individuals who own the proper from the Assistant Executive Dis Development Agreement (DDA) Manager of any changes in own	v of San Diego on the subject property of San Diego on the subject property (if applicable) of the above reference to the subject property, recorded or otherwise, and state ty). A signature is required of at lease rector of the San Diego Redevelopment) has been approved / executed by hership during the time the application inty days prior to any public hearing any in the hearing process.	wledge that an application for a pe rty, with the intent to record an er anced property. The list must inclu te the type of property interest (e.g. st one of the property owners. At hent Agency shall be required for a the City Council. Note: The app on is being processed or considere	rmit, map or other matter, as identified neumbrance against the property. Please list ide the names and addresses of all persons i., tenants who will benefit from the permit, all tach additional pages if needed. A signature all project parcels for which a Disposition and licant is responsible for notifying the Project ed. Changes in ownership are to be given to a to provide accurate and current ownership
Name of Individual (type or		Name of Individual (ty	pe or print):
Owner Tenant/Less	see Redevelopment Agency	Owner Tenar	nt/Lessee CRedevelopment Agency
Street Address: 2719 City/State/Zip:	has .	Street Address: City/State/Zip:	
Son Dies G	Fax No:	Phone No:	Fax No:
Signature :	Date:	Signature :	Date:
Name of Individual (type or	print):	Name of Individual (typ	pe or print):
Owner Tenant/Lesse	ee Redevelopment Agency	Owner Tenant	/Lessee Redevelopment Agency
Street Address:		Street Address:	
City/State/Zip:		City/State/Zip:	
Phone No:	Fax No:	Phone No:	Fax No:
Signature :	Date:	Signature :	Date:
<u>*************************************</u>			

Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u> Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.

DS-318 (5-05)

	ATTACHMENT 0 1
Project Title: BILLS RESIDENCE	Project No. (For City Use Only)
Part II - To be completed when property is held by a corpora	tion or partnership
Legal Status (please check):	
Corporation Limited Liability -or- General) What Stat	te? Corporate Identification No
in a partnership who own the property). <u>A signature is required or</u> <u>property</u> . Attach additional pages if needed. Note: The applicant ownership during the time the application is being processed or c Manager at least thirty days prior to any public hearing on the sub information could result in a delay in the hearing process. Addi	subject property with the intent to record an encumbrance against of all persons who have an interest in the property, recorded or ho will benefit from the permit, all corporate officers, and all partners of at least one of the corporate officers or partners who own the is responsible for notifying the Project Manager of any changes in onsidered. Changes in ownership are to be given to the Project oject property. Failure to provide accurate and current ownership tional pages attached Yes No
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address: 2719 2445106 AMC.	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Squitter Control Phone No: Fax No: 761/994-3154 Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Title (type or print): Roman V. Bills	Title (type or print):
Signature : Date: Roused Rolling 7/32/2012	Signature : Date:
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Phone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Title (type or print):	Title (type or print):
Signature : Date:	Signature : Date:
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address:	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Phone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Title (type or print):	Title (type or print):
Signature : Date:	Signature : Date:

ATTACHMENT 0 1 Attachment 11

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES **Project Chronology Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC – Project No. 290417**

Date	Action	Description	City Review Time (Working Days)	Applicant Response (Working Days)
09/20/2012	First Submittal	Project Deemed Complete		
10/16/2012	First Assessment Letter		18 days	
12/19/2012	Second Submittal			45 days
01/11/2013	Second Assessment Letter		11 days	
02/21/2013	Third Submittal			27 days
03/07/2013	Third Assessment Letter		10 days	
04/10/2013	Fourth Submittal			23 days
04/23/2013	Fourth Assessment Letter/Final Review		9 days	
04/12/2013	Environmental Determination – Exempt, Section 15332 Infill Development			
05/03/2013	Environmental Determination – Exempt, Section 15332 Infill Development		8 days	
06/12/2013	Hearing Officer - Public Hearing		27 days	
TOTAL STA	FF TIME	(Does not include City Holidays or City Furlough)	83 days	
TOTAL APP	LICANT TIME	(Does not include City Holidays or City Furlough)		95 days
TOTAL PRO	DJECT RUNNING TIME		178 da	nys

City of San Diego	Development I	Permit/ FORM			
	onmental Determi	ination DS-3031			
(619) 446-5210	Appeal Appl				
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO					
See Information Bulletin 505, "Development Permits Appe	eal Procedure," for information on	the appeal procedure.			
 Type of Appeal: Process Two Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission Process Three Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission Process Four Decision - Appeal to City Council 	 Environmental Determinat Appeal of a Hearing Office 	ion - Appeal to City Council er Decision to revoke a permit			
2. Appellant Please check one Applicant D Officially rect 113.0103)	ognized Planning Committee 🛛 🔲 "In	terested Person" (Per M.C. Sec.			
Name: Mission Beach Precise Planning Board, Deborah Watkins, Chair	E-mail Address: dkwatkns@aol.com				
Address: C	ty: State: Zip Code: Diego CA 92109	Telephone: (858) 344-1684			
3. Applicant Name (As shown on the Permit/Approval being app	pealed). Complete if different from ap				
Hilary Lowe, Mark Mitchell Architecture and Planning	an a	an agang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang			
4. Project Information Permit/Environmental Determination & Permit/Document No.:	Date of Decision/Determination:	City Project Manager:			
Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC; Project No. 290417	June 12, 2013	Laura Black			
Decision (describe the permit/approval decision): Permission granted to demolish the existing residential dwelling	unit and construct a 3-story, 5,276 so	. ft. triplex on a 4,477 sq. ft.			
lot located at 2719 Bayside Walk in Mission Beach, San Diego, (СА.	and the second			
5. Grounds for Appeal (<i>Please check all that apply</i>)	Minute La Tan and a substantial and a substantial and a substantial and a substantial substantial substantial a	an an international control and the structure of t			
 Goudants for Appear (<i>Lease Check an that apply</i>) Factual Error Conflict with other matters Findings Not Supported 	 New Information City-wide Significance (Place) 	rocess Four decisions only)			
Description of Grounds for Appeal (Please relate your descrip Chapter 11, Article 2, Division 5 of the San Diego Municipal Code	tion to the allowable reasons for appe g. Attach additional sheets if necess	eal as more fully described in ary.)			
Please See: "Attachment A", which is attached hereto and incor	porated herewith.				
BECELVED					
	1 U hour beer				
JUN 25	5 2013				
	ከተ ለ ኮሞንኑ <i>ነ</i> በግርጉ				
DEVELOPMEI					
	· _				
6. Appellant's Signature: I certify under penalty of perjury that the	o foregoing including all names and	addresses is true and correct			
	le loregoing, including all names and	addresses, is the and correct.			
Signature: Deborah Watkins	Date: <u>June 25, 2013</u>				
Note: Faxed appeals are not accepted. Appeal fees are non-					
Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web si					
Upon request, this information is available in DS-30	alternative formats for persons with disat	Линов.			

_ "Attachment A"_____

Re: 2719 Bayside Walk, Project Number 290417

The Mission Beach Precise Planning Board ("MBPPB"), the recognized community planning group, is filing this Appeal Application to appeal the City's Development Services Department Hearing Officer's decision of June 12, 2013, regarding the abovereferenced project. Plan Reviewer Dennis Lynch attended the hearing on behalf of the MBPPB and filed a speaker's slip.

The MBPPB voted to deny the Coastal Development Permit to demo the existing residence and construct a new three-story, 5,276 sq. ft. triplex on a 4,477 sq. ft. lot located at 2719 Bayside Walk at two (2) separate meetings on January 15, 2013 and March 19, 2013. [Please See: Community Planning Committee Distribution Form Part I and Part 2 dated February 2, 2013, and Community Planning Committee Distribution Form Part I and Part 2 dated March 28, 2013, which are attached hereto and incorporated herewith as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively.] [Also, See: Copy of MBPPB Excerpts from Minutes of Meeting of Tuesday, March 19, 2013, at pages 3-4, which is attached hereto and incorporated herewith as Exhibits 3.]

City's Factual Error In Its Findings

The evidence and statements relied upon by the City in its <u>findings that a deck</u> <u>overhang is a roof</u> and that the <u>portion of the deck that encroaches</u> beyond the setback line <u>is in effect a roof eave</u> that is an allowable encroachment in the Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance ("PDO") is incorrect. **It is not**. These decks are located both in the Bayside Walk and Avalon Court required yard setbacks.

1

In addition, the City's Hearing Officer's finding that the pop-outs located along a portion of the second and third floors on the South elevation in the interior side yard of the building are eaves is incorrect. **They are not.**

The City's Decision to Approve the Permit Is In Direct

Conflict with the Mission Beach PDO

One of the <u>major goals</u> and <u>intent</u> of the Mission Beach PDO is to provide open space in the yards of our densely-packed small-lots community and to provide a buffer of openness between building construction and the pedestrian and view corridors. The provisions of the Mission Beach PDO have always been extremely protective of its setbacks. We are always preventing developers, who are so eager to occupy as much of a lot's surface as they can, from encroaching into setbacks.

On August 12, 2010, the Planning Commission, during the first of several hearings regarding changes to the Mission Beach PDO, approved a motion to exclude overhang encroachments into the required yards along Bayside and Ocean Front Walks. At that hearing, a comment was made by one of the Commissioners as to the likelihood that the Coastal Commission would object to the overhangs on the Courts and Places. In fact, this turned out to be the case. Encroaching overhangs have never been allowed on developments in Mission Beach.

The Applicant has designed his project at 2719 Bayside Walk with deck overhangs that encroach into the required yard setbacks on Bayside Walk and Avalon Court, which is not allowed in the Mission Beach PDO. The Applicant claims that the decks are in fact "roofs" and that the portion of the decks that encroach into the yards are "roof eaves" as that term is set forth in the Mission Beach PDO. **They are not**. The intent and application

2

of the Mission Beach PDO is that roofs are at the tops of structures. This is made clear by referring to any and all definitional sources of a roof. [See: Exhibit 2.]

The Applicant's architect feels he has discovered a <u>loophole</u> in the Mission Beach PDO that permits these deck encroachments despite the clear intent and purpose of the PDO to not allow any such encroachments.

The Code provisions the Applicant relies on in Exhibit 4, which is attached hereto, do not in any way support his assertion that concrete decks are roofs or that the nonwalkable extended portions of decks qualify as eaves.

Moreover, the Applicant states in Exhibit 4 that he can find "no definition for 'Deck' because a deck is really a roof with a walkable surface." To the contrary, a roof deck is defined as the foundation or base upon which the <u>entire roofing system</u> is dependent; A flat open portion atop a roof, such as a terrace or sundeck. (*www.dictionaryofconstruction.com/definition/roof-deck.html*). Further, according, to *Wikipedia*, a roof deck is the roofing material layer between the primary structural components (trusses & joists) and either insulative layers or weatherproofing layers in a typical roof system.

These definitions distinguish that roof decks are found on the top of structures. The decks on the second and third floors of this project are balconies because they do not support the entire roofing system and are not the top of the structure.

In conclusion, we hereby request the Planning Commission find that these deck overhangs are not roofs, and their encroaching extremities do not constitute roof eaves pursuant to the provisions and intent of the Mission Beach PDO and must be removed.

3

City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101	्ष -		Community Planning Committee
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		Disti	ribution Form Part 1
Project Name:		Project Number:	Distribution Date:
TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC		290417	9/20/2012
Project Scope/Location:	WALK	,	
MISSION BEACH *SUSTAINABLE EXPEDITE F to demo the existing residence and construct a net Walk in the R-S Zone of the Mission Beach Planr Coastal (appealable), First Public Roadway, Park Notice Cards = 1. f_{i} , f_{i} , f_{i	ew three-story, 5,2 ned District, Airport	76 sq ft triplex on a 4,4 Influence Area, FAA P	77 sq ft lot located at 2719 Bayside art 77 Noticing Area, Coastal Height,
Applicant Name:	 	Applicant Pho	ne Number:
Hillary Lowe	· ·	(858) 274-597	
Project Manager:	Phone Number:		E-mail Address:
_aura Black	(619) 236-632	. (619) 446-5245	LBlack@sandiego.gov
 (1) INTEREOR SIDE YARD CLEATER TUAN 3 FEET J STORACE, ETEPS, RALLING UP TO 6 FOTT IS ALLOWED NOTE. ONLY A S FOOT I FRONTACE ALONG BAYSID SEFET WOOF OTHIS BAYSID SEFET WOOF OTHIS AD (2) OUR POO ALLOWS FOR A LINE FXTENDENDE THE CAP OFFET BEEGOMES MADE BUTLAING SISIS, OSOH (E) SUPLIS, FUNCTS, PLANTERS IN NETSUP CAN NOT DE LO AND RAYSIDE WALK. (4) ERUSSIANS OCCUPY OF WEN SOUTORS 	EN HUELONY NY HUELONY NY URLONY WY URLONY W WALK <u>L</u> W WALK <u>L</u> W WALK <u>L</u> W WALK <u>L</u> W WALK NO WELONY NO WAY CNY K LA TU LLY VNCASS	. REMOUS RI TO SECUES THE SOUTH PROVE SOLS FOT SACK ES NOT OF THE BUS SOFEET WIS THE ONTING UNG MAN-MAD UNG REQUISED SOFEET SIS SOFEET SIS SOFE SIS SOF	SFUSS / RECYCLAGLON LIS HUFFLANT, A FUNCU RTY WENT, A FUNCU MAY WENT, A FUNCU THE LOT TO LATS STANN AFGUIRD & SISIS. USOU (C) SEFP FROM THE STANCY WERT OF THE NE GRUTHTUR THAN 36 INCLU INCLOSE A LANG AURLON (T) INTERSOR Y ARE & THOUSING
FOR ENJER ON CHEEP N 3.4 80	IDE VAP ZHLA VARUN DAVLE	TT A 6.2 WEETS	40. NO 660 MUTHEUREMENTS 1513.0304 (2)(1).
ttach Additional Pages If Necessary.	City of Sa Developm 1222 Firs	lanagement Division	nt
Printed on recycled paper. Vis Upon request, this information i	sit our web site at <u>ww</u> 's available in alterna	vw.sandiego.gov/develop tive formats for persons	ment-services. with disabilities.
		<u>ﻮﺭﻩﺭﻩﺭﻩ ﯞﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩ</u>	

.

(01-12)

	AT	ΤA	CHN	MEN	T 2
--	----	----	-----	-----	-----

City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101 The City of San Diego		۲. 			nmunity Planning Committee Ition Form Part 2		
Project Name:	and the second secon	·	roject	Number:	Distribution Date:		
TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC			2	90417	9/20/2012		
Project Scope/Location:		, ,		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a			
Project Scope/Location: MISSION BEACH *SUSTAINABLE EXPEDITE PROGRAM* (PROCESS 3) Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjstmnt to demo the existing residence and construct a new three-story, 5,276 sq ft triplex on a 4,477 sq ft lot located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the R-S Zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, Airport Influence Area, FAA Part 77 Noticing Area, Coastal Height, Coastal (appealable), First Public Roadway, Parking Impact, Res Tandem Parking and Mission Beach Community Plan, CD 2. Notice Cards = 1.							
Applicant Name:	داردوا دهم بخد ی سنوری	a na an		Applicant 1	Phone Number:		
Hillary Lowe				(858) 274-			
Project Manager:	Phone	e Number:	Fa	K Number:	E-mail Address:		
Laura Black	(619)	236-6327	(61	9) 446-5245	LBlack@sandiego.gov		
Committee Recommendations (To be completed for Initial Review):							
Vote to Approve]	Members Y	es N	Aembers No	Members Abstain		
Vote to Approve With Conditions Listed Below	·]]	Mémbers Y	es .N	Iembers No	Members Abstain		
Vote to Approve With Non-Binding Recommendations Listed Below	1	Members Y	es N	1embers No	Members Abstain		
Vote to Deny	ſ	Viembers Y J	es N	Iembers No O	Members Abstain		
No Action (Please specify, e.g., Need further information, Split vote, L quorum, etc.)			e, Lacl	cof	Continued		
CONDITIONS:							
NAME: DENNES L'INCH			TITLE: PIDN REVERINGA				
SIGNATURE: Denno Synch			DATE: FOREMARY 2, 2013				
Attach Additional Pages If Necessary. Please return to: Project Management Division City of San Diego Development Services Department 1222 First Avenue, MS 302 San Diego, CA 92101							
Printed on recycled paper. Visit ou Upon request, this information is ava	ir web sit ailable in	e at <u>www.san</u> alternative fo	idiego.g ormats f	ov/developmen or persons with	<u>t-services</u> . disabilities.		

(01-12)



THE CITY OF SAN DIECO

City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101

Community Planning Committee Distribution Form Part 1

Project Name:	Project Number:	Distribution Date:
Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC	290417	2/21/2013

Project Scope/Location:

MISSION BEACH *SUSTAINABLE EXPEDITE PROGRAM* (PROCESS 3) Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjstmnt to demo the existing residence and construct a new three-story, 5,276 sq ft triplex on a 4,477 sq ft lot located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the R-S Zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, Airport Influence Area, FAA Part 77 Noticing Area, Coastal Height, Coastal (appealable), First Public Roadway, Parking Impact, Res Tandem Parking and Mission Beach Community Plan. CD 2. Notice Cards = 1.

Applicant Name:		Applicant Phone Number:		
Hilary Lowe		858.274.5978		
Project Manager:	Phone Number:	Fax Number:	E-mail Address:	
Laura C. Black	(619) 236-6327	(619) 321-3200	Iblack@sandlego.gov	

Project Issues (To be completed by Community Planning Committee for initial review):

See attach paper

 Attach Additional Pages If Necessary.
 Please return to:

 Project Management Division
 City of San Diego

 Development Services Department
 1222 Wirst Avenue, MS 302

 San Diego, CA 92101
 Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at www.sandiego.gov/development-services.

 Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.

(01-13)





City of San Diego Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diego, CA 92101

Community Planning Committee Distribution Form Part 2

Project Name:	• •	Project Number:	Distribution Date:
Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC	,	290417	2/21/2013 .
Project Scope/Location:			

MISSION BEACH *SUSTAINABLE EXPEDITE PROGRAM* (PROCESS 3) Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjstmnt to demo the existing residence and construct a new three-story, 5,276 sq ft triplex on a 4,477 sq ft lot located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the R-S Zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, Airport Influence Area, FAA Part 77 Noticing Area, Coastal Height, Coastal (appealable), First Public Roadway, Parking Impact, Res Tandem Parking and Mission Beach Community Plan. CD 2. Notice Cards = 1.

Applicant Name:			Applicant Phone Number:		
Hilary Lowe	· .		858.274.5	5978	
Project Manager:	Phone Number:	Fax	Number:	E-mail Address:	
Laura C. Black	(619) 236-6327	(619) 321-3200	lblack@sandlego.gov	

Committee Recommendations (To be completed for Initial Review):

🗍 Vote to Approve	Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
Vote to Approve With Conditions Listed Below	Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
Vote to Approve With Non-Binding Recommendations Listed Below	Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
Vote to Deny	Members Yes	Members No	Members Abstain
I No Action (Please specify, e.g., Need further informat quorum, etc.)	Continued		
CONDITIONS:	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	ىرى ئىرىكى ئى ئىرىكى ئىرىكى	

NAME: MIKE MEYER	TITLE:
SIGNATURE: Mille Mener	DATE: 3-28-13
Attach Additional/Pages If Negessary.	Please return to: Project Management Division City of San Diego Development Services Department 1222 First Avenue, MS 302 San Diego, CA 92101
	eb site at <u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u> . ale in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.

(01-13)

2719 BAYSIDE WALK

Project issues:

We are still having problem with projections into the Bayside Walk, Avalon Ct. and the interior side yard. The applicant has extended the floor joist beyond the walls into the setbacks. Some extends two feet (Bayside Walk and Court) and some extend 6 inches into intereior side yard.

We do not allow these projections unless they are eves.

These are not eves. Using Google as definition of eaves and roofs. The whole first page defines eaves as overhang from a roof. Roofs are defined as tops of buildings.

These projection are coming off a deck on the Bayside Walk. They are not eaves.

Also the courts are not eaves.

The reason we have put the restriction of projections in front of the front yard setback because people have later after building or when redoing decks ten years later for water damage uses the projections to extend the decks into the setback.

The California Coastal Commission after the Planning Group had wanted to allow a three foot cover extended from the building over the front entry door was disallowed because of view corridor last summer.

The Mision Beach Planning Group voted to deny 2719 Bayside Walk 10-0-1 because of the intrusions into yard setback on the Bayside Walk, Avalon Ct. and the interior side yard.

Ϊ.

.

.....

Information Item

 West Mission Bay Bridge Project Update – City of San Diego Project Management Team

Nitsuh Aberra, City Project Manager presented the project update. Ms. Aberra pointed out the bridge was built in 1950, and is functionally obsolete and structurally deficient. The City will get 80% of the funding from the Federal government and the City will pay for 20% of the cost. She reported the design stage is still in process. The current bridge will be replaced in two pieces at the eastern half and a free lane will be open to traffic during construction. It will be replaced with a 5-span haunched-box girder bridge structure with three thru lanes, an auxiliary lane to I-8, a 12-foot wide bicycle space, and pedestrian seating. The inside of the bridge will provide vehicular lighting. Construction is scheduled to start in 2015 and will take two years to complete. Several Board members expressed concern about the turn lanes off and onto I-8. Ms. Aberra stated she would look into the matter further and get back to the Board since the bridge is still in its design stage.

BUILDING PLAN REVIEWS

Action Items:

• 2719 Bayside Walk – Project No. 290417 (Triplex for WIR Holdings, LLC): Sustainable Expedite Program Coastal Development Permit and Lot Line Adjustment to demo existing residence and construct a new 3-story 5,276 sq. ft. Triplex on 4,477 sq. ft. lot – Review revised project plans for conformity with Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

Mark Mitchell of Mark Mitchell Architecture represented the owner.

The Board heard this project on January 15, 2013. The project plans were denied (9-0-1) because several areas in the plans did not conform to the new PDO requirements, effective August 9, 2012.

Architect Mark Mitchell presented the revised project plans.

Plan Reviewers Mike Meyer and Dennis Lynch reviewed the revised project plans on behalf of the Board. They pointed out several areas in the project plans were corrected to conform to the new PDO requirements as follows:

- The interior side yard on the south can not have construction greater than 3 feet in height. Refuse/recyclable storage, steps, railings, etc. that exceed this height must be removed;
- (2) Our PDO allows for an 18-inch vertical offset from the setback line extending the full height of the building. This building is greater than 30 feet wide for which that offset becomes mandatory for the entire width of the building;
- (3) Walls, fences, planters and anything man-made greater than 36 inches in height can not be in the required yards along Avalon Court and Bayside Walk

However, the Plan Reviewers pointed out there is a problem with projections into Bayside Walk, Avalon Court and the interior side yard because the applicant extended the floor joist beyond the walls into the setback. Some extend two feet on Bayside Walk and Avalon Court and some extend six inches into the interior side yard. These projections are not allowed unless they are eaves. The Plan Reviewers explained that an eave is an overhang from a roof and these extensions are not considered eaves because they are coming off a deck on the Bayside Walk. After further discussion, a motion was duly made to deny the project as follows:



Mission Beach Precise Planning Board Excerpts from Minutes of Meeting of Tuesday, March 19, 2013

Motion 2 was made by Dennis Lynch and seconded by Mike Meyer TO DENY the project plans at 2719 Bayside Walk because the intrusions into the yard setbacks are violations of the new PDO requirements as discussed above.

VOTE For: 10 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

Motion passes. [Abstain: J. Ready]

• 3610 Bayside Walk – Project No. 296187 (Cadena Residence): Sustainable Expedite Program Coastal Development Permit to demo SFR and construct a 2,762 sq. ft. SFR with attached 399 sq. ft. garage on a 0.06 acre site – Review revised project plans for conformity with Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

Architect Chad Beaver of Golba Architecture represented the owner.

The Board heard this project on November 20, 2012. The project plans were denied (8-0-0) because several areas in the plans did not conform to the new PDO requirements, effective August 9, 2012.

Architect Chad Beaver presented the revised plans.

Plan Reviewers Mike Meyer and Dennis Lynch reviewed the revised project plans on behalf of the Board. Plan Review Lynch pointed out the problem areas in the project plans were corrected to conform to the new PDO requirements as follows:

- The open tandem parking space must be 36 feet long and 8 ½ feet wide [See: PDO, effective August 9, 2012, Section 1513.0403 (b) (4) (A)];
- (2) The eaves on the third floor roof can not encroach more than 6 inches into the interior side yard setbacks on the North and South elevations. This also applies to the first floor garage eave on the North interior side yard;
- (3) The railings have a curved portion that encroaches into the front yard second story setback on the East and into the side yard setbacks on the North third floor and on the second and third floors on the South.
- (4) Landscaping: No landscape plans were submitted. PDO requires 50% softscape 50% hardscape. Trees must be planted within 4 to 5 feet from the building, and no man-made objects greater than 36 inches shall be located in the required front yard setback.

After discussion, the following motion was duly made to approve the project because all concerns have been met to conform to the new PDO requirements.

Motion 3 was made by Dennis Lynch and seconded by Peggy Bradshaw TO APPROVE the project plans at 3610 Bayside Walk because all concerns have been met to conform to the new PDO requirements as discussed above.

VOTEFor: 11Against: 0Abstain: 0Motion passes.

MARK MITCHELL A.I.A. ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING

The MBPPB voted to deny the project based on the eave projections into Bayside Walk, Avalon Court and the interior side yards because they argue that these are not eaves since they attach to a deck. It was also suggested that they suspect the guard rails around the decks will be relocated in the furture to the edge of the eaves where where the project is not represented in the design.

The eaves designed in this project comply with the Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance affective August 2012. Table 1513-03B allows eaves to encroach 2-feet into the required yards on courts, places and walks, and 6-inches on interior and street side yards. It is further stated that "the area that encroaches may not be used to support decks, exterior balconies, or floors" and "the eave shall not intrude into any required 45 degree angle for a Court, Place, Walk, or interior yard." The proposed eaves have been designed to comply with all of these regulations.

The google definitions cited by the MBPPB are not in line with the building code and municipal code definitions. The "decks" in question are all roofs over a space below, whether it is an interior space or a patio. The definition of a roof is much more than the "top of a building," as the google definition states. A roof is a specific structural component in a building that provided weather protection and resistance to design loads. A roof can also be a roof deck.

According to the building code a deck is actually a low sloped roof over the area below. It must be sloped for drainage. The 2010 California Building Code has the following definitions for "Roof Assembly" and "Roof Eave", there is no definition for "Deck" because a deck is really a roof with a walkable surface:

CBC SECTION 1502: DEFINITIONS

ROOF ASSEMBLY. A system designed to provide weather protection and resistance to design loads. The system consists of a roof covering and roof deck or a single component serving as both the roof covering and the roof deck. A roof assembly includes the roof deck, vapor retarder, substrate or thermal barrier, insulation, vapor retarder and roof covering.

CBC SECTION 702A: DEFINITIONS ROOF EAVE. The lower portion of a sloping roof assembly that projects beyond and overhangs an exterior wall at the lower end of the rafter tails.

The San Diego Municipal Code defines roof eave in Chapter 11 Definitions as the following:

Roof eave means the lowest part of a roof that overhangs the wall below and from which rain water would drain.

The eaves in the proposed triplex are an important part of the design. They provide sun shading, weather protection and visual interest and they have been designed to comply respectfully with the regulations stated in the Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance.

4747 M	USSION BLVD,,	SUIȚE # 6,	SAN DIEGO, CA	92109 858-274-597	8 fax 858-2	274-5981
			2	闧		

To: Hearing Officer Project # 290417/ 2719 Bayside Walk

From: Joan & Richard Brazell, owners 2715 Bayside Walk

Re: Start Date of project demolition and framing

On behalf of the summer visitors and vacationing residents we hope you take in consideration that July is our busiest month for traffic, parking and beach goers. The project would be better tolerated by all-(residents, workers and inspectors) if the permit were to be issued after the busy summer season.

Sincerely,

Joan Mayell 7/12/2013

Ch. Art. Div.

(d) Encroachments

(1) Only the encroachments identified in Section 1513.0304(d) are allowed.

Feature	Courts, Places, and Walks	Interior and Street Side Yard	
Casings for Doors & Windows	6 inches	6 inches	
Cornices ^{2, 3}	1 foot 6 inches		
Direct Vent Gas	1 foot	6 inches in 3- foot setback 1 foot in 5-foot setback	
Eaves ²	2 feet ⁴ 6 inches		
Lighting Fixtures	9 inches	9 inches	
Rain Gutters	6 inches	6 inches	
Vents	6 inches	6 inches	

Table 1513-03BAllowable Encroachments1

¹ For features in Table 1513-03B that are located on any portion of the façade that encroaches 18 inches into the Court, Place, or Walk yard setback using the vertical offset in Section 1513.0304(d)(2)(A)(i), a maximum additional encroachment of 6 inches is allowed.

- ² The area that encroaches may not be used to support decks, exterior balconies, or floors.
- ³ Cornices shall not exceed 1-foot in height.
- ⁴ The eave shall not intrude into any required 45 degree angle for a Court, Place, Walk or interior yard.

- (2) Encroachments into yards for Courts, Places, and all yards on Ocean Front and Bayside Walks
 - (A) The following encroachments, in addition to those identified in Table 1513-03B, are permitted in yards for Courts, Places, and Walks:
 - (i) An encroachment of up to 18 inches or a vertical offset extending full height of the building that is a maximum of 3 feet in deep and not less than 45 degrees for at least 50 percent of the building as illustrated in Diagram 1513-03D provided that the width of the encroaching offset is not more than one-half of the total building width, and an insert area equal to the width of the encroaching offset at a minimum depth of 18 inches is undeveloped behind the required setback line parallel to the Court, Place, or Walk.
 - (ii) Only those encroachments identified in Table 1513-03B are allowed in the offset and inset areas.



Diagram 1513-03D 18 Inch Offset for a Court, Walk, or Place

PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. PC-XXXX COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1018896 LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT NO. 1098742 TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. - PROJECT NO. 290417

WHEREAS, WIR HOLDINGS, LLC., Ronald J. Bills, Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit to demolish the existing two-story duplex and construct a three-story triplex (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742) on portions of a 4,477 square foot site;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone, the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lot A of Mission Beach, Block 17, Map No. 1809;

WHEREAS, on June 12, 2013, the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego approved Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

WHEREAS, on June 25, 2013, an appeal was filed on the Hearing Officer approval on June 12, 2013, by the Chairperson of the Mission Beach Precise Planning Board;

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2013, the City of San Diego, as Lead Agency, through the Development Services Department, made and issued an Environmental Determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et. seq.) under CEQA Guideline Section 15332 (Infill Development Projects) and there was no appeal of the Environmental Determination filed within the time period provided by San Diego Municipal Code Section 112.0520;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Planning Commission adopts the following written Findings, dated September 12, 2013.

FINDINGS:

Coastal Development Permit - Section 126.0708

1. The proposed coastal development will not encroach upon any existing physical accessway that is legally used by the public or any proposed public accessway identified in a Local Coastal Program land use plan; and the proposed coastal development will enhance and protect public views to and along the ocean and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the Local Coastal Program land use plan.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The proposed project building footprint will not change substantially from the existing building on site that is proposed to be demolished as part of the project. The proposed building is setback according to the required minimum setback guidelines within the Mission Beach Planned District (MBPD). Although no specific views are identified through the project site in the precise plan, the plan states that views to, and along the shoreline from public areas shall be protected from blockage by development and or vegetation. In addition SDMC 132.0403(b) requires the preservation of a visual corridor of not less than the side yard setbacks or more than 10 feet in width, and running the full depth of the premises whenever there is a potential view to the water. Potential views to Mission Bay looking east from Bayside Lane and through the property will be improved with the proposed development. New open fences and landscape less than three feet in height may be located within the required yards provided they do not obstruct views. Condition Number 23 of the Coastal Development permit will require a view corridor easement 10 feet wide on the north side and 5 feet wide on the south side. Therefore, the proposed project would not encroach upon any existing physical accessway and would not impact the Mission Beach Precise Plan or Local Coastal Program.

2. The proposed coastal development will not adversely affect environmentally sensitive lands.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The existing developed site is relatively flat with the proposed building situated very close to the existing structure proposed to be demolished as part of the project. The site is surrounded by development and there is no environmentally sensitive lands mapped on the project site, nor on the adjacent properties; therefore, the proposed project would not have an adverse affect on environmentally sensitive lands.

3. The proposed coastal development is in conformity with the certified Local Coastal Program land use plan and complies with all regulations of the certified Implementation Program.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The project site is designated for residential development, with a maximum density of 36 dwelling units per acre, within the Mission Beach Precise Plan. The project proposes 3 dwelling units on a 4,477 square foot lot for a density of approximately 29 dwelling units per acre. The project is consistent with the designated use and density in the Mission Beach Precise Plan. Therefore, the proposed project conforms to the identified land use in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program, and the certified Implementation Program.

4. For every Coastal Development Permit issued for any coastal development between the nearest public road and the sea or the shoreline of any body of water located within the Coastal Overlay Zone the coastal development is in conformity with

the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

The proposed project is located at 2719 Bayside Walk within an urbanized and fully developed residential neighborhood in the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the Local Coastal Program. The project is located between the nearest public road, Bayside Lane and the sea or shoreline. Bayside Walk is adjacent to the shoreline and Bayside Walk is an area used by pedestrians. Bayside Lane is the first public road adjacent to the project site and to the west of the site. Therefore, the proposed development is located between the first public road and the shoreline of a body of water within the Coastal Overlay Zone. Although no specific views are identified through the project site in the Mission Beach Precise Plan, the plan states that views to, and along the shoreline from public areas shall be protected from blockage by development and or vegetation. In addition SDMC 132.0403(b) requires the preservation of a visual corridor of not less than the side yard setbacks or more than 10 feet in width, and running the full depth of the premises whenever there is a potential view to the water. Condition Number 23 has been added to the Coastal Development Permit to ensure this visual corridor is preserved adjacent to the project site. Potential views to Mission Bay looking east from Bayside Lane and through the property are currently obstructed by existing landscape and structures. The proposed development will remove all existing solid fences or walls or open fences greater than 3 feet in height from the required yards along Avalon Court and the south side vard. New open fences and landscape less than three feet in height may be located within the required yards provided they do not obstruct views. Therefore, the proposed development is in conformity with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

Lot Line Adjustment - Section 125.0340

1. The proposed adjustment complies with the applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. The proposed adjustment will not subdivide any lots. It will adjust the lot line between two existing parcels. Therefore, the proposed adjustment complies with the applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act.

2. Before adjustment, all lots or parcels are existing parcels of land created by separate fee conveyance and meeting the criteria for determination of a lot as specified in Section 113.0237.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for

the proposed development. Before the lot line adjustment, the individual parcels shown on Parcel Map No. 1809 have been recorded by the County Recorder and meets the criteria for determination of a lot as specified in SDMC Section 113.0237.

3. All adjusted lots or parcels comply with the minimum requirements of the Land Development Code and all existing structures comply with the established yards, except for property for which a Variance has been granted pursuant to Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 8 (Variances) and for previously conforming lots or structures, for which the Lot Line Adjustment shall not increase the existing noncompliance.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. The individual parcels comply with the minimum requirements of the Land Development Code, the Mission Beach Precise Plan and the General Plan. All existing structures comply with the established yards, no deviations or variances are requested from the regulations, so there is no existing noncompliance. Therefore, the proposed lot line adjustment shall not increase the existing noncompliance.

4. The Lot Line Adjustment will not result in the creation of any additional parcels.

Parcel Map No. 1809 was recorded on November 13, 1924 creating the existing legal lot requesting redevelopment for a three-story triplex and the legal lot adjacent and to the south of the proposed development site. The existing residential dwelling unit was built in 1946. At some point, the property owner to the south of the project site built a garage on a portion of the shared property line between the two properties. This is the south property line for the proposed development. The proposed adjustment will not subdivide any lots. It will adjust the lot line between two existing parcels. Therefore, the proposed lot line adjustment will not result in the creation of any additional parcels.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, this appeal from the June 12, 2013 Hearing Officer decision is DENIED and Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 are hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Laura C. Black, AICP Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: September 12, 2013 Internal Order No. 24003080 RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE INTERNAL ORDER NUMBER: 24003080

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1018896 LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT NO. 1098742 **TRIPLEX FOR WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. - PROJECT NO. 290417** PLANNING COMMISSION

This Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 and Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 is granted by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego to WIR HOLDINGS, LLC., Ronald J. Bills, Owner/Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] sections 126.0708 and 125.0340. The 4,477 square foot site is located at 2719 Bayside Walk in the MBPD-R-S zone of the Mission Beach Planned District, the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, the 60-65 CNEL noise contour, the Airport Influence Area (SD International Airport), the FAA Part 77 Notification Area, the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone , the Coastal Overlay Zone (Appealable Area), the First Public Roadway, the Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Beach Impact Area), the Residential Tandem Parking Overlay Zone, and the Mission Beach Precise Plan and Local Coastal Program Area. The project site is legally described as: Lot A of Mission Beach, Block 17, Map No. 1809.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to demolish the existing residential dwelling unit and construct a three-story triplex, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated September 12, 2013, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Demolition of the existing residential dwelling unit and the construction of a threestory, 5,276 square foot triplex on a 4,477 square foot lot;
- b. Lot Line adjustment for an approximate 8.2 square foot portion of Parcel 1 to be transferred to Parcel 2, along the southern property line for the project;

- c. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements);
- d. Off-street parking;
- e. A roof-mounted photovoltaic system consisting of solar panels sufficient to generate at least 50 percent of the project's projected energy consumption in accordance with Council Policy 900-14; and
- f. Public and private accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards for this site in accordance with the adopted community plan, the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] and the CEQA Guidelines, the City Engineer's requirements, zoning regulations, conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36 month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker. This permit must be utilized by September 12, 2016.

2. This Coastal Development Permit shall become effective on the eleventh working day following receipt by the California Coastal Commission of the Notice of Final Action, or following all appeals.

3. No permit for the construction, occupancy, or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

4. While this Permit is in effect, the subject property shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision maker.

5. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.

6. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

7. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

8. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial building modifications and site improvements may be required to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State and Federal disability access laws.

9. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." Changes, modifications, or alterations to the construction plans are prohibited unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

10. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and were determinednecessary to make the findings required for approval of this Permit. The Permit holder is required to comply with each and every condition in order to maintain the entitlements that are granted by this Permit.

If any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo, and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

11. The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to,

settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS:

12. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall comply with the affordable housing requirements of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Regulations (SDMC § 142.1301 et seq.).

AIRPORT REQUIREMENTS:

13. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall grant an avigation easement to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority as the operator of the San Diego International Airport for the purposes of aircraft operations, noise and other effects caused by the operation of aircraft, and for structure height if the same would interfere with the intended use of the easement. The Owner/Permittee shall use the avigation easement form provided by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

14. Prior to submitting building plans to the City for review, the Owner/Permittee shall place a note on all building plans indicating that an avigation easement has been granted across the property to the airport operator. The note shall include the County Recorder's recording number for the avigation easement.

15. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall provide a copy of the signed agreement [DS-503] and show certification on the building plans verifying that the structures do not require Federal Aviation Administration [FAA] notice for Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation, or provide an FAA Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation Bulletin 520

ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:

16. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent BMP maintenance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

17. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

18. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

19. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall submit an building pad certification signed by a Registered Civil Engineer or a Licensed Land Surveyor, certifying

the pad elevation based on USGS datum is consistent with Exhibit 'A', satisfactory to the City Engineer.

20. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall remove all private improvements from the City's right-of-way, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

21. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Owner/Permittee to install all required landscape and obtain all required landscape inspections.

22. Landscaping materials shall not encroach or overhang into the Courts and Places rights-ofway and view corridors.

PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

23. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall record a 10-foot wide View Corridor Easement measured from the north property line inward and a 5-foot wide View Corridor Easement measured from the south property line inward, running the full length of the property from east to west in accordance with SDMC Section 132.0403.

24. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or a regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.

25. Prior to the issuance of building permits, construction documents shall fully illustrate the incorporation of a roof-mounted photovoltaic system consisting of solar panels sufficient to generate at least 50 percent of the project's projected energy consumption, in accordance with Council Policy 900-14.

26. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

27. Balcony railings shall not be located, moved or extended into any required yard.

TRANSPORTATION REQUIREMENTS:

28. Owner/Permittee shall maintain a minimum of 6 off-street parking spaces (provided in the configuration of 3 tandem parking spaces) shall be permanently maintained on the property within the approximate location shown on the project's Exhibit "A". Further, all on-site parking stalls and aisle widths shall be in compliance with requirements of the City of San Diego Municipal Code, and shall not be converted and/or utilized for any other purpose, unless otherwise authorized in writing by the Development Services Department Director.

29. Each automobile tandem parking space shall be assigned to the same dwelling unit.

PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS:

30. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall apply for a plumbing permit for the installation of appropriate private back flow prevention device(s), on each water service (domestic, fire and irrigation), in a manner satisfactory to the Director of Public Utilities and the City Engineer. BFPDs shall be located above ground on private property, in line with the service and immediately adjacent to the right-of-way.

31. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond, the design and construction of all public water and sewer facilities are to be in accordance with established criteria in the most current City of San Diego Water and Sewer Design Guides.

32. All proposed private water and sewer facilities located within a single lot are to be designed to meet the requirements of the California Uniform Plumbing Code and will be reviewed as part of the building permit plan check.

33. No trees or shrubs exceeding three feet in height at maturity shall be installed within ten feet of any water and sewer facilities.

34. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond, to cap (abandon) at the property line any existing unused sewer lateral and install new sewer lateral(s) which must be located outside of any driveway or vehicular use area.

35. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by permit and bond, to remove (kill) at the main any existing unused water service.

INFORMATION ONLY:

- The issuance of this discretionary use permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. The operation allowed by this discretionary use permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received final inspection.
- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this Permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code-section 66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Hearing Officer of the City of San Diego on September 12, 2013, and Resolution No. PC-XXXX.

Coastal Development Permit No. 1018896 Lot Line Adjustment No. 1098742 Date of Approval: September 12, 2013

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Laura C. Black, AICP Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

WIR HOLDINGS, LLC. Owner/Permittee

By

Ronald J. Bills Owner

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.









.



Ú

HOLDINGS

Ľ S













.





	Keynote Legend
1	EXISTING FINISHED GRADE TO REMAIN
2	WHITE STUCCO FINISH, COLOR SELECTED BY OWNER
3	GLASS GUARDRAIL WITH COPPER FOOT
4	EXISTING MASONRY WALL TO REMAIN





,



