

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED:	January 23, 2014		REPORT NO. PC-14-007
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Agenda	ı of January	30, 2014
SUBJECT:	AT&T – North Parker PROJECT NO. 331379. PRO	CESS 4.	
OWNED/	TNAN AT TUTE NO ADVED		.*

OWNER/J M A N AT THE N PARKER LP/APPLICANT:AT&T MOBILITY

SUMMARY

Issue: Should the Planning Commission approve a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) at 3000 Upas Street, within the Greater North Park community plan area?

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: APPROVE Neighborhood Use Permit (NUP) No. 1220670 and Planned Development Permit (PDP) No. 1220671.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u>: At the November 19, 2013 meeting of the North Park Community Planning Committee, the group voted 13-2-0 to recommend approval of the project. (Attachment 12)

Environmental Review: This project was evaluated pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and determined to be categorically exempt from CEQA, per State Guidelines, Section 15303 (New Construction). The environmental exemption determination was made on November 25, 2013, the notice was posted December 3, 2013, and the opportunity to appeal this determination ended December 17, 2013. (Attachment 7)

Fiscal Impact Statement: AT&T Mobility is the Financially Responsible Party responsible for costs associated with the processing of this application.

Code Enforcement Impact: Not applicable.

Housing Impact Statement: Not applicable.

BACKGROUND

This project is an application for a Neighborhood Use Permit (NUP) and Planned Development Permit (PDP), Process 4, for a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF). The project is located at 3000 Upas Street, to the north of Upas Street and the east of 30th Street, on the new North Parker building. The project is located in the CN-1-2 zone, within the Greater North Park community plan area (Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4).

WCF's are permitted in commercial zones with a mixed (residential) use with the processing of a NUP, Process 2. The project also is requesting a deviation from the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit, which requires the processing of a PDP, Process 4.

DISCUSSION

Project Description:

This WCF proposes to install 12 panel antennas on the roof of the North Parker building behind radio-frequency (RF) transparent material, painted and textured to integrate with the building. Antenna sectors A and C will be located in one screen enclosure and antenna sector B will be located in another screen enclosure. Equipment associated with the antennas will be located in an interior equipment room.

The WCF Regulations and Council Policy 600-43 encourage wireless providers to locate WCFs away from residential and other sensitive uses by providing lower permit process levels in commercial and industrial zones. WCFs located in commercial and industrial zones are permitted with Process 1 (Staff-level) approvals, whereas WCFs located in a residential zone with a residential use are permitted with a Process 4 (Planning Commission) approval. As this particular project is located in a commercial zone, with a mixed (residential) use, a Process 2 NUP is required. This is more preferable than locating the WCF in a residential zone with a residential use, but is less preferable than locating the WCF in a commercial or industrial zone without a residential use. Wireless carriers are required to provide coverage throughout their license area, which sometimes necessitates locating a WCF in a less preferable area.

WCFs are required to "use all reasonable means to conceal or minimize the visual impact of the WCF through integration..." Integration with existing structures or among existing uses shall be accomplished through the use of architecture, landscape, and siting solutions. In this case, the equipment associated with the antennas will be located internally within the building and the antennas will be screened behind two architecturally-compatible roof-mounted RF-transparent screen enclosures. This will allow parts of the WCF to be concealed and will minimize the overall visual impact of the WCF, by working to integrate the design with the building.

A deviation to the 30-foot CN-1-2 zone height limit is requested as part of this project. The screen enclosures extend beyond the roof of the new North Parker building, with one screen reaching a height of 35-feet, and the second screen with a height of 39-feet. The deviation to the height limit is required to enable AT&T to mount antennas on the roof of the building, in radio-frequency transparent screen boxes. By mounting the antennas at this height, AT&T is able to meet its coverage objective for this area and integrate with the building. A PDP, Process 4, is

being processed along with the NUP to allow the height deviation.

Community Plan Analysis:

While the Greater North Park Community Plan does not specifically address WCFs, the City's General Plan addresses WCFs in UD-A.15 as follows:

Minimize the visual impact of wireless facilities.

- a) Conceal wireless facilities in existing structures when possible, otherwise use camouflage and screening techniques to hide or blend them into the surrounding area.
- b) Design facilities to be aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context.
- c) Conceal mechanical equipment and devices associated with wireless facilities in underground vaults or unobtrusive structures.

This project complies with the General Plan recommendations by 1) concealing the equipment associated with the antennas in an interior equipment room and 2) locating the antennas behind RF-transparent screen enclosures on the roof, designed to be integrated with the North Parker building. This design will allow the WCF to be aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context.

Conclusion:

This project complies with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code and the City's General Plan and draft findings (Attachment 5) have been made in the affirmative. Therefore, staff recommends approval of NUP No. 1220670 and PDP No. 1220671.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve NUP No. 1220670 and PDP No. 1220671, with modifications.
- 2. Deny NUP No. 1220670 and PDP No. 1220671, if the Planning Commission makes written findings based on substantial evidence that the approval is not authorized by state or local zoning law.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Westlake Assistant Deputy Director Development Services Department

Alex Hempton, AICP Development Project Manager Development Services Department

- 3 -

VACCHI/AFH

Attachments:

- 1. Aerial Photo
- 2. Community Plan Land Use Map
- 3. Project Location Map
- 4. Project Data Sheet
- 5. Draft Permit Resolution with Findings
- 6. Draft Permit with Conditions
- 7. Notice of (Environmental) Exemption
- 8. Photo Simulations
- 9. Site Justification and Coverage Maps
- 10. Photographic Survey
- 11. Project Plans
- 12. Community Planning Group Recommendation
- 13. Ownership Disclosure Statement
- 14. Project Chronology
- 15. Notice of Planning Commission Hearing





Aerial Photo AT&T – North Parker – Project Number 331379

3000 Upas St.

TACHMENT 1



3000 Upas St.

Page 1 of 1



3000 Upas St.

PROJECT DATA SHEET

PROJECT NAME:	AT&T – North Parker		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) consisting of 12 panel antennas, concealed behind two-roof mounted radio-frequency transparent screens, and equipment located within the building.		
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:	Greater North Park		
DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS:	Neighborhood Use Permit and Planned Development Permit (Process 4)		
COMMUNITY PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION:	Commercial - Neighborhood		

ZONING INFORMATION:

ZONE: CN-1-2

HEIGHT LIMIT: 30'

ADJACENT PROPERTIES:	LAND USE DESIGNATION & ZONE	EXISTING LAND USE	
NORTH:	Commercial, CN-1-2	Comercial/Residential	
SOUTH:	Commercial, CN-1-2	Mixed Use	
EAST:	Commercial, CN-1-2	SDG&E Utility/Commercial/Residential	
WEST:	Commercial, CN-1-2	Retail/Restaurant	
DEVIATIONS OR VARIANCES REQUESTED:	Deviation to the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit.		
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP RECOMMENDATION:	The North Park Planning Committee approved this project 13-2 at the November 19, 2013 meeting.		

PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. PC-XXXX NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 1220670 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1220671 **AT&T – NORTH PARKER** PROJECT NUMBER 331379

WHEREAS, the J M A N AT THE N PARKER LP, Owner, and AT&T MOBILITY, Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit to construct, operate, and maintain a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit Nos. 1220670 and 1220671);

WHEREAS, the site is located at 3000 Upas Street in the CN-1-2 zone of the Greater North Park community plan area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as: Parcel A (APNs 453-414-12 & 13): the west 20 feet of Lots 5 and 6, all of Lots 7 and 8 and the south 40 feet of Lot 9 in Block 31 of West End; and Parcel B (APN 453-414-04): the north 10 feet of Lot 9, all of Lot 10, and the south 20 feet of Lot 11, all in Block 31 of West End; and Parcel C (APN 453-414-03): the north 30 feet of Lot 11, and the south 10 feet of Lot 12 in Block 31 of West End, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Map Thereof No. 590, filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, May 17, 1873; and Parcel D (APN 453-414-16): Parcel 2 of Parcel Map No. 19739, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, May 23, 2005;

WHEREAS, on November 25, 2013, the City of San Diego, as Lead Agency, through the Development Services Department, made and issued an Environmental Determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et. seq.) under CEQA Guideline Section 15303 (New Construction) and there was no appeal of the Environmental Determination filed within the time period provided by San Diego Municipal Code Section 112.0520;

WHEREAS, on January 30, 2014, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Neighborhood Use Permit No. 1220670 and Planned Development Permit No. 1220671 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Planning Commission adopts the following written Findings, dated January 30, 2014:

FINDINGS:

Neighborhood Use Permit - Section 126.0205

1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan;

While the Greater North Park Community Plan does not specifically address WCFs, the City of San Diego's General Plan (UD-15) requires that the visual impact of wireless facilities be

minimized by concealing wireless facilities in existing structures or using screening techniques to hide or blend them into the surrounding area. The plan also calls for these facilities to be designed to be aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context. Furthermore, the plan states that equipment associated with wireless facilities be concealed from view.

The antennas associated with this WCF are concealed behind two new roof-mounted radiofrequency (RF) transparent screen enclosures, painted and textured to integrate with the new North Parker building. Equipment associated with the antennas will be located internally within the building. The design of the antenna screens will minimize the visual impact of the WCF, by blending them into the new development. The proposed design will result in a WCF that is aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context.

The proposed WCF complies with the City's Land Development Code, Section 141.0420, Wireless Communication Facilities, as well as the requirements of the City's General Plan. The proposed WCF does not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare; and

The project consists of an interior equipment room and two roof-mounted radio-frequency (RF) transparent screen enclosures, concealing 12 panel antennas. The project includes a deviation to allow the two screen boxes to exceed the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit. One screen box reaches a height of 35 feet and the second screen box has a height of 39 feet. The project is located on the new North Parker building, with an address of 3000 Upas Street (located to the north of Upas Street and east of 30th Street), and is located in the Greater North Park community plan area.

The project was determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15303 (New Construction). The conditions of approval for the project will require compliance with several operational constraints and development controls intended to assure the continued public health, safety and welfare. All proposed improvement plans associated with the project will be reviewed prior to issuance of construction permits and inspected during construction to assure the project will meet or exceed all relevant and applicable building, electrical, mechanical, plumbing and fire codes.

Additionally, the Telecommunication Act of 1996 preempts local governments from regulating the "placement, construction and modification of wireless communication facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of Radio Frequency (RF) emission to the extent that such facilities comply with the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) standards for such emissions." A condition has been added to the permit to require a Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields Exposure Report demonstrating that the proposed project would be consistent with the FCC's regulations for wireless facilities. Therefore, the project would not result in any significant health or safety risks to the surrounding area within matters of the City's jurisdiction.

In conclusion, the proposed project will not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

3. The proposed development will comply with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code, including any allowable deviations pursuant to the Land Development Code.

WCFs are permitted in commercial zones with a mixed (residential) use with the processing of a NUP, Process 2. The WCF Design Requirements [LDC Section 141.0420(g)(2)] state that WCFs "shall utilize all reasonable means to conceal or minimize the visual impacts of the WCF through integration. Integration with existing structures or with existing uses shall be accomplished through the use of architecture, landscape, and siting solutions." In this case, equipment associated with the antennas will be completely concealed within an internal equipment room inside the new North Parker building. Twelve panel antennas will be located on the roof of the building inside two new RF-transparent screen enclosures. The screen enclosures will be painted and textured to be integrated with the North Parker building.

The antenna screen enclosures exceed the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit and a PDP, Process 4, is being processed to allow this deviation. The deviation requested to the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit is appropriate in this situation. One antenna screen will reach a height of 35 feet and the second screen will reach 39 feet. The height deviation will allow AT&T to meet their coverage objective and will result in a design that is compatible with the new building design. The existing building reaches heights between 28-32 feet and the addition of 7-foot high screen enclosures will be compatible with the overall development height. If the height deviation request was not granted, AT&T would need to pursue a different location and/or change the proposed design. An alternate design, such as one utilizing façade-mounted antennas, would result in a less architecturally integrated design which would be more visible. The proposed project, by exceeding the zone height limit, will result in a more desirable project than if designed in strict conformance with the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit.

Based on this analysis, the project design, and permits required for the requested deviation, this project complies with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code.

Planned Development Permit - Section 126.0604

1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan;

While the Greater North Park Community Plan does not specifically address WCFs, the City of San Diego's General Plan (UD-15) requires that the visual impact of wireless facilities be minimized by concealing wireless facilities in existing structures or using screening techniques to hide or blend them into the surrounding area. The plan also calls for these facilities to be designed to be aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context. Furthermore, the plan states that equipment associated with wireless facilities be concealed from view.

The antennas associated with this WCF are concealed behind two new roof-mounted radiofrequency (RF) transparent screen enclosures, painted and textured to integrate with the new North Parker building. Equipment associated with the antennas will be located internally within the building. The design of the antenna screens will minimize the visual impact of the WCF, by blending them into the new development. The proposed design will result in a WCF that is aesthetically pleasing and respectful of the neighborhood context. The proposed WCF complies with the City's Land Development Code, Section 141.0420, Wireless Communication Facilities, as well as the requirements of the City's General Plan. The proposed WCF does not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare;

The project consists of an interior equipment room and two roof-mounted radio-frequency (RF) transparent screen enclosures, concealing 12 panel antennas. The project includes a deviation to allow the two screen boxes to exceed the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit. One screen box reaches a height of 35 feet and the second screen box has a height of 39 feet. The project is located on the new North Parker building, with an address of 3000 Upas Street (located to the north of Upas Street and east of 30th Street), and is located in the Greater North Park community plan area.

The project was determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15303 (New Construction). The conditions of approval for the project will require compliance with several operational constraints and development controls intended to assure the continued public health, safety and welfare. All proposed improvement plans associated with the project will be reviewed prior to issuance of construction permits and inspected during construction to assure the project will meet or exceed all relevant and applicable building, electrical, mechanical, plumbing and fire codes.

Additionally, the Telecommunication Act of 1996 preempts local governments from regulating the "placement, construction and modification of wireless communication facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of Radio Frequency (RF) emission to the extent that such facilities comply with the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) standards for such emissions." A condition has been added to the permit to require a Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields Exposure Report demonstrating that the proposed project would be consistent with the FCC's regulations for wireless facilities. Therefore, the project would not result in any significant health or safety risks to the surrounding area within matters of the City's jurisdiction.

In conclusion, the proposed project will not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

3. The proposed development will comply with the regulations of the Land Development Code including any proposed deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) that are appropriate for this location and will result in a more desirable project than would be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the applicable zone; and any allowable deviations that are otherwise authorized pursuant to the Land Development Code.

WCFs are permitted in commercial zones with a mixed (residential) use with the processing of a NUP, Process 2. The WCF Design Requirements [LDC Section 141.0420(g)(2)] state that WCFs "shall utilize all reasonable means to conceal or minimize the visual impacts of the WCF through integration. Integration with existing structures or with existing uses shall be accomplished through the use of architecture, landscape, and siting solutions." In this case, equipment associated with the antennas will be completely concealed within an internal equipment room inside the new North Parker building. Twelve panel antennas will be located on the roof of the

building inside two new RF-transparent screen enclosures. The screen enclosures will be painted and textured to be integrated with the North Parker building.

The antenna screen enclosures exceed the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit and a PDP, Process 4, is being processed to allow this deviation. The deviation requested to the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit is appropriate in this situation. One antenna screen will reach a height of 35 feet and the second screen will reach 39 feet. The height deviation will allow AT&T to meet their coverage objective and will result in a design that is compatible with the new building design. The existing building reaches heights between 28-32 feet and the addition of 7-foot high screen enclosures will be compatible with the overall development height. If the height deviation request was not granted, AT&T would need to pursue a different location and/or change the proposed design. An alternate design, such as one utilizing façade-mounted antennas, would result in a less architecturally integrated design which would be more visible. The proposed project, by exceeding the zone height limit, will result in a more desirable project than if designed in strict conformance with the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit.

Based on this analysis, the project design, and permits required for the requested deviation, this project complies with the applicable regulations of the Land Development Code and the requested deviation results in a more desirable project than if designed in strict conformance with the regulations of the CN-1-2 zone.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 1220670 and PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1220671 are hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Permit Nos. 1220670 and 1220671, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Alexander Hempton, AICP Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: January 30, 2014

Internal Order No. 24003939

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO CITY CLERK

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

INTERNAL ORDER NUMBER: 24003939

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT NO. 1220670 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1220671 AT&T – NORTH PARKER PROJECT NO. 331379 PLANNING COMMISSION

This NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT (NUP) NO. 1220670 and PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT (PDP) NO. 1220671 are granted by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego to J M A N AT THE N PARKER LP, Owner, and AT&T MOBILITY, Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] sections 126.0201, et seq., 126.0601, et seq., and 141.0420. The site is located at 3000 Upas Street in the CN-1-2 zone of the Greater North Park community plan area. The project site is legally described as: Parcel A (APNs 453-414-12 & 13): the west 20 feet of Lots 5 and 6, all of Lots 7 and 8 and the south 40 feet of Lot 9 in Block 31 of West End; and Parcel B (APN 453-414-04): the north 10 feet of Lot 9, all of Lot 10, and the south 20 feet of Lot 11, all in Block 31 of West End; and Parcel C (APN 453-414-03): the north 30 feet of Lot 11, and the south 10 feet of Lot 12 in Block 31 of West End, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Map Thereof No. 590, filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, May 17, 1873; and Parcel D (APN 453-414-16): Parcel 2 of Parcel Map No. 19739, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, May 23, 2005.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to construct, operate, and maintain a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF), described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated January 30, 2014, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Twelve (12) panel antennas (with the following dimensions: 78.0" by 14.8" by 8.6"), concealed behind two 7-foot tall radio-frequency (RF) transparent screen boxes, located on the roof of the North Parker building;
- b. Associated equipment located in a 16' by 18' equipment room inside an interior tenant improvement space;
- c. A deviation to the CN-1-2 zone 30-foot height limit, permitted with the processing of this PDP. The screen box associated with antenna sectors A and C reaches a total height of 35 feet and the screen box associated with antenna sector B reaches a total height of 39 feet;
- d. This structure is for the primary purpose of providing residential and commercial uses, but may have the secondary purpose of accommodating the Permittee's Operations on the Premises.
- e. Public and private accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards for this site in accordance with the adopted community plan, the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] and the CEQA Guidelines, the City Engineer's requirements, zoning regulations, conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36 month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker. This permit must be utilized by February 13, 2017.

2. This Neighborhood Use Permit [NUP] and Planned Development Permit [PDP] and corresponding use of this site shall expire on January 30, 2024. Upon expiration of this Permit, the facilities and improvements described herein shall be removed from this site and the property shall be restored to its original condition preceding approval of this Permit.

3. No later than ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of this permit, the Owner/Permittee may submit a new application to the City Manager for consideration with review and a decision by the appropriate decision maker at that time. Failure to submit prior to the deadline will be cause for enforcement for noncompliance, which may include penalties and fines.

4. Under no circumstances, does approval of this permit authorize the Owner/Permittee to utilize this site for wireless communication purposes beyond the permit expiration date. Use of this permit beyond the expiration date of this permit is prohibited.

5. No permit for the construction, occupancy, or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

6. While this Permit is in effect, the subject property shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision maker.

7. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.

8. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

9. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

10. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial building modifications and site improvements may be required to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State and Federal disability access laws.

11. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." Changes, modifications, or alterations to the construction plans are prohibited unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

12. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and were determinednecessary to make the findings required for approval of this Permit. The Permit holder is required to comply with each and every condition in order to maintain the entitlements that are granted by this Permit.

If any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo, and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

13. The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

AIRPORT REQUIREMENTS:

14. Prior to issuance of any construction permits, the Owner/Permittee shall provide a valid "Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation" issued by the Federal Aviation Administration [FAA].

PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

15. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or a regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.

16. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

17. All facilities and related equipment shall be: maintained in good working order; free from trash, debris, graffiti; and designed to discourage vandalism. Any damaged equipment shall be repaired or replaced within thirty (30) calendar days of notification by the City of San Diego.

18. The Owner/Permittee shall notify the City within 30 days of the sale or transfer of this site to any other provider or if the site is no longer operational requiring the removal and the restoration of this site to its original condition.

19. The photosimulation(s) for the proposed project shall be printed (not stapled) on the building plans. This is to ensure the construction team building the project is in compliance with approved the Exhibit "A."

20. No overhead cabling is allowed for this project.

21. Antennas and associated mounting apparatus shall not extend beyond the RF-transparent screening.

22. The Owner/Permittee shall not cause or allow the antennas located on the building to be different sizes (length, width, or height) than as shown on the stamped approved plans.

23. The WCF shall conform to Exhibit "A" (consisting of the stamped approved plans and approved photosimulations) prior to receiving final inspection approval.

24. Prior to the issuance of a construction permit, the telecommunication provider shall provide a certified cumulative radio frequency model study demonstrating compliance with the Federal Communications Commission's Radio Frequency Guidelines. All significant contributors to the ambient RF environment should be considered in the radio frequency model study.

25. All equipment, including transformers, emergency generators and air conditioners belonging to the Permittee shall be designed and operated consistent with the City noise ordinance. Ventilation openings shall be baffled and directed away from residential areas. Vibration resonance of operating equipment in the equipment enclosures shall be eliminated.

26. The Permittee shall place appropriate signage on the WCF as required by CAL-OSHA/FCC to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department.

INFORMATION ONLY:

- The issuance of this discretionary use permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. The operation allowed by this discretionary use permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received final inspection.
- A "Telecom Planning Inspection" will be required prior to Final Clearance from the City's Building Inspector to ensure compliance with the approved plans, exhibits, and associated conditions. <u>Prior to calling for your Final Inspection from your building inspection official</u>, please contact the Project Manager listed below at 619-446-5349 to schedule an inspection of the completed WCF. Please request the telecom inspection at least five working days ahead of the requested Final inspection.
 - Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this Permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code-section 66020.

• This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego on January 30, 2014 by Resolution No. PC-XXXX.

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.: NUP/1220670 and PDP/1220671 Date of Approval: 1/30/2014

AUTHENTICATED BY THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Alexander Hempton, AICP Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

J M A N AT THE N PARKER LP Owner

By

JONATHAN SEGAL PARTNER

AT&T MOBILITY Permittee

By

KEVIN BECKER SENIOR REAL ESTATE & CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: December 3, 2013 NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

SAP No. 24003939

PROJECT NAME/NUMBER: AT&T North Parker / 331379

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: Greater North Park

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 3

LOCATION:

3000 Upas Street, San Diego, California 92104

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT and PLANNED

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT for a Wireless Communication Facility for the installation of new antennas and equipment. The project consists of installing twelve panel antennas, two global positioning system antennas, twenty-four remote radio units and equipment on the rooftop of a building, screened from public view with fiber reinforced plastic screen enclosures. Equipment cabinets and racks would be located within an existing first floor equipment room. A height deviation is being requested where the CN-1-2 zone requires a maximum permitted height of 30 feet; the project is proposing 39'-6" and 40'-7". The project site is located at 3000 Upas Street in the CN-1-2 zone with a Commercial land use designation within the Greater North Park Community Plan Area. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION: APN's 453-414-03, 04, 12, 13, & 16).

ENTITY CONSIDERING PROJECT APPROVAL: City of San Diego Planning Commission

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA State Guidelines, Sections 15303 (New Construction).

ENTITY MAKING ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: City of San Diego

STATEMENT SUPPORTING REASON FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The

City of San Diego conducted an environmental review that determined that the project would not have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment in that the project is consistent with the community plan and the applicable zone. The project would not result in any significant environmental impacts. The project meets the criteria set forth in CEQA Section 15303 which allows for installation of small new equipment and facilities not exceeding 2,500 square feet. Furthermore, the exceptions listed in 15300.2 would not apply in that no cumulative impacts were identified; no significant effect on the environment were identified; the project is not adjacent to a scenic highway;

the project was not identified on a list of hazardous waste site pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code.

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGER: MAILING ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: Alexander Hempton 1222 First Avenue, MS501, San Diego, CA 92101 619.446.5349

On November 25, 2013 the City of San Diego made the above-referenced environmental determination pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This determination is appealable to the City Council. If you have any questions about this determination, contact the City Development Project Manager listed above.

Applications to appeal CEQA determination made by staff (including the City Manager) to the City Council must be filed in the office of the City Clerk within 10 business days from the date of the posting of this Notice (December 17, 2013). The appeal application can be obtained from the City Clerk, 202 'C' Street, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92101.

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request.



AERIAL MAP



COPYRIGHT: GOOGLE MAPS, 2013

ORIGINAL DESIGN







DCI PACIFIC A | E | C WORKS ARCHITECTURE | ENGINEERING | CONSULTING 32 EXECUTIVE PARK | SUITE 110 | IRVINE | CA 92614 T 949 475.1000 | 949 475.1001 F

Page 2 of 3



AT&T North Park TELECOM SITE JUSTIFICATION LETTER

1. Description of the location, type, capacity, field strength or power density, and calculated geographic service area of the existing antenna or antenna array.

LOCATION AND TYPE

AT&T proposed location at the corner of Upas Street and 30th Street has indoor equipment located on the ground floor inside the commercial space on the south east end of the building. There are two antenna enclosures proposed on the roof at the NW and SE corners to house AT&T's 12 antennas and 24 remote radio units. The rooftop enclosures have been designed the owner/ architect to integrate with the look of the building.

FREQUENCY AND POWER SPECIFICATIONS

The transmitting frequency for the AT&T system operate at 700, 800 and 1700-1900 Megahertz.

COVERAGE AND CAPACITY

The objective of this existing site is to provide coverage and capacity within the residential area to the south, north and west, covering north The site provides coverage to the area surrounding it and up to University Ave to the north, to Balboa Golf course to the west and south to Switzer Canyon and East to the 805 freeway allowing more users to use the system simultaneously and will provide "off-load" capacity for adjacent sites currently operating within a 1-mile radius from this existing site (see attached map).

2. Location of all existing and anticipated wireless communications facilities in the Applicant/Permitee's network located within a 1 mile radius from existing site.

Please see refer to justification and to coverage maps. No additional new sites are proposed in the area. The RF map shows the locations of surrounding sites and how the proposed site fills the coverage hole.

3. A description of how the existing facility fits into, and is a necessary part of, the Applicant/Permitee's network.

The objective of this existing site is to provide coverage and capacity within the commercial and residential area to the south, east and west. Please refer to the existing coverage map that illustrates the existing coverage gap. The site provides coverage in a current gap and capacity, allowing users to use the system simultaneously and will provide "off-load" capacity for adjacent sites currently operating within a 1+mile radius from this existing site (see attached coverage maps).

AT&T North Park Telecom Site Justification

4. If the proposal does not include co-location, written documentation of all efforts made to co-locate at another site, and a justification for the decision not to co-locate.

This property is mixed-use property located at the center of the coverage hole. Alternative sites listed below

5. Discuss Alternative Sites and why they were not selected.

This site is located in a CN-1-2 zone surrounded by both commercial and single and multi-family residential. The closest collocation option is located at 3030 Thorn St 2 blocks southeast of the proposed location. 3030 Thorn Street has very limited options due to multiple carriers on the rooftop. Additionally the building on the north side of the property would block the majority of the coverage area. Another candidate was Bluefoot Bar at 3404 30th Street. The landlord of this property wan not interested and was non responsive. Other locations in the area we deemed too low in elevation to meet the cover objective.

AT&T North Park Justification Map

Alternative site





CN-1-2 on Redwood is too low in elevation to cover to meet coverage objective

SD0525 COVERAGE PLOTS

Existing Coverage



Site Coverage





AT&T North Park Photo Survey Key Map





- 1. View of Site location looking south, building currently under construction
- 2. View of Site location looking East, building currently under construction



AT&T NORTH PARKER PHOTO $Page_{R}2_{E}of 5$



3. View of Site location looking North, Building is currently under construction

4. View of Site location looking Northwest



AT&T NORTH PARKER PHOTO Pager3Eof 5


5 Looking South from behind Site6 Looking West from Site



AT&T NORTH PARKER PHOTO Pager4:e0f 5



7 View Looking North from site8. View Looking East from site.



AT&T NORTH PARKER PHOTO Page:5=0f 5



SITE NUMBER: SD0525 SITE NAME: NORTH PARKER LOFT



APPROVAL	DATE	SIGNATURE
P.M. :		
C.M.:		
S.A.C. :		
R.F. :		
ZONING :		

K:									
ANTENNAS INSIDI TS SORS .CK INSIDE 16'-(OOFTOP				ENCLOSURE ON ROOFTOP					
ELOPMENT REGULATI		NIS	PROPERTY INFORMATION:						
ROVALS, N/A IF LE			AND END, OF (THE	EL A: APN'S 453-414-12 & 13 WEST 20 FEET OF LOTS 5 AND 6, ALL 4 THE SOUTH 40 FEET OF LOT 9 IN BLOC IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF ALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THERE N OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECEORDER OF ITY, MAY 17, 1873.	OF LOTS 7 AND 8 X 31 OF WEST F SAN DIEGO, STATE O. 590. FILED IN SAN DEIGO				
DODEDTV			PARCEL B: APN 453-414-04 THE NORTH 10 FT OF LOT 9, ALL OF LOT 10 AND THE SOU 20 FEET OF LOT 11, ALL IN THE BLOCK 31 OF WEST END, I THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 590 FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNT						
C			PARC THE 20 F THE CALIF THE	MAY 17, 1873. <u>PARCEL C: APN 453-414-03</u> THE NORTH 10 FT OF LOT 9, ALL OF LOT 10 AND THE SOUTI 20 FEET OF LOT 11, ALL IN THE BLOCK 31 OF WEST END, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 590 FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNT MAY 17, 1873.					
EET, 92102			PARCEL D: APN 453-414-16 PARCEL 2 OF PARCEL MAP NO. 19739, IN CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY, MAY 23, 2005.						
2			BUILDING CODE INFORMATION: EXISTING BUILDING/STRUCTURE: OCCUPANCY = S-2 CONSTRUCTION TYPE = V-B SPRINKLER SYSTEM = NO PROPOSED STRUCTURE: OCCUPANCY = S2 (UNMANNED TELECOM FACILITY) CONSTRUCTION TYPE = V-B SPRINKLER SYSTEM = NO						
I HENION (503) 519–8591 BRA DEPRATTI GARD (619) 726–8110 BRA DEPRATTI GARD (619) 726–8110 (. DO, RA (949) 475–1000			ZONING INFORMATION: JURISDICTION: CITY OF SAN DIEGO ZONING DESIGNATION: CN-1-2 (COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL) EXISTING ZONING NO.: - LATITUDE: 32' 43' 11" N LONGTUDE: 117' 09' 45" W TOP OF (E) STRUCTURE: - (FEET) AGL BASE OF STRUCTURE: - (FEET) AMSL LEASE AREA: T.I. SPACE 288 SQ. FT.						
(ENT	IP	вок	DKD	SHEET TITLE	SHEET NUMBER				
SUE #1	JGD	BOK	DKD						
T	IP	BOK	DKD						
T	JGD	BOK	DKD		704				
T	JGD	BOK	DKD	TITLE SHEET	T01				
REVISIONS	BY	CHK							
	DRAWN								
	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF		-						



ALIACHWENT TT

The Conter Bas	City of San Diogo Development Services 1222 First Ave., MS-302 San Diogo, CA, 92161 (615) 446-5000	No FAA Notifi Self-Certification Agree	625 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	FORM DS-50 Jucy 2007
owner's dul	aont is made by and between t y authorized representative of ESMOUNTAIN EOULEVARD SAN		en (City) ond	i the owner o
675-420-07	articularly described as	(Professer) (Professer) (Professer)	5.001 No.5.)	
		iego, State of California [Property]		
tify the of a per height, all reas The Cit pare co tion sta Federal	Federal Aviation Administration moment and substantial charaot and would be located in the rep onable doubt that the structure y will not require notification to naturation documents provides me that the structure(o) or mo	b of Federal Regulations (GER) [but, 77, no pr on (GAA) for any object that would be whiched tere of by natural learnin or tasgerprike featur generation of a learning the second second second second second second second second second second on the FAA if a professional, licensed by the data on the second second second second second second on the second second second second second second difficulties to escienting structure(a) shown on the data term second second second second second second resulting second	by existing res of equal re it is evide air navigati te of Califor ignature and c plans do p	structures or greater ent beyond ion. nin to pre- d registra- tot require
In cons	ideration of the grant of permis	ssion by the City of San Diego to allow the self y FAA under scetion 77.15 of Title 14 of the C nauts and agrees with the City of Sau Diego as	certification ada of Feder	of the de- ol Regula-
110	Should it be subsequently dote eral Aviation Administration, e to notify the Federal Aviation / ity or liability for any changes	rmined by the City, Airport Land Use Commiss or any other government agency that the prop Administration under (FR, Port, 77, the City a required to the submitted construction drawin in project site on a result of mult or achieve cons-	sion, State, o sed project i soumes no ro gs and docu	or the Fed- is required esponsibil- ments and
	The applicant certifies that sais and documents that are part of the field may have to be revise Air Navigation. The applicant made by the FAA, that the GJ Air Navigation is made by the Transportation is obtained in. acknowledges that this may co- well us deluys in project constr	d owner(s) acknowledges and accepts that the f the uninisterial approval application as well as an ecreasary to comply with the FAA Dieter also acknowledgen that if a Determination of the with step all construction until a Determin accordance with Fubic Utilities Code Section a the applicant more money in permitting and uction.	as the const mination of f Prosumed ation of No ifornia Dep 21659. The l constructio	truction in Hazard to Huzard is Huzard to artment of applicant in costs, us
3.	for changes required to the sul installed on the project site as. The upplicant acknowledges a and the impacts that result to and hold harmless the City, it	rtifies that asid ownov(s) acknowledges and ac binited construction drawings and documents a result of and to achieve consistency with the accepts that the Gity assumes an exeptosis the development as a result. The applicant ab is spents, afficers, and employees from any o atts, or cosis, including attorney's field, against	and to the FAA's deter bility for sai all defend, i ad all claim	structures rminution, id chunges indemnify, is, actione,
		er. Vielt our web eite al <u>exception georetion providion</u> period estr nich is evaluate in alternative formets for persons with d'aat- DS-503 (07-97)		
	The City will accountly adding	nt approval or decision.	d if the City	, void, chal-
4. ДСП	and the most or a then dispendion perform may settlement unless Ladly, the applicant certifies a and inspection fees may be re- ments or additional inspection rossistent with a PAA Determ when a part of the application of the second constant of the second constant of	pplicant of any claim action, or proceeding an e, the applicant shall not literature the response is one of class, or obtain independent legal of faction. In the event of anch election, applic villaut limitation reasonable attraction with the Gity and applicant coarding linguing and the one of the matter. However, the applicant shall not made between the approved by applicant to a state stillment as tapproved by applicant. Inter wide women enknowledges and accepts that is are accessary to reflect the final design of matter in definition attack of the final design of matter in the state of the state of the response of the state of the state of the state of the response is are accessary to reflect the final design of matter independent of the state of	ot be require	e should fail and, indem- t to conduct fenne of any y all of the n the event all have the t limited to, ed to pay or
4. <u>JOH</u> DCH	and the online of a perifican perform may settlement unless Laadly, the applicant certifies a and inspection fees may be re- ments or additional inspection consistent with a PAA Determ when you have been applied on the second of the settlement dynamics and difference dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamic	pplicant of any claim action, or proceeding an e, the applicant shall not literature the response is one of class, or obtain independent legal of faction. In the event of anch election, applic villaut limitation reasonable attraction with the Gity and applicant coarding linguing and the one of the matter. However, the applicant shall not made between the approved by applicant to a state stillment as tapproved by applicant. Inter wide women enknowledges and accepts that is are accessary to reflect the final design of matter in definition attack of the final design of matter in the state of the state of the response of the state of the state of the state of the response is are accessary to reflect the final design of matter independent of the state of	ot be require	eshould fail and, indemi- to conduct form of any y all of the weather the second of the second of the pay or plan review a rad docu- invent to be
4. <u>JOH</u> DCH	and the online of a perifican perform may settlement unless Laadly, the applicant certifies a and inspection fees may be re- ments or additional inspection consistent with a PAA Determ when you have been applied on the second of the settlement dynamics and difference dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamic	pplicant of any claim action, or proceeding an e, the applicant shall not literature the response is one of class, or obtain independent legal of faction. In the event of anch election, applic villaut limitation reasonable attraction with the Gity and applicant coarding linguing and the one of the matter. However, the applicant shall not made between the approved by applicant to a state stillment as tapproved by applicant. Inter wide women enknowledges and accepts that is are accessary to reflect the final design of matter in definition attack of the final design of matter in the state of the state of the response of the state of the state of the state of the response is are accessary to reflect the final design of matter independent of the state of	additional j additional j ed drawings the develop	eshould fail and, indena- to conduct formo of any y all of the weather of any y all of the the original distance of the original plan review a rad docu- invent to be
4. <u>JOH</u> DCH	and the online of a perifican perform may settlement unless Laadly, the applicant certifies a and inspection fees may be re- ments or additional inspection consistent with a PAA Determ when you have been applied on the second of the settlement dynamics and difference dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamic	upplicate of any chain, actions as proceedings of the supplicate shall not at iteracing the supplication is own defense, or idealin shall exceeded the faction. In this event of antich elections, piptlic is own defense, or idealin hielperement here of cation. In this event of antich elections, piptlic own and make literation valued elections, include or the matter. However, the opplications have and make literation without elections, and on the submitter in approved by applicable. Inter and downer racknowledges and accepts that is are successary to reflect the final design of mail on el Howard to Arn Navigation where the submitter in the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter where the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter structure of the submitter of the submitter of the submitter of th	Renet Build	eshould fail and, indem- to conduct forme of any y all of the in the event all have the ed to puy or plan roview s and dece- ment to be
4. <u>JOH</u> DCH	and the online of a perifican perform may settlement unless Laadly, the applicant certifies a and inspection fees may be re- ments or additional inspection consistent with a PAA Determ when you have been applied on the second of the settlement dynamics and difference dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamics and dynamics dynamics and dynamics and dynamic	pulphon to fance, Jaim, as draws as proceeding up to the supplicate shall not at the resolution of the same defense, or induin independent legal of Gation. In this event of antich elections, piptle in some defense, or induin independent legal of some difference in the start of the start of cation. In this event of antich elections, piptle on surf make lefting available of the start of the start is and a start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start is are a secondary to reflect the final design of mathematical start review of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the sta	Renet Build	eshould fail and, indem- to conduct form of any y all of the all have the or all have the or all have the or of to pay or plan review a nud decu- ment to be



ATTACHMENT TT





ALIACHWENT 11

	1				1				
			DTH		ANTEN	INA SECTOR		A	NTENNA
					ANTEN	INA SECTOR	AZIMUTH	MODEL	RAD CEN
		/	A		¥	A1 A2		AIR KRC118 055/1 (6') AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	32'-0 32'-0
					ALP	A3 A4	0	AIR KRC118 055/1 (6') AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	32'-0' 32'-0'
			HEIGHT	-	4	B1		AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	36'-0' 36'-0
			HEI		8	82 83	120	AIR KRC118 055/1 (6') AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	36'-0
					<.	B4 C1		AIR KRC118 055/1 (6') AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	36'-0' 32'-0'
					AMM	C2 C3	270	AIR KRC118 055/1 (6') AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	32'-0' 32'-0'
					0	C4 D1		AIR KRC118 055/1 (6')	32'-0
			TERSON VIE		ELTA	D2	N/A		-
		RRU MODEL	RRUS-11			D3 D4			17: 342
		DIMENSIONS INC. SUN SHIELD	17.8" x 17.3" x 7.2"		GPS	GPS #1	N/A	KATHREIN OG-860/1920/GPS	-A INSTALL (2)
		DIMENSIONS EXCL. SUN SHIELD	15.9" x 16.3" x 5.8"		6	GPS #2	N/A	KATHREIN OG-860/1920/GPS	
		INPUT VOLTAGE	-48VDC OR AC (INDOOR)			CINFORMATION:			
		FUSE SIZE	13 – 32A (RECOMMENDED	25A)	TOTAL FIBER TOTAL DC-6 (I LEASE AREA TO SUR	GE SUPPRESSOR =	
		BAND 12 FREQUENCY	LOWER 700 MHz				R DC (ONE PER SQUI FROM SURGE SUPPE		
		BAND 12 WEIGHT	50 LBS				IPPRESSOR TO RRUS		
		BAND 4 FREQUENCY	AWS, 17/2100 MHz		EACH FIBER I	NNERDUCT CONTAI	NS 24 FIBER RIBBON	S, MAXIMUM RIBBONS =	
		BAND 4 WEIGHT	44 LBS						
		MIN, CLEARANCE ABOVE	16"		EACH CONDU	JIT FOR DC CABLES O	CONTAINS 6 PAIRS D	C LINES, MAXIMUM DC LINES =	
		MIN. CLEARANCE BELOW	8"						
		MIN. CLEARANCE SIDE	0"		L				
- 7	REMO	DTE RADIO UNIT		5					
					ANTENNA MODEL	AIR	KRC118 055/1	(6')	
					ACTIVE ANTENNA/RAD		2 TX / 4 RX		
					BYPASS ANTENNA		/850 MHz +/-	- 45*	
					ANTENNA HBW		(700/850 MHz)		
8					ANTENNA VBW	TBD			
					ANTENNA GAIN		dBd (700/850) MHz) 17.5 dBi (AWS)	5
					ANTENNA TILTING RAN		12 TX	Hz) 2–12" (PCS)	
					INTERFACE	-	All all and a second	TIBER PORTS (CPRI) FO	JR
						0	ACTIVE ANTENN ONE POWER CO TWO 7/16 FEM	NA/RADIO DNNECTOR, -48 V DC ALE CONNECTORS FOR	
							SUPPORT (AISC	NNA, WITH RET G 2.0)	
a					NUMBER OF UMTS CAP		and the second second second		
					LTE		TO 20 MHZ 2X	2 MIMO	
					SUPPORTED BASEBANE		DUL1, DUS2		
					DIMENSION	15543151	l x 14.8"W x 8	I.6"D	
					WEIGHT	110			
					HW AVAILABILITY	3Q '	127		
					SW DEPENDENSY	L13A L13B	(MIXED MODE))	1
7									
a.									
- 8	_			6	ANTENNA SPE	CIFICAT	ION		
	1				relation version and contract the second				PER LL COMME
atat 1	No.	NORTH PAR		DC	CI PACIFIC			and the second sec	PER CYCLE ISS
ALEXC Depratting.		SD05	25		A E C WORKS				AL LL COMMENT
	and the second se	3000 UPAS STR	EET, SUITE F	ADCLINE	CTUDE LENGINEEDING LOONGUITUG			1 06/11/13 ADDITION	AL LL COMMENT
7337 TRADE STREET, 3 EAST, ROOM 3684 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-4202		SAN DIEGO,	CA 92104	32 EXECUTI T	CTURE ENGINEERING CONSULTING VE PARK SUITE 110 IRVINE CA 92614 949 475.1000 949 475.1001 F			NO, DATE	DESIGNED

ALIACHMENT





NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE Draft Minutes: November 19, 2013– 6:30 PM www.northparkplanning.org info@northparkplanning.org

Like us: 🔢 NorthParkPlanning Follow us: 💟 @NPPlanning

I. Call to order: 6:33pm

II. Attendance Report:

Attenuance ke	1		· · · · · ·			r		-		r					
Member	Robert Barry	Howard Blackson	Dionne Carlson	Daniel Gebreselassie	Vicki Granowitz	Peter Hill	Brandon Hilpert	Roger Lewis	Carl Moczydłowsky	Lucky Morrison	Dang Nguyen	Omar Passons	Rick Pyles	Sarah McAlear	René Vidales
Attendance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Late		7:13	6:47												
Absences	1	3	1					1	1	1	3	1	3		1

III. Modifications to and Adoption of the 11/19/13 Agenda

- a. Motion to adopt as modified. November 19, 2013 NPPC Agenda Vidales/Hilpert 12-0-0 (Carlson & Blackson Late, Gebreselassie seated)
- IV. Special Election to fill out a vacated seat (seat will be up for reelection March 2014), by a vote of the Board
 - a. Daniel Gebreselassie Resident Renter Candidate
 - b. Roger Morrison Resident Homeowner nomination from the floor
 - c. Board Vote 8:5 Daniel Gebreselassie seated at 7:12
- V. **Consent Agenda:** Items on the Consent Agenda were heard & voted on at an NPPC subcommittee; are not considered controversial. *Items on the Consent Agenda can be moved to Action for full discussion by any member of the Board or Public*;
 - a. Request for Letter of Support for Toyland Parade. Toyland Parade is a community event that is held yearly. MOTION – Hilpert/UDPR 12-0-0 (Carlson & Blackson Late, Gebreselassie seated later, On Consent)
- VI. Approval of Previous Minutes NPPC October 15, 2013 Minutes
 - a. MOTION TO APPROVE October 15, 2013 NPPC Minutes: Hilpert/Nguyen 9-0-4 (Passons, Pyles, Vidales, Lewis abstain, Carlson & Blackson Late, Gebreselassie seated)
- VII. Treasurer's Report
 - a. Brandon Hilpert current balance \$611.87
- VIII. Chair's Report/CPC
 - a. City of San Diego Pedestrian Safety Study Study based on 10 year old data and improvements are problematic.
 - i. Teresa Queros was at the meeting, planning commissioner thanked her for her vote on the faux water tower & boundary issue
 - b. Granowitz is the new Chair of CPAB Consolidated Plan Advisory Board
 - i. Will be meeting with Jeff Graham, Civic San Diego on NPPC and CPBA issues
 - ii. Been asked to look into reestablishing the NPBIDC
- IX. Social Media Report, Brandon Hilpert
 - a. Posts scheduled out automatically
 - b. Website launch pending
- X. Planner's Report Marlon Pangilinan, 619.235.5293; mpangilinan@sandiego.gov
 - a. New department name: Planning, Neighborhoods, and Economic Development looking forward to the comments on the discussion draft and going to planning commission for another workshop. Public Meeting Thursday December 19th 10:00am. Boundary issue update – met with University Heights representatives and Leo Wilson

Page¹1 of 4

from Uptown Planners. Staying with the adopted boundary until City Council reviews. Environmental Analysis has reviewed the boundary change and don't feel that it's a CEQA issue due to the lack of change proposed by the two planning groups. Environmental Justice was not reviewed as an option.

XI. Non Agenda Public Comment

- a. Uptown Planners holding 3 special meetings regarding the Uptown Community Plan update
 i. Nov 26, Dec 10, Jan 7 6-8pm St Paul's Cathedral
- b. **Toyland Parade December 7, 2013** from 11am-1pm, the festival is from 10am-4:30pm behind the NP Theatre, offering food booths, great entertainment and a whole lot of fun things to do for the kids. For more info http://www.toylandparade.com/
- c. Holiday on Adams: Adams Ave Business Association December 14th 2013 from 2pm-5pm Family Friendly Children's Activities - Come enjoy snow, Santa, caroling, and a holiday tree lighting. <u>http://www.adamsavenuebusiness.com/HolidayOnAdams.html</u>
- d. Sonofest Sunday, December 1st -> 11-5pm -> 32nd & Thorn Chili Cook off and Craft Beer. <u>http://sonofestchilicookoff.wordpress.com/</u>
- e. RADD Dick's Last Resort

XII. Elected Official's Report

- a. Toni Atkins with Jason Wiesz, Hon. Toni Atkins, State Assembly District 76 619.645.3090
 - jason.weisz@asm.ca.gov Covered California event regarding health insurance exchanges on Thursday at 7:30a at the Joyce Bier center. Focusing on small business. Several hundred thousand enrolled. Big issues this year include prison realignment and budget issues, 33 prisons, 122K people in prison. CEQA will be a hot topic. Budget gains this year looking towards more improvements. Dissolution of redevelopment is still an issue, new tools being proposed for redevelopment.
- b. Christopher Ward, Hon. Marti Block, State Senate District 39 619.645.3133 <u>christopher.ward@sen.ca.gov</u> district service programs. Bills being proposed to expand community colleges for voucher programs for local need specific industries. Teacher evaluation working with the unions. ABC regulations and improving issues.
- c. Gavin Deeb, Hon. Susan Davis, US Congressional District 53, 619.208.5353 Gavin.Deeb@mail.house.gov absent
- d. Adrian Granda, Hon. Todd Gloria, City Councilmember District 3, <u>AGranda@sandiego.gov</u> <u>http://sandiego.gov/cd3</u> - Meeting with local community members. Special meeting set for Thursday for water rate increase and budget items. Non-housing due diligence review – redevelopment property management with the department of finance. 5 year outlook for the budget – sustain current levels of service \$18MM deficit. Proposed service levels at deficit of \$88MM. Ramona Theatre building vacancy issues for abandon property. Broker Bill advertising news racks are a code compliance issue. Facilities sub-committee Nile Street speed increase issue requires a speed study 85th percentile is to raise the posted speed limit to 30 miles per hour (traffic calming plan can eliminate the speed limit increase).

XIII. Discussion/Action Items

- a. Northparker Mixed Use Project at 3409 30th St, #33179: AT&T WCF NUP & PDP Jonathan Segal Developer, for WCF for 12 roof top screened panel antennas located above commercial offices (not residential), with additional equipment located inside the building. The site is located in the CN-1-2 Zone, project proposes to exceed the 30-ft. height limit by 9-ft with structure heights at 36' and 39'. Affordable units to be included, as NPPC has asked developers to do. This is a Process 4 Planning Commission Decision is appealable to City Council. New Graphics to be provided at meeting. City of SD Proj Mgr: Alex Hempton, (619) 446-5349, <u>ahempton@sandiego.gov</u>
 - i. **Matthew Segal** family run business. Post office project in Golden Hill won an award. Moving the office to Northparker building. 2 affordable housing units and redevelopment height bonus variance to ~37ft. Setback 35 feet from the street.

MOTION: To recommend approval of the NUP and PDP for the wireless communication facility at 3409 30th St including height variances requested at 35 and 39 feet respectively. Moczydlowsky/McAlear 13-2-0 (Barry, Pyles voting no)

b. Angle Parking to Head-in Parking Conversions along Oregon Street and along Kansas St

- i. <u>MOTION 1</u>: To support the removal of angle parking and installation of head-in parking along Oregon Street from Adams Avenue to Madison Avenue (east side only) from 26 to 32 parking spaces, Oregon Street from Monroe Avenue to Meade Avenue (east side only) from 37 to 42 parking spaces, and Oregon Street from Howard Avenue to Lincoln Avenue (east side only) from 69 to 90 parking spaces with the understanding that this project may be changed in the future to allow for the implementation of the North Park Linear Park as discussed in previous meetings of the Park and Recreation Element and Mobility Element of the North Park Community Plan Update. Vidales/Bonn. 5-2-0 (Asgarian and Passons against)
- ii. <u>MOTION 2</u>: To support the removal of angle parking & installation of head-in parking along Kansas Street from El Cajon Boulevard to Howard Avenue (east side only) from 14 to 19 parking spaces, and Kansas Street from Polk Avenue to Lincoln Avenue (east side only) from 31 to 43 parking spaces with the

understanding that the project may be changed in the future to incorporate bike lanes, electric car charging stations and any other items in conformance with the Sustainability Element and Mobility Element of the North Park Community Plan Update. Vidales/Bonn. 5-2-0 (Asgarian and Passons against)

1. Passons objects to the project on the grounds that allowances for non-comprehensive parking plans.

MOTION: Approve and pass the motions as written coming from Sub-Committee. Vidales/Carlson 14-1-0 (Passons voting no)

c. Bylaws – Review

i. Art VIII,

- 1. Sec I, C Creates the ability to move agenda items to the consent agenda.
 - a. Marlon to suggest additional language.
 - **b.** Change majority to 2/3 rds for consistency
 - c. Remove the word "assuming" replace with "when".
- 2. Sec I, E Changes to voter identification, roster screening, and early voting procedure
- ii. Art IX,
 - 1. Sec 3 Violations by members.

MOTION to approve the bylaw changes with the above modifications. Blackson/Carlson 13-0-2 (Passons/Gebreselassie abstain)

d. Community Plan Update:

- i. The North Park Community Plan Update Discussion Draft was released for review & comment: <u>ftp://ftp.sannet.gov/OUT/Planning/North%20Park%20Community%20Plan%20Update/North%20Park%20</u> <u>CPU Discussion%20Draft Sept2013.pdf</u>
- ii. Potential December Special Meeting
- iii. Input on the Discussion Draft
- iv. Zoning
- v. BID Input
- vi. Elements
 - 1. Draft Urban Design Element attached
 - 2. Design Guidelines
 - 3. Park & Rec
 - a. Prioritize Projects
 - b. Equivalences, define, establish criteria, create/define admin process -
 - 4. Implementation Element?
- e. Traffic Calming at Madison Avenue and Mississippi Street. Traffic calming including stop sign, traffic circle and bike lanes. Original Subcommittee MOTION: To remove the center turn lane and support the installation of both a bike lane and a stop sign at the intersection Madison Avenue and Mississippi Street. Passons/Asgarian. 7-0-0
 - i. Stop sign approved at this location, property owner at the corner objected to the stop sign initially. City study allowed for changes that are presented here.
 - ii. Alexandra Elias 5 property owners supported the traffic circle instead of the stop sign.
 - Scott Casperwitz supports the stop sign feels it is imperative. But would like the option of replacing it with the traffic circle.
 - iv. Sean Dooley supports the stop sign with traffic circle in the future.

MOTION: To remove the center turn lane on Madison Avenue from Georgia Street to Louisiana Street and support the installation of bike lanes.

MOTION: To recommend the installation of a traffic circle at the intersection of Madison Avenue and Mississippi Street while installing a stop sign at the intersection as an interim traffic calming solution. Carlson/Blackson 14-0-1 (Gebreselassie abstain)

MOTION: To add the installation of a traffic circle at the intersection of Madison Avenue and Mississippi Street to the NPPC CIP Priority List. Granowitz/Lewis 12-1-1 (Passons voting no, McAlear left, Gebreselassie abstain)

- f. Boundary Modification Update Time Permitting
- XIV. Subcommittee Reports:
 - a. Urban Design/Project Review, Robert Barry NP Adult Community Center, 6:00pm 1st Monday. Next meeting, December 2, 2013 Community housing works project and the Northparker
 - Public Facilities/Public Art, Dionne Carlson, Rene Vidales NP Adult Community Center, 6:00 pm, 2nd Wednesday. Next meeting, Wednesday January 8, 2013 – Median choker removal is the primary topic.
- XV. Liaisons Reports

- a. Balboa Park Committee, Steppke: Balboa Golf Course path is planned for the outside of the golf course. 2013 Regional Park Improvement funding for the California tower for the next few years. Signature project for 2013 will be for the botanical building. Progress for fully merged conservancy. Cabrillo Bridge update – scaffolding on the bridge in December. Phases of construction through May and June.
- b. Maintenance Assessment, District Peter Hill: No November meeting.
- North Park Main Street: Final numbers from Taste of North Park 1300 tickets sold. Tourism grant for the festival of the arts. ~\$40K. Renewed the ED and Farmers market manager contracts. Green Business Council project. PBID still in progress and using the \$5K from the Northparker.
- d. Regional Bike Plan Proposed Initial Implementation, Carlson: No update.
- e. Adams Ave BIA, Dionne Carlson: Improvements to the Adams Ave bridge on the 805.
- f. NPBID Collaborative, Vicki Granowitz:
- XVI. Unfinished and Future Agenda Items
 - a. Bylaws
 - b. Special meeting in December
- XVII. Next Meeting Date: Board Meeting January 21st 2014.
- XVIII. Motion to Adjourn: Barry/Nguyen 14-0-0

Minutes submitted by Carl Moczydlowsky

City of San Diego Development Se 1222 First Ave., I San Diego, CA S (619) 446-5000	rvices MS-302		Ownership	Disclosure Statement
Approval Type: Check appropriate box for Neighborhood Development Permit Variance T Tentative Map T Vesti				6
Project Title	Contraction of the second s	and the second se	Project No	. For Cily Use Only
AT&T North Park SD0525		1	uð Gran mindi 197 Aður - Blý Allan - Sandarí (1990)	14
Project Address: 3409 30th Street, San Diego, CA	92102			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and a Marganet succession of the second s
Part I - To be completed when prop	erty is held by individual	l(s)	e Phase	
By signing the Ownership Disclosure State above, will be filed with the City of San D below the owner(s) and tenant(s) (if applic who have an interest in the property, recor- individuals who own the property). A signa- from the Assistant Executive Director of th Development Agreement (DDA) has been Manager of any changes in ownership dur the Project Manager at least thirty days p information could result in a delay in the he Additional pages attachedYes	ego on the subject property, able) of the above reference ded or otherwise, and state th iture is required of at least of e San Diego Redevelopment approved / executed by the ing the time the application is process	with the intent to reco ad property. The list m he type of property inte one of the property ow A Agency shall be require a City Council Note. s being processed or c	ord an encumbrance against ust include the names and a rest (e.g. lenants who will b ners. Attach additional page ired for all project parcels for The applicant is responsible considered. Changes in own	the property. Please list addresses of all persons enefit from the permit, all be if needed. A signature which a Disposition and for notifying the Project ership are to be given to
Name of Individual (type or print): AT&T Mobility	junitari denetari denetari den	Name of Indivi Tim Henion	dual (type or print):	ann de merende fan de terreter
	ledevelopment Agency	Owner	Tenant/Lessee	development Agency
Street Address 7337 Trade Street, 3East, Room 368	4	Street Address		
City/State/Zip San Diego, CA 92121		City/State/Zip.	an a	
Phone No. (503) 519-8591)	Fax No:	Phone No:		Fax No
Signalure	712/13	"Signature :		Dale:
Name of Individual (type or print):		Name of Indiv	idual (type or print):	instation and entropy of the second
Owner Tenanl/Lessee Re	development Agency	Owner [Tenant/Lessee T Redev	velopment Agency
Street Address		Street Address		
City/State/Zip		City/State/Zip	a la la construcción de la constru	
Phone No.	Fax No:	Phone No:		Fax No.
Signature	Dale	Signature		Date:
Million Group (And Carlow Control of Control	ann na mar ann an Aontainn an Aontainn an Aontaichtean an Aontaichtean an Aontaichtean Aontai	kenna energi kennergi	saniyanan add ana yeyna wenn y kan na Anada yen gegar yna all an an a	bieten ar en men og som en

2 C

Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at the second state of the second second

DS-318 (5-05)

roject Title: AT&T North Park	Project No. (For City Use Only)
Part II - To be completed when property is held by a corpora	ition or partnership
Legal Status (please check):	
Corporation Limited Liability -or- General) What Sta	te? Corporate Identification No.
the property. Please list below the names, titles and addresses	<u>subject property with the intent to record an encumbrance against</u> of all persons who have an interest in the property, recorded or tho will benefit from the permit, all corporate officers, and all partners
ownership during the time the application is being processed or o	is responsible for notifying the Project Manager of any changes in considered. Changes in ownership are to be given to the Project be property. Failure to provide accurate and current ownership fittonal pages attached Yes Sa No
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Cowner Tenant/Lessee	Cowner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address	Street Address
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip
Shar DELTE LA 92103 Phone No: (19.955 5397 699 655 5398	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print).	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
	Title (type or print).
Signature (5/26/13	Signature Date:
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Cowner Crenant/Lessee	Cowner C Tenant/Lessee
Street Address:	Street Address
City/State/Zip	Cily/Slate/Zip
Phone No Fax No	Phone No Fax No
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print)	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print)
Title (type or print)	Title (type or print).
Signature Date	Signature Date.
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Owner Tenant/Lessee	☐ Owner ☐ Tenant/Lessee
Street Address	Street Address
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip
Phone No Fax No	Phone No Fax No
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print)	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print)
Title (type or print)	Title (type or print)
Skjnature Date.	Signature Date

AT&T Executives



Randall L. Stephenson Chairman and Chief Executive Officer



William A. Blase, Jr. Senior Executive Vice President – Human Resources



James W. Cicconi Senior Executive Vice President – External and Legislative Affairs, AT&T, Inc



Cathy M. Coughlin Senior Executive Vice President and Global Marketing Officer



Ralph de la Vega President and Chief Executive Officer - AT&T Mobility



John Donovan Senior Executive Vice President, AT&T Technology and Network Operations



Andrew M. Geisse Chief Executive Officer — AT&T Business Solutions



Lori Lee Senior Executive Vice President — Home Solutions



John T. Stankey Group President and Chief Strategy Officer



John Stephens Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer



Wayne Watts Senior Executive Vice President and General Counsel

Project Chronology

AT&T – North Parker – Project No. 331379

Date	Action	Description	Cit <mark>y</mark> Review Time	Applicant Response Time
11/12/2013	First Submittal	Project Deemed Complete		
12/17/2013	All issues resolved		35	
1/30/2014	Public Hearing – Planning Commission		44	
TOTAL STAFF TIME			79	
TOTAL APPLICANT TIME				0
TOTAL PROJE	CT RUNNING TIME	From Deemed Complete to Planning Commission Hearing	(in ca	79 lendar days)



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE OF NOTICE: January 15, 2014

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE OF HEARING: TIME OF HEARING: LOCATION OF HEARING:	January 30, 2014 9:00 A.M. Council Chambers, 12th Floor, City Administration Building, 202 C Street, San Diego, California 92101
PROJECT TYPE:	NEIGHBORHOOD USE PERMIT (NUP) AND PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT (PDP), PROCESS FOUR
PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT NAME: APPLICANT:	331379 <u>AT&T NORTH PARKER</u> Tim Henion, DePratti, Inc., agents representing AT&T Mobility
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: COUNCIL DISTRICT:	Greater North Park District 3
CITY PROJECT MANAGER: PHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL:	Alex Hempton, Development Project Manager (619) 446-5349 / ahempton@sandiego.gov

As a property owner, tenant or person who has requested notice, you should know that the Planning Commission will hold a public hearing to approve, conditionally approve, or deny an application for a Neighborhood Use Permit (NUP) and Planned Development Permit (PDP) for a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) consisting of twelve (12) panel antennas mounted on the roof of the North Parker building concealed behind radio-frequency transparent screen boxes. Equipment associated with the antennas will be located in an equipment room within the building. This project is located at 3000 Upas Street, to the north of Upas Street and east of 30th Street.

The decision of the Planning Commission is final unless the project is appealed to the City Council. In order to appeal the decision of the Planning Commission you must be present at the public hearing and file a speaker slip concerning the application or have expressed interest by writing to the Planning Commission before the close of the public hearing. Please <u>do not</u> e-mail appeals as they will not be accepted. See Information Bulletin 505 "Appeal Procedure", available at

<u>www.sandiego.gov/development-services</u> or in person at the office of the City Clerk, 202 "C" Street, Second Floor. The appeal must be made within 10 working days of the Planning Commission decision. If you wish to challenge the City's action on the above proceedings in court, you may be limited to addressing only those issues you or someone else have raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or written in correspondence to the City at or before the public hearing.

This project was determined to be categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act on November 25, 2013 and the opportunity to appeal that determination ended December 17, 2013.

If you have any questions after reviewing this information, you can contact the City Project Manager listed above.

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request an agenda in alternative format or to request a sign language or oral interpreter for the meeting, call <u>Support</u> <u>Services at (619) 321-3208</u> at least five working days prior to the meeting to insure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALD's) are also available for the meeting upon request.

Internal Order Number: 24003939

Revised 10-4-12 HMD