

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED:	June 19, 2014	REPORT NO. PC-14-045	
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Agenda of June 26, 2014		
SUBJECT:	BRIGHTON RESIDENCES, PROJECT NO. 338809, PROCESS 2 APPEAL		
OWNER:	Henry Jallos		
APPLICANT:	Yale Jallos		

SUMMARY

Issue: Should the Planning Commission approve or deny an appeal of the Development Services Department's approval of a Coastal Development Permit to allow the demolition of a single family residence and construction of two single family residences located at 4766 Brighton Avenue within the Ocean Beach Community Planning Area?

<u>Staff Recommendation</u>: DENY the appeal and **APPROVE** Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u>: On March 5, 2014, the Ocean Beach Planning Board voted 7-4-0 to recommend approval of the project.

Environmental Review: This activity is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15301(I)(1) (Existing Facilities) and 15303 (a) (New Construction).

Fiscal Impact Statement: All review and processing costs are covered by the applicant through a deposit account.

Code Enforcement Impact: None with this project.

Housing Impact Statement: The proposal for a Coastal Development Permit would allow the demolition of a single-family residence and construction of two single family

- 1 -

residences on two separate lots located at 4766 Brighton Avenue within the Ocean Beach Community Planning Area. The proposal would result in a net addition of one singlefamily dwelling unit in the Ocean Beach plan area.

BACKGROUND

Project Description

The project site is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue and is designated for residential development within the Ocean Beach Precise Plan. The property is within the RM-1-1 Zone, the Coastal Height Limit, the Coastal Overlay Zone, and the Coastal Parking Impact Overlay Zone. The Coastal Development Permit is required due to the proposed development in the Coastal Overlay Zone.

The project would include demolishing a 665 square-foot single family residence that currently is located on Lot 7 of two adjacent Lots 7 and 8, and constructing two single family homes, one on Lot 7 and one on Lot 8. Each lot is approximately 25 feet in width and 100 feet in length. Lot 7 would be developed with a 1,832 square-foot, two-story residence and a covered car port, and the home on Lot 8 would be a 1,504 square-foot residence with a two-car garage and roof terrace.

DISCUSSION

Staff has reviewed the proposal and determined that the development complies with all regulations and is consistent with the Ocean Beach Precise Plan. The proposal and the project design are in keeping with the eclectic mix of developments on Brighton Avenue and the neighborhood. A Notice of Decision to approve the project was issued on April 15, 2014.

On April 29, 2014, the staff decision to approve the project was appealed by Ms. Dixie Brien (Attachment 1). Following are the appeal issues.

Appeal Issues

Staff has provided a response to each appeal issue below.

Issue 1

Two Garages/One Garage, One Carport

The appeal indicates that the applicant told the Ocean Beach Community Planning Board the project would have two garages and instead the proposal now has one garage and one carport.

Staff Response

It is not unusual for projects to undergo changes of this kind after visiting a community planning

group. It should be noted that the applicant made several changes to the project in response to comments made by the Ocean Beach Community Planning Board (OBCPB). As originally presented to the group, the project had a two-car covered carport (Lot 7) and a carport/garage (Lot 8), and the later was revised to a two-car garage. This change was made in response to a neighbor at the group meeting who wanted more greenery on the side of the development facing her lot. To accommodate this, the applicant enclosed the carport so as to hide any automobile from view and provide a wall for a planter to increase greenery on the side of the development facing the neighbor. Several OBCPB members expressed support for the project due to the applicant's willingness to make recommended modifications to the project.

Issue 2

The appeal asserts that the project is not compatible with the neighborhood.

Staff Response

The project is compatible with the neighborhood as it fits in with this area's eclectic mix of architectural styles (Attachment 11). There is a similar project in the 4600 block of Long Branch Avenue, one block to the north, which is a modern style, two-story development adjacent to a one-story, single family residence. Brighton Avenue is comprised of a mix of residential types, including cottages, apartments, single family homes, churches and townhomes, on both 25-foot wide and 50-foot wide sites. The predominant lot width in the area is 25 feet and single family homes are located on two, 25-foot wide lots as well as single 25-foot lots. Brighton Avenue has both one- and two-story residences.

The project site is zoned RM-1-1 (Residential Multi-Family) and the Ocean Beach Precise Plan recommends the area be developed at 14 dwelling units per acre. The project would result in one unit on each of two 2,500 square-foot lots resulting in a density for each lot of 17.5 units per acre. The existing home on the project site represents a density of 8.7 dwelling units per acre. The average density of one dwelling unit on a 2,500 square-foot lot and one dwelling unit on a 5,000 square-foot site is 13.1 dwelling units per acre. Therefore, this mix of densities results in an average density that is consistent with the Precise Plan's density recommendation for the area.

The Precise Plan also describes the existing architectural design in this community is in transition from "one development style to another." To preserve the character of the community, or what the Precise Plan calls "the flavor of the past," recommendations for physical development are provided to guide projects toward this goal by utilizing density, setbacks, building height, building bulk, landscaping and parking.

The residential character of the neighborhood along Brighton Avenue, within the immediate vicinity of the project site, is predominantly 1950's construction described as modest cottage style, single story, generally well maintained and of similar bulk and scale. In contrast, directly across the street from the project site is a large asphalt parking lot and a community church. West of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is a mixture of larger, two-story, multi-residential development. The proposed project is modern architectural design. It is located on two 25-foot wide sites that

contribute to an elongated shape to the proposed buildings. The proposed design emphasizes rectangular, horizontal, and vertical lines with flat roofs. Offsets are incorporated into the design to break up building wall mass at the front elevation with various superficial elements (wood siding, railings) balcony, and covered entry. As mentioned, there are other examples of modern architectural design on Long Branch and Brighton Avenues alongside cottage style single family residences.

The project proposes a front yard area of 20 percent of the parcel as recommended by the Ocean Beach Precise Plan. The project meets the goals of the Precise Plan that 20 percent should be landscaped including the front yard. The project is allowed by the Land Development Code to be 30 feet in height and the proposal is proposing two stories on each dwelling unit at a maximum height of 27 feet, four inches. The project proposes two off-street parking spaces for each proposed residence with alley access. The number of proposed parking spaces meets the requirements of the zone and conforms to the Community Plan.

The Ocean Beach Precise Plan recommends that new residential construction should be at a scale that is compatible with the present small lot development. The project proposes to construct two residential units, each on 25-foot by 100-foot lots. This size lot is considered relatively small and the predominant lot size in the area.

Issue 3

The appeal states the project is in conflict with the RM-1-1 Zone - minimum 3,500 square-foot lot size for one unit.

Staff Response:

The RM-1-1 Zone allows one unit per 3,000 square feet. The lots for the project are 2,500 square feet each and are previously conforming legal lots. As such the lots are each entitled to develop with one residence per Section 113.0237(b) of the Land Development Code.

Issue 4

Incomplete information on off-street parking spaces for totals of six bedrooms.

Staff Response

Staff has reviewed the proposal and determined that the project is providing the required parking spaces for both residences. Four parking spaces are required (two for each residence) and four are proposed.

Ocean Beach Community Planning Board (OBCPB)

The applicant worked closely with the OBCPB and made several changes in the design of the project as a result of their input. At the request of the OBCPB, the applicant increased the

setback of the upper story on Lot 8 (easterly lot) by removing a bay window, reduced the size of the house from 1,600 to 1,504 square feet, and roof planters above garage and terrace at second level at rear alley were added to provide green at tree top level. For Lot 7, at the rear of the building on the second level, the stair to the roof deck was shifted to the edge instead of a switchback. The walls and partial roof of the rear outdoor terrace were removed, reducing the number of beams overhead at corners remaining to frame out the balcony. In addition, at the request of the OBCPB the applicant submitted a Landscape Plan providing evidence of landscaping improvements that would ameliorate bulk, scale and massing issues. A Landscape Plan is not required for single family developments.

Of the four OBCPB members voting against the project, one expressed support for the project during project discussion and then voted against it, two members sited concern for the modern design and one member was concerned about the proposal's massing (Attachment 12).

CONCLUSION

The existing home to be demolished is not historic and, as such, its demolition would be allowable. One residential unit may be constructed on each of the existing legal lots, and the project is not requesting any deviations to the Land Development Code. All zone and community plan requirements would be met by the proposal, and projects similar to the proposal can be found near the project site, as well as on Brighton Avenue. The nearby neighborhood is an eclectic mix of designs, architectural styles, one and two-story residences, building heights, lot widths and building ages. As such, staff recommends denial of the appeal and approval of the project.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Deny the appeal of Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192, with modifications.
- 2. Approve the appeal and deny Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Westlake Assistant Deputy Director Development Services Department

Attachments:

- 1. Appeal by Ms. Dixie Brien
- 2. Aerial Map
- 3. Community Land Use Map

Morris E. Dye Development Project Manager Development Services Department

- 4. Location Map
- Draft Permit Resolution with Findings 5.
- Draft Permit with Conditions 6.
- 7. Notice of Decision
- Notice of Exemption 8.
- Notice of Right to Appeal Environmental Determination 9.
- Project Site Plans Area Street Views 10.
- 11.
- 12. OBCPB minutes.

ATTACHMENT 1

1	
	Charles and the second s
I	THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

City of San Diego **Development Services** 1222 First Ave. 3rd Floor San Diego, CA 92101

FORM **Development Permit/** Environmental Determination DS-3031 **Appeal Application** Остовея 2012

See Information Bulletin 505, "Development Permits Appeal Procedure," for information on the appeal procedure. 1. Type of Appeal: Process Two Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission Process Three Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission Process Four Decision - Appeal to City Council Environmental Determination - Appeal to City Council Appeal of a Hearing Officer Decision to revoke a permit Applicant 2. Appellant Please check one Officially recognized Planning Committee "Interested Person" (Per M.C. Sec. 113.0103) E-mail Address: Name: City: Address: Zip Code: Telephone; State: 3. Applicant Name (As shown on the Permit/Approval being appealed). Complete if different from appellant 5 5 4. Project Information Permit/Environmental Determination & Permit/Document No.: Date of Decision/Determination: City Project Manager: Decision (describe the permit/approval decision): Grounds for Appeal (Please check all that apply) 5. Gractual Error Conflict with other matters New Information City-wide Significance (Process Four decisions only) Description of Grounds for Appeal (Please relate your description to the allowable reasons for appeal as more fully described in Chapter 11, Article 2, Division 5 of the San Diego Municipal Code. Attach additional sheets if necessary.) 6. Appellant's Signature: I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing, including all names and addresses, is true and correct. Signature: Date: JIAY PS Note: Faxed appeals are not accepted. Appeal fees are non-refundable. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES Printed on recycled paper. Visit our web site at www.sandiego.gov/development-services. Upon request, this information is available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities.

DS-3031 (10-12)



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: April 15, 2014

K

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT Internal Order No. 24004065

PROVAL TYPE(S): **APPLICANT:**

Coastal Development Permit/Exempt PROJECT NAME/NUMBER: BRIGHTON RESIDENCES/Project No. 338809 **Yale Jallos**

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: Ocean Beach 2 **COUNCIL DISTRICT:**

MAILING ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL:

CITY PROJECT MANAGER: Morris E. Dye, Development Project Manager 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101-4153 (619) 446-5201, mdye@sandiego.gov.

On April 15, 2014, Development Services Staff APPROVED an application for a Process 2 Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,504 square-foot single family residence with detached 370 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport, each on a separate 2,500 square-foot lot, located at 4766 Brighton Avenue. The project is located within the RM-1-1 zone and within the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable). This application was filed on October 9, 2013.

If you have any questions about this project, the decision, or wish to receive a copy of the resolution approving or denying the project, contact the City Project Manager above.

The decision by staff can be appealed to the **Planning Commission** no later than twelve (12) business days of the decision date. See Information Bulletin 505 "Appeal Procedure", available at www.sandiego.gov/development-services or in person at the Development Services Department, located at 1222 First Avenue, 3rd Floor, San Diego, CA 92101. Please do not e-mail your appeal as it will not be accepted. A decision by the Planning Commission would be final.

The final decision by the City of San Diego is not appealable to the California Coastal Commission. If you want to receive a Notice of Final Action, you must submit a written request to the City Project Manager listed above.

This information will be made available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities upon request.

cc: Tom Gawronski, Chair, Ocean Beach Planning Board Revised 4-3-14 WJZ







Location Aerial Photo Brighton Residences- 4766 Brighton Avenue PROJECT NO. 338809



ATTACHMENT 2





Community Plan Land Use Ocean Beach Community Plan Area City of San Diego Planning Department



Land Use Map

Brighton Residences- 4766 Brighton Avenue PROJECT NO. 338809







Project Location Map

Brighton Residences- 4766 Brighton Avenue PROJECT NO. 338809



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION NO. CM-6402 COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1185192 BRIGHTON RESIDENCES, PROJECT NO. 338809

WHEREAS, HENRY JALLOS, Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego for a permit to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,504 square-foot single family residence with detached 370 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192 each on portions of 2,500 square-foot lots.

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue in the RM-1-1 zone of the Ocean Beach Community Plan Area.

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lots seven and eight in Block three of Ocean Beach Park, in the City of San Diego, State of California, according to Map thereof No. 1167, filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, February 10, 1909.

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2014, the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego considered Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego.

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2014, the City of San Diego, as Lead Agency, through the Development Services Department, made and issued an Environmental Determination that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et. seq.) under CEQA Guideline Sections 15301(1)(1) Existing Facilities – Demolition and 15303 (a) New Construction, and there was no appeal of the Environmental Determination filed within the time period provided by San Diego Municipal Code Section 112.0520.

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Development Services Department adopts the following written Findings, dated April 15, 2014.

FINDINGS:

Coastal Development Permit - Section 126.0708

A.

1. The proposed coastal development will not encroach upon any existing physical accessway that is legally used by the public or any proposed public accessway identified in a Local Coastal Program land use plan; and the proposed coastal development will enhance and protect public views to and along the ocean and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the Local Coastal Program land use plan. The project proposes to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,600 square-foot single family residence with detached 232 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport.

The project site is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue, and is in a built out urban environment, four blocks from the Pacific Ocean. There are no identified physical accessways to the ocean at the project location and there are no accessways planned for the property to gain access to the ocean through the site. There are no identified public view corridors or vistas on Brighton Avenue. The project would be located entirely on private property and would observe all required setbacks along Brighton Avenue.

As there are no identified public accessways or public views through the property and the project would not obstruct any public view along Brighton Avenue, the proposed coastal developmet will not encroach upon any existing physical accessway that is legally used by the public or any proposed public accessway identified in a Local Coastal Program land use plan and the proposed coastal development will enhance and protect public views to and along the ocean and other scenic coastal areas as specified in the Local Coastal Program land use plan.

2. The proposed coastal development will not adversely affect environmentally sensitive lands.

The project proposes to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,600 square-foot single family residence with detached 232 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport.

The project site is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue, and is in a built out urban environment, four blocks from the Pacific Ocean. There are no environmentally sensitive lands on the project site. The site contains no steep hillsides, coastal bluffs or beaches and no sensitive biological resources. Therefore, the proposed coastal development will not adversely affect environmentally sensitive lands.

3. The proposed coastal development is in conformity with the certified Local Coastal Program land use plan and complies with all regulations of the certified Implementation Program.

The project proposes to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,600 square-foot single family residence with detached 232 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport.

The Ocean Beach Precise Plan/Local Coastal Program designates the project site for residential development. The project proposes to one residence on each of two 25-foot wide by 100-foot long lots. The Ocean Beach Precise Plan identifies the two most common lots in Ocean Beach as 25 by 140 feet and 25 by 100 feet. The Urban Design Element of the General Plan encourages residential design that is sensitive to the existing character of a neighborhood; however, maintaining the status quo is not the intended goal. The General Plan welcomes change through innovation demonstrated by high-quality architectural design that is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. Change is incremental and is accomplished, in part, through Citywide

zoning which strives to create a "coherent image of the City as a whole." For development within single family and multifamily residential areas the Ocean Beach Precise Plan seeks to promote new development that "reflects the scale and character of the neighborhood." This concern is articulated within the Residential Land Use and Housing Element where the goal is for development that is compatible within a community "exemplified by a mixture of small scale residential building types and styles." The residential character of the neighborhood along Brighton Avenue, within the immediate vicinity of the subject site, is predominantly 1950's construction described as modest cottage style, single story, generally well maintained, and of similar bulk and scale. In contrast, directly across the street is a large asphalt parking lot and community church. West of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is a mixture of larger two story multiresidential development. The project would incorporate offsets into the design to break up building wall mass at the front elevation with various superficial elements (i.e. wood siding, railing), including a balcony and a covered entry. Other examples of built modern architectural design are represented on Long Branch and Brighton alongside the predominant single family residence theme of cottage style. The project would incorporate design elements to break up building mass to reflect the character of the neighborhood as called for in the Ocean Beach Precise Plan. A mix of modern architectural designs and 1950's cottage development can be found in the immediate area near the project site. Therefore, the project would reflect the character of the neighborhood as recommended in the Ocean Beach Precise Plan. As the project would be consistent with the Ocean Beach Precise Plan, the proposed coastal development is in conformity with the Local Coastal Program land use plan and complies with the Certified Implementation Program.

4. For every Coastal Development Permit issued for any coastal development between the nearest public road and the sea or the shoreline of any body of water located within the Coastal Overlay Zone the coastal development is in conformity with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

The project proposes to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,600 square-foot single family residence with detached 232 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport.

The project site is not located between the nearest public road and the sea or the shoreline of any body of water located within the Coastal Overlay Zone. The project site is three blocks away from the nearest public roadway at Abbott Street. Therefore, the coastal development is in conformity with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Development Services Department, Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192 is hereby GRANTED by the Development Services Department to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Coastal Development Permit No. 1185192 a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof. Morris E. Dye Development Project Manager Development Services

Adopted on: April 15, 2014

Job Order No. 24004065

.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERMIT CLERK MAIL STATION 501

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

INTERNAL ORDER NUMBER: 24004065

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1185192 BRIGHTON RESIDENCES PROJECT NO. 338809 DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

This Coastal Development Permit is granted by the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego to Henry Jallos, Owner/Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 126.0702. The 0.11-acre site is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue in the RM-1-1 zone of the Ocean Beach Community Plan Area. The project site is legally described as: Lots seven and eight in Block three of Ocean Beach Park, in the City of San Diego, State of California, according to Map thereof No. 1167, filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, February 10, 1909.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner/Permittee to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,504 square-foot single family residence with detached 370 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport, each on a separate 2,500 square-foot lot, located at 4766 Brighton Avenue as described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated April 15, 2014, on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Demolishing an existing residence with detached garage;
- b. Construct a 1,504 square-foot single family residence with detached 370 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport, each on a separate 2,500 square-foot lot;
- b. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements);
- c. Off-street parking;

d. Public and private accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards for this site in accordance with the adopted community plan, the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] and the CEQA Guidelines, the City Engineer's requirements, zoning regulations, conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC.

STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36 month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker. This permit must be utilized by April 30, 2017.

2. No permit for the construction, occupancy, or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

3. While this Permit is in effect, the subject property shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision maker.

4. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.

5. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

6. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

7. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial building modifications and site improvements may be required to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State and Federal disability access laws.

8. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." Changes, modifications, or alterations to the construction plans are prohibited unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

9. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and were determinednecessary to make the findings required for approval of this Permit. The Permit holder is required to comply with each and every condition in order to maintain the entitlements that are granted by this Permit.

If any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo, and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

10. The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

AIRPORT REQUIREMENTS:

11. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall grant an avigation easement to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority as the operator of the San Diego International Airport for the purposes of aircraft operations, noise and other effects caused by the operation of aircraft, and for structure height if the same would interfere with the intended use of the easement. The Owner/Permittee shall use the avigation easement form provided by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:

12. The project proposes to export two (2) cubic yards of material from the project site. All excavated material listed to be exported, shall be exported to a legal disposal site in accordance with the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (the "Green Book"), 2009 edition and Regional Supplement Amendments adopted by Regional Standards Committee.

13. The drainage system proposed for this development, as shown on the site plan, is private and subject to approval by the City Engineer.

14. Prior to foundation inspection, the Owner/Permittee shall submit a building pad certification signed by a Registered Civil Engineer or a Licensed Land Surveyor, certifying that the pad elevation based on USGS datum is consistent with Exhibit 'A', satisfactory to the City Engineer.

15. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall dedicate, and assure by permit and bond, the improvement of an additional 2.5 feet of the adjacent alley, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

16. Whenever street rights-of-way are required to be dedicated, it is the responsibility of the Owner/Permittee to provide the right-of-way free and clear of all encumbrances and prior easements. The Applicant must secure "subordination agreements" for minor distribution facilities and/or "joint-use agreements" for major transmission facilities.

17. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall assure, by obtaining documentation that a SDG & E job package has been issued to the SDG&E Construction Department, to relocate the existing power pole in the alley adjacent to the site, to a location approved by SDG&E, and satisfactory to the City Engineer.

18. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent BMP maintenance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

19. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

20. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

21. Any party, on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this development permit, may protest the imposition within 90 days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code 66020.

PLANNING/DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

22. Owner/Permittee shall maintain a minimum of four (4) off-street parking spaces, tow (2) on each lot at all times in the approximate locations shown on the approved Exhibit "A." Parking spaces shall comply at all times with the SDMC and shall not be converted for any other use unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision maker in accordance with the SDMC.

23. A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the SDMC may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this Permit or a regulation of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the Owner/Permittee.

24. All private outdoor lighting shall be shaded and adjusted to fall on the same premises where such lights are located and in accordance with the applicable regulations in the SDMC.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS:

25. Prior to receiving the first residential building permit, Owner/Permittee shall comply with the provisions of Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 13 of the San Diego Municipal Code ("Inclusionary Affordable Housing Regulations") by paying to the City of San Diego the full Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee based upon the aggregate square footage of all residential units in the project, on terms set forth within the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Regulations.

INFORMATION ONLY:

- The issuance of this discretionary use permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. The operation allowed by this discretionary use permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received final inspection.
- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this Permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code-section 66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the Development Services Department of the City of San Diego on April 15, 2014 and Resolution No. CM-6402.

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.: Date of Approval:

AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

NAME Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

[NAME OF COMPANY] Owner/Permittee

By

NAME TITLE

[NAME OF COMPANY] Owner/Permittee

By_

NAME TITLE

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: April 15, 2014

NOTICE OF DECISION

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT Internal Order No. 24004065

APPROVAL TYPE(S): APPLICANT:

Coastal Development Permit/Exempt PROJECT NAME/NUMBER: BRIGHTON RESIDENCES/Project No. 338809 **Vale** Jallos

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: Ocean Beach 2 **COUNCIL DISTRICT:**

CITY PROJECT MANAGER: Morris E. Dye, Development Project Manager 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101-4153 MAILING ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL: (619) 446-5201, mdye@sandiego.gov.

On April 15, 2014, Development Services Staff APPROVED an application for a Process 2 Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing residence with detached garage and construct a 1,504 square-foot single family residence with detached 370 square-foot garage and a 1,832 square-foot single family residence with carport, each on a separate 2,500 square-foot lot, located at 4766 Brighton Avenue. The project is located within the RM-1-1 zone and within the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable). This application was filed on October 9, 2013.

If you have any questions about this project, the decision, or wish to receive a copy of the resolution approving or denying the project, contact the City Project Manager above.

The decision by staff can be appealed to the Planning Commission no later than twelve (12) business days of the decision date. See Information Bulletin 505 "Appeal Procedure", available at www.sandiego.gov/development-services or in person at the Development Services Department, located at 1222 First Avenue, 3rd Floor, San Diego, CA 92101. Please do not e-mail your appeal as it will not be accepted. A decision by the Planning Commission would be final.

The final decision by the City of San Diego is not appealable to the California Coastal Commission. If you want to receive a Notice of Final Action, you must submit a written request to the City Project Manager listed above.

This information will be made available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities upon request.

cc: Tom Gawronski, Chair, Ocean Beach Planning Board Revised 4-3-14 WJZ

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

(Check one or both)

TO: <u>X</u> RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK P.O. BOX 1750, MS A-33 1600 PACIFIC HWY, ROOM 260 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-2422 FROM: CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT 1222 FIRST AVENUE, MS 501 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH 1400 TENTH STREET, ROOM 121 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

PROJECT NO.:338809

PROJECT TITLE: BRIGHTON RESIDENCES

PROJECT LOCATION-SPECIFIC: The project is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue, San Diego, CA 92107 (Lots 7 & 8 of Block 3 Ocean Beach Park Per Map No. 1167 Filed 1909)

PROJECT LOCATION-CITY/COUNTY: San Diego/San Diego

DESCRIPTION OF NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT: A Coastal Development Permit (CDP) to demolish an existing single family residence with detached garage located on two separate lots to construct two new two-story single family residences (1,600 square foot single family residence with detached 232 square foot garage and a 1,832 square foot single family residence with a carport) on each lot. The site is in the RM-1-1 zone of the Ocean Beach Community Plan area on two 2,500 square foot lots.

NAME OF PUBLIC AGENCY APPROVING PROJECT: City of San Diego

NAME OF PERSON OR AGENCY CARRYING OUT PROJECT: Yale Jallos, 3366 Karok Avenue, San Diego, CA 92117 (619-623-2901).

EXEMPT STATUS: (CHECK ONE)

- () MINISTERIAL (SEC. 21080(b)(1); 15268);
- () DECLARED EMERGENCY (SEC. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
- () EMERGENCY PROJECT (SEC. 21080(b)(4); 15269 (b)(c))
- (X) CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION: Sections 15301(l)(1) (Existing Facilities Demolition) and 15303 (a) (New Construction)
- () STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS:

REASONS WHY PROJECT IS EXEMPT: The City of San Diego has determined the project meets the categorical exemption criteria set forth in the CEQA State Guidelines Sections 15301(l)(1) (Existing Facilities) and 15303(a) (New Construction). 15301(l)(1) allows for the demolition and removal of up to three single-family residences in urbanized areas and Section 15303(a) allows for the construction of single family homes in residential zones. Since the project would demolish a single family residence in an urbanized area and would construct two new single family residences in a residential zone on a site lacking sensitive environmental resources the exemptions do apply and the exceptions listed in CEQA Section 15300.2 would not apply.

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: de Freitas IF FILED BY APPLICANT: TELEPHONE: (619) 446-5187

- 1. ATTACH CERTIFIED DOCUMENT OF EXEMPTION FINDING.
- 2. HAS A NOTICE OF EXEMPTION BEEN FILED BY THE PUBLIC AGENCY APPROVING THE PROJECT?
 - () YES () NO

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO HAS DETERMINED THE ABOVE ACTIVITY TO BE EXEMPT FROM CEQA

SERVICE PLADNINE march ATURE/THLE

CHECK ONE: (X) SIGNED BY LEAD AGENCY

DATE RECEIVED FOR FILING WITH COUNTY CLERK OR OPR:

() SIGNED BY APPLICANT



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Date of Notice: January 17, 2014 NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

SAP No. 24004065

PROJECT NAME/NUMBER: Brighton Residences/338809

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: Ocean Beach

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

LOCATION: The project is located at 4766 Brighton Avenue, San Diego, CA 92107 (Lots 7 & 8 of Block 3 Ocean Beach Park Per Map No. 1167 Filed 1909).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Coastal Development Permit (CDP) to demolish an existing single family residence with detached garage located on two separate lots to construct two new two-story single family residences (1,600 square foot single family residence with detached 232 square foot garage and a 1,832 square foot single family residence with a carport) on each lot. The site is in the RM-1-1 zone of the Ocean Beach Community Plan area on two 2,500 square foot lots.

ENTITY CONSIDERING PROJECT APPROVAL: Staff

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: 15301(l)(1) - (Existing Facilities - demolition) and 15303(a) - (New Construction)

ENTITY MAKING ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: City of San Diego

STATEMENT SUPPORTING REASON FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The City of San Diego has determined the project meets the categorical exemption criteria set forth in the CEQA State Guidelines Sections 15301(l)(1) (Existing Facilities - demolition) and 15303(a) (New Construction). 15301(l)(1) allows for the demolition and removal of up to three single-family residences in urbanized areas and Section 15303(a) allows for the construction of single family homes in residential zones. Since the project would demolish a single family residence in an urbanized area and would construct two new single family residence in a residential zone on a site lacking sensitive environmental resources the exemptions do apply and the exceptions listed in CEQA Section 15300.2 would not apply.

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGER: MAILING ADDRESS:	Sandra Teasley 1222 1 st Avenue, MS 501, San Diego, CA
	92101
PHONE NUMBER:	(619) 446-5271

On January 15, 2014 the City of San Diego made the above-referenced environmental determination pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This determination is appealable to the Planning Commission. If you have any questions about this determination, contact the City Development Project Manager listed above.

Applications to appeal CEQA determination made by staff (including the City Manager) to the City Council must be filed in the office of the City Clerk within 10 business days from the date of the posting of this Notice. That appeal deadline date is <u>February 3, 2014</u>. The appeal application can be obtained from the City Clerk, 202 'C' Street, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92101.

.

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request.

DIRECTORY

OWNER HENRY JALLOS 3366 KAROK AVE. SAN DIEGO, CA 92117 (619) 623-2901

ENGINEER OF RECORD. BO JAQUESS / TRUSE 1303 ORANGE AVE. SAN DIEGO, CA 92118 (619)-869-6234

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- Demolition of 665 SF single story house, garage, and sheds. Existing house to be demolished is on lot 7 per APN. Demolition is in scope.
- construction of 1 single family residence of 1504 SF with 2 car garage and roof terrace on LOT 8. Total of 1874 SF on lot 8.
- The residence on LOT 7 proosed is 1832 SF 2 story, residence will have a covered carport.
- Each house will retain its existing APN number, and have its own address. One new address to be created. Each lot to have utilities including gas, sewer, water, and electrical.
- Year house constructed 1964 per Building Record, Historiacol review completed in discretionary permit process.

SUMMARY

NOTE: REFER TO PRELIMINARY REVIEW APPROVAL PN# 285524 city planner

Richard Lewis

CODE

OCCUPANCY:

Zone

PM-1-1

ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBERS:

YEAR CONSTRUCTED: 1937 (building record)

RM3

per 2013 California Residential Code

PROJECT DATA

NOTES

THE DRIVER / PERMITTEE SHALL INCORPERATE INTO CONSTRUCTION A)- ADAQUATE NOISE ATTENUATION WILL BE FROMDED TO ENSURE AN INTERIOR NOISE LEVEL OF 45 dB CNEL FOR ALL HABITABLE ROOMS

EN- PRIOR TO THE ISLIANCE OF ANY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT. THE OWNER/ PERMITTEE SHALL SUBMIT A WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN. THE W.P.C.P. SHALL BE PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES IN APPENDIX E OF THE CITY'S STORM WATER STANDARDS

C)-- PRIOR 1D THE ISLANCE OF ANY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH CHAPTER 14, ARTICLE 2, DAVISION'S OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE SHALL BE PLACED INTO THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS OF SPECS

1 the site is physically sublide for the dysign and other and the physical development will result in minimum displations; to encouncertain sections (see 3. The program development will immediate displations of adjusted from the will not result in order rich from generating and excession forces, third forces, will result in order rich from generating and excession forces. This forces is the section of the section of the section of the section of the sec-tion of the section of the section of the section of the section of the sec-tion of the section of ter hororda is the harped. 2. The proposed development will be silent and designed to prevent advertige imparts on any adjacent environmentally sensitive lands.

A the proposed benefaminer will be transitioned with the of all loss Despite Wards spress Constraints Program (WZD) Stateve Plan. 5 The proposed becampred all not complete to the mission of public beckers or adversion impetit loss themines used region of the modern or adversion impetit beck themines used region of the modern or adversion impetit beckers and public protocol spress and public and publication of the protocol spress program development.

SHEET INDEX

TILL TITLE SHEET AND CODE ANALYSIS AST & STE PLAN PROPOSED ALL LOT 7 FLOOR PLANS PROPOSED ALC LOT & FLOOP PLANS PROPOSED A1.3 LOTS 7 4 5 ROOF PLANS A1.4. EXISTING/DEMOLITION PLAN + SURVEY EXISTIC

A3.7 LOT 7 ELEVATIONS A3.2 LOT & ELEVATIONS A7 1 SITE SECTIONS G1.1 PROPOSED GRADING PLAN LT 1 PROPOSED LANDSCAPE PLAN



3366 Karok Ave. 92117 contact: 619-623-2901 contact: 619 email: yjallos(OWNER: Henry Jallos

PROJECT INFO:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Ocean Beach Park per map no. 1167 , 1909

Lots 7+8 of block 3 of

APN: 448-423-06-00 (lot 7)

+ 448-423-07-00 (lot 8)

BRIGHTON AVE. CA. 92107

4766 SAN DIEGO,

PROJECT ADDRESS:

4766 BRIGHTON AVE. OB PARK BLOCK 3 - LOTS 7 + 8 LEGAL DESCRIPTION COVERAGE DATA PROJECT ADDRESS: 4766 BRIGHTON AVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92107 665 S.F. - EXISTING ORIGINAL HOUSE LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOTS 7 & S OF BLOCK 3 OCEAN BEACH PARK 255 S.F. - UNPERMITTED ADDITION TO BR DEMOLISHED PER MAP NO. 1167 FILED 1909, MICROFILMED 1959 330 S.F. - EXISTING 1 CAR GARAGE 665 S.F.- ACTUAL HOUSE STRUCTURE 448-423-06-00 LOT-7 448-423-07-00 LOT-8 PROPOSED BUILDING AREA: LOT 7 PROPOSED BUILDING AREA: LOT 8 1832 SF HOUSE 1504 SF HOUSE EXTERIÓR CARPORT 370 SF 2 CAR GARAGE 1832 / 2500 SF LOT= 73.2% FAR 1874 / 2500 SF LOT= 74.9% F.A.R. per 2013 California Building Code - where CRC does not superceed. ALLOWABLE F.A.R. = 0.75% F.A.R. ALLOWABLE F.A.R = 0.75% F.A.R. SPRINKLER TYPE: 13D (DEFERRED APPROVAL) CONSTRUCTION TYPE: TYPE VB - wood frame residential AIRPORT EASEMENT NOTE: ACTUAL HEIGHT= less than 30"-0" 2 STORY (30"-0" MAX. ALLOWABLE) AN AVIGATION EASEMENT WILL BE GRANTED ACROSS THE PROPRTY TO THE AIRPORT OPERATOR. THIS EASEMENT HAS BEEN RECORDED WITH THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE: (REVIEW PENDING) GELOGICAL HAZARD CATEGORY 52 PER CITY OF SAN DIEGO. YARD SETBACKS - RM1-1 ZONE STORAGE 93 C.F. - OUTODOR CLOSET STORAGE OCEAN BEACH, CITY OF SAN DIEGO FRONT YARD: REQUIRED: 15 FEET / 20 FT ALT. PROPOSED: 15-1" / 20-1" ALT. Coastal Overlay, Coastal Height Limit, Airport Approach, Airport Influence-118.6 C.F. - UNDER STAIR STORAGE REAR YARD: REQUIRED: 7' -6" FEET (ALLEY 15' WIDE). PROPOSED: 7'-6" REAR SETBACK EXTERIOR ACCESS Area. (FAA Part 77), Ocean Beach Emerging Historic District, SIDE YARD SETBACK; REQUIRED: 3 FEET (FOR 25' WIDE LOTS) PROPOSED: 3' BOTH SIDES - ROOF DECK STORAGE

Parking impact Overlay Zone. SITE AREA F.A.R. CALCS

TWO (2) SITES AT 2,500 S.F. EA. 5,000 SF TOTAL PROJECT SITE

1832 SF HOUSE LOT 7	1874 SF HOUSE LOT B INCL. 370 SF GARAGE	
1.832 / 2.500 = 73.2% F.A.R. PROPOSED	1,874 / 2.500 = 74.9% F.A.R. PROPOSED	
MAX F.A.R. ALLOWED = 75% F.A.R.	MAX F.A.R. ALLOWED = 75% F.A.R.	

PARKING

KNOWN EASEMENTS - NONE

PARKING CRITERIA:	RESIDENTIAL - 2 SINGLE DWELLING	
TOTAL NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES REQUIRED BY ZONE:	2 RER LOT = 4 TOTAL	
TOTAL NUMBER OF SPACES PROVIDED ON -SITE:	? PER LOT = 4 TOTAL	

	THELDA
T	W. Point Lama Ave
A	The second second
O NORTH	BRIGHON AVE not to scale

241.6 S.F. - TOTAL STORAGE PER LOT

>240 SF REQUIRED



SHEET CONTENT:

SHEET TITLE: Þ ACHME SHEET # 1 of 11 ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE: 10-REVISION #1 DATE: 17-4-13 REVISION #2 DATE: 36-14 ZT

10







PROLECT ADDRESS: 4766 BRIGHTON AVE. San DIEGO, CA. 92107

OWNER: Henry Jallos 3366 Karok Ave. 92117 contact: 619-623-2901 emait: yjallos@hotmail.com

PROJECT INFO: APN: 448-423-06-00 (lot 7) + 448-423-07-00 (lot 8)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lots 7+ 8 of block 3 of Ocean Beach Park per map no. 1167 , 1909

SHEET CONTENT:

FLOOR PLANS LOT 7 PROPOSED

SHEET TITLE:

A1.1

SHEET #_3_01_11 ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE: 10-4-2013 REVISION #1 DATE: 12-0-13 REVISION #2 DATE: 3-0-14



T



4766 BRIGHTON AVE. SAN DIEGO, CA. 92107

OWNER: Henry Jallos 3366 Karok Ave. 92117 contact: 619-623-2901 email: yjallos@hotmail.com

PROJECT INFO: APN: 448-423-06-00 (lot 7) + 448-423-07-00 (lot 8)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lots 7+ 8 of block 3 of Ocean Beach Park per map no. 1167 , 1909

SHEET CONTENT: FLOOR PLANS PROPOSED LOT 8

SHEET TITLE:

A1.2 SHEET # 4 of 11 ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE: 10-42013 REVISION #10 DATE: 170-43 REVISION #10 DATE: 150-43





4766 BRIGHTON AVE.

OWNER: Henry Jallos 3366 Karok Ave. 92117 contact: 619-623-2901 email: yjallos@hotmail.com

PROJECT INFO: APN: 448-423-06-00 (lot 7) + 448-423-07-00 (lot 8)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lots 7+ 8 of block 3 of Ocean Beach Park per map no. 1167, 1909

SHEET CONTENT:

ROOF PLANS LOTS 7 + 8

SHEET TITLE:

A1.3 SHEET # 5 of 11 OPIGINAL DRAWING GATE: 104-2013 REVISION #10 DATE: 104-3





 OWNER:
 PROJECT ADDRESS:

 Henry Jallos
 OWNER:

 AFOLICT ADDRESS:
 PROJECT ADDRESS:

 Henry Jallos
 3356 Karok Ave. 92117

 SAN DIEGO, CA.
 92107

 Contact:
 619-623-2901

 email:
 yjalos@homail.com

SHEET CONTENT: EXISTING/DEMOLITION PLAN + SURVEY EXISTING

SHEET TITLE:

Lots 7+ 8 of block 3 of Ocean Beach Park per map no. 1167 . 1909

A1.4

ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE: 10-4-2013 REVISION #1 DATE: 12-9-13 REVISION #2 DATE: 34-14



SHEET # 7 of 11 ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE: 10-4-2013 REVISION #1 DATE: 12-5-13 REVISION #2 DATE: 3-5-14



SHEET # 8 of 11 ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE: 10-4-2013 REVISION #1 DATE: 12-9-13 REVISION #2 DATE: 3-0-14





NORTH



contact: 619-623-2901 email: yjallos@hotmail.com 619-623-2901

PROJECT INFO: APN: 448-423-05-00 (lot 7) + 448-423-07-00 (lot 8)

Lots 7+ 8 of block 3 of per map no. 1167 . 1909

SHEET # 11 of 11 ORIGINAL DRAWING DATE 10-4-2013 AEVISION #1 DATE: 12-0-13 REVISION #2 DATE: 3-0-14

ATTACHMENT 11

















Minutes of the Ocean Beach Planning Board General Meeting 3/05/14

6:06: Meeting called to order. Present: Tom Gawronski, Barbara Shmidtnicht, Scott Therkalsen, Pete Ruscitti, Bill Bushe, Raeanon Hartigan, John Ambert, Kevin Becker, Andrew Waltz, Gio Ingolia and Drew Wilson joined late.

Agenda Approval: Modification Chet Barfield has replace John Lye. Pete moves to approve, Barbara seconds 9-0-0

Minutes: Rae moves to approve the minutes from 2/5/14, Pete seconds. Vote 7-0-2

Relevant Representative Reports: John Lye has moved on to Mayor's office. Chet Barfield is currently the replacement, he explained the district 2 appointment process. New restrictions on food trucks – none will be allowed in the coastal overlay zone, including most of Ocean Beach. Marijuana ordinance will be revisited on 4/20. Yep, 4/20.

Non-agenda public comment: Barbara - spoke on behalf of Gretchen Newsom's candidacy to fill the vacant District 2 seat.

Nicole – ask to be on next agenda to ask for grant support; also spoke about the bike share sites.

Joseph "Moondoggy the crossing guard" – concerned about the painting of the yellow lines on the corners surrounding the school. Wants them repainted and completed/extended and the drop off zones extended. Chet will assist in the matter.

Fred Simon – running for 52nd district. Former doctor and health care consultant. Recognized that this was the best district in the United States of America!

Sidewalk Man – concerned about sidewalks on Voltaire between Abbot and Bacon. Chet promised assistance in this matter as well.

Action Item #1: 4766 Brighton Lots 7 & 8 CDP - Project #338809

Applicant presented the old design and then the new design that addressed some of the concerns from the project review committee meeting (For some specifics see addendum at end). Specifically for the east house the first story has been further setback about 2 feet and the top story has been further setback about 5 feet. Rae inquired about the new "green-roof" and applicant stated the planters would be practically immovable. Applicant stated that the homes are well below the height limit and below the allowed FAR, it's what I'm allowed to build. Public Comment: Man 1 - is 3000 sq feet required to build a new home? Tom-no this is all legal. Man 2 - really likes the design and tried to buy the other 2 that were built directly behind. Seth - the homes are compliant but possibly incompliant with the precise plan in terms of bulk and scale. Thinks he has worked to improve the design and thinks it's a good project. Woman who lives next door reading letters from other neighbors: neighbor one letter - owner of adjacent property objects because it is not in compliant with the precise plan. Neighbor letter two - the owner is maximizing profits at neighborhood expense, it is not in line with the

neighborhood. Not against new projects just wants ones compatible with neighborhood. Woman reader – showed pictures of current view and described what the new project will do. Kirsty – liked the uniqueness of Ocean Beach landscape. Supports the new homes. Reads letters for others present: Letter one – new homes will improve look of block and increase home values and tax revenue.

Man 1 second question: why not combine the lots and build a home out back keeping in character with the street all the other remodels on the street?

BOARD COMMENTS:

Kevin: what he is doing is legal, limited real estate means people want nicer houses and I like the project.

Scott – Appreciates applicant's efforts, recounts his concerns from the project review committee meeting and reads some recommendations from the community precise plan that are contrary to this project. States this is not in line with the goals of the precise plan and therefore he will not vote to approve it.

Gio – conflicted because it is big and bulky but you have made attempts to work with the community. My vote in favor would be a weak yes vote

Pete – the applicant has meet the code and according to the current 1975 precise plan the main concepts asked for is that it is in line with the small scale of the community; specifically asking for non "excessive" structures. Happy that the applicant has made some adjustments. This basically comes down to a judgment call about the language in the precise plan. Since the applicant has addressed our specific issues I would give my support, but it would not be overwhelming.

Rae – It's always difficult, considering the current draft plan, it says bulk and scale should be minimized with setbacks and the applicant has tried to address these things in accordance with the community plan. The fence is a concern, it is not community friendly. I will approve it since changes have been made respecting the board's comments.

Drew – Applicant has listened to the community. Just because we don't like the style is not justification to vote against this. The fence also seems futile with the rooftop terraces. If you want to fit into OB then open it up more.

Andrew – agrees with last comments. Also, suggests that you continue to take community input.

John – likes the styles, thinks it meets the bulk and scale criteria. On the side of property owners rights to build as they please. This project is code compliant and a value to the community.

Bill – agrees with John that the applicant has property rights and should be allowed to develop it as he pleases. We've got to move forward eventually

Tom – the changes made don't go nearly far enough. The bulk and scale are far beyond what is called for in the Precise Plan.

Pete moves to approve the project with the changes as presented here tonight. Gio seconds 7-4-0.

Action Item 2 – Council Policy 600-24

Pete reports that he's seen no substantial changes and this is not the time to work on the meeting attendance changes that are a concern to the OBPB. Tom asks the board to trust the