

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

### **REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION**

DATE ISSUED:	October 2, 2014	REPORT NO. PC-14-054				
ATTENTION:	Planning Commission, Agenda of October 9, 2014					
SUBJECT:	MARIAN CATHOLI PROJECT NO. 30703 PROCESS 5					
REFERENCE:	Initiation, PC-06-127	n Report for Community Plan Amendment : <u>http://www.sandiego.gov/planning-</u> nts/pcreports/2006/april.shtml.				

#### OWNER/ APPLICANT: MCP VENTURES, LLC

#### SUMMARY

**Issue(s):** Should the Planning Commission grant approvals to subdivide an 18.0-acre site and construct 175 detached residential units at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street within the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning area?

#### Staff Recommendations:

- 1. Recommend to City Council **Certification** of Final Environmental Impact Report No. 307088, **Adoption** of the Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program and the Applicant's Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations; and
- Recommend to City Council Approval of General Plan and Community Plan Amendment No. 1076726, Rezone No. 1076704, Master Planned Development Permit No.1076705 and Vesting Tentative Map No.1076706.

<u>Community Planning Group Recommendation</u> - On October 9, 2013, the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning Group voted 8-3-0 to recommend approval of the project with no conditions (Attachment 14).

<u>Environmental Review</u> - An Environmental Impact Report No. 307088 has been prepared for the project in accordance with State of California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines. A Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program has been prepared which will reduce, to below a level of significance, potential impacts identified in the will reduce, to below a level of significance, potential impacts identified in the environmental review process. The applicant has provided Draft Candidate Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations to allow the decision maker to adopt the project with a significant and unavoidable impact to Air Quality and significant and unmitigated impacts to Transportation/Circulation.

Fiscal Impact Statement - No fiscal impact. All costs associated with the processing of the application are paid through a deposit account maintained by the applicant.

Code Enforcement Impact - None with this action.

Housing Impact Statement - The project proposes to develop 175 single-family residential units on an 18-acre site in the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Area. The site currently has a land use designation of School that would not allow for the development of residential dwelling units. The project proposes to amend the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan to redesignate the site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), allowing for the development of up to approximately 255 dwelling units. The development of the proposed project would increase the opportunity to provide housing stock in a time when the City Council has determined that the City of San Diego is in a housing state of emergency.

#### BACKGROUND

The Marian Catholic Project site is designated for School use within the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan and zoned RS-1-7. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings for the previously existing Marian Catholic High School and, a religious facility. The existing development was permitted by Conditional Use Permit (CUP) No. 83-0640. The site is bounded by three streets, Coronado Avenue, Thermal Avenue and 18<sup>th</sup> Street with surrounding land uses consisting of single family residential to the north and west, commercial/retail on the east, and a vacant lot owned by the Sweetwater Union High School District and the South Bay Community Park at the south (Attachments 1- 3).

#### DISCUSSION

#### **Required Approvals**

The project requires the approval of a General Plan/Community Plan Amendment to redesignate the site from School to Residential, and a Rezone from RS-1-7 to RM-1-2. A Vesting Tentative Map is requested, including a request to waive the requirement to underground utilities, to subdivide the parcel into 206 lots, and a Planned Development Permit (PDP) for deviations to the underlying zone. The project would also rescind the original CUP 83-0640.

On April 13, 2006, the Planning Commission initiated a General Plan/Community Plan Amendment to redesignate the site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), and directed staff to analyze specific issue areas (Attachment 4). These items are discussed in the Community Plan Analysis section of this report.

#### **Project Description**

The Marian Catholic Project proposes to subdivide an 18-acre parcel into 206 lots for the construction of 175 detached, residential units. The 206-lot subdivision will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School, and a building used for religious purposes. The school buildings will be demolished and the existing religious facility will remain ("Guadalupe Center" - Lot 206). Lot 206 is not a part of the Planned Development Permit but is included in the Rezone and General Plan/Community Plan actions. Religious facilities are an allowable use in the RM-1-2 zone.

The project proposes two product types identified as the "Homes" and "Commons" planning areas. Eighty-four detached, residences are proposed within the Homes planning area which would be set on the largest lots ranging in size from 1,900 square feet to 2,300 square feet. Ninety-one detached, residences are proposed within the Commons planning area on the smaller lots, ranging from 1,500 square feet to 1,900 square feet. The Homes product type will have direct access to 2-car garages from either a public street or a private driveway. The Commons residences will be located within a cluster of six detached units and have access to their 2-car garages from a shared motor court (private driveway circulation easement). A total of 534 parking spaces are provided where 532 are required. Guest parking will be provided within the public right-of-way and private driveways as allowed by the Land Development Code. The project would provide three private parks within the development: a linear park and pocket park totaling .23 acres and a neighborhood park totaling .26 acres.

A Master Planned Development Permit has been prepared for the project entitled *Marian Catholic Property Master Planned Development Permit* dated October 2014, which establishes the land use, development regulations and design guidelines containing conceptual development criteria. The PDP includes deviations to the following development regulations: Lot standards, setbacks, private usable open space and ground floor habitable area regulations.

#### **Deviations**

The project proposes deviations to the underlying RM-1-2 zone as outlined in the Master Planned Development Permit document, *Marian Catholic Property Master Planned Development Permit*, Section 4.17 and, as shown in Attachment 4 (Table 4.2 of this document). The following is a summary and analysis of the deviation.

Lot Standards (LDC 131.0431): The RM-1-2 zone requires a lot width, corner lot width and lot depth of 50 feet, 55 feet and 90 feet respectively, and a lot area of 6,000 square feet for newly created lots. All lots require a minimum of 50 feet of frontage on a dedicated street. The project would deviate from these standards and 60 lots would have no frontage on a dedicated street. All of the lots would have frontage on a private driveway which functions as a vehicular and pedestrian access. The provision of private driveways rather than a dedicated public street allows more flexibility in site design and, because of the reduced cross section, allows for more landscape and open space within the development. The project provides 2.01 acres of common open spaces where 0.10 is required. The deviation will allow for the development of a more compact and

walkable community which will facilitate a variety of single-unit detached housing types on smaller lots. This type of housing product is potentially more economical for a larger sector of the community, including entry-level home buyers.

<u>Setback Deviations (LDC 131.0430)</u>: The project proposes reduced front and street side setbacks along the perimeter streets, Thermal Avenue, Coronado Avenue and 18<sup>th</sup> Street and a zero foot interior side yard and reduced rear yards. The design guidelines are established to measure required building setbacks from either actual property lines or edge of a private driveway ("driveway circulation easement"). Along the public rights of way, the project proposes non-contiguous sidewalks that would be landscaped with a variety of street and accent trees and shrubs. The proposed setback along these public street frontages, when added to the width of the landscaped parkway and non-contiguous sidewalks, are commensurate with the development patterns of the existing adjacent residences in the neighborhood. The proposed 0foot interior side setback will allow larger courtyards and entrance patios between the homes. The reduced rear setback will allow for flexibility in lot layouts which will encourage private outdoor space.

<u>Private Open Space – (LDC 131.0455(d)</u>: The LDC requires 60 square feet of usable, private exterior open space with a dimension of 6 feet in each direction, with a allowable encroachment into a setback of 50 percent. Each development will comply with the area and dimension requirement, but would encroach 100 percent. The deviation will allow the smaller lots to comply with the area and dimension requirements.

<u>Ground Floor Habitable – (LDC 131.0464(d)</u>: The supplemental regulations of the RM zones states that for lots with a width of 50 feet or less, 40 percent of the length of the building facade on the ground floor must enclose habitable area (not a garage or carport). The project proposes to enclose 30 percent of the building facade within the Commons planning area to allow for compact units that are arranged and oriented to the motor court driveway.

The requested deviations are consistent with the purpose and intent of the PDP Ordinance and result in a more desirable project than would be achieved with strict compliance with the lot standard, setback, private open space and the ground floor habitable area regulations. The project presents a balance of development types, project amenities and community benefits. The proposed development will comply with the regulations of the Land Development Code including any proposed deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) that are appropriate for this location. The requested deviations facilitate implementation of the goals and objectives of the General Plan and the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community plan.

#### **Community Plan Analysis**

The proposed project is located within the Egger Highlands neighborhood in the Otay Mesa-Nestor community plan area. The proposed site is located on a site with a land use designation of School that previously served as the location of the 700-student Marian Catholic High School. In 2007, the Roman Catholic Diocese of San Diego moved the high school to a larger facility in the Eastlake community of Chula Vista. The project proposes to amend the community plan to redesignate the site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), allowing for the development of up to 255 dwelling units. Consistent with General Plan policy, the proposed project would increase the supply of residential lands. The project proposes two different detached residential product types that occupy small lots, but are intended to complement the single-family developments that surround the site. The Master Planned Development Permit identifies 84 dwelling units with direct driveway access referred to as Homes. The Homes units are primarily located within the interior of the project site and along Thermal Avenue. The remaining 91 dwelling units, known as Commons, utilize a single driveway entrance for vehicles. The shared driveway typically serves six detached residential units. The Commons are located within the southeast and northeast portion of the project site, adjacent to the Coronado Square Commercial Center and the St. Charles Parish.

The Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan recommends the maintenance of planned residential land use intensities to ensure conservation of neighborhood character. The character of the neighborhood surrounding the proposed project site is a mixture of single-family and multifamily dwelling units anchored by the South Bay/Eggers Community Park, the Coronado Square Commercial Center, churches, and both private and public schools. Land use designations surrounding the site include Low Density Residential (5-<10 du/net acre), Low-Medium Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), Medium Density Residential (15-<30 du/net acre), Park, and Neighborhood Commercial. The proposed project's density (10.5 du/net acre) would not adversely affect the Community Plan goal of conserving neighborhood character.

The project proposes deviations from setback requirements and lot standard regulations. The reductions would allow for the development of a compact and walkable community commensurate with the General Urban Design goal of creating a pattern and scale of development that provides visual diversity, choice of lifestyle, opportunities for social interaction, and that respects desirable community character and context. The project proposes deviations from the private open space requirements of the base zone. The deviations would increase the allowable encroachment area and reduce the minimum dimensions of private open space for each dwelling unit. The deviations would allow the project to meet the open space requirements on a smaller site while promoting a more compact, pedestrian-oriented street network as envisioned in the Urban Design Element of the General Plan.

The proposed project would provide pedestrian pathways via contiguous sidewalks within the development and non-contiguous sidewalks along Thermal Avenue, Coronado Avenue, and 18th Street consistent with General Plan Mobility Element policies that call for greater walkability achieved through pedestrian friendly street, site and building design. Sidewalks would be landscaped with a variety of street and accent trees consistent with the Otay Mesa-Nestor Street Tree plan. The landscaping would also provide a buffer between pedestrians and moving vehicles on the project's public streets. In addition, the sidewalks within the development would have lighting to promote safety.

#### **Environmental Analysis**

An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) No. 307088 was prepared for the proposed project to evaluate the potential for impacts resulting from project implementation in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The project would result in a significant impact to Traffic/Circulation at five study area intersections and roadway segments as identified in Section 5.2

of the EIR. Implementation of the Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) as outlined in Section 13 of the EIR, requires public right-of-way improvements at these intersections and roadway segments. The following off-site street improvements are required:

- Widening, restriping and modification of a traffic signal at the intersection of Coronado Avenue and the I-5 North Bound Ramps/Outer Road to accommodate additional travel lanes (TR-1)
- Restriping and reconstruction of a raised median to accommodate an additional turn lane at the intersection of Palm Avenue and Saturn Boulevard (TR-2)
- Construction of a raised median along Coronado Avenue (TR-3)
- Restriping to provide a two-way left-turn lane within Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard (TR-4)

Additionally, the applicant is required to pay 15.3% fair share toward the future construction of a raised median within this section of Coronado Avenue. Implementation of these mitigation measures will reduce the impacts at these locations to a less than significant level.

The project results in one significant and unmitigated impact at Coronado Avenue between Green Bay Street and the Interstate 5 Southbound Ramps. The mitigation measure identified for this impact requires the construction of a raised median. However this would result in impacts to local property owners and residents by eliminating left turn access along this portion of the roadway where alternative access is not available. The construction of a raised median at this location is not recommended on this street segment therefore, the impact would be significant and unmitigated.

The project also results in a significant and unavoidable impact to Air Quality. The project is located within the San Diego Air Basin (SDBA) and as such, is located in an area where a regional air quality plan is being implemented. If a project proposes development that is greater than that anticipated in the local community plan and San Diego Association of Government's (SANDAG) growth projections, the project may result in a conflict with the Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS). The project proposes a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment to change the land use from school to residential to allow for the new 175-unit development. As the current Community Plan did not anticipate a more intense development, the project would not be consistent with the RAQS or the expected growth projections for the area. Therefore, the inconsistency with the RAQS would remain until the Community Plan is amended, resulting in a significant and unavoidable impact.

Written Findings have been drafted and submitted, consistent with CEQA Guidelines Sections 15091 and 15096(h), which identify why the measure is infeasible and provide specific reasons for rejecting the identified mitigation measure or alternative. Certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report and approval of the project with significant environmental impacts requires the adoption of a Statement of Overriding Considerations (SOCs). Adoption of the SOCs meets the CEQA requirement for the decision maker to balance the benefits of a proposed project against the unavoidable environmental risks when deciding whether or not to approve a project.

#### Conclusion

Staff has reviewed the proposed project and all issues identified through the review process have been resolved in conformance with adopted City Council policies and regulations of the Land Development Code. Staff has provided draft findings to support approval of the project (Attachments 6 and 8) and draft conditions of approval (Attachments 7 and 9). Staff recommends the Planning Commission recommend approval to the City Council.

#### ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Recommend to City Council Approval of General Plan and Community Plan Amendment No. 1076726, Rezone No. 1076704, Master Planned Development Permit No.1076705 and Vesting Tentative Map No.1076706, with modifications.
- 2. Recommend to City Council **Denial** of General Plan and Community Plan Amendment No. 1076726, Rezone No. 1076704, Master Planned Development Permit No.1076705 and Vesting Tentative Map No.1076706, if the findings required to approve the project cannot be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Westlake Assistant Deputy Director Development Services Department

Sandra Teasley, Project Manager Development Services Department

unny A. Prog

Nancy Bragado, Deputy Director Planning Department

VACCHI/SMT

Attachments:

- 1. Aerial Photograph
- 2. Community Plan Land Use Map
- 3. Project Location Map

- 4. Planning Commission Initiation Resolution No. 4010-PC (April 2006).
- 5. Deviations Table
- 6. Draft Permit Resolution with Findings
- 7. Draft Permit with Conditions
- 8. Draft VTM Resolution with Findings
- 9. Draft VTM Conditions
- 10. Rezone Ordinance Rezone
- 11. Rezone B Sheet
- 12. Draft Environmental Resolution
- 13. Planning Commission Resolution Recommending Approval
- 14. Draft Community Plan Amendment Documents
- 15. Community Planning Group Recommendation
- 16. Ownership Disclosure Statement
- Project Plans Marian Catholic Property Master Plan Development Permit, October 2014 – Under Separate Cover



Attachment 1





Community Plan Land Use Map Marian Catholic Property Project No. 307088

1002 18th Street





### **Project Location Map**

Marian Catholic Property Project No. 307088 1002 18th Street

#### PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 4010- PC

#### INITIATING AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN AND OTAY MESA-NESTOR COMMUNITY PLAN TO RE-DESIGNATE AN APPROXIMATE 17-ACRE SITE FROM SCHOOL/INSTITUTION TO LOW MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

WHEREAS, on April 13, 2006, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego held a public hearing to consider the initiation of an amendment to the Progress Guide and General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan to re-designate an approximate 17-acre site from School/Institution to Low Medium Density Residential; and

WHEREAS, the applicants are requesting a General Plan and Community Plan amendment in anticipation of future development of a soon to be vacated school site; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered all maps, exhibits, evidence and testimony; NOW THEREFORE;

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego that it hereby initiates the requested General Plan and Community Plan amendment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission directs staff to consider the following issues:

- Compatibility and integration of a residential project into the established neighborhood and surrounding street system
- Consideration of open space within the project
- Traffic impacts associated with the addition of residential development on the site
- Walkability and pedestrian connectivity within the project and to surrounding uses
- Appropriate land use designation, density range, and zoning
- Housing availability and affordability
- Availability of transit
- Impacts to public facilities and services

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this initiation does not constitute an endorsement of a project proposal. This action will allow staff analysis to proceed.

Theresa Millette, AICP Senior Planner, Planning Department

Sabrina Curtin Legislative Recorder

		Deviations from Base Zone RM 1-2			
			Piannin	g Areas	
Zoning Regulations		Thermal, Coronado, 18th St., Interior Property Lines	Homes	Commons	
Description	RM 1-2	Proposed Regulation	Proposed Regulation	Proposed Regulation	
DU/Lot					
Maximum permitted density (sf/du)	2500				
min lot area (sf)	6000				
Min Lot Dimensions					
Lot Width (ft)	50		45	40	
Street Frontage (ft)	50	0	0	0	
Lot Frontage (ft)			45	40	
Lot Width (Corner) (ft)	55	202014	45	40	
Lot Depth (ft)	90		60	58	
Proposed Setbacks					
Proposed 18th Street Minimum/Standard Setback (ft)	15/20	9/18 (2)			
Proposed Coronado Avenue Minimum (ft)		9 (2)			
Proposed Thermal Avenue Minimum/Standard Setback (ft)		9/18 (2)			
Proposed Interior Property Line Minimum Setback (ft)	-	6 (2)			
Setback Requirements					
Minimum Front Yard Setback (ft) (% of Overall Dimension)	15 (50%)		9 (50%) (3)	2 (3)	
Standard Front Yard Setback (ft)	20		18 (3)	2 (3)	
Minimum Side Yard Setback (ft)	5		0 (3)(4)	0 (3)(4)	
Standard Side Yard Setback (ft)	8		3 (3)(4)	3 (3)(4)	
Street Side Setback (fi)	10		5 (3)(4)		
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (ft)	15		Will be a second se	5 (3)(4)	
Minimum Structure Height (ft)	30		9 (3)	5 (3)	
Maximum Lot Coverage (%)	-				
Maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	0.9				
Street Frontage (ft)	50	0	0	0	
Private Exterior Open Space Requirement	1				
Useable Private Exterior Open Space (sf)	60				
Minimum Dimension In Any Direction (ft)	6				
Minimum Rear/Front Yard Dimension From Property Line (ft)	9	6 (2)		5 (2)	
Minimum Side Yard Dimension From Property Line (ft)	4				
Percentage Allowed To Encroach (%)	50%	100%		100%	
PDP Requirements					
(PDP) Minimum Useable Open Space Required/DU (sf)	375				
(PFP) Minimum Total Open Space Required/DU (sf)	375				
Supplemental Requirements for RM 1-2					
Percentage of Ground Level Req'd Habitable for Lots <50' Wide (%)	40%			30%	
Percentage of Ground Level Req'd Habitable for Lots >50' Wide (%)	40%				

(2) Measured from the edge of property line
(3) Measured from the edge of lot line, or edge of circulation easement when contained within 'Commons' Lots.
(4) One side is allowed 0' side yard setback. All other side yard setbacks must be 3' and a 3' step back Is required above first level.
(5) Along 18th Street.
(6) Along Thermal Avenue.
Note: Where proposed regulation is left blank no deviation from RM 1-2 zoning regulations is proposed.
See Appendix B and C for Lot Type Identification Exhibit and Lot Front/Back/Side/Street Side Yard Identification Exhibit.

#### PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. XXXX PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1076705 (RESCISSION OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 83-0640 AMENDMENT) MARION CATHOLIC PROPERTY PROJECT NO. 307088 <u>MMRP</u> CITY COUNCIL

WHEREAS, MCP VENTURES LLC, Owner/Permittee, filed an application with the City of San Diego to demolish existing school buildings and subdivide a parcel for the construction of 175 residential units (as described in and by reference to the approved Exhibits "A" and corresponding conditions of approval for the associated Permit No. 1076705;

WHEREAS, the project site is located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street in the RS-1-7 Zone (proposed RM-1-2 Zone) of the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning area;

WHEREAS, the project site is legally described as Lots 5, 6, 13, 14, 21, 22, 29 and 30 of Aloha Tract Map No. 611;

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2014, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Planned Development Permit No. 1076705 pursuant to the Land Development Code of the City of San Diego;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego as follows:

That the Planning Commission adopts the following written Findings, dated October 9, 2014.

#### FINDINGS:

#### Planned Development Permit - Section 126.0604

#### 1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the applicable land use plan.

The project proposes a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment to redesignate an approximately 18-acre site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre). The site is located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street in the RS-1-7 Zone (Proposed RM-1-2 Zone) within the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning area. The amendment would allow for the development of 175 residential units on a site that is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School.

The project proposes two product types identified as the "Homes" and "Commons" planning areas. Eighty-four detached, single-family residences are proposed within the Homes planning area which would be set on the largest lots ranging in size from 1,900 square feet to 2,300 square feet. Ninety-one detached, single family residences are proposed within the Commons planning area on lot sizes ranging from 1,500 square feet to 1,900 square feet. The Homes product type will have direct access from either a public street or a private driveway. The Commons residences will be typically located within a cluster of six units around a shared motor court

(private driveway circulation easement). The project proposes to subdivide a parcel for the construction of 175 detached dwelling units with deviations to the lot standards, setback, private open space and ground floor habitable area regulations.

The City of San Diego's General Plan adopted in 2008 set forth a variety of goals and policies to implement the City of Villages Strategy. The project implements this vision by increasing the supply of residential lands in close proximity to commercial retail centers, community parks and existing public transportation infrastructure. The project would help implement the goals and policies of the General Plan by providing for two different detached residential product types that occupy small lots in a compact, walkable environment.

The Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan recommends the maintenance of planned residential land use intensities to ensure conservation of neighborhood character. The character of the neighborhood surrounding the proposed project site is a mixture of single-family and multi-family dwelling units anchored by the South Bay/Eggers Community Park, the Coronado Square Commercial Center, churches, and both private and public schools. Land use designations surrounding the site include Low Density Residential (5-<10 du/net acre), Low-Medium Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), Medium Density Residential (15-<30 du/net acre), Park, and Neighborhood Commercial. The proposed project's density (10.5 du/net acre) would allow for residential development that is consistent with the character of the surrounding neighborhood and would not adversely affect the Community Plan goal of conserving neighborhood character. Therefore, the proposed development would not adversely affect the land use plan.

#### 2. The proposed development will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

The project proposes a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment to redesignate an approximately 18-acre site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre). The site is located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street in the RS-1-7 Zone (Proposed RM-1-2 Zone). The amendment would allow for the development of 175 residential units on a site that is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School.

The proposed development will implement several public right-of-way improvements that will improve the traffic circulation in the immediate area and enhance the pedestrian experience. Conditions of approval require public improvements which will serve to facilitate traffic flow and enhance the pedestrian experience for the general public. Street dedications for Coronado Avenue, 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Thermal Avenue must be implemented to widen the rights of way, construct a new raised median and bike lane along Coronado Avenue, and construct sidewalk and new landscape improvements along the project frontage. Curb to property line improvements include a new five-foot, non-contiguous sidewalk on 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Thermal Avenue with new landscaped areas to include a variety of accent trees and shrubs. Existing contiguous sidewalks along the project frontage would be replaced with non-contiguous sidewalks per current standards including curb ramps with truncated domes. Two new City standard street lights are required on 18th Street, and five on Thermal Avenue. Existing street lights to remain will be upgraded to comply with current street light standards. Additionally, the following off-site street improvements are required:

- Widening, restriping and modification of a traffic signal at the intersection of Coronado Avenue and the I-5 North Bound Ramps/Outer Road to accommodate additional travel lanes (TR-1)
- Restriping and reconstruction of a raised median to accommodate an additional turn lane at the intersection of Palm Avenue and Saturn Boulevard (TR-2)
- Construction of a raised median along Coronado Avenue (TR-3)
- Restriping to provide a two-way left-turn lane within Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard (TR-4)

The permit controlling the development and continued use of the proposed project for this site contains specific conditions addressing the project compliance with the City's codes, policies, regulations and other regional, state, and federal regulations to prevent detrimental impacts to the health, safety and general welfare of persons residing and/or working in the area. Conditions of approval require compliance with several operational constraints and development controls, the review of all construction plans by professional staff to determine construction will comply with all regulations and the inspection of construction to assure construction permits are implemented in accordance with the approved plans and the final construction will comply with all regulations, will assure the continued health, safety and general welfare of persons residing or working in the area.

3. The proposed development will comply with the regulations of the Land Development Code including any proposed deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) that are appropriate for this location and will result in a more desirable project than would be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the applicable zone; and any allowable deviations that are otherwise authorized pursuant to the Land Development Code.

The project proposes a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment to redesignate an approximately 18-acre site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre). The site is located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street in the RS-1-7 Zone (Proposed RM-1-2 Zone) within the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning area. The amendment would allow for the development of 175 residential units on a site that is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School.

The purpose and intent of the Planned Development Permit Ordinance (PDP) is to allow flexibility in the application of development regulations for projects where strict application of the base zone development regulations would restrict design options and result in a less desirable project. The PDP regulations are to accommodate, to the greatest extent possible, an equitable balance of development types, intensities, styles, site constraints, project amenities, public improvements, and community and City benefits.

In order to create a more desirable project, implement the goals of the RM-1-2 zone, and not adversely affect the General Plan and the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan, the project incorporates deviations to certain development regulations. The proposed development will be governed by *Master Planned Development Permit - Marian Catholic Property dated October 2014* which establishes the land use and development regulations specifically adapted to the proposed development, including design guidelines and supplemental criteria for development. The project proposes two product types identified as the "Homes" and "Commons" planning

areas. Eighty-four detached, single-family residences are proposed within the Homes planning area which would be set on the largest lots ranging in size from 1,900 square feet to 2,300 square feet. Ninety-one detached, single family residences are proposed within the Commons planning area on lot sizes ranging from 1,500 square feet to 1,900 square feet. The Homes product type will have direct access from either a public street or a private driveway. The Commons residences will be located within a cluster of six units around a shared motor court (private driveway circulation easement). The project deviations are outlined in the table below (referenced footnotes are outlined in the Master PDP and not included below):

		Deviations from Base Zone RM 1-2		
		Perimeter lots	Planning Areas	
Zoning Regulations		Thermal, Coronado, 18th SL, Interior Property Lines	Homes	Commons
Description	RM 1-2	Proposed Regulation	Proposed Regulation	
DU/Lot			- the second	Ingeneeren
Maximum permitted density (sf/du)	2500			
min lot area (sf)	6000	1		**
intro tradition	CENT C			
Min Lot Dimensions				
Lot Width (ft)	50	46	45	40
Street Frontage (ft)	50	0	0	0
Lot Frontage (ft)	N. 1		45	40
Lot Width (Corner) (ft)	55	100	45	40
Lot Depth (ft)	90		60	58
Proposed Setbacks Proposed 18th Street Minimum/Standard Setback (ft)	15/20	9/18 (2)		
Proposed Coronado Avenue Minimum (fl)	VIX AT	9 (2)		
Proposed Thermal Avenue Minimum/Standard Setback (ft)	104 104	9/18 (2)		
Proposed Interior Property Line Minimum Setback (ft)	1.00	6 (2)		
Setback Requirements Minimum Front Yard Setback (fi) (% of Overall Dimension) Standard Front Yard Setback (fi)	15 (50%)		9 (50%) (3)	2 (3)
Standard Front Yard Setback (ft) Minimum Side Yard Setback (ft)	5		18 (3)	
Standard Side Yard Setback (ft)	8		0 (3)(4)	0 (3)(4) 3 (3)(4)
Street Side Setback (ft)	10		3 (3)(4) 5 (3)(4)	5 (3)(4)
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (it)	15		9 (3)	5 (3)
Maximum Structure Height (ft)	30		5(5)	5(5)
Maximum Lot Coverage (%)	-			
Maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	0.9			
Street Frontage (ft)	50	0	0	0
Sueer rionage (ii)	50		1 0	0
Private Exterior Open Space Requirement				
Useable Private Exterior Open Space (sf)	60			
Minimum Dimension In Any Direction (ft)	6			
Minimum Rear/Front Yard Dimension From Property Line (ft)	9	6 (2)		5 (2)
Minimum Side Yard Dimension From Property Line (ft)	4			
Percentage Allowed To Encroach (%)	50%	100%		100%
PDP Requirements				
(PDP) Minimum Useable Open Space Required/DU (sf)	375			
(PFP) Minimum Total Open Space Required/DU (sf)	375			
Supplemental Requirements for RM 1-2				
Percentage of Ground Level Req'd Habitable for Lots <50' Wide (%)	40%			30%
Percentage of Ground Level Req'd Habitable for Lots >50' Wide (%)	40%			

Lot Standards (LDC 131.0431): The RM-1-2 zone requires a lot width, corner lot width and lot depth of 50 feet, 55 feet and 90 feet respectively and a lot area of 6,000 square feet for newly created lots. Sixty of the lots would not have frontage on a dedicate street. The project would deviate from these standards as noted above. All of the lots would have frontage on a private driveway which functions as a vehicular and pedestrian access. The provision of private driveways rather than a dedicated public street allows more flexibility in site design and, because of the reduced cross section, allows for more landscape and open space within the development. The deviation will allow for the development of a more compact and walkable community which will facilitate a variety of single-family detached housing types on smaller lots. This type of housing product is potentially more economical for a larger sector of the community, including entry-level home buyers.

<u>Setback Deviations - (LDC 131.0430)</u>: The design guidelines are formulated to address the required building setbacks measured from either property lines, edge of a private driveway or motor court (private driveway circulation easement), where typically, setbacks are measured from property lines only. The project proposes reduced front and street side setbacks along the perimeter streets, Thermal Avenue, Coronado Avenue and 18<sup>th</sup> Street, with non-contiguous sidewalks, landscaped with a variety of street and accent trees and shrubs. The proposed setback along these street frontages when added to the width of the landscaped parkway and non-contiguous sidewalks, are commensurate with the development patterns of the existing adjacent residences. The proposed deviation will be compatible with the existing setback conditions of the adjacent residential developments. The proposed 0-foot interior side setback will allow larger courtyards and entrance patios between the homes. The reduced rear setback will allow for flexibility in lot layouts which will encourage private outdoor space.

<u>Private Outdoor Space – (LDC 131.0455(d)</u>: The LDC requires 60 square feet of usable, private exterior open space with a dimension of 6 feet in each direction, with a allowable encroachment into a setback of 50 percent. Each development will comply with the area and dimension requirement, but would encroach 100 percent. The deviation will allow the smaller lots to comply with the area and dimension requirements.

<u>Ground Floor Habitable – (LDC 131.0464(d)</u>: The supplemental regulations of the RM zones states that for lots with a width of 50 feet or less, 40 percent of the length of the building facade on the ground floor must enclose habitable area (not a garage or carport). The project proposes to enclose 30 percent of the building facade within the Commons Planning Area to allow for compact units that are arranged and oriented to the motor court driveway.

The requested deviations are consistent with the provisions of the purpose and intent of the Planned Development Permit Ordinance and result in a more desirable project that would be achieved with strict compliance with the lot standard, setback, private open space and the ground floor habitable area regulations. The project presents a balance of development types, project amenities and community benefits. The development will provide additional housing stock to the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community, excess open spaces areas (approximately 2.0 acres were 0.10 acres is required), and three private parks - a linear park, pocket park and neighborhood park.

The redevelopment of the currently vacant Marian Catholic High School site with a new residential development is in keeping with the character of the neighborhood which includes low scale, single-family homes, apartments and condominiums. The street design and lot layout facilitates extending Elder Avenue and Evergreen Avenue located to across Thermal Avenue to

the west as private driveways through the proposed development. This provides a physical and visual pedestrian and vehicular connection to the surrounding developments that allows the project to be integrated into the community. Therefore, the proposed development will comply with the regulations of the Land Development Code including any proposed deviations pursuant to Section 126.0602(b)(1) that are appropriate for this location and will result in a more desirable project than would be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the applicable zone; and any allowable deviations that are otherwise authorized pursuant to the Land Development Code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, based on the findings hereinbefore adopted by the Planning Commission, Planned Development Permit No. 1076705 is hereby GRANTED by the Planning Commission to the referenced Owner/Permittee, in the form, exhibits, terms and conditions as set forth in Permit Nos. 1076705, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

By

Sandra Teasley Development Project Manager Development Services Department ·

RECORDING REQUESTED BY CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PERMIT INTAKE, MAIL STATION 501

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO CITY CLERK MAIL STATION 2A

INTERNAL ORDER NUMBER: 24003475

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

#### PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1076705 MARION CATHOLIC PROPERTY PROJECT NO. 307088 <u>MMRP</u> CITY COUNCIL

This Planned Development Permit No. 1076704 is granted by the City Council of the City of San Diego to MCP VENTURES LLC, Owner/Permittee, pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 126.0604. The 18.0 acre site is located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street in the RS-1-7 Zone (Proposed RM-1-2 Zone) of the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan. The project site is legally described as Lots 5, 6, 13, 14, 21, 22, 29 and 30 of Aloha Tract Map No. 611;

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit, permission is granted to Owner and Permittee to construct 175 residential units on a site currently developed with a school use, described and identified by size, dimension, quantity, type, and location on the approved exhibits [Exhibit "A"] dated [INSERT Approval Date], on file in the Development Services Department.

The project shall include:

- a. Construction of a 175 unit residential (existing school buildings would be demolished);
- b. Master Plan Development Permit entitled Marian Catholic Property Master Plan Development Permit, dated October 2014;
- c. Deviations to the following development regulations as identified in Marian Catholic Property Master Plan Development Permit, dated October 2014;
  - i. Lot Depth
  - ii. Lot Width

- iii. Street Frontage
- iv. Lot Area
- v. Front Setback
- vi. Interior Side Setback
- vii. Street Side Yard Setback
- viii. Rear Setback
- ix. Private Exterior Open Space
- x. Ground Floor Habitable Area
- d. Rescission of Conditional Use Permit Amendment No. 83-0604;
- e. Landscaping (planting, irrigation and landscape related improvements);
- f. Off-street parking;
- g. Public and private accessory improvements determined by the Development Services Department to be consistent with the land use and development standards for this site in accordance with the adopted community plan, the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] and the CEQA Guidelines, the City Engineer's requirements, zoning regulations, conditions of this Permit, and any other applicable regulations of the SDMC.

#### STANDARD REQUIREMENTS:

1. This permit must be utilized within thirty-six (36) months after the date on which all rights of appeal have expired. If this permit is not utilized in accordance with Chapter 12, Article 6, Division 1 of the SDMC within the 36 month period, this permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted. Any such Extension of Time must meet all SDMC requirements and applicable guidelines in effect at the time the extension is considered by the appropriate decision maker. This permit must be utilized by [ENTER DATE including the appeal time].

2. No permit for the construction, occupancy, or operation of any facility or improvement described herein shall be granted, nor shall any activity authorized by this Permit be conducted on the premises until:

- a. The Owner/Permittee signs and returns the Permit to the Development Services Department; and
- b. The Permit is recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.

3. While this Permit is in effect, the subject property shall be used only for the purposes and under the terms and conditions set forth in this Permit unless otherwise authorized by the appropriate City decision maker.

4. This Permit is a covenant running with the subject property and all of the requirements and conditions of this Permit and related documents shall be binding upon the Owner/Permittee and any successor(s) in interest.

5. The continued use of this Permit shall be subject to the regulations of this and any other applicable governmental agency.

6. Issuance of this Permit by the City of San Diego does not authorize the Owner/Permittee for this Permit to violate any Federal, State or City laws, ordinances, regulations or policies including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA] and any amendments thereto (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.).

7. The Owner/Permittee shall secure all necessary building permits. The Owner/Permittee is informed that to secure these permits, substantial building modifications and site improvements may be required to comply with applicable building, fire, mechanical, and plumbing codes, and State and Federal disability access laws.

8. Construction plans shall be in substantial conformity to Exhibit "A." Changes, modifications, or alterations to the construction plans are prohibited unless appropriate application(s) or amendment(s) to this Permit have been granted.

9. All of the conditions contained in this Permit have been considered and were determinednecessary to make the findings required for approval of this Permit. The Permit holder is required to comply with each and every condition in order to maintain the entitlements that are granted by this Permit.

If any condition of this Permit, on a legal challenge by the Owner/Permittee of this Permit, is found or held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable, or unreasonable, this Permit shall be void. However, in such an event, the Owner/Permittee shall have the right, by paying applicable processing fees, to bring a request for a new permit without the "invalid" conditions(s) back to the discretionary body which approved the Permit for a determination by that body as to whether all of the findings necessary for the issuance of the proposed permit can still be made in the absence of the "invalid" condition(s). Such hearing shall be a hearing de novo, and the discretionary body shall have the absolute right to approve, disapprove, or modify the proposed permit and the condition(s) contained therein.

10. The Owner/Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify Owner/Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and, if the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the Owner/Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the

event of such election, Owner/Permittee shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Owner/Permittee regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Owner/Permittee shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by Owner/Permittee.

11. This Permit may be developed in phases. Each phase shall be constructed prior to sale or lease to individual owners or tenants to ensure that all development is consistent with the conditions and exhibits approved for each respective phase per the approved Exhibit "A".

12. This Planned Development Permit shall comply with the provisions of Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL/MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS:**

13. Mitigation requirements in the Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Program [MMRP] shall apply to this Permit. These MMRP conditions are hereby incorporated into this Permit by reference.

14. The mitigation measures specified in the MMRP and outlined in Environmental Impact Report No. 307088, shall be noted on the construction plans and specifications under the heading ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS.

15. The Owner/Permittee shall comply with the MMRP as specified in Environmental Impact Report No. 307088, to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department and the City Engineer. Prior to issuance of any construction permit, all conditions of the MMRP shall be adhered to, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. All mitigation measures described in the MMRP shall be implemented for the following issue areas: Traffic/Circulation and Air Quality.

#### AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS:

16. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Owner/Permittee shall comply with the affordable housing requirements of the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Regulations (SDMC § 142.1301 et seq.).

#### **ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS:**

17. Prior to issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the closure of the existing driveways, adjacent to the project site, with City standard curb, gutter and sidewalk, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

18. Prior to of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the replacement of the existing sidewalk with City standard non-contiguous sidewalk, along the project frontage on 18th Street and Thermal Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

19. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the installation of new City standard curb ramps with truncated domes, located at the northeast corner of Thermal Avenue and Coronado Avenue and at the northwest corner of 18th Street and Coronado Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

20. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the construction of maximum 25-foot wide driveways at the private drive entrances on 18th Street and Thermal Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

21. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the construction of maximum 16-foot wide driveways, serving the residential lots on 18th Street and Thermal Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

22. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the construction of two modified driveways on Coronado Avenue for emergency access only, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

23. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall obtain a Holding the City Harmless Agreement from the City Engineer for the public drainage enters the private property, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

24. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall obtain an Encroachment Maintenance and Removal Agreement for the private storm drains and treatment control BMPs located within the City's right-of-way and public easement, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

25. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent BMP maintenance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

26. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.

27. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Water Quality Technical Report will be subject to final review and approval by the City Engineer.

28. Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Water Quality Technical Report will be subject to final review and approval by the City Engineer.

29. Development of this project shall comply with all storm water construction requirements of the State Construction General Permit, Order No. 2009-0009-DWQ and the Municipal Storm Water Permit, Order No. R9-2007-0001, as amended by Municipal Stormwater Permit Order No. R9-2013-0001. In accordance with Order No. 2009-0009DWQ, a Risk Level Determination

shall be calculated for the site and a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) shall be implemented concurrently with the commencement of grading activities.

30. Prior to issuance of a grading or a construction permit, a copy of the Notice of Intent (NOI) with a valid Waste Discharge ID number (WDID#) shall be submitted to the City of San Diego as a proof of enrollment under the Construction General Permit. When ownership of the entire site or portions of the site changes prior to filing of the Notice of Termination (NOT), a revised NOI shall be submitted electronically to the State Water Resources Board in accordance with the provisions as set forth in Section II.C of Order No. 2009-0009-DWQ and a copy shall be submitted to the City.

#### TRANSPORATION REQUIREMENTS:

31. A minimum of 532 automobile spaces (including accessible, motorcycle and bicycle parking as necessary) shall be provided as required by the Land Development Code. 534 automobile spaces will be provided per the project's Exhibit "A." All on-site parking stalls and aisle widths shall be in compliance with requirements of the City's Land Development Code and shall not be converted and/or utilized for any other purpose, unless otherwise authorized in writing by the Development Services Director.

32. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the widening, and improvement of 18<sup>th</sup> Street, along the project frontage to include a new five-foot non-contiguous sidewalk, within a 12 foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

33. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the widening, and improvement of Thermal Avenue, along the project frontage to include a new five-foot non-contiguous sidewalk, within a 12 foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

34. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the widening of Coronado Avenue to include a 60 foot center line to property line distance, and improvement of Coronado Avenue, along the project frontage to include a new 14 foot raised median, new six foot bike lane, new six foot non-contiguous sidewalk within a 20.3 foot curb to property line distance.

35. <u>Mitigation Measure TR -1</u>, <u>Coronado Avenue</u> @ Interstate 5 NB Ramps/Outer Road: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the widening of the northbound approach by approximately six feet, restriping of the northbound approach to provide one shared left-turn/thru lane and one exclusive right-turn lane, and modification of the traffic signal accordingly, satisfactory to the City Engineer. The improvements shall be completed and accepted by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

36. <u>Mitigation Measure TR-2</u>, <u>Palm Avenue (SR 75)</u> <u>@ Saturn Boulevard</u>: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the

restriping of the westbound approach, reconstruction of the raised median to provide a second left-turn lane, and modification to the traffic signal accordingly, satisfactory to the City Engineer. This improvement is feasible to construct within the existing curb-to-curb width of 114 feet. The improvements shall be completed and accepted by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

37. <u>Mitigation Measure TR-3</u>, <u>Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard</u>: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the construction of a raised median within the existing curb-to-curb width of 68 feet along this portion of Coronado Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer. The improvement shall be completed and accepted by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

38. <u>Mitigation Measure TR-4</u>, <u>Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay</u> <u>Street</u>: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the restriping of this portion of Coronado Avenue to provide a two-way left-turn lane within the existing 64-foot curb-to-curb width, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

39. <u>Mitigation Measure TR-5</u>, Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay <u>Street:</u> Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall pay a 15.37% fair share toward the future construction of a raised median within the existing curb-to-curb width along this portion of Coronado Avenue.

#### LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS:

40. Prior to issuance of public improvement plans, landscape construction plans consistent with this development permit shall be submitted for approval. Improvement plans shall take into account a 40 sq-ft area around each required tree which is unencumbered by utilities.

41. Driveways, utilities, drains, water and sewer laterals shall be designed so as not to prohibit the placement of street trees. In the event that the Landscape Plan and the Public Improvement Plan conflict, the Public Improvement Plan shall be revised to be consistent with the Landscape Plan such that landscape areas are consistent with the Exhibit "A" Landscape Development Plan.

42. Prior to building permit issuance, landscape and irrigation plans substantially conforming to Exhibit "A," (Landscape Development Plan) shall be submitted to the Development Services Department for approval.

43. Any existing landscape to remain, as indicated on the approved plans, that is damaged during construction shall be replaced in kind to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department within 30 days of damage or final inspection.

#### **PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS:**

44. Prior to the issuance of the first building permits, the Developer shall assure, by permit and bond, the design and construction of all public sewer facilities are to be in accordance with established criteria in the most current City of San Diego Sewer Design Guide.

45. Prior to the issuance of the first building permits, the Developer shall assure, by permit and bond, the replacement of the existing 8-inch (7-in lined) sewer main in Thermal Avenue from manhole 26 to manhole 28 of the sewer study (168 to 166 in SPLASH). The private sewer lateral connection will not require a manhole.

46. All proposed private sewer facilities located within a single lot are to be designed to meet the requirements of the California Uniform Plumbing Code and will be reviewed as part of the building permit plan check.

47. No trees or shrubs exceeding three feet in height at maturity shall be installed within ten feet of any public sewer facilities.

48. Prior to the issuance of the first building permits, the Developer shall provide a recorded Encroachment Maintenance and Removal Agreement (EMRA) for the proposed private sewer lateral(s) encroaching into Public Right-of-Way.

#### **INFORMATION ONLY:**

- The issuance of this discretionary use permit alone does not allow the immediate commencement or continued operation of the proposed use on site. The operation allowed by this discretionary use permit may only begin or recommence after all conditions listed on this permit are fully completed and all required ministerial permits have been issued and received final inspection.
- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of this Permit, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this development permit by filing a written protest with the City Clerk pursuant to California Government Code-section 66020.
- This development may be subject to impact fees at the time of construction permit issuance.

APPROVED by the [City Council] of the City of San Diego on[INSERT Approval Date] and [Approved Resolution Number].

Permit Type/PTS Approval No.: PDP 1076705 Date of Approval:

### AUTHENTICATED BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

SANDRA TEASLEY Development Project Manager

NOTE: Notary acknowledgment must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

The undersigned Owner/Permittee, by execution hereof, agrees to each and every condition of this Permit and promises to perform each and every obligation of Owner/Permittee hereunder.

[MCP VENTURES, LLC] Owner/Permittee

By

MAX STEWART MANAGER

[NAME OF COMPANY] Owner/Permittee

By\_

NAME TITLE

NOTE: Notary acknowledgments must be attached per Civil Code section 1189 et seq.

#### CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NUMBER R-

#### VESTING TENTATIVE MAP NO. 1076706 MARIAN CATHOLIC PROPERTY PROJECT NO. 307088 [MMRP]

WHEREAS, MCP VENTURES LLC, Subdivider, and DAN REHM/HUNSAKER & ASSOCIATES SD, INC., Engineer, submitted an application to the City of San Diego for a Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706 for the subdivision of an 18.0-acre site into 206 lots for the construction of 175 residential units, known as the Marian Catholic Property Project (Project), and, to waive the requirement to underground existing offsite overhead utilities. The project site is located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street, north of Coronado Avenue and east of Thermal Avenue, zoned RS-1-7 (proposed RM-1-2), within the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning area. The property is legally described as Lots 5, 6, 13, 14, 21, 22, 29 and 30 of Aloha Tract Map No. 611; and

WHEREAS, the Map proposes the subdivision of an 18-acre-site into 206 lots for residential units, private open space, a private driveway and a religious facility, including the waiver of the requirement to underground existing offsite overhead utilities; and,

WHEREAS, the requested undergrounding waiver of existing overhead facilities in the abutting public right-of-way, qualifies under the guidelines of the Municipal Code, Section 144.0242, Waiver of the Requirements to Underground Privately Owned Utility Systems and Service in that the conversion would involve either a substantial investment in temporary facilities (cable poles, temporary recircuiting, etc.) and a significant amount of work considered off-site to the development which is financing the conversion; and,

WHEREAS, the project complies with the requirements of a preliminary soils and/or geological reconnaissance report pursuant to Subdivision Map Act sections 66490 and 66491(b)-(f) and San Diego Municipal Code section 144.0220; and

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2014, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego considered Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706 and pursuant to Resolution No. \_\_\_, the Planning Commission voted to recommend City Council approval of the map; and

WHEREAS, under Charter section 280(a)(2) this resolution is not subject to veto by the Mayor because this matter requires the City Council to act as a quasi-judicial body and where a public hearing was required by law implicating due process rights of individuals affected by the decision and where the Council was required by law to consider evidence at the hearing and to make legal findings based on the evidence presented; and

WHEREAS, on \_\_\_\_\_, the City Council of the City of San Diego considered Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706 and pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code sections 125.0440 [tentative map] and 144.0240 [underground waiver]; Subdivision Map Act section 66428, received for its consideration written and oral presentations, evidence having been submitted, and testimony having been heard from all interested parties at the public hearing, and the City Council having fully considered the matter and being fully advised concerning the same; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of San Diego, that it adopts the following findings with respect to Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706:

## 1. The proposed subdivision and its design or improvement are consistent with the policies, goals, and objectives of the applicable land use plan (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(a) and Subdivision Map Action §§ 66473.5, 66474(a), and 66474(b)).

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School. The school buildings will be demolished and an existing religious facility will remain.

#### -PAGE 2 OF 9-

The project proposes two product types identified as the "Homes" and "Commons" planning areas. Eighty-four detached, single-family residences are proposed within the Homes planning area which would be set on the largest lots ranging in size from 1,900 square feet to 2,300 square feet. Ninety-one detached, single family residences are proposed within the Commons planning area on lot sizes ranging from 1,500 square feet to 1,900 square feet. The Homes product type will have direct access from either a public street or a private driveway. The Commons residences will be located within a cluster of six units around a shared motor court (private driveway circulation easement).

The project proposes a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment to redesignate the 18acre site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre). The amendment would allow for the development of 175 single-family dwelling units on a site that is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the 700student Marian Catholic High School.

The City of San Diego's General Plan adopted in 2008 set forth a variety of goals and policies to implement the City of Villages Strategy. The project implements this vision by increasing the supply of residential lands in close proximity to commercial retail centers, community parks and existing public transportation infrastructure. The project would help implement the goals and policies of the General Plan by providing for two different detached residential product types that occupy small lots in a compact, walkable environment.

The Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan recommends the maintenance of planned residential land use intensities to ensure conservation of neighborhood character. The character of the neighborhood surrounding the proposed project site is a mixture of single-family and multifamily dwelling units anchored by the South Bay/Eggers Community Park, the Coronado Square Commercial Center, churches, and both private and public schools. Land use designations surrounding the site include Low Density Residential (5-<10 du/net acre), Low-Medium Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), Medium Density Residential (15-<30 du/net acre), Park, and Neighborhood Commercial. The proposed project's density (10.5 du/net acre) is within the density range and would not adversely affect the Community Plan goal of conserving neighborhood character. Therefore, the proposed development would not adversely affect the land use plan.

## 2. The proposed subdivision complies with the applicable zoning and development regulations of the Land Development Code, including any allowable deviations pursuant to the land development code.

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School. The school buildings will be demolished and an existing religious facility will remain.

The Project includes a Planned Development Permit, Marian Catholic Property Master Plan Development Permit, dated October 2014, which outlines development intensities, tailored

development regulations from the base zone, and design guidelines to achieve a walkable, compact environment consistent with the Otay Mesa-Nestor and General Plan policies. The subdivision complies with all of the applicable base zone regulations including building height, floor area ratio, parking and landscaping, except for those requested with Planned Development Permit No. 1076705.

The application includes a request to deviate from the lot standard, setback, private outdoor open space and ground floor habitable area regulations. The RM-1-2 zone requires a lot width, corner lot width and lot depth of 50 feet, 55 feet and 90 feet respectively and 6000 square feet minimum area. The proposed development would consist of two residential product types: 84 "Homes" set on the largest lots ranging in size from 1,900 square feet to 2,300 square feet and 91 "Commons" on lot sizes ranging from 1,500 square feet to 1,900 square feet. Relief from the minimum lot standards will aid in developing a more compact and walkable community and facilitate a variety of single-family detached housing types on smaller lots. This type of housing product is potentially more economical for a larger sector of the community, including entry-level home buyers.

The design guidelines are formulated to address the required building setbacks measured from either property lines, edge of a private driveway or motor court (private driveway circulation easement), where typically, setbacks are measured from property lines only. The project proposes reduced front and street side setbacks along the perimeter streets, Thermal Avenue, Coronado Avenue and 18<sup>th</sup> Street, with non-contiguous sidewalks, landscaped with a variety of street and accent trees and shrubs. The proposed setback along these street frontages when added to the width of the landscaped parkway and non-contiguous sidewalks, are commensurate with the development patterns of the existing adjacent residences. The proposed deviation will be compatible with the existing setback conditions of the adjacent residential developments. The proposed 0-foot interior side setback will allow larger courtyards and entrance patios between the homes. The reduced rear setback will allow for flexibility in lot layouts which will encourage private outdoor space.

The proposed deviation will be compatible with the existing setback conditions of the adjacent residential developments. The proposed 0-foot interior side setback will allow larger courtyards and entrance patios between the homes. The reduced rear setback will allow for flexibility in lot layouts which will encourage private outdoor space.

The Land Development Code (LDC) requires 60 square feet of usable, private exterior open space with a dimension of 6 feet in each direction, with a allowable encroachment into a setback of 50 percent. The project proposes to encroach 100 percent. The deviation will allow the smaller lots to comply with the area and dimension requirements. A deviation is also requested to the ground floor habitable area requirement of the LDC as the supplemental regulations of the RM zones states that for lots with a width of 50 feet or less, 40 percent of the length of the building facade on the ground floor must enclose habitable area. The project proposes to enclose 30 percent of the building facade within the Commons Planning Area to allow for compact units that are arranged and oriented to the motor court driveway.

Given the size of the development, the deviations are considered to be minor and are in keeping with the purpose and intent of the RM-1-2 zone together with the plan policies of the General Plan and the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan.

### 3. The site is physically suitable for the type and density of development (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(c) and Subdivision Map Act §§ 66474(c) and 66474(d)).

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School. The school buildings will be demolished and an existing religious facility will remain.

The project proposes to amend the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan to redesignate the site from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-<15 du/net acre), allowing for the development of up to 255 dwelling units. The project includes a Rezone from RS-1-7, a single family residential zone, to RM-1-2 a multi-family residential zone. The proposed development will comply with the land use designation and the zone. The environmental review process (Environmental Impact Report No. 307088) included the analysis of technical reports to address the proposed project and have not identified any constraints that would prevent the proposed development from being physically suitable for the project. Therefore, the site is physically suitable for the type and density of development.

# 4. The design of the subdivision or the proposed improvements are not likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(d) and Subdivision Map Act § 66474(e)).

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and, one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School. The school buildings will be demolished and an existing religious facility will remain.

The in-fill project site is within an urbanized, developed community and does not contain, nor is adjacent to, environmentally sensitive lands. There are no water courses on or adjacent to the site. Therefore, the design of the subdivision or the proposed improvements are not likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.

## 5. The design of the subdivision or the type of improvement will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(e) and Subdivision Map Act § 66474(f)).

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots one private drive lot and, one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is

currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School. The school buildings will be demolished and an existing religious facility will remain.

The proposed subdivision will not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare. Conditions of approval require public improvements which will serve to facilitate traffic flow and enhance the pedestrian experience for the general public. Street dedications for Coronado Avenue, 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Thermal Street must be implemented to widen the rights of way, construct a new raised median and construct sidewalk and new landscape improvements along the project frontage. Curb to property line improvements include a new five-foot, noncontiguous sidewalk on 18<sup>th</sup> and Thermal Avenue. Thermal Avenue, Coronado Avenue and 18<sup>th</sup> Street would be lined with a variety of street and accent trees and shrubs. Additionally, additional street lights are required and existing ones to be updated. Two new City standard street lights are provided on 18th Street, five on Thermal Avenue and an upgrade to the wattage of the existing street lights adjacent to the project site to comply with current street light standards. Existing contiguous sidewalks along the project frontage would be replaced with noncontiguous sidewalks per current standards including curb ramps with truncated domes. Additionally, the following off-site street improvements are required:

- Widening, restriping and modification of a traffic signal at the intersection of Coronado Avenue and the I-5 North Bound Ramps/Outer Road to accommodate additional travel lanes (TR-1)
- Restriping and reconstruction of a raised median to accommodate an additional turn lane at the intersection of Palm Avenue and Saturn Boulevard (TR-2)
- Construction of a raised median along Coronado Avenue (TR-3)
- Restriping to provide a two-way left-turn lane within Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard (TR-4)

The subdivision has been designed to conform with the City of San Diego's codes, policies, and regulations whose primary focus is the protection of the public's health, safety, and welfare. The development will construct necessary sewer and water facilities to serve the residents and occupants. The developer will enter into a Maintenance Agreement for the ongoing permanent Best Management Practices (BMPs) maintenance. The development will comply with all requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board and Municipal Storm Water Permit, Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Runoff Associate with Construction Activity. All structures constructed will be reviewed by City staff for compliance with all relevant and applicable building, electrical, mechanical, and fire codes to assure the structures will meet or exceed the current City regulations. The development has been reviewed by City staff and is consistent with the City's policies and requirements. Therefore, the design of the subdivision or the type of improvement will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare.

6. The design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(f) and Subdivision Map Act § 66474(g)).

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and one lot for an existing religious facility. The site is currently developed with vacant school buildings which previously served as the location of the Marian Catholic High School. The school buildings will be demolished and an existing religious facility will remain.

All general utility easements that have been granted to the City will be left in place and will not be affected by the design of the subdivision. A new 5-foot wide general utility easement is required along 18<sup>th</sup> and Thermal Avenue. The project is required to assure by permit and bond, the widening and improvement of all street frontages including construction of a new 5-foot wide non-contiguous sidewalk within a 12 foot curb-to-property line distance along 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Thermal Avenue and, the widening of Coronado Avenue to include a new 6-foot wide non-contiguous sidewalk within a 20.3 foot curb to property line distance. Therefore, the design of the subdivision will improve the current sidewalk conditions along the project's frontage, and will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision.

## 7. The design of the proposed subdivision provides, to the extent feasible, for future passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(g) and Subdivision Map Act § 66473.1).

The proposed 206-lot subdivision of the 18.0-acre property will create 175 residential lots, 29 open space lots, one private drive lot and, one lot for an existing religious facility, will not impede or inhibit any future passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities. Design guidelines have been adopted for the future construction of the residential units; however they do not impede or inhibit any future passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities. Additionally, landscape plan would include a wide variety of landscaping materials, including broad leaf trees to provide shade along the project frontage and the adjacent buildings. The shade provided by large trees would cool air temperatures and reduce heat build-up. The project design would decrease the amount of impervious surfaces such as permeable asphalt for parking areas. The design would incorporate drought tolerant plants and utilize shade trees at each residential unit. A shading plan shall be provided on the building plans to demonstrate compliance with this policy. With the independent design of the proposed subdivision, each structure will have the opportunity through building materials, site orientation, architectural treatments, placement and selection of plant materials to provide to the extent feasible, for future passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities.

# 8. The decision maker has considered the effects of the proposed subdivision on the housing needs of the region and that those needs are balanced against the needs for public services and the available fiscal and environmental resources (San Diego Municipal Code § 125.0440(h) and Subdivision Map Act § 66412.3).

The proposed subdivision would develop 175 residential units in the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Area. The development of the proposed project would increase the opportunity to provide housing stock in a time when the City Council has determined that the City of San Diego is in a housing state of emergency. These additional dwelling units provided by the
proposed development will make a contribution towards fulfilling the City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment allocation found in the City's Housing Element adopted in March of 2013.

The redevelopment of the site to provide a low-medium density residential development will provide increased supply of housing units without the same associated loss of land and environmental resources. The introduction of quality multifamily market-rate and affordable housing units in the Pacific Highlands Ranch Community brings diversity in housing options for the community and the City as a whole. The project implements the City's General Plan policies that encourage locating residential near transit and employment opportunities, thereby capturing automobile trips and allowing for increased pedestrian activity, bicycle and transit activity. All appropriate public services (including fire, police, schools, public parks, and libraries) as well as necessary utilities such as electricity, water, and sewer, will be available to and adequate for the proposed development. The development is not expected to have a net fiscal impact on the City, and additional revenues will be accrued to the City from increased property taxes.

The decision maker has reviewed the administrative record including the project plans, technical studies, environmental documentation and heard public testimony to determine the effects of the proposed subdivision on the housing needs of the region and; that those needs are balanced against the needs for public services and the available fiscal and environmental resources and found that the addition of 175 residential units for private development is consistent with the housing needs anticipated in the amended Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that based on the Findings hereinbefore adopted by the

City Council, Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706, hereby granted to MCP VENTURES,

subject to the attached conditions which are made a part of this resolution by this reference.

The above findings are supported by the minutes, maps, and exhibits, all of which are

herein incorporated by reference.

APPROVED: JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By

[Attorney] Deputy City Attorney

[Initials]:[Initials] [Month]/[Day]/[Year] Or.Dept:[Dept] R-R-[Reso Code] ATTACHMENT: Vesting Tentative Map Conditions

Internal Order No. 24003475

#### CITY COUNCIL CONDITIONS FOR VESTING TENTATIVE MAP APPROVAL NO. 1076706

#### MARIAN CATHOLIC PROPERTY

#### **PROJECT NO. 307088**

#### MMRP

#### ADOPTED BY RESOLUTION NO. R-\_\_\_ ON \_\_\_\_\_

#### **GENERAL**

- 1. This Vesting Tentative Map will expire on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2. Compliance with all of the following conditions shall be completed and/or assured, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, prior to the recordation of the Final Map unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Prior to the recordation of the Final Map, taxes must be paid on this property pursuant to Subdivision Map Act section 66492. To satisfy this condition, a tax certificate stating that there are no unpaid lien conditions against the subdivision must be recorded in the Office of the San Diego County Recorder.
- 4. The Vesting Tentative Map shall conform to the provisions of Planned Development Permit No. 1076705.
- 5. The Subdivider shall defend, indemnify, and hold the City (including its agents, officers, and employees [together, "Indemnified Parties"]) harmless from any claim, action, or proceeding, against the City and/or any Indemnified Parties to attack, set aside, void, or annul City's approval of this project, which action is brought within the time period provided for in Government Code section 66499.37. City shall promptly notify Subdivider of any claim, action, or proceeding and shall cooperate fully in the defense. If City fails to promptly notify Subdivider of any claim, action, or proceeding, or if City fails to cooperate fully in the defense, Subdivider shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold City and/or any Indemnified Parties harmless. City may participate in the defense of any claim, action, or proceeding if City both bears its own attorney's fees and costs, City defends the action in good faith, and Subdivider is not required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by the Subdivider.

Project No. 307088 TM No. 1076706

#### ENGINEERING

- 6. Compliance with all conditions shall be assured, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, prior to the recordation of the Final Map, unless otherwise noted.
- 7. The Subdivider shall install two new City standard street lights on 18th Street, seven street lights on Thermal Avenue and upgrade the wattage of the existing street lights adjacent to the project site to comply with current street light standards according to the City of San Diego Street Design Manual and Council Policy 200-18.
- 8. The Subdivider shall underground any new service run to any new or proposed structures within the subdivision.
- 9. The Subdivider shall ensure that all existing onsite utilities serving the subdivision shall be undergrounded with the appropriate permits. The Subdivider shall provide written confirmation from applicable utilities that the conversion has taken place, or provide other means to assure the undergrounding, satisfactory to the City Engineer.
- 10. Conformance with the "General Conditions for Tentative Subdivision Maps," filed in the Office of the City Clerk under Document No. 767688 on May 7, 1980, is required. Only those exceptions to the General Conditions which are shown on the Vesting Tentative Map and covered in these special conditions will be authorized. All public improvements and incidental facilities shall be designed in accordance with criteria established in the Street Design Manual, filed with the City Clerk as Document No. RR-297376.

#### TRANSPORTATION

- 11. Prior to recordation of the first final map, the Subdivider shall dedicate two feet along the entire 18<sup>th</sup> Street project frontage to provide for a new five-foot non-contiguous sidewalk, within a 12 foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.
- 12. Prior to recordation of the first final map, the Subdivider shall dedicate two feet along the entire Thermal Avenue project frontage to provide for a new five-foot non-contiguous sidewalk, within a 12 foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.
- 13. Prior to recordation of the first final map, the Subdivider shall dedicate 15 feet along the entire Coronado Avenue project frontage, for a total of 60 feet center

Project No. 307088 TM No. 1076706

-PAGE 2 OF 4-

line to property line distance, and provide a new 14 foot raised median, new six foot bike lane, new six foot non-contiguous sidewalk within a 20.3 foot curb to property line distance, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

#### LANDSCAPING

14. Prior to the recordation of the parcel map, the Owner/Applicant shall submit complete landscape construction plans (including a permanent automatic irrigation system unless otherwise approved) for any required public improvements to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department. The landscape plans shall be in substantial conformance with Exhibit 'A,' (Landscape Development Plan).

#### MAPPING

- 15. "Basis of Bearings" means the source of uniform orientation of all measured bearings shown on the map. Unless otherwise approved, this source shall be the California Coordinate System, Zone 6, North American Datum of 1983 [NAD 83].
- 16. "California Coordinate System" means the coordinate system as defined in Section 8801 through 8819 of the California Public Resources Code. The specified zone for San Diego County is "Zone 6," and the official datum is the "North American Datum of 1983."
- 17. The Final Map shall:
  - a. Use the California Coordinate System for its "Basis of Bearing" and express all measured and calculated bearing values in terms of said system. The angle of grid divergence from a true median (theta or mapping angle) and the north point of said map shall appear on each sheet thereof. Establishment of said Basis of Bearings may be by use of existing Horizontal Control stations or astronomic observations.
  - b. Show two measured ties from the boundary of the map to existing Horizontal Control stations having California Coordinate values of First Order accuracy. These tie lines to the existing control shall be shown in relation to the California Coordinate System (i.e., grid bearings and grid distances). All other distances shown on the map are to be shown as ground distances. A combined factor for conversion of grid-to-ground distances shall be shown on the map.

Project No. 307088 TM No. 1076706

#### **INFORMATION:**

- The approval of this Tentative Map by the City of the City of San Diego does not authorize the subdivider to violate any Federal, State, or City laws, ordinances, regulations, or policies including but not limited to, the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 and any amendments thereto (16 USC § 1531 et seq.).
- If the Subdivider makes any request for new water and sewer facilities (including services, fire hydrants, and laterals), the Subdivider shall design and construct such facilities in accordance with established criteria in the most current editions of the City of San Diego water and sewer design guides and City regulations, standards and practices pertaining thereto. Off-site improvements may be required to provide adequate and acceptable levels of service and will be determined at final engineering.
- Subsequent applications related to this Vesting Tentative Map will be subject to fees and charges based on the rate and calculation method in effect at the time of payment.
- Any party on whom fees, dedications, reservations, or other exactions have been imposed as conditions of approval of the Vesting Tentative Map, may protest the imposition within ninety days of the approval of this Vesting Tentative Map by filing a written protest with the San Diego City Clerk pursuant to Government Code sections 66020 and/or 66021.
- Where in the course of development of private property, public facilities are damaged or removed, the Subdivider shall at no cost to the City, obtain the required permits for work in the public right-of-way, and repair or replace the public facility to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (San Diego Municipal Code § 142.0607.

Internal Work Order No. 24003475

Project No. 307088 TM No. 1076706

#### **Rezone Ordinance**

(O-INSERT~)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O-\_\_\_\_\_ (NEW SERIES)

ADOPTED ON

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO CHANGING 18.0 ACRES LOCATED AT 1002 18<sup>TH</sup> SREET, WITHIN THE OTAY MESA-NESTOR COMMUNITY PLAN AREA, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, FROM THE RS-1-7 ZONE INTO THE RM-1-2 ZONE, AS DEFINED BY SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 131.0406; AND REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 8029 (NEW SERIES), ADOPTED DECEMBER 18, 1958 OF THE ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO INSOFAR AS THE SAME CONFLICTS HEREWITH.

WHEREAS, under Charter section 280(a)(2) this ordinance is not subject to veto by the Mayor because this matter requires the City Council to act as a quasi-judicial body and where a public hearing was required by law implicating due process rights of individuals affected by the decision and where the Council was required by law to consider evidence at the hearing and to make legal findings based on evidence presented; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That 18.0 acres located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street, north of Coronado Avenue and east of Thermal, and legally described as Lots 5, 6, 13, 14, 21, 22, 29 and 30 of Aloha Tract Map No. 611, in the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan area, in the City of San Diego, California, as shown on Zone Map Drawing No.B-4302, filed in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. 583935, are rezoned from the RS-1-7 Zone into the RM-1-2 ZONE described and defined by San Diego Municipal Code Chapter 13 Article 1 Division 4. This action amends the Official Zoning Map adopted by Resolution R-301263 on February 28, 2006.

Section 2. That Ordinance No. 8029 (New Series), adopted December 18, 1958, of the ordinances of the City of San Diego is repealed insofar as the same conflicts with the rezoned uses of the land.

Section 3. That a full reading of this ordinance is dispensed with prior to its final passage, a written or printed copy having been available to the City Council and the public a day prior to its final passage.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day from and after its passage, and no building permits for development inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance shall be issued unless application therefor was made prior to the date of adoption of this ordinance.

APPROVED: JAN GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

By \_\_\_\_\_ Inga Lintvedt Deputy City Attorney

Initials~ Date~ Or.Dept: INSERT~ Case No.INSERT PROJECT NUMBER~ O-INSERT~ Form=inloto.frm(61203wct)



Date: 5/11/2014 Document Path. L.VGI31PGIS18 and C Sheetstb4302\_OteyMessNeeter\_Maten mrd

## RESOLUTION NUMBER R-\_\_\_\_ DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION CERTIFYING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT NO. 307088, ADOPTING FINDINGS AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS, AND ADOPTING THE MITIGATION, MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE MARIAN CATHOLIC PROPERTY (PROJECT NO. 307088) [MMRP].

WHEREAS, on December 27, 2012, MCP VENTURES LLC, submitted an application to Development Services Department for a General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Amendment No. 1076726, Rezone No. 1076704, Planned Development Permit No. 1076705, and Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706, for the Marian Catholic Residential (Project); and WHEREAS, the matter was set for a public hearing to be conducted by the City Council of the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the issue was heard by the City Council on\_\_\_\_; and

WHEREAS, under Charter section 280(a) (2) this resolution is not subject to veto by the Mayor because this matter requires the City Council to act as a quasi-judicial body, a public hearing is required by law implicating due process rights of individuals affected by the decision, and the Council is required by law to consider evidence at the hearing and to make legal findings based on the evidence presented; and

WHEREAS, the City Council considered the issues discussed in Environmental Impact Report No. 307088/SCH. No. 2013071058; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council that it be, and it is hereby certified, that Environmental Impact Report No. 307088/SCH. No. 2013071058, in connection with the Project has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), as amended, and the State CEQA Guidelines thereto (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15000 et seq.), that the report reflects the independent judgment of the City of San Diego as Lead Agency and that the information contained in said report, together with any comments received during the public review process, has been reviewed and considered by the City Council in connection with the approval of the Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to CEQA Section 21081 and State CEQA Guidelines Section 15091, the City Council hereby adopts the Findings made with respect to the Project, which are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15093, the City Council hereby adopts the Statement of Overriding Considerations with respect to the Project, which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to CEQA Section 21081.6, the City Council hereby adopts the Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program, or alterations to implement the changes to the Project as required by this City Council in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment, which is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Report and other documents constituting the record of proceedings upon which the approval is based are available to the public at the office of the City Clerk, 202 C Street San Diego, CA 92101.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that City Clerk is directed to file a Notice of Determination with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Diego regarding the Project.

# APPROVED: [JAN GOLDSMITH, CITY ATTORNEY or DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGER]

By: [NAME], [DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY or DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGER]

ATTACHMENT(S): Exhibit A, Findings Exhibit B, Statement of Overriding Considerations Exhibit C, Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

## EXHIBIT A

## DRAFT FINDINGS AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE MARIAN CATHOLIC PROPERTY RESIDENTIAL PROJECT

Project No. 307088 SCH No. 2013071058 September 2014

#### **SECTION 1: THE PROJECT**

#### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Marian Catholic Property Residential Project (proposed project) is the construction of a 175-unit single family residential development, including landscaping, private parkways, and supporting infrastructure on an 18-acre site located on Assessor Parcel Numbers 627-301-16, 627-301-17, 627-301-18, and 627-301-19. The project is located within the Otay Mesa-Nestor community in the City of San Diego (City), approximately 10 miles southeast of downtown, and 2.8 miles north of the U.S.-Mexico International Border.

The proposed project would include the construction of two single-family detached unit types: Homes and Commons. The Homes are single-family detached residences that would be set on the largest lots, with lots ranging from approximately 1,900 square feet (sf) to 2,300 sf. The Commons housing type are single-family detached homes characterized by shared motor court driveways. The Commons lot size ranges from approximately 1,500 sf to 1,900 sf. The proposed project would include the construction of 84 Homes units and 91 Commons units on 11.2 acres (net residential acreage).

The project is proposed to be developed in three phases. A preliminary schedule for the project would include approximately 12 to 15 months for Phase 1. Phase 1 would include demolition and grading of the entire project site, street improvements, and construction of the Homes. Phase 1 would also include the initiation of the construction of the private neighborhood park, private linear park and pocket park on 18th Street, private recreation facilities, and the construction of a water detention basin. Phase 2 is projected to take approximately 12 to 18 months. Phase 2 would include the construction of the Commons dwelling units on the southern portion of the project site, private driveways and emergency vehicle entrance points off Coronado Avenue. Phase 3 is projected to take approximately 12 to 18 months. Phase 3 is projected to take approximately 12 to 18 months. Phase 3 is projected to take approximately 12 to 18 months. Phase 3 is projected to take approximately 12 to 18 months. Phase 3 is projected to take approximately 12 to 18 months. Phase 3 would include the construction of the Commons dwelling units on the northern portion of the project site, and private driveway completion and improvements. It should be noted that these time estimates are considered preliminary and subject to change due to market conditions, permitting and site conditions that may affect the ultimate schedule, however, project implementation will occur in the order as described.

The proposed project would require a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment, Zone Change, Vesting Tentative Map, and a Planned Development Permit. The General Plan Amendment would revise Figure LU-2: General Plan Land Use and Street System to change the proposed site's designation from "Institutional & Public and Semi-Public Facilities" to "Residential." The Community Plan Amendment would redesignate the project site from "School" to "Low-Medium Density Residential" with a density range of 10-15 dwelling units per acre. The project would rezone the site from RS-1-7 to RM-1-2. The project also includes the approval of a Planned Development Permit due to proposed deviations from zoning regulations.

#### II. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the proposed project are described below:

- Establish a sufficient land use density and provide for the efficient use of land by redeveloping and revitalizing a vacated and underutilized school site and expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors consistent with the City's General Plan City of Villages policies;
- Establish a sufficient land use density to support the areas targeted for infill and higher densities consistent with General Plan Land Use Map Figure LU-1;
- Amend Otay-Nestor Community Plan to reflect General Plan infill development principles by expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors as identified on the City's General Plan Mobility Element Figure ME-1;
- Develop a project that is consistent with the City's Conservation Element overarching conservation strategy of directing compact growth in limited areas served by transit, thereby reducing the need to develop in outlying areas;
- Build a compact neighborhood with varying housing types within a single development;
- Construct housing within a half-mile of a designated High Frequency Bus Service route to maximize public transit opportunities;
- Provide a circulation system that is responsive to regional and local transportation needs;
- Provide opportunities for intensified land use that promote the efficient use of land by reducing building setbacks, bringing buildings close to sidewalks and streets;
- Enhance the walkability of the neighborhood by providing a functional and interconnected pedestrian network and incorporating pedestrian friendly street design;
- Construct a housing development that contributes to the creation and preservation of neighborhood character and vitality;
- Develop a project that provides a sense of community by including a mixture of housing
  options and scale consistent with the community's character;
- Integrate the residential development into the existing community street pattern by
  providing vehicular and pedestrian connections in line with existing streets; and
- Construct project-related public improvements consistent with community's desired community character.

#### SECTION 2: ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

The lead agency approving the project and conducting environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000, et seq., and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder in California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 15000 et seq. ((CEQA Guidelines), hereinafter collectively, CEQA) shall be the City. The City as lead agency shall be primarily responsible for carrying out the project. In compliance with Section 15082 of the CEQA Guidelines, the City published a Notice of Preparation (NOP) on July 17, 2013, which began a 30-day period for comments on the appropriate scope of the project Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The City received comment letters from the Department of Transportation, State of California Native American Heritage Commission, and the City of San Diego Police Department. A copy of the NOP, the NOP distribution list, and public comment letters received on the NOP are provided in Appendix A of the Final EIR.

The Draft EIR for the proposed project was then prepared and circulated for review and comment by the public, agencies, and organizations for a public review period that began on June 6, 2014, and concluded on July 22, 2014. A Notice of Completion of the Draft EIR was sent to the State Clearinghouse, and the Draft EIR was circulated to state agencies for review through the State Clearinghouse, Office of Planning and Research (SCH No. 2013071058). A Notice of Availability of the Draft EIR was filed with the County Clerk. After the close of the public review period, the City provided responses in writing to all comments received on the Draft EIR.

The Final EIR for the project was distributed on September 23, 2014. The Final EIR has been prepared in accordance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines. The City, acting as the Lead Agency, has reviewed and edited as necessary the submitted drafts and certified that the Final EIR reflects its own independent judgment and analysis under Guideline Section 15090(a)(3) and CEQA Section 21082.1(a)-(c).

The EIR addresses the environmental effects associated with implementation of the project. The EIR is intended to serve as an informational document for public agency decision-makers and the general public regarding the objectives and components of the project. The EIR addressed the potential significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the project, and identifies feasible mitigation measures and alternatives that may be adopted to reduce or eliminate these impacts. The EIR is incorporated by reference into this CEQA Findings documents.

The EIR is the primary reference document for the formulation and implementation of a mitigation monitoring program for the project. Environmental impacts cannot always be mitigated to a level that is considered less than significant. In accordance with CEQA, if a lead agency approves a project that has significant unavoidable impacts that cannot be mitigated to a level below significance, the agency must state in writing the specific reasons and overriding considerations for approving the project based on the final CEQA documents and any other information in the public record for the project. (CEQA Guidelines §15093). This is called a "statement of overriding considerations." (CEQA Guidelines §15093).

The documents and other materials which constitute the administrative record for the City's actions related to the project are located at the City of San Diego, Development Services Center, 1222 First Avenue, Fifth Floor, San Diego, CA 92101. The City Development Services Center is the custodian of the administrative record for the project. Copies of these documents, which constitute the Record of Proceedings, are and at all relevant times have been and will be

available upon request at the offices of the City Development Services Center. This information is provided in compliance with Public Resources Code Section 21081.6(a)(2) and Guidelines Section 15091(e).

#### **SECTION 3: FINDINGS**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The CEQA Guidelines require that no public agency shall approve or carry out a project which identifies one or more significant environmental impacts of a project unless the public agency makes one or more written findings for each of those significant effects, accompanied by a brief explanation of the rationale for each finding. The possible findings are:

- Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified in the Final EIR (FEIR).
- 2. Such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the finding. Such changes have been adopted by such other agency or can and should be adopted by such other agency.
- 3. Specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the FEIR.

CEQA requires that the lead agency adopt mitigation measures or alternatives where feasible to avoid or mitigate significant environmental impacts that would otherwise occur with the implementation of the project. Project mitigation or alternatives are not required, however, where they are infeasible or where the responsibility for modifying the proposed project lies with another agency (Guidelines Section 15091(a)(b)). For those significant impacts that cannot be mitigated to a less than significant level, the lead agency is required to find that specific overriding economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of the proposed project outweigh the significant effects on the environment (CEQA Section 21081(b) and Guidelines Section 15093). If such findings can be made, the Guidelines state in Section 15093 "the adverse environmental effects may be considered acceptable." CEQA also requires that findings made pursuant to Section 15091 be supported by substantial evidence in the record (State CEQA Guidelines, Section 15091(b)). Under CEQA, substantial evidence means enough relevant information has been provided (reasonable inferences from this information may be made) to support a conclusion, even though other conclusions might also be reached. Substantial evidence includes facts, reasonable assumptions predicated on facts, and expert opinion supported by facts (State CEQA Guidelines, Section 15384).

The findings reported in the following pages incorporate the facts and discussions in the EIR for the project as fully set forth therein. Although Section 15091 of the CEQA Guidelines does not require findings to address environmental impacts that an EIR identifies as merely "potentially significant," these findings will nevertheless fully account for all such effects identified in the EIR. For each of the significant impacts associated with the project, the following sections are provided:

Description of Significant Effects: A specific description of the environmental effects identified in the EIR, including a conclusion regarding the significance of the impact.

*Mitigation Measures*: Identified feasible mitigation measures or actions that are required as part of the project and, if mitigation is infeasible, the reasons supporting the finding that the rejected mitigation is infeasible.

Finding: One or more of the three specific findings set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15091.

Rationale: A summary of the reasons for the finding(s).

*Reference*: A notation on the specific section in the EIR which includes the evidence and discussion of the identified impact.

For environmental impacts that are identified in the EIR to be less than significant and do not require mitigation, a statement explaining why the impacts are less than significant is provided.

#### II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS THAT ARE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT AND DO NOT REQUIRE MITIGATION

The City Council of the City of San Diego hereby finds that the following potentially significant environmental impacts will be less than significant. These findings are based on the discussion of impacts in Chapter 5 of the EIR.

#### A. Land Use

 Land Use Designation Conflict: An amendment to the General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan is required in order to implement the proposed project. As proposed, the project would be re-designated from its existing Community Plan land use designation of School, to Low-Medium Density Residential with a density range of 10-15 dwelling units per acre.

The proposed new land use is considered more intense and would have not been foreseen within the City's General Plan. Therefore, the project would conflict with or obstruct implementation of an applicable air quality plan. The proposed project would result in a secondary impact relative to air quality. This is a significant and unavoidable impact, and is discussed in detail in Section IV. A. below.

To implement the proposed project, a Planned Development Permit is required due to the project's deviations from the proposed base zone (RM-1-2). The requested deviations include the following: lot dimensions, setbacks, private outdoor space, and habitable ground level façade. With approval of the Master Planned Development Permit, a more desirable project—including the ability to achieve a compact, walkable community—would be developed than would be achieved if designed in strict conformance with the development regulations of the applicable zone.

With approval of the General Plan and Community Plan amendment, zone change, and planned development permit, the project would not

result in a significant impact due to an inconsistency or conflict with an adopted land use designation.

- 2. General Plan/Community Plan Consistency: The project includes a General Plan and Community Plan amendment, zone change, and planned development permit. The project was found to be consistent with the City's adopted General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan, as analyzed in Section 5.1, Land Use of the EIR. The analysis has demonstrated that the project would not result in a significant impact due to an inconsistency or conflict with the General Plan or Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan.
- 3. **Division of an Established Community:** The proposed project does not include any extensions of roadways or other development features through currently developed areas that could physically divide an established community. The physical arrangement of existing land uses would remain intact and, although a noise barrier would be built it would be constructed along an existing thoroughfare, landscaped to minimize visibility and would not extend into roadways. Therefore, this is considered a less than significant impact.
- 4. **Incompatibility with Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan:** The Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) determined that the proposed project is consistent with the Brown Field Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) on February 25, 2014. Therefore, the proposed project will not constitute a hazard to public safety with respect to consistency with the adopted Brown Field Municipal Airport ALUCP.

The proposed project would not conflict with the Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Study for Naval Outlying Field (NOLF) Imperial Beach (Ream Field). The project site is not located within the helicopter traffic pattern or within an accident potential zone as shown in the AICUZ Study. Furthermore, based on a review of the draft AICUZ Study, the project site is not located within areas exposed to noise levels greater than CNEL 60 dB.

#### B. Traffic/Circulation

- Projected Traffic (Freeway Segments only): All study area freeway mainline segments are calculated to continue to operate at acceptable LOS during AM and PM peak hours during all scenarios except Freeway Segment I-5 – North of Palm Avenue (Southbound, LOS E during PM peak hour) in Year 2035 with or without Project; however, the change in volume to capacity ratio resulting from the increase in project trips does not exceed the allowable threshold and therefore the project would not result in any significant impact to any freeway segment.
- 2. **Parking:** Pursuant to Section 142.0520 of the City of San Diego Municipal Code, a minimum of 532 parking spaces are required to be provided to serve the project. The proposed project would provide 534 parking spaces, which exceeds the minimum required amount by two

parking spaces. The project will provide 350 parking spaces in garages (2-car garage for each of the 175 dwelling units), 130 additional onsite spaces, 18 spaces on the Thermal Avenue project frontage and 32 spaces along the 18<sup>th</sup> Street project frontage per the Municipal Code. Therefore, the project would not be expected to result in any increase in demand for off-site parking, and would not affect existing parking. Based on these considerations, no significant parking impact would occur.

- 3. **Existing or Planned Transportation Systems:** The proposed project would not result in substantial alterations to present circulation movements that would have effects on existing public access to parks or other open space areas, such as the South Bay Community Park located south of the project site. The project would not result in a substantial impact upon existing or planned transportation systems or public access.
- 4. Traffic Hazards: All proposed project traffic improvements would be designed consistent with the City's roadway standards and would not create a hazard for vehicles, bicycles, or pedestrians entering or exiting the project site. The project does not propose any other project elements that could potentially create a hazard to the public. No significant impact would occur.
- 5. Alternative Transportation Modes: The proposed project would make no changes to the existing sidewalks, bike lanes, or access to transit and would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities. Impacts would be less than significant.

#### C. Air Quality

1. Violation of Air Quality Standards: The proposed project would generate PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>25</sub> emissions exceeding San Diego Air Pollution Control District's (SDAPCD) air quality standards during the construction phase of the project. However, the proposed project would be required to comply with the City's Best Management Practices (BMPs) which are enforceable under San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC) Section 142.0710. With implementation of the City's Management Practices BMPs, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions would be reduced to below SDAPCD significance thresholds. This is considered a less than significant impact.

Operational emissions would not exceed SDPACD's significance thresholds. The proposed project would not result in a long-term air quality impact and a less than significant impact would occur.

#### D. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

 GHG Emissions: Cumulatively, the project would emit approximately 2,961.65 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e each year. Per the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association's 900 metric ton per year threshold, the proposed project would require a full analysis to demonstrate compliance

with the City's reduction requirements of 28.3 percent. As shown in Table 5.4-7 of the EIR, combining all regulatory measures such as Pavley and other reduction strategies, in addition to the condition of approval that requires that the homes meet Energy Star guidelines, the project would be expected to reduce  $CO_2e$  by 873.61 metric tons compared to Business as Usual. The proposed project would implement the following  $CO_2e$  reduction measures:

- Pavley Standards (20% reduction)
- Alternative Transportation (>4.6% reduction)
- Indirect Electricity Use Year 2020 Renewable Energy Generation by Utility (29% reduction)
- EPA Energy Star Compliance (or equivalent) for Electricity Usage (LEED) (25% reduction)
- EPA Energy Star Compliance (or equivalent) for Natural Gas Usage (LEED) (25% reduction)
- Implement Recycling Program to Reduce Solid Waste Emissions under AB 341 (20% reduction) (75% diversion rate assured by a condition of the permit)
- Water Usage Year 2020 Renewable Energy Generation by Utility (29% reduction).

A reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>e by 873.61 metric tons would reduce the project's business as usual emissions by 29.50 percent which would meet and exceed the goals of AB32 as well as the City of San Diego. This is considered a less than significant impact.

- 2. Conflict with Applicable Plan: The project would be consistent with the GHG reduction goals of AB 32 incorporating measures that reduce emissions by approximately 29.50 percent (which exceeds the AB 32 goal of approximately 28.3 percent); therefore, the project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The City's General Plan (2008) Conservation Element includes various policies that address conservation with the goal of reducing GHG emissions. The proposed project would implement design features aimed at reducing GHG emissions, which are consistent with the City's goals. Design features include the following:
  - All building plans shall specify at least Tier 1 compliance with Title 24 (2013).
  - The design shall utilize recycled products whenever possible.
  - The design shall incorporate drought tolerant plants and utilize shade trees at each residential unit.

Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. It should be noted that the 29.50 percent reduction does not include the measures listed above. This is considered a less than significant impact.

#### E. Visual Effects and Neighborhood Character

- 1. **Vista and Scenic View:** The project site is not located within the vicinity of a state scenic highway (Caltrans 2012). The proposed project would not substantially block the view corridor along Thermal Avenue. The proposed residential structures would not exceed the permitted structure height of 30 feet in the RM-1-2 zone and Coastal Height Limit Overlay Zone. Furthermore, the proposed project would provide a minimum front setback of nine feet (measured from the property line) for the homes along Thermal Avenue to maintain the view corridor to the south towards the Tijuana River Open Space Preserve. No significant impact has been identified.
- 2. Negative Visual Appearance: The proposed project would improve the area by converting the vacant school currently on the project site to a residential community. The project's two home types would be constructed with varying building heights and roof lines to create a diverse building scale. The proposed project would also provide landscaping along Thermal Avenue, Coronado Avenue, and 18<sup>th</sup> Street. Based on these considerations, the proposed project would not result in a negative aesthetic. This is considered a less than significant impact.
- 3. **Neighborhood Character:** As the project site is surrounded by residential uses, the proposed project would not severely contrast with the overall residential character of the area. Building materials and exterior colors would not be substantially different from the building materials used on adjacent developments. The proposed project would not be incompatible with surrounding development or substantially alter the existing or planned character of the area. Therefore, this is considered a less than significant impact. Also, the Community Plan does not list any distinctive or landmark trees, or stand of mature trees, on the project site. No impact would occur associated with the loss, isolation, or degradation of a community identification symbol or landmark that is identified in the community plan.
- 4. Landform Alteration: The proposed project would not result in a significant impact due to landform alteration as the site is generally flat and does not contain slopes 25 percent or greater. The maximum height of fill and cut slopes would not exceed 2.5 feet (2:1 of flatter slope ratio). Furthermore, the proposed project does not include mass terracing of natural slopes with cut or fill slopes in order to construct flat-pad structures. Therefore, the project would not result in a substantial change in the existing landform, thus a less than significant impact would occur.

5. Light/Glare: The proposed project would be required to comply with the City's Land Development Code Section 142.0740 Outdoor Lighting Regulations for all proposed outdoor lighting fixtures. Also, the proposed residential structures would be constructed with typical windows and materials that have a light reflectivity of less than 30 percent. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less than significant impact related to light and glare.

#### III. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOUND TO BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION

The City, having reviewed and considered the information contained in the EIR, finds pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 210819(a)(1) that the following potentially significant impacts will be less than significant after implementation of the specified mitigation measures. These findings are based on the discussion of impacts in Chapter 5 of the EIR.

#### A. Transportation/Circulation

1. **Description of Significant Effects:** As described in Section 5.2.2.1 of the EIR, the following intersections would operate at an unacceptable level of service (LOS) and project impact would exceed City of San Diego thresholds prior to mitigation:

#### Existing plus Project

- Intersection #7: Coronado Avenue/I-5 NB Ramps/Outer Road LOS E during AM and PM peak hours
- Intersection #9: Palm Avenue (SR 75)/Saturn Boulevard LOS F during PM peak hour

#### Near Term (Existing plus Cumulative Projects) plus Project

- Intersection #7: Coronado Avenue/I-5 NB Ramps/Outer Road LOS E during the AM and PM peak hours
- Intersection #9: Palm Avenue (SR 75)/Saturn Boulevard LOS F during the PM peak hour

#### Year 2035 plus Project

- Intersection #7: Coronado Avenue/I-5 NB Ramps/Outer Road LOS F during AM and PM peak hours
- Intersection #9: Palm Avenue (SR 75)/Saturn Boulevard LOS F during AM and PM peak hours.

*Mitigation Measures:* Intersection #7: Coronado Avenue/I-5 NB Ramps/Outer Road would be improved through Mitigation Measure TR-1. Intersection #9: Palm Avenue (SR 75)/Saturn Boulevard would be improved through Mitigation Measure TR-2.

*Finding:* The City finds that with implementation of Mitigation Measures TR-1 and TR-2, impacts to Intersections #7 and #9 would be reduced to less than significant levels. *Rationale:* As shown in Table 5.2-16 in the

EIR, the average delay at Intersections #7 and #9 would decrease with implementation of Mitigation Measures TR-1 and TR-2. These mitigation measures would improve operations at Intersections #7 and #9 to better than pre-project conditions. Therefore, implementation of Mitigation Measures TR-1 and TR-2 would reduce the impact to a less than significant level.

Reference: EIR, page 5.2-31 through 5.2-33.

 Description of Significant Effects: As described in Section 5.2.2.1 of the EIR, the following street segments would operate at an unacceptable LOS and project impact would exceed the City of San Diego's allowable threshold for roadway segments prior to mitigation:

#### Existing plus Project

- Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard – LOS E
- Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street – LOS F

#### Near Term (Existing plus Cumulative Projects) plus Project

- Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard – LOS E
- Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street – LOS F

#### Year 2035 plus Project

- Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard – LOS E
- Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street – LOS F

*Mitigation Measures:* Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard would be improved through implementation of Mitigation Measure TR-3. Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street would be improved through Mitigation Measure TR-4. Mitigation TR-5 would mitigate the cumulative impact at Segment #3 (Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street).

*Finding:* The City finds that with implementation of Mitigation Measures TR-3 through TR-5, impacts to Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard and Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street would be reduced to less than significant levels. *Rationale:* As shown in Table 5.2-17, with implementation of Mitigation Measures TR-3 and TR-4, LOS at Segment #2 (Coronado Avenue between 18th Street and Saturn Boulevard) and Segment #3 (Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Saturn Boulevard) and Segment #3 (Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Saturn Boulevard) and Segment #3 (Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Saturn Boulevard and Green

Bay Street) would improve to better than pre-project conditions, respectively. Therefore, implementation of Mitigation Measures TR-3 and TR-4 would reduce the direct impact to a less than significant level.

Mitigation Measure TR-5 requires the payment of a 15.37% fair share toward the future construction of a raised median within the existing curbto-curb width along Coronado Avenue (between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street). This measure would mitigate the cumulative impact to Segment #3 (Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street).

Reference: EIR, page 5.2-31 through 5.2-34.

#### IV. FINDINGS REGARDING IMPACTS THAT ARE FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE

The City hereby finds that the following environmental impacts are significant and unavoidable and that there is no feasible mitigation. "Feasible" is defined in Section 15364 of the CEQA Guidelines to mean "capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a reasonable period of time, taking into account economic, environmental, legal, social, and technological factors." The City may reject a mitigation measure if it finds that it would be infeasible to implement the measure because of specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers. These findings are based on the discussion of impacts in Chapter 5 of the EIR.

#### A. Air Quality

Description of Significant Impact – Obstruction of an Applicable Air Quality Plan: The proposed project would require a General Plan and Community Plan Amendment to redesignate the land use from school to residential. The proposed use is considered more intense and would have not been foreseen within the City's General Plan. Therefore, the project would not be consistent with the Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS) or the expected growth projections for the area.

*Mitigation Measures:* No mitigation measure is proposed. The inconsistency with the RAQs would remain until the land use information is updated for the project site.

*Finding:* The City finds that no mitigation is available to reduce air quality plan conflicts due to the nature of the proposed land use; therefore, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable until the RAQs are updated with the updated General Plan and land use assumptions. *Rationale:* If a project proposes development that is greater than that anticipated in the local plan and SANDAG's growth projections, the project may result in a conflict with the RAQs. The project site has a General Plan land use category of Institutional and Public and Semi-Public Facilities and a Community Plan land use designation of School. The proposed project would develop the vacated school site with residential dwelling units. This change in land use from school to residential is not consistent with the existing land use designation. As such, an amendment to the General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan is required in order to implement the proposed project. As proposed, the project site would be re-designated from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10-15 dwelling units per acre). The proposed use is considered more intense and would not have been foreseen within the City's General

Plan. Therefore, the project would not be consistent with the RAQS or the expected growth projections for the area until such time as the land use information is updated for this project site.

Reference: EIR, page 5.3-6 through 5.3-7.

#### V. FINDINGS REGARDING IMPACTS THAT ARE FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT AND UNMITIGABLE

#### A. Transportation/Circulation

Description of Significant Impact: As described in Section 5.2.2.1 of the EIR, Segment #4: Coronado Avenue between Green Bay Street and the I-5 SB Ramps would operate at unacceptable LOS and project impact would exceed the City of San Diego's allowable threshold for roadway segments under the following scenarios: Existing plus Project, Near Term plus Project, and Year 2035 with Project.

*Mitigation Measures*: The construction of a raised median along Coronado Avenue between Green Bay Street and the I-5 SB Ramps, would improve LOS at Segment #4 (Coronado Avenue between Green Bay Street and the I-5 SB Ramps) to better than preproject conditions. However, the construction of a raised median would restrict access along this portion of the roadway where no suitable alternative access points exist.

*Finding*: The City finds that specific social considerations make any potential mitigation infeasible. *Rationale*: Land uses within the area are only provided access via Coronado Avenue. With the construction of a raised median, left turns in and out of these properties would be restricted and drivers would need to complete out of direction U-turns at the I-5 Southbound Ramps at Saturn Boulevard (no U-turns are allowed at Green Bay Street) (see also pages 59-60 of the Traffic Impact Analysis). Furthermore, construction of a raised median would not meet the following project objectives:

- Provide a circulation system that is responsive to regional and local transportation needs, and
- Construct project-related public improvements consistent with community's desired community character.

Additionally, this alternative is not consistent with General Plan (City of Villages) policies related to urban design and mobility:

- Policy UD-B.5(a): Design or retrofit street systems to achieve high levels of connectivity within the neighborhood street network that link individual subdivisions/projects to each other and the community.
- General Plan Mobility Goal C. Street and Freeway System: A street and freeway system that balances the needs of multiple users of the public right-ofway.
- Policy ME-C.6: Design roadways and road improvements to maintain and enhance neighborhood character.

Based on these considerations, the street segment capacity-related impact at Segment #4 (Coronado Avenue between Green Bay Street and the I-5 SB Ramps) would be significant and unmitigated.

Reference: EIR, page 5.2-31 through 5.2-35.

#### VI. FINDINGS REGARDING PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

#### A. Project Objectives

An important consideration in the analysis of alternatives to the project is the degree to which such alternatives will achieve the objectives of the project. To facilitate this comparison, the objectives of the project contained in Section 3.2 of the EIR are restated here:

- Establish a sufficient land use density and provide for the efficient use of land by redeveloping and revitalizing a vacated and underutilized school site and expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors consistent with the City's General Plan City of Villages policies;
- Establish a sufficient land use density to support the areas targeted for infill and higher densities consistent with General Plan Land Use Map Figure LU-1;
- Amend Otay-Nestor Community Plan to reflect General Plan infill development principles by expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors as identified on the City's General Plan Mobility Element Figure ME-1;
- Develop a project that is consistent with the City's Conservation Element overarching conservation strategy of directing compact growth in limited areas served by transit, thereby reducing the need to develop in outlying areas;
- Build a compact neighborhood with varying housing types within a single development;
- Construct housing within a half-mile of a designated High Frequency Bus Service route to maximize public transit opportunities;
- Provide a circulation system that is responsive to regional and local transportation needs;
- Provide opportunities for intensified land use that promote the efficient use of land by reducing building setbacks, bringing buildings close to sidewalks and streets;
- Enhance the walkability of the neighborhood by providing a functional and interconnected pedestrian network and incorporating pedestrian friendly street design;
- Construct a housing development that contributes to the creation and preservation of neighborhood character and vitality;
- Develop a project that provides a sense of community by including a mixture of housing options and scale consistent with the community's character;

- Integrate the residential development into the existing community street pattern by providing vehicular and pedestrian connections in line with existing streets; and
- Construct project-related public improvements consistent with community's desired community character.

#### B. Project Alternatives

In addition to the proposed project, the EIR evaluated the following three alternatives:

- No Project/No Development Alternative
- No Project/Adopted Community Plan Alternative
- 68-Unit Residential/No Significant Traffic Impact Alternative
- 1. No Project/No Development Alternative (EIR, Section 9.3)

Alternative Description: The No Project/No Development Alternative assumes that the Marian Catholic Site Residential project, as proposed, would not be implemented and the project site would not be developed. This alternative would not redevelop and revitalize the vacated and underutilized project site. The project site would continue to be occupied by the vacated Marian Catholic High School, with buildings that would deteriorate and be susceptible to vandalism and could significantly impair the character of the neighborhood. The No Project/No Development Alternative would not provide new housing to the Otay Mesa-Nestor community.

Compared to the project, the No Project/No Development Alternative would avoid impacts associated with transportation, air quality, and greenhouse gas emissions.

*Finding:* The City finds that although this alternative will avoid impacts associated with transportation, air quality, and greenhouse gas emissions, specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including those identified in the accompanying Statement of Overriding Considerations, make the No Project/No Development Alternative infeasible, and rejects the No Project/No Development Alternative on such grounds.

Rationale: This alternative would not meet any of the project objectives:

- Establish a sufficient land use density and provide for the efficient use of land by redeveloping and revitalizing a vacated and underutilized school site and expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors consistent with the City's General Plan City of Villages policies;
- Establish a sufficient land use density to support the areas targeted for infill and higher densities consistent with General Plan Land Use Map Figure LU-1;
- Amend Otay-Nestor Community Plan to reflect General Plan infill development principles by expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors as identified on the City's General Plan Mobility Element Figure ME-1;
- Develop a project that is consistent with the City's Conservation Element overarching conservation strategy of directing compact growth in limited areas served by transit, thereby reducing the need to develop in outlying areas;

- Build a compact neighborhood with varying housing types within a single development;
- Construct housing within a half-mile of a designated High Frequency Bus Service route to maximize public transit opportunities;
- Provide a circulation system that is responsive to regional and local transportation needs;
- Provide opportunities for intensified land use that promote the efficient use of land by reducing building setbacks, bringing buildings close to sidewalks and streets;
- Enhance the walkability of the neighborhood by providing a functional and interconnected pedestrian network and incorporating pedestrian friendly street design;
- Construct a housing development that contributes to the creation and preservation of neighborhood character and vitality;
- Develop a project that provides a sense of community by including a mixture of housing options and scale consistent with the community's character;
- Integrate the residential development into the existing community street pattern by providing vehicular and pedestrian connections in line with existing streets; and
- Construct project-related public improvements consistent with community's desired community character.

Additionally, this alternative would fail to meet the following General Plan Land Use and Community Planning Element policies related to the City of Villages growth strategy:

- Policy LU-A.7(b). Achieve transit-supportive density and design, where such density can be adequately served by public facilities and services.
- General Plan Land Use Categories Goal (a)(1). Include a variety of residential densities, including mixed use, to increase the amount of housing types and sizes and provide affordable housing opportunities.
- Policy LU-C.3. Maintain or increase the City's supply of land designated for various residential densities as community plans are prepared, updated, or amended.
- **Policy LU-H.3.** Provide a variety of housing types and sizes with varying levels of affordability in residential and village developments.
- 2. No Project/Adopted Community Plan Alternative (EIR, Section 9.4)

Alternative Description: The No Project/Adopted Community Plan Alternative assumes that the Marian Catholic Site Residential project, as proposed, would not be implemented. Rather, this alternative would implement a project in compliance with the existing Community Plan land use designation of School. As such, this alternative would retain the project site as an operating school, which would likely involve reconstruction of the existing school campus in order to provide a modern school that meets current

building and safety codes. It is assumed that the school would serve approximately 600 enrolled students (similar to previous Marian Catholic High School enrollment).

Compared to the proposed project, the No Project/Adopted Community Plan Alternative would reduce the transportation impact due to a reduced number of project trips. It would also avoid the significant and unavoidable air quality impact. However, it would have similar land use, greenhouse gas emissions, and visual effects and neighborhood character impacts as the proposed project.

*Finding:* The City finds that although this alternative would reduce the transportation impact and avoid the significant and unavoidable air quality impact, specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including those identified in the accompanying Statement of Overriding Considerations, make the No Project/Adopted Community Plan Alternative infeasible, and rejects the No Project/Adopted Community Plan Alternative on such grounds.

*Rationale:* The operators of the Marian Catholic High School have no plans to continue at the project site, and there is no evidence suggesting that another school would be interested in operating a school at the project site. Nonetheless, if the existing school were to be modernized to meet current codes, the site would remain as an operating school and thus would not provide efficient use of land through higher density development proximate to transit corridors consistent with the City's General Plan City of Villages policies. This alternative would not meet the following project objectives:

- Establish a sufficient land use density and provide for the efficient use of land by redeveloping and revitalizing a vacated and underutilized school site and expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors consistent with the City's General Plan City of Villages policies;
- Establish a sufficient land use density to support the areas targeted for infill and higher densities consistent with General Plan Land Use Map Figure LU-1;
- Amend Otay-Nestor Community Plan to reflect General Plan infill development principles by expanding higher density residential proximate to transit corridors as identified on the City's General Plan Mobility Element Figure ME-1;
- Develop a project that is consistent with the City's Conservation Element overarching conservation strategy of directing compact growth in limited areas served by transit, thereby reducing the need to develop in outlying areas;
- Build a compact neighborhood with varying housing types within a single development;
- Construct housing within a half-mile of a designated High Frequency Bus Service route to maximize public transit opportunities;
- Provide a circulation system that is responsive to regional and local transportation needs;
- Provide opportunities for intensified land use that promote the efficient use of land reducing building setbacks, bringing buildings close to sidewalks and streets;

- Enhance the walkability of the neighborhood by providing a functional and interconnected pedestrian network and incorporating pedestrian friendly street design;
- Construct a housing development that contributes to the creation and preservation of neighborhood character and vitality;
- Develop a project that provides a sense of community by including a mixture of housing options and scale consistent with the community's character;
- Integrate the residential development into the existing community street pattern by providing vehicular and pedestrian connections in line with existing streets.

Additionally, this alternative would fail to meet the following General Plan Land Use and Community Planning Element policies related to the City of Villages growth strategy:

- Policy LU-A.7(b). Achieve transit-supportive density and design, where such density can be adequately served by public facilities and services.
- General Plan Land Use Categories Goal (a)(1). Include a variety of residential densities, including mixed use, to increase the amount of housing types and sizes and provide affordable housing opportunities.
- Policy LU-C.3. Maintain or increase the City's supply of land designated for various residential densities as community plans are prepared, updated, or amended.
- Policy LU-H.3. Provide a variety of housing types and sizes with varying levels
  of affordability in residential and village developments.
- 3. 68-Unit Residential/No Significant Traffic Impact Alternative (EIR, Section 9.5)

Alternative Description: The purpose of this alternative would be to avoid the significant, unmitigated traffic impact associated with the proposed project by reducing the vehicular trips generated by the project, which would require reducing the total residential dwelling unit count to 68 total units for the project.

*Finding:* The City finds that although the 68-Unit Residential/No Significant Traffic Impact Alternative would avoid the significant and unmitigated traffic impact, specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations make the 68-Unit Residential/No Significant Traffic Impact Alternative infeasible, and rejects 68-Unit Residential/No Significant Traffic Impact Alternative on such grounds.

*Rationale:* This alternative would not meet most of the basic objectives of the proposed project and is inconsistent with the overall goals and policies of the City of Villages strategy, which emphasizes maximizing development densities and intensities within infill areas that are located in proximity to transit corridors. Specifically, the 68-Unit Residential / No Significant Traffic Impact Alternative would fail to meet the following General Plan Land Use and Community Planning Element policies related to the City of Villages growth strategy:

 Policy LU-A.7(b). Achieve transit-supportive density and design, where such density can be adequately served by public facilities and services.

- General Plan Land Use Categories Goal (a)(1). Include a variety of residential densities, including mixed use, to increase the amount of housing types and sizes and provide affordable housing opportunities.
- Policy LU-C.3. Maintain or increase the City's supply of land designated for various residential densities as community plans are prepared, updated, or amended.
- **Policy LU-H.3.** Provide a variety of housing types and sizes with varying levels of affordability in residential and village developments.

This alternative would also be inconsistent with Mobility Element policies that support higher-density infill development near the City's existing and planned transit corridors. Coronado Avenue borders the southern boundary of the project site. The General Plan's Mobility Element Figure ME-1 identifies Coronado Avenue as an existing "Higher Frequency Bus Service Route" based on the 2007 SANDAG Regional Transportation Plan. This alternative would not meet the following Mobility Element policy:

• **Policy ME-B.9.** (b) Plan for transit-supportive villages, transit corridors, and other higher-intensity uses in areas that are served by existing or planned higherquality transit services, in accordance with Land Use and Community Planning Element, Sections A and C.

#### VII. FINDINGS REGARDING OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

# A. Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes that will be Caused by the Project (EIR Section 8.2)

Section 15126.2(c) of the CEQA Guidelines requires an EIR to address any significant irreversible environmental changes that may occur as a result of project implementation. Development of the proposed project would result in the consumption of nonrenewable energy resources, which would have a significant irreversible effect on such resources. The proposed project would result in the development of the site for residential uses. The proposed project represents a continued commitment of land to urban uses, which intensifies land use on the 18-acre project site.

Several irreversible commitments of limited resources would result from implementation of the proposed project. The resources include but are not limited to the following: lumber and other forest products; sand, gravel, and concrete; asphalt; petrochemical construction materials; steel, copper, lead and other metals; and water consumption.

#### B. Growth Inducing Impacts of the Project (EIR Section 8.3)

The City finds that the proposed project would not result in growth-inducing impacts. The proposed project is located within an urbanized area and would be considered an infill site. The proposed project would infill the vacated site with 175 single family residences requiring utilities. The project site and surrounding area are currently developed with residential and commercial uses with adequate utility service. Therefore, extension of public utility infrastructure such as water, sewer, electric, or roads into previously unserved areas would not occur with implementation of the proposed project. Although

the project includes the construction of onsite drainage and water systems within the site, these improvements are private and would serve only the project and would not extend off-site.

The project would provide temporary construction jobs. However, the short-term nature of the construction jobs is not anticipated to lead to significant long-term population growth in the region. Furthermore, the creation of short-term jobs is not at a level that would attract individuals living outside the region to relocate to the Otay Mesa-Nestor community planning area or nearby areas. In conclusion, approval of the proposed project would not result in significant growth-inducing impacts.

#### VIII. FINDINGS REGARDING RESPONSES TO COMMENTS AND REVISIONS IN THE FINAL EIR

The Final EIR includes the comments received on the Draft EIR and responses to those comments. The focus of the responses to comments is on the disposition of significant environmental issues that are raised in the comments, as specified by CEQA Guidelines § 15088(c).

*Finding/Rationale:* Responses to comments made on the Draft EIR and revisions to the Final EIR merely clarify and amplify the analysis presented in the document and do not trigger the need to recirculate per CEQA Guidelines § 15088.5(b).

#### IX. STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

Public Resources Code § 21081(b) prohibits approval of a project with significant, unmitigable adverse impacts resulting from infeasible mitigation measures or alternatives unless the agency finds that specific overriding economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of the project outweigh the significant effects on the environment. CEQA Guidelines § 15093 adds that the decision-making agency must "balance, as applicable, economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of a proposed project against its unavoidable environmental risks when determining whether to approve the project." CEQA further requires that, when the lead agency approves a project which will result in the occurrence of significant effects which are identified in the Final EIR, but are not avoided or substantially lessened, the agency shall state in writing the specific reasons to support its actions based on the Final EIR and/or other information in the record. The statement of overriding considerations shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record (Section 15093[b] of the State CEQA Guidelines). This statement does not substitute for, and shall be in addition to, findings required pursuant to Section 15091 (Section 15093[c] of the State CEQA Guidelines).

The City Council, (i) having independently reviewed the information in the Final EIR and the record of proceedings; (ii) having made a reasonable and good faith effort to eliminate or substantially lessen the significant impacts resulting from the project to the extent feasible by adopting the mitigation measures identified in the EIR; and (iii) having balanced the benefits of the Marian Catholic Property Residential Project against the significant environmental impacts, chooses to approve the Marian Catholic Property Residential Project, despite its significant environmental impacts, because in its view, specific economic, legal, social, and other benefits of the proposed project render the significant environmental impacts acceptable.

The following statement identifies why, in the City Council's judgment, the benefits of the Marian Catholic Property Residential Project as approved outweigh the unavoidable and unmitigable

significant impacts. Each of these public benefits serves as an independent basis for overriding all significant, unavoidable and unmitigable impacts. Substantial evidence supports the various benefits. Such evidence can be found either in the preceding sections, which are incorporated by reference into this section, the Final EIR, or in documents that comprise the Record of Proceedings in this matter.

#### X. FINDINGS FOR STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. Implementation of the proposed project will create a high quality residential development that increases density in close proximity to transit corridors, to maximize public transit opportunities.
- Implementation of the proposed project will construct a housing development that enhances and contributes to the Otay Mesa-Nestor community's character and vitality.
- 3. Implementation of the project will maximize the development potential of the project site in context with the area through quality design and development controls that ensure a unified and cohesive development.
- 4. Implementation of the project will support local and regional sustainability goals through urban infill.
- 5. Implementation of the project will facilitate non-vehicular travel by providing pedestrian pathways/linkages in a compact, walkable community.
- 6. Implementation of the project will provide a variety of housing options consistent with and complementary to the surrounding community character.
- 7. Implementation of the project will provide increased housing adjacent to an already urbanized area with bus routes and employment opportunities, thus implementing the efficient integration and coordination of transportation and land uses, consistent with SB 375 the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008.
- 8. Implementation of the project will assist in the implementation of San Diego's General Plan City of Villages strategy and regional smart growth principles. According to the SANDAG 2010-2020 Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), it is currently forecast that the region will build 125,000 housing units but will need 161,980 housing units. Thus, the provision of 175 homes would benefit the region.

#### XI. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the City finds that the project's adverse, unavoidable environmental impacts are outweighed by the above-referenced benefits, any one which individually would be sufficient to outweigh the adverse environmental effects of the proposed project. Therefore, the City has adopted these Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations.

#### EXHIBIT C

#### MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Amendment No. 1076726, Planned Development Permit No. 1076705, Rezone No. 1076704 and Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706

#### **PROJECT NO. 307088**

This Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is designed to insure compliance with public Code Section 21081.6 during implementation of mitigation measures. This program identifies at a minimum: the department responsible for the monitoring, what is to be monitored, how the monitoring shall be accomplished, the monitoring and reporting schedule, and completion requirements. A record of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program will be maintained at the offices of the Development Services Department, 1222 First Avenue, Fifth Floor, San Diego, CA 92101. All mitigation measures contained in the Environmental Impact Report No. 307088/SCH No. 2013071058 shall be made conditions of General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Amendment No. 1076726, Planned Development Permit No. 1076704, Rezone No. 1076704 and Vesting Tentative Map No. 1076706 as may be further described below

#### **15.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:**

As Lead Agency for the proposed project under CEQA, the City of San Diego will administer the Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the following environmental issue area as identified in the Marian Catholic Property Residential Project EIR: Transportation. The mitigation measures identified below include all applicable measures from the Marian Catholic Property Residential Project EIR (Project No. 307088; SCH No. 2013071058). This MMRP shall be made a requirement of project approval.

Section 21081.6 to the State of California Public Resources Code (PRC) requires a Lead or Responsible Agency that approves or carries out a project where an EIR has identified significant environmental effects to adopt a "reporting or monitoring program for adopted or required changes to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects." The City of San Diego is the Lead Agency for the Marian Catholic Property Residential Project EIR, and therefore must ensure the enforceability of the MMRP. An EIR has been prepared for the project that addresses potential environmental impacts and, where appropriate, recommends measures to mitigate these impacts. As such, an MMRP is required to ensure that adopted mitigation measures are implemented. Therefore the following general measures are included in this MMRP:

- Prior to commencement of work (including related activities such as equipment access or equipment/material staging), a preconstruction meeting shall be conducted and include City of San Diego's Mitigation Monitoring Coordination (MMC) section, Resident Engineer, Building Inspector, Applicant and other parties or interest.
- Prior to Notice to Proceed (NTP) for any construction permits, including but not limited to, the first Grading Permit and Building Plans/Permits, the Assistant Deputy Director (ADD) Environmental Designee of the City's Land Development Review (LDR) division shall verify
that the following statement is shown on the grading and/or construction plans as a note under the heading Environmental Mitigation Requirements: "The Marian Catholic Property Residential Project is subject to a Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Program and shall conform to the mitigation conditions as contained in Environmental Impact Report No. 307088.

 Evidence of compliance with other permitting authorities is required, if applicable. Evidence shall include either copies of permits issued, letters of resolution issued by the Responsible Agency documenting compliance, or other evidence documenting compliance and deemed acceptable by the ADD Environmental Designee.

# 15.2 TRANSPORTATION:

# Direct Impacts

The owner/permittee shall perform the following intersection and roadway segment improvements to mitigate the project's direct impacts to the community road network to below a level of significance. It should be noted that the traffic impact analysis and EIR did not consider phases, and all off-site mitigation for traffic will be provided with the first phase of the project.

Intersections. The following mitigation measures are required to restore LOS and offset significant direct impacts to intersections:

- TR-1 Intersection #7: Coronado Avenue/I-5 NB Ramps/Quter Road: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the widening of the NB approach by approximately six feet, restriping of the NB approach to provide one shared left-turn/thru lane and one exclusive right-turn lane, and modification of the traffic signal accordingly, satisfactory to the City Engineer. The improvements shall be completed and accepted by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.
- TR-2 Intersection #9: Palm Avenue (SR 75)/Saturn Boulevard: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the restriping of the WB approach, reconstruction of the raised median to provide a second left-turn lane, and modification to the traffic signal accordingly, satisfactory to the City Engineer. This improvement is feasible to construct within the existing curb-to-curb width of 114 feet. The improvements shall be completed and accepted by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

<u>Roadway Segments.</u> The owner/permittee shall perform the following mitigation measures to reduce the project's significant direct traffic impacts to below a level of significance:

- TR-3 Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the construction of a raised median within the existing curb-to-curb width of 68 feet along this portion of Coronado Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer. The improvement shall be completed and accepted by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.
- TR-4 Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall assure by permit and bond the

restriping of this portion of Coronado Avenue to provide a two-way left-turn lane within the existing 64-foot curb-to-curb width, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

### Cumulative Impacts

Mitigation Measures TR-1 through TR-3, identified above in the Direct Impacts section, will also mitigate cumulative project impacts to below a level of significance at Intersection #7: Coronado Avenue/I-5 NB Ramps/Outer Road, Intersection #9: Palm Avenue (SR 75)/Saturn Boulevard, and Segment #2: Coronado Avenue between 18<sup>th</sup> Street and Saturn Boulevard, respectively.

The owner/permittee shall perform the following mitigation measure to fully mitigate the project's cumulatively significant impact to Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street:

TR-5 Segment #3: Coronado Avenue between Saturn Boulevard and Green Bay Street: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the Owner/Permittee shall pay a 15.37% fair share toward the future construction of a raised median within the existing curb-to-curb width along this portion of Coronado Avenue, satisfactory to the City Engineer.

The above mitigation monitoring and reporting program will require additional fees and/or deposits to be collected prior to the issuance of building permits, certificates of occupancy and/or final maps to ensure the successful completion of the monitoring program.

# PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO.\_\_\_\_.

# RECOMMENDING APPROVAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE OTAY MESA-NESTOR COMMUNITY PLAN NO. 1076726; REZONE NO. 1076704; PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 1076705; VESTING TENTATIVE MAP NO. 1076706.

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2014, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego held a public hearing to consider and recommend to the City Council of the City of San Diego approval of the General Plan Amendment and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Amendment, No. 1076726; Rezone No. 1076704; Planned Development Permit No.1076705; Vesting Tentative Map No.1076706; and

WHEREAS, the land use plan amendment requests are to redesignate an approximately 18-acre parcel from School to Low-Medium Density Residential (10 - < 15 dwelling units per net acre); and

WHEREAS, MCP Ventures, LLC requested a General Plan Amendment and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Amendment, No. 1076726; Rezone No. 1076704; Planned Development Permit No.1076704; Vesting Tentative Map No.1076706, to allow for the development of 175 single family dwelling units, located at 1002 18<sup>th</sup> Street in the RS-1-7 zone (proposed to be rezoned to RM-1-2) within the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego has considered all maps, exhibits, and written documents contained in the file for this project on record in the City of San Diego, and has considered the oral presentations given at the public hearing; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego that it hereby recommends approval of General Plan Amendment and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Amendment, No. 1076726; Rezone No. 1076704; Planned Development Permit No.1076705; Vesting Tentative Map No.1076706 and incorporate all other listed actions.

Sandra Teasley Development Project Manager Development Services Department Carmina Trajano Planning Commission Secretary

Approved: October 9, 2014 By a vote of: X-X

### (R-2002-INSERT)

# RESOLUTION NUMBER R-\_\_\_\_

ADOPTED ON \_\_\_\_\_

WHEREAS, on \_\_\_\_\_\_, the City Council of the City of San Diego held a public hearing for the purpose of considering an amendment to the General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan; and

WHEREAS, MCP Ventures LLC, requested an amendment to the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan to requested an amendment to the General Plan and the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan to redesignate an approximately 18-acre site from School to Low-Medium Density (10-<15 du/nra) Residential; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego found the proposed amendment consistent with the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of San Diego has considered all maps, exhibits, and written documents contained in the file for this project on record in the City of San Diego, and has considered the oral presentations given at the public hearing; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that it adopts the amendments to the General Plan and Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan, a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR-\_\_\_\_

APPROVED: JAN GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

Ву\_\_\_\_\_

# **OTAY MESA-NESTOR**

# **COMMUNITY PLAN**

# Proposed Community Plan Amendment Strikeout Draft: June 2014



Printed on recycled paper.

This information, or this document (or portions thereof), will be made available in alternative formats upon request.



# **OTAY MESA-NESTOR COMMUNITY PLAN**

The following amendments have been incorporated into this March 2007 posting of this Plan:

Amendment	Date Approved by Planning Commission	Resolution Number	Date Adopted by City Council	Resolution Number
Adopted the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan Update	December 12, 1996	2331-PC	May 6, 1997	R-288632
	l Negative Declaration No			30
	the California Coastal Con ment No. 1-97B/Otay Mes			

(Editors Note: In an effort to create a single, comprehensive document, this Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan has been reformatted.)













Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Plan FIGURI

11





## OTAY MESA-NESTOR COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP MEETING MINUTES 9 October, 2013

### **Members Present:**

Dist. 2 Sam Mendoza Dist. 3 Ed Abrahim Dist. 4 Diane Porter Dist. 5 Gabriel Uribe Dist. 6 Maria Mendoza Dist. 7 Robert Broomfield Dist. 8 Edgar Gonzalez Dist. 9 Jacki Farrington Dist. 10 Bob Mikloski Dist. 11 Albert Velasquez Dist. 12 Carlos Sanchez Dist. 14 Wayne Dickey Dist. 15 Walt Zumstein Members Absent: Dist. 1 John Swanson Dist. 16 Steve Schroeder

One Seat vacant: Dist. 13 Vacant

# **Guests Present:**

A total of 20 guests were present. The guest list can be viewed at any time. Contact John C. Swanson, Secretary, OMNCPG, at omncpg@gmail.com for a copy.

- Call to Order/Introduction of Members: The meeting was called to order at 6:32 p.m. by Chair Albert Velasquez, at the Otay Mesa Nestor Branch Library. He welcomed all Board members and members of the community to the meeting of the Otay Mesa-Nestor Community Planning Group (OMNCPG).
- 2. Approval of minutes Motion was made by Maria Mendoza to approve the minutes of the September 11, 2013, OMNCPG meeting. The motion passed unanimously.

## 3. Non-Agenda Public Comments:

a. None.

- 4. SDPD Community Relations: Officer Carlos Lacarra, <u>clacarra@pd.sandiego.gov</u> (619) 424-0440.
  - a. Officer Lacarra had no report.
- Council District 8 Report: Frances Chen for Vivian Moreno, <u>vmoreno@sandiego.gov</u> (619) 533-6394. Staff member Vivian Moreno was not in attendance, but staff member Ms. Chen provided a handout with the Dist. 8 monthly report.
- 6. Sub-Committee Reports: Traffic Calming, Project Development Review, Public Facilities Financing Plan.
- 7. Information item: Lee-Ann Jones Santos, Finance and Information Technology Deputy Director, Public Utilities Department, City of San Diego, gave information to the group about a public hearing to be held on Thursday, November 21, 2013, regarding water rate increases in San Diego. Notification mailer to go out to customers.

- 8. Action Item: Sprint proposal (SBA Sprint Berry PTS 296740), Mark Berlin, Planning Manager for Sprint, to modify and existing monopalm with new antennas and faux foliage at 2045 Leon Avenue, Contact Mark at 858-922-0237 <u>Mark.Berlin@sacw</u>
  - a. Item tabled pending further modifications to the plans.
- 9. Action item: FY2014 OMNCPG Public Facilities Plan Motion by Walt Zumwalt to approve as follows:
  - a. Transportation Projects
    - 1. Coronado Avenue/Høllister Street Intersection improvements
    - à Palm Avenue/Saturn Boulevard Intersection improvements
    - 3. Saturn Avenue from Coronado Avenue to Leon Avenue improvements
    - 4. Streets and Transportation improvements
  - b. Park and Recreation Projects
    - 1. Robert Egger Senior Community Park improvements
    - 2. Montgomery Waller Community Park improvements
    - 3. Montgomery Waller Sports Field Lighting
  - c. Fire and Rescue Projects
    - 1. Fire Station #6
    - 2. Fire Station #49

Result of vote: Motion passed unanimously.

- 10. Action Item: Marian School Site residential redevelopment: Project Number 307088, Community Plan Amendment, Public Facilities Financing Plan Amendment, Vesting Tentative Map, Rezone, and Master Planned Development Permit (Process 5) to change the zone from RS-1-7 to RM-1-2 and construct 179 residential single family homes on a 19.04-acre site located at 1002 18th Street. City of San Diego: Sandra Teasley, Development Project Manager, <u>STeasley@sandiego.gov</u> Developer contact: Linda Zubiate, Carrier Johnson <u>lcz@carrierjohnson.com</u> 619-239-2353
  - a. After several meetings with the OMNCPG Project Development subcommittee for this project, the developer presented a revised plan with:
    - 1. 27 fewer homes than the original plan,
    - 2. A new total of 179 residential units.
    - 3. Increased lot sizes for 84 homes.
    - 4. 100% increase in green space
    - 5. 187 parking spaces in addition to garage parking.

Motion made by Walt Zumwalt to approve.

Result of vote: The motion passed 8-3.

- 11. Chair's Report: No report.
- 12. City Planner's Report, Michael Prinz, mprinz@sandiego.gov (619) 533-5931: no report
- 13. Adjournment: 8:02 p.m.

Respectively submitted by Jacki Farrington, Member

ect Title: Marian Carthy	ic Project Project No. (For City Use Only)
art II - To be completed when property is held by a co	rooration or nathership
egal Status (please check):	
Corporation XLimited Liability -or- General) Wh Partnership y signing the Ownership Disclosure Statement, the owner is identified above, will be filed with the City of San Diego e property Please list below the names, titles and addre herwise, and state the type of property interest (e.g., tena a partnership who own the property). A signature is req operty. Attach additional pages if needed. Note: The app whership during the time the application is being processe anager at least thirty days prior to any public hearing on the	at State? <u>CA</u> Corporate Identification No. <u>201223710037</u> <u>r(s) acknowledge that an application for a permit, map or other matter,</u> on the subject property with the intent to record an encumbrance against asses of all persons who have an interest in the property, recorded or ants who will benefit from the permit, all corporate officers, and all partners wired of at least one of the corporate officers or partners who own the policant is responsible for notifying the Project Manager of any changes in ad or considered. Changes in ownership are to be given to the Project the subject property. Failure to provide accurate and current ownership
formation could result in a delay in the hearing process.	
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print): MCP Ventures, LLC	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
X Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address: Run Ann # 170	Street Address:
8799 Balboa Ave #270 City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Phone No: San Diego, CA 92123 Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
<u>958 - 269 - 8901</u> Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	337
Max A. Stewart	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print)
Title (type or print): Mana set	Title (type or print):
Signature : A A Date: 12-19-12	Signature : Date:
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print);
Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenant/Lessee
Street Address	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip
Phone No Fax No	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
Title (type or print):	Title (type or print):
Signature Date:	Signature : Date:
Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):	Corporate/Partnership Name (type or print):
Owner Tenant/Lessee	Owner Tenanl/Lessee
Sireet Address	Street Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/Stale/Zip
Phone No: Fax No:	Phone No: Fax No:
Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):	Name of Corporate Officer/Partner (type or print):
NU LOC - D Down 2 Do wheel a sec	
Title (type or print):	Tille (type or print):
Signature : Date:	Signature : Date:

# OWNERSHIP DISCLOSURE STATEMENT MARIAN CATHOLIC PROPERTY PROJECT NO. 307088

MCP Ventures Management, LLC

- Max Stewart Manager
- Brenda K. Tworoger Trust member
- SARAC Group, LLC member
- Moneta II Inc. ESOP Designed Roth member
- Malcolm Property Company 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan member
- National Advisors Defined Benefit Plan member
- Tom Warwick Pension Plan member



# VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705

#### DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

	DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY
INAL	THE DEVELOPMENT IS PROPOSED ON APPROXIMATELY 19.0 ACRES LOCATED SOUTH OF ELM ANDME EXTENSING TO COROMOD AVENUE BETWEEN THERMUL AVENUE AND 18TH STREET AND CURRENTLY REITORIED TO AS WARTAN HIGH SCHOOL. THE PROVENT COMPRISES 4 LICAL PARCELS IDENTIFIED AS ASSESSOR PARCEL MAMBERS 627-307-16, 17, 18 # 19, THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT "BILL REDURE A VESTING TENTATIVE MAP, CONFRM.
ITER TORY	PLAN/COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENT, PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT SITE PLAN AND REZONE.
WLL	GENERAL NOTES
ith IPAL ITER	GROSS TENTATIVE MAP SITE AREA: 19.04 ACRES NET TENTATIVE MAP SITE AREA: 18.0 ACRES (GROSS TM AREA-EXISTING PUBLIC ROW FOR 181H ST. & COROMOD AVE.=1.04 AC) NET POP DEVELOPMENT AREA: 16.70 ACRES
onal Vrge Tion	(NET TW SITE AREA-GLADALUPE CTR. & ADDL.15' CORONADO DEDIGATION #1.30 AC) 2. TOTAL MAMBER OF EXISTING/PROPOSED LOTS: EXISTING LOTS: 4
THE THE THE	PROPOSED LOIS: 206 RESIDENTIAL LOIS: 175 (LOIS 1-175) HDA OS LOIS: 29 (LOIS 176-204) PVT. STREET LOI: 1 (LOI 203)
R, A ECT	GUNDALUPE CENTER: 1 (LOT 206) J. TOTAL NUMBER OF PROPOSED UNITS: 175
r(s) auit Hull	<ol> <li>EXISTING PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT: SCHOOL STRUCTURES (TO BE DEVOLISHED)</li> <li>PROPOSED PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT: SINCLE FAMILY, OPEN SPACE &amp; SCHOOL ANEX</li> <li>EXISTING CONDUL, PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION: SCHOOL/INSTITUTION PROPOSED CENERAL, PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION: LOTHED DENSITY RESIDENTIAL [10-15 DU/ART AC]</li> </ol>
	7. ZONING: EXISTING: RS-1-7 PROPOSED: RM-1-2
IDED INED TION	<ol> <li>PROJECT ALSO LOCATED IN AIRPORT INFLUENCE REVIEW AREA 2 FOR BROWN FIELD</li> <li>APM J: 627-301-16, 17, 18 &amp; 19</li> <li>COMMUNITY/SUBAREA PLANE OTAY MESA-MESTOR COMMUNITY PLANE COMMUNITY/SUBAREA PLANEAND USE: SCHOOL</li> </ol>
ans. Wice	<ol> <li>DENSITY PROPOSED: GROSS TH DENSITY PROPOSED: 9.2 DU'S/AC (175 UNITS/19.04 AC) ACT POP DEVLOPMENT DENSITY: 10.5 DU'S/AC (175 UNITS/15.7 AC) 12. THOMAS BROS. COORDINATES: 1350 AT</li> </ol>
TED.	13. AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS: 1,750 (175 UNITS & 10 TRIPS) 14. GEO HAZARD ZONE: 52
2.	<ol> <li>FLODO ZONE: FLODO ZONE X AS SHOWN ON FIRM (FLODO INSURANCE RATE MAP) PANEL OBOJSCIJS F</li> <li>TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PROVIDED BY R.J. LUNC FLOWN OCTOBER 25, 2012</li> <li>BENCHMARK: CITY OF SAN DIEGO BENCHMARK AT THE INTERSECTION OF ELM</li> </ol>
ROAD	AVENUE NO IBH STREET, BRASS PLUG IN THE NORTHWEST CURB RETURN ELEVATION = 23.228 FEET (NCHO 1929 DATUM) 18. LAMBERT COORDINATES: 150-1739 CCS 83 COORDINATES: 1790-6299
THE	LEGAL DESCRIPTION
AND AS	(SEE SHEET C-9 FOR COMPLETE LEGAL DESCRIPTION) THIS SUMMEY IS BASED ON THE PRELIMINARY TITLE REPORT ISSUED BY CHICAGE TITLE COMPANY ORDER NUMBER 73712004791-PM DATED AUGUST 22, 2012.
100	PARDEL A: (APM 527-301-19-00) LOT 5 OF ALGMA TRACT, IN THE CITY OF SAM DIECO, COUNTY OF SAM DIECO, STATE OF CALIFORMIA, ACCORDING TO AMP THEREOF NO. 611, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
AND	COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIECO COUNTY, AUCUST 8, 1889. PARCEL & (APN 627-301-17-00) LOT 21 OF ALCHA TRACT, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIECO, COUNTY OF SAN DIECO, STATE
AND TAIN TROM	COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, AUDUST 8, 1889.
IED	PARCEL C: (APM 627-301-16-00) LOTS 13, 14, 22, 29 AND 30 GF ALGHA TRACT, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIECO, COLNTY OF SAN DIECO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 611, FILED
IONS VATE COF	IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, AUCUST 8, 1889. PARCEL D: (APN 627-301-18-00) LOT 6 OF ALGMN FRACT, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO WAP THEREOF ND. 611, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
AS	COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIECO COUNTY, AUCUST 8, 1889.
ITER	NOP VENTURES BY99 BULBOA AVENIE
IEGO NHAT ACT LIVE	SUITE 270 SAN DIECO, CA 92123 (858) 268-8901
WDO IN	Aux AST
WCE	MAX STEWART, REPRESENTATIVE
ND AND	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O
BE	(10 6/30/16/2) Brand ~ Onat 9/24/14
nps	RATIONO L. WRITIN R.C.E. 48670 DATE
	PREPARED BY: # REVISIONS DATE BY
	HUNSAKER A ASSOCIATION HUNSAKER A ASSOCIATION HUNSAKER H
	GLASSOCIATES         J. RESUBMITAL         06/25/13         Hda           LAN DILLG INC         4. RESUBMITAL         10/22/13         Hda
	RAPPHIC         Status         5.         RESUBNITIAL         11/25/13         H&A           BUCHERDIC         Set Degis & STM         6.         RESUBNITIAL         12/17/13         H&A           BUCHERDIC         Wedgesen was: Indiadase triat         6.         RESUBNITIAL         12/17/13         H&A
	PROJECT ADDRESS: A RESUBNITAL FOR PC HEARING 09/24/14 H&A
	SAV DECO, CL 92154
	ACCT./INTERNAL ORDER / XXX 12
	VESTING TENTATIVE MAP NO. 1076706 SHEET PDP SITE PLAN NO. 1076705
	MARIAN CATHOLIC PROPERTY
	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA PROJECT TRACKING NO. 307088 C9
	R\0736\\$Pin\Harian = TH Sht 01d*p[15ep-23-20[413.05



# VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705



# VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705



5	LICHT/F	COMBO STREET POWER POLE TO REMAIN EXIST. CURB TI REMAIN (REPLAC HERE NECESSAR)	E	USTING 6" WATER DWG. 11183 EXIST. FIRE HYDRI	PER- -4-D ANT-	AVENUE			Hanne Half		-11	T. STREET LIGHT T EXIST. CURB TO R WHERE NECESSAR EXIST. OVERHEAD U VISIBULTY AREA HICHER THAN 3 CXIST. RETA TO BE REM	EMAIN (REPLACE ) TRUTY LIVE (NO DELECT 6") INVING MALL		CETACHED LOST. CUR EXIST. CO PEMBINIO FEXIST. CO REMOVING	MBO STREET LIGHT, 6" WATER PER DW SUY WRE 2 EXIST. CURB, QUI AND RELOCATE (RE CITY STD. RAMP SD	IBTH STREET) ACE WHERE NECESS FOWER POLE TO G. 11183-4-D TER & PLACE G-130	ARY)		
			PP-IN	<u>18тн</u>	ΥΥΥΥΥΥ	-1716-170				, /		17-101	ý				1 12-1921	A		
10. 10.							AD I D		(B)		N N R									ALL ALL
TC4	ル		1P-121 - 22	NT 1281	12 133 NBJ 12 1391 EWAY E		100 T	19 CT						and the second						
N SEE SHEE	PUT. DRIVEWAY	2 (2) 2 (2)		87 (83) Na 1 10	50 (164) NB J		10	10 10 10 10 10 10	35 (161) NU 2	2 2 2 2	PUT ORIVERNAL		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (			20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		11	AVENUE	
		2 C	2 (10) No.1 P-001	1 (R) N/7.5	M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		177 NB 1 NS 1 12-10		(174) NOV 2 NOV 1	E S								1	1-1	
FOR CO									8									1 8 4	I PERMIT	87
111 191 111		C				ANDSCAPE PU			H	57	DK								COM	
	(R) N33			(F) N7.5 2	AND AN	EWAY'A			() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	* (R)		3 (R) N37.1	4 (3) NII.3 4	(a) (e)		8) 1. (3) 1. (3)		Æ		
	() NJ 0 A-5 1			IB NTI ED STREET		a va		P-9 1 18-4	CHT NT		1 P-121	1 -13	P		F UG		A			
	REMAIN T. COMBO T./ POWER MUNTY AREA ECT HIGHEI T. FIRE HY	VED " R THAN ANCHOR GUY STREET OSJBILI POLE TO JECT	TH TY AREA (NO HIGHER THAN N	ERMAL EXIST, FIRE HY TO BE RELO EXIST, SI LIGHT TO R	DRANT CATED	ELDER	GUY TO B	AREA (NU HIGHER THAN LE & ANCHOR E RELOCATED PRIVATE "MO STEM UNEAR OW FLOWS AN CH FLOW BYPA TO BE DETE	36)	NAL TYP	Je	AFABLE	EXIST. CUMB (REPLACE W EXIST. 4" WA DWG, 11183-2	XIST, POWER POLL TO REMAY REM HERE HECESSARY) TER PER -D TET LIGHT TO REMA DEWALK & DEWALK & TACHED	TO EXIST TO EXIST. TO REM EXIST. OVE EXIST. OVE	STREET - SON TO SON TO	STREET		6 1/0	HUNSAK

# VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705







STAA TRUCK SEMITRAILER 50' TURNING RADIUS TEMPLATE



#### ATTACHMENT 17 VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705

CHEST, ECHERD STREE PER DEC ITTED-S-C -EXIST. STIMET LIGHT TO M DEST, COMER STREET DEST, THE HE COSTING # SENICE POR-DUSTING & WHICH POR-DUGL 11183-4-D DEL OVEREAD-(1051 TE BE MUDWE 18TH STREET . FIRST. WOOD EXTEL RETAINING -

EXESTING AP ROP-POR DWG 11183-5-0 LUST, FWE HIDHANT-

DEEEsstere:

------ERST. STREET LEDIT

1255106 34" 85" PER DOG 11183-3-0 (255106 8" MUTR PER-DUE 11183-10-0

-

DISTING GAS PE DISC 11183-5-4



EVEN



HUNSAKER & ASSOCIATES RANNESC DIS Vegins Sourt DECRETENC See Days, Co SITT SLEVITOR, Preparities and right









#### **ATTACHMENT 17** VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705

LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT PLANT PALETTE

	LANDSCA	PE	DEVELOPM	ENT PLANT	P/	ALET	TE
OCATION	BOTANICAL NAME/COMMON NAME		PORM	PUNCTION	-	SIZE	=
THEET TREE -	альна альная сималая / плояб бых тере Сарамана сималала и торесах намасца намасца недальса диклопенсальну тере нетковорегов еледациями зеалаю саны		BROADLEAF (20-40 SPREAD) TREE	PORHAL CANOPY SHADE TREES		00%/36*	BOX
CRONADO AVE	PODOCARPUS GRACLIOR / PDRI PRE TRISTANA CONTRIA / BRISANE BOX		BROADLEAP (30:-40" SPREAD)	PORHAL CANOPY SHADE TREES	9	00%/36*	BOX
INCE I TREE -	CΠΑΝΑΚΟΝΕΙΑ ΚΑΝΤΗΣΤΙΚΑ / ΚΑΝΤΗΣΤΙΚ ΤΙΚΖΕ ΤΟ ΜΑΛΑΙΑΝΙΟΑ ΗΝΟΟΤΡΟΙ, Ν. / ΜΑΛΑΙΑΝΙΟΑ ΡΙΑΙ ΚΑΝΑΙΟΟΙΑΥ (ΑΝΑΑΤ ΤΙΚΑΝΟ ΡΙΚΕ ΡΙΑΤΑΝΙΟ ΑΟΣΙΠΟΥΙΑΝ (ΑΝΑΑΤ ΤΙΚΑΝΟ ΡΙΚΕ ΡΙΤΟΙΟ ΚΑΙΣΗΤΥΝΑΙ (ΑΝΑΣΗΥ ΓΡΑΠΕΤΙΚΕ ΤΙΡΊΑΝΑ ΤΙΡΊΥ / ΤΙΡΊ ΤΙΚΕ ΝΙΔΙΟ ΡΑΡΙΛΙΓΙΟΙΑ / ΕΝΟΤΙΘΑΙΕΟΙ ΕΔΙΟ	÷	BROADLENF (201-401 SPREAD)	Pormal Canopy Shade Trees	,	00%/36°	BOX
ark / Grede Ree	ELT HAGHOLIA GRANDFLORA / SOITHERN HAGHOL UND FARANDOLIA / EVERANDIN CLM TRUMA THIN / THU THEE TRUSTANA COMPETER / BRISBANE BOX	UA	BROADLEAP (201-401 SPREAD)	PORMAL CANOPY SHADE TREES	1	00%/36*	BOX
PECHEN TRUE	S GERELS AGRIPOLA / CONST LIVE GAS OLEA EROPACA / PRITLES OLIVE		VARES	DHANCE SPECIALT	T I	0071/481	BOX
KCONT TREES	AREUTUS U, MARINA' / MARINA STRAPEORIRY' I CARENA EXCAPELARIS / MINTER CASSA LAGORITRODINA SOKCA / CRAPE MIRTLE PRIMIS CERASITERA / PLOMERINA PLIM	TREE	BROADLEAP 02-18: SPREAD)	REES ACCOUNT	r i	0076/24"	BOX
	SHOLADCOVERS						
3/180	BOTANCAL HAVE KONTON HAVE	20.0040123	PUNCTIC SPREADING BROUND				
00	ALDE SPECIES / ALDE ANBOLATINGS SPECIES / KANBAROO PAN DETES BICALDA / PORTINGHT LLY CALISTIPNIA UTTLE LIAY / DIARRE DOTLEBRIGH INSBELIA TONISONA / FEX.CAP (PLA DOTLEBRIGH INSBELIA TONISONA / FEX.CAP (PLA DOTLEBRIGH ROMARNS O, TOCAH BUEL	EV DHAP	15-57 Accibit	5076.05 10% / 64 5076 /5 6 5' 04 CDH AV6. 5PA	AL.		
©⊕		5-5 H	асон 9-408 ролол. Эант) 9-4035	10N 10745 6A 3075/19 6A 4' 0N CON Avid, BPAC	EN		
	Harathon II / TIR" (900) Carex Panga - Tirp Alternative						
	CANEX THAT ICOLAY BENKELEY SERVEL	CVER64	econ Grads Bio-ret Each Plantn	BITICIN LINERS B IB' ON CO AVG. SPA	anter SPAG		
MALL	# FENCE LEGEND						
****	6" HAGOWAY PERIMETER HALL SEE DETA	AIL 'A'					
	S' TO S' HASORRY PERSETER MALL						
	S' MASONRY/TUBLAR STEEL CO	мво					
	- SOE I REAR YARD FENCING, SEE DETAIL	L 6.					
*****	S TUBLAR STEEL PERCHS						
OPEN	SPACE LEGEND						
	(18.944 54, 11. + 18 ACRES) HOA PLANTING AREA						
111111	THAT PLANTING AREA						
		0	20 0	40 8	D	1	20
			SCALE	1" = 40'			
ſ	PREPARED BY:	#	REVISIONS		D	ATE	BY
	GILLESPIE, MOODY, PATTERSON. INC	1.	1st SUBMITTAL		1/2	4/13	
	4010 SORRENTO VALLEY BLVD. SUITE 200	2	2nd SUBMITTAL			5/13	-
	SAN DIEGO, CA. 92121 858 558-8977	4			12/	6/13	$\equiv$
	renerate do transforma en activa da Alti	5.	RESUBMITTAL FOR	PC HEARING	09/	23/14	-
-	PROJECT ADDRESS:	- 7					
	SAN DEGD, CA 92154	8. 9.			-		_
ł	PROJECT #: 307088	10.			-		Ξ
079-00	ACCT /INTERNAL ORDER #: XXX	11.			-		_
arch.com	LANDSCAPE					SHE	ET
alley Blvd.	VESTING TENTATIN PDP SITE PLA	E N	MAP NO. 10	76706			-1
San Diego ila 92121		7 Pu			v	L	.1
558 8977	MARIAN CATHO			<b>DPERT</b>	T	0	
558 9188	CITY OF SAN D PROJECT TRA					3	5
	THOSE OF THA				-		-

GALE-PROJECTEALE-079-0912\_enderth/Conceptale 879 Concept anglitep-IN-PROFILE



#### **ATTACHMENT 17** VTM# 1076706/PDP# 1076705

		1. 6.5100.0			
TREES SIM	LOCATION	BOTANICAL NAMICOPHION N		PLANT PLANT	
0	STREET TREE - IOTH ST AND THERMAL AVE.	ALBILA JLURIDON / FLOBO DUL'TR CARINATIANA CIMPISHAMANA / SHEH PARICULA GRANDIFLORA IT, PART / PARICULA GRANDIFLORA IT, PART / PICALUSA LEILA DUCHIMANCALUTI PETROSCORDO EXCELISIANDI JEALA		PORHAL CAROPT SHARE TREES	100m34, 80×
$\odot$	STREET TREE - CONDILADO AVE	PODOCARPUS BRACLIOR / PORK PHE TRUSTANIA CONTURIA / BRIDDAVE DO	BROADLEAN COD-40 SPHEAD)	HOROVAL CANERY SHADE TREES	100%/36' BOX
0	STREET FREE - RETORNAL STREETS	Сявынсячы санячсячы ( санячся ансланера наусяясць ( англися Рися санялерона) ( санячся Рися санясеран) ( санячет на лар Рися саняствана ( саняст на сан тяпая сацясткана ( саняст на сан тапана тапу / тапу тер; Шлая макупаціа ( русявляет едн	Thee Broadleaf Bia (30 40' Spread) Pire No Thee	PORMUL CANCEN SHADE TREES	1004/34° 804
0	PARK / INCONC.1	Individual analogicular ( Sources) Iland Paragelia ( Salarese Bur Tanara Tay / Tay Taes Trustara Corperta ( Salarese Bur	(20-40° MPRCAD)	PORTUL CHIEFY SHIOT TREES	100%/36" 804
$\mathfrak{B}$	9702/PCH 17829	GLERCUS ABRIPOLIA / COAST LIVE DA GLEA GURGINAGA / PRUTLESS GLIVE	nk Anger	DWARE SPECIAL IT	100%/48° 80×
8	ACCENT THEES	ARBITUS II THARMA' / MARMA STRAM CASSA BICATLARS / MARTIN STRAM LABORITODHA ROCA / CRAFT HIT PRIMS COLASPERA / FLOREND FL	ernit ikle Broadlas 1 (C-18 Spreads 14 14	PLONERING ACCENT TREES	1006/34° BOX
	BAC CAS DAC SCR	אסכמיצאס אוקבא אואל גלואסטיראס באוקבא אואל גלואסטיראסטיר באוקבא אוקבאל גלואסטיר באוקבאל אוקבאל אוקבאל געור באוקבאל אוקבאל געור אסלעורט אינגעראסטיראס אוקבאל געורט אינגעראסטיראס אוקבאל געורט אוקבאל געורט אוקבאל געורט אוקבאל געורט אוקבאל געורט אוקבאל געורט אוקבאל	TC BANK	2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010	-
	00	TES BECULOR / PORTHAMT LLY LISTOPICH LITTLE JOAR / DWAAF BOTTL BELLA TOUBBLINA / MERICAN PEATHOR MARINES O TUSCAN BLUE / TS. ROSEN		3 CH LUHD Avis Shirts	4
	Sacasa and a second sec	ЧЕ АТБОЛЬТА / АВЕЗІ АЛ-ЧЕ ВАЧЧЕЦА ЭТСЕЗ / БОЛАНЧЕЦА ДЛІТЬСЯ / БОЛАНЧЕЦА В БОЛДИ ТОКТІВО / Ц.Т. В БОЛДИ ТОКТІВО / Ц.Т. ВТВИТЕЛЬНИ / ГОДИ В РИЧЕТ БОРОТАН АУ / ГОДИ В РИЧЕТ БОРОТАН АУ / ГОДИ В РИЧЕТ БОРОТАН АУ / ГОДИ В РИЧЕТ СТОР КОЛИЧЕТ / ГОДИ В АЛАД СПГОЛ КОЛИЧЕ / БОД ОГ РАЛАДОЗ КОЛИЧЕТ / БОЛИЧЕ / БОД ОГ РАЛАДОЗ КОЛИЧЕТ / БОЛИЧЕ / БОД ОГ РАЛАДОЗ		атох току бал. в Зохо бал. и солоти аль зрасн	i di
		Lathon ::: / Ture (600) EX Paaba - Ture Alternative			
	[	an ann fan 'n anne fan af fan ar f	evideorezh arlade Big-R D'Briezady Plant	stibning larens ng is calcont ave space	51. 19
	MALL 4	FENCE LEGEND & HARDRY PERFETOR HALL SI & TO 5 HARDRY PERFETOR HALL SI TELA ENCOREY PERFETOR HALL SI TELA ENCOREY PERFET	в эмело) глин I регил и Ц L сомво	ETENTION LPENS Ind UP CALCENT AVE SPACE	Б. Ф
	MALL 4	FENCE LEGEND a madder protocol rough a to 5 madder protocol rough soc 2 ecol to 200 and 5 madder state rough PACE LEGEND TO A TUNITIES AREA a to 5 madder a to 5 ma	0 9982A01 PLAN 2 DPTAL X UL 2 COMBO 1007AL T SCALE:	40 80 1" = 40	120
		FENCE LEGEND a magnety pro-type mult be a to a magnety pro-type mult be a to a magnety pro-type mult be a magnety mult be optimited b magnety multiplication and a magnety multiplication and b models and the streng row and a multiplication and a multiplica	B SMEAD PLAN 2 DFTAL 14 L COMBO 1001AL T SCALE: # REVISIONS 1, 134 SUBMITTAL	40 80 1" = 40	120 DATE BY /24/13
		FENCE LEGEND a madder protocol real so a to 5 madder protocol real so a to 5 madder protocol real a to 5 madder protocol real a to 5 madder protocol so a to 5 madder protocol so 5 madder protocol so 5 madder state races PACE LEGEND TO A TUNITIES AREA CONTRACTOR AND A	B SMEAD PLAN E DITAL X LL L GOMBO IDETAL T SCALE: # REVISIONS 1. Ist SUBMITIAL J. Znd SUBMITIAL J. Jnd SUBMITIAL	40 80 1" = 40	DATE BY /24/13 /22/13 //22/13
	PREPAR GILLESPIE. A 4010 SORTE 200	FENCE LEGEND A MARCHINY PERFECTION MALL M A MARCHINY PERFECTION MALL MARCHINY PERFECTION MALL MARCHINY PERFECTION MARCHINY PERFECTION SOCIAL AND FOLLARS THE SOCIAL PERFECTION SOCIAL PERFECTION PACE LEGEND TO SAM ON THE ACCOUNTS PACE LEGEND TO SAM ON THE ACCOUNTS AC	B: SHEAD         FLAT           I         DTAL X:           IL         COMBO           IDETAL X:         IL           IDETAL X:         IL           IDETAL X:         IL           IL         IL           IL         IL           IL         IL           IL         IL           IL         IL           IL         IL <td< td=""><td>40 80 1" = 40<sup>°</sup> 1<sup>′′</sup></td><td>DATE BY 224/13 /25/13</td></td<>	40 80 1" = 40 <sup>°</sup> 1 <sup>′′</sup>	DATE BY 224/13 /25/13
	PREPAR GILESPIE A SUN ESCHOL SAN DIEGO. BSS 558-B1 PROJECC ID02 18M 51	FENCE LEGEND a madowr pro-trop nul si a to 5 madowr pro-trop nul si a to 5 madowr pro-trop nu must nou see ortal w a magnery rubul an ster mul store ortal w soc a reak war routenes Soc a routenes Soc a reak war routenes Soc a rou	B         SPREAD         FLAM           I         DETAL         I           I         SCALE         I           I         Jat         SUBMITIAL           I         Jat         SUBMITIAL           I         Jat         SUBMITIAL           I         S. RESUBMITIAL         I           I         G         I           I         S. RESUBMITIAL         I           I         G         I	40 80 1" = 40 <sup>°</sup> 1 <sup>′′</sup>	DATE BY 224/13 2/25/13 2/22/13 2/6/13
	PREPAR GILESPIE. A GILESPIE. A	FENCE LEGEND a madder protocor paul si a to 5 madder protocor paul b to 5 madder protocor paul make now set of tak w a madder of protocol soc a read trace for a ster make ster of tak w PACE LEGEND PACE LEGEND PACE LEGEND TO PULSE PACE LEGEND TO PULSE PACE LEGEND TO PULSE PACE LEGEND TO PULSE PACE LEGEND TO PULSE PACE LEGEND CA TURNING AREA AREA TO PULSE TO PULSE STORE ST. REFRAIL AVE. A 22154	B         SPREAD         FLAM           I         DETAL         IL           I         DETAL         IL           IL         COMBO         IL           I         DETAL         IL           IL         COMBO         IL           I         DETAL         IL           I         IL         IL           I         IL         IL           I         J.         JE           I         J.         JE           I         JE         JE           I         JE         JE           I	40 80 1" = 40 <sup>°</sup> 1 <sup>′′</sup>	DATE BY 224/13 2/25/13 2/22/13 2/6/13
	PROJECT	FENCE LEGEND a magnety pro-crop null b a to a magnety pro-crop null b a to a magnety pro-crop null main field for the step mull field for the step soc a reak two rounds, see 9 nould step of the step 9 nould step of the step 10 nould step of the step 10 nould step of the step 10 nould step of the step of the step 10 nould step of the step of the step of the step 10 nould step of the	B         SPREAD         FLAM           I         DETAL         I           I	40 80 1" = 40 1" = 40 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	DATE BY /24/13 /22/13 /22/13 /26/13 /26/13 /26/13 /26/13
wch.com	PREPAR GILLESPIE. A 4010 SORTE SAN DIEGO. B38 558-8 PROJECT ACCI / INTER	FENCE LEGEND a magnety pro-true mul so a mul so of the mul so a mul so of the mul so ED BY: NOODY, PATTERSON, INC INTO VALLEY BLVD. CA. 92121 377 TADDRESS: a mul so the mul so a mul so the mul so a mul	B SPEAD     FUH      COMBO	40 80 1" = 40 1" = 40 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/	DATE BY 224/13 /25/13 /22/13 /22/13 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15/15 /25/1
arch.com Wey Bivd. San Diego	PREPAR GILESPIE. A GILESPIE. A	FENCE LEGEND * MARGINEY PERFETTER HALL SE * IN BOUNDEY PERFETTER HALL SE * MARGINEY PERFETTER HALL SE * MARGINEY PERFETTER * MARGINEY PERFETTER * MARGINEY PERFETTER * MARGINEY PERFETTER * MARGINEY PERFETTER * MARGINEY PERFETTER ************************************	B SPEAD     CAR      DTAL X      DTAL	40 80 1" = 40" 1" = 40" 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DATE BY 2/24/13 2/22/13 2/22/13 2/22/13 2/23/14 SHEET L-2
079-00 arch.com alley Bivd. San Diego ia 92121 558 8977 558 9180	PREPAR GILESPIE. A GILESPIE. A	FENCE LEGEND * Madomir Proventie Hull M * to 3 Madomir Proventie Hull * Madomir Proventie Hull * Madomir Proventie Hull M * Madomir Madomir M * Manual M * M * Manual M * Manual M * M * Manual M * M * M * M * M * M * M * M *		40 85 1" = 40 1" = 40 1/ 6, 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 1/ 6, 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/	DATE BY 224/13 /25/13 /22/13 /22/13 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/14 /22/13 /22/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15 /25/15/15/15 /25/1

