

# Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board

mailing address

15721 Bernardo Heights Parkway - Suite B, #230  
San Diego, CA 92128

[www.rbplanningboard.com](http://www.rbplanningboard.com)

## AGENDA

Thursday, October 15, 2009

7:00 PM

meeting location

Oaks North Community Center

12578 Oaks North Drive

San Diego, CA 92128

*The order of the agenda items may be modified at the discretion of the Chair.  
Times assigned for each item are approximate.*

- |         |         |  |  |
|---------|---------|--|--|
| ITEM 1  | 7:00 PM | <b>CALL TO ORDER</b><br>Roll Call  |  |
| ITEM 2  |         | <b>NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT</b><br><i>For issues that are not on the agenda and are within the jurisdiction of the RBCP Board.<br/>Please submit requests to speak prior to the start of the meeting.<br/>There can be no discussion or action, other than a referral.<br/>Three minutes per speaker.</i>                         |  |
| ITEM 3  |         | <b>CHAIR REMARKS</b>   |  |
| ITEM 4  |         | <b>MODIFICATIONS TO THE AGENDA / ADOPT DRAFT AGENDA</b>  | <b><u>VOTING ITEM</u></b>                    |
| ITEM 5  | 7:10 PM | <b>ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS</b><br>Approval of the September 17, 2009 meeting draft minutes<br>Corresponding Secretary Report<br>Treasurer Report  | <b><u>VOTING ITEM</u></b>                    |
| ITEM 6  |         | <b>COUNCILMEMBER CARL DEMAIO LIAISON REPORT</b><br>Kelly Batten, Community Representative, presenting  | <b>INFORMATION ITEM</b>                      |
| ITEM 7  | 7:20 PM | <b>OPEN SEAT/VACANCY IN DISTRICT C-OAKS NORTH</b><br>Residents interested in filling the vacant seat shall present<br>Themselves to the RBCPB for consideration  | <b><u>VOTING ITEM</u></b>                    |
| ITEM 8  | 7:25 PM | <b>NEXTG/WIRELESS PROJECT#180444-CANFIELD&amp;ESCALA</b>   | <b><u>VOTING ITEMS</u></b>                   |
| ITEM 9  |         | <b>NEXTG/WIRELESS PROJECT#180538-ESCALA&amp;FERNANDO</b><br>John Woods, DRC Chair will introduce applicant Todd Threw/Coastal<br>Communications to present project(s)  |  |
| ITEM 10 | 8:00PM  | <b>DRAFT ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE LANDS ORDINANCE<br/>AMENDMENTS.</b> Vicki Touchstone presenting. Strike out, underline<br>Document can be seen at:<br><a href="http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/landdevcode.shtml#amend">http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/landdevcode.shtml#amend</a> | <b><u>VOTING ITEM</u></b>                    |
| ITEM 11 | 8:20PM  | <b>APPOINTMENTS/VOLUNTEERS TO ELECTION SUBCOMMITTEE</b><br>Teri Denlinger presenting   | <b><u>VOTING ITEM</u></b>                    |
| ITEM 12 | 8:30PM  | <b>APPOINTMENT OF REGIONAL ISSUES COMMITTEE CO-CHAIR</b><br>MAYBE DEFERRED   | <b>* <u>VOTING ITEM</u></b>                  |
| ITEM 13 |         | <b>COMMITTEE REPORTS</b><br><br>Traffic & Transportation<br>Development Review<br>Regional Issues  | <br><br>Dick Katz<br>John Woods<br>Tom Brugh |

Publicity & Election

Teri Denlinger

ITEM 14

**(MEMBER) LIAISON REPORTS**

Commercial Representative	John Woods
Community Council	Joe Dirks
San Dieguito River Park	Dick Katz
Community Planners Committee [CPC]	Ellen Willis
San Pasqual/Lake Hodges Planning Group	Ellen Willis
SANDAG/Compact [ <a href="http://www.sandag.org">www.sandag.org</a> ]	Richard House
RB Maintenance Assessment District	Myrna Reese

ITEM 15

**LIAISON REPORTS BY NON-MEMBERS**

Code Compliance	Richard Walker
Miramar Community Leaders Forum	Jeff Frederick

>for written liaison reports see [www.rbplanningboard.com/liaison.shtml](http://www.rbplanningboard.com/liaison.shtml)

**NEW BUSINESS : confirmation of special meeting Nov 3rd to address the EIR &EIS for the high speed rail project via I-15. ([www.cahighspeedrail.ca.gov](http://www.cahighspeedrail.ca.gov))**

**ADJOURNMENT**

---

**NEXT BOARD MEETING**

3<sup>rd</sup> Thursday – November 19, 2009

**COMMITTEE MEETINGS:**

(Joint) Traffic & Transportation Committee  
4<sup>th</sup> Monday – October 26, 2009 @ 7:00 PM  
San Diego National Bank Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Bernardo Center Drive

Development Review Committee  
November 3, 2009 @ 5:30 PM  
Swim & Tennis Club

Regional Issues Committee **\*SPECIAL BOARD MEETING\***  
November 3, 2009 @ 7:00 PM  
Swim & Tennis Club

Publicity& Elections Committee  
2<sup>nd</sup> Thursday – November 12, 2009, @ 5:30 PM  
Swim &Tennis Club

Administrative Committee  
November 9, 2009 @ 6:00 PM  
Bernardo Heights Community Center



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

August 21, 2009

Todd Threw  
Coastal Communications  
3355 Mission Ave., Ste. 212  
Oceanside, CA 92054

Dear Mr. Threw:

Subject: NextG – Canfield & Escala, PTS #180444, Job Order #43-2409

The Development Services Department has completed the 1<sup>st</sup> review of the above referenced project. In order to expedite the return of comments to you, this brief cover letter is provided in lieu of a full project assessment letter.

Attached you will find a Cycle Issues Report (Enclosure 1) which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines and outside agencies.

If any additional requirements should arise during the subsequent review of your project, we will identify the issue and the reason for the additional requirement. To resolve any outstanding issues, please provide the information that is requested in the Cycle Issues Report. If you choose not to provide the requested additional information or make the requested revisions, processing may continue. However, the project may be recommended for denial if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved.

Prior to resubmittal, please pay the attached invoice on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor at the Cashier.

When you are ready to resubmit, please phone (619) 446-5300 and request an appointment for a "Submittal-Telecom Resubmittal." Resubmittals may also be done on a walk-in basis, however you may experience a longer than desirable wait time. In either case, please check in on the third floor of the Development Service Center (1222 First Avenue) to be placed on the list for the submittal counter. At your appointment, provide the required amount of plans, photo simulations, cover letter indicating how you responded to each issue (attached to each set of plans), and any other documentation included in the Submittal Requirements Report (attached). The plans should be folded to an approximate 8 ½ x 11 inch size.

For your information, please be advised of Section 126.0114 of the Land Development Code, which states: The *development permit* application shall be closed if the *applicant* fails to submit or resubmit the requested materials, information, fess, or deposits 90 calendar days from the date the application was deemed complete or the last written

NextG – Canfield & Escala, PTS #180444

Todd Threw

August 21, 2009

Page 2 of 2

request by the City, whichever is later. Once closed, the application plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the *applicant* or destroyed by the City Manager.

Should you require clarification about specific comments from the staff reviewing team, please contact me, or feel free to contact the reviewer directly.

For modifications to the project scope, submittal requirements, account status or questions regarding any of the above, please contact me prior to resubmittal. I may be reached by phone at (619) 446-5349 or via e-mail at [ahempton@sandiego.gov](mailto:ahempton@sandiego.gov).

Sincerely,

Alex Hempton, AICP  
Associate Planner

Enclosures:

1. Cycle Issues Report
2. Submittal Requirements Report
3. Invoice

CC:

1. File
2. Carol Young, Supervising Property Agent, Real Estate Assets Department
3. Karen Lynch-Ashcraft, Development Project Manager III, Development Services Department
4. Ellen Willis, Chair, Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board
5. Brian Schoenfisch, Senior Planner, City Planning and Community Investment
6. Review Team



# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 180444      **Title:** NEXTG CANFIELD & ESCALA  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander      (619) 446-5349

Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Planning Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/06/2009	
	<b>Started:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.50	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Completed:</b> 07/22/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 10 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 103 reviews, 72.8% were on-time, and 41.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	This particular light standard has a Conditional Use Permit for T-Mobile to operate a Wireless Communication Facility on this pole. Please note 141.0420(h)(3) which states that no more than four panel antennas or two omni-directional antennas shall be mounted on any utility pole by any one wireless communication facilities provider.
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Please select a different pole for this wireless communication facility. (New Issue) INFORMATION ONLY, NO RESPONSE REQUIRED -
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Zone: This project is proposed in the RS-1-14 zone. The maximum permitted structure height is 35 feet. The antennas are within the height limit. (New Issue) INFORMATION ONLY, NO RESPONSE REQUIRED -
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Project: This project is a Wireless Communication Facility consisting of two panel antennas and an equipment box mounted to an existing light standard located on the west side of the intersection of Escala/Cloudesly Dr. and Canfield Pl. (New Issue) Please provide a north arrow and scale on sheet 5. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Panel antennas within the public right of way shall be vertically mounted to the pole and shall not exceed 6 inches in distance from the pole. [LDC Section 141.0420(h)(2)]
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Based on the current design, it appears as though the antennas are mounted above the existing pole. Please locate the antennas onto the existing pole instead of above. (New Issue) Provide a dimensioned detail to indicate the distance the antennas are mounted from the pole. This distance shall not exceed 6 inches. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Identify the color the equipment and antennas are to be painted. LDC Section 141.0420(h)(4) requires antennas to be maintained to match the color of the surface of the pole on which they are attached. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	General Application - Please have the City's Real Estate Assets Department sign an Ownership Disclosure Statement and submit with the next review. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	An Official Zoning Map was received with this submittal, however a Justification Map is required. Please refer to Info Bulletin 536. The following is required:  Justification Map - On the map, identify the zoning base map zones, search ring, alternative sites, the selected site, and all existing and approved WCFs within a one mile radius. Identify collocation opportunities within the search ring area. Ensure that zones are easily identifiable and that a legend is provided. Be sure to coordinate the Justification Map with the Site Justification Report. Provide a scale and north arrow. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	T-Mobile processed an application for a WCF on the same pole and received approval in December 2008. Their facility has not yet been built. Only one WCF is permitted per pole. Please resolve this issue with Carol Young in Real Estate Assets before proceeding. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

8/4/09 11:30 am

Page 2 of 10

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Environmental	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Szymanski, Jeffrey (619) 446-5324	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 3.00	<b>Started:</b> 08/03/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/03/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 93 reviews, 69.9% were on-time, and 41.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## CEQA Exemption

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed activity is exempt from CEQA pursuant to Sections 15301(b) (Existing facilities) and 15303(d) (conversion of small structures) of the State CEQA Guidelines. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Jeffrey Szymanski at (619) 446-5324. Project Nbr: 180444 / Cycle: 4





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Landscaping	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Started:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/07/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Conditions.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 57 reviews, 82.5% were on-time, and 36.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	All areas of bare or disturbed soil impacted as part of this project shall be revegetated per the Landscape Regulations. Any landscape material damaged as part of this project shall be replaced in-kind within 30-days. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

8/4/09 11:30 am

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

Page 4 of 10

L64A-003A

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Engineering Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Barhoumi, Zacharia (619) 557-7982	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 3.00	<b>Started:</b> 07/30/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/31/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 80 reviews, 88.8% were on-time, and 30.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## First Review

### Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed telecommunications facilities have already been installed, as of 7/17/2009. Please remove any references to the proposed construction/installation of the telecommunication facilities and revise to indicate that the facilities are "Existing" for all plan sheets. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	All General Notes, Work to Be Done, Erosion & Sediment Control Notes, Trench Detail Notes, and Special Notes which detail the construction should be removed. Scope of Work should be an application for a Neighborhood Use Permit. (New Issue)

### Comments

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The engineer of work must sign, stamp, and date all plan sheets. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please indicate the date of installation. (New Issue)

### Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Prior to recordation of the Neighborhood Use Permit, the Owner/Permittee shall obtain a Nonexclusive Right-of-Way Use Agreement from the City of San Diego for the telecommunication facilities within the Escala Drive and Canfield Place rights-of-way. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Community Planning Group	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Started:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/07/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 59 reviews, 52.5% were on-time, and 40.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## CPG

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please present this project to the Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Group to receive a recommendation. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Please provide a copy of the meeting minutes where this project was discussed and voted on. (New Issue) [Recommended]
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	RANCHO BERNARDO COMMUNITY PLANNING BOARD (CD5 [SLIVER 1]) ELLEN WILLIS, CHAIR 15721 BERNARDO HEIGHTS PARKWAY, SUITE B-230 SAN DIEGO, CA 92128  (858) 451-6288 ebwetc@aol.com www.RBPlanningboard.com (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> ITC-Communications	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Started:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/07/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for ITC-Communications on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with ITC-Communications (all of which are new).
- . Last month ITC-Communications performed 8 reviews, 87.5% were on-time, and 75.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Currently in review by ITC Communications; review not yet completed. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Transportation Dev	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Huffman, Victoria (619) 446-5396	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 2.00	<b>Started:</b> 07/29/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/03/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Transportation Dev on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 16 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Transportation Dev performed 52 reviews, 92.3% were on-time, and 28.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 43-2409 NUP 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Project Description (information only, no action required):  Transportation Development has completed its first review of the installation of a wireless communication facility, to include two antennas, on an existing concrete street light standard at 12594 Escala Drive within the Rancho Bernardo Community Plan. The telecom facility has already been installed. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Revisions To Plans For Discretionary Review:  The plans submitted for discretionary review should not resemble Public Improvement Plans.  The plans should be revised accordingly, including (but not necessarily limited to) removing all references to "Improvement Plans", removing many of the notes which are typical Public Improvement Plan notes, re-labeling each sheet so they are not D-Sheet drawings, and revising the information block in the lower right hand corner of each sheet so it is not the same information block as shown on Public Improvement Plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Existing Facility:  Indicate on the plans that the telecomm facility is existing rather than proposed. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Date of Installation:  Indicate on the plans the date of installation of the telecom facility. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Project Description:  Provide a "Project Description" portion on the plans. This "Project Description" should include a text narrative of the project and include the project street address. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Note Concerning Relocation of Street Light:  Note No. 3 of the NextG Pole Attachment Notes on Sheet 2-D indicates if the existing conduit does not meet the minimum standard, the contractor shall relocate the streetlight pole with a new foundation.  If the street light standard requires relocation, it should be determined as part of this discretionary approval. If this project does not require the relocation of the traffic signal standard, then this note should be removed from the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Conceptual Plans:  Indicate on each sheet that the plans are not for construction and are conceptual only. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Meter Pedestal:  Telecommunication facilities installed on City street light standards or traffic signal standards are required to provide their own separate power meter.  Please clarify how this project will comply with this requirement. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Transportation Dev' review, please call Victoria Huffman at (619) 446-5396. Project Nbr: 180444 / Cycle: 4





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	<p>Foundation Analysis:</p> <p>Typically a foundation analysis is required after discretionary approval to ensure the existing foundation can support the added load of the new telecommunications equipment. However, since this facility is already installed, a foundation analysis must be provided prior to discretionary approval.</p> <p>Therefore, please provide a foundation analysis in the next review cycle. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	<p>Type of Foundation and Conduit Access Discrepancies:</p> <p>The existing street light pole is a concrete pole with a direct burial foundation. However, the NextG Access to Streetlight - Private diagram shown on Sheet 4-D indicates the existing foundation is concrete and the pole is mounted by anchor bolts. Further, the same figure shows the NextG conduit running from the pole along the ground to below grade to access the NextG Connection Handhole, but in actuality there is no conduit running on the ground at the base of the pole.</p> <p>Please clarify these discrepancies. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	<p>Street Name Signs:</p> <p>Clarify whether there were any street name signs on the street light standard prior to installation of the wireless facility.</p> <p>Any street name signs that were on the pole prior to the installation of the wireless facility MUST be re-installed and should be re-installed at the same height as they were prior to installation of the wireless facility. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	<p>Minor Revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the Key Map on Sheet 1-D, replace the misspelled word "Canfiled" with "Canfield."</li> <li>2. On Sheet 5-D, please revise the misspelled word, "Concrtactor" to "Contractor."</li> </ol> <p>(New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	<p>These conditions should be listed on the plans as "Transportation Development Conditions," and these will be conditions of the permit:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The applicant shall obtain a "Public Right-of-Way Permit for Traffic Control" permit prior to any work within the public right-of-way, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>2. The applicant shall protect any City property removed as part of the project and return said property, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>3. The applicant shall provide a Public Improvement Plan including a Traffic Control Plan, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> </ol> <p>(New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	<p>(continued from above):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. The applicant shall provide and maintain a telephone contact number available 24 hours/7 days a week clearly posted on the pole at eye level to allow City staff to immediately contact the system provider, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>5. The applicant shall install and maintain a separate power meter for the project, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> </ol> <p>(New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	<p>(continued from above):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. The applicant shall inform the City and the City agrees to inform the applicant in the event of a knock over, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>7. A foundation analysis shall be required during the Public Improvement Plan Process, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> </ol> <p>(New Issue)</p>





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	<p>Potential Condition:</p> <p>If the project requires the installation of a new street light standard (which is indicated on Sheet 2-D as a possibility), the project shall be subject to the following conditions, and these conditions should also be included on the plans:</p> <p>8. The material of the proposed street light standard shall match the appropriate material shown in City of San Diego Standard Drawing SDE-101 or the existing street light standard that is to be replaced, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	<p>(continued from above):</p> <p>9. If the luminaire mounting height or length of mast arm of the proposed street light standard does not match City of San Diego Standard Drawing SDE-101 or the existing street light standard that is to be replaced, a lighting study and a Deviation From Standards Form shall be provided during the Public Improvement Plan Process. If a lighting study is not required, the lamp type and wattage shall comply with The City of San Diego Street Design Manual, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18	<p>Additional Comments and Conditions (information only):</p> <p>Additional comments and conditions may be provided pending further review or redesign of this project. Please feel free to contact Victoria Huffman for any Transportation related issues.</p> <p>Phone: 619.446.5396 Email: <a href="mailto:vhuffman@sandiego.gov">vhuffman@sandiego.gov</a> (New Issue)</p>





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/06/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> General Services - Roadways	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/06/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Started:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/03/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/04/2009	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/04/2009	

- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for General Services - Roadways on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month General Services - Roadways performed 1 reviews, 100.0% were on-time, and 100.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## No comments

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Reviewed; no comments. (New Issue)





# Submittal Requirements

8/4/09 11:35 am

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 180444      **Title:** NEXTG CANFIELD & ESCALA

**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander

(619)446-5349

Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Cycle Information

**Review Cycle:** 8    Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

**Opened:** 08/04/2009 11:36 am

**Submitted:**

**Due:**

**Closed:**

## Required Documents:

<u>Package Type</u>	<u>Pkg Qty</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Qty Needed</u>
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telcom Coverage Map	1
Applicant Correspondence	4	Applicant Correspondence	4
Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	3	Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	3
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telcom Site Justification Letter	1
Development Plans	1	Site Development Plans	1
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telecom Justification Map	1
Photo Simulations	3	Photo Simulations	3
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telecom Adjacent Land Use Map	1





# Invoice

8/4/09 11:38 am

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-007

Invoice Number: **307798**



Status: Invoiced

Issued: 08/04/2009 11:39 am Hempton, Alexander

Voided:

Customer: Gegan, Scott

Development: 128034 WCF's

Project: **180444** NEXTG CANFIELD & ESCALA PM: Hempton, Alexander (619)446-5349



Project Fees:

<u>Fee Description</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fee Amount</u>
Deposit Account	2,500.00	Dollars	\$2,500.00
Deposit Account	2,000.00	Dollars	\$-2,000.00
<b>Approval Total:</b>			\$500.00
<b>Job Total:</b>			\$500.00
<b>Project Total:</b>			\$500.00
<b>Invoice Total:</b>			\$500.00





THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

August 21, 2009

Todd Threw  
Coastal Communications, Agent for NextG  
3355 Mission Ave., Ste. 212  
Oceanside, CA 92054

VIA electronic mail: [todd@coastalcomminc.com](mailto:todd@coastalcomminc.com)

Dear Todd:

Subject: NextG – Escala Drive & Fernando Way, PTS No. 180538, Job Order No. 43-2408

The Development Services Department has completed the 1<sup>st</sup> review of the above referenced project. In order to expedite the return of comments to you, this brief cover letter is provided in lieu of a full project assessment letter.

Attached you will find a Cycle Issues Report (Enclosure 1) which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines and outside agencies.

If any additional requirements should arise during the subsequent review of your project, we will identify the issue and the reason for the additional requirement. To resolve any outstanding issues, please provide the information that is requested in the Cycle Issues Report. If you choose not to provide the requested additional information or make the requested revisions, processing may continue. However, the project may be recommended for denial if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved.

When you are ready to resubmit, please phone (619) 446-5300 and request an appointment for a “Submittal-Telecom Resubmittal.” Resubmittals may also be done on a walk-in basis, however you may experience a longer than desirable wait time. In either case, please check in on the third floor of the Development Service Center (1222 First Avenue) to be placed on the list for the submittal counter. At your appointment, provide the required amount of plans, photo simulations, and cover letter indicating how you responded to each issue (attached to each set of plans). The plans should be folded to an approximate 8 ½ x 11 inch size.

For your information, please be advised of Section 126.0114 of the Land Development Code, which states: The *development permit* application shall be closed if the *applicant* fails to submit or resubmit the requested materials, information, fess, or deposits 90 calendar days from the date the application was deemed complete or the last written

NextG – Escala Drive & Fernando Way  
Todd Threw  
August 21, 2009  
Page 2 of 2

request by the City, whichever is later. Once closed, the application plans and other data submitted for review may be returned to the *applicant* or destroyed by the City Manager.

Should you require clarification about specific comments from the staff reviewing team, please contact me, or feel free to contact the reviewer directly.

For modifications to the project scope, submittal requirements, account status or questions regarding any of the above, please contact me prior to resubmittal. I may be reached by phone at (619) 446-5351 or via e-mail at [klynchash@sandiego.gov](mailto:klynchash@sandiego.gov)

Sincerely,

Karen Lynch-Ashcraft  
Development Project Manager

Enclosures:

1. Cycle Issues Report
2. Transportation Cycle Issues Report
3. Submittal Requirements
4. Invoice

Distribution:

1. Project File
2. Project Review Team
3. Brian Schoenfisch, City Planning and Community Investment
4. Ellen Willis, Chair, Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board



L64A-003A

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 180538      **Title:** NEXTG ESCALA & FERNANDO  
**Project Mgr:** Lynch-Ashcraft, Karen      (619) 446-5351      klynchash@sandiego.gov



## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Transportation Dev	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Huffman, Victoria (619) 446-5396	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 2.00	<b>Started:</b> 07/29/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/04/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/03/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Transportation Dev on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 17 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Transportation Dev performed 52 reviews, 92.3% were on-time, and 28.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 43-2408 NUP 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

- |                                     |   |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1 | Project Description (information only, no action required):<br><br>Transportation Development has completed its first review of the installation of a wireless communication facility, to include two antennas, on an existing concrete street light standard at 12302 Escala Drive within the Rancho Bernardo Community Plan. The telecom facility has already been installed.<br>(New Issue)  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 2 | Revisions To Plans For Discretionary Review:<br><br>The plans submitted for discretionary review should not resemble Public Improvement Plans.<br><br>The plans should be revised accordingly, including (but not necessarily limited to) removing all references to "Improvement Plans", removing many of the notes which are typical Public Improvement Plan notes, re-labeling each sheet so they are not D-Sheet drawings, and revising the information block in the lower right hand corner of each sheet so it is not the same information block as shown on Public Improvement Plans.<br>(New Issue) |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 3 | Existing Facility:<br><br>Indicate on the plans that the telecomm facility is existing rather than proposed.<br>(New Issue)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 4 | Date of Installation:<br><br>Indicate on the plans the date of installation of the telecom facility.<br>(New Issue)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 5 | Project Description:<br><br>Provide a "Project Description" portion on the plans. This "Project Description" should include a text narrative of the project and include the project street address.<br>(New Issue)  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 6 | Note Concerning Relocation of Street Light:<br><br>Note No. 3 of the NextG Pole Attachment Notes on Sheet 2-D indicates if the existing conduit does not meet the minimum standard, the contractor shall relocate the streetlight pole with a new foundation.<br><br>If the street light standard requires relocation, it should be determined as part of this discretionary approval. If this project does not require the relocation of the traffic signal standard, then this note should be removed from the plans.<br>(New Issue)  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 7 | Conceptual Plans:<br><br>Indicate on each sheet that the plans are not for construction and are conceptual only.<br>(New Issue)   |





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	<p>Meter Pedestal:</p> <p>Telecommunication facilities installed on City street light standards or traffic signal standards are required to provide their own separate power meter.</p> <p>Please clarify how this project will comply with this requirement. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	<p>Foundation Analysis:</p> <p>Typically a foundation analysis is required after discretionary approval to ensure the existing foundation can support the added load of the new telecommunications equipment. However, since this facility is already installed, a foundation analysis must be provided prior to discretionary approval.</p> <p>Therefore, please provide a foundation analysis in the next review cycle. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	<p>Type of Foundation and Conduit Access Discrepancies:</p> <p>The existing street light pole is a concrete pole with a direct burial foundation. However, the NextG Access to Streetlight - Private diagram shown on Sheet 4-D indicates the existing foundation is concrete and the pole is mounted by anchor bolts. Further, the same figure shows the NextG conduit running from the pole along the ground to below grade to access the NextG Connection Handhole, but in actuality there is no conduit running on the ground at the base of the pole.</p> <p>Please clarify these discrepancies. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	<p>Street Name Signs:</p> <p>Clarify whether there were any street name signs on the street light standard prior to installation of the wireless facility.</p> <p>Any street name signs that were on the pole prior to the installation of the wireless facility MUST be re-installed and should be re-installed at the same height as they were prior to installation of the wireless facility. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	<p>Minor Revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On the Key Map on Sheet 1-D, replace the misspelled word "Canfiled" with "Canfield."</li> <li>On Sheet 5-D, please revise the misspelled word, "Contractor" to "Contractor." (New Issue)</li> </ol>
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	<p>These conditions should be listed on the plans as "Transportation Development Conditions," and these will be conditions of the permit:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The applicant shall obtain a "Public Right-of-Way Permit for Traffic Control" permit prior to any work within the public right-of-way, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>The applicant shall protect any City property removed as part of the project and return said property, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>The applicant shall provide a Public Improvement Plan including a Traffic Control Plan, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</li> </ol>
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	<p>(continued from above):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The applicant shall provide and maintain a telephone contact number available 24 hours/7 days a week clearly posted on the pole at eye level to allow City staff to immediately contact the system provider, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>The applicant shall install and maintain a separate power meter for the project, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</li> </ol>
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	<p>(continued from above):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The applicant shall inform the City and the City agrees to inform the applicant in the event of a knock over, satisfactory to the City Engineer.</li> <li>A foundation analysis shall be provided, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</li> </ol>





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	<p>Potential Condition:</p> <p>If the project requires the installation of a new street light standard (which is indicated on Sheet 2-D as a possibility), the project shall be subject to the following conditions, and these conditions should also be included on the plans:</p> <p>8. The material of the proposed street light standard shall match the appropriate material shown in City of San Diego Standard Drawing SDE-101 or the existing street light standard that is to be replaced, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	<p>(continued from above):</p> <p>9. If the luminaire mounting height or length of mast arm of the proposed street light standard does not match City of San Diego Standard Drawing SDE-101 or the existing street light standard that is to be replaced, a lighting study and a Deviation From Standards Form shall be provided during the Public Improvement Plan Process. If a lighting study is not required, the lamp type and wattage shall comply with The City of San Diego Street Design Manual, satisfactory to the City Engineer. (New Issue)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	<p>Additional Comments and Conditions (information only):</p> <p>Additional comments and conditions may be provided pending further review or redesign of this project. Please feel free to contact Victoria Huffman for any Transportation related issues.</p> <p>Phone: 619.446.5396 Email: <a href="mailto:vhuffman@sandiego.gov">vhuffman@sandiego.gov</a> (New Issue)</p>





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Planning Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Lynch-Ashcraft, Karen (619) 446-5351	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.50	<b>Started:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/05/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/05/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/05/2009 from 08/04/2009 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 6 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 103 reviews, 72.8% were on-time, and 41.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The project site is located in the RS-1-14 zone. WCF's in the ROW adjacent to residential uses require a Neighborhood Use Permit Process 2. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The maximum height allowed is 35 feet. The proposed antennas are illustrated at approximately 24 1/2 feet. Antennas are to be vertically mounted directly to the pole in order to avoid creating additional bulk or visual impacts. Relocate the antennas to below the light source. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Antennas mounted to the pole may not exceed 6 inches in distance from the pole. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Antennas and equipment must be painted to match the color of the pole. Provide a note on the plans indicating the color. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	The submittal requirements include providing a detailed site justification package. As required by Information Bulletin 536, D1 and D2, please provide a detailed site justification report and a site justification map demonstrating why this location was selected. A zoning base map was provided, but it did not contain the required information. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Pre-authorization from the Real Estate Assets Department (Carol Young) is required via a READ application. Please submit the form to Carol Young as soon as possible. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Environmental	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Szymanski, Jeffrey (619) 446-5324	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 3.00	<b>Started:</b> 08/03/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/04/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/03/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 93 reviews, 69.9% were on-time, and 41.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## CEQA Exemption

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed activity is exempt from CEQA pursuant to Sections 15301(b) (Existing facilities) and 15303(d) (conversion of small structures) of the State CEQA Guidelines. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Landscaping	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Started:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Conditions	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/04/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/08/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Conditions.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: Conditions.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 57 reviews, 82.5% were on-time, and 36.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	All areas of bare or disturbed soil impacted as part of this project shall be revegetated per the Landscape Regulations. Any landscape material damaged as part of this project shall be replaced in-kind within 30-days. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Engineering Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Torres, Sean (619) 446-5305	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 3.00	<b>Started:</b> 07/16/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/04/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/21/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 80 reviews, 88.8% were on-time, and 30.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 1st Review

### Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed telecommunications facilities have already been installed, as of 7/17/2009. Please remove any references to the proposed construction/installation of the telecommunication facilities and revise to indicate that the facilities are "Existing" for all plan sheets. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	All General Notes, Work to Be Done, Erosion & Sediment Control Notes, Trench Detail Notes, and Special Notes which detail the construction should be removed. Scope of Work should be an application for a Neighborhood Use Permit. (New Issue)

### Comments/Corrections

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Revise all plan sheets as follows:  The engineer of work must sign, stamp, and date all plan sheets. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please indicate the date of installation. (New Issue)

## Permit Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Prior to recordation of the Neighborhood Use Permit, the Owner/Permittee shall obtain a Nonexclusive Right-of-Way Use Agreement from the City of San Diego for the telecommunication facilities within the Escala Drive and Fernando Way rights-of-way. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Community Planning Group	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Lynch-Ashcraft, Karen (619) 446-5351	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.15	<b>Started:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/04/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/08/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 59 reviews, 52.5% were on-time, and 40.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## First Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please contact Ellen Willis of the Rancho Bernardo Community Planning Board at (858) 451-6288 to schedule your project for the next available planning group meeting. Please ensure that the recommendation is forwarded to my attention as soon as it is available. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> ITC-Communications	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Lynch-Ashcraft, Karen (619) 446-5351	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.15	<b>Started:</b> 07/20/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/04/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 07/20/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for ITC-Communications on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month ITC-Communications performed 8 reviews, 87.5% were on-time, and 75.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Prior to final inspection, the appropriate signage must be provided and placed on the pole per CAL-OSHA requirements. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 05/11/2009	Deemed Complete on 07/07/2009
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> General Services - Roadways	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/07/2009	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Lynch-Ashcraft, Karen (619) 446-5351	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/08/2009	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.00	<b>Started:</b> 08/05/2009	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/05/2009	
	<b>Completed:</b> 08/05/2009	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/05/2009	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/05/2009 from 08/04/2009 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for General Services - Roadways on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month General Services - Roadways performed 1 reviews, 100.0% were on-time, and 100.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## No Comments

	<u>Issue</u>
<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u> <u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 No comments at this time. (New Issue)



# Submittal Requirements



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

8/5/09 2:05 pm

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 180538      **Title:** NEXTG ESCALA & FERNANDO  
**Project Mgr:** Lynch-Ashcraft, Karen      (619)446-5351      klynchash@sandiego.gov



## Review Cycle Information

**Review Cycle:** 5 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

**Opened:** 08/05/2009 1:55 pm

**Submitted:**

**Due:**

**Closed:**

## Required Documents:

<u>Package Type</u>	<u>Pkg Qty</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Qty Needed</u>
Development Plans	4	Applicant Response to Issues	4
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telcom Site Justification Letter	1
Development Plans	4	Site Development Plans	4
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telcom Coverage Map	1
Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	1	Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	1
Telcom Technical Analysis	1	Telecom Adjacent Land Use Map	1





# Invoice

8/10/09 11:45 am

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-007

Invoice Number: **308499**



Status: Invoiced

Issued: 08/10/2009 11:46 am Lynch-Ashcraft, Kar

Voided:

Customer: Gegan, Scott

Development: 128034 WCF's

Project: **180538** NEXTG ESCALA & FERNAND( PM: Lynch-Ashcraft, Kare (619)446-5351



Project Fees:

<u>Fee Description</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fee Amount</u>
Deposit Account	3,500.00	Dollars	\$3,500.00
Deposit Account	2,000.00	Dollars	\$-2,000.00
<b>Approval Total:</b>			<b>\$1,500.00</b>
<b>Job Total:</b>			<b>\$1,500.00</b>
<b>Project Total:</b>			<b>\$1,500.00</b>
<b>Invoice Total:</b>			<b>\$1,500.00</b>



