Existing Pedestrian Conditions

The Estrada Land Planning team conducted numerous site visits and photographic surveys to determine the condition of the existing streets and adjacent sidewalks. The overall study area was divided into three focus areas. These focus areas were determined by the degree of use and relative importance to the overall community. Within each focus area, numerous elements were evaluated. This analysis is summarized in Figure 2-15 through Figure 2-20. These included evaluating sidewalk conditions as follows:

CONCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB & GUTTER



Street condition evaluation included the following:

STREET

- S1 ACCEPTABLE STREET PAVING
- S2 ADEQUATE STREET PAVING (MINOR CRACKS, NEEDS CLEANING)
- S3 STREET RECOMMENDED FOR TRAFFIC CALMING
- S4 ALLEY / ACCESS DRIVEWAY
- S# w WIDE STREET (STREET WIDTH WIDER THAN REQUIRED)
- S# n NARROW STREET (STREET WIDTH NARROWER THAN REQUIRED)

Parking analysis included:



Crosswalk and pedestrian analysis included the following:

CROSSWALK - PEDESTRIAN RAMP



NO CROSSWALK

CROSSWALK WITH PEDESTRIAN RAMP

CROSSWALK WITHOUT PEDESTRIAN RAMP

PEDESTRIAN RAMP (MEETS CODE)

PEDESTRIAN RAMP (DOES NOT MEET CODE)

In addition, utility analysis indicated the following:

UTILITIES



OBSTRUCTS SIDEWALK/PEDESTRIAN FLOW -POSSIBLE TO RELOCATE



OBSTRUCTS SIDEWALK/PEDESTRIAN FLOW -UN-REASONABLE / UN-FEASIBLE TO RELOCATE



Figure 2-15 Focus Area 1 Key Map



STING TROLLEY STATION
ESTRIAN CIRCULATION
NCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB & GUTTER
CEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB AND GUTTER
CEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK / UNACCEPTABLE RB AND GUTTER
ACCEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB AND GUTTER
CCEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK /
SIDEWALK / NO CURB AND GUTTER
E CONCRETE SIDEWALK (MORE THAN 5' WIDE)
ROW CONCRETE SIDEWALK (LESS THAN 4' WIDE)
REET
EPTABLE STREET PAVING
QUATE STREET PAVING (MINOR CRACKS, DS CLEANING)
EET RECOMMENDED FOR TRAFFIC MING
EY / ACCESS DRIVEWAY
STREET (STREET WIDTH WIDER THAN REQUIRED)
ROW STREET (STREET WIDTH NARROWER THAN UIRED)
RKING
ALLEL ON STREET PARKING
GONAL ON STREET PARKING
STREET PARKING WITH TIME TRICTION
OSSWALK - PEDESTRIAN RAMP
CROSSWALK
SSWALK WITH PEDESTRIAN RAMP
SSWALK WITHOUT PEDESTRIAN RAMP
ESTRIAN RAMP (MEETS CODE)
ESTRIAN RAMP (DOES NOT MEET CODE)
ILITIES
STRUCTS SIDEWALK/PEDESTRIAN FLOW -
SIBLE TO RELOCATE TRUCTS SIDEWALK/PEDESTRIAN FLOW -
REASONABLE / UN-FEASIBLE TO RELOCATE
Estrada
Land Planning Unter Design Landscape Architecture Computer Imagi
CiBbrik Building 755 Broodway Londe, Suite 300 Son Glego, California 92101 19 229-013 for 19 229-013 for
ESTRADA 019 230-0136 for EstrotolExtradeLondPlan.com

Typical Focus Area 1 Photographs













San Ysidro Mobility Strategy January 2009



Figure 2-17 Focus Area 2 Key Map



Typical Focus Area 2 Photographs





Figure 2-19 Focus Area 3 Key Map



ISTING TROLLEY STATION	
DESTRIAN CIRCULATION	
ONCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB & GUTTER	C
CEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB AND GUTTER	
RB AND GUTTER	
ACCEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK / CURB AND GUTTER	
ACCEPTABLE CONCRETE SIDEWALK / CCEPTABLE CURB AND GUTTER	
SIDEWALK / NO CURB AND GUTTER	
DE CONCRETE SIDEWALK (MORE THAN 5' WIDE)	
RROW CONCRETE SIDEWALK (LESS THAN 4' WIDE)	
TREET	
CCEPTABLE STREET PAVING	
DEQUATE STREET PAVING (MINOR CRACKS, EDS CLEANING)	
REET RECOMMENDED FOR TRAFFIC	
LEY/ACCESS DRIVEWAY	
DE STREET (STREET WIDTH WIDER THAN REQUIRED)	
RROW STREET (STREET WIDTH NARROWER THAN EQUIRED)	
ARKING	
RALLEL ON STREET PARKING	
AGONAL ON STREET PARKING	
N STREET PARKING WITH TIME STRICTION	
ROSSWALK - PEDESTRIAN RAMP	
OCROSSWALK	
ROSSWALK WITH PEDESTRIAN RAMP	
ROSSWALK WITHOUT PEDESTRIAN RAMP	
DESTRIAN RAMP (MEETS CODE)	
DESTRIAN RAMP (DOES NOT MEET CODE)	
TILITIES	
STRUCTS SIDEWALK/PEDESTRIAN FLOW -	
DSSIBLE TO RELOCATE BSTRUCTS SIDEWALK/PEDESTRIAN FLOW -	
-REASONABLE / UN-FEASIBLE TO RELOCATE	
Estrada	
Land Planning Urban Design Landscope Architecture Computer In	noping
OtBank Building 755 Broadway Cate, Suite 300 San Uleg, California 92101	
CBBerk Bulling 765 Booker (Artin, Sule: 300 Son Beng, California 2010) 101 232-0143 pri Extracted Extraction of Function	

Typical Focus Area 3 Photographs













Pedestrian Volumes

A Pedestrian Priority Model (PPM) was prepared by Alta Planning Design for the San Ysidro Community. The PPM model was developed to determine the most probable areas within the community where pedestrians are likely to be. The PPM model is developed taking into account pedestrian attractors, pedestrian generators and pedestrian detractors within the community. A more detailed explanation of the methodology used to generate the model can be found in Chapter 5 of the San Diego Pedestrian Master Plan, dated December 2006.

Figure 2-21 indicates the PPM model results for the San Ysidro Community. As shown in the figure, the following are the areas where pedestrians are more likely to be found:

- The central area of the community surrounded by Sunset Lane to the north, Cottonwood Road to the west, West San Ysidro Boulevard to the south and Averil Road to the east.
- Along West San Ysidro Boulevard between Averil Drive and Interstate 805.
- Along Beyer Boulevard between Smythe Avenue and East Beyer Boulevard.
- At the intersection of East San Ysidro Boulevard and Camino de la Plaza.

Pedestrian counts were obtained at all study intersections during both peak periods. **Figure 2-22** shows a summary of the pedestrian volumes counted during the two hours in both peak periods. As shown in the figure, the number of pedestrians at the intersections generally increased with the proximity to the border crossing with approximately 1,100 pedestrians at the East San Ysidro Boulevard/I-5 NB ramps intersection.





San Ysidro Mobility Strategy January 2009

Parking

An on-street parking inventory was provided at key areas within the community. These areas were selected with collaboration from the City of San Diego and they represent the zones where parking changes would occur due to roadway segment improvements. These areas also represent a mixture of retail/commercial and residential uses. These areas included Beyer Boulevard, the Park Avenue couplet, East San Ysidro Boulevard, and Border Village Road. The available parking was calculated by dividing the existing available curb length along these segments by 25 feet (the assumed length needed by a vehicle to park along the side of the roadway). **Table 2-5** summarizes the available on-street parking in each of these areas. As shown in the table, a total of 309 parking spaces is provided along both sides of Beyer Boulevard. Most of the parking spaces along Bever Boulevard serve the residential uses on the north side of the street. Along both East and West Park Avenue, there are a total of 69 parking spaces, which also serve the existing single family residential units on both sides of the street. Along East San Ysidro Boulevard, there are 95 available spaces, while along Border Village Road, there are 124 available parking spaces. The parking spaces along East San Ysidro Boulevard and Border Village Road serve commercial land uses.

The on-street parking demand data collection was conducted on Thursday, January 15, 2009. Two time periods were selected for data collection to capture a snapshot of the on-street parking demand along the key areas within the San Ysidro Community. The first time period selected was between 12:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m., and captured the peak retail/commercial parking demand. The second period selected was between 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. and captured the peak residential and retail/commercial parking demand as most people would be done with work.

Table 2-5 summarizes the results of the on-street parking demand survey. As shown in the tables, along Beyer Boulevard and Border Village Road, 30 percent or less of the available parking spaces are occupied during the peak demand times. The area surrounding Park Avenue has a parking demand rate of 85 percent during the mid-day peak period. The higher parking demand along Park Avenue is produced by the overflow of retail/commercial parking demand from West San Ysidro Boulevard and by the residential uses surrounding the area. The East San Ysidro Boulevard area experiences a parking demand of 60 percent during the afternoon peak-period. The afternoon peak periods represent the busier time for the retail/commercial uses along East San Ysidro Boulevard.

It should be noted that the parking spaces along Border Village Road are restricted to a two hour maximum between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m.

	EXISTING A	Table 2 AVAILABLE PARKIN	-	UDY AREAS	
Econo Anno	Existing Parking	Mid-day (12:00	Parking (o.m. to 1:00 p.m.)	Occupancy Afternoon (6:00	p.m. to 7:00 p.m.)
Focus Area	Inventory	# of Parked Vehicles	# of Parked Vehicles % of Occupancy		% of Occupancy
Beyer Blvd	309	69	22.3%	95	30.7%
Park Ave	69	59	85.5%	53	76.8%
E. San Ysidro Blvd	95	36	37.9%	57	60.0%
Border Village Rd	124	20	16.1%	20	16.1%
Note: Available parking spaces were ca K:\TPTO\095661000\Excel\[661000PK02.xk		le curb length assuming a vehic	ular length of 25 feet.		

Accident Analysis

Tables 2-6 and 2-7 display the summary of accident data information obtained from the City of San Diego for the roadway segments analyzed in the San Ysidro area. The reports provide accident data from April 2005 until April 2008, indicating a total of 375 accidents. **Table 2-6** summarizes accident collision rates and compares them to the city-wide average collision rates for each location, based on the ADT and classification of the roadway segments. It should be noted that the accident rates are expressed in terms of accidents per million vehicle miles. These rates are based on statewide averages using state classifications as indicated on **Table 2-6**. **Table 2-7** summarizes the type of collisions while **Table 2-8** summarizes the cause of collisions.

As shown in **Table 2-6**, the collision rates in the San Ysidro area are above the citywide average collision rates except at the following places:

- Border Village Road (E. San Ysidro Boulevard to E. San Ysidro Boulevard)
- East Park Avenue (Seaward Drive to San Ysidro Boulevard)

As shown in **Table 2-7**, the most common collisions are broadside collisions and vehicles hitting objects, which resulted in 30 percent and 29 percent of the reported accidents, respectively. Other frequent collision types include rear end collisions representing 16 percent of the total number and sideswipe collisions representing 11 percent of the total number. It should be noted that 12 percent of collisions involved pedestrians.

Based on the data shown in **Table 2-8**, the highest reported causes of accidents are improper driver movements. Improper turns represent 31 percent of the reported accidents and improper driving represents 29 percent of the reported accidents. Together, 50 percent of the accidents were improper driver movements.

Appendix D contains types of collisions and collision factor data provided by the City.

Intersection Analysis

Table 2-9 displays the LOS analysis results for the study intersections under Existing Conditions. As shown in the table, all intersections would operate at LOS D or better during both peak periods, except for the following intersections:

- I-5 NB ramps & Via de San Ysidro (LOS F, p.m. peak)
- Camino de la Plaza & Willow Road (LOS E, a.m. and p.m. peaks)

Figure 2-23 graphically displays the LOS at the study intersections. **Appendix E** contains the LOS calculation worksheets.

Table 2-6

TRAFFIC COLLISION RATE COMPARISON

ROADWAY SEGMENT (BY CLASSIFICATION)	TOTAL NUMBER OF COLLISIONS	SEGMENT COLLISION RATE	CITY-WIDE COLLISION RATE			
COLLECTOR MAJOR						
Smythe Ave (SR-905 to Beyer Blvd)	14	0.99	0.65			
Via de San Ysidro (San Ysidro Blvd to south end)	30	15.74	0.65			
W San Ysidro Blvd (E San Ysidro Blvd to end)	67	2.16	0.65			
Calle Primera (Via Tercero to Willow Road)	11	1.52	0.65			
E San Ysidro Blvd (W San Ysidro Blvd to end)	44	2.76	0.65			
COLLECTOR MINOR						
East Beyer Blvd (Beyer Blvd to San Ysidro Blvd)	16	4.50	0.95			
Dairy Mart Rd (SR-905 to Monument Road)	55	3.14	0.95			
Willow Rd (Calle Primera to Camino de la Plaza)	22	2.95	0.95			
Beyer Blvd (SR-905 to E. Beyer Blvd)	33	2.21	0.95			
Camino de la Plaza (Dairy Mart Rd to E. San Ysidro Blvd)	61	1.85	0.95			
Border Village Rd (E. San Ysidro Blvd to E. San Ysidro Blvd)	3	0.81	0.95			
FEDERAL AID						
East Park Ave (Seaward to San Ysidro Blvd)	1	1.29	1.29			
West Park Ave (Beyer Blvd to San Ysidro Blvd)	7	10.41	1.29			
Otay Mesa Rd (SR-905 to Beyer Blvd)	11	5.65	1.29			
TOTAL	375		_			
Notes: The accident data was provided and compiled from the City of San Diego for April 2005 The rates are measured in per million vehicle miles and the statewide averages are based	÷ .	ndicated.				

K:\TPTO\095661000\Excel\[661000AC01.xls]Collision Rate Comparison

		Ta TYPE O	Table 2-7 TYPE OF COLLISIONS	s					
ROADWAY	TOTAL NUMBER	HEAD ON	SIDESWIPE	REAR END	BROADSIDE	BROADSIDE HIT OBJECT	OVERTURN	INVOLVED PEDESTRIAN	OTHER
Dairy Mart Rd (SR-905 to Monument Road)	55	_	7	6	22	14	_	_	0
Via de San Ysidro (San Ysidro Blvd to south end)	30	0	6	2	П	5	0	9	0
East Beyer Blvd (Beyer Blvd to San Ysidro Blvd)	16	0	2	3	3	2	0	9	0
Camino de la Plaza (Dairy Mart Rd to E. San Ysidro Blvd)	61	3	9	14	13	15	1	8	-
Willow Rd (Calle Primera to Camino de la Plaza)	22	0	1	4	3	10	0	3	1
Calle Primera (Via Tercero to Willow Road)	11	0	2	0	5	4	0	0	0
E San Ysidro Blvd (W San Ysidro Blvd to end)	44	0	6	7	6	6	1	6	0
W San Ysidro Blvd (E San Ysidro Blvd to end)	67	1	9	10	23	21	0	9	0
Beyer Blvd (SR-905 to E. Beyer Blvd)	33	0	0	9	11	12	1	3	0
Otay Mesa Rd (SR-905 to Beyer Blvd)	11	0	1	4	3	3	0	0	0
Smythe Ave (SR-905 to Beyer Blvd)	14	I	0	I	5	9	0	1	0
East Park Ave (Seaward to San Ysidro Blvd)	1	0	0	I	0	0	0	0	0
West Park Ave (Beyer Blvd to San Ysidro Blvd)	7	0	0	0	2	4	0	1	0
Border Village Rd (E. San Ysidro Blvd to E. San Ysidro Blvd)	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
TOTAL	375	9	40	19	III	107	4	44	2
PERCI	PERCENT OF TOTAL	2%	11%	16%	30%	29%	1%	12%	1%
Notes: The accident data was provided and compiled from the City of San Diego for April 2005 through April 2008 The rates are measured in per million vehicle miles.	5 through April 2008.								
KiTPTO0956610000Exed1(661000AC01.xlsJType of Califsion									

San Ysidro Mobility Strategy January 2009

		Tab	Table 2-8 collision FACTORS	~					
ROADWAY	TOTAL NUMBER	FOLLOW TOO CLOSE	FAILURE TO YIELD	IMPROPER TURN	SPEEDING	OTHER	IMPROPER DRIVING	OTHER THAN DRIVER	UNKNOWN
Dairy Mart Rd (SR-905 to Monument Road)	55	2	5	14	11	4	18	0	1
Via de San Ysidro (San Ysidro Blvd to south end)	30	0	5	6	5	2	8	-	0
East Beyer Blvd (Beyer Blvd to San Ysidro Blvd)	16	0	-	3	-	-	5	5	0
Camino de la Plaza (Dairy Mart Rd to E. San Ysidro Blvd)	61	3	г	18	12	5	19	3	0
Willow Rd (Calle Primera to Camino de la Plaza)	22	0	1	9	9	1	9	2	0
Calle Primera (Via Tercero to Willow Road)	=	0	2	5	0	2	2	0	0
E San Ysidro Blvd (W San Ysidro Blvd to end)	44	1	1	17	6	2	13	4	0
W San Ysidro Blvd (E San Ysidro Blvd to end)	67	3	8	23	10	3	19	1	0
Beyer Blvd (SR-905 to E. Beyer Blvd)	33	I	1	11	9	1	10	2	1
Otay Mesa Rd (SR-905 to Beyer Blvd)	11	0	1	2	5	2	1	0	0
Smythe Ave (SR-905 to Beyer Blvd)	14	I	0	3	4	1	3	2	0
East Park Ave (Seaward to San Ysidro Blvd)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
West Park Ave (Beyer Blvd to San Ysidro Blvd)	7	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0
Border Village Rd (E. San Ysidro Blvd to E. San Ysidro Blvd)	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
TOTAL	375	II	26	117	67	24	107	21	2
PERCI	PERCENT OF TOTAL	3%	7%	31%	18%	6%	29%	6%	1%
Notes: The accident data was provided and compiled from the City of San Diego for April 2005 through April 2008 The rates are measured in per million vehicle miles.	hrough April 2008.								
K.\TPT0/095661000Excelt[661000AC01.xb]Collision Factors									

San Ysidro Mobility Strategy January 2009

Table 2-9 EXISTING CONDITIONS PEAK-HOUR INTERSECTION LOS SUMMARY

			EXISTING	
	INTERSECTION	PEAK-HOUR	DELAY (a)	LOS (b)
1	I-5 SB Ramps & Dairy Mart Rd	AM	22.7	С
Ţ	1-5 SB Ramps & Dairy Mart Ru	PM	32.5	С
2	W. San Ysidro Blvd & Dairy Mart Rd	AM	17.5	В
4	W. San Tsidio Bivu & Dairy Mart Ru	PM	25.7	С
3	W. San Ysidro Blvd & I-5 NB Ramps	AM	15.4	В
3	w. San Tsidio Bivu & 1-5 NB Ramps	PM	12.7	В
4	Beyer Blvd & Smyth Ave	AM	17.8	В
4	beyer bive a binyur Ave	PM	11.2	В
5	W. San Ysidro Blvd & Cottonwood Rd	AM	6.3	A
2	w. San Tsidio Bivu & Couonwood Ku	PM	5.8	A
6	W. San Ysidro Blvd & Via de San Ysidro	AM	10.3	В
0	w. San Tsicro Bive & via de San Tsicro	PM	16.7	В
7	I-5 NB Ramps & Via de San Ysidro	AM	16.6	С
1	1-5 NB Ramps & Via de San Tsidio	PM	91.7	F
8	I-5 SB off-ramp & Via de San Ysidro	AM	22.0	С
0	1-5 SB off-famp & Via de San Tsidio	PM	40.0	D
9	Calle Primera & Via de San Ysidro	AM	50.7	D
9	Cane Filmera & Via de San Tsidio	PM	43.0	D
10	E. San Ysidro Blvd & I-805 SB Ramps	AM	11.2	В
10	E. San Tsidio Bivu & 1-805 SB Ramps	PM	15.0	В
11	E. San Ysidro Blvd & I-805 NB Ramps	AM	9.6	А
11	E. San Tsicro Bive & 1-605 IVB Kamps	PM	14.2	В
12	E. San Ysidro Blvd & Border Village Rd (N)	AM	6.5	A
12	E. San Tsicro Bive & Border Village Ru (IV)	PM	16.7	В
13	E. San Ysidro Blvd & Border Village Rd (S)	AM	10.8	В
15	E. Sait Tsidio Bivu & Bolder village Ru (3)	PM	15.5	В
14	E. Son Voidro Dlud & E. Davar Dlud	AM	14.1	В
4	E. San Ysidro Blvd & E. Beyer Blvd	PM	21.3	С
15	E. San Ysidro Blvd & I-5 NB Ramp	AM	12.5	В
15	E. San Isidio Biva & 1-3 NB Kamp	PM	10.1	В
16	Camino de la Plaza & Willow Rd	AM	57.7	Е
16	Califino de la Plaza & willow Kd	PM	59.2	Е

Notes:

Bold values indicate intersections operating at LOS E or F.

(a) Delay refers to the average control delay for the entire intersection, measured in seconds per vehicle. At a two-way stop-controlled intersection, delay refers to the worst movement.

(b) LOS calculations are based on the methodology outlined in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual and performed using Synchro 6.0.

K.\TPTO4095661000\Excel\[661000EN01.xls]Existing



Roadway Segment Analysis

Table 2-10 displays the roadway segments analysis under Existing Conditions. As shown in the table, all roadway segments function at LOS D or better except for the following segments:

- San Ysidro Boulevard between Dairy Mart Road and Cottonwood Road (LOS E)
- San Ysidro Boulevard between Cottonwood Road and Via de San Ysidro (LOS F)
- San Ysidro Boulevard between I-805 ramps and Border Village Road (South) (LOS E)
- Willow Road between Calle Primera and Camino de la Plaza (LOS F)
- Border Village Road (LOS E)

Figure 2-24 graphically displays the LOS at the roadway segments.

EXISTING CONDITIONS DWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY				
ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION (a)	LOS E CAPACITY	ADT (b)	V/C RATIO (c)	LOS
(,)	0.11.10111		101110 (0)	200
4-Lane Collector	30,000	11,246	0.375	В
2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane)	15,000	14,301	0.953	Е
2-Lane Collector (Multi-family)	8,000	14,756	1.845	F
4-Lane Major Arterial	40,000	20,645	0.516	В
2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane)	15,000	13,348	0.890	Е
4-Lane Major Arterial	40,000	13,060	0.327	А
1-Lane Collector (one-way)	5,000	1,522	0.304	А
1-Lane Collector (one-way)	5,000	2,172	0.434	В
-				
4-Lane Collector (no center lane)	15,000	8,900	0.593	С
4-Lane Collector	30,000	10,046	0.335	В
2-Lane Collector (Multi-family)	8,000	3,009	0.376	В
_ _				
4-Lane Collector	30,000	15,191	0.506	С
4-Lane Collector	30,000	4,902	0.163	А
4-Lane Collector	30,000	19,962	0.665	С
-1				
2-Lane Collector (Multi-family)	8,000	10,846	1.356	F
2-Lane Collector (Multi-family)	8,000	7,527	0.941	E
	IDWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION (a) 4-Lane Collector 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 4-Lane Major Arterial 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 4-Lane Major Arterial 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 4-Lane Collector (no center lane) 4-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 4-Lane Collector 4-Lane Collector 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family)	IDWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION (a) LOS E CAPACITY 4-Lane Collector 30,000 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 4-Lane Major Arterial 40,000 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 5,000 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 5,000 1-Lane Collector (no center lane) 15,000 4-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 4-Lane Collector 30,000 4-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 8,000	ADWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION (a) LOS E CAPACITY ADT (b) 4-Lane Collector 30,000 11,246 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 14,301 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 14,756 4-Lane Major Arterial 40,000 20,645 2 1-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 13,348 4-Lane Major Arterial 40,000 13,060 1 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 5,000 1,522 1 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 5,000 2,172 4 1-Lane Collector (no center lane) 15,000 8,900 4 4-Lane Collector (mo center lane) 15,000 8,900 2 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 15,191 4 4-Lane Collector 30,000 15,191 4 4-Lane Collector 30,000 19,962 4 4-Lane Collector 30,000 19,962 4 2-Lane Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 10,846 <td>LOS SUMMARY ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION (a) LOS E CAPACITY ADT (b) RATIO (c) 4-Lane Collector 30,000 11,246 0.375 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 14,301 0.953 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 14,756 1.845 4-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 13,348 0.890 4-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 13,348 0.890 4-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 1,522 0.304 1 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 5,000 2,172 0.434 4 1-Lane Collector (no center lane) 15,000 8,900 0.593 4-Lane Collector (mo center lane) 15,000 8,900 0.376 4 Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 10,046 0.335 4 Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 15,191 0.506 4 Collector 30,000 19,962 0.665 4 Collector 30,000</td>	LOS SUMMARY ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION (a) LOS E CAPACITY ADT (b) RATIO (c) 4-Lane Collector 30,000 11,246 0.375 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 14,301 0.953 2-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 14,756 1.845 4-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 13,348 0.890 4-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 13,348 0.890 4-Lane Collector (continuous left-turn lane) 15,000 1,522 0.304 1 1-Lane Collector (one-way) 5,000 2,172 0.434 4 1-Lane Collector (no center lane) 15,000 8,900 0.593 4-Lane Collector (mo center lane) 15,000 8,900 0.376 4 Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 10,046 0.335 4 Collector (Multi-family) 8,000 15,191 0.506 4 Collector 30,000 19,962 0.665 4 Collector 30,000

(b) Average Daily Traffic GADT volumes for the roadway segments were provided by National Data & Surveying Services and measured in May and June 2007.
 (c) The v/c ratio is calculated by dividing the ADT volume by each respective roadway segment's capacity at LOS E.

