

UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP
University Town Center – Forum Hall
Executive Committee Monthly Meeting – Tuesday, July 8, 2014
Minutes

Directors present: Janay Kruger (JK) (Chair), Meagan Beale (MB) (Vice-Chair), Andrew Wiese (AW), Nancy Groves (NG), John Bassler (JB), Nan Madden (NM), (PW), George Lattimer (GL), Donna Andonian (DA), Jason Moorhead (JM), Kyle Heiskala (KH), Bruce Rainey (BR), Kristin Camper (KC), Anu Delouri (AD) and, Pat Wilson (PW), Kris Kopensky (KK), Sam Greening (SG), Ash Nasser (AN).

Directors absent: Petr Krysl (PK), Caryl Lees Witte (CW), Lynne Guidoboni (LG), Ryan Perry (RP), Dan Monroe (DM).

- 1. Call Meeting to Order** – Janay Kruger, Chair, at 5:58
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance followed by Moment of Silence**
- 3. Agenda: Call for additions/deletions: Adoption**
 - Motion:** Motion to approve as presented by GL and was seconded.
 - Vote: Unanimous, motion passed.**
- 4. Approval of Minutes – June 2014**
 - Motion:** Motion to approve by DA and seconded by GL. Pat Wilson, Sam Greening and Kris Kopensky abstaining.
 - Vote: Unanimous, motion passed.**
- 5. Announcements Chair Letters/Meetings**
 - a. Changing posted speed limit near La Jolla Village Drive.
 - b. El Torritos - July 21st notice must be received.
 - c. NO MEETING IN AUGUST, 2014.
- 6. SDPD –**
 - a. Introduction and update on community.
 - b. Encouraged to close and lock windows.
 - c. August 5th – National Night Out and potluck to share and get to know one another.
- 7. Updates:**
 - a. **UCSD** – Anu Delouri
 - a. Described Outpatient Pavilion – to support outpatient services.
 - (a) Will send notice to JK – scoping phase to get input.
 - (b) Displaced parking will be accommodated in new parking structure in separate project – east side of Shiley and Cancer Center.
 - b. Information relayed regarding UCSD campus tour and asked for confirmations of who will be attending.
 - b. **Membership Report** – John Bassler
 - a. Reminder to sign in.
 - c. **Councilperson Sherri Lightner Office** – Mel Millstein
 - a. Reminder that oversize vehicle enforcement going into effect mid-August.
 - b. End of July paving should be done.
 - d. **Mayor Faulkner’s office.** Francis Barraza (Director of Appointments)
 - a. General update.
 - e. **Supervisor Dave Roberts** – Wesley Moore
 - f. **State Senator Marty Block** – Alison Don
 - a. Update on State Government.
 - b. July recess – legislature in home districts.
 - c. Budget passed.
 - d. Block has 13 bills that passed and under consideration.
 - e. Water Bond under consideration that both houses in assembly are looking at to send referendum to voters to fund water treatment projects of \$7.5 billion dollars.
 - f. Newsletters available.

- g. Question re community college offering degree. Not signed yet. Being looked at by final committee. Language now says that 15 community colleges throughout state will have opportunity to offer bachelor degrees. If local university already offering degrees will not compete.
- g. **Assemblywoman "Speaker" Toni Atkins** – Deanna Spehn
- h. **52nd District, Congressman Scott Peters** – Hugo Carmona
- i. **MCAS Miramar** – Juan Lias/K. Camper
- j. **Planning Department** – Dan Monroe
- k. **NUC Facilities Financing Amendment Update** – Megan Sheffield, Project Manager
 - a. Described proposed changes to amendment.
 - b. Instead of pushing out the threshold – allowing 4 outstanding projects to be combined into Threshold III.
 - c. Reviewed traffic studies.
 - d. Only amendment taking forward page 74 – with the exception of UCSD and approved development project.
 - e. Not changing thresholds.
 - f. Concern was expressed re financing plan and phasing plan based on traffic studies.
 - g. Opposition to this change was expressed – noted data in place regarding widening Genesee.
 - h. Comment was made that this is step one – and a positive step forward.
 - i. It was noted that Scripps, Westfield and Irvine did studies. If you take away thresholds what is the point?
 - j. Request made to take them in the proper order.
 - k. Kathy Monroe: The reason OK with this approach because traffic report reviewed and thresholds are quite old and based on outdated information. Would like to move projects forward. Looking at existing development and does not think there will be impact. If did not remove the small project would not be able to move forward. Traffic being accounted for through public hearing process. Comfortable this way rather than arbitrarily based on outdated thresholds.
 - l. Statement was made that we need to stay with procedure.
 - m. Look at project and direct impacts at community level.
 - n. It was noted that with these 4 projects do not need to widen Genesee.
 - o. Based on traffic study. 1st point: Have community confidence that traffic study is done as comprehensive and put together for traffic plan.
 - p. Comments and questions:
 - (a) What happens to traffic count for current 4 projects? Are new trips counted as zero? Exempt.
 - (b) What happens to small projects?
 - (c) Have 4 large entities that will be exempt. At some point we may find need to deny a smaller project due to rules when current four projects do not need to be part of the rules.
 - (d) Easy to change threshold if there is a traffic study.
 - (e) UCSD is never counted and is not part of the threshold.
 - (f) Need to have a better reason to change.
 - (g) Threshold did not take into account the trolley, etc.
 - (h) Noted that it would be most fair to do the traffic study.
 - (i) Threshold based around project being built. Traffic is moving away from those projects. Based around two projects that will probably never be built. Regents Road bridge and Genesee widening. Do we want to do those projects? Studies done were out of date with traffic flow change.
 - (j) Amend Community Plan to take two projects out of Community Plan.
 - (k) Noted out of time to do traffic study.
 - (l) Why is traffic study not being done?
 - (m) Update the Community Plan – then traffic study.

- (n) Request made for Megan, etc., to help us get to this place. Fear of Trojan horse and would like to see traffic study.
- (o) Documents note that there is no need.
- (p) Would like a plan without an amendment that includes projects that do not count.
- (q) Take out the widening of Genesee and Regents Road bridge.
- (r) Acknowledgements made that preference would be to have Genesee widening and Regents Road bridge taken out.
- (s) Neighborhood important. Would like Community Plan updated and not amended.
- (t) Do not have money to change plan.
- (u) If there is not money to do plan now, when will there be money?
- (v) Traffic study would need to be done based on new Community Plan. Was hoping this would benefit smaller developers so building permits are not all taken by large developers.
- (w) Discussion regarding options of cars and developments being allowed to go forward and then do traffic study.
- (x) Cars are counted for and mitigated for.
- (y) Would like both projects taken out with amendment.
- (z) We do not need to widen Genesee or build bridge.
- (aa) Comment made by representative of UCCG noting disagreement and thinks UC is overbooked and does not see how community can do without bridge. Thinks discussions should take place and suspend action proposed to develop a consensus.

8. Public Comment – Non-Agenda Items 3 minutes per speaker

- a. Tim: Came to trolley workshop and could not get to meeting at 3:00 and think meetings should be at times that are easier for working people. Otherwise input is biased towards people that do not work. Also noted Trolley workshop included discussions regarding cars. What about getting people around? Scenarios were given.
- b. Alison Barton: Working mother in Barcelona. Does not represent group but carries opinions of parents in the area that they do not see need to have Genesee widened.
- c. How often does the City check timing of lights. Intersections. Genesee & I-5, etc.
 - a. Mel: Only when complaints are received. Will respond later.

9. Information Item: North Torrey Pines Golf Course Renovation

- a. **Presenter:** Todd Schmidt – City of San Diego Park Designer
 - a. Moving forward over last year.
 - b. JT Barnam principal of design group – Phil Mickelson Design.
 - (a) Scope includes renovation to update courts and rebuild greens.
 - (b) Revising fairway bunkers, add rebuild.
 - (c) Met with community to review.
 - (d) Project process noted.
 - i. Reviewed guiding principles.
 - ii. Maintain and reduce maintenance.
 - (e) Reviewed general Development Plan, Key Elements and Opportunities noting:
 - Rebuilt areas.
 - Golf course has evolved.
 - Canyon Edge Landscape and course edge landscape.
 - Showed pictures of example.
 - Goal to have playable.
 - Showed existing condition with overlay of what is proposed.
 - Technology has evolved and needs to keep up.
 - Showed example of coastal landscape.
 - Hole 3 with existing condition and proposed landscape.

- Hole 11 example.
- Future clubhouse.
- Practice facility.
- Routing considerations. Carts in inclement weather.
- Safer Environment.
- Vision: Sustainable and enjoyable.

Question: Water change? Answer expects 20% decrease.

Question: Are you using reclaimed water now? Answer: Yes.

Discussion regarding reclaimed water use.

Question: Wedding venue and hotel not part of project? Answer: No.

Request: Use of non-invasive plants? Answer: Working on this and will be publically available.

Question: What is being done with excess water issue on south course?

Answer: Individual head control to reduce amount of water going into canyon. Discussion ensued.

Question: Will CA Coastal Commission need to approve? Answer: Yes.

Question: Will Torrey Pines trees be impacted? Answer: No.

10. Information Item: CPC \$500 Account for Expenses

a. **Presenter:** Joe LaCava – Chair CPC

a. Chair of La Jolla Planning Group.

b. Good news to share – talks to all planning groups. Was told some have problems recouping money. Received allocation of \$500 per group in the City. City said to allocate in budget and are now asking for planning groups' need. May allow doing things that did not have funds available. Described possible options as to how distributed. Shows recognition of what groups do.

11. Information Item: Esplanade – SDP Amendment – PTS 353845

a. **Presenter:** Gensler Architects

a. Continued from the May meeting to work with neighbors.

b. Nexus Drive - New building, New parking garage.

c. Previously found had challenges, received feedback and explained changes.

d. Showed site drawings.

e. Relocated parking structure to sub-terrain along Executive Drive.

f. Changed flow.

g. Changed median to flow better.

h. Looking another median cut options for future.

i. Self-contained in project and providing access.

j. Ken of Senomyx: Thanked Alexandria and team for modifying plan and mitigating issues. Appreciates resolve and is supportive. Supports Executive Drive and other median break needed to be done by City.

k. Congratulations noted for underground parking.

l. GL: Previously you talked about amenities of buildings – have they changed?
Answer: No.

b. **Motion:** Motion made to approve and seconded by NG. Jason Moorhead recused.

c. **Vote: Unanimous, motion passed.**

12. Committee Reports

a. **High Speed Rail** – Sam Greening

b. **Bicycle Safety/Paths** – Peter Krysl/Andy Wiese

c. Westfield Shopping Center Phase 2 – Andy Wiese

- a. AW: Westfield subcommittee did not meet due to information still needed by Westfield. They are hoping to come to us in September and hopefully will have answers and subcommittee will meet to review answers to be able to report during September meeting.
- b. Station Workshop.
 - (a) VA Hospital will operate shuttle carts from trolley to hospital. Discussion ensued re need. Discussion included lighting and widened sidewalk.
 - (b) Question re money and discussion ensued.
 - (c) Design discussion noting positive feedback.
 - (d) Lighting was discussed and need to keep from being over-lighted.
 - (e) Audience Recommendation: Get with MTS to get guards in place for a period of time.

d. FBA/DIF Committee - On hold for traffic report.

13. Old Business/New Business

- a. Kilroy Project, The Children’s Workshop/CUP., University Apts.

14. Adjourn – 7:32

Next Meetings:

- August, 2014 - No Meeting
- September 9, 2014
- October 14, 2014
- November 11, 2014 Veteran’s Day, may change date
- December, 2014 - No Meeting

Submitted by:

Donna Andonian, Acting Secretary
University Community Planning Group