



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Historical Resources Board

DATE ISSUED: November 10, 2009 REPORT NO. HRB-09-076

ATTENTION: Historical Resources Board
Agenda of November 20, 2009

SUBJECT: **ITEM #13 – Certified Local Government Annual Report 2009**

APPLICANT: City of San Diego, City Planning & Community Investment Department

LOCATION: Citywide

DESCRIPTION: Consider the Draft Annual Report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation to meet the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities and to the City Council to meet the Municipal Code Section 111.0206 (d)(7) requirements

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Direct staff to forward the Annual Report to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the San Diego City Council, or revise the Annual Report and forward as appropriate.

BACKGROUND

This item is being brought before the Historical Resources Board in conjunction with the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) responsibilities. The Annual Report for 2009 also satisfies the requirement for an annual report to be transmitted from the HRB to the City Council in accordance with Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7). One of the responsibilities of a CLG is to prepare an Annual Report for the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) summarizing the work of the Board during the reporting period. The report utilizes a standard format for all CLGs and requires an accounting of the Board and staff activities throughout the state's fiscal year (October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009). Because Land Development Code Section 111.0206(d)(7) does not specify the period of time covered in the annual report to the City Council, staff is utilizing the state's reporting period for the Council report, as well.



City Planning & Community Investment Department

202 C Street, MS 5A • San Diego, CA 92101-3865

Tel (619) 235-5200 Fax (619) 533-5951

ANALYSIS

The attached document is a draft of the Annual Report that has been prepared by staff. Boardmembers should provide their insight and provide comment to staff regarding any additional information and issues that would be appropriate to include in the final Report. Section 111.0206(d)(7) of the Land Development Code also requires the transmittal of an annual report to the City Council. As in the past, staff will utilize the final CLG Annual Report to satisfy all reporting requirements.

The organization of the annual CLG report corresponds directly to the five CLG requirement areas: ordinance, commission, survey, public participation, and state requirements. In addition to this information, OHP requests a summary of local preservation programs. No changes to the City's certified historical resources regulations were made during this reporting period. The City Council did approve reforms to our Mills Act program through revisions to Council Policy 700-14, dated December 15, 2008. These reforms were made to increase accountability of the program, include an annual fiscal analysis of impacts to the City's General Fund, and fees to cover staff costs to process historical designation nominations, Mills Act agreements, monitoring existing agreements, and enforcement. OHP staff reviewed these proposed changes to the program and participated in our hearing process. The City Council has directed staff to bring forward an amendment to the certified ordinance to expand the circumstances under which the Council could overturn a historical resource designation on an appeal. The proposed amendment has been reviewed by OHP. We have started the public hearing process and expect the issue to be heard by the City Council in early 2010.

HRB activity has stayed fairly steady during this reporting period compared to past years. During the current reporting period, the HRB designated 49 new individually significant properties (compared to 44 during the previous reporting period). No new historic districts were designated during this reporting period; however staff continues to work with applicants on several pending district nominations, including the Dryden Historic District, the Mission Hills District Phase II, and the Kensington Manor Unit #2 District. In addition, 59 new Mills Act contracts were completed during this period, as opposed to 129 new contracts in the last reporting period. This difference is due in large part to Mills Act requests last year associated with the Islenair, Mission Hills and Fort Stockton Line Districts and the Louis Salomon/Henry Hester Apartments; as well as the limited number of newly designated properties (October 2008 – December 2008) which were able to apply within the new application period (January 2009 – March 2009).

Over the past few years, the most critical preservation planning issue for the City had been development pressure within the City's older communities. While that pressure does remain, current economic conditions have greatly slowed redevelopment and infill projects. Presently, the most critical preservation planning issue for the City is a lack of resources and funding to carry out all aspects of our preservation planning program. With severe financial constraints facing the City and budget reductions occurring every six to 12 months, historic resources staff is continually reevaluating historic preservation priorities and the section's work program, striving to make the most of limited resources. Functions that are critical to our role and responsibility as a CLG are a top priority. Historic context statements and reconnaissance surveys associated with active Community Plan Updates remain a high priority as well, and are consuming a

considerable amount of staff time as resources for consultant contracting is limited. The section's goals and work program have been scaled back in anticipation of continued financial difficulties, and may need to be reevaluated again if additional budget cuts impact the program. In addition to these budgetary issues, another critical issue facing the historic preservation program is the public understanding of these constraints and of the historic preservation program in general. Staff continues public outreach and education efforts, such as the Annual Report brochure, attendance at planning group meetings, and workshops and seminars, in an effort to connect with and inform the public on issues related to historic preservation and the program.

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose of historic preservation. The Comptroller was authorized to appropriate funds from the Historic Preservation Fund for the local preservation programs and incentives consistent with the General Plan. The Board's Ad Hoc Incentives Subcommittee is working on preparing recommendations for expenditure of fund monies and other incentives such as transfer of development rights, use of variance and conditional use permit to support adaptive re-use of historic properties, and architectural assistance services to low and moderate income historic property owners.

The single accomplishment that has done the most to further preservation in our community this year was the Best Practices in Historic Preservation Seminar the City hosted on September 14, 2009. The Seminar focused on three critical aspects of historic preservation: historic contexts and surveys; CEQA and historical resources; and the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. Speakers included a range of preservation professionals from State and local government, as well as private practice historic preservation consultants and attorneys.

In addition to serving as the annual CLG training requirement for City staff and Historical Resources Boardmembers, the City highly encouraged participation in the Seminar on the part of preservation consultants and professionals, historic preservation advocates, historic property owners, interested members of the public, and staff and board members from other CLG's and local governments, as well as planners seeking AICP continuing education credits. Information was provided on the City's website, at Board hearings and through email distributions and CLG Listserv postings. The Seminar was very well attended, with nearly 200 participants. Feedback provided to the City has been overwhelmingly positive. The range of topics covered was intended to benefit varying levels of experience and expertise, providing useful information for all in attendance. The City is very gratified to have been able to reach out and provide this historic preservation education opportunity to so many members of the community working with, living in, or otherwise interested in historic resources; and we look forward to providing additional opportunities in the future as resources are available.

The following historic preservation goals have been identified for the 2010 reporting period:


1. Revise the City's Historic District Policy to remove confusing and conflicting language, reduce the number of district types, align district significance with the adopted

designation criteria, and provide better guidance to the HRB, staff and the public regarding the processing and designation of historic districts.

2. Complete the ordinance revisions proposed for designation appeals process.
3. Complete the pending Dryden North Park historic district submitted by the local neighborhood history group.
4. Begin reconnaissance survey work associated with Community Plan Updates in Uptown, North Park, Greater Golden Hill, Midway, Old Town, San Ysidro, and Skyline/Paradise Hills.
5. Develop and bring forward additional incentives for historic preservation through the work of the Incentives Ad-Hoc Subcommittee and staff, including a Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program and variances for deviations from base zone regulations to facilitate preservation of historic resources.
6. Develop and obtain City Council Approval of a programmatic approach to the expenditure of monies from the City's Historic Preservation Fund for use and activities which foster, promote and incentivize historic preservation.
7. Begin development of City-wide design guidelines for designated historic districts.
8. Update the Historical Resources section website to provide better, more readily accessible and current information on the City's preservation program.
9. Establish the City's CHRID and begin the process of transferring data and making it available to the public via the City's website.
10. Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that the Board review the information attached, provide input, and approve the report for transmittal to the State Office of Historic Preservation and the Mayor and City Council.



Kelley Saunders
Senior Planner



Cathy Winterrowd
Principal Planner/CLG Liaison

KS/cw

Attachment: Draft CLG Annual Report 2009

City of San Diego

Report Prepared by: **Historical Resources Staff**

Date of commission/board review: **November 20, 2009**

Minimum Requirements for Certification

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. (Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status.)
- Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal code.

No changes to the City's certified historical resources regulations were made during this reporting period. The City Council did approve reforms to our Mills Act program through revisions to Council Policy 700-14, dated December 15, 2008 (<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historical/pdf/millsactdocs/081215councilpolicy70046.pdf>). These reforms were made to increase accountability of the program, include an annual fiscal analysis of impacts to the City's General Fund, and fees to cover staff costs to process historical designation nominations, Mills Act agreements, monitoring existing agreements, and enforcement. OHP staff reviewed these proposed changes to the program and participated in our hearing process. The City Council has directed staff to bring forward an amendment to the certified ordinance to expand the circumstances under which the Council could overturn a historical resource designation on an appeal. The proposed amendment has been reviewed by OHP. We have started the public hearing process and expect the issue to be heard by the City Council in early 2010.

The current ordinance can be found at the following links:

- <http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf>
- <http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf>
- <http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf>
- <http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf>

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance)

- What properties/districts have been locally designated (or de-designated) this past year? For districts, provide a list of resource contributors and noncontributors.
- Reminder, pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, “the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof.” Have you done this?

The following properties have been designated during the reporting period. Resolutions have been recorded or are pending processing as stated in the chart below. Two properties have been removed from designation as a result of appeals before the City Council.

Property Name/Address	Date Designated/Removed	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Elizabeth Sullivan Frey House/Mut kula xuy/Mut lah hoy ya Site #5 / <i>Not permitted to List</i>	10/30/2008	11/26/2008
John and Beatrice McGregor House / 3020 Dumas Street	10/30/2008	11/26/2008
Stephen B. and Fannie Marks House / 1037 15th Street	10/30/2008	11/26/2008
Alberta Security Company/Martin V. Melhorn Spec House #2 / 4144 Lark Street	10/30/2008	11/26/2008
Cornelius and Eva Lee Kelly Spec House #1 / 4330 Witherby Street	10/30/2008	11/26/2008
S.S. and Rosa Kendall Spec House #1 / 3794 29th Street	11/21/2008	<i>Pending Appeal</i>
Mut kula xuy/ Mut lah hoy ya Site #6 / <i>Not permitted to List</i>	11/21/2008	12/18/2008
Jean P. Hampton/A.L. & A.E. Dennstedt Building Company Spec House #1 / 7015 Vista Del Mar Avenue	11/21/2008	12/18/2008
Old Fire Station #19 / 3601 Ocean View Blvd.	1/22/2009	3/11/2009

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Charles W. Fox/William Sterling Hebbard House / 3100 Brant Street	1/22/2009	3/11/2009
Lillian Arnett House / 2112 Pine Street	1/22/2009	3/11/2009
C. Arnholt Smith/ Ralph L. Frank House / 2293 San Juan Road	1/22/2009	3/11/2009
Industrial Developers/ Loch Crane Office Building / 3344 Industrial Court	2/26/2009	<i>Pending Appeal</i>
Minnie Gerhard/ Thomas Shepherd House / 7118 Olivetas Avenue	2/26/2009	4/13/2009
Baron X. Kouch & Norma Meyer Schuh Spec House #2 / 4643 El Cerrito Drive	2/26/2009	4/13/2009
George and Amalia Gans House / 2893 East Redwood Street	3/26/2009	5/08/2009
Hiram Newton Savage House / 2670 2nd Avenue	3/26/2009	5/08/2009
Walt Mason House / 1411 Virginia Way	3/26/2009	5/08/2009
Laura A. Tyler House / 1832 Dale Street	3/26/2009	5/08/2009
Frederick and Helen Thompson/Charles H. Tifal House / 1232 Myrtle Avenue	4/23/2009	6/02/2009
Delawie Residence II / 1833 Neale Street	4/23/2009	6/02/2009
John Snyder/Ralph E. Hurlburt & Charles H. Tifal Spec House #1 / 2315 Fort Stockton Drive	4/23/2009	6/02/2009
Robert & Lulu Bolam House / 4115 Twiggs Street	5/28/2009	7/13/2009
Fred Jarboe House #2 / 3427 Freeman Street	5/28/2009	7/13/2009

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Gilbert & Alberta McClure House / 4044 Hamilton Street	5/28/2009	7/13/2009
Irvine M. Schulman House / 2540 Presidio Drive	5/28/2009	7/13/2009
James Don & Rita H. Keller/Lloyd Ruocco House / 1433 Puterbaugh Street	6/25/2009	Pending
William Wahrenberger Spec House #3 / 3311 Udall Street	6/25/2009	Pending
George and Martha Murrin/Allen Hilton Spec House #1 / 4625 East Talmadge Drive	6/25/2009	Pending
Harry and Meta Pollock/Wayne McAllister House / 1068 Santa Barbara Street	6/25/2009	Pending
La Jolla Adult Recreation Center Club / 1160 Coast Blvd.	6/25/2009	Pending
Archaeology Site CA-SDI-11,039 / <i>Not permitted to List</i>	7/23/2009	Pending
Stephen McMorrow Spec House #1 / 3620 Albert Street	7/23/2009	Pending
Mabel B. Schiller/Richard Requa House / 2207 29 th Street	7/23/2009	Pending
John and Cleo Zweck House / 3305 Yonge Street	7/23/2009	Pending
George and Beatrice Bown House / 4145 Miller Street	7/23/2009	Pending
George Gans Spec House #3 / 3120 Felton Street	7/23/2009	Pending
Laurence Klauber House / 233 West Juniper Street	7/23/2009	Pending
Richard M. Hathaway Spec. House No. 2 / 1855 Altamira Place	7/23/2009	Pending

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Village of Ystagua, Area #1 / <i>Not Permitted to List</i>	7/23/2009	Pending
James P. and Florence E. Gibson/Charles Salyers House / 4771 Vista Lane	8/27/2009	Pending
Edward W. and Gertrude A. Dennstedt House / 4615 Norma Drive	8/27/2009	Pending
William and Anna Bradley/Lilian Rice House / 7325 Remley Place	8/27/2009	Pending
Raymond and Margaret Taylor House / 2732 Azalea Drive	8/27/2009	Pending
Ralph Hurlburt/ Alexander Schreiber Spec House # 1 / 3917 Hawk Street	8/27/2009	Pending
Raymond and Doris Worrell House / 4315 Ampudia Street	8/27/2009	Pending
E.A. and Effie Tindula House / 3593 29th Street	9/25/2009	Pending
Lucy Killea House / 3248 Brant Street	9/25/2009	Pending
John Snyder/Ralph E. Hurlburt & Charles H. Tifal Spec House #2 / 4370 Trias Street	9/25/2009	Pending
<i>Kolbeck Auto Works / 1220 J Street</i>	<i>5/12/2009</i>	<i>Designation Removed</i>
<i>Remington Rand Company Building / 926-928 C Street</i>	<i>7/21/2009</i>	<i>Designation Removed</i>

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

- Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community's general plan? If you have, provide a copy or electronic link.
- If you address historic preservation in your general plan, is it in a separate historic preservation element or is it included in another element?
- Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan.

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

- When will your next General Plan update occur?

The City of San Diego General Plan was updated in March 2008 and will not undergo another comprehensive update for 15 to 20 years. It includes a separate Historic Preservation Element that addresses the identification and preservation of historical resources and historic preservation education, benefits and incentives with specific policies intended to strengthen historic preservation planning, integrate historical resources in the larger planning process, foster government-to-government relationships with the Native American tribes of San Diego, designate and preserve historical resources for future generations, foster greater public participation and education in historic preservation, promote use of incentives and sponsorships to benefit historical resources, and increase opportunities for cultural tourism in San Diego.

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf>

D. Review Responsibilities

D.1 Design Review/Certificates of Appropriateness

- Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?
- Do all projects subject to design review go the commission, or are some reviewed at the staff level without commission review?
- What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review?

The City of San Diego has a three-tiered system of design review for historical sites. The HRB has authority for recommendations on projects that may have adverse impacts on historical resources. The Design Assistance Subcommittee of the HRB provides informal input to applicants and staff on projects affecting historical sites. Historical Section staff reviews and approves minor modifications to historical sites that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. If staff approves a minor modification project or the Design Assistance Subcommittee's review concludes that a project is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards, the full HRB would not normally consider the project, although projects with major community interest may go forward to the full HRB for review.

D.2 California Environmental Quality Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government?
- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government?

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Historical Resources Section staff reviews all environmental documents for projects that may have an effect on a designated historical resource or on a potentially significant historical resource during the public review period. The final CEQA document for projects affecting designated historical resources is formally reviewed by the HRB in association with review of a site development permit for the substantial alteration of a historical resource. In this circumstance, the HRB makes a formal recommendation on the project and environmental document to the Planning Commission.

D.3 Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government?
- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government?

Section 106 documents are reviewed by Historical Resources Section staff for non-National Register eligible properties before staff in the Environmental Analysis Section forwards them to the Office of Historic Preservation. The Section 106 consultation process is completed before the CEQA document is distributed for public review. The HRB reviews all of the information for projects on which they make a recommendation. The HRB along with its Policy Subcommittee and/or appointed ad hoc committees also participates in Section 106 consultations initiated by other agencies for federal projects affecting National Register eligible sites, including negotiations on any Memorandum of Agreement.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

- Who are the current members (and alternates, if applicable)?
- Do they represent a professional discipline or do they represent a public role?
- What is their date of appointment and when does their appointment expire?
- What is their email address?
- Include resumes and Qualifications Review forms for all members. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, why have the professional qualifications not been met and how is professional expertise being provided? If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled?

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Name	Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Appt. Expires	Email Address
Salvador Aréchiga	Architect	01/28/2009	03/01/2010	sal.arechiga@gmail.com
Priscilla Berge	Historian	11/14/2006	03/01/2011	paberge@cox.net
Alex Bethke	Historian	01/28/2009	03/01/2010	abethke03@gmail.com
Maria Curry	Historic Architect / Historic Preservation Planner	05/24/2004	03/01/2010	marucurry@yahoo.com
Otto Emme	Public Member	06/11/2002	N/A (Resigned)	ooemme@san.rr.com
Gail Garbini	Landscape Architect	2/11/2008	3/01/2011	ggarbini@garbiniandgarbini.com
John Lemmo	Law/Public Member	2/11/2008	3/01/2010	jl@prcopio.com
Linda Marrone	Real Estate/Public Member	10/28/2008	03/01/2011	lmarrone@san.rr.com
Jerry Schaefer	Archeologist	03/24/2003	N/A (Resigned)	jschaefer@asmaffiliates.com
Abel Silvas	Public Member	03/24/2003	03/01/2011	runninggrunion@juno.com

Linda Marrone was appointed to the Board in October 2008 and filled the seat vacated by Delores McNeely in March 2007. David Marshall elected not to pursue a fourth and final appointment and left the Board at the end of March 2008. His seat was filled with the appointment of Alex Bethke in January 2009. John Eisenhart and Paul Johnson both resigned in September 2008, creating two additional vacancies on the Board. Mr. Eisenhart's seat was filled with the appointment of Salvador Aréchiga in January 2009. Mr. Johnson's seat remains vacant. Otto Emme resigned in May 2009 as a result of a one-year military deployment. His seat remains vacant. After over six years of service to the Board, Jerry Schaefer resigned in September 2009. An archaeologist will be sought to fill his newly vacated seat. At the end of the reporting period there were three vacancies. Two of those vacancies were filled in October 2009, bringing the total appointed Boardmembers to 10.

B. Commission Staff

- Who are your current commission/CLG staff?
- What are their disciplines, and their dates of appointment/assignment?
- Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator?
- Include resumes and Qualifications Review forms for all new staff.
- If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy?

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Bennur Koksuz Deputy Director (10/08 to present)	Architecture; Urban Design	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division	bkoksuz@sanidiego.gov
Cathy Winterrowd Principal Planner/CLG Coordinator/	History & Planning; Ethnography	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division	cwinterrowd@sanidiego.gov

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Liaison to HRB (12/05 to present)			
Kelley Saunders Senior Planner (3/06 to present)	History & Planning	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division Historical Resources Section	kmsaunders@san Diego.gov
Jennifer Hirsch Senior Planner (2/08 to present)	Architectural History & Planning	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division Historical Resources Section	jhirsch@san Diego.gov
Jodie Brown Senior Planner (2/08 to present)	History & Planning	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division Historical Resources Section	jdbrown@san Diego.gov
Tricia Olsen Associate Planner (3/08 to present) Intern(7/07 to 3/08)	Architectural History & Planning	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division Historical Resources Section	tolsen@san Diego.gov
Shannon Anthony Board Secretary (3/08 to present)	Board Secretary	City Planning and Community Investment, Urban Form Division Historical Resources Section	santhony@san Diego.gov
Nina Fain Deputy City Attorney (10/08 to present)	Deputy City Attorney	Office of the City Attorney Civil Division	nfain@san Diego.gov

C. Attendance Record

- Please attach in chart form for each commissioner and staff member, the attendance records for meetings. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum.

See Attachment 2 for Minutes of HRB meetings held during the reporting period.

See Attachment 3 for Board Member and staff attendance records for meetings.

D. Training Received

- What training has each commissioner and staff member received, including descriptions and dates of training, and training provider? Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description	Training Provider	Date
Staff	California Preservation Foundation 2009 Conference	California Preservation Foundation (CPF)	April 16-19, 2009
All Board Members and Staff	Conducting Meetings under the Brown Act	City Attorney's Office	May 28, 2009
All Board Members and Staff	The City's Ethics Ordinance and Ethical Conduct for Appointed Boardmembers	City Ethics Commission Staff	May 28, 2009
All Board Members and Staff	Best Practices in Historic Preservation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contexts and Surveys • Historic Resources & CEQA • Secretary of Interior Standards 	City Staff SHPO Staff Outside City Staff Historic Resource Consultants Land Use Attorneys	September 14, 2009

III. Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act

A. Historical Contexts

- Have you initiated, researched, or developed any historic contexts? If you have, list and describe in several sentences each historic context, how it is being used, and the date submitted to OHP (California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts, to OHP.) If you have not done so, submit a copy with this report.

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted
Barrio Logan	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Barrio Logan community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process. Future survey work will tier off this survey.	Final; Submitted with this Report http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/barriologanupdate/documents/index.shtml
Otay Mesa	A historic context was prepared that focused on the agricultural history of the area along with the influence of the military. Context was completed in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Otay Mesa community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process and will be used to create educational material on the history of Otay Mesa.	Final; Submitted with this Report (hard copy)

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted
Ocean Beach	A historic context is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Ocean Beach community. The context identifies themes significant in the community's development from a resort town to a thriving neighborhood and community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Waiting to be Finalized
Uptown	A new historic context with limited field work is being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Uptown community. Themes identified included influence of the subdivision boom, streetcar development, suburbanization, and the automobile.	The context and limited field work will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Staff working to finalize draft context
Golden Hill	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Golden Hill community. The context focuses on the development of Golden Hill as one of the earliest residential districts located outside of downtown.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Staff working on context; consultant will complete fieldwork
North Park	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the North Park community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Consultant Under Contract
Old Town	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Old Town community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Consultant Selection Process Underway
Midway	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the Midway community.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Consultant Selection Process Underway
San Ysidro	A historic context and reconnaissance survey are being prepared in conjunction with a Community Plan update for the San Ysidro community. Themes identified will likely be based on the agricultural roots of the community as well as the relationship of the community to the border.	The context and survey will inform the land use planning process.	In Process Outline Complete

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

B New Surveys (excluding those funded by OHP)

- Have you carried out any surveys or re-surveys? If you have, list the area surveyed, level (reconnaissance or intensive), acreage, number of properties surveyed, and the date you submitted the survey to OHP. (California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts, to OHP.) If you have not done so, submit a copy with this report.
- Keep in mind that the evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.
- How are you using the survey data?

Area	Context Based-yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date
Barrio Logan	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 553	Approx 425	Complete Submitted with this Report (http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/barriologanupdate/documents/index.shtml)
North Park	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 1,466	Approx 6,500	In Progress Consultant Under Contract
Old Town	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 285	Approx 234	In Process Consultant Selection Process Underway
Midway	Yes	Reconnaissance	Approx 902	Approx 613	In Process Consultant Selection Process Underway

C. Changes to Inventories

- Have you made corrections to you inventory of historic properties, or have you identified any corrections that need to be made?
- If you have, what are the reasons for the changes (new information, alteration [approved/not approved], demolition [approved/not approved], etc.)?
- Have you changed the status codes of any properties in your inventory? Submit the changes with this report.

Property Name/Address	Additions/Deletions to Inventory	Changes to Status Codes	Reason	Date of Change

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

The City's inventory of historic properties consists of our register of designated historic sites and the following completed or draft surveys.

- Draft Uptown Historic Architectural and Cultural Landscape Reconnaissance Survey (2007)
- East Village Combined Historical Surveys (2005)
- Downtown Warehouse Survey (2005)
- African-American Heritage Study (2004)
- Historic Site Inventory of the Core for CCDC (1989, 2002)
- Mid-City Survey (1995/1996)
- Uptown Cultural Resource Inventory (1993)
- Barrio Logan Redevelopment Area Historic and Urban Resource Inventory (1990)
- San Ysidro Historic Resources Survey (1989)
- La Jolla – A Historical Inventory (1977)

These surveys are on file in the Planning Department and, although most are more than five years old, are consulted by staff in reviewing projects and may be used as a starting point in preparing intensive surveys for establishing historic districts. Properties are reviewed individually for designation potential as part of the project review process. It is anticipated that completion and adoption of reconnaissance level surveys that are currently underway or anticipated in the new future in conjunction with Community Plan Updates will allow the City to generate an inventory of historic properties to use in the planning process and in the evaluation of a property's historical significance.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

- What public outreach, training, or publications programs have you undertaken? Please provide copy of (or a link to) all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
Potential Historical Resource Review – Public Working Group	The Potential Historical Resource Review (SDMC 143.0212) requires that staff determine if a potentially significant historical resource exists on site prior to the approval of a construction or development permit. A working group led by Historical Resources staff and comprised of individuals from local community planning groups and historical organizations participates in this review process by providing input to staff on the history and potential significance of a property under the adopted HRB criteria, prior to staff approving a project.	Ongoing

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Item or Event	Description	Date
Barrio Logan Charrette	Residents, business owners and others gathered to review a Visual Character and Land Use Preference exercise. On Saturday, January 17, the final day of the Community Charrette, participants presented and discussed results of the Visual Character and Land Use Preference exercise, along with land use options for future land use planning in Barrio Logan that had been developed from them. The Charrette included preliminary information compiled as part of the historic context and survey that are tied to the plan update, and information regarding important historic resources in the community was solicited from the Charrette participants.	1/15/2009- 1/17/2009
Mailer	Staff sent out a mailer to all designated historic properties which included information about the Mills Act, the City's design review process for designated resources and the National Trust publication on appropriate treatment of wood windows.	April 2009
Brochure	Staff published and widely distributed an annual report for the public which sought to increase awareness of the preservation program and its various aspects, and highlight the year's accomplishments. (Provided in English and Spanish) http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historical/pdf/otherdocs/2008annualreportcolor.pdf	May 2009
UCSD Extension "Planning & Communications in Urban Development"	Staff was a guest lecturer for a discussion focusing on effective communication involving planning issues related to the environment, sustainability, conservation and historic preservation. The focus was on engaging the public in the process and on communicating issues effectively to decision makers.	8/17/2009
"Best Practices in Historic Preservation Seminar"	Best Practices in Historic Preservation Seminar hosted by the City of San Diego in concert with OHP. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contexts and Surveys • Historic Resources & CEQA • Secretary of Interior Standards 	9/14/2009
Historic Preservation Day at the City Council's Land Use and Housing Committee	The City Council's LU&H committee devoted a full committee meeting to a discussion of pressing historic preservation issues in the city, including implementation of recent council adopted reforms to the mills act program; conservation of community and neighborhood character through historic conservation areas; the permit review process for potentially historic properties; future land development code revisions pertaining to historical resources; and the current effort to process three new historic districts. The presentation received positive feedback from the subcommittee and many interested members of the public in attendance.	9/23/2009

In addition to the minimum CLG requirements, OHP is interested in a Summary of Local Preservation Programs

- *What is the current status of preservation in your community? What are the most critical preservation planning issues?*

The City's historic preservation program continues to be an active, vital aspect of the City's planning activities, and remains an area of great interest to many property owners and community members in the City's oldest areas. There is a strong and vocal public constituency that takes an active interest in preservation and preservation planning issues. These groups speak out at various public hearings in support of historic preservation, and are active in both community planning groups and neighborhood preservation groups. There also remains strong political interest in and support of historic preservation on the part of both the Mayor and City Council. The City Council's Land Use & Housing committee recently devoted a full committee meeting to a discussion of pressing historic preservation issues in the city, which received positive feedback from the subcommittee and the many interested members of the public in attendance.

Over the past few years, the most critical preservation planning issue for the City had been development pressure within the City's older communities. While that pressure does remain, current economic conditions have greatly slowed redevelopment and infill projects. Presently, the most critical preservation planning issue for the City is a lack of resources and funding to carry out all aspects of our preservation planning program. With severe financial constraints facing the City and budget reductions occurring every six to 12 months, historic resources staff is continually reevaluating historic preservation priorities and the section's work program, striving to make the most of limited resources. Functions that are critical to our role and responsibility as a CLG are a top priority. Historic context statements and reconnaissance surveys associated with active Community Plan Updates remain a high priority as well, and are consuming a considerable amount of staff time as resources for consultant contracting is limited. The section's goals and work program have been scaled back in anticipation of continued financial difficulties, and may need to be reevaluated again if additional budget cuts impact the program. In addition to these budgetary issues, another critical issue facing the historic preservation program is the public understanding of these constraints and of the historic preservation program in general. Staff continues public outreach and education efforts; such as the Annual Report brochure, attendance at planning group meetings, and workshops and seminars, in an effort to connect with and inform the public on issues related to historic preservation and the program.

- *What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community?*

The single accomplishment that has done the most to further preservation in our community this year was the Best Practices in Historic Preservation Seminar the City hosted on September 14, 2009. The Seminar focused on three critical aspects of historic

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

preservation: historic contexts and surveys; CEQA and historical resources; and the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. Speakers included a range of preservation professionals from State and local government, as well as private practice historic preservation consultants and attorneys:

TOPIC	MODERATOR* & SPEAKERS
Historic Contexts and Surveys	Jennifer Hirsch, AICP, Senior Planner; City of San Diego Marie Nelson, State Historian II; OHP Andrea Galvin, President; Galvin Preservation Assoc Kevin Johnson, Planner; City of Pasadena
CEQA and Historical Resources	Cathy Winterrowd, Principal Planner; City of San Diego John Lemmo, Attorney; Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch Nina Fain, Deputy City Attorney; City of San Diego Myra Herrmann, Senior Planner; City of San Diego
U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties	Jodie Brown, AICP, Senior Planner; City of San Diego Timothy Brandt, AIA, LEED AP, Senior Restoration Architect; OHP David Marshall, AIA, President; Heritage Architecture & Planning

In addition to serving as the annual CLG training requirement for City staff and Historical Resources Boardmembers, the City highly encouraged participation in the Seminar on the part of preservation consultants and professionals, historic preservation advocates, historic property owners, interested members of the public, and staff and board members from other CLG's and local governments, as well as planners seeking AICP continuing education credits. Information was provided on the City's website, at Board hearings and through email distributions and CLG Listserv postings. The Seminar was very well attended, with nearly 200 participants. Feedback provided to the City has been overwhelmingly positive. The range of topics covered was intended to benefit varying levels of experience and expertise, providing useful information for all in attendance. The City is very gratified to have been able to reach out and provide this historic preservation education opportunity to so many members of the community working with, living in, or otherwise interested in historic resources; and we look forward to providing additional opportunities in the future as resources are available.

- *What incentives are you providing for historic preservation in your community, e.g., loan or grant programs, property tax reduction, zoning variances, etc.? What programs are you offering, what is the public utilizing, and how successful are the programs in promoting historic preservation? Please provide a brief overview narrative.*

The most successful incentive program continues to be the Mills Act. The use of the Design Assistance Subcommittee continues to be of great benefit to owners of designated sites. In July 2009, the City Council established the Historic Preservation Fund in response to General Plan policies for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

purpose of historic preservation. The Comptroller was authorized to appropriate funds from the Historic Preservation Fund for the local preservation programs and incentives consistent with the General Plan. The Board has established an Incentives Subcommittee that is working on preparing recommendations for expenditure of fund monies and other incentives such as transfer of development rights, use of variance and conditional use permit to support adaptive re-use of historic properties, and architectural assistance services to low and moderate income historic property owners.

Name or Type of Incentive Program	How many properties have benefited?
Mills Act	59 new contracts recorded during the reporting period.
Design Assistance Subcommittee	22 docketed items

- *What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs?*

In May of each year the City's Historical Resources Board recognizes individuals, groups, businesses and agencies who contribute to the preservation and advancement of San Diego's unique history and heritage. The Board recognizes achievements in the categories of Agency, Archaeology, Architectural Reconstruction, Architectural Rehabilitation, Architectural Restoration, Community History, Cultural Diversity, Cultural Landscape, History, Individual Accomplishment, and Preservation Advancement. Nominations are accepted from Boardmembers, staff and members of the public from approximately February to April of each year. The Board's Policy Subcommittee then selects a recipient in each category from the nominations received. The award recipients are recognized at the annual awards ceremony in May, where they receive Awards of Excellence and commendations from their respective City Councilmember. Additionally, during the last two weeks of May, posters and photographs, brochures, and exhibits are displayed in the lobby of the City Administration Building to highlight historic preservation in San Diego. This display coincides with the annual awards celebration.

- *How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year?*

1. *Complete new guidelines for applying the City's historical resources designation criteria. **GOAL MET***

On August 27, 2009 the Historical Resources Board adopted "Guidelines for the Application of Historical Resources Board Designation Criteria," a 34 page document intended to assist applicants in the understanding and application of the City's adopted designation criteria. The guidelines shall be used when evaluating a resource's eligibility for listing on the local register. The guidelines provide an overview of the designation criteria and the purpose of the criteria guidelines; information on evaluating a resource within its historic context; applying the criteria and understanding integrity; information regarding the eligibility of moved buildings, the visibility of a resource, and deed restrictions; and a detailed discussion of each of the six designation criteria, including its application and how significance may be established. The criteria guidelines as adopted reflect a multi-year effort to solicit input from interested parties, including the Board and historic preservation consultants and

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

professionals, as well as members of the public. The guidelines will provide needed assistance in the understanding and application of the City's adopted designation criteria and help to ensure consistency in the designation process.

2. *Revise the City's Historic District Policy to remove confusing and conflicting language, reduce the number of district types, align district significance with the adopted designation criteria, and provide better guidance to the HRB, staff and the public regarding the processing and designation of historic districts. **IN PROCESS***

Revisions to the City's Historic District Policy will include a new Council Policy on the establishment of historic districts, as well as a Historical Resources Board procedure for their establishment. This process requires extensive public hearings, including the Historical Resources Board Policy Subcommittee, the Historical Resources Board, the Planning Commission, the City Council's Land Use & Housing Committee and the full City Council. This goal was not completed during the current reporting period but staff hopes to make significant progress during 2010.

3. *Finalize revisions to the City's Mills Act Program, including development of important and practical information to homeowners and new guidelines for the monitoring, cancellation and non-renewal of Mills Act Agreements, and fees for processing. **GOAL MET***

In 2008 the Mayor sought to reform the City's Mills Act Program through increased accountability and by managing the fiscal impacts of the tax reduction benefit. The City Council approved several reform measures in December 2008 and established fees for the nomination of individual properties for historical designation and all components of the Mills Act Program. Each of these components and the required fees are summarized in the following handout:

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historical/pdf/millsactdocs/millsacthandout090212.pdf>

4. *Complete the ordinance revisions proposed for designation appeals process. **IN PROCESS***

This goal was partially completed during the reporting period and is expected to be completed in 2010. Public meetings and hearings were held between January and June 2009, to consider a proposed amendment to the City's appeal process to broaden the circumstances under which the City Council may overturn a designation by the Historical Resources Board. Currently, the grounds for appeal are defined in the Code and are limited to factual errors presented to the Board, violations of Board procedures, and new information. The proposed amendment would add a fourth basis that the findings used to designate a property are not supported by the facts presented to the Board. OHP reviewed the proposal in April 2009 and sent an email stating support for the Board's recommendation to maintain the current process. The Report to the Planning Commission dated June 18, 2009 can be found at the following link: <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning-commission/pcreports/2009/pdf/09048.pdf>. It includes a summary of the issues related to the amendment, various recommendations, and the proposed strikeout/underline ordinance amendment.

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

5. *Prepare a historic survey adoption and use policy.* **NO LONGER BEING PURSUED**

This goal is no longer being pursued in the near term. With the adoption of the General Plan Update in March 2008, the Historic Preservation element established policy language to “Fully integrate the consideration of historical and cultural resources in the larger land use planning process” and “Actively pursue a program to identify, document and evaluate the historical and cultural resources in the City of San Diego” through the use of historic contexts and surveys which comply with the U.S. Secretary of the Interior’s Standards. These city-wide General Plan policies will serve as the City’s historic resource survey policy for the near term. The need for a more detailed policy will be reevaluated at a later date.

6. *Prepare information bulletins to be distributed by the Development Services and City Planning and Community Investment Departments, which explains the regulations affecting designated historic resources, as well as the permit requirements and processing procedures.* **GOAL MET**

Staff prepared two information bulletins in order to clarify review procedures and submittal requirements for projects subject to historic review. Information Bulletin 580, Potential Historical Resource Review, outlines the review process for properties that are reviewed under City of San Diego Municipal Code section 143.0212; while Information Bulletin 581, Historical Resource Review, provides information regarding the review process for projects involving designated historical resources. The bulletins are available to the public in PDF form on the City of San Diego website and in print at the Development Services Department. <http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/pdf/infobulletin/ib580.pdf>
<http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/pdf/infobulletin/ib581.pdf>

7. *Prepare an annual report, translated into multiple languages, for members of the public which summarizes the work of the Board and its staff during the year, in order to increase an understanding and awareness of historic preservation and preservation efforts in San Diego.* **GOAL MET**

Staff prepared a brief brochure that summarized the accomplishments of the Board and staff during the previous year. The brochure highlighted the recent designations, Section 106 reviews and Mills Act properties. The brochure was printed in black/white and translated into Spanish as a handout and also uploaded in color in English and Spanish to the website. The brochure is available at each Historical Resources Board meeting, at the City Planning and Community Investment Department, and distributed at various events.

8. *Complete and bring forward the historic resource nomination for Fire Station #19, a significant resource to the African-American community which reflects the public service history of the community and the history of segregation in San Diego.* **GOAL MET**

Staff prepared a nomination for Old Fire Station #19 and brought the nomination forward to the Historical Resources Board for designation in January 2009. Staff worked with members of Brothers United, the local chapter of the International

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

Association of Black Professional Firefighters, to complete research on the history of the building. The HRB designated the building under HRB Criterion A as a special element of social history of the African American community in San Diego for its association with segregation. In addition, the HRB designated the building under HRB Criterion B for its identification with the history of the first African American firefighters in San Diego. The building was also designated under HRB Criterion C for its retention of character defining features of fire station construction.

9. *Complete the pending Kensington Manor Unit 2 historic district and Dryden North Park historic district submitted by the local neighborhood history group. **IN PROCESS***

Staff reviewed the Dryden District nomination in June and July of 2008 and provided comments to the applicant that identified several areas of concern. These issues related to the district boundary, the historic context and statement of significance, the period of significance, the applicable designation criteria and the classification of contributing and non-contributing resources within the district. The applicant submitted supplemental material in January of 2009 in response to staff direction. At the same time, the City was in the process of selecting a historic resource consultant to prepare a historic context statement and complete a reconnaissance survey of North Park as part of the Community Plan Update process. This provided an excellent opportunity for an independent preservation professional to review the nomination and provide comment. The consultant, Historic Resources Group (HRG) found that the original nomination and the supplemental application both contain very good historical information; however, HRG also noted that the nomination must be refined, reorganized, and further analyzed to better highlight the strongest aspects of the proposed district's historic significance. Based on these reviews, the Dryden District nomination will need to be revised before moving forward. Staff currently anticipates taking the Dryden District nomination before the Board in late 2010.

The nomination for the Kensington Heights Unit No. 2 District was reviewed by staff early 2009 and provided comments to the applicant, which were addressed with a revised nomination. Staff conducted a noticed informational workshop in April 2009 with property owners to present the nomination and answer questions. Immediately following the workshop, it came to the attention of staff that a conflict existed which precluded further processing the district nomination. The applicant who had been working on the district nomination since 2002, Ms. Berge, was appointed to the Historical Resources Board in November 2006. Although the preparation of the nomination was a volunteer effort, staff was advised by the City Attorney's Office and the Ethics Commission that the nomination should not be processed while Ms. Berge sits on the Board. As Ms. Berge was reappointed to a new two year term expiring in March of 2011, we do not anticipate resuming processing of the district until that time, at the earliest.

In late summer of 2008, community members approached staff with a proposal to survey and nominate the Mission Hills District, Phase II area of the larger Mission Hills District. The applicants are still in the process of surveying the area and preparing the required documentation. Once that is completed and submitted, staff will review the nomination and provide comment on the nomination before holding a property owner workshop. Staff currently estimates that the nomination of the expansion area as Phase II of the Mission Hills District will be brought before the Board in late 2010.

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

10. *Complete the Barrio Logan Reconnaissance Survey associated with the Barrio Logan Community Plan Update.* **GOAL MET**

In September 2009, the City completed the final draft Barrio Logan Historical Resources Survey. In 2008, the City of San Diego, in conjunction with the Barrio Logan community, began preparing a comprehensive update of the Barrio Logan Community Plan (1978 Barrio Logan/ Harbor 101 Community Plan and Local Coastal Program and Barrio Logan Planned District Ordinance Zoning regulations). As part of the update effort, the city commissioned a historical resources reconnaissance survey of the plan area in order to prepare the historic preservation element of the Community Plan. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. (BFSA) prepared the context statement and conducted the survey of Barrio Logan from 2008 to 2009. The study included a literature review, a records search, archival research, preparation of a historic context statement, field reconnaissance, data analysis, and report. It is anticipated the historical resources survey will be adopted by the City Council as part of the approval of the Barrio Logan Community Plan update in 2010/2011. The complete survey report can be found at the following link: <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/barriologanupdate/documents/index.shtml>

11. *Begin reconnaissance survey work associated with Community Plan Updates in Uptown, North Park, Greater Golden Hill, Midway, Old Town, San Ysidro, and Skyline/Paradise Hills.* **GOAL PARTIALLY MET/IN PROCESS**

The City has initiated survey work in association with several Community Plan Updates over the past year. The Uptown survey will be completed by staff and currently staff is working on the historic context statement. Following the historic context, targeted fieldwork will be conducted in Uptown based on potential land use changes identified in the Community Plan Update. The City has a consultant (Historic Resources Group) under contract to complete the North Park Survey. A Draft historic context is anticipated later this fall and a Draft survey report should be available by the Summer of 2010. Staff is working on completing a historic context for Golden Hill and Historic Resources Group will be using that context to complete fieldwork. Surveys for Midway/Old Town are scheduled to begin by January 2010; staff has selected a consultant and is working to complete the contracting process. The City has been awarded a CLG Grant for the San Ysidro Historic Survey. The consultant selection and contracting process is currently underway and the project will kick off by the end of November 2009. Rather than complete Community Plan Updates for Skyline/Paradise Hills, the City will be completing master plans for two project areas within those communities. Reconnaissance level survey work will be completed in support of those two master plan projects.

12. *Develop and bring forward additional incentives for historic preservation through the work of the Incentives Ad-Hoc Subcommittee and staff, including a Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program and variances for deviations from base zone regulations to facilitate preservation of historic resources.* **IN PROCESS**

The Historic Preservation Element of the General Plan includes a number of important incentives for historic property owners and includes a policy to create a historic preservation fund that provides a monetary source for local preservation incentives such as an architectural assistance program and archaeological site protection plan. In July 2009, the City Council established this fund for any and all potential grants, donations, fines, penalties, or other sources of funding for the purpose

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

of historic preservation. Staff will work with the Incentives Subcommittee to refine uses of the Preservation Fund prior to returning to Council for approval. Staff and the Subcommittee will also address other incentives indentified in the General Plan, including development of a Transfer of Development Rights program, retention of non-conforming setbacks for designated historical resources without requiring a variance or hardship findings, and use of the CUP and NDP process for reuse of designated historical resources that would not adversely affect community plan. It is anticipated that implementation of these incentives will begin to occur in 2010.

- *What are our local historic preservation goals for 2009-2010?*
 1. Revise the City's Historic District Policy to remove confusing and conflicting language, reduce the number of district types, align district significance with the adopted designation criteria, and provide better guidance to the HRB, staff and the public regarding the processing and designation of historic districts.
 2. Complete the ordinance revisions proposed for designation appeals process.
 3. Complete the pending Dryden North Park historic district submitted by the local neighborhood history group.
 4. Begin reconnaissance survey work associated with Community Plan Updates in Uptown, North Park, Greater Golden Hill, Midway, Old Town, San Ysidro, and Skyline/Paradise Hills.
 5. Develop and bring forward additional incentives for historic preservation through the work of the Incentives Ad-Hoc Subcommittee and staff, including a Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program and variances for deviations from base zone regulations to facilitate preservation of historic resources.
 6. Develop and obtain City Council Approval of a programmatic approach to the expenditure of monies from the City's Historic Preservation Fund for use and activities which foster, promote and incentivize historic preservation.
 7. Begin development of City-wide design guidelines for designated historic districts.
 8. Update the Historical Resources section website to provide better, more readily accessible and current information on the City's preservation program.
 9. Establish the City's CHRID and begin the process of transferring data and making it available to the public via the City's website.
 10. Conduct 200 inspections of designated historic resources receiving Mills Act benefits and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

- *So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training conducted (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?*

Our staff would be interested in online training and technical assistance bulletins in the areas of Traditional Cultural Properties and archaeological resources.

Certified Local Government Program – 2008-2009 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009)

- *Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP?*

The seminar hosted by the City in September 2009 with speakers from OHP was very successful and well received, and is something that the City is interested in pursuing again in the future. Unfortunately, due to highly limited financial resources, the City of San Diego will not be able to host a workshop in the 2010 reporting period.

XII Attachments

Resumes and Qualifications Review Forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff

(See Attachment 1)

Minutes from commission meetings

(See Attachment 2)

Attendance records of commissioners and staff

(See Attachment 3)

Electronic link historic preservation ordinance/section of municipal code

<http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art03Division02.pdf>

<http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter11/Ch11Art01Division02.pdf>

<http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter14/Ch14Art03Division02.pdf>

<http://docs.sandiego.gov/municode/MuniCodeChapter12/Ch12Art06Division05.pdf>

Electronic link to historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/genplan/pdf/generalplan/adoptedhpelem.pdf>

Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance

(OHP reviewed the proposal in April 2009 and sent an email stating support for the Board's recommendation to maintain the current process. The Report to the Planning Commission dated June 18, 2009 can be found at the following link:

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning-commission/pcreports/2009/pdf/09048.pdf>.)

Public outreach publications

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historical/pdf/otherdocs/2008annualreportcolor.pdf>

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historical/pdf/otherdocs/2008annualreportspanishcolor.pdf>

Revised or amended preservation plans/elements

(No revisions to the Preservation Plan or Preservation Element were made during the reporting period)