

ATTACHMENT 13
2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

Name of Organization	Comments/Requested Revisions	Response	Were changes made to the document	Page Number
Code Monitoring Team 4-11-12	Support the proposed amendments to the regulations, but not the multiple formats used for implementation because they conflict with the adopted goals for LDC of simplification and maintenance of a standardized land development regulation format.	When the Code Amendment was initiated in April 2008, city management made a decision to amend existing regulations and not to create a new overlay zone for the four community plan areas.	No changes requested	
San Diego River Coalition 12-21-12	The Master Plan should be a minimum standard as it relates to the river habitat and urges the City to expand the river habitat area beyond just the floodway whenever possible on a project basis.	Added language to Executive Summary and Design Guidelines Section 4.3.1.1	Yes	Page 4 and 94
Mission Trails Regional Park CAC 1-8-13	Section 2.5, Revise 'California period' to 'Mexican Period'.	Revised Graphic	Yes	Page 29
	Section 3.1.4 Revise Significant Historic Sites to acknowledge Navajo and Tierrasanta.	Revised language to Section 3.1.4 A	Yes	Page 56
	Section 3.2.3 remove last paragraph it is redundant.	Removed paragraph	Yes	Page 73
	Section 3.3.5, change name of staging area	Revised name	Yes	Page 85
	Section 4.3.3.9 Revise section numbers to be consistent.	Revised numbers	Yes	Page 127

ATTACHMENT 13

2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

Mission Valley CPG Letter dated 1-11-13	Remove ‘Reclaim frontage roads as pedestrian and bicycle only green buffers’	Revised Recommendations in Section 3.1.5. E	Yes	Pages 57 and 58
	Remove the Mission Valley portion from the SDRPMP until an update to the Mission Valley Community Plan	The SDRPMP is a comprehensive planning document for the entire river area, from the Pacific Ocean to the city of Santee. The Mission Valley portion of the Master Plan can be amended when the update to the Mission Valley Community Plan is implemented. Revised language in the Regulatory Framework Section of the Executive Summary.	No Yes	Page 5
	Add statement ‘In the more urbanized reaches of the river, ensure that development occurs in a manner that can co-exist with the river’s value as both a natural and an urban resource’	Added statement to Section 2.6	Yes	Page 30
	Add statement that the wetland buffer in MV be no greater than 35 feet wide.	The wetland buffer width is regulated by the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Regulations and not through the Master Plan.	No	
	Add a statement that the River pathway shall receive population-based park credits.	Added new language to Section 4.1 to address how population-based park credit is achieved along the river.	Yes	Page 92
	Add a statement that parking areas should be consider as sensitive to the river corridor and promote a street scene	Added language to Section 4.4.2.4	Yes	Page 132
	Revise Transparency design guideline to be consistent with LDC language	Revised Section 4.4.3.3 to reduce the amount of Transparency but still meet the	Yes	Page 141

ATTACHMENT 13

2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

	for Commercial Zones	visions and principles of the Master Plan		
Mission Valley CPG Letter dated 2-5-13	Include ‘Future updates of Community Plans along the San Diego River may request amendments to the SDRPMP to ensure consistency.’ And add text revisions relative to this comment.	Revised language in the Regulatory Framework Section of the Executive Summary.	Yes	Page 5
		Revised text in the Key Sites in the Lower Valley Recommendations, see Section 3.2.2	Yes	Pages 69-71
	Revise purpose for the SDRPMP to ‘The San Diego River Park Master Plan is a policy document and provides recommendations and guidelines to be considered in concert with land use decisions with the River Corridor, River Path, and River Influence areas along the San Diego river as defined in this plan.’	Revised language in the Regulatory Framework Section of the Executive Summary.	Yes	Page 5
	Provide the following statement regarding the wetland buffer ‘In the Mission Valley Community Plan area, any modifications and re-development of properties along the San Diego River that have developed in accordance with the Mission Valley Community Plan shall not require a wetland greater than what is established in the FSDRIP for areas located within the FSDRIP Specific Plan or wider than the 35 foot wide pathway corridor for other fully developed properties along the San Diego River.’	The wetland buffer width is regulated by the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Regulations and not through the Master Plan. Added language to Section 4.1, that all development along the river can process a Plan Development Permit (PDP) to address site constraints and land use issues. This permit process allows communities to grow and evolve over time.	No Yes	 Page 91

ATTACHMENT 13

2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

	Request that a section focusing on Security be added to the Master Plan, one that reflects our concerns that the homeless population along the river creates a negative image and potential safety issues that can discourage – rather than encourage- public use of and access to the river park.	Revised language within the Section 5.3.2 to provide more information on security measures.	Yes	Page 164
	Revise Section 5.0 Implementation by adding the following sentence to the middle of the paragraph ‘ Focusing on these elements will ensure a pleasant, desirable, and safe experience for those who make use of the River Park’s passive and active recreation opportunities.’	Revised language in Section 5.0 to state ‘Within this section are tools and programs for both private and public lands to ensure a pleasant, desirable, and safe experience for the users of the San Diego River Park.’	Yes	Page 145
	Add to page 158, Section 5.3, add the following to the Introductory paragraph “The San Diego River Park shall not be used for encampments of any type.’	Revised language in Section 5.3 to state ‘Illegal use and camping should be removed from the river area.’	Yes	Page 158
	Add the following sentence to the middle paragraph ‘Security measures should ensure there are no impediments to enjoying the River Park such as those that create personal safety concerns and/or violate local and state laws/regulations.’	Revised language in Section 5.3 to state ‘Security measures should ensure the enjoyment of the River Park, personal safety concerns while enforcing laws and regulations.’	Yes	Page 158
	Include the following statement in the Master Plan: ‘For the Mission Valley Community Plan, the San Diego River Park can be counted toward	This request will be determined during the community plan update process and will be reflected in the Community Plan’s Recreation Element.	No	

ATTACHMENT 13

2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

	<p>population-based park needs in the community, with up to 25% of the River Park land area counted as population-based park land.</p>			
	<p>Include the following statement in the Master Plan: ‘Where development within the River Corridor or River Influence Areas constructs a portion of the River Pathway, expands the River Pathway, or adds other River Park Elements, population-based park credits shall be granted commensurate to these areas if those park elements are consistent with the guidelines contained in this Master Plan and the Recreation Element of the City’s General Plan. Council Policy for Community Notification and Input for Citywide Park Development Projects shall not apply to privately constructed portions of the River Path or privately developed park areas that are less than XXXX square feet in size and are in compliance with the guidelines of this Master Plan.</p>	<p>Added new language to Section 4.1 to address how population-based park credit is achieved along the river.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Page 92</p>
	<p>Include the following statement in the Master Plan: Where in lieu park fees are collected as a result of private development, those fees shall be applied toward construction of the San Diego River Park Master Plan River Pathway.</p>	<p>This request will be determined during the community plan update process and will be reflected in the Community Plan’s Recreation Element.</p>	<p>No</p>	

ATTACHMENT 13

2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

	<p>Revise Section 4.3.4.6 Fences to: Use natural peeler log fencing for all fences within the River Corridor Area where adjacent areas are natural open space and/or parks to allow for wildlife movement. Fencing within the River Corridor Area where urban development abut the River Corridor, should be of low impact, allow for wildlife movement, and be designed in a manner to blend with the natural attribute of the river environment while also complimentary to adjacent urban structures. Fencing should follow grades along the river pathway and a maximum of 4 feet in height.</p>	<p>Revised language in Section 4.3.4.6 to be clear that fencing between the river pathway and the river to be natural peeler log fencing. Fencing between the River pathway and the River Influence Area to be per Section 4.4.4.2.</p>	Yes	Page 122
<p>Wetlands Advisory Board 1-10-13</p>	<p>The Plan should explicitly call for a reasonable level of annual funding for implementation purposes.</p>	<p>The Master Plan is a policy document only, but within the Implementation Section of the Master Plan are federal, state and local funding sources and recommendations on how the City could implement the plan. Once the Master Plan is adopted the City Council could take further action to create an annual funding commitment in the budget process.</p>	No	
	<p>The Plan should make specific reference to the proposed Quality of Life Initiative, as a potential funding source and as a complementary planning program.</p>	<p>The Quality of Life Initiative is a SANDAG committee established in 2009. The Working Group provides input to the SANDAG Quality of Life Ad Hoc Steering Committee on key activities</p>	No	

ATTACHMENT 13

2012/2013 Community Planning Groups and Park Advisory Bodies Recommendation Matrix

		associated with developing a regional Quality of Life Funding Strategy. In Section 5.2.2.3 language is provided on the funding sources based on the Quality of Life Initiative.		
	The Plan should include a passage discussing its relationship to the Multi-Species Conservation Plan.	The relationship is provided in the Master Plan in Section 4.2 within the Design Guidelines.	No	
	The Plan should make reference to requirements for consistency with other public plans, permits and agreements relating to the San Diego River Corridor.	Requirements for consistency are provided in the Master Plan in Section 6.0 'Regulatory Framework'.	No	
	The Plan should indicate that city lands, such as those in the Plan area, will be considered to accommodate expected impacts of climate change.	Added language to Section 3.1.1 to address climate change. Future studies will be needed to evaluate what land will be needed to accommodate impacts of climate change.	Yes	Page 35
Park and Recreation Board 2-21-13	Recommended the support of a Project Director position be established to manage the implementation of the Master Plan.	The Master Plan is a policy document only, but within the Implementation Section of the Master Plan are federal, state and local funding sources and recommendations on how the City could implement the plan. Once the Master Plan is adopted the City Council could take further action to create an annual funding commitment in the budget process for a Project Director.	No	