College Area Pedestrian Plan

Pedestrian Master Plan – Phase 4

College Area Description

The College Area Community is located in the central part of the City of San Diego, along the southern rim of Mission Valley and approximately eight miles northeast of the downtown area. It is a residential community, which is also home to San Diego State University. The San Diego Trolley passes through the community with two stations: one on campus at SDSU and one opposite Alvarado Hospital on Alvarado Road.

The College Area community is developed predominantly with single-family houses in subdivision patterns reflective of the hills and canyons within the community. When entering the community from the north or west, the streets rise sharply. Commercial development in the community tends to be oriented to the automobile, with parking lots fronting the street and driveways that interrupt sidewalks.

El Cajon Boulevard is a historic commercial district through the College Area community. Fairmount Avenue and Montezuma Road are characterized by canyon walls with native vegetation on both sides of the street. Collwood Boulevard also runs through a canyon with steep hillsides.

Almost all of the neighborhood streets have mature trees planted either in the public right-of-way or on private property adjacent to the sidewalks. Montezuma Road, west of College Avenue, has tall mature palm trees planted along the right-of-way.

Community Outreach

The project was presented to the College Area Community Planning Group in September 2012. At that time, the Focus Area was presented and community members were encouraged to complete Walk Audits and the Online Survey.

A total of 18 surveys were completed online for the College area community. Survey respondents indicated that they mostly walk for recreation or exercise, with fewer than half walking for shopping or errands. Their key concerns were **missing sidewalks**, wide streets that are difficult to cross, and **insufficient lighting**. They pointed out issues with walking along and crossing **Montezuma** and **El Cajon Boulevard**, and expressed **safety concerns about crime**.

College Area residents and business owners were also invited to attend two Open House events held in December 2012 to review the recommendations for their community. At each Open House, recommendations for all Phase 4 communities were presented and participants were encouraged to provide input and complete surveys to share their thoughts and ideas on the plan. The survey feedback collected was specific to each community. Open House participants returned a total of 41 survey forms, including 17 for the College community.

Open House surveys for the College Area Community indicated that over half the respondents (9 people) were satisfied with the identified Improvement Areas and the recommended improvements. Respondents made several suggestions for improvements including extending the Improvement Area for Montezuma west to Fairmount. Montezuma Road was mentioned most frequently as a priority, including improvements at the intersection with College and Safe Routes to School improvements around Hardy Elementary School. El Cajon was also a priority for these respondents, with support for further study along this corridor.

Inventory of Missing Sidewalks and Curb Ramps

The City of San Diego and SANDAG provided detailed information regarding missing sidewalks and existing curb ramps. GIS files for existing sidewalks and curb ramps were provided by SANDAG and the City for inclusion in the base mapping efforts. A visual inspection of field conditions was conducted to verify the accuracy of the information provided and to identify the presence of sidewalk obstructions, pedestrian activity and other pedestrian issues in this community. Missing sidewalks and curb ramps are illustrated in **Exhibit C-1**.

Route Types

All roadways within the College Area Community were classified based on pedestrian functionality as defined in the Phase I Framework Document. There are four key route types included in the College Area: District, Corridor, Connector and Neighborhood. **Exhibit C-2** illustrates the Route Type Classifications defined within the College Area Community.

Focus Areas

Focus Areas narrow down the routes within each community studied in the Master Plan. In most cases routes that are not within the Focus Area are located in low density residential areas, industrial areas, or areas with low demand for pedestrian activity.

The Pedestrian Priority Model (PPM) was used to calculate a priority score for all routes within the College Area Community. Point values associated with each of the five key priority factors, as defined in the Phase I Framework Document, were summed to provide an overall priority score. Once the routes had an associated score, the mean and standard deviation was calculated specific for the College Area Community, which was used to determine the Tier 1 (highest ranking) and Tier 2 (second highest ranking) routes. Tier 1 and Tier 2 routes were included in the Focus Area. Focus areas were refined as a

District: A district route includes sidewalks in the more intensive mixed use and concentrated areas of the city.

Corridor: A corridor sidewalk is associated with major arterials and linear corridors with a moderate level of density.

Connector: A connector sidewalk is often along a lower density corridor with few connections to adjacent land uses.

Neighborhood: A neighborhood sidewalk is limited to areas of lower density and single use residential areas.



result of the existing conditions needs assessment and input from the community. **Exhibit C-3** illustrates the College Area Focus Area routes.

Improvement Areas

Overlaying the existing conditions, physical conditions assessment and community input, Improvement Areas were defined within the Focus Area for the College Area Community. Improvement Areas are defined as either intersection improvements or corridor improvements. Intersection improvements focus on a single intersection or a group of intersections within a reasonable proximity of one another. Corridor improvements focus on improvements either along a roadway or through a series of intersections.

For the College Area Community, ten Improvement Areas were defined, which are illustrated in **Exhibit C-4** and summarized in the following table. On the pages following the exhibit and table, recommendations for each Improvement Area are described in detail.

Priority Score

The Improvement Areas and recommended projects within each improvement areas were then evaluated against priority ranking criteria established during Phase I of the Pedestrian Master Plan. Priority scores were based on issues and recommendations associated with walkability, safety, connectivity and accessibility.

Improvement Area Recommendations

| Improvement Area | Recommendations | Priority Score |
|---|---|----------------|
| C-1: West El Cajon Boulevard Mobility Study | Prepare a comprehensive Corridor Mobility Study that addresses pedestrian walkability access to transit, bicycle facilities and vehicular circulation and walkability issues. Implement intersection improvements to address connectivity and walkability issues. | 30 |
| C-2 East El Cajon Boulevard Mobility Study | Prepare a comprehensive Corridor Mobility Study that addresses pedestrian walkability, access to transit, bicycle facilities and vehicular circulation. Implement short term intersection improvements to address existing pedestrian issues. | 12 |
| C-3 69 th Street Corridor Improvements | Implement improvements and evaluate the feasibility of implementing improvements that improve pedestrian safety, visibility, and connectivity at identified intersections along 69 th Street near El Cajon Boulevard. | 19 |
| C-4 Hardy Elem. School | Prepare plans and implement intersection improvements that meet current ADA standards in order to improve pedestrian safety and circulation. Update school areas signage to meet current CA-MUTCD standards. | 15 |
| C-5 Montezuma Place Walkability Enhancements | Implement intersection and sidewalk improvements that complement the long range Redevelopment Plan for the site and address existing walkability issues. Enhancements focus on improving driver awareness and pedestrian safety/visibility. | 13 |
| C-6 Montezuma Road at College Avenue Intersection Improvements | Implement measures to restrict access to Rockford Drive to improve pedestrian safety along Montezuma Road. Implement pedestrian crossing enhancements at College Avenue due to frequent pedestrian trips. | 18 |
| C-7 Montezuma Road Feasibility Assessment Multi-Use Trail | Conduct a feasibility study to implement a multi-use trail on the north side of Montezuma Road. | 2 |
| C-8 70 th Street Transit Access Improvements | Improve access to transit and connectivity by completing sidewalk and evaluating for a new traffic signal at Saranac Street. | 11 |



| Improvement Area | Recommendations | Priority Score |
|---|---|----------------|
| C-9 Saranac Street Safety Improvements | Conduct a speed survey to determine existing traffic speed on road. If appropriate, design and implement traffic calming devices designed to maintain the existing 25 mph speed limit. | 9 |
| C-10 67 th Street Accessibility Improvements | Complete sidewalks and evaluate feasibility of new marked crosswalk to provide a contiguous ADA compliant connection between residential and commercial uses. | 17 |

This page is intentionally blank.

Exhibit C-1: Missing Sidewalk and Curb Ramps



City of San Diego



Exhibit C-2: Route Type Classifications



Exhibit C-3: Focus Area



City of San Diego



Exhibit C-4: Improvement Areas





- X3 Blocked view of traffic cars, trees, plants, etc.
- 🔲 X4 No pedestrian crossing signals or button
- X6 Storm drain inlet present at corner
- S2 Sidewalk blocked by poles, utility boxes, plants, e
- S3 Sidewalk too narrow (< 4ft)
- ▲ S4 Vehicles parked on sidewalk
- G4 Sidewalk is too close to a busy street
- G8 Conflict between multiple modes on sidewalk





H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-2 Preliminary Design - 3-6-13.dwg 08/13/13 - 10:09am KROWLEY

69th Street Corridor Improvements Pedestrian Master Plan - Phase 4 **MPROVEMENT AREA C-3**

lote: These concepts are for illustrative purposes only. They are not intended to serve as the only solution and further study and community input may be necessary before engineering design is complete.





H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-4 Preliminary Design - 1-10-13.dwg 08/13/13 - 10:10am KROWLEY







H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-6 Preliminary Design - 1-10-13.dwg KROWLEY 08/13/13 – 12:02pm

Pedestrian Master Plan - Phase 4



H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-7 Preliminary Design.dwg 06/27/13 – 12:20pm krowley



H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-8 Preliminary Design - 1-10-13.dwg 08/13/13 - 10:13am KROWLEY





H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-9 Preliminary Design - 3-6-13.dwg 08/13/13 - 10:13am KRDWLEY



H:\PDATA\55100737\Project Cut Sheets\College\Design sheets\0737-C-10 Preliminary Design - 3-6-13.dwg 08/13/13 - 10:14am KROWLEY



Improvement Area C-1:

West El Cajon Boulevard Corridor Mobility Study (54th Street to College Avenue)

Purpose & Need:

El Cajon Boulevard has a posted speed limit of 35 mph and carries between 25,000 and 30,000 vehicles per day. There is also a 25 mph school zone near 54th Street for Horace Mann Middle School. Although sidewalks are provided on both sides of the street, the environment is not welcoming to pedestrian activity. Sidewalks are adjacent to the high speed road and parked cars often block view of pedestrians waiting to cross El Cajon Boulevard. Short street blocks, high density land use and frequent transit stops support pedestrian activity in this area. Transit Routes 1 and 15 serve this corridor with 15-minute headways on the weekdays and 20 to 30 minute headways on the weekends. A Corridor Mobility Study should be conducted to address key pedestrian issues including reducing pedestrian crossing distances, improving pedestrian visibility at intersections,

and improving access to transit. In advance of the



Corridor Mobility Study, specific intersection improvements should be implemented at 56th Street and 58th Street to address existing pedestrian issues.

Recommendations:

Prepare a comprehensive Corridor Mobility Study that addresses pedestrian walkability access to transit, bicycle facilities and vehicular circulation. In advance of the Corridor Mobility Study, specific intersection improvements should be implemented to address existing connectivity and walkability issues. The table below provides potential improvements that should be considered.

| Location | | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|---|----|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| El Cajon Boulevard from 54 th St | 1) | Conduct a Corridor | S, C, | Identify | \$350,000 |
| to College | | Mobility Study to evaluate | W <i>,</i> A | comprehensive | |
| | | multimodal improvements | | mobility solutions. | |
| | | along El Cajon Boulevard. | | | |
| Intersection Improvements: | | | | | |
| El Cajon Blvd and 56 th Street | 2) | Implement curb | A, W | Decrease crossing | \$30,000 |
| | | extensions with ADA | | distance and | |
| | | compliant curb ramps on | | improve pedestrian | |
| | | northwest corner and | | visibility | |
| | | southwest corner. | | | |
| | 3) | Restripe crosswalks on | C, W | Straighten the | \$1,500 |
| | | south, east, and west legs | | crosswalks to make | |
| | | of intersection to align | | a more direct path | |
| | | with new curb extensions. | | | |
| | 4) | Install ADA compliant curb | Α | Improve | \$12,000 |
| | | ramps on northeast and | | accessibility at | |
| | | southeast corners. | | intersection | |
| El Cajon Blvd and 58 th Street | 5) | Replace existing marked | S | Improve pedestrian | \$15,000 |
| | | crosswalk with enhanced | | visibility at this | |
| | | marked crosswalk. Include | | uncontrolled | |
| | | highly reflective paint and | | marked crosswalk | |
| | | in-pavement flashers. | | | |
| | 6) | Implement curb | W, S, A | Reduce crossing | \$42,000 |
| | | extensions with ADA | | distance and | |
| | | compliant curb ramps at | | improve visibility of | |
| | | each end of existing | | pedestrians | |
| | | marked crosswalk. | | | |
| | 7) | Install ADA compliant curb | A, W | Define pedestrian | \$12,750 |
| | | ramps and marked | | path of travel along | |
| | | crosswalks across north | | El Cajon Blvd and | |
| | | and south legs of 58 th . | | improve ADA access | |
| | 8) | Complete sidewalk east of | А | Improve ADA access | \$45,000 |
| | | 58 th St on El Cajon and | | along El Cajon Blvd | |
| | | implement ADA compliant | | | |
| | | driveway. | | | |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | | | | | \$508,250 |

| Table C-1: | West El Cajon Boulevard Corridor Mobility Study (54th Street to College Avenue) |
|------------|---|
|------------|---|

A = Accessibility C = Connectivity

(1)

S = Safety



Improvement Area C-2:

East El Cajon Boulevard Corridor Mobility Study (College Ave to City Limits)

Purpose & Need:

The eastern corridor study for El Cajon Boulevard includes an area that is less dense than the western corridor, with longer street blocks and closer proximity to the SDSU campus. Activity centers along the corridor include The College Center Shopping Center, College Heights Library, various auto repair shops and car dealerships, and various strip malls. The posted speed limit through this area is 35 mph with average daily traffic ranging from 20,000 to 28,000 vehicles per day. Sidewalks are provided but walkability is still uninviting with long street blocks, no marked crosswalks outside of signalized intersections and a lack of a clear path of travel around SDSU. MTS Route 1 serves this corridor with 15 minute headways on the weekdays and 30 minute headways on the weekends. MTS Route 14 serves this corridor with one hour headways on the weekdays only. Both land use and transit activity support



El Cajon Blvd / 67th Street



El Cajon Blvd / 73rd Street

the need for pedestrian enhancement. A five year accident history shows that a total of 11 pedestrianinvolved accidents have been reported along this corridor. Key pedestrian issues include frequency of marked crossings and vehicle-pedestrian conflicts at intersections. A Corridor Mobility Study is recommended to address corridor-wide improvements for all modes. However, intersection improvements at 67th Street and 73rd Street would address immediate safety concerns where multiple pedestrian-involved accidents have been reported in the past 5 years.

Recommendations:

Prepare a comprehensive Corridor Mobility Study that addresses pedestrian walkability, access to transit, bicycle facilities and vehicular circulation. In addition, short term improvements should be implemented at the intersection of El Cajon Boulevard / 67th Street and El Cajon Boulevard / 73rd Street to address existing pedestrian issues. The table below provides potential improvements that should be considered.

| Location | | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|---|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| El Cajon Boulevard from | 1) | Conduct a Corridor Mobility | A, C, S, | Identify | \$350,000 |
| College to Eastern City Limits | | Study to evaluate | W | comprehensive | |
| | | multimodal improvements | | mobility solutions | |
| | | along El Cajon Boulevard. | | | |
| Short term focused improvem | ents | : | | | |
| El Cajon Blvd / 67 th Street | 2) | Install "Turning Vehicles | S | Increase vehicle | \$250 |
| | | Yield to Pedestrians" (R10- | | awareness of | |
| | | 15) on southbound | | pedestrians | |
| | | approach. | | | |
| | 3) | Replace all pedestrian | S, A | Reduce potential for | \$21,000 |
| | | heads with pedestrian | | pedestrians to cross | |
| | | countdown timers. | | at end of phase | |
| El Cajon Blvd / 73 rd Street | 4) | Modify signal timing to add | S | Allow pedestrians to | \$1,000 |
| | | lead pedestrian interval. | | cross before vehicle | |
| | | | | indication turns | |
| | | | | green to minimize | |
| | | | | pedestrian-vehicle | |
| | | | | conflicts | |
| | 5) | Replace all pedestrian | S, W | Reduce potential for | \$21,000 |
| | | heads with pedestrian | | pedestrians to cross | |
| | | countdown timers. | | at end of phase | |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COS | T | | | - | \$393,250 |
| (4) | | S = Safety | | | |
| (1) A = Accessibility | | S = Safety | | | |

C = Connectivity



Improvement Area C-3:

69th Street Intersection Improvements (El Cajon Boulevard to Saranac Street)

Purpose & Need:

69th Street runs north-south and provides access to Harriet Tubman Village Charter School, residential neighborhoods, and commercial centers on El Cajon Boulevard. One block south of the school 69th Street intersects with El Cajon Blvd, a four-lane high-volume road with on-street parking on both sides, no marked crosswalks and poor visibility. There is no sidewalk provided on the west side of 69th Street just north of El Cajon Boulevard, and several curb ramps are missing or non-compliant along the corridor. This project would improve pedestrian safety, improve visibility and connectivity at the intersections.

Recommendations:

Prepare plans and implement intersection and corridor improvements in order to improve pedestrian safety, visibility, and connectivity at identified intersections. The table below provides potential improvements that should be considered.



Mohawk Street / 69th Street – school crossing



69th St north of El Cajon Blvd – no sidewalk on west side

| Location | | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|----------------------------------|------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| El Cajon Boulevard | 1) | Evaluate the feasibility of installing | S, A | Improve visibility of | \$17,500 |
| at 69 th Street | | an enhanced marked crosswalk and | | pedestrians crossing El | |
| | | ADA compliant curb ramps | | Cajon Boulevard | |
| | 2) | Implement curb extensions on | S, W | Improve visibility of | \$48,000 |
| | | south leg of El Cajon Boulevard if | | pedestrians and reduce | |
| | | marked crosswalk is installed. | | crossing distance | |
| | 3) | Extend raised median along El | A, S, W | Provide refuge island for | \$15,000 |
| | | Cajon Boulevard to prohibit | | pedestrians and reduce | |
| | | northbound and southbound left | | crossing distance; | |
| | | turns, if marked crosswalk is | | prohibit NB left turns to | |
| | | installed. Provide gap in median for | | reduce pedestrian-vehicle | |
| | | pedestrians. | | conflicts at proposed | |
| | | | | crosswalk | |
| | 4) | Install additional street lights at | S | Improve visibility of | \$6,000 |
| | | intersection. | | pedestrians | |
| | 5) | Install marked crosswalks across | W, S, C | Provide ADA compliant | \$12,750 |
| | | north and south legs at 69 th Street. | | crossings and establish | |
| | | Install ADA compliant curb ramp to | | path of travel through the | |
| | | align with crosswalks. | | intersection | |
| | 6) | Install "No Pedestrian Crossing" | S | Channelize pedestrians to | \$500 |
| | | sign on east leg if marked crosswalk | | new marked crosswalk | |
| | | is installed on west leg. | | | |
| 69 th Street north of | 7) | Implement sidewalk on west side of | Α | Provide ADA compliant | \$37,500 |
| El Cajon Blvd | | street from El Cajon Boulevard to | | walkway on west side of | |
| | | existing sidewalk at alley. Provide | | 69 th Street | |
| | | ADA compliant curb ramps at alley. | | | |
| | 8) | Repaint all faded school crossing | S | Improve driver awareness | \$1,000 |
| | | pavement markings along 69 th | | in school zone | |
| | | Street. | | | |
| 69 th Street / | 9) | Replace existing marked school | Α | Reduce speeds and | \$18,000 |
| Mohawk Street | | crosswalk on north side of | | improve driver awareness | |
| | | intersection with a raised school | | in school zone | |
| | | crosswalk. | | | |
| | 10) | Implement curb extensions on all | S | Improve visibility around | \$72,000 |
| | | corners of the intersection with | | parked cars and reduce | |
| | | ADA compliant ramps to align with | | vehicular speeds | |
| | | the crosswalks. | | | |
| TOTAL ESTIMAT | ED (| COST | | | \$228,250 |
| (1) A = Accessibility | , | S = Safety | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Table C-3: 69 ^t | th Street Intersection Improvements (El Cajon Boulevard to Saranac Street) |
|----------------------------|---|
|----------------------------|---|

C = Connectivity



Improvement Area C-4:

Safe Routes to School Improvements at Hardy Elementary School (Montezuma Road at 54th Street)

Purpose & Need:

Montezuma Road at 54th Street, a busy intersection with high traffic speeds, serves as the main entrance to Hardy Elementary School. Although there are crosswalks provided on three legs, there are no curb ramps on the north leg and non-compliant ramps on the east and south legs. The crosswalk paint is faded and the unprotected left turn for the southbound vehicles creates potential pedestrian-vehicle conflicts in the western crosswalk. The sidewalk along the north side of Montezuma Road is obstructed by a light pole with an above ground foundation with little clearance for pedestrians. This project would update signage, curb ramps, and crosswalks to improve pedestrian safety in the area. Sidewalk widening and intersection improvements are also suggested to improve pedestrian circulation.

Recommendations:

Prepare plans and implement intersection improvements that meet current ADA standards in order to improve pedestrian safety and circulation. Update school areas signage to meet current CA-MUTCD standards. The table below provides potential improvements that should be considered.



Montezuma / 54th Street – entrance to school



Obstructed sidewalk and lack of proper curb ramps



Advance school signage – not compliant with 2010 MUTCD

| Location | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|-----------|
| Montezuma / 54 th Street | Restripe marked crosswalks with retro-reflective paint. | S | Improve visibility of pedestrians | \$1,500 |
| | Replace all pedestrian heads with countdown timers. | S | Prevent pedestrians from crossing at end of phase | \$18,000 |
| | 3) Install ADA compliant curb ramps at crosswalks. | A | Provide access at curb for all users | \$12,000 |
| Montezuma Road | Update light pole to underground foundation or relocate to back of sidewalk. | A, W | Eliminate obstruction on sidewalk | \$6,000 |
| | Restripe crosswalk across school driveway and install ADA compliant curb ramps. | С | Provide clear connection from intersection to school entrance walkway | \$7,500 |
| | Update School Speed Limit Assembly C (CA) signage with fluorescent yellow green sign and install school pavement markings. | S | Meet current CA- MUTCD standards and improve visibility of pedestrians | \$700 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED C | | 1 | 1 | \$45,700 |

A = Accessibility C = Connectivity S = Safety W = Walkability



Improvement Area C-5:

Montezuma Place Walkability Enhancements (Montezuma Road to Lindo Paseo)

Purpose & Need:

Montezuma Place connects Montezuma Road and Lindo Paseo, an active part of the SDSU campus with the Greek student housing, retail uses, SDSU Transit Center, and SDSU campus. This street is parallel to College Avenue and is an access road through a large parking lot. Despite being perceived as safer than College Avenue due to lower traffic volumes, there is no clear path of travel along Montezuma Place into SDSU. No sidewalks are provided on Montezuma Place and the



Montezuma Place / Lindo Paseo – wide intersection

diagonal parking makes pedestrian visibility very poor. Improvements at this location address near term safety solutions for pedestrians.

Although the site is currently used as parking, the College Community Redevelopment Project sites a mix of uses for this site including very high density residential, retail and office. The redevelopment project also includes pedestrian plazas and walkways that appear to be in line with existing infrastructure. Due to changes in redevelopment, funding for the project is uncertain and a implemention date is undetermined. Recommendations listed above for this location do not conflict



Montezuma Rd / Montezuma Place vehicles do not yield to pedestrians

Recommendations:

plan for this site.

Implement intersection and sidewalk improvements that complement the long range Redevelopment Plan for the site to address existing walkability Enhancements focus issues. on improving driver awareness and pedestrian safety/visibility. The table below provides potential improvements that should be considered at this location.

with, but complement the long range



Montezuma Place – no clear path for pedestrians

| Location | | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|--------------------------------|----|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Montezuma Road at Montezuma | 1) | Implement a pork chop | S | Reinforce restricted | \$24,750 |
| Place | | island on north leg of | | turning movements | |
| | | intersection to enforce | | for vehicles to right | |
| | | right turn only. | | in right out only | |
| | 2) | Install curb extensions | S, W | Provide buffer | \$36,000 |
| | | on the north leg of | | between parked cars | |
| | | intersection (on | | and pedestrians | |
| | | Montezuma Place), | | crossing the driveway | |
| | | including ADA | | | |
| | | compliant curb ramps. | | | |
| | 3) | Extend raised median | S, W | Create right turn only | \$27,200 |
| | | west on Montezuma | | in/out of Montezuma | |
| | | Road and complete | | Place to decrease | |
| | | complementary left- | | ped/vehicle conflicts | |
| | | turn striping to west. | | | |
| | | Restripe travel lanes if | | | |
| | | necessary to maintain | | | |
| | | 12-foot lanes. | | | |
| Montezuma Place at Lindo Paseo | 4) | Evaluate feasibility of | С | Create clear path of | \$2,500 |
| | | installing a marked | | travel for pedestrians | |
| | | crosswalk on south leg. | | | |
| | 5) | Implement curb | C, S, W | Decrease the | \$36,000 |
| | | extensions across the | | crossing distance for | |
| | | south leg of | | pedestrians and | |
| | | intersection (on | | increase visibility | |
| | | Montezuma Place), | | | |
| | | including ADA | | | |
| | | compliant curb ramps. | | | |
| Montezuma Place | 6) | Implement sidewalk on | S, W | Create clear path for | \$18,425 |
| | | east side in front of | | pedestrians outside | |
| | | diagonal parked cars | | of vehicular parking | |
| | | and restripe existing | | area | |
| | | parking. | | | |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | | | | | \$144,925 |

| Table C-5: Montezuma Place Walkability Enhancements (| Montezuma Road to Lindo Paseo) |
|---|--------------------------------|
|---|--------------------------------|

S = Safety W = Walkability

C = Connectivity



Improvement Area C-6:

Montezuma Road at College Avenue and at Rockford Drive Intersection Improvements

Purpose & Need:

Montezuma Road is a wide, high-volume street that hosts an abundance of student life including Greek housing, the Chabad House (part of the Jewish Student Life of San Diego), residence halls, and apartment complexes. Many of these housing units are on opposing sides of the street and results in jaywalking. One pedestrian fatality was recorded in the past five years on this corridor to the east of Rockford Drive on Montezuma Road. Pedestrian trips are also frequent to and from the Aztec Student Union on the SDSU campus, which is located a few blocks north of Montezuma Road on College Avenue. The intersection of Montezuma Road / College Avenue and Montezuma Road / Rockford Drive is a hub for pedestrian activity and provides access to the college campus as well as many eateries. This project proposes recommendations to improve pedestrian safety at the intersections.



Montezuma Rd at Rockford Dr



Montezuma Rd at College Avenue

Recommendations:

Implement measures to restrict access to Rockford Drive to improve pedestrian safety along Montezuma Road. Implement pedestrian crossing enhancements at College Avenue due to frequent pedestrian trips. The table below summarizes the potential improvements.

| | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|----|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 1) | Replace existing pedestrian | S, W | Decrease potential for | \$24,000 |
| | heads with countdown | | pedestrians to start walking at | |
| | timers. | | the end of pedestrian phase | |
| 2) | Extend raised median to the | S | Restrict left turns in and out | \$22,500 |
| | east, past Rockford Drive. | | of Rockford Drive to improve | |
| | | | safety at intersection | |
| 3) | Install "No Pedestrian | S | Direct pedestrians to cross | \$500 |
| | Crossing" signs on median | | Montezuma Road at College | |
| | at Rockford Drive. | | Avenue | |
| 4) | Reimplement southwest | S, W | Reduce crossing distance and | \$21,000 |
| | corner and install ADA | | reduce vehicular turning | |
| | compliant curb ramps. | | speed | |
| • | | | | \$68,000 |
| | S = Safety C = Connectivity | / | W = Walkability | |
| - | 2) 3) 4) | heads with countdown timers. 2) Extend raised median to the east, past Rockford Drive. 3) Install "No Pedestrian Crossing" signs on median at Rockford Drive. 4) Reimplement southwest corner and install ADA compliant curb ramps. | heads with countdown timers.2)Extend raised median to the east, past Rockford Drive.3)Install "No Pedestrian Crossing" signs on median at Rockford Drive.4)Reimplement southwest corner and install ADA compliant curb ramps. | heads with countdown timers.pedestrians to start walking at the end of pedestrian phase2)Extend raised median to the east, past Rockford Drive.SRestrict left turns in and out of Rockford Drive to improve safety at intersection3)Install "No Pedestrian Crossing" signs on median |

Table C-6: Montezuma Road at College Avenue and at Rockford Drive Intersection Improvements

Improvement Area C-7:

Montezuma Road Multi-use Trail Feasibility Assessment (West of Collwood Boulevard to 54th Street)

Purpose & Need:

This segment of Montezuma Road connects the College Area community to the communities of Kensington and Talmadge as well as Grantville. The community has initiated a plan to add a multi-use path along the north side of Montezuma Road to improve pedestrian and bicycle connectivity. The segment currently has sidewalk on the south side and bicycle lanes on both sides. This project would assess the feasibility of installing a multi-use trail on the north side of the street.

Recommendations:

Pedestrian and bicycle connectivity would be improved by implementing a multi-use path along Montezuma Road. Feasibility assessment is recommended to evaluate the potential impacts, costs, and constraints associated with the proposed plan of installing a multi-use trail.





Share The Road sign on Montezuma Road before Fairmount

| Location | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|---|--|---------------------|---|-----------|
| Montezuma Road West of Collwood Blvd. to 54 th Street) | Conduct a feasibility assessment and preliminary design for multi-use trail. | C, W | Improve connectivity and recreational amenities in the community | \$350,000 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | | | | |
| (1) A = Accessibility | S = Safety | | | |

A = Accessibility C = Connectivity





Improvement Area C-8:

70th Street Transit Access Improvements

Purpose & Need:

70th Street connects the College Area neighborhoods to the Trolley station located west of 70th Street on Alvarado Road. There are missing or incomplete sidewalks along 70th Street between El Cajon Boulevard and Alvarado Road. Crossing 70th Street is challenging due to speed of traffic, lack of gaps, and topography. This project would assess the feasibility of completing the sidewalks and improving the visibility of pedestrians at the intersection of 70th Street / Saranac Street. Improved street lights, curb extensions, and other pedestrian features are needed to improve overall walkability.

Recommendations:

Improve access to transit and connectivity by completing sidewalk and evaluating additional improvements for pedestrian visibility. The table below provides potential improvements in this improvement area.



West side of 70th Street



| Location | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|
| 70 th Street | Conduct a feasibility study to evaluate ROW issue associated with completing missing sidewalks. | A, C | Improve connectivity and access to transit | \$50,000 |
| 70 th Street / Saranac Street | Evaluate for the installation of a traffic signal. | A, S | Improve circulation of pedestrians crossing to transit stop with a pedestrian phase at signal | \$5,000 |
| Alvarado Road | Implement new sidewalk on Alvarado Road west of 70th Street. | C, W | Provide connected pedestrian path of travel | \$630,000 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | | | | \$685,000 |
| (1) A = Accessibility | S = Safety | | | |

Table C-8: 70th Street Transit Access Improvements

A = Accessibility C = Connectivity

Improvement Area C-9:

Saranac Street Safety Improvements (Reservoir Lane to 70th Street)

Purpose & Need:

This section of Saranac Street runs through a residential area with fronting homes and provides access to Harriet Tubman Village Charter School. Residents expressed concern about the lack of street lights, high traffic speeds, and pedestrian safety. Currently vehicles have an uncontrolled path of travel east to west with no stop signs or signals along Saranac Street from 67th Street to 70th Street. Speed humps have been installed on Saranac Street to help reduce traffic speeds. A speed survey should be conducted to determine the existing traffic speed on the road and a traffic calming plan should be developed to reduce speeds to 25 mph.



Recommendations:

Conduct a speed survey to determine existing traffic speed on road. A traffic calming plan should be designed to reduce traffic speeds to 25 mph. Since speed humps have been installed, it is anticipated that horizontal deflection may be needed to further reduce travel speeds. The table below provides potential improvements for this improvement area.

| Location | | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|-------------------------------------|----|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Saranac Street | 1) | Conduct speed survey and | S | Identify traffic calming tools | \$20,000 |
| (Reservoir Lane to 70 th | | traffic calming assessment. | | to maintain 25 mph speed | |
| Street) | 2) | Install traffic calming as | S | Maintain consistent 25 mph | \$40,000 |
| | | identified in traffic calming | | travel speed in Saranac Street | |
| | | assessment west of 68 th Street. | | | |
| Saranac Street / | 3) | Implement curb extensions | S, W | Reduce vehicle turning | \$36,000 |
| 69 th Street | | with ADA compliant curb | | speeds and reduce pedestrian | |
| | | ramps. | | crossing distance at skewed | |
| | | | | intersection | |
| Saranac Street | 4) | Install a marked school | С | Improve school walkability | \$750 |
| | | crosswalk across south leg. | | and safety | |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | | | | | \$96,750 |
| (1) A = Accessibility | | S = Safety | | | |

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|--|--|
| Table C-9: Saranac Street Safety Impro | ovements (Reservoir Lane to 70 th Street) |

A = Accessibility C = Connectivity



Improvement Area C-10:

67th Street Safety and Connectivity Improvements (El Cajon Boulevard to Mohawk Street)

Purpose & Need:

This segment of 67th Street connects the residential area to surrounding commercial uses. Residents expressed concern existing sidewalk conditions such as gaps in the sidewalk which result in non ADA compliant conditions, as well as missing curb ramps along routes between residential and commercial areas. In order to improve pedestrian safety and connectivity, feasibility of implementing a raised marked crosswalk on the

north leg of 67th Street / Mohawk Street intersection should be evaluated. The gap in the sidewalk on the east side of 67th Street should be implemented to provide a continuous path of travel.

Recommended Improvements:

Complete sidewalks and evaluate feasibility of new marked crosswalk to provide a contiguous ADA compliant connection between residential and commercial uses. The table below provides potential improvements that should be considered.





67th Street south of Saranac Street

| Location | | Description | Goal ⁽¹⁾ | Objective | Est. Cost |
|--|----|--|---------------------|---|-----------|
| 67th Street (El Cajon Boulevard to Saranac Street) | 1) | Implement missing sidewalk south of Mohawk Street with ADA compliant curb ramps. | A,C | Provide ADA compliant walkway on east side of 67 th Street | \$37,500 |
| | 2) | Missing southwest north of Mohawk St. on west side of 67 th St to Saranac St. with ADA compliant curb ramps | A | Provide ADA compliant walkway | \$34,500 |
| 67 th Street / Mohawk Street | 3) | Evaluate the feasibility of installing a raised enhanced crosswalk on Mohawk St. with ADA compliant curb ramps. | A, S | Improve pedestrian visibility, accessibility, and safety | \$8,500 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | | | | | \$80,500 |
| (1) A = Accessibility | S | = Safety | | | |

Table C-10: 67th Street Safety and Connectivity Improvements (El Cajon Boulevard to Mohawk Street)

A = Accessibility C = Connectivity