


**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE  
ANNUAL DRAINAGE CHANNEL FIELD  
ASSESSMENT AND MAINTENANCE PRIORITIZATION  
PROJECT (PHASE 1)  
FOR  
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO – MASTER STORM WATER  
SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM (MMP) MAP 122:  
PARKSIDE CHANNEL**

**Job Number 17204-D  
August 4, 2015**

**RICK**  
RICK ENGINEERING COMPANY  
ENGINEERING COMPANY  
RICK ENGINEERING CO

**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE  
ANNUAL DRAINAGE CHANNEL FIELD  
ASSESSMENT AND MAINTENANCE PRIORITIZATION PROJECT (PHASE 1)  
FOR  
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO – MASTER STORM WATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE  
PROGRAM (MMP) MAP 122: PARKSIDE CHANNEL**

Job Number 17204-D

  
Jayne Janda-Timba  
RCE #70649, Exp. 06/17  
Associate



Prepared For:  
**City of San Diego**  
2781 Caminito Chollas  
San Diego, California 92105

Prepared By:  
**Rick Engineering Company**  
**Water Resources Division**  
5620 Friars Road  
San Diego, California 92110-2596  
(619) 291-0707

**August 4, 2015**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Executive Summary .....	1
2.0 Introduction.....	1
3.0 Desktop Channel Maintenance Prioritization Analysis .....	1
4.0 Hydrologic Summary.....	2
5.0 Hydraulic Analysis.....	3
6.0 Other Channel Prioritization Factors .....	4
7.0 Summary of Findings and Recommendations .....	4

### **Tables**

Table 1: Channel Prioritization Assessment Factors and Weighting .....	2
Table 2: 100-year Peak Discharge (Q100) Estimation Based on Watershed Size .....	2
Table 3: Summary of Approximate Hydrologic Data .....	3
Table 4: Summary of Hydraulic Analysis Results .....	3
Table 5: Channel Prioritization Assessment Scoring Summary.....	4

### **Appendices**

Appendix A: Master Storm Water System Maintenance Program (MMP), dated October 2011, Storm Water Facilities Key Map and Map 122: Parkside Channel	
Appendix B: City of San Diego Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Channel Maintenance Inspection Forms completed for the channel and Site photos taken by the City of San Diego	
Appendix C: Hydrologic Support Material	
Appendix D: Hydraulic Analysis Output	
Appendix E: Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet	
Appendix F: Channel Maintenance Prioritization Summary Sheet	
Appendix G: Available As-built plans	
Appendix H: Compact Disc: PDF Version of Full Report	

## **1.0 Executive Summary**

This report and preliminary analyses concludes that the Channel Prioritization Score for the Parkside Channel (MMP Map 122) is **79.3 out of 100**. This score is above average and indicates that the channel is highly recommended for maintenance. If the channel is maintained to reflect the as-built condition, the hydraulic capacity of the channel will increase from the current less than a 2-year storm event capacity to a 100-year storm event capacity. In addition to the hydraulic capacity, the analyses considered other factors including water quality, community input and aesthetics. The analyses concluded that these other factors are generally in good condition and the benefits of maintaining the channel are mainly to reduce the flood risk.

## **2.0 Introduction**

This report summarizes the findings for the Annual Drainage Channel Field Assessment and Maintenance Prioritization Project (Phase 1) for the City of San Diego for Master Storm Water System Maintenance Program (MMP), dated October 2011, Map 122: Parkside Channel. Refer to Appendix A for the MMP Storm Water Facilities Key Map and Map 122.

### Purpose

As part of the Master Storm Water System Maintenance Program (MMP), the City of San Diego performed site visits to drainage channels within the MMP and designated several drainage channels as maintenance priorities. The purpose of Phase 1 of this project is to perform a desktop analysis to evaluate the drainage channels identified by the City of San Diego and rank them in order of significance for the purposes of City of San Diego maintenance activities.

## **3.0 Desktop Channel Maintenance Prioritization Analysis**

The desktop channel maintenance prioritization analysis is based on the following items which were reviewed and evaluated to determine the maintenance priority:

- City of San Diego Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Channel Maintenance Inspection Forms completed for the channel by the City of San Diego (Refer to Appendix B)
- Site photos taken by the City of San Diego (Refer to Appendix B)
- Available as-built plans (Refer to Appendix G)
- Hydraulic Analysis (Refer to Section 5.0 and Appendix D for detailed output)

Section 5.1 of the MMP discusses the Annual Maintenance Needs Determination Process. As part of the determination process, the MMP recommends that certain factors be evaluated including flood risk to life and property, water quality, community input and aesthetics. These four factors were utilized for this channel maintenance prioritization analysis. For the purposes of prioritizing the channel for maintenance activities, each main factor is weighted as shown in Table 1 below:

**Table 1**

<b>Channel Prioritization Assessment Factors and Weighting</b>	
<b>Factor</b>	<b>Percent Weighted (%)</b>
Flood Risk	75
Water Quality	10
Community Input	10
Aesthetics	5

As part of the channel prioritization analysis, each of the main factors has been divided into sub-factors. To determine the Flood Risk factor, a basic hydraulic analysis was performed for the channel. The hydraulic analysis is described in more detail in the Hydraulic Analysis section (Section 5.0) of this report. The remaining factors, Water Quality, Community Input and Aesthetics were assessed based on the site photos and the information provided on the (O&M) Channel Maintenance Inspection Form completed for the channel provided by the City of San Diego. These factors and sub-factors and how they relate to the Channel Prioritization Score are shown in more detail on the Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet located in Appendix E.

#### **4.0 Hydrologic Summary**

##### Estimated Peak Discharges

A drainage study for the channel was not available at the authorship of this report. The drainage channel is not a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) defined channel and no detailed hydrologic analysis was available. Therefore, the 100-year storm event peak discharge (Q100) for the channel was estimated based on the size of the watershed tributary to the channel as shown in Table 2 below:

**Table 2**

<b>100-year Peak Discharge (Q100) Estimation Based on Watershed Size</b>				
<b>Watershed Area (square</b>	<b>&lt;1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>&gt;4</b>
<b>cfs per acre</b>	4	2	1.5	1

cfs = cubic feet per second

The 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, and 50-year storm event flow rates were then approximated by taking the ratio of the unknown storm event 6-hour precipitation and the 100-year storm event 6-hour precipitation, and then multiplying Q100 by the ratio to estimate the flow rate for the unknown storm event. Hydrologic support material is located in Appendix C. A summary of the estimated peak discharges are provided in the table below:

**Table 3**

<b>Summary of Approximate Hydrologic Data</b>						
<b>Drainage Area: 768 acres</b>						
<b>6-hour Precipitation</b>	1.2	1.5	1.75	2.1	2.5	2.6
<b>Frequency</b>	<b>2-Year</b>	<b>5-Year</b>	<b>10-Year</b>	<b>25-Year</b>	<b>50-Year</b>	<b>100-Year</b>
<b>Discharge (cfs)</b>	709	886	1034	1241	1477	1536

cfs = cubic feet per second

**5.0 Hydraulic Analysis**

A basic hydraulic analysis of the channel was performed to assess the Flood Risk factor. The channel assessment limits are shown on Map 122 located in Appendix A. Manning’s equation was utilized to calculate the capacity of the channel under two conditions:

1. As-built Conditions: based on the material and geometry as shown on the available as-built plans. (Refer to Appendix G)
2. Current Conditions: based on the vegetation and sediment levels estimated from the site photos taken by the City of San Diego and information provided on the (O&M) Channel Maintenance Inspection Form prepared by the City of San Diego.

Culvert crossings that may exist within the channel reach were not analyzed as part of this hydraulic analysis. Existing culverts may be inefficient or undersized, however the culvert hydraulics were not considered as part of this analysis.

The multiple storm event peak discharges previously calculated in Section 4.0 were evaluated under each condition to assess the capacity of the channel and evaluate the benefit of performing maintenance activities on the channel. See the table below for a summary of the hydraulic results and Appendix D for detailed hydraulic output.

**Table 4**

<b>Summary of Hydraulic Analysis Results</b>			
<b>CURRENT CHANNEL CAPACITY</b>		<b>AS-BUILT CHANNEL CAPACITY</b>	
<b>Current Condition (cfs)</b>	<b>Equivalent Storm Event (year)</b>	<b>As-built Condition (cfs)</b>	<b>Equivalent Storm Event (year)</b>
737.7	<2	2193.7	100

cfs = cubic feet per second

## 6.0 Other Channel Prioritization Factors

Sections 4.0 and 5.0 above discuss the determination process for the Flood Risk factor. For more information on the assessment of the Water Quality, Community Input, and Aesthetics factors please refer to the Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet in Attachment E. The Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet lists and describes the sub-factors that are considered in the determination of the four main channel assessment factors.

## 7.0 Summary of Findings and Recommendations

A summary of the Channel Assessment is shown in the table below:

**Table 5**

<b>Channel Prioritization Assessment Scoring Summary</b>		
<b>Factor</b>	<b>Percent Weighted (%)</b>	<b>Weighted Factor Score/Maximum</b>
Flood Risk	75	71.3/75
Water Quality	10	3/10
Community Input	10	5/10
Aesthetics	5	0/5
<b>Overall Channel Score:</b>		<i>79.3/100</i>

Additionally, the following items should be noted:

- Based on the site photos taken by the City of San Diego, vegetation has grown down from the top of the channel banks over the concrete side slopes. A high risk of vegetation flowing downstream and clogging the culvert exists.
- Additionally, vegetation has grown down from the top of the downstream culvert entrance. A high risk of vegetation flowing into the culvert and clogging it exists. It is recommended that this vegetation be maintained.


Based on the evaluation of the four weighted channel prioritization factors described in Section 3.0 of this report, the Channel Prioritization Score for MMP Map 122: Parkside Channel is **79.3**. Refer to the Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet located in Appendix E for details on the evaluation of the weighted factors and resulting score for this channel.

It is recommended that this drainage channel be maintained to increase the current capacity of the channel from less than a 2-year storm event back to a 100-year storm event capacity.

A summary of the channel including an aerial map, channel prioritization score, and other pertinent information is shown on the exhibit titled “Channel Maintenance Prioritization Summary Sheet” located in Appendix F.

**Appendix A**  
**Master Storm Water System Maintenance Program (MMP),**  
**dated October 2011, Storm Water Facilities**  
**Key Map and Map 122: Parkside Channel**






I:\ArcGIS\SDM-01\Map\ENV\MSSMP\Fig2d\_CentralSD.mxd-NM

## Stormwater Facilities - Central San Diego Area

CITY OF SAN DIEGO MASTER STORMWATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

Figure 2d





E:\ArcGIS\SDM-01 StormDrainMaintenance\Map\ENV\MasterPlan\Map122.mxd -RK

## Access and Staging Areas

CITY OF SAN DIEGO MASTER STORMWATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

**Appendix B**  
**City of San Diego Operations and Maintenance (O&M)**  
**Channel Maintenance Inspection Forms completed**  
**for the channel and Site photos taken by the City of San Diego**

# Operations and Maintenance

## Channel Maintenance Inspection Form

Date: 5/7/15 Time: 1:25

Channel Map No.: 122

Watershed: Sweetwater Parkside

Inspector: Anthony, Jacques

Weather: Good

Initial Inspection

Follow Up Inspection

Item	Condition	Comments
<b>A. Channel Condition</b>		
1= Poor Condition/Needs Immediate Attention		
2= Moderate Condition		
3= Good Condition		
1. Structure Condition	1 2 <u>3</u> N/A	
2. Erosion	1 2 3 <u>N/A</u>	
3. <u>Trash/Debris</u>	1 <u>2</u> 3 N/A	Type of trash and source:
4. Water Conveyance/ Volume	1 <u>2</u> 3 N/A	
5. Standing Water	Y <u>N</u>	
A. Ponding	Y <u>N</u>	
B. Noticeable odors	Y <u>N</u>	
C. Algae	<u>Y</u> N	
6. Vegetation	1 2 3 N/A	Approx. Coverage/Density of Vegetation: <u>60%</u>
A. Invasive (Arundo)	<u>1</u> 2 3 N/A	
B. Native	1 <u>2</u> 3 N/A	
7. Sediment	1 <u>2</u> 3 N/A	Approx. Depth/Coverage of Sediment: <u>20%</u>
8. Transients/ encampments	Y <u>N</u>	

**B. Culverts and Outfalls**

1= Poor Condition/Needs Immediate Attention

2= Moderate Condition

3= Good Condition

Item	Condition	Comments
1. Structure Condition	1 2 (3) N/A	
2. Trash/Debris/Sediment	1 (2) 3 N/A	
3. Clogging	1 (2) 3 N/A	

**C. See Map Attached**

-Identify Key Issues on Map

-Inspect and take photographs from vantage points identified on Map

**Other Comments:**

**D. To Be Completed by Management**

**Follow Up Actions**

1.

2.

3.

**E. Infrastructure Failure Issues**


Item	Condition	Comments
1. Broken Concrete/Gunite?	Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N N/A	
2. Broken/Missing Trash Fence?	Y N <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A	
3. Broken/Missing Poles/Supports?	Y N <input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A	
4. Exposed Rebar?	Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N N/A	
5. Rock/Debris Accumulation?	Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N N/A	
6. Potential Flooding/Litigation?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Y N N/A	
7. Slope Failure?	Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N N/A	

Other Comments/Observations:

Cottonwood Channel Section 02 of 02  
Map 121



Parkside Channel Section 01 of 01  
Map 122



HELIX


Vegetation/Wetland Delineation - Maps 121 & 122

CITY OF SAN DIEGO MASTER STORMWATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

Cottonwood Channel Section 02 of 02  
Map 121



Parkside Channel Section 01 of 01  
Map 122



HELIX

Vegetation/Wetland Delineation - Maps 121 & 122

CITY OF SAN DIEGO MASTER STORMWATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM





Parkside Channel.1 (5-7-2015).JPG



Parkside Channel.2 (5-7-2015).JPG



Parkside Channel.3 (5-7-2015).JPG



Parkside Channel.7 (5-7-2015).JPG



Parkside Channel.8 (5-7-2015).JPG



Parkside Channel.9 (5-7-2015).JPG




Parkside Channel.10 (5-7-2015).JPG

**Appendix C**  
**Hydrologic Support Material**



Parkside Channel Watershed MMP Map 122



**Explanation**

- |                              |                                     |                                |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ★ GlobalWatershedPoint       | ▲ Gaging Station, Continuous Record | □ hucpoly                      |
| ⊙ Centroid                   | ▲ Low Flow, Partial Record          | — streams                      |
| ◆ huc_net_Junctions          | ▲ Peak Flow, Partial Record         | ⊗ ExcludePoly                  |
| ▭ GlobalWatershed            | ▲ Peak and Low Flow, Partial Record | — Approximate Channel Location |
| <b>Synthetic Stream Grid</b> | ▲ Stage Only                        |                                |
| ■                            | ▲ Low Flow, Partial Record, Stage   |                                |
|                              | ▲ Miscellaneous Record              |                                |
|                              | ▲ Unknown                           |                                |



# San Diego County Hydrology Manual



Prepared by the County of San Diego  
Department of Public Works  
Flood Control Section  
June 2003

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>LETTER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	
A	Hydrologic Soil Groups Map	A-1
B	Isopluvial Maps	B-1
C	Precipitation Zone Number (PZN) Map	C-1
D	Worksheets for NRCS Hydrologic Method Calculations	D-1
E	85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Precipitation Isopluvial Map	E-1

# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



## Rainfall Isopluvials

### 2 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours





**⊗ P<sub>0</sub> = 1.2 inches**



THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright 2006. All Rights Reserved.

This product may contain information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has been reproduced with permission granted by Thomson Reuters Maps.



# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



## Rainfall Isopluvials

### 5 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours



$\text{⊕ } \phi_6 = 1.5 \text{ inches}$



THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright SanGIS, All Rights Reserved.

This product only contains information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has been reproduced with permission granted by Thomson Reuters Maps.





# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



## Rainfall Isopluvials

### 10 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours



**P<sub>6</sub> = 1.75 inches**



THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright Reserved. All Rights Reserved.

This product may contain information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has been reproduced with permission granted by Thomas Brothers Maps.



3 0 3 Miles



# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



## Rainfall Isopluvials

### 25 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours



*P<sub>6</sub> = 2.1 mches*



THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright San Diego, All Rights Reserved.

This product may contain information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has been reproduced with permission granted by Thomas Brothers Maps.



# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



## Rainfall Isopluvials

### 50 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours



*⊗ P<sub>6</sub> = 2.5 inches*



THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright Reserved. All Rights Reserved.

This product may contain information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product was adapted from maps which have been reproduced with permission granted by Thomson Reuters Maps.



# County of San Diego Hydrology Manual



## Rainfall Isopluvials

### 100 Year Rainfall Event - 6 Hours



*⊕ P<sub>6</sub> = 2.6 inches*



3 0 3 Miles

THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright 2008. All Rights Reserved.

This product may contain information from the SANDAG Regional Information System which cannot be reproduced without the written permission of SANDAG.

This product may contain information which has been reproduced with permission granted by Thomas Barbara Maps.



**Appendix D**  
**Hydraulic Analysis Output**

# Hydraulic Analysis Report

## Project Data

Project Title: Project - Parkside Channel Map 122

Designer: Rick Engineering Company

J-17204-D

Project Date: Thursday, July 16, 2015

Project Units: U.S. Customary Units

## Channel Analysis: As-Built\_Parkside\_Map122\_100

Notes: The cross-section of the channel on the as-built plans show a 12-foot wide concrete bottom, 5.5 feet high with 1.5:1 concrete side slopes. Pursuant to Table 1-104.14A of the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual, dated April 1984, the roughness coefficients used for the channel side slopes and channel bottom are 0.015.

## Input Parameters

Channel Type: Trapezoidal

Side Slope 1 (Z1): 1.5000 (ft/ft)

Side Slope 2 (Z2): 1.5000 (ft/ft)

Channel Width: 12.0000 (ft)

Longitudinal Slope: 0.0074 (ft/ft)

Manning's n: 0.0150

Depth: 5.5000 (ft)

## Result Parameters

Flow: 2193.7610 (cfs)

Area of Flow: 111.3750 (ft<sup>2</sup>)

Wetted Perimeter: 31.8305 (ft)

Hydraulic Radius: 3.4990 (ft)

Average Velocity: 19.6971 (ft/s)

Top Width: 28.5000 (ft)

Froude Number: 1.7559

Critical Depth: 7.4456 (ft)

Critical Velocity: 12.7172 (ft/s)

Critical Slope: 0.0023 (ft/ft)

Critical Top Width: 34.3369 (ft)

Calculated Max Shear Stress: 2.5541 (lb/ft<sup>2</sup>)

Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 1.6249 (lb/ft<sup>2</sup>)

## Channel Analysis: Current\_Condition\_Parkside\_Map122\_2-5

Notes: The cross-section of the channel on the as-built plans show a 12-foot wide concrete bottom, 5.5 feet high with 1.5:1 concrete side slopes. Based on the site photos provided to us and discussion with City of San Diego, there are areas in which vegetation has grown down over the side slopes from the top of the channel banks. Additionally, there is dense vegetation in the bottom of the channel with many large trees. The sediment depth in order for these trees to grow was assumed to be 0.2 feet. The channel cross-section used for calculations was adjusted to account for this sediment depth. Pursuant to Table 1-104.14A of the City of San Diego Drainage Design Manual, dated April 1984, the roughness coefficients used for each of the channel side slopes and channel bottom are  $n = 0.06$  and  $0.075$ , respectively. The roughness coefficient used for the side slopes is based on some weeds, heavy brush on banks. The roughness coefficient used for the channel bottom is based on some weeds and heavy brush on banks with trees in channel, branches submerged at flood stage.

### Input Parameters

Channel Type: Custom Cross Section

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Manning's n
0.00	5.50	0.0600
7.95	0.20	0.0750
20.55	0.20	0.0600
28.50	0.00	-----

### Cross Section Data

Longitudinal Slope: 0.0074 (ft/ft)

Depth: 5.3000 (ft)

### Result Parameters

Flow: 657.4344 (cfs)

Area of Flow: 125.1075 (ft<sup>2</sup>)

Wetted Perimeter: 29.7467 (ft)

Hydraulic Radius: 4.2058 (ft)

Average Velocity: 5.2550 (ft/s)

Top Width: 28.2000 (ft)

Froude Number: 0.4397

Critical Depth: 3.2145 (ft)

Critical Velocity: 9.4517 (ft/s)

Critical Slope: 0.0457 (ft/ft)

Critical Top Width: 25.0717 (ft)

Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.0000 (lb/ft<sup>2</sup>)

Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.0000 (lb/ft<sup>2</sup>)

Composite Manning's n Equation: Lotter method

Manning's n: 0.0634

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO**



---

---

**DRAINAGE DESIGN  
MANUAL**

---

---

APRIL • 1984



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

1-104.12	Chart/Gutter and Roadway Discharge - Velocity Chart . . .	70A
1-104.13	Channel Easements . . . . .	71
1-104.14	Mannings Roughness coefficient . . . . .	73
1-104.14A	Table/Design Values for Mannings Roughness Coefficient (n) . . . . .	74
1-104.14B	Chart/Nomograph for Solution of Manning Equation . . .	76
1-104.15	Design Guides . . . . .	77
1-104-15A	Figure/Typical Channel Plan . . . . .	78
1-104.15B	Figure/Typical Channel Profile . . . . .	79
APPENDIX I		
	Rational Method . . . . .	80 thru 87
APPENDIX II		
	Modified Rational Method . . . . .	88 thru 92
APPENDIX III		
	SCS Method . . . . .	93 thru 119
SEDIMENT DETENTION		
	Basin Desilting . . . . .	120 thru 126
APPENDIX IV		
	What pH Values Mean . . . . .	131
	Definitions (CALTRANS-Highway Design Manual). . . . .	132 thru 138
	Department Instructionf for C.I.P.C.P. . . . .	139 thru 142
INDEX		
	Index . . . . .	143 thru 146

TABLE 1-104.14A

## DESIGN VALUES FOR MANNINGS ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT (n)

<u>TYPE OF CHANNEL</u>	<u>N VALUE</u>
Unlined Channels:	
Clay Loam;	0.023
Sand	0.020
Gravel	0.030
Rock	0.040
Lined Channels:	
Portland Cement Concrete	0.015
Air Blown Mortar	0.018
Asphalt Concrete	0.018
Grass Lined Channels: (Shallow depths)	
2 inch length	0.050
4 - 6 inch length	0.060
6 - 12 inch length	0.120
12 - 24 inch + length	0.200
Pavement and Gutters:	
Concrete	0.015
Asphalt Concrete	0.018
Natural Streams: (Less than 100 feet wide at flood stage)	
1. Regular section	
a. Some grass and weeds, little or no brush	0.030
b. Dense growth of weeds, depth of flow substantially greater than weed height	0.040
c. Some weeds, light brush on bank	0.040
d. Some weeds, heavy brush on banks	0.060
e. With trees in channel, branches submerged at flood stage, increase above values by	0.015

TABLE 1-104.14A (Continued)

2.	Irregular section, with pools, slight channel meander increase all values listed in 1. Regular Section, by	0.015
----	--	-------

## Flood Plains: (adjacent to natural streams)

1.	Pasture, no brush	
	a. Short grass	0.030
	b. High grass	0.040
2.	Cultivated areas	
	a. No crop	0.040
	b. Mature row crops	0.040
	c. Mature field crops	0.050
3.	Heavy weeds, scattered brush	0.050
4.	Light brush and trees	0.060
5.	Medium to dense brush	0.090
6.	Dense willows	0.170
7.	Cleared land with tree stumps, 100-150 per acre	0.060
8.	Heavy stand of timer, little undergrowth	
	a. Flood depth below branches	0.110
	b. Flood depth reaches branches	0.140

**Appendix E**  
**Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet**

**Channel Prioritization Assessment Sheet for Parkside Channel MMP Map 122**

**Total Channel Score: 79.3 /100**

Flood Hazard (75% of total weight)				Score	factor weight	Weighted Points	
<b>Δ capacity</b>				<b>Sum of sub-factor a-c scores:</b>	<b>12</b>	25%	15
a. Risk of flooding	Current Channel Normal depth capacity <sup>1</sup> :	657.4 cfs	<2 -yr. storm event	2-yr.=score of 5; 5-yr.=score of 4; 10-yr.=score of 3; 25-yr.=score of 2; 50-yr.=score of 1; 100-yr.=score of 0			(out of 15)
b. Increase in storm event capacity	Channel As-Built normal depth capacity <sup>1</sup> :	2193.7 cfs	100 -yr. storm event	1 point given for every level increase in -year storm event capacity, post-maintenance			
c. Net percent increase in channel capacity post-maintenance		234%		Less than 100% = score of 0; 100%-199% = score of 1; 200%-299% = score of 2; 300%-399% = score of 3; 400%-500%= score of 4; Over 500% = score of 5			
<b>Consequence of flooding adjacent areas</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	50%	37.5	
	Surrounding area land use: (area within 100 feet of the channel or area in which more than 10,000 ft <sup>2</sup> is impacted from flooding.)		Residential	Residential = score of 4; Commercial = score of 4; Roads = score of 2; Agriculture = score of 1; Other = score of 1			
	Is there open space surrounding the channel?		No	If yes, subtract land use score by 1			
<b>Clogging Potential</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	25%	18.75	
	Are there trees/large debris that have potential to flow D/S and clog culverts/the channel?		Yes				
				<b>Total Weighted Flood Hazard Points</b>		<b>71.3</b>	

Water Quality/Channel Condition (10% of total weight)				Score	factor weight	Weighted Points
<b>Trash/Debris</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	20%	0
	Type of trash and Source:		None			
<b>Standing water</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	15%	0
	Ponding?		No			
	Noticeable odors?		No			
	Algae?		Yes			
<b>Sediment</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	35%	2
	Approx. sediment coverage: (Based on information provided on City of San Diego O&M Channel Maintenance Inspection Form)		20%			
	Rock/debris Accumulation?		No			
<b>Transients/encampments</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	10%	0
<b>Culverts and Outfalls</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	10%	1
	Culvert structure condition		Average. Large amount of overhanging			
<b>Infrastructure Issues</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	10%	0
	Broken concrete/gunite?		No			
	Broken or missing trash fence/fence poles/supports?		No			
	Slope failure?		No			
				<b>Total Weighted Water Quality Points</b>		<b>3.0</b>

Community Input (10% of total weight)				Score	factor weight	Weighted Points
<b>Community Complaints Received</b>				<b>YES NO</b>	50%	5
<b>Community Outreach Input</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	50%	0
				<b>Total Weighted Community Input Points</b>		<b>5.0</b>

Aesthetics (5% of total weight)				Score	factor weight	Weighted Points
<b>Aesthetics</b>				<b>0 1 2 3 4</b>	100%	0
	Are the aesthetics of the channel compromised?		No			
				<b>Total Weighted Aesthetics Points</b>		<b>0.0</b>

1. See appendix D for geometry parameters

Scoring Legend	
0	Factor is in good condition and does not need attention
1	Factor is in good condition, but will eventually need attention
2	Factor needs attention
3	Factor is in bad condition and needs attention
4	Factor is in severe condition and needs immediate attention


**Appendix F**  
**Channel Maintenance Prioritization Summary Sheet**



**Legend**

- Photo Location
- Channel Survey
- City Storm Drain Structure
- City Storm Drain

**Photos:**






**Assessment Results**

- **Channel Prioritization Score:**  
*79.3 out of 100*
  - **Flood Hazard Score:**  
*71.3 out of 75*
  - **Water Quality Score:**  
*3 out of 10*
  - **Community Input Score:**  
*5 out of 10*
  - **Aesthetics Score:**  
*0 out of 5*
- **Capacity Prior to Maintenance:**  
*Less than 2-year storm event*
- **Capacity After Maintenance (As-built Capacity):**  
*100-year storm event*
- **Clogging Potential:** *HIGH*
- **Approximate Vegetation Coverage:** *MEDIUM*
- **Surrounding Area:** *Residential*
- **Infrastructure Failures:**  
*None*
- **Site Evaluation Date:**  
*May 7, 2015*
- **Notes/Comments:**  
*Vegetation has grown down from the top of the downstream culvert entrance as well as over the channel banks. A high risk of vegetation flowing into the culvert and clogging it exists. It is recommended that this vegetation be maintained.*

**Appendix G**  
**Available As-built plans**





PLAN  
 SCALE - 1" = 60'

PLANS FOR STORM DRAIN IMPROVEMENTS OF PARKSIDE AVENUE In North Bonita Hills Unit No. 4			
CITY OF SAN DIEGO ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT		SHEET 9 OF 10 SHEETS	W.O. NO. 76205
PLAN REVISION	BY	DATE	APPROVAL
AS BUILT	ENE	10-4-60	<i>E. J. [Signature]</i> 7/2/59
CONSTRUCTION RECORD		DATE STARTED	DATE COMPLETED
8-5-59		8-16-60	
CONTR. K.H. GOLDEN CO.	INSP FESLER	FIELD BOOK L-268	
WATER DEPT.	SEWERAGE	DRAINAGE	HIGHWAY
PLANNING DEPT.	GRADES	CONTROL	
CITY ENGINEER	APPROVALS FOR	CHECKED	DESIGNED
ASST. CITY ENGINEER AND OFFICE ENGINEER	SUPERVISOR		
			7498 D

PRIVATE CONTRACT  
 ENGINEER OF WORK  
 McINTIRE & QUIROS, INC.  
 3211 Beverly Blvd., L.A. Calif.  
 BY: *Olen D. Murrey* 5/2/57  
 OLEN D. MURREY RE.9548

**Appendix H**  
**Compact Disc**  
**PDF Version of Full Report**