CHAPTER 11. SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY MEETINGS

At the conclusion of the Rosecrans Corridor Mobility Study, the Technical Project Team presented the findings of the study to the community groups represented on the Project Working Group. Working with the Project Working Group members, the Technical Team requested that the community groups provide feedback on the 22 improvements identified in the study area. Each group was asked to prepare a letter of acknowledgement to the City regarding the project and summarize their support or concerns in that letter.

When the project concluded in February 2010, the actions had been taken by the community groups:

- Old Town Chamber of Commerce – Voted unanimously in January to provide a letter to the City of San Diego in support of the project.

- Old Town Community Planning Committee – Presentation was made in January. In February, the Planning Committee voted unanimously to provide letter of support to the City of San Diego.

- North Bay Community Planning Group – Presentation was made in January. At that time the Planning Group voted to provide a letter to City of San Diego identifying their concerns about the findings of the study. The Planning Group had previously taken action in November 2009 on this item when they voted on the following items:

    - Overemphasis on bicycle lanes in the study
    - There is a need for improved lighting under the I-5 freeway
    - Opposed to the removal of left turn pocket on northbound Rosecrans at Sports Arena
    - Opposed to removal of parking on Rosecrans Street
    - Opposed to new traffic signal at Rosecran Street / Hancock Street

- Peninsula Community Planning Board – Presentation was made in January and in February. Board decided to wait until complete study was available for review to provide comments on the 22 improvements presented. Vote anticipated by the Board in April 2010.

- Point Loma Association – Presentation made in January 2010. No action was taken by the Board to provide letter to City.

- La Playa Heritage – Presentation made in February 2010. No action was taken by the members in attendance at the meeting.

Letters received by the City of San Diego are to be inserted into this chapter once received from the various organizations.