



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO



ANNUAL REPORT

for Fiscal Year 2019

MISSION HILLS HISTORIC STREET LIGHTING MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT

under the provisions of the

**San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance
of the San Diego Municipal Code**

Prepared For
City of San Diego, California



Prepared By
EFS Engineering, Inc.
P.O. Box 22370
San Diego, CA 92192-2370
(858) 752-3490

May 2018

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Mayor

Kevin Faulconer

City Council Members

Barbara Bry
District 1 (Council President Pro Tem)

Lorie Zapf
District 2

Chris Ward
District 3

Myrtle Cole
District 4 (Council President)

Mark Kersey
District 5

Chris Cate
District 6

Scott Sherman
District 7

David Alvarez
District 8

Georgette Gómez
District 9

City Attorney

Mara W. Elliott

Chief Operating Officer

Kris Michell

City Clerk

Elizabeth Maland

Independent Budget Analyst

Andrea Tevlin

City Engineer

James Nagelvoort

Table of Contents

Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2019
Mission Hills Historic Street Lighting
Maintenance Assessment District

| | |
|--|----|
| Preamble | 1 |
| Executive Summary | 2 |
| Background | 3 |
| District Boundary | 3 |
| Project Description | 3 |
| Separation of General and Special Benefits | 4 |
| Cost Estimate..... | 4 |
| Annual Cost-Indexing | 4 |
| Method of Apportionment..... | 5 |
| Estimated Benefit of Improvements | 5 |
| Apportionment Methodology | 6 |
| Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs) | 6 |
| Land Use Factor | 6 |
| Benefit Factor | 7 |
| Sample Calculations | 9 |
| Summary Results..... | 10 |

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A: District Boundary

Exhibit B: Estimated Budget – Revenue & Expense Statement
for Fiscal Year 2019

Exhibit C: Preliminary Assessment Roll for Fiscal Year 2019

Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2019 Mission Hills Historic Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District

Preamble

Pursuant to §65.0220 of the “San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance” (being Division 2, Article 5, Chapter 6 of the *San Diego Municipal Code*) and City of San Diego Council Resolution No. R-310618 passed on July 22, 2016, authorizing the continued levy of assessments for the life of the MISSION HILLS HISTORIC STREET LIGHTING MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT (hereinafter referred to as “District”), and in accordance with applicable provisions of “Proposition 218” (being Article XIID of the California Constitution), and provisions of the “Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act” (being California Senate Bill 919) (the aforementioned provisions are hereinafter referred to collectively as “applicable law”), and in accordance with Resolution No. _____, adopted by the CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and in connection with the annual proceedings for the District, EFS Engineering, Inc., as Assessment Engineer to the City of San Diego, submits herewith this annual report for the District as required by §65.0220 of the “San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance.”

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO,
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ON THE
_____ DAY OF _____, 2018.

Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Executive Summary

Project: Mission Hills Historic Street Lighting
Maintenance Assessment District

Apportionment Method: Equivalent Benefit Unit (EBU)

| | FY 2018 | FY 2019 ⁽¹⁾ | Maximum ⁽²⁾ Authorized |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Total Parcels Assessed: | 726 | 726 | -- |
| Total Estimated Assessment: | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | -- |
| Total Number of EBUs: | 718.24 | 718.24 | -- |
| Assessment per EBU: | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$32.91 ⁽³⁾ |

⁽¹⁾ FY 2019 is the City's Fiscal Year 2019, which begins July 1, 2018 and ends June 30, 2019. Total Parcels Assessed, Total Estimated Assessment, and assessment apportionment factors may vary from prior fiscal year values due to parcel changes and/or land use re-classifications.

⁽²⁾ Maximum authorized assessment rate subject to cost-indexing as approved by property owners at the time of District formation.

⁽³⁾ Prior fiscal year's maximum authorized annual assessment rate increased by cost-indexing factor of 2.76%.

Annual Cost-Indexing: The maximum authorized assessment rates are cost-indexed and will increase (or decrease) annually based on the annual change in the *San Diego Consumer Price Index for Urban Consumers* (SDCPI-U).

Background

The Mission Hills Historic Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District (District) was established by the City of San Diego (City) on July 21, 2009 by City Council Resolution R-305099. The purpose of the District was, and still is, to fund specifically identified improvements and activities within the boundary of the District.

In conformity with §65.0221 of the “San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance,” the City adopted Resolution No. R-310618 on July 22, 2016, approving the annual budget and assessments for Fiscal Year 2017, and authorizing the levy of the assessments for the life of the District, consistent with the benefit findings and assessment apportionment method contained in the approved formational and all subsequently updated Engineer’s Reports (Engineer’s Reports). The Engineer’s Reports are on file with the City Clerk, and incorporated herein by reference.

The District is authorized and administered under the provisions of the “San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance.” This annual report has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of §65.0220 of the “San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance.”

District Boundary

The District boundary is generally depicted in **Exhibit A**. The District Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram are on file in the Maintenance Assessment Districts section of the Parks and Recreation Department of the City, and, by reference, are made a part of this annual report.

Project Description

The authorized assessments will be used to fund specifically identified improvements and activities within the District. The District improvements and activities generally consist of procurement, installation, operations and maintenance of the identified decorative (“acorn” style) lighting located within the District. The approximate location of the improvements is generally shown in **Figure 1**.

For additional detail as to the location, type of improvements, and activities performed by the District, please refer to the maps, improvement plans, engineering drawings, maintenance specifications, the Engineer’s Reports and other associated documents on file with the Maintenance Assessment Districts section of the Parks and Recreation

Department of the City. These documents, collectively, are incorporated herein by reference and made part of this annual report.

Separation of General and Special Benefits

The identified improvements and activities provide benefits to the parcels located within the District. These benefits are “special benefits” to the extent that they are above and beyond the City’s standard level of service, and exclusive of those “general benefits” provided to the public at large or properties located outside the District. By law, only “special benefits” are assessable.

The assessments presented in this annual report are based on the cost of improvements and activities determined to provide “special benefits” in accordance with the Engineer’s Reports. For additional detail relative to the separation and quantification of general and special benefits for the District, please refer to the Engineer’s Reports, on file with the Maintenance Assessment Districts section of the Parks and Recreation Department of the City.

Cost Estimate

The estimated annual budget (Revenue & Expense Statement) for Fiscal Year 2019 (July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019 period) is included as **Exhibit B**. The “Other Contributions (Non Assessment Source)” revenue contained in the budget includes the value of improvements and activities determined to provide “general benefits.” The District budget with full detail is available for public reference in the Maintenance Assessment Districts section of the Parks and Recreation Department of the City.

Annual Cost-Indexing

The maximum authorized assessment set forth in the Engineer’s Reports is permitted to increase annually based on the published change in the “San Diego Consumer Price Index for Urban Consumers” (SDCPI-U). The annual change in second half SDCPI-U values, as compiled by the *U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics* (see www.bls.gov), for the prior year period was from 276.837 to 284.464 (a 2.76% increase). In accordance with the approved cost-indexing provisions, the maximum authorized assessment rates have been increased by 2.76%.

Estimated Benefit of Improvements

The *Uptown Community Plan* (Community Plan) and the general policy recommendations found in the City's *Progress Guide & General Plan* (General Plan) establish several goals, objectives, and guidelines for the planned development of the community. The Community Plan makes specific reference to the following noteworthy objectives and recommendations:

- “Preservation of community character and historical, architectural and cultural resources.” (p. 12)
- “Improve the pedestrian and vehicular quality of the streetscape through the design of new development, public improvements, landscaping and coordinated street plantings.” (p. 78)
- “Street furniture, coordinated signage and lighting, planters, kiosks, public art, and plant material should be incorporated whenever possible to add to the vitality of the streetscape.” (p. 82)
- “Street trees, lighting, bollards and/or sidewalk textures should be provided along major streets to create a pleasing pedestrian environment, in addition to providing a separation between pedestrian circulation and vehicular movement.” (p. 82)
- “Enrich the pedestrian quality of all areas by increasing sidewalk widths when appropriate, identifying street tree themes, providing cohesive street furniture, unifying signage, increasing lighting, varying pavement materials and providing nodal points at strategic locations.” (p. 82)

The proposed decorative lighting improvements and activities are consistent with these objectives and recommendations. The City's General Plan and Community Plan support the establishment of community-based improvement and maintenance districts, such as this District, to fund enhanced improvements and activities.

The proposed improvements and activities are generally located in the public rights-of-way along the various transportation corridors within the District. These transportation corridors serve as the primary access routes for inter-community and intra-community trips. Parcels within the District benefit from the improvements and activities in terms of enhanced aesthetics, community image and public safety.

Apportionment Methodology

The total cost associated with District improvement and activities will be assessed proportionally to the parcels in the District based on Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs). The total assessment for a given parcel is equal to the parcel's total EBUs multiplied by the Unit Assessment Rate as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{Total Assessment} = \text{Total EBUs} \times \text{Unit Assessment Rate}$$

Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs)

EBUs for each parcel have been determined as a function of parcel area (or number of residential units) and two factors – a Land Use Factor and a Benefit Factor – related as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{EBUs} = (\text{Acres or Units}) \times \text{Land Use Factor} \times \text{Benefit Factor}$$

Parcels determined to receive no benefit from the maintenance of District improvements and activities have been assigned zero (0) EBUs.

Land Use Factor

Since the proposed District improvements and activities are primarily associated with the Transportation Element of City's General Plan and Community Plan, trip generation rates for various land use categories (as previously established by the City's Transportation Planning section) have been used as the primary basis for the development of Land Use Factors. While these trip generation rates address vehicular trips, they are also considered to approximately reflect relative trip generation for other modes of transportation (e.g., pedestrian trips, bicycle trips, etc.), and are considered the best available information for these other transportation modes.

Trip generation rates provide the required nexus and basis for assigning relative proportionality of potential benefit to the various land use/zoning classifications (as defined by the City's Municipal Code) within the District. Land use/zoning classifications have been grouped with averaged trip generation rates assigned to establish the Land Use Factors as shown in **Table 1**.

TABLE 1: Land Use Factors

| Land Use/Zoning | Code | Land Use Factor ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Residential – Single Family (detached) | SFD | 1.0 per dwelling unit |
| Residential – Duplex | DUP | 0.7 per dwelling unit |
| Residential – Multi-Family & Apartment | MFR | 0.7 per dwelling unit |
| Commercial – Office & Retail | COM | 40.0 per acre |
| Open Space (designated) | OSP | 0 per acre |
| Street/Roadway | STR | 0 per acre |
| Undevelopable | UND | 0 per acre |
| Vacant (developable) | VAC | 2.0 per acre |

⁽¹⁾ Proportional to trip generation rates contained in the City of San Diego *Trip Generation Manual* (May 2003).

Designated open space serves primarily to preserve natural landscape and habitat. While access for study and passive recreation is often permitted, these activities are allowed only to the extent they are consistent with the primary purpose of natural preservation. Since this land is essentially “unused” in the customary terms of land use (which relate to human use and development), the trip generation rate is zero. Therefore, the designated open space itself receives no benefit from District improvements and activities and has been assigned a Land Use Factor of zero.

While those traveling the streets and roadways visually enjoy the enhanced improvements and activities being maintained by the District, the actual benefit accrues to the lands at the origins and destinations of their trips, not to the lands of the streets and roadways, themselves. Accordingly, the Streets/Roadways category receives no benefit and has been assigned a Land Use Factor of zero.

Benefit Factor

The Land Use Factor described above establishes a proportionality of relative intensity of use (or potential use) for the various parcels of land within the District. It does not address the relationship of this use to the specific improvements and activities to be maintained by the District. This relationship is reflected in the Benefit Factor utilized in the assessment methodology.

In determining the Benefit Factor for each land use category, the subcomponents of the benefits of the improvements and activities in a district may include some or all of the following: public safety, view corridors and aesthetics, enhancement of community identity,

drainage corridors, and recreational potential. The subcomponents used for this District are: aesthetics/community image and public safety.

As Benefit Factors and their subcomponents are intended to reflect the particular relationships between specific land uses within a district and the specific improvements and activities maintained by the district, Benefit Factors will generally vary from one district to another, based on the specific character and nature of the applicable land uses and improvements and activities being maintained. For a given land use, the overall Benefit Factor is equal to the sum of the subcomponent values. If a land use category receives no benefit from a subcomponent, then a value of zero is assigned to that subcomponent. A composite Benefit Factor of 1.0 indicates that full benefit is received. A value less than 1.0 indicates that less than full benefit is received.

The applicable benefit subcomponents and resultant composite Benefit Factors determined for the various Land Use/Zoning categories within this District are as shown in **Table 2**.

TABLE 2: Benefit Factors by Land Use

| Land Use/Zoning | Aesthetics/ Community Image (Max. 0.8) | Public Safety (Max. 0.2) | Composite Benefit Factor (Max. 1.0) |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Residential – All | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| Commercial – Office & Retail | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Open Space (designated) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Street/Roadway | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Undevelopable | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Vacant (developable) | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |

Aesthetics/Community Image. The District improvements and activities provide enhanced aesthetics/community image. The degree of benefit received from this aspect of the District improvements and activities varies among the land use categories. Generally, by nature of their use, Residential lands receive the greatest benefit from aesthetic enhancement of the transportation corridors. Lands in the Commercial and Vacant categories are considered to receive a lesser degree of benefit from these enhancements based on the non-continuous or temporal nature of these uses. Lands in the Open Space, Street/Roadway, and Undevelopable categories are considered to receive no significant benefit from the aesthetic elements of the District improvements and activities, as enhanced aesthetic quality of other lands in their

vicinity does not affect their function, use, or value.

Public Safety. All land uses are considered to receive the maximum available benefit from the public safety aspect of the District improvements and activities. Public safety is essential to all land uses, and even to lands, such as designated open space, held in stewardship with only incidental human use.

Sample Calculations

As described above, the number of Equivalent Benefit Units (EBUs) assigned to each parcel in the District has been calculated based on each parcel's land use and the identified apportionment factors, as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{EBUs} = (\text{Acres or Units}) \times \text{Land Use Factor} \times \text{Benefit Factor}$$

Shown below are sample EBU calculations for several common land uses found in the District.

- **1 Single-Family Residence**
EBUs = 1 unit x 1.00 x 1.00 = 1.00 EBUs
- **10-unit Apartment Complex**
EBUs = 10 units x 0.70 x 1.00 = 7.00 EBUs
- **½-acre Commercial Property**
EBUs = 0.50 acres x 40.00 x 0.60 = 12.00 EBUs

The total assessment for each parcel in the District is based on the calculated EBUs for the parcel and the applicable unit assessment rate, as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{Total Assessment} = \text{Total EBUs} \times \text{Unit Assessment Rate}$$

Based on the above formula, the EBUs, unit assessment rate, and total assessment calculated for each property, can be found in the Assessment Roll (**Exhibit C**).

Summary Results

The District Boundary is presented in **Exhibit A**.

An estimate of the annual costs of the improvements and activities provided by the District is included as **Exhibit B**.

The assessment methodology utilized is as described in the text of this annual report. Based on this methodology, Fiscal Year 2019 District assessment for each parcel were calculated and are shown in the Preliminary Assessment Roll (**Exhibit C**).

Each lot or parcel of land within the District has been identified by unique County Assessor's Parcel Number in the Preliminary Assessment Roll and on the Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram referenced herein.


This annual report has been prepared and respectfully submitted by:

EFS ENGINEERING, INC.



Eugene F. Shank, PE

C 52792



Sharon F. Risse

EXHIBIT A

District Boundary

EXHIBIT B

**Estimated Budget – Revenue & Expense Statement
for Fiscal Year 2019**

EXHIBIT C

**Preliminary Assessment Roll
for Fiscal Year 2019**