

Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Governor's Office of Planning and Research State Clearinghouse and Planning Unit



Notice of Preparation

July 27, 2011

To: Reviewing Agencies

Re: Ocean Beach Community Plan Update SCH# 2011071082

Attached for your review and comment is the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Responsible agencies must transmit their comments on the scope and content of the NOP, focusing on specific information related to their own statutory responsibility, within 30 days of receipt of the NOP from the Lead <u>Agency</u>. This is a courtesy notice provided by the State Clearinghouse with a reminder for you to comment in a fintely manner. We encourage other agencies to also respond to this notice and express their concerns early in the environmental review process.

Please direct your comments to:

Jeffrey Szymanski City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101

with a copy to the State Clearinghouse in the Office of Planning and Research. Please refer to the SCH number noted above in all correspondence concerning this project.

If you have any questions about the environmental document review process, please call the State Clearinghouse at (216):445-3612

Sincerely zan

Scott Morgan Director, State Clearinghouse

Attachments cc: Lead Agency

> 1400 TENTH STREET P.O. BOX 3044 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95812-3044 TEL (916) 445-0613 FAX (916) 323-3018 www.opr.ca.gov

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

SCH# Project Title Lead Agency	2011071082 Ocean Beach Community Plan Update San Diego, City of									
Туре	NOP Notice of Preparation									
Description	The proposed project is an update to the Ocean Beach Community Plan (Plan). The project is designed to revise te Plan with respect to organization and content for consistency with the General Plan, to amend the Plan Land Use Map with related zone changes to reflect amendments and correct inconsistencies between existing land uses and the Community Plan, and to amend the Ocean Beach Public Facilities Financing Plan. The proposed project would rezone 99 parcels (approximately 21 acres) from RS-1-7 to RM-1-1. The existing zone allows for single dwrlling unit (du) denisty of 9/du per acre for a maximum build out of approximately 189 units. The proposed Community Plan Update would change the zoning to allow up to 15/du per acre and would result in the maximum build out of approximately 315 units, or a net increase of 126 dwelling units. In total, the proposed community plan could accommodate an additional 1,399 dwelling units.									
Lead Agenc	y Contact									
Name	Jeffrey Szymanski									
Agency	City of San Diego									
Phone	(619) 446-5324 <i>Fax</i>									
email										
Address	1222 First Avenue, MS-501									
City	San Diego State CA Zip 92101									
Project Loca	ation									
County	San Diego									
City	San Diego									
Region	Jan Barris and State Sta									
Cross Streets	Entire Community Plan area									
Lat / Long										
Parcel No.	Entire Plan area									
Township	Range Section Base									
Proximity to	•									
Highways	I-8									
Airports	San Diego International									
Railways										
Waterways	San diego River/Pacific Ocean									
Schools	Multiple									
Land Use	Residential Uses									
roject Issues	Aesthetic/Visual; Air Quality; Archaeologic-Historic; Biological Resources; Noise; Public Services; Traffic/Circulation; Water Quality; Water Supply; Landuse; Other Issues									
Reviewing Agencies	Resources Agency; California Coastal Commission; California Energy Commission; Office of Historic Preservation; Department of Parks and Recreation; Office of Emergency Management Agency, California; Department of Fish and Game, Region 5; Native American Heritage Commission; State Lands Commission; Caltrans, Division of Aeronautics; California Highway Patrol; Department of Housing and Community Development; Caltrans, District 11; Department of Toxic Substances Control; Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 9									
ate Received	07/27/2011 Start of Review 07/27/2011 End of Review 08/25/2011									

Date Received 07/27/2011 Start of Review 07/27/2011 End of Review 08/25/2011

Note: Blanks in data fields result from insufficient information provided by lead agency.

	r f				.•																
2011071082	Quality Co	Board (RWOCB)	Cathleen Hudson North Coast Region (1)	RWQCB 2 Environmental Document	Coordinator San Francisco Bay Region (2)	Central Coast Region (3)	Teresa Rodgers Los Angeles Region (4)	Central Valley Region (5)	Central Valley Region (5) Fresno Branch Office	Central Valley Region (5)			Lathontan Region (6) Victorville Branch Office	Colorado River Basín Region (7)		kan RWQCB 9 San Diego Region (9)		Other		Last Updated 6/28/11	
DIEGO SCH#	Caltrans, District 8 Dan Kopulsky	Caltrans, District 9 Gayle Rosander	Caltrans, District 10 Tom Dumas	Caltrans, District 11 Jacob Armstrong	Caltrans, District 12 Marion Regisford	<u>Cal EPA</u> Air Resources Board	Airport Projects Jim Lemer	Transportation Projects Douglas Ito	Industrial Projects Mike Tollstrup	State Water Resources Control	eouaru Regional Programs Unit Division of Financial Assistance	State Water Resources Control	Board Student Intern, 401 Water Quality	Division of Water Quality	C state water kesouces Control Board Phil Crader Division of Water Rights	Dept. of Toxic Substances Control CEQA Tracking Center	Department of Pesticide Regulation CEQA Coordinator			· · · · ·	
County: Jan D	Native American Heritage Comm.	Public Utilities Commission	Santa Monica Bay Restoration	State Lands Commission	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA)	Cherry Jacques Business Trans & Houseind	Caltrans - Division of Aeronautics	Philip Crimmins Caltrans - Planning	Iem Pencovic	Scott Loetscher Office of Special Projects		Housing Policy Division	Dept. of Transportation	Caltrans, District 1	Caltrans, District 2 Marrelino Conzelez	Caltrans, District 3 Brine de Terre	Caltrans, District 4 Lisa Carboni	Caltrans, District 5 David Murray	Caltrans, District 6 Michael Navarro	Elmer Alvarez	•
	Laurie Hamsberger	L Fish & Game Region 2 Jeff Drongesen	L Fish & Game Region 3 Charles Armor	L Fish & Game Region 4 Julie Vance	Fish & Game Region 5 Leslie Newton-Reed Habitat Conservation Program	Eish & Game Region 6 Gabrina Gatchel Hahitat Concernation Decomm	Fish & Game Region 6 I/M Brad Henderson	Inyo/Mono, Habitat Conservation	Lept. of Fish & Game M George Isaac Marine Region	Other Departments	Food & Agriculture Steve Shaffer	Dept. of Food and Agriculture Depart. of General Services	Public School Construction	Anna Garbeff Environmental Services Section	Dept. of Public Health Bridgette Binning Dart of Hanth, Darthing Water	Independent	Commissions.Boards Delta Protection Commission	Linda Flack Cal EMA (Emergency Management Agency)	Dennis Castrillo Governor's Office of Plannine	& Research State Clearinghouse	
NUP DISTRDUTION LIST	Resources Agency	Resources Agency Nadell Gayou	Dept. of Boating & Waterways Mike Sotelo	California Coastal Commission	Elizabeth A. Fuchs Colorado River Board	Dept. of Conservation Jonathan Martis	Commission	Cal Fire Allen Rohertson	Central Valley Flood			Dept of Parks & Recreation Environmental Stewardship	Section California Department of	Resources, Recycling & Recovery Sue O'l earv	S.F. Bay Conservation & Dev't. Comm.	r Resources	-,	Conservancy	Fish and Game	Depart. of Fish & Game Scott Filnt Environmental Services Division	Fish & Game Region 1 Donald Koch



State of California -The Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME South Coast Region 3883 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 467-4201 www.dfg.ca.gov

August 24, 2011

Mr. Jeffrey Szymanski City of San Diego Development Services Department 1222 First Avenue, Mail Station 501 San Diego, California 92101

Subject: Comments on the Notice of Preparation for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Draft Environmental Impact Report (Project No. 21002218, SCH No. 2011071082)

Dear Mr. Szymanski:

The California Department of Fish and Game (Department) has reviewed the abovereferenced Notice of Preparation (NOP) dated July 26, 2011, for the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update. The City of San Diego has an approved Subarea Plan and Implementing Agreement under the Natural Community Conservation Planning program. The DEIR for the proposed project must ensure and verify that all requirements and conditions of the Subarea Plan and Implementing Agreement are met. Issue areas in the DEIR that may be influenced by the Subarea Plan and Implementing Agreement include "Land Use," "Landform Alteration/Visual Quality," "Traffic/Circulation," "Biological Resources," "Drainage/Urban Runoff/Water Quality," "Noise," and "Cumulative Effects." In addition, the environmental document should describe why the proposed project, irrespective of other alternatives to the project, is consistent with and appropriate in the context of the Subarea Plan.

The DEIR should also address biological issues that are not addressed in the Subarea Plan and Implementing Agreement, such as specific impacts to and mitigation requirements for coastal wetlands or sensitive species and habitats that are not covered by the Subarea Plan and Implementing Agreement. Within the proposed project planning area those resource issues of concern that should be evaluated under the environmental setting include: (1) sandy beach invertebrate species and their habitats including clam beds, beach kelp wrack and coastal stand habitats; (2) rocky intertidal, tidepools, subtidal kelp, surfgrass and eelgrass (3) sensitive shorebirds and California grunion and their foraging, nesting and spawning habitats of the beach areas (4) California least tern (federally and state listed as endangered, including California fully protected status) and their nesting and foraging habitats in the vicinity (5) western snowy plover (California species of special concern and federally threatened species) and their nesting and foraging habitats in the vicinity; (6) dune habitat and sensitive native dune plant species (7) sensitive and listed invertebrate dune species that rely on the dunes and associated plants for forage and reproduction. Mr. Jeffrey Szymanski August 24, 2011 Page 2 of 2

We appreciate the opportunity to comment of this NOP. Please contact Paul Schlitt at (858) 637-5510 should you have any additional questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

CI Af Pert

Edmund Pert Regional Manager South Coast Region

cc: State Clearinghouse, Sacramento (fax only) Paul Schlitt, CDFG, San Diego Loni Adams, CDFG, San Diego



Department of Toxic Substances Control



Matthew Rodriquez Secretary for Environmental Protection Deborah O. Raphael, Director 5796 Corporate Avenue Cypress, California 90630

Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

August 17, 2011

Mr. Jeff Szymanski City of San Diego Development Services Center 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, California 92101

NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP) FOR OCEAN BEACH COMMUNITY PLAN UPDATE

Dear Mr. Szymanski:

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has received your submitted Notice of Preparation Report for the above-mentioned project. The following project description is stated in your document: "The proposed project is an update to the Ocean Beach Community Plan. The project is designed to revise the Plan with respect to organization and content for consistency with the General Plan, to amend the Plan Land Use Map with related zone changes to reflect amendments and correct inconsistencies between existing land uses and the Community Plan, and to amend the Ocean Beach Public Facilities Financing Plan. The proposed project would rezone 99 parcels from RS 1-7 to RM -1-1. The existing zone allows for single dwelling unit density of 9/du per acre for a maximum build out of approximately 189 units. The proposed Community Plan Update would change the zoning to allow up to 15/du per acre and would result in the maximum build out of approximately 315 units, or a net increase of 126 dwelling. In total, the proposed community plan could accommodate an additional 1,399 dwelling units".

Based on the review of the submitted document DTSC has the following comments:

- 1) The EIR should evaluate whether conditions within the project area may pose a threat to human health or the environment. Following are the databases of some of the regulatory agencies:
 - National Priorities List (NPL): A list maintained by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S.EPA).

Mr. Jeff Szymanski August 17, 2011 Page 2

- Envirostor (formerly CalSites): A Database primarily used by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, accessible through DTSC's website (see below).
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS): A database of RCRA facilities that is maintained by U.S. EPA.
- Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS): A database of CERCLA sites that is maintained by U.S.EPA.
- Solid Waste Information System (SWIS): A database provided by the California Integrated Waste Management Board which consists of both open as well as closed and inactive solid waste disposal facilities and transfer stations.
- GeoTracker: A List that is maintained by Regional Water Quality Control Boards.
- Local Counties and Cities maintain lists for hazardous substances cleanup sites and leaking underground storage tanks.
- The United States Army Corps of Engineers, 911 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, 90017, (213) 452-3908, maintains a list of Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS).
- 2) The EIR should identify the mechanism to initiate any required investigation and/or remediation for any site that may be contaminated, and the government agency to provide appropriate regulatory oversight. If necessary, DTSC would require an oversight agreement in order to review such documents.
- 3) Any environmental investigations, sampling and/or remediation for a site should be conducted under a Workplan approved and overseen by a regulatory agency that has jurisdiction to oversee hazardous substance cleanup. The findings of any investigations, including any Phase I or II Environmental Site Assessment Investigations should be summarized in the document. All sampling results in which hazardous substances were found above regulatory standards should be clearly summarized in a table. All closure, certification or remediation approval reports by regulatory agencies should be included in the EIR.
- 4) If buildings, other structures, asphalt or concrete-paved surface areas are being planned to be demolished, an investigation should also be conducted for the presence of other hazardous chemicals, mercury, and asbestos containing materials (ACMs). If other hazardous chemicals, lead-based paints (LPB) or

Mr. Jeff Szymanski August 17, 2011 Page 3

> products, mercury or ACMs are identified, proper precautions should be taken during demolition activities. Additionally, the contaminants should be remediated in compliance with California environmental regulations and policies.

Future project construction may require soil excavation or filling in certain areas. 5) Sampling may be required. If soil is contaminated, it must be properly disposed and not simply placed in another location onsite. Land Disposal Restrictions (LDRs) may be applicable to such soils. Also, if the project proposes to import soil to backfill the areas excavated, sampling should be conducted to ensure that the imported soil is free of contamination.

Human health and the environment of sensitive receptors should be protected 6) during any construction or demolition activities. If necessary, a health risk assessment overseen and approved by the appropriate government agency should be conducted by a qualified health risk assessor to determine if there are, have been, or will be, any releases of hazardous materials that may pose a risk to human health or the environment.

If it is determined that hazardous wastes are, or will be, generated by the 7) proposed operations, the wastes must be managed in accordance with the California Hazardous Waste Control Law (California Health and Safety Code, Division 20, Chapter 6.5) and the Hazardous Waste Control Regulations (California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4.5). If it is determined that hazardous wastes will be generated, the facility should also obtain a United States Environmental Protection Agency Identification Number by contacting (800) 618-6942. Certain hazardous waste treatment processes or hazardous materials, handling, storage or uses may require authorization from the local Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA). Information about the requirement for authorization can be obtained by contacting your local CUPA.

DTSC can provide cleanup oversight through an Environmental Oversight 8) Agreement (EOA) for government agencies that are not responsible parties, or a Voluntary Cleanup Agreement (VCA) for private parties. For additional information on the EOA or VCA, please see www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Brownfields, or contact Ms. Maryam Tasnif-Abbasi, DTSC's Voluntary Cleanup Coordinator, at (714) 484-5489.

Mr. Jeff Szymanski August 17, 2011 Page 4

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at ashami@dtsc.ca.gov, or by phone at (714) 484-5472.

Sincerely,

Al Shami Project Manager Brownfields and Environmental Restoration Program

cc: Governor's Office of Planning and Research State Clearinghouse P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, California 95812-3044 <u>state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov</u>

> CEQA Tracking Center Department of Toxic Substances Control Office of Environmental Planning and Analysis P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95812 nritter@dtsc.ca.gov.

CEQA # 3290



San Diego County Archaeological Society, Inc.

Environmental Review Committee

To:

Development Services Department City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, Mail Station 501 San Diego, California 92101

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report Ocean Beach Community Plan Update

Dear Mr. Szymanski:

Thank you for the Notice of Preparation for the subject project, received by this Society this week.

We are pleased to note the inclusion of historical resources in the list of subject areas to be addressed in the DEIR, and look forward to reviewing it during the upcoming public comment period. To that end, please include us in the distribution of the DEIR, and also provide us with a copy of the cultural resources technical report(s).

SDCAS appreciates being included in the City's environmental review process for this project.

Sincerely,

James W. Royle, Jr., Chairperson Environmental Review Committee

cc: SDCAS President File

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION 915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 364 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (916) 653-6251 Fax (916) 657-5390 Web Site <u>www.nahc.ca.gov</u> ds nahc@pacbell.net



August 1, 2011

Mr. Jeffrey Szymanski

City of San Diego

1222 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101

Re: <u>SCH#2011071082 CEQA Notice of Preparation (NOP); draft Environmental Impact</u> <u>Report (DEIR) fo the "Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project; City Internal</u> <u>Number: 21002218;</u>" located in the Ocean Beach Community of the City of San Diego; <u>San Diego County, California</u>

Dear Mr. Szymanski:

The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), the State of California 'Trustee Agency' for the protection and preservation of Native American cultural resources pursuant to California Public Resources Code §21070 and affirmed by the Third Appellate Court in the case of EPIC v. Johnson (1985: 170 Cal App. 3rd 604.. The NAHC wishes to comment on the above-referenced proposed Project.

This letter includes state and federal statutes relating to Native American historic properties of religious and cultural significance to American Indian tribes and interested Native American individuals as 'consulting parties' under both state and federal law. State law also addresses the freedom of Native American Religious Expression in Public Resources Code §5097.9.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA – CA Public Resources Code 21000-21177, amendments effective 3/18/2010) requires that any project that causes a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource, that includes archaeological resources, is a 'significant effect' requiring the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) per the CEQA Guidelines defines a significant impact on the environment as 'a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of physical conditions within an area affected by the proposed project, including ... objects of historic or aesthetic significance." In order to comply with this provision, the lead agency is required to assess whether the project will have an adverse impact on these resources within the 'area of potential effect (APE), and if so, to mitigate that effect. The NAHC Sacred Lands File (SLF) search resulted as follows: **Native American cultural resources were not identified** within one-half mile of the project site, the 'area of potential effect (APE), based on the USGS coordinates provided. The absence of archaeological items at the surface level does not preclude their existence at the subsurface level once ground-breaking activity is underway.

The NAHC "Sacred Sites,' as defined by the Native American Heritage Commission and the California Legislature in California Public Resources Code §§5097.94(a) and 5097.96. Items in the NAHC Sacred Lands Inventory are confidential and exempt from the Public Records Act pursuant to California Government Code §6254 (r).

Early consultation with Native American tribes in your area is the best way to avoid unanticipated discoveries of cultural resources or burial sites once a project is underway. Culturally affiliated tribes and individuals may have knowledge of the religious and cultural significance of the historic properties in the project area (e.g. APE). We strongly urge that you make contact with the list of Native American Contacts on the attached <u>list of Native American contacts</u>, to see if your proposed project might impact Native American cultural resources and to obtain their recommendations concerning the proposed project. Pursuant to C"A Public Resources Code § 5097.95, the NAHC requests that the Native American consulting parties be provided pertinent project information. Consultation with Native American communities is also a matter of environmental justice as defined by California Government Code §65040.12(e). Pursuant to CA Public Resources Code §5097.95, the NAHC requests that pertinent project information be provided consulting tribal parties. The NAHC requests that pertinent project information be provided consulting tribal parties. The NAHC recommends *avoidance* as defined by CEQA Guidelines §15370(a) to pursuing a project that would damage or destroy Native American cultural resources and Section 2183.2 that requires documentation, data recovery of cultural resources.

Furthermore we recommend, also, that you contact the California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS) California Office of Historic Preservation for pertinent archaeological data within or near the APE, at (916) 445-7000 for the nearest Information Center in order to learn what archaeological fixtures may have been recorded in the APE.

Consultation with tribes and interested Native American consulting parties, on the NAHC list, should be conducted in compliance with the requirements of federal NEPA (42 U.S.C 4321-43351) and Section 106 and 4(f) of federal NHPA (16 U.S.C. 470 *et seq*), 36 CFR Part 800.3 (f) (2) & .5, the President's Council on Environmental Quality (CSQ, 42 U.S.C 4371 *et seq*. and NAGPRA (25 U.S.C. 3001-3013) as appropriate. The 1992 Secretary of the Interiors Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties were revised so that they could be applied to all historic resource types included in the National Register of Historic Places and including cultural landscapes. Also, federal Executive Orders Nos. 11593 (preservation of cultural environment), 13175 (coordination & consultation) and 13007 (Sacred Sites) are helpful, supportive guides for Section 106 consultation.

3

Furthermore, Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, California Government Code §27491 and Health & Safety Code Section 7050.5 provide for provisions for accidentally discovered archeological resources during construction and mandate the processes to be followed in the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a project location other than a 'dedicated cemetery'.

To be effective, consultation on specific projects must be the result of an ongoing relationship between Native American tribes and lead agencies, project proponents and their contractors, in the opinion of the NAHC. Regarding tribal consultation, a relationship built around regular meetings and informal involvement with local tribes will lead to more qualitative consultation tribal input on specific projects.

The response to this search for Native American cultural resources is conducted in the NAHC Sacred Lands Inventory, established by the California Legislature (CA Public Resources Code 5097.94(a) and is exempt from the CA Public Records Act (c.f. California Government Code 6254.10) although Native Americans on the attached contact list may wish to reveal the nature of identified cultural resources/historic properties. Confidentiality of "historic properties of religious and cultural significance" may also be protected under Section 304 of he NHPA or at the Secretary of the Interior discretion if not eligible for listing on the National Register of Places and there may be sites within the APE eligible for listing on the California Register of

Historic Places. The Secretary may also be advised by the federal Indian Religious Freedom Act (cf. 42 U.S.C., 1996) in issuing a decision on whether or not to disclose items of religious and/or cultural significance identified in or near the APEs and possibility threatened by proposed project activity.

If you have any questions about this response to your request, please do not hesitate to

3

contact me at (916) 653-6251/ Sincerely, Dave Singleton Program Analyst, Cc: State Clearinghouse

Attachment: Native American Contact List

California Native American Contact List San Diego County

August 1, 2011

Barona Group of the Capitan Grande Edwin Romero, Chairperson 1095 Barona Road Diegueno Lakeside , CA 92040 sue@barona-nsn.gov (619) 443-6612 619-443-0681

La Posta Band of Mission Indians Gwendolyn Parada, Chairperson PO Box 1120 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Boulevard , CA 91905 gparada@lapostacasino. (619) 478-2113 619-478-2125

San Pasqual Band of Mission Indians Allen E. Lawson, Chairperson PO Box 365 Diegueno Valley Center, CA 92082 allenl@sanpasqualband.com (760) 749-3200 (760) 749-3876 Fax

lipay Nation of Santa Ysabel Virgil Perez, Spokesman PO Box 130 Diegueno Santa Ysabel, CA 92070 brandietaylor@yahoo.com (760) 765-0845 (760) 765-0320 Fax Sycuan Band of the Kumeyaay Nation Danny Tucker, Chairperson 5459 Sycuan Road Diegueno/Kumeyaay El Cajon , CA 92021 ssilva@sycuan-nsn.gov 619 445-2613 619 445-1927 Fax

Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians Anthony R. Pico, Chairperson PO Box 908 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Alpine CA 91903 **jrothauff@viejas-nsn.gov** (619) 445-3810 (619) 445-5337 Fax

Kumeyaay Cultural Historic Committee Ron Christman 56 Viejas Grade Road Diegueno/Kumeyaay Alpine , CA 92001 (619) 445-0385

Campo Kumeyaay Nation Monique LaChappa, Chairperson 36190 Church Road, Suite 1 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Campo , CA 91906 (619) 478-9046 miachappa@campo-nsn.gov

(619) 478-5818 Fax

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of the statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed SCH#2011071082; CEQA Notice of Preparation (NOP); deraft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update; City of San Diego; San Diego County, California.

California Native American Contact List

San Diego County August 1, 2011

Jamul Indian Village Kenneth Meza, Chairperson P.O. Box 612 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Jamul , CA 91935 **jamulrez@sctdv.net**

(619) 669-4785 (619) 669-48178 - Fax

Mesa Grande Band of Mission Indians Mark Romero, Chairperson P.O Box 270 Diegueno Santa Ysabel, CA 92070 mesagrandeband@msn.com (760) 782-3818 (760) 782-9092 Fax

Kumeyaay Cultural Heritage Preservation Paul Cuero 36190 Church Road, Suite 5 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Campo , CA 91906 (619) 478-9046 (619) 478-9505 (619) 478-5818 Fax

Kwaaymii Laguna Band of Mission Indians Carmen Lucas P.O. Box 775 Diegueno -Pine Valley , CA 91962 (619) 709-4207 Inaja Band of Mission Indians Rebecca Osuna, Spokesperson 2005 S. Escondido Blvd. Diegueno Escondido , CA 92025 (760) 737-7628 (760) 747-8568 Fax

Kumeyaay Cultural Repatriation Committee Steve Banegas, Spokesperson 1095 Barona Road Diegueno/Kumeyaay Lakeside , CA 92040 (619) 742-5587 - cell (619) 742-5587 (619) 443-0681 FAX

Ewilaapaayp Tribal Office Will Micklin, Executive Director 4054 Willows Road Diegueno/Kumeyaay Alpine , CA ⁹¹⁹⁰¹ wmicklin@leaningrock.net (619) 445-6315 - voice (619) 445-9126 - fax

Ewilaapaayp Tribal Office Michael Garcia, Vice Chairperson 4054 Willows Road Diegueno/Kumeyaay Alpine , CA ⁹¹⁹⁰¹ michaelg@leaningrock.net (619) 445-6315 - voice

(619) 445-9126 - fax

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of the statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed SCH#2011071082; CEQA Notice of Preparation (NOP); deraft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update; City of San Diego; San Diego County, California.

California Native American Contact List

San Diego County August 1, 2011

Ipai Nation of Santa Ysabel Clint Linton, Director of Cultural Resources P.O. Box 507 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Santa Ysabel, CA 92070 cjlinton73@aol.com (760) 803-5694 cjlinton73@aol.com

Kumeyaay Cultural Repatriation Committee Bernice Paipa, Vice Spokesperson P.O. Box 1120 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Boulevard CA 91905 (619) 478-2113

Manzanita Band of the Kumeyaay Nation Leroy J. Elliott, Chairperson P.O. Box 1302 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Boulevard , CA 91905 (619) 766-4930 (619) 766-4957 - FAX

Kumeyaay Diegueno Land Conservancy M. Louis Guassac, Executive Director P.O. Box 1992 Diegueno/Kumeyaay Alpine , CA 91903 guassacl@onebox.com (619) 952-8430

Viejas Kumeyaay Indian Reservation Frank Brown 240 Brown Road Diegueno/Kumeyaay Alpine , CA 91901 FIREFIGHTER69TFF@AOL. 619) 884-6437

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of the statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed SCH#2011071082; CEQA Notice of Preparation (NOP); deraft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update; City of San Diego; San Diego County, California.

EDMUND G. BROWN Jr., Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT 11 PLANNING DIVISION 4050 TAYLOR STREET, MS 240 SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 PHONE (619) 688-6960 FAX (619) 688-4299 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.goy



Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

August 9, 2011

11-SD-08 PM VAR NOP Ocean Beach Community Plan Update SCH 2011071082

.

Mr. Jeffrey Szymanski City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101

Dear Mr. Szymanski:

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) appreciates the opportunity to have reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update. Caltrans has the following comments:

A traffic impact study is necessary to determine this proposed project's near-term and long-term impacts to the State facilities – existing and proposed – and to propose appropriate mitigation measures. The study should use as a guideline the *Caltrans Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies*. Minimum contents of the traffic impact study are listed in Appendix "A" of the TIS guide.

The Level of Service (LOS) for operating State highway facilities is based upon Measures of Effectiveness (MOE) identified in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). Caltrans endeavors to maintain a target LOS at the transition between LOS "C" and LOS "D" on State highway facilities; however, Caltrans acknowledges that this may not always be feasible and recommends that the lead agency consult with Caltrans to determine the appropriate target LOS. If an existing State highway facility is operating at less than this target LOS, the existing MOE should be maintained. In general, the region-wide goal for an acceptable LOS on all freeways, roadway segments, and intersections is "D". For undeveloped or not densely developed locations, the goal may be to achieve LOS "C".

The geographic area examined in the traffic study should include as a minimum all regionally significant arterial system segments and intersections, including State highway facilities where the project will add over 100 peak hour trips. State highway facilities that are experiencing noticeable delays should be analyzed in the scope of the traffic study for projects that add 50 to 100 peak hour trips.

A focused analysis may be required for project trips assigned to a State highway facility that is experiencing significant delay, such as where traffic queues exceed ramp storage capacities. A focused analysis may also be necessary if there is an increased risk of a potential traffic accident.

Mr. Jeffrey Szymanski August 9, 2011 Page 2

All freeway entrance and exit ramps where a proposed project will add a significant number of peak-hour trips that may cause any traffic queues to exceed storage capacities should be analyzed. If ramp metering is to occur, a ramp queue analysis for all nearby Caltrans metered on-ramps is required to identify the delay to motorists using the on-ramps and the storage necessary to accommodate the queuing. The effects of ramp metering should be analyzed in the traffic study. For metered freeway ramps, LOS does not apply. However, ramp meter delays above 15 minutes are considered excessive.

The data used in the TIS should not be more than 2 years old.

Caltrans endeavors that any direct and cumulative impacts to the State highway system be eliminated or reduced to a level of insignificance pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) standards.

Mitigation measures to State facilities should be included in the traffic impact analysis. Mitigation identified in the traffic study, subsequent environmental documents, and mitigation monitoring reports, should be coordinated with Caltrans to identify and implement the appropriate mitigation. This includes the actual implementation and collection of any "fair share" monies, as well as the appropriate timing of the mitigation. Mitigation improvements should be compatible with Caltrans concepts.

The lead agency should monitor impacts to insure that roadway segments and intersections remain at an acceptable LOS. Should the LOS reach unacceptable levels, the lead agency should delay the issuance of building permits for any project until the appropriate impact mitigation is implemented.

Mitigation conditioned as part of a local agency's development approval for improvements to State facilities can be implemented either through a Cooperative Agreement between Caltrans and the lead agency, or by the project proponent entering into an agreement directly with Caltrans for the mitigation. When that occurs, Caltrans will negotiate and execute a Traffic Mitigation Agreement.

Caltrans recognizes that there is a strong link between transportation and land use. Development can have a significant impact on traffic and congestion on State transportation facilities. In particular, the pattern of land use can affect both total vehicle miles traveled and the number of trips. Caltrans encourages local agencies to work towards a safe, functional, interconnected, multi-modal system.

Caltrans supports the concept of a local circulation system which is pedestrian, bicycle, and transit-friendly in order to enable residents to choose alternative modes of transportation. As a result, potential transit mitigation for development impacts should also be analyzed, such as improved transit accommodation through the provision of park and ride facilities, bicycle access, signal prioritization for transit, or other enhancements which can improve mobility and alleviate traffic impacts to State facilities.

Mr. Jeffrey Szymanski August 9, 2011 Page 3

Caltrans appreciates the continued coordination with City staff and community representatives on this community plan update. If you have any questions, please contact Marisa Hampton, of the Development Review Branch, at (619) 688-6954.

Sincerely.

JACOB M ARMSTRONG, Chief Development Review Branch

* Printed Copy* Attached

Kinling letcher Pat (Jarnette Your Name Societ Ocean Beach Organization Kropertu owner in 0 Address 20 1 1 0 Zip 92106 State City 029 @ Steglobal. net Ndhawk aznet Email trongly oppose The proposed Oc Comment: (Q. eanBeach mounty an update nos oms 10 mohu on densite 46 peop Nensel morensi Vation 1912 Dopu conom To 015 De Como Theam ages Drove 5, ini nor mas: eg. Un OB Idonly and the populat linour Jeach 20llen Deople would not return 95 often due 10 h Allach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26,

2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Unguely des emal nally, the Cottages TREAM rs and plants provide a pleason place This specia no lð mound rings people enjoy a peace UL lin our ing densite Seren into ans

Garnette Fletcher and Pat Kipling Property owner in Ocean Beach, 92107 and Ocean Beach Historical Society 3720 Wilcox St. San Diego, CA 92106 rudhawk.aznet@sbcglobal.net

We strongly oppose the proposed Ocean Beach Community plan update project. This will increase the density of our community which already has a population density of 12,146 people per square mile. This is considered a <u>very high</u> population density. Increasing the density in this manner will affect the economy of Ocean Beach because people come here due in part to our Historic Cottage District. They come to Ocean Beach for the ambiance and the quaint "old time feel" of a beach community that the cottages provide. The Historic Cottage District is unique to Ocean Beach; other beach communities offer the beach and apartments, condos and regular homes and not this special feature.

Increasing the density would also increase traffic in Ocean Beach. Currently, it is difficult getting in and out of Ocean Beach. Increasing the population would only add to this problem, and affect the businesses in our beach town because people would not return as often due to traffic difficulties.

Einally, the cottages with their uniquely designed front yards filled with flowers and plants provide a pleasant place for walking. This is what brought me to this special beach community over 35 years ago. This is what brings people back to enjoy a peaceful walk in our town. Increasing density would erode this precious commodity, and turn Ocean Beach into a just beach town like all the others.

Comments on the Proposed Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project

Lyle Beller Your Name Self Organization 4444 May Ave Cape Address City Som Diego Zip 92107 State CA greantee@ sbcglobal.net Email Retain and Enborce 30- 84 height limit. Comment: a) Retain and enforce Floor Area Ratio (FAR) rules. b) Strengthen language so that Variances to FAR can not be granted.

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Your Name DUANE ANDERSON
Organization OB resident since 1973
Address 4670 SANTA CRUZ AVE
City <u>SAN DIEGO</u> State <u>CA</u> <u>Zip 92107</u>
Email obdugne Chotmail.com
Comment:
I like Ocean Beach, I want the current
character of my community maintained. I
character of my community maintained. I support maintaining the unique flavor of OB I oppose efforts to make my community denser,
<u>¢</u>

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Rile. Bill Your Name Historica and resident Organization Society 4728 Narragans Address ve Zip 92/07 Diego City San State (boriley Email à COX.ne Comment: Ocean Beach was The 1st recreational beach in San Diego, guring it historical significance as a landmall. both beach and a asa he important point about it's 1 ottage Crogram that seeks to retain the orthe ages in Ocean Baach co Ke second point int for leach Ocean Seach a destination recreation aining alrea un parking, and Otra envices omean asources lever eancy endances the public. ムオ ander cottage program, making Lots our cc more pro · fame wken par de alrocious nas, hinginals mO.B. and suns confrary to our long-sta unsound Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form. zonin linarce.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

andara Your Name and Organization ena he, ann-oandt Address City X State Zip Email uch in alreade Comment: a 1 ~77 oun 2011 Wise NA. do - 6 1. nee tach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

ENCOP Your Name Organization HERRIC Address ۲ م ONS n \leq City State 0 Zip 72 Email ou comp crose wases Comment: La We don't meed mare development B anec \mathcal{O} asil weloper mohr S.D. Clark 20 Ondel Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Your Name Cralblerin
Organization
Address 4615 Cape May Ave
City State Zip ZipZip Zip ZipZIp Zip Zip Zip Zip Z
Email
Comment:
() Reep the AllowABLE Wilding density
Diceep the AllouABLE building density at 9 units per acre, not is
t
(2) Keep the 30 foot fimit on building height
building height
)
· ·

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

lor Your Name <u>nject</u> Organization Address Zip City 🕻 State Kairemariel ω Email Cr-Comment: ASQA roan homeonuner resse esapperinte NOUH 40 allow tor in inc Öan 4 Nî di notormation ð, val Sta he and xcossivel asin 12-071 nalit an Fire department Noi Freader seð COAN hâ Deli61 aff đĐ aaso 11 m DCommission superfices for height of densi Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form. height & densiter CUN. histeril and belowed community " There are enorgh-Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to: Miami beaches in the world. Our down town water fiont is alkeady by enormous hotels so residente Attn: Jeffrey Szymanski blockade City of San Diego enjoy it. Dovit let O.B. be **Environmental Analysis Division** Can't see or **Development Services Department** blockaded. 1220 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101 thanks for your serve lein halle

PaulineNelson Your Name AKa Organization \A Address Citv State Zip ahor. a Email Comment: the allowable ensita 15 Maintalning SURIOINE promote safet essenhal all ¥ CONSOI 30 Foot limit on worlding Theeffect Since Maintainee lano promote. +napst main fenzance VISUAL town COMMUNITY SPIRIT and

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Donnie Elías Your Name Organization 4350 Ave. Address City S,D Zip 92/07 State elikoce Email OX ne pertinent Comment: onsider the. impaci ISSUES that would community Including our ucat rild qualit ed services (as these wooding were not and care postal mentioned Make public slan especia esidents Vote. Alver Se Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

OPR MLIS ATT Your Name Organization 82 Voltare **Address** Citv SAM State Zip Email Comment: ming

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

catteen Blark Your Name Organization Society Ocean tarica Address Mar 110 20 XO Zip_9210 City San State (Drego Email Va Comment: way na JU \mathbf{Q} mmil romes ing Ø Des V APP COV st OPS L nthese Orica 10 eoples inted in hp

habianno φφ eus Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

CARIME

armore

clean wat

spital

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, **2011.** Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Attn: Jeffrey Szymanski City of San Diego **Environmental Analysis Division Development Services Department** 1220 First Avenue, MS-501 San Diego, CA 92101

0

 \mathcal{O}

She hpas Your Name tomo 01000 Beac Organization Ocean Address 4745 0 a 120 Diego Zip 92 City San State Email SPEC B Comment: IMO А pollution $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ na Ø a Ø, ٢ as O, t1n00 50 Øn Aam \overline{O} Ul \$. q 0 Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

W.C. Your Name ς EL Organization 4740 NA Address Sor City Ø State 2 (Zip ToNCes, Email Comment: \mathcal{O} \sim ECONTROVE xh

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Alice Fichandles Your Name Organization 20 Greeno Address Zip 92 City HU State 0 Email 006 Comment: ÎQΛ Λ ØX NC CO ILAO

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

boren Your Name Mima Organization Address (385 Zip 92/151 City State Email Comment:

Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

Your Name Organization 6.0 Address City <u>50</u> State Zip Email Comment: an Seak ING . Attach additional sheets if necessary. Written comments do not need to use this form.

Comments on the Ocean Beach Community Plan Update Project must be received by August 26, 2011. Comments may be submitted in person at the public scoping meeting or mailed to:

NO HANDOUTS