

San Diego City Attorney Jan I. Goldsmith

NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: November 22, 2013 Contact: Gina Coburn, Communications Director: (619) 533-6181

City Attorney's Office Wins Unanimous Supreme Court Decision on DUI's Supreme Court says DUI Defense Expert Cannot Challenge Breathalyzer Technology

San Diego, CA: San Diego City Attorney Jan Goldsmith's Office has won a major battle in the war against drunk driving. On November 21, 2013, in a <u>unanimous decision</u> written by Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, the Court held that a defendant charged with driving with a blood-alcohol level of 0.08 percent or more may not call an expert witness to challenge the overall reliability of breath-alcohol testing instruments. Such instruments are regularly used by law enforcement on a daily basis throughout California to test drivers' blood-alcohol levels.

California Highway Patrol Sergeant Richard W. Berg arrested Terry Vangelder on suspicion of DUI after stopping him for driving 125 miles per hour on Highway 163. Vangelder exhibited few signs of impairment on the field sobriety test. A handheld breath testing device recorded 0.095 and .086 BAC readings. At the police station, a breathalyzer test produced two 0.08 BAC readings, just over the legal limit.

At trial, the judge refused to allow defense experts to testify that breath alcohol readings are inherently inaccurate. The jury could not reach a verdict on the generic Driving Under the Influence charge, but it found Vangelder guilty of "per se" DUI because the breathalyzer read 0.08. The California Court of Appeal reversed the trial court decision in 2011 and the San Diego City Attorney's Office appealed to the Supreme Court.

The California Supreme Court held: "[D]efendant remained free to argue, and present evidence, that the particular machines used in this case malfunctioned, or that they were improperly calibrated or employed. But the fundamental reliability of the breath-testing models used in this case to produce results that are pertinent to the [§23152(b)] has been determined by the Legislature. That legislative determination is not subject to rebuttal as a defense in a criminal prosecution...Just as a court will not substitute its judgment for that of the Legislature in this regard, nor may a defendant, through an expert witness, invite a jury to substitute the expert's judgment for that of the Legislature in this respect."

Steve Lykins, Executive Director of Mothers Against Drunk Driving in San Diego applauded the decision, stating: "It is a victory for all those impacted by impaired drivers, including those injured or killed. My sincere gratitude goes out to San Diego City Attorney Jan Goldsmith and his exemplary staff for fighting the good fight. This ruling is a credit to their perseverance and MADD is proud to support all their efforts towards ensuring impaired drivers are held accountable to the law."

"Driving under the influence claims thousands of lives each year." said City Attorney Jan Goldsmith. "Our office prosecuted 5,550 DUI cases last year with a 99.3% conviction rate. The success of our Criminal Appellate Unit in the Vangelder case is one more important victory in the war against drunk driving."

###

Recent City Attorney media releases can be accessed on the San Diego City Attorney's home page located on the Internet at http://www.sandiegocityattorney.org