|   |  | CM-010   |
|---|--|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar                         | number, and address):                        | FOR COURT USE ONLY   |
| MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney  | tu Attornov                                  |  |
| JOHN C. HEMMERLING, Assistant Ci  |  |  |
| DAVID E. MILLER, Deputy City Attorn<br>1200 Third Avenue, Suite 700, San Di |  |  |
| телернове во.: 619 533-5655   | FAX NO.: 619 533-5696                        |  |
| ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs The People of                               |  |  |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SA                                  |  | <u> </u>   |
| STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway   |  |  |
| MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway  |  |  |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101                                      |  |  |
| BRANCH NAME: Central / Hall of Justice                                      | i  |  |
| CASE NAME: The People of the State of                                       | California and City of San Diego, a          |  |
| municipal corporation v. Alex Stephen                                       | atridis, et al.                              |  |
| CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET  | <b>Complex Case Designation</b>              | CASE NUMBER:   |
| Unlimited 🗌 Limited   | Counter Joinder                              |  |
| (Amount (Amount demanded is   | Filed with first appearance by defenda       | JUDGE:   |
| exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)   | (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)            | DEPT:  |
|   | below must be completed (see instructions    | on page 2).  |
| 1. Check one box below for the case type that                               | at best describes this case:                 |  |
| Auto Tort   |  | ovisionally Complex Civil Litigation   |
| Auto (22)   |  | al. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)   |
| Uninsured motorist (46)   | Rule 3.740 collections (09)                  | Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)  |
| Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property<br>Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort     | Other collections (09)                       | Construction defect (10)<br>Mass tort (40)                                       |
| Asbestos (04)   |  | Securities litigation (28)   |
| Product liability (24)  | Other contract (37)                          | Environmental/Toxic tort (30)  |
| Medical malpractice (45)  | Real Property                                | Insurance coverage claims arising from the                                       |
| Other PI/PD/WD (23)   | Eminent domain/Inverse                       | above listed provisionally complex case  |
| Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort   | Wrongful eviction (33)                       | types (41)   |
| Business tort/unfair business practice (07                                  |  | forcement of Judgment  |
| Civil rights (08)   | Unlawful Detainer                            | Enforcement of judgment (20)   |
| Defamation (13)   | Commercial (31)                              | iscellaneous Civil Complaint   |
| Fraud (16)  | Residential (32)                             | RICO (27)  |
| Intellectual property (19)  | Drugs (38)                                   |  |
| Professional negligence (25)  | Judicial Review                              | iscellaneous Civil Petition  |
| Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)  | Asset forfeiture (05)                        | Partnership and corporate governance (21)  |
| Employment  | Petition re: arbitration award (11)          | Other petition (not specified above) (43)  |
| Wrongful termination (36)   | Writ of mandate (02)                         |  |
| Other employment (15)   | Other judicial review (39)                   |  |
|   |  | s of Court. If the case is complex, mark the                                     |
| factors requiring exceptional judicial mana                                 | A CARL CARL CARL CARL CARL CARL CARL CAR     |  |
| a. Large number of separately repre-  |  |  |
| b. Extensive motion practice raising  |  | th related actions pending in one or more courts                                 |
| issues that will be time-consumin<br>c. Substantial amount of documenta     |  | s, states, or countries, or in a federal court<br>tjudgment judicial supervision |
|   |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                       |  | ratory or injunctive relief c. D punitive  |
|   |  |  |
| 5. This case is is not a class a  | //   | A start )  |
|   | and serve a notice of related case. (You ma  | ay use form Chip015()  |
| Date: November 27, 2017   | No the                                       |  |
| David E. Miller, Deputy City Attorne<br>(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)                |  | NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)   |
|   | NOTICE                                       |  |
| Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the                               |  | (<br>(except small claims cases or cases filed                                   |
|   |  | s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result                              |
| in sanctions.   |  |  |
| • File this cover sheet in addition to any cov                              |  |  |
| If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et                                 | seq. of the California Rules of Court, you r | must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all                                     |
| other parties to the action or proceeding.                                  | 3 740 or a complex acca this source share    | t will be used for statistical surpasse ask-                                     |
| Unless this is a collections case under rule                                | e 3.740 of a complex case, this cover shee   | will be used for statistical purposes only.                                      |

| SUMMONS<br>(CITACION JUDICIAL)   | FOR COURT USE ONLY<br>(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE) |
|--|---|
| NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS:<br>(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):<br>ALEX STEPHEN IATRIDIS, also known as ALEXANDER STEPHAN IATRIDIS,<br>an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, |   |
| YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFFS:<br>(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):<br>THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA and CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a<br>municipal corporation    |   |
| NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless   | you respond within 30 days. Read the information  |

below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts

case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (*www.lawhelpcalifornia.org*), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (*www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp*), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. **NOTE:** The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. *JAVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.* 

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 330 W. Broadway, San Diego, California 92101

| CASE  | NUME    | BER:  |
|-------|---------|-------|
| (Núme | ero del | Caso) |
|       |         |       |

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: *(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):* Mara W. Elliott, City Attorney, David E. Miller, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 700, San Diego, CA 92101, Telephone: 619-533-5655, Fax: 619-533-5696

| DATE:<br><i>(Fecha)</i> | Clerk, by<br>(Secretario)   | , Deputy<br>(Adjunto) |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|
|                         | f this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)<br>ga de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (PC  | DS-010)).             |
| [SEAL]                  | NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served         1.       as an individual defendant.         2.       as the person sued under the fictitious name of (sp         3.       on behalf of (specify):<br>under:         CCP 416.10 (corporation)         CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)         CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)         other (specify): | Decify):              |
|                         | 4. Use by personal delivery on <i>(date)</i> :  | Page 1 of 1           |

SUMMONS

SUM-100

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19 |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 20  |  |  |
| 21<br>22  | Plaintiffs the People of the State of California and the City of San Diego, a municipal  |  |
| 23  | corporation, appearing by and through their attorneys, Mara W. Elliott, City Attorney, and by David E. Miller, Deputy City Attorney, allege the following based upon information and belief: |  |
| 24  | JURISDICTION AND VENUE   |  |
| 25  | 1. Plaintiffs the People of the State of   | f California and the City of San Diego, a municipal                        |
| 26  | corporation (Plaintiffs), by this action and pursuant to California Health and Safety Code (Cal.   |  |
| 27  | Health & Safety Code) section 17980.7(c), California Code of Civil Procedure sections 526 and  |  |
| 28  | 731, and San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC)   | sections 12.0202 and 121.0311, seek the                                    |
|   | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx   |  |
|   |  | ECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>IES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF |

1 appointment of a receiver, a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent 2 injunction prohibiting Defendant from using or maintaining a substandard property in violation of 3 state and local ordinance provisions and as a public nuisance, which is a threat to the health, 4 safety, and welfare of the public and its occupant; and also seek to obtain civil penalties, costs, 5 and other equitable relief. 6 2. The omission or commission of acts and violations of law by Defendant as alleged in 7 this Complaint occurred within the City of San Diego, State of California. Defendant at all times 8 mentioned in this Complaint has transacted business within the City of San Diego or is a resident 9 of San Diego County, within the State of California, or both. 10 3. The property where the acts and practices described in this Complaint were performed 11 is located in the City of San Diego. 12 THE PARTIES 13 4. Plaintiff People of the State of California (the People), brings this action by and 14 through Mara W. Elliott, City Attorney for the City of San Diego. 15 5. Plaintiff City of San Diego (City) was and is a municipal corporation and a chartered city, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. 16 17 6. Defendant Alex Stephen Iatridis, also known as Alexander Stephan Iatridis 18 (IATRIDIS), is an individual and resident of the County of San Diego, State of California, and at 19 all times relevant in this action, was and is the owner of record of the real property located at 20 5126 Alumni Place, San Diego, California, 92115 (Property). The Property is the location where 21 the violations alleged in this action exist. 22 7. Defendant IATRIDIS is a "Responsible Person"<sup>1</sup> within the meaning of SDMC 23 section 11.0210 for allowing and maintaining violations of the SDMC at the Property. 24 8. Defendant IATRIDIS, as a property owner, is also strictly liable for all code violations occurring at the Property per SDMC section 121.0311 and applicable California law. 25 26 <sup>1</sup> SDMC section 11.0210 defines "Responsible Person" as "a person who a Director determines 27 is responsible for causing or maintaining a public nuisance or a violation of the Municipal Code or applicable state codes. The term 'Responsible Person' includes but is not limited to a property owner, 28 tenant, person with a Legal Interest in real property or person in possession of real property." L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

|    |   | L |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | 9. Defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are sued as fictitious names, under the   |   |
| 2  | provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 474, their true names and capacities are                                   |   |
| 3  | unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each Defendant DOES 1 through                                       |   |
| 4  | 50, are either responsible, in whole or in part, for the violations and conduct alleged, or have, or                                |   |
| 5  | claim to have, an interest in the Property, the exact nature of which is unknown to the City. When                                  |   |
| 6  | the true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this                                    |   |
| 7  | Complaint and to insert in lieu of such fictitious names the true names and capacities of the                                       |   |
| 8  | fictitiously named Defendant.   |   |
| 9  | PROPERTY  |   |
| 10 | 10. The legal address of the property where the substandard building is located is 5126   |   |
| 11 | Alumni Place, San Diego, CA 92115, also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 467-123-28-  |   |
| 12 | 00, according to the San Diego County Recorder's Office.  |   |
| 13 | 11. The legal description of the Property is:   |   |
| 14 | Lot 45 of Catocin Tract No. 3, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to the Map thereof No. |   |
| 15 | 3063, filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Diego<br>County, March 15, 1954.  |   |
| 16 | County, March 15, 1954.   |   |
| 17 | Commonly known as: 5126 Alumni Place, San Diego, CA 92115.  |   |
| 18 | 12. The Property is located in a Residential (R-1) zone in the College Area neighborhood  |   |
| 19 | in the City of San Diego and was developed in 1954 as a single family dwelling consisting of  |   |
| 20 | three bedrooms, one bathroom, and a two car garage.   |   |
| 21 | 13. The Grant Deed, recorded on January 10, 1990, as Document No. 90 015964, with the   |   |
| 22 | San Diego County Recorder's Office lists IATRIDIS as owner of an undivided 50 percent interest                                      |   |
| 23 | in the Property. Through the Grant Deed, recorded on September 25, 2015, as Document No.  |   |
| 24 | 2015-0505775, with the San Diego County Recorder's Office, the remaining 50 percent interest  |   |
| 25 | in the Property was transferred to IATRIDIS, making IATRIDIS the sole owner of the Property.  |   |
| 26 | FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS   |   |
| 27 | 14. The Property has an extensive history of violations of numerous state and local   |   |
| 28 | building laws related to nuisance and substandard housing.  |   |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 3  |   |
|    | COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  |   |

П

15. In September 2014, a neighbor noticed that the driveway and front and side yards of
 the Property were covered with piles of junk, trash and debris. He asked IATRIDIS to clean the
 Property and IATRIDIS said he would clean it within a week.

4 16. The neighbor sent IATRIDIS two letters, (one on September 17, and the other on
5 October 4, of 2014), requesting that IATRIDIS remove all of the junk from the yard and
6 driveway. Instead, IATRIDIS began adding more items to the piles. He covered some of the piles
7 with tarps. The junk soon began to intrude into the sidewalk and into the street.

8 17. As the neighbor saw no progress by IATRIDIS, he referred the case to the City of
9 San Diego's Code Enforcement Division (CED).

- 10 18. On January 30, 2015, CED conducted an inspection of the Property. The City
  11 inspector observed that the front yard and driveway were covered with tarps, under which hid
  12 furniture, appliances, junk, trash and debris, violations of SDMC sections 142.1110(a) [excessive,
  13 non-incidental outdoor storage], 54.0208(a) [failure to maintain property free from waste], and
  14 142.0510 [required off-street parking used only for parking of operable vehicles].
- 15 19. On February 2, 2015, CED issued an Administrative Citation Warning (Warning) to
  16 IATRIDIS. The Warning cited the SDMC violations present at the Property and requested that
  17 IATRIDIS remove all outdoor storage not incidental to the residential use of the Property by
  18 March 1, 2015. The Warning also explained that failure to remedy the violation by March 1,
  19 2015, could result in another citation and/or other enforcement action. IATRIDIS cleaned the
  20 Property and CED closed its investigation.
- 20. Less than two months later, CED received an email from a resident stating that
  IATRIDIS had started storing items on the driveway again and that he had been doing so for at
  least three weeks, so CED reopened the case.
- 24 21. On June 5, 2015, a City Inspector conducted an inspection of the Property. The
  25 inspector confirmed that IATRIDIS resumed storing junk in the front yard and driveway in
  26 violation of the SDMC. CED issued an Administrative Citation in the amount of \$250 to
  27 IATRIDIS for violations of SDMC sections 142.1110(a) [excessive, non-incidental outdoor
  28 . . . . .

L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

storage], and 142.0510(b) [off-street parking spaces shall be kept clear of any temporary or
permanent obstructions].

3 22. A City Inspector returned to the Property on July 9, 2015, and observed no change to
4 the condition of the Property. On July 10, 2017, she issued IATRIDIS a second Administrative
5 Citation for \$500.

6 23. From July through November 2015, IATRIDIS made no effort to correct the code
7 violations at the Property, leaving the Property covered with huge piles of junk, trash and debris.
8 On November 12, 2015, a City Inspector issued IATRIDIS a third Administrative Citation for
9 \$750.

24. CED conducted two more inspections of the Property in December 2015. Each
revealed large piles of junk, trash and debris covering the driveway and stashed beneath plastic
tarps. The junk piles reached 5 feet high and blocked all access to the garage. The stored junk
included a Jacuzzi, a refrigerator, pieces of furniture and numerous other non-incidental storage
items. Junk, trash, and debris littered the walkway, blocked the main entry door, and covered the
side yards of the Property in violation of the SDMC.

16 25. In January of 2016, CED conducted two inspections of the Property. The Property
17 showed no sign of improvement.

18 26. On February 2, 2016, CED issued the fourth Administrative Citation to IATRIDIS in
19 the amount of \$1,000 for the ongoing storage violations at the Property. He was given a deadline
20 of February 16, 2016, by which to comply.

21 27. On February 17, 2016, CED conducted a follow-up inspection and determined that
22 IATRIDIS had failed to take any steps to cure the SDMC violations and the nuisance conditions
23 at the Property.

24 28. On May 22, 2016, the City received a citizen complaint regarding the excessive
25 storage at the Property.

L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

26 29. On June 21, 2016, a City Inspector returned to the Property and observed that the
27 conditions at the Property had grown worse. The storage had migrated into the neighboring yard,
28 the public right-of-way, and onto a truck in the street.

COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE, INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

1 30. On July 11, 19, and 20, 2016, the City received more citizen complaints about the 2 condition of the Property. 3 31. On August 1, 2016, CED again inspected the Property. No change was noted. 4 Extensive amount of junk, trash and debris covered the front and side yards and encroached into the right-of-way. The City Inspector knocked on IATRIDIS' front door to speak with him, but 5 6 received no answer. The inspector left a business card on the screen door requesting that 7 IATRIDIS contact him. 8 32. On August 17, 2016, CED issued a Civil Penalty Notice and Order (Notice) and 9 mailed a copy to IATRIDIS. The Notice cited the following violations: 10 a. Failure to maintain property free of all non-incidental storage including, but not 11 limited to: appliances, furniture, boxes, bags, bricks and ladders in violation of SDMC §§ 12 121.0302(a)(b)(4), 142.1110(a)(c), and 54.0208(a)(b)). 13 b. Failure to maintain required off-street parking area free of obstructions and 14 available for parking in violation of SDMC §§ 121.0302(a)(b)(4), and 142.0510 (a)(b)). 15 c. Failure to maintain the public right-of-way (sidewalk) free of obstructions and 16 encroachments (stored items) in violation of SDMC §§ 121.0302(a)(b)(4), 54.0110, 17 54.0208(a)(b), and 54.0201(a)(1)). 18 33. The Notice also identified a date by which the code violations must be corrected 19 (September 12, 2016), the manner in which the code violations must be corrected, and the 20 consequences for failure to comply with the Notice, including civil penalties up to a maximum of 21 \$250,000. 22 34. On August 18, 2016, the City received another citizen complaint related to the 23 condition of the Property. 24 35. On September 12, 2016, a City Inspector returned to the Property to determine 25 whether IATRIDIS had complied with the Notice. No change to the Property was noted. It was 26 still filled with large piles of junk, trash and debris. The amount of storage in the rear yard was so 27 extensive that almost no ground was visible. The City Inspector also noted an offensive smell 28 coming from the Property. L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE.

INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

36. While at the Property, the City Inspector told IATRIDIS that he must remove the
 storage from the neighbors' property and from the public right-of-way by the following day. The
 City Inspector also saw that a front window was completely blocked from the interior by stored
 items. The City Inspector asked IATRIDIS if he could inspect the interior of the Property, but
 IATRIDIS refused.

37. When the City Inspector returned to the Property on September 13, 2016, he noticed
that IATRIDIS had removed some of the storage from the public right-of-way and from the
neighboring properties. IATRIDIS said he would continue to clear the Property, but the bulk of
the junk, trash and debris remained, and the Property continued to be in violation of the SDMC
and Cal. Health and Safety Code sections 17920.3(c) [nuisance] and (j) [accumulation of weeds,
junk, debris, combustibles and other materials or conditions that constitute fire, health, or safety
hazards].

38. On October 5, 2016, the City Inspector conducted a follow-up inspection and observed
that IATRIDIS had again started storing items in the right-of-way and on the neighboring
properties. The remainder of the Property remained crammed with junk, trash and debris in
violation of the SDMC and Cal. Health and Safety Code. The City Inspector also observed
noticeable roof deterioration and pointed it out to IATRIDIS. The City Inspector asked to inspect
the interior of the home, but IATRIDIS refused.

39. On November 18, 2016, the City Inspector conducted another exterior site inspection
of the Property. Nothing had changed and the Property remained in violation of the SDMC and
Cal. Health and Safety Code.

40. Between the November 18, 2016, inspection and March 30, 2017, the City conducted
two additional inspections. On both occasions, the City Inspector observed that no improvement
had been made to the Property and that it remained covered with junk, trash and debris in
violation of the SDMC and Cal. Health and Safety Code.

41. On March 30, 2017, IATRIDIS allowed a City inspector and a City Attorney
 investigator access to the interior of the house; however, they were unable to enter more than a
 few feet into the house, as it was stacked floor to ceiling, wall to wall with boxes, papers,
 L:\CEU\CASE\_ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

mattresses, videos, and an assortment of other junk, trash and debris. The City inspector observed
 several Cal. Health and Safety Code and SDMC violations. The exterior of the house also
 remained covered with large amounts of junk, trash, and debris.

4 42. Based on the March 30, 2017 inspection, CED determined that the Property was in
5 violation of the Cal. Health and Safety Code and the SDMC, such that the Property was a
6 "substandard building" as defined by Cal. Health and Safety Code section 17920.3. The
7 violations included, but were not limited to violations of Cal. Health and Safety Code sections
8 17920.3(a)(12) [infestation of insects, vermin, or rodents], (a)(14) [general dilapidation or
9 improper maintenance], (c) [any nuisance including the accumulation of junk, trash and debris],
10 (1) [inadequate exit facilities], and (a)(5) [lack of hot running water].

43. City staff returned to the Property on May 22, 2017, and found that the Property was
in terrible condition. All of the violations remained. For almost two years, IATRIDIS had ignored
requests by City inspectors to remedy the code violations at the Property.

44. On May 22, 2017, the City issued a Notice and Order to Vacate & Repair Substandard
Building and Abate Public Nuisance (Notice and Order) pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code
section 17980.6, mailed a copy to IATRIDIS, and posted a copy of the Notice and Order on the
front door of the Property.

45. The Notice and Order advised IATRIDIS of the continuing existence and nature of the
code violations observed at the Property and ordered that all occupants vacate the property no
later than May 25, 2017. The Notice and Order also provided IATRIDIS with 30 calendar days to
repair the premises and correct the code violations and notified him that failure to comply may
result in the City seeking appointment of a receiver pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code
section 17980.7(c). The specific violations observed by City inspectors and identified in the
Notice and Order include:

a. Inadequate sanitation—Failure to provide required lighting. (H&SC section
17920.3(a)(10)).

L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

b. Inadequate sanitation—Failure to provide required heating. There is a lack of adequate
heating to the dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(6)).

| 1  | c. Inadequate sanitation—There is a lack of hot running water to the plumbing fixtures in  |
|----|--|
| 2  | the dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(5)).  |
| 3  | d. Unsafe building—Any building or portion thereof that is determined to be an unsafe  |
| 4  | building due to inadequate maintenance. (H&SC section 17920.3(k)).   |
| 5  | e. Inadequate sanitation—Lack of minimum amounts of natural light and ventilation.   |
| 6  | There is excessive storage inside of the main dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(8)).  |
| 7  | f. Inadequate sanitation—Infestation of rats. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(12)).   |
| 8  | g. Accumulation of weeds, vegetation, junk, dead organic matter, garbage, offal, rodent  |
| 9  | harborages, stagnant water, and combustibles. There is excessive junk and trash throughout the                                     |
| 10 | exterior of the property which is blocking the public right-of-way and the required parking  |
| 11 | spaces. (H&SC section 17920.3(j) and SDMC sections 54.0110 and 142.0510(a) and (b)).   |
| 12 | h. Failure to maintain required off street parking free of storage and obstructions.   |
| 13 | (SDMC sections 121.0302, 142.0510(a) and (b), and 142.1110).   |
| 14 | i. Lack of adequate exit facilities. Due to the junk, trash, and debris located on the   |
| 15 | exterior and interior of the property egress has been blocked and there is a lack of a clear path of                               |
| 16 | travel inside the dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a) and (l)).   |
| 17 | j. General dilapidation or improper maintenance. There is general dilapidation and   |
| 18 | maintenance throughout the property. The extent of the interior condition of the structure is                                      |
| 19 | unknown at this time because a complete interior inspection was not completed due to path of                                       |
| 20 | travel being blocked. A full interior inspection needs to be conducted once the interior is cleared                                |
| 21 | of junk and debris. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(14)).   |
| 22 | k. Public nuisance. The accumulation of waste at the property in the interior and exterior   |
| 23 | create a public nuisance. There are also rats and noxious odors coming from the property. (H&SC                                    |
| 24 | section 17920.3(c) and SDMC sections 12.0202 and 121.0302(b)(4)).  |
| 25 | 46. On June 1, 2017, the City recorded a Notice of Pending Enforcement Action, as  |
| 26 | Document No. 2017-0246562, in the office of the San Diego County Recorder.   |
| 27 | 47. On June 5, 2017, the City mailed a letter to IATRIDIS informing him of the recording   |
| 28 | of the Notice of Pending Enforcement Action.   |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 9   |
|    | COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF |

Ш 48. On June 29, 2017, City inspectors returned to the Property to conduct a compliance
 inspection and observed that IATRIDIS had not taken any action to remedy the nuisance and
 substandard conditions identified in the Notice and Order. The front, side, and back yards
 remained piled with junk, trash and debris. The interior of the house remained hoarded.

49. On November 2, 2017, a City inspector conducted an exterior inspection of the
Property and observed that the driveway and the front, rear and side yards remained piled with
junk, trash and debris in violation of the SDMC and Cal. Health & Safety Code. Through a
window, the City inspector also observed junk piled up inside one of the rooms.

9 50. On November 15, 2017, as required by Cal. Health and Safety Code section
10 17980.7(c), the City served notice of its intent to seek the appointment of a receiver for the
11 Property on all parties with a recorded interest in the Property.

12 51. On November 20, 2017, a City Attorney Investigator personally delivered a written
13 notice to IATRIDIS regarding the City's intent to seek a receivership pursuant to Cal. Health and
14 Safety Code section 17980.7(c).

15 52. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and seek the immediate appointment of a
16 Health and Safety Receiver pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code section 17980.7(c) and a
17 temporary restraining order to prohibit Defendant from continuing to violate the law.

18 53. The extreme hoarding conditions existing at the Property endanger the health and 19 welfare of the occupant, neighbors, and community and create a fire hazard. Defendant is 20 blatantly and willfully in violation of the SDMC and the Cal. Health & Safety Code and will 21 continue to maintain the unlawful code violations at the Property in the future, unless the Court 22 intervenes. Absent injunctive relief, the City will be irreparably harmed and the ongoing 23 violations will continue to harm the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of San Diego. 24 25 26 27 28 L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 10

COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE, INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

| 1  | Ι   |
|----|---|
| 2  | FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION   |
| 3  | APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER PURSUANT TO   |
| 4  | CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION<br>17980.7(C) ALLEGED BY PLAINTIFF CITY OF SAN DIEGO<br>AGAINST DEFENDANTS  |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | 54. Plaintiff City of San Diego incorporates by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1   |
| 7  | through 53 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here in their entirety.  |
| 8  | 55. Plaintiff City of San Diego, by and through Mara W. Elliott, City Attorney for the City   |
| 9  | of San Diego, brings this cause of action for appointment of a receiver pursuant to Cal. Health &   |
| 10 | Safety Code section 17980.7(c).   |
| 11 | 56. Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.6 authorizes an enforcement agency, such as   |
| 12 | the City's Code Enforcement Division, to issue an order or notice to property owners to repair or   |
| 13 | abate a building if 1) the building is maintained in a manner that violates any provisions of Cal.  |
| 14 | Health & Safety Code section 17920.3 or similar local ordinance provisions; and 2) the violations   |
| 15 | are so extensive and of such a nature that the health and safety of residents or the public is  |
| 16 | substantially endangered.   |
| 17 | 57. Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980 authorizes an enforcement agency to   |
| 18 | "institute appropriate action or proceeding to prevent, restrain, correct, or abate the violation or  |
| 19 | nuisance" if the property owner fails to repair or demolish the property in a reasonable time as  |
| 20 | required by a notice and order issued by the enforcement agency.  |
| 21 | 58. Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c) states:  |
| 22 | If the owner fails to comply within a reasonable time with the terms  |
| 23 | of the order or notice issued pursuant to Section 17980.6 the<br>enforcement agency may seek and the court may order, the<br>appointment of a receiver for the substandard building pursuant to |
| 24 | this subdivision.   |
| 25 | 59. Beginning on an exact date unknown to the City, but since at least January 30, 2015,  |
| 26 | Defendant has used or maintained the Property in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code section   |
| 27 | 17920.3 and similar local ordinances.   |
| 28 |   |
|    | L:/CEU/CASE.ZN/1962.dem/Complaint.docx         11           COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,  |
|    | INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  |

60. On May 22, 2017, the City issued IATRIDIS a Notice and Order pursuant to Cal. 1 2 Health and Safety Code section 17980.6. The Notice and Order required Defendant to vacate. 3 repair the substandard building, and abate the public nuisance at the Property. The Notice and 4 Order advised Defendant of the unlawful and dangerous conditions existing at the Property and 5 declared that the conditions at the Property violate Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17920.3. 6 The Notice and Order also declared that the conditions at the Property are substandard and create 7 a public nuisance. 8 61. The City posted the Notice and Order in a conspicuous place at the Property and 9 mailed the Notice and Order to Defendant via first class mail and certified mail return receipt 10 requested. The Notice and Order also advised Defendant that if he failed to comply as directed 11 then the City could seek the appointment of a receiver pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code 12 section 17980.7(c). 13 62. The substandard violations existing at the Property and referenced in the Notice and Order include: 14 15 a. Inadequate sanitation—Failure to provide required lighting. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(10)). 16 17 b. Inadequate sanitation—Failure to provide required heating. There is a lack of 18 adequate heating to the dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(6)). 19 c. Inadequate sanitation—There is a lack of hot running water to the plumbing 20 fixtures in the dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(5)). 21 d. Unsafe building—Any building or portion thereof that is determined to be an 22 unsafe building due to inadequate maintenance. (H&SC section 17920.3(k)). 23 e. Inadequate sanitation—Lack of minimum amounts of natural light and ventilation. 24 There is excessive storage inside of the main dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(8)). 25 f. Inadequate sanitation—Infestation of rats. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(12)). 26 g. Accumulation of weeds, vegetation, junk, dead organic matter, garbage, offal, 27 rodent harborages, stagnant water, and combustibles. There is excessive junk and trash 28 L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 12 COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE. INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

1 throughout the exterior of the property which is blocking the public right-of-way and the required 2 parking spaces. (H&SC section 17920.3(j) and SDMC sections 54.0110 and 142.0510(a) and (b)).

- 3 h. Failure to maintain required off street parking free of storage and obstructions. 4 (SDMC sections 121.0302, 142.0510(a) and (b), and 142.1110).
- 5

6

7

i. Lack of adequate exit facilities. Due to the junk, trash, and debris located on the exterior and interior of the property egress has been blocked and there is a lack of a clear path of travel inside the dwelling. (H&SC section 17920.3(a) and (l)).

8 j. General dilapidation or improper maintenance. There is general dilapidation and 9 maintenance throughout the property. The extent of the interior condition of the structure is 10 unknown at this time because a complete interior inspection was not completed due to path of 11 travel being blocked. A full interior inspection needs to be conducted once the interior is cleared of junk and debris. (H&SC section 17920.3(a)(14)). 12

13

k. Public nuisance. The accumulation of waste at the property in the interior and 14 exterior create a public nuisance. There are also rats and noxious odors coming from the property. 15 (H&SC section 17920.3(c) and SDMC sections 12.0202 and 121.0302(b)(4)).

16 63. During the more than 15 months prior to the issuance of the Notice and Order, the City 17 issued the Defendant an Administrative Citation Warning, 4 Administrative Citations, and a Civil 18 Penalty Notice and Order and conducted over 20 inspections of the Property in an attempt to get 19 Defendant to remedy the code violations at the Property. In addition, on several occasions, City 20 inspectors spoke directly with Defendant IATRIDIS and personally informed him of the 21 violations and requested that he remove all of the junk, trash and debris from the Property and 22 bring the Property into compliance with the law.

23 64. Defendant IATRIDIS has had ample time to comply with the City's Notice and Order, 24 yet has failed to do so within a reasonable time.

25 65. Defendant IATRIDIS continues to maintain the Property in violation of the Cal. 26 Health and Safety Code and similar local ordinances in the same manner as described above. 27 28

| 1  | 66. Due to the long-term cumulative effect of substandard conditions at the Property, these   |
|----|---|
| 2  | violations are so extensive and of such a nature that they substantially endanger the health and  |
| 3  | safety of Defendant and the public.   |
| 4  | 67. The City served each person with a recorded interest in the Property, notice of the   |
| 5  | City's intent to seek the appointment of a receiver at least three days before filing this Complaint  |
| 6  | as required per Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c).   |
| 7  | 68. Unless this Court appoints a receiver pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section   |
| 8  | 17980.7(c), Defendant will continue to maintain the Property in a manner which constitutes a  |
| 9  | violation of the Cal. Health and Safety Code and local ordinance provisions.  |
| 10 | п   |
| 11 | SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION  |
| 12 | MAINTENANCE OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE IN VIOLATION<br>OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE SECTIONS 3479 AND 3480  |
| 13 | ALLEGED BY PLAINTIFF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE<br>OF CALIFORNIA AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS  |
| 14 | OF CALIFORNIA AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS  |
| 15 | 69. Plaintiff the People of the State of California incorporates by reference all allegations   |
| 16 | in paragraphs 1 through 53 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here in their entirety.  |
| 17 | 70. California Civil Code sections 3479 and 3480 provide that:  |
| 18 | Anything which is injurious to health, including, but not limited to,<br>the illegal sale of controlled substances, or is indecent or offensive |
| 19 | to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to<br>interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property is a     |
| 20 | nuisance. A public nuisance is one which affects an entire community or neighborhood.   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 | 71. California Civil Code section 3491 provides for the methods by which public   |
| 23 | nuisances such as those alleged in this case may be abated. California Civil Code section 3491  |
| 24 | indicates that the remedies against a public nuisance are indictment or information, a civil action,  |
| 25 | or abatement. California Civil Code section 3494 states that a public nuisance may be abated by   |
| 26 | any public body or officer authorized thereto by law.   |
| 27 | 72. California Code of Civil Procedure section 731 authorizes a city attorney to bring an   |
| 28 | action to enjoin or abate a public nuisance. It provides in relevant part "[a] civil action may be  |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 14<br>COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,                           |
|    | INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  |

brought in the name of people of the State of California to abate a public nuisance . . . by the city
attorney of any town or city in which such nuisance exists."

73. On or about May 22, 2017, the City issued Defendant a Notice and Order to Vacate,
Repair Substandard Building, and Abate Public Nuisance at the Property (Notice and Order)
pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code section 17980.6. The Notice and Order advised
Defendant of the unlawful and dangerous conditions found to exist at the Property and declared
that the conditions violate the Cal. Health & Safety Code and the SDMC.

74. During the more than 15 months prior to the issuance of the Notice and Order, the City
issued the Defendant an Administrative Citation Warning, 4 Administrative Citations, and a Civil
Penalty Notice and Order and conducted over 20 inspections of the Property in an attempt to get
Defendant to remedy the code violations at the Property. In addition, on several occasions, City
inspectors spoke directly with the Defendant and personally informed him of the violations and
requested that he remove all of the junk, trash and debris from the Property and bring the Property
into compliance with the law.

15 75. Defendant has had ample time to comply with the City citations, the Civil Penalty
16 Notice and Order, and the Notice and Order provided pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code
17 section 17980.6, yet despite all of this notice, Defendant has failed to comply within a reasonable
18 time.

76. Beginning on an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but since at least January 30, 2015,
and continuing to the present, Defendant has used or maintained the Property in a manner that
violates the Cal. Health & Safety Code and the SDMC. Due to the long-term cumulative effect of
the substandard conditions at the Property, these violations are so extensive and of such a nature
that the health and safety of the Defendant and the public have been substantially endangered.

77. Defendant's maintenance of the Property in the condition described above constitutes
a continuing public nuisance as defined by California Civil Code sections 3479 and 3480.
Defendant's Property adversely affects the entire community and neighborhood. The Property as
it currently exists, is injurious to the health, safety, and welfare of the residents and families who
. . . .

L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE, INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

| 1  | live in the community and interferes with the comfortable use and enjoyment of life and property.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Such conditions are objectionable to the neighborhood and community as a whole.   |
| 3  | 78. Plaintiff has no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law. Therefore, unless restrained   |
| 4  | by this Court, Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant will continue to maintain this  |
| 5  | nuisance and thereby cause irreparable injury and harm to the public's health, safety, and welfare.   |
| 6  | III   |
| 7  | THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION   |
| 8  | VIOLATIONS OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE  |
| 9  | ALLEGED BY PLAINTIFF CITY OF SAN DIEGO AGAINST<br>DEFENDANTS  |
| 10 | 79. Plaintiff City of San Diego incorporates by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1   |
| 11 | through 53 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here in their entirety.  |
| 12 | 80. SDMC section 121.0302(a) states: "It is unlawful for any person to maintain or use  |
| 13 | any premises in violation of any of the provisions of the Land Development Code <sup>2</sup> , without a  |
| 14 | required permit, contrary to permit conditions, or without a required variance."  |
| 15 | 81. SDMC section 121.0302(b)(4) states that it is unlawful to maintain or allow the   |
| 16 | existence of any condition that creates a public nuisance. Beginning on an exact date unknown to  |
| 17 | Plaintiff, but since at least January 30, 2015, and continuing to the present, Defendant has  |
| 18 | maintained a public nuisance at the Property in violation of SDMC section 121.0302(b)(4).   |
| 19 | 82. SDMC section 142.1110 (a) provides that only outdoor storage that is incidental to a  |
| 20 | residential use is permitted. Beginning on an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but since at least   |
| 21 | January 30, 2015, and continuing to the present, Defendant has maintained and used the Property   |
| 22 | in violation of the SDMC by maintaining junk, trash, and debris that is not incidental to a   |
| 23 | residential use throughout the front, rear, and side yards of the Property, in violation of SDMC  |
| 24 | sections 121.0302(a) and 142.1110(a).   |
| 25 | 83. SDMC section 54.0208(a) provides that it is unlawful to fail to maintain real property  |
| 26 | and appurtenances free from waste. Beginning on an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but since at  |
| 27 |   |
| 28 | <sup>2</sup> SDMC § 111.0101(a) states that Chapters 11 through 15 of the San Diego Municipal Code shall be known collectively, and may be referred to, as the Land Development Code. |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 16   |
|    | COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  |

least January 30, 2015, and continuing to the present, Defendant has maintained and used the
 Property in violation of the SDMC by maintaining the premises full with waste, in violation of
 SDMC section 54.0208(a).

84. SDMC section 54.0208(b) provides that it is unlawful to fail to maintain any public
walkway in a condition free from waste, weeds and other plant growth. Beginning on an exact
date unknown, but since at least January 30, 2015, Defendant has used the public sidewalk in
violation of the SDMC by storing a significant amount of waste on the sidewalk in violation of
SDMC section 54.0208(b).

85. SDMC section 142.0510 provides that it is unlawful to block, reduce or eliminate
required off-street either temporarily or permanently. Beginning on an exact date unknown to
Plaintiff, but since at least January 30, 2015, Defendant has used the Property in violation of the
SDMC by storing a significant amount of junk, trash and debris in the driveway and by blocking
the garage with such storage, in violation of SDMC sections 121.0302(a) and 142.0510.

86. Plaintiff City of San Diego has no adequate remedy at law, and unless Defendant is
enjoined and restrained by an order of this Court, Defendant will continue to violate the SDMC,
thereby causing irreparable injury and harm to the public's health, safety, and general welfare.

## PRAYER

AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendant as follows:

## 20 Appointment of a Receiver

L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx

17

18

19

1. That pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980(c)(4) and the Court's
 inherent equitable powers, the Court authorize the appointment of a receiver with instructions to
 take full and complete possession and control of the Property and to take such actions as
 necessary to abate the public nuisance and to remedy all State and local housing code violations.
 Before entering upon the duties of a receiver, the receiver must be sworn to perform
 the duties faithfully and must file a bond with a surety approved by this Court to secure the
 faithful performance of his duties pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 567.

COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE, INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

| 1  | 3. Plaintiff City of San Diego requests that the Court, pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Code section 17980.7(c)(4), authorize the receiver:  |
| 3  | a. To take full and complete control of the Property;  |
| 4  | b. To manage the Property and pay expenses of the operation of the Property,   |
| 5  | including taxes, insurance, utilities, general maintenance, and debt secured by an interest in the                                 |
| 6  | Property;  |
| 7  | c. To secure a cost estimate and construction plan from a licensed contractor for the  |
| 8  | repairs necessary to correct the conditions cited in the City's Notices;   |
| 9  | d. To enter into contracts and employ a licensed contractor as necessary to correct the  |
| 10 | conditions cited in the City's Notices;  |
| 11 | e. To collect all rents and income, if any, from the Property;   |
| 12 | f. To use all rents and income from the Property to pay for the costs of rehabilitation  |
| 13 | and repairs determined by the Court as necessary to correct the conditions cited in the City's                                     |
| 14 | Notices;   |
| 15 | g. To borrow funds to pay for repairs necessary to correct the conditions cited in the   |
| 16 | City's Notices, and secure that debt, with Court approval, with a recorded priority lien on the                                    |
| 17 | Property. The lien shall take priority over all existing encumbrances on the Property except tax                                   |
| 18 | liens; and   |
| 19 | h. To exercise the powers granted to receivers under Section 568 of the California   |
| 20 | Code of Civil Procedure, including the power to sell the Property, if necessary.   |
| 21 | 4. The City further requests, pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section  |
| 22 | 17980.7(c)(3) that Defendants and their agents be enjoined from:   |
| 23 | a. Collecting any rents from the Property;   |
| 24 | b. Interfering with the receiver in the operation of the Property; and   |
| 25 | c. Encumbering or transferring the Property, or any portion of the Property, during  |
| 26 | the receivership period.   |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.doex 18  |
|    | COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF |

Ш

5. The City has incurred, and will continue to incur expenses and costs. The City is
 entitled to recover those fees and costs from Defendants pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code
 sections 17980.7(c)(11) and 17980.7(d)(1).
 6. The receiver shall be entitled to receive compensation for his services with respect to
 repairing the Property as necessary as well as reimbursement for expenses as receivers in actions
 to foreclose mortgages per Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(5).

7 7. An order requiring the receiver to prepare monthly reports to the City in accordance
8 with Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(8).

8. An order that the receiver shall not be discharged until the conditions cited in the
City's Notices have been remedied in accordance with the court order or judgment and a
complete accounting of all costs and repairs has been delivered to the Court as required per Cal.
Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(9).

13 9. An order that the receivership shall be in full force and effect until the court terminates14 the receivership.

15 10. An order that, after discharging the receiver, the court shall retain jurisdiction for up to
16 18 months and require Defendant and the City to report to the court in accordance with a schedule
17 determined by the court.

18

## AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:

19 Public Nuisance

20 11. That the Property, together with the fixtures and moveable property, be declared a
21 continuing public nuisance as defined by California Civil Code sections 3479 and 3480.

12. That pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sections 526 and 731, the Court
grant a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction, enjoining and restraining Defendant, his
agents, heirs, successors, officers, employees and anyone acting on his behalf from maintaining
the Property as a public nuisance as defined per California Civil Code sections 3479 and 3480.

13. If after the receiver is discharged, Defendant fails to comply with paragraph 12 of the
 Prayer above, then within 48 hours after posting written notice of its intention, the City or its
 contractor are authorized pursuant to California Civil Code sections 3491 and 3494 to accomplish
 L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.doex

| 1  | the work and recover all costs of abating the nuisance at the Property. The expense of the   |
|----|--|
| 2  | abatement is recoverable by the City according to the provisions of California Government Code                                     |
| 3  | sections 38773.1 and 38773.5.  |
| 4  | 14. That Defendant, his agents, heirs, successors, officers, employees and anyone acting   |
| 5  | on his behalf be required to stay 100 feet away from the Property while the receiver is in control                                 |
| 6  | of the Property.   |
| 7  | AS TO THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION  |
| 8  | Violations of the San Diego Municipal Code   |
| 9  | 15. That the Court declare the Property to be in violation of:   |
| 10 | San Diego Municipal Code sections  |
| 11 | 121.0302(a) 121.0302(b)(4)   |
| 12 | 142.1110(a) 54.0208(a)<br>54.0208(b) 142.0510(a) and (b)   |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | 16. That, pursuant to SDMC sections 12.0202 and 121.0311, the Court grants a   |
| 15 | preliminary injunction and permanent injunction, enjoining and restraining Defendant, his agents,                                  |
| 16 | officers, employees and anyone acting on his behalf, from keeping, allowing, or maintaining  |
| 17 | violations of the SDMC at the Property.  |
| 18 | 17. That upon discharge of the receiver, Defendant, his agents, heirs, successors, officers,                                       |
| 19 | employees and anyone acting on his behalf, is required to maintain the Property in full  |
| 20 | compliance with the SDMC.  |
| 21 | 18. If after the receiver is discharged, Defendant fails to comply with paragraph 17 of the  |
| 22 | Prayer above, then within 48 hours after posting written notice of its intention, the City or its                                  |
| 23 | contractor is authorized to accomplish the work and recover all costs of bringing the Property into                                |
| 24 | compliance. The expense of the abatement is recoverable by the City according to the provisions                                    |
| 25 | of California Government Code sections 38773.1 and 38773.5, and SDMC section 12.0204(a).   |
| 26 | 19. That Defendant allow personnel from the City of San Diego access to the Property to  |
| 27 | inspect and monitor for compliance upon 24 hour verbal or written notice. Inspections shall occur                                  |
| 28 | between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.   |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.doex 20  |
|    | COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF |

| 1  | 20. That, pursuant to SDMC section 12.0202(b), Defendant is assessed a civil penalty of  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | \$2,500 per day for each and every SDMC violation maintained at the Property.  |  |  |
| 3  | AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION   |  |  |
| 4  | 21. That Plaintiffs recover all costs incurred by Plaintiffs, including the costs of   |  |  |
| 5  | investigation and any fees authorized by law, from Defendant.  |  |  |
| 6  | 22. That Plaintiffs be granted such other and further relief as the nature of the case may   |  |  |
| 7  | require and the Court deems appropriate.   |  |  |
| 8  | Dated: November <u>27</u> , 2017   |  |  |
| 9  | MARA W. FALIOTT/City Attorney  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 | By Miller  |  |  |
| 12 | Deputy City Attorney   |  |  |
| 13 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs   |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
|    | L:\CEU\CASE.ZN\1962.dem\Complaint.docx 21  |  |  |
|    | COMPLAINT FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER, ABATEMENT OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE,<br>INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF |  |  |

|    | FILE D   |   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 1  |  | DEC <b>29</b> 2017                                    |  |
| 2  | By: K. Mulligan, Clerk   |   |  |
| 3  |  |   |  |
| 4  |  |   |  |
| 5  |  |   |  |
| 6  |  |   |  |
| 7  |  |   |  |
| 8  | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |
| 9  | COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO  |   |  |
| 10 | THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF<br>CALIFORNIA and CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a                                | Case No. 37-2017-00045079-CU-MC-CTL                   |  |
| 11 | municipal corporation,   | JUDGE RONALD L. STYN                                  |  |
| 12 | Plaintiffs,  | ORDER FOR CONFIRMATION OF<br>RECEIVER AND PRELIMINARY |  |
| 13 | v.   | INJUNCTION  |  |
| 14 | ALEX STEPHEN IATRIDIS, also known as<br>ALEXANDER STEPHAN IATRIDIS, an                           | IMAGED FILE   |  |
| 15 | individual; and<br>DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,   |   |  |
| 16 | Defendants.  |   |  |
| 17 |  |   |  |
| 18 | This matter came on for hearing on December 29, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. in Department C-62,           |   |  |
| 19 | the Honorable Ronald L. Styn, Judge Presiding. Deputy City Attorney David E. Miller appeared     |   |  |
| 20 | on behalf of Plaintiffs, the People of the State of California and City of San Diego.            |   |  |
| 21 | NO Appendent appeared on behalf of Defendant Alex Stephen Iatridis. Upon                         |   |  |
| 22 | reading Plaintiffs' Motion, the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and all         |   |  |
| 23 | supporting declarations and exhibits on file in this action, any argument that may be presented, |   |  |
| 24 | and good cause appearing, the Court finds and Orders:  |   |  |
| 25 | FINDINGS OF FACT   |   |  |
| 26 | 1. The owner of record of the property located at 5126 Alumni Place, San Diego, CA,              |   |  |
| 27 | 92115 (PROPERTY), is Alex Stephen Iatridis (Defendant).  |   |  |
| 28 |  |   |  |
|    | 1<br>ORDER   |   |  |
|    |  | a tar we t  |  |

у У •

.

2. The PROPERTY is substandard as defined per California Health and Safety Code 1 2 (Cal. Health & Safety Code) section 17920.3 and is a public nuisance. The conditions existing at 3 the PROPERTY violate the Cal. Health & Safety Code and the San Diego Municipal Code. 3. The violations at the PROPERTY are so extensive and of such a nature that they 4 5 substantially endanger the health and safety of the occupants and the general public. 6 4. The City, as a local enforcement agency, issued and served notices and orders to 7 vacate and repair to Defendant pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.6. 8 5. Defendant has been afforded a reasonable opportunity to correct the conditions cited 9 in the City's notices and orders and has failed to comply as requested. 10 6. The PROPERTY'S substandard conditions will likely persist unless the Court 11 appoints a receiver to take possession of the PROPERTY and undertake its rehabilitation. 12 7. Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c) and the Court's inherent equitable 13 powers authorize the Court to appoint a receiver to take possession of the PROPERTY and undertake its rehabilitation. 14 15 8. Defendant and all persons with an interest in the PROPERTY were afforded notice of the City's intent to petition the Court for appointment of a receiver over the PROPERTY. 16 17 9. Richardson Griswold, as the City's receiver nominee, has demonstrated the capacity 18 and expertise to undertake and supervise the rehabilitation of the PROPERTY. 19 CONFIRMATION OF A RECEIVER  $\mathbf{20}$ **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:** 1. Richardson Griswold (Receiver) is confirmed as the Receiver of the PROPERTY per 21 22 the Ex Parte Order issued by this Court on December 13, 2017, and pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c); and is given those powers granted under Code of Civil Procedure 23 24 section 568; Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(4); this Order; and future orders of the Court. The Receiver is to take full control and possession of the PROPERTY and to take such 2526 actions as necessary to abate the public nuisance and to remedy all State and local housing code 27violations. 28 . .

ORDER

| 1  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1  | 2. If the Receiver has not done so, then within 10 calendar days after this Order is                 |  |  |
| 2  | entered, the Receiver shall 1) execute and file with the Court a Receiver's Oath; and 2) file a bond |  |  |
| 3  | with the Court as required per Code of Civil Procedure section 567(b) in the amount of \$10,000      |  |  |
| 4  | to secure the faithful performance of his duties.  |  |  |
| 5  | 3. The Receiver shall have the following powers and duties, including but not limited to:            |  |  |
| 6  | a. Take full and complete control of the PROPERTY;   |  |  |
| 7  | b. Enter and inspect the PROPERTY;   |  |  |
| 8  | c. Remove all trash, junk, and debris from the interior and exterior of the                          |  |  |
| 9  | PROPERTY as soon as practically possible;  |  |  |
| 10 | d. Maintain the PROPERTY vacant during the pendency of the receivership, should                      |  |  |
| 11 | the Receiver believe vacating the PROPERTY to be required to safely and adequately carry out         |  |  |
| 12 | the purposes of this receivership. If Defendant or any occupant refuses to vacate the PROPERTY,      |  |  |
| 13 | the Receiver may obtain a writ of possession, or equivalent legal authority under the                |  |  |
| 14 | circumstances, to remove the Defendant or any occupant from the PROPERTY;                            |  |  |
| 15 | e. Secure the PROPERTY and prohibit the entry of unauthorized individuals;                           |  |  |
| 16 | f. Post "No Trespassing" signs at the PROPERTY that are visible from the public                      |  |  |
| 17 | right-of-way and file a Letter of Agency with the San Diego Police Department, Eastern               |  |  |
| 18 | Division;  |  |  |
| 19 | g. Manage the PROPERTY and pay expenses for the operation of the PROPERTY,                           |  |  |
| 20 | including taxes, insurance, utilities, general maintenance, and debt secured by an interest in the   |  |  |
| 21 | PROPERTY;  |  |  |
| 22 | h. Secure a cost estimate and construction plan from a licensed contractor to make                   |  |  |
| 23 | the repairs necessary to correct the conditions cited in the City's Notices and to correct any other |  |  |
| 24 | conditions found during an inspection of the PROPERTY;   |  |  |
| 25 | i. Enter into contracts and employ a licensed contractor as necessary to correct the                 |  |  |
| 26 | conditions cited in the City's Notices and to correct any other conditions found during an           |  |  |
| 27 | inspection of the PROPERTY, and further, consult with and/or employ appropriate counseling           |  |  |
| 28 | services to assist receiver in dealing with or helping Defendant;                                    |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |

3 ORDER j. Borrow funds to pay for repairs necessary to correct the substandard housing
 conditions existing at the PROPERTY, and secure that debt, with Court approval, with a recorded
 priority lien on the PROPERTY. The lien shall take priority over all existing encumbrances on
 the PROPERTY, except tax liens; and

k. Exercise the powers granted to receivers under Section 568 of the California Code
of Civil Procedure, including the power to sell the PROPERTY, if necessary.

7 4. Pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(3), that Defendant and his
8 agents be enjoined from:

9

10

a. Collecting any rents from the PROPERTY;

b. Interfering with the Receiver in the operation of the PROPERTY; and

c. Encumbering or transferring the PROPERTY, or any portion of the PROPERTY,
during the receivership period.

5. The City has incurred, and will continue to incur expenses and costs. The City is
entitled to recover those costs, including attorney's fees, from Defendant pursuant to Cal. Health
& Safety Code sections 17980.7(d)(1) and 17980.7(c)(11) as a priority lien on the PROPERTY.

16 6. The Receiver is permitted to fund an initial \$15,000 receivership certificate with
17 super-priority status to cover the costs of securing the PROPERTY and the initial costs of the
18 receivership.

19 7. If an order issued by the Receiver under the direction of this Court is refused by any
20 person, the Receiver is authorized to enlist the assistance of law enforcement to ensure
21 compliance with the Order. Law enforcement officers are authorized to employ all reasonably
22 necessary measures to secure cooperation and compliance with the order issued by the Receiver,
23 including but not limited to, the use of forced entry onto/into the PROPERTY should consent to
24 enter be refused.

8. Failure to comply with this Order, or any other orders issued by the Court, shall be
punishable by civil contempt pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code section 17995 et seq., and
any other penalties and fines the Court deems appropriate.

28 | . .

. . .

9. The Receiver shall be entitled to receive compensation for his services at a rate of
 \$295/hour, for the repair of the PROPERTY, as well as reimbursement for expenses per Cal.
 Health & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(5).

4 10. The Receiver shall prepare monthly accounting reports in accordance with Cal. Health
5 & Safety Code section 17980.7(c)(8), and file them with the Court. The monthly accounting
6 reports must also be served on all parties.

7 11. The Receiver shall not be discharged until the conditions cited in the City's Notices
8 have been remedied in accordance with the court order or judgment and a complete accounting of
9 all costs and repairs has been delivered to the Court as required per Cal. Health & Safety Code
10 section 17980.7(c)(9).

11 12. The Receiver shall not be held liable for the removal and disposal of any personal
12 property.

13 13. The Receiver shall permit City inspectors, law enforcement, and Plaintiffs reasonable
14 access to the PROPERTY to inspect for compliance and cooperate with the City and law
15 enforcement as necessary.

16 14. The receivership shall be in full force and effect until this Court terminates the17 receivership.

18 15. After discharging the Receiver, the court shall retain jurisdiction for up to 18 months
19 and require Defendant and the City to report to the court in accordance with a schedule
20 determined by this Court.

21

## PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

IT IS FURTHERED ORDERED that Defendant Alex Stephen Iatridis, and his agents,
 servants, employees, partners, associates, officers, representatives, and all persons acting in
 concert or participating with him, with actual or constructive notice of this injunction, be
 immediately enjoined and restrained pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code sections 12.0202
 and 121.0311, California Code of Civil Procedure sections 526 and 731, and Cal. Health & Safety
 Code section 17980.7(c), from engaging or performing, directly or indirectly, the following acts:
 . . . .

| 1  | 16. Maintaining a substandard property at 5126 Alumni Place in the City of San Diego, in             |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | violation of state and local building laws and as a public nuisance which is a threat to the health, |  |  |
| 3  | safety, and welfare of the public.   |  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS:  |  |  |
| 5  | 17. That Defendant shall not interfere, obstruct, or resist the efforts of the Receiver to           |  |  |
| 6  | manage, rehabilitate, and maintain the PROPERTY.   |  |  |
| 7  | 18. An agent of the City is authorized to post a copy of this Order on the exterior of the           |  |  |
| 8  | PROPERTY in a place visible to anyone entering and exiting the Property.                             |  |  |
| 9  | 19. The City is authorized to record this Order with the San Diego County Recorder's                 |  |  |
| 10 | Office and provide notice of the Order to Defendant and any occupants of the PROPERTY.               |  |  |
| 11 | 20. That Plaintiffs be granted such other and further relief as the nature of the case may           |  |  |
| 12 | require and the Court deems appropriate.   |  |  |
| 13 | Dated: December $\frac{\overline{29}}{2017}$ , 2017  |  |  |
| 14 | 1 Att  |  |  |
| 15 | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  |  |  |
| 16 | RONALD L. STYN   |  |  |
| 17 | 3 75 100 18 10 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
|    | 6  |  |  |
|    | ORDER  |  |  |

· .





