Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions

Traffic Impact Study

Final Report

Prepared for: City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS 401 San Diego, CA 92101

Prepared by:



5694 Mission Center Road, Suite 602-147 San Diego, CA 92108

April 2013

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions

Traffic Impact Study

Final Report

April 2013

Prepared for:



City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS 401 San Diego, CA 92101

Prepared By:



5694 Mission Center Road, Suite 602-147 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 952-2936

Table of Contents

Exe	cutive Summa	ry E·	·1			
1	Introduction1					
	1.1 Project D	escription	1			
	1.2 Trip Gene	eration	1			
2	Methodology		3			
		ons				
	2.2 Roadway	Segments	4			
		Segments				
		ation of Significant Impacts				
3	Future Build	out Analysis	8			
	3.1 Study Are	ea	8			
	3.2 Buildout	Traffic Volumes1	5			
	3.3 Intersecti	on Analysis2	22			
	3.4 Roadway	Segment Analysis	25			
	3.5 Freeway	Segment Analysis2	29			
4		raffic Impacts and Potential Mitigation Measures				
	4.1 Intersecti	ons3	30			
	4.2 Roadway	Segments	35			
	4.3 Freeway	Segment4	13			
5	•	•	4			

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Trip Generation Summary	2
Table 2-1 LOS Criteria for Intersections	
Table 2-2 LOS Criteria for Roadway Segments	
Table 2-3 LOS Criteria for Freeway Segments	
Table 2-4 Significance Criteria for Intersections and Roadway Segments	
Table 3-1 Functional Classifications for Roadway Segments	8
Table 3-2 Traffic Control at Study Intersections	
Table 3-3 Validation of ADT Volumes	
Table 3-4 Validation of Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	21
Table 3-5 Comparison oF Winter and Summer Traffic Volumes	22
Table 3-6 Peak Hour Intersection LOS Summary Buildout Conditions	23
Table 3-7 Queuing Summary Buildout Conditions	25
Table 3-8 Roadway Segment LOS Summary Buildout Conditions	26
Table 3-9 Freeway Segment LOS Summary Buildout Conditions	29
Table 4-1 Significance at Study Area Intersections	30
Table 4-2 Peak Hour Intersection LOS Summary (With Mitigation) Buildout Conditions	33
Table 4-3 Significance at Study Area Roadway Segments	36
Table 4-4 Roadway Segment LOS Summary (With Mitigation) Buildout Conditions	41
Table 4-5 Significance at Study Area Freeway Segment	43

List of Figures

Buildout Street Classifications	10
Buildout Study Intersections	12
Buildout Intersection Geometrics	14
Buildout Daily Traffic Volumes	16
Buildout Intersection Volumes - AM Peak	17
Buildout Intersection Volumes – PM Peak	18
Buildout Intersection Level of Service	24
Buildout Street Segment Level of Service	28
Significantly Impacted Facilities	32
Recommended Improvements	42
	Buildout Study Intersections Buildout Intersection Geometrics Buildout Daily Traffic Volumes Buildout Intersection Volumes – AM Peak Buildout Intersection Volumes – PM Peak Buildout Intersection Level of Service Buildout Street Segment Level of Service Significantly Impacted Facilities

Appendices

- Appendix A: Existing Conditions Report
- Appendix B: Ocean Beach Community Rezoning Areas
- Appendix C: Trip Generation by TAZ
- Appendix D: Select Link Analysis Plots
- Appendix E: Signal Warrant Analysis
- Appendix F: Buildout Traffic Forecast
- Appendix G: Turning Movement Forecast Worksheets
- Appendix H: Validation of Existing Traffic Counts Memo
- Appendix I: Traffic Volumes Used for Validation
- Appendix J: Intersection LOS Worksheets
- Appendix K: Queuing Worksheets
- Appendix L: Caltrans Data for Freeway Analysis
- Appendix M: Mitigated Intersection LOS Worksheets
- Appendix N: Conceptual Illustrations of Proposed Mitigation at Intersections
- Appendix O: Conceptual Illustrations of Proposed Mitigation Along Roadway Segments

Executive Summary

The following traffic study summarizes the results of the traffic analysis performed for Buildout of the proposed Community Plan ("Proposed Plan") for the Ocean Beach community within the City of San Diego. The traffic analysis in this report primarily focuses on the operations of the intersections and roadway segments within the community; however, selected roadway and freeway segments outside of the Ocean Beach community were also included in this analysis since they were found to carry a substantial amount of Ocean Beach traffic, and they are the major gateways to the Ocean Beach community.

Implementation of the Proposed Plan would be expected to have a significant traffic impact at the following intersections:

- 1 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 WB off-ramp
- 2 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 EB on-ramp
- 3 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Nimitz Boulevard
- 4 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard
- 5 Nimitz Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard
- 6 Bacon Street/West Point Loma Boulevard
- 7 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Brighton Avenue
- 8 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Orchard Avenue

Improvements have been identified in this report at three intersections shown in italics above to fully or partially mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impacts at these locations. Impacts at intersections No. 1, 2 and 3 are expected to be significant mainly due to the increase in traffic associated with regional growth in the San Diego area. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard (including the bridge) would have to be widened to a six-lane major in order to adequately accommodate expected future traffic demand in the area. Widening of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is not currently included in any Public Facilities Financing Plan or funded Capital Improvement Program. Development project review would address significance of impacts on a project-level basis. Therefore, transportation impacts at Sunset Cliffs Boulevard Interstate 8 (I-8) ramps will remain significant and unmitigated. Additionally, San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) in coordination with Caltrans is currently administering the proposed I-8 Corridor project which will assess a set of identified operational improvements between Sunset Cliffs/Nimitz area to the west and College Avenue/SDSU area to the east including, but not limited to, interchange and ramp modifications that are key components of the future improvement strategy of I-8 Corridor. As part of this analysis, access alternatives at I-8 and Sunset Cliffs/Nimitz corridor should be evaluated for potential improvement that will enhance overall travel efficiencies at that location. It should be noted that potential improvements at these intersections may be further defined once SANDAG completes its I-8 corridor study. As a result, the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impacts to these intersections would remain significant and unmitigated. At intersections No. 7 and 8, the installation of a traffic signal would mitigate the Proposed Plan's impacts. However, the installation of traffic signals at these locations are not recommended since neither location would meet standard warrants for a traffic signal based on the Buildout forecast turning volumes. However, it is recommended that these two intersections be regularly re-evaluated in the future.

Implementation of the Proposed Plan would be expected to have a significant traffic impact on the following roadway segments:

- 1 Abbott Street (Newport Avenue to Santa Monica Avenue)
- 2 Cable Street (Narragansett Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard)
- 3 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard (Adair Street to Sea World Drive)
- 4 Ebers Street (Narraganestt Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard)
- 5 Nimitz Boulevard (Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard)
- 6 West Point Loma Boulevard (Abbott Street to Nimitz Boulevard)
- 7 Voltaire Street (Bacon Street to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard)
- 8 Voltaire Street (Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Froude Street)

Improvements have been identified in this report for the roadway segment shown in italics above to fully or partially mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impact to these locations. It is recommended that Nimitz Boulevard from Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard be reclassified and improved as a six lane primary arterial to partially mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impact. All other significant traffic impacts to roadway segments are recommended to remain unmitigated since mitigations would likely require either removal of on-street parking or roadway widening.

Implementation of the Proposed Plan would have no significant impact to the segment of Interstate 8 between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Mission Bay Drive. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

1 Introduction

The following traffic study presents the results of the traffic analysis performed for Buildout of the proposed Community Plan ("Proposed Plan") for the Ocean Beach community within the City of San Diego. Baseline data for this analysis was taken from the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element*, dated January 2010. A copy of this report is contained as **Appendix A**. The traffic analysis in this report primarily focuses on the operations of the intersections and roadway segments within the community; however, selected roadway and freeway segments outside of the Ocean Beach community were also included in this analysis.

1.1 **Project Description**

The Proposed Plan is an update to the Ocean Beach Community Plan. The Plan is designed to be regularly revised with respect to organization and content for consistency with the General Plan, to amend the Plan Land Use Map with related zone changes to reflect amendments and correct inconsistencies between land uses and the Community Plan land use designation, and to amend the Ocean Beach Public Facilities Financing Plan. The Proposed Plan would rezone 99 parcels (approximately 21 acres) from RS-1-7 to RM-1-1. The existing zone allows for single dwelling unit density of 9 dwelling units (du's) per acre for a maximum build out of approximately 189 units. The proposed Community Plan Update would change the zoning to allow up to 15 du's per acre and could result in the maximum buildout of approximately 315 units, or a theoretical net increase of 126 dwelling units as indicated in the Notice of Preparation (NOP). Based on further analysis of the proposed plan update, the proposed plan buildout could result in a net increase of 62 dwelling units over what the current plan anticipate. In total, the Proposed Plan could accommodate an additional 1,399 dwelling units over existing conditions of the entire Ocean Beach community.

Appendix B contains a figure depicting the areas in the Ocean Beach community where the proposed rezoning will occur in this Community Plan Update.

1.2 Trip Generation

Table 1-1 presents a summary of the traffic estimated to be generated by the Ocean Beach community in 2003 (which is the base year used for the traffic forecasting for this project) as well as under the Adopted Community Plan and Proposed Plan. As shown in the table, at buildout, the rezone of the 99 parcels under the Proposed Plan is estimated to generate an additional 620 ADT compared to the Adopted Community Plan projected buildout. In addition, the Proposed Plan is estimated to generate approximately 9,440 ADT more than what the community was estimated to generate in 2003. **Appendix C** contains additional trip generation information related to each traffic analysis zone (TAZ) within the Ocean Beach community.

	TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY			ADT		
			Adopted Community Plan	Proposed Community Plan		
Code	Name	Year 2003	(Current Zoning)	(Proposed Zoning)	Proposed – Adopted	Proposed - 2003
1409	OTHER GROUP QUARTERS	1	1		0	0
4112	RIGHT-OF-WAY	0	0	0	0	0
4113	COMMUNICATION OR UTILITY	1	1	1	0	0
4114	PARKING	0	0	0	0	0
6105	FIRE OR POLICE STATION	229	229	229	0	0
6109	OTHER PUBLIC SERVICE	261	550	550	0	289
6510	OTHER HEALTH CARE(ksf)	1,200	1,200	1,200	0	0
7210	OTHER RECREATION	62	63	63	0	1
7601	ACTIVE PARK	84	84	84	0	0
7604	ACTIVE BEACH	14,548	20,793	20,793	0	6,245
9101	INACTIVE USE	0	0	0	0	0
9708	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	16,208	20,527	21,147	620	4,939
9709	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	33,940	38,896	38,896	0	4,956
9710	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	4,847	4,887	4,887	0	40
9711	CHURCH(w/o SCH/Day-ksf)	111	202	202	0	91
9712	CHURCH (GENERAL-ksf)	687	428	428	0	-259
9715	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	17,132	21,241	21,241	0	4,109
9717	RESTAURANT (FAST FOOD-ksf)	2,258	2,239	2,239	0	-19
9718	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	7,540	5,680	5,680	0	-1,860
9719	LOW RISE OFFICE	2,049	2,049	2,049	0	0
9722	AUTO REPAIR(ksf)	546	295	295	0	-251
9723	TIRE STORE (ksf)	47	0	0	0	-47
9724	CAR WASH(SELF/S-wash stall)	498	0	0	0	-498
9725	FINANCIAL INST(DR/THR-ksf)	139	0	0	0	-139
9731	LOW-RISE HOTEL-MOTEL-room	1,360	1,360	1,360	0	0
9732	OTHER RETAIL AND COMM (ksf)	1,488	959	959	0	-529
9733	POST OFFICE (ksf)	1,280	1,280	1,280	0	0
9734	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL-student	1,745	1,745	1,745	0	0
9736	LIBRARY (ksf)	214	214	214	0	0
9738	GAS STATION W FOODMART-pump	3,125	3,125	3,125	0	0
9744	MARKET OPEN 16HR/DAY(ksf)	1,503	1,503	1,503	0	0
9745	MARKET OPEN 24HR/DAY(ksf)	2,379	0	0	0	-2,379
9746	SPECIALTY COMMERCIAL(ksf)	561	493	493	0	-68
9747	MEDICAL OFFICE(KSF)	88	88	88	0	0
9748	OTHER SCHOOL(ksf)	543	485	485	0	-58
9749	SUPERMARKET(ksf)	4,530	2,352	2,352	0	-2,178
9750		610	249	249	0	-361
9751	FINANCIAL INST(ksf)	2,205	1,530	1,530	0	-675
9752		67	0	0	0	-67
9753	SPORT FACILITY-INDOOR	24	24	24	0	0
9754	FURNITURE STORE (ksf)	325	286	286	0	-39
9755		1,803	0	0	0	-1,803
9765	ACTIVE BEACH (3264)	1,939	1,939	1,939	0	0
	TOTAL	128,177	136,997	137,617	620	9,440 tv of San Diego

TABLE 1-1 TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY

Source: City of San Diego

2 Methodology

The following section describes the methodology used to evaluate the study intersections, roadway segments, and freeway segments and determine the significant traffic impacts of the proposed Community Plan Update.

2.1 Intersections

The analysis process for intersections includes determining the levels of service (LOS) at the study intersections for the AM and PM peak hours. The AM intersection analysis evaluates the LOS of the study intersections during the hour with the highest vehicular traffic between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM. The PM intersection analysis evaluates the LOS of the study intersections during the hour with the highest vehicular traffic between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM.

To analyze the operations of both signalized and unsignalized intersection, Synchro 7 (Trafficware) software was used. Synchro 7 uses the methodologies outlined in the 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)*.

All signal timing data and parameters such as cycle lengths, splits, clearance intervals, etc. from the analyses contained in *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element* were assumed to be the same for the future year analyses with the exception of intersection cycle lengths and splits, which were optimized to account for the forecasted changes in demand along each respective approach.

The analysis of intersections utilized the operational analysis procedure as outlined in the 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), Transportation Research Board Special Report 209.* This method defines Level of Service (LOS) in terms of delay, or more specifically, average control delay per vehicle. Delay is a measure of driver and/or passenger discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption and lost travel time.

The average control delay includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, and final acceleration time in addition to the stop delay.

The LOS for unsignalized intersections is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. At a one-way or two-way stop control intersection, the delay reported represents the worst movement, which are typically the left-turns from the minor street approach. At an all-way stop control intersection, the delay reported is the average control delay of the intersection. The criteria for the various LOS designations are provided in **Table 2-1**.

TABLE 2-1 LOS CRITERIA FOR INTERSECTIONS

	Control Dela		
LOS	Signalized Intersections (a)	Unsignalized Intersections (b)	Description
А	<u><</u> 10	<u><</u> 10	Operations with very low delay and most vehicles do not stop.
В	>10 and <u><</u> 20	>10 and <u><</u> 15	Operations with good progression but with some restricted movements.
С	>20 and <u><</u> 35	>15 and <u><</u> 25	Operations where a significant number of vehicles are stopping with some backup and light congestion.
D	>35 and <u><</u> 55	>25 and <u><</u> 35	Operations where congestion is noticeable, longer delays occur, and many vehicles stop. The proportion of vehicles not stopping declines.
E	>55 and <u><</u> 80	>35 and <u><</u> 50	Operations where there is significant delay, extensive queuing, and poor progression.
F	>80	>50	Operations that are unacceptable to most drivers, when the arrival rates exceed the capacity of the intersection.

Notes:

(a) Highway Capacity Manual 2000 (HCM 2000), Chapter 16, Page 2, Exhibit 16-2

(b) Highway Capacity Manual 2000 (HCM 2000), Chapter 17, Page 2, Exhibit 17-2

The City of San Diego considers LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hours to be the threshold of acceptable LOS at intersections.

2.2 Roadway Segments

Roadway segment LOS standards and thresholds provide the basis for analysis of roadway segment performance. The analysis of roadway segment LOS is based on the functional classification of the roadway, its maximum capacity, its roadway geometrics, and existing or forecast Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes. **Table 2-2** presents the roadway segment capacity and LOS standards utilized by the City of San Diego.

		Level of Service			
Street Classification	Α	В	С	D	Ε
Expressway (6-lane)	< 30,000	< 42,000	< 60,000	< 70,000	< 80,000
Primary Arterial (6-lane)	< 25,000	< 35,000	< 50,000	< 55,000	< 60,000
Major Street (6-lane, divided)	< 20,000	< 28,000	< 40,000	< 45,000	< 50,000
Major Street (4-lane, divided)	< 15,000	< 21,000	< 30,000	< 35,000	< 40,000
Collector (4-lane w/center lane)	< 10,000	< 14,000	< 20,000	< 25,000	< 30,000
Collector (4-lane w/o center lane)	< F 000	. 7.000	< 10.000	< 12 000	< 15 000
Collector (2-lane w/ continuous left-turn lane)	< 5,000	< 7,000	< 10,000	< 13,000	< 15,000
Collector (2-lane no fronting property)	< 4,000	< 5,500	< 7,500	< 9,000	< 10,000
Collector (2-lane w/ commercial fronting)	< 0.500	< 2 500	< F 000	< C 500	< 0.000
Collector (2-lane multi-family)	< 2,500	< 3,500	< 5,000	< 6,500	< 8,000
Sub-Collector (2-lane single-family)	-	-	< 2,200	-	-

TABLE 2-2 LOS CRITERIA FOR ROADWAY SEGMENTS

Source: Traffic Impact Study Manual, City of San Diego, July 1998

2.3 Freeway Segments

Freeway segments were analyzed during the AM and PM peak hours based on the methodologies developed by Caltrans District 11. Freeway segment LOS is based on the volume to capacity ratio (v/c ratio) on the freeway during the peak hours. The procedure involves comparing the peak hour volume of the mainline freeway segment to the theoretical capacity of the segment, resulting in the corresponding v/c ratio. The resulting v/c ratio is then compared to the accepted v/c ratio values. The procedure for calculating the freeway LOS involves the estimation of the v/c ratio using the following equation:

v/c ratio = ([ADT * K Factor * D Factor] / Truck Factor) / Capacity

ADT = average daily traffic volumes K Factor = percentage of ADT occurring in the peak hour D Factor = percentage of peak hour traffic occurring in the peak direction Truck Factor = based on truck percentage and terrain Capacity = 2,350 vehicles/hour/lane for the mainline **Table 2-3** summarizes the freeway segment LOS thresholds.

LOS CRITERIA FOR FREEWAY SEGMENTS				
LOS	v/c Ratio			
А	< 0.41			
В	0.42 - 0.62			
С	0.63 – 0.80			
D	0.81 – 0.92			
E	0.93 – 1.00			
F(0)	1.01 – 1.25			
F(1)	1.26 – 1.35			
F(2)	1.36 – 1.45			
F(3)	> 1.46			

TARI F 2-3

2.4 Determination of Significant Impacts

To determine if a project would have a significant impact on an intersection, roadway segment, and/or freeway segment, the City of San Diego has developed thresholds based on allowable increases in delay at intersections and volume to capacity (v/c) ratios for roadway and freeway segments. At intersections, the measure of effectiveness (MOE) is based on allowable increases in delay. For roadway and freeway segments, the MOE is based on allowable increases in the v/c ratio. For intersections that are expected to operate at LOS E with the project, the allowable increase in delay is two seconds, while for intersections that are expected to operate at LOS F, the allowable increase in delay is one second. If vehicle trips from a proposed project would cause the delay at an intersection to increase by more than the City's threshold, this would be a significant project impact that would require mitigation.

For roadway segments that are forecast to operate at LOS E, the allowable increase in v/c ratio is 0.02, while for roadway segments that are forecast to operate at LOS F, the allowable increase in v/c ratio is 0.01. An increase in v/c ratio higher than the City's threshold would be a significant impact that requires mitigation.

For freeway segments that are forecast to operate at LOS E, the allowable increase in v/c ratio is 0.010, while for freeway segments that are forecast to operate at LOS F, the allowable increase in v/c ratio is 0.005. An increase in v/c ratio higher than the City's threshold would be a significant impact that requires mitigation.

Additionally, if a roadway facility would operate at acceptable LOS in baseline conditions, but at unacceptable LOS with the project, then the project would have a significant traffic impact on the roadway facility.

Table 2-4 summarizes the criteria for determining levels of significance at intersections, roadway segments, and freeway segments.

TABLE 2-4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA FOR INTERSECTIONS AND ROADWAY SEGMENTS

Facility	Measurement of Effectiveness (MOE)	Significance Threshold (a)	
Intersections	Seconds of delay	> 2.0 seconds at LOS E or > 1.0 seconds at LOS F	
Roadway Segments	v/c Ratio	> 0.02 at LOS E or > 0.01 at LOS F, and adjacent intersections operating at an unacceptable LOS	
Freeway Segments	v/c Ratio	> 0.01 at LOS E or > 0.005 at LOS F	
Source: City of San Diego, Significance Determination Thresholds, January 2			

Notes:

(a) Significance threshold applies only when the facility operates at LOS E or F.

3 Future Buildout Analysis

This section summarizes the study area, roadway network and intersections, peak hour and daily traffic volumes, and operations at the study roadway facilities in Buildout scenario.

3.1 Study Area

3.1.1 Roadway Segments

This study analyzed all roadway segments evaluated in the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element*. Additionally, the following three segments of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard were studied as this roadway is a major gateway to the Ocean Beach Community and was found (through select link analysis) to carry substantial amounts of Ocean Beach traffic:

- 1. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between West Point Loma Boulevard and Nimitz Boulevard
- 2. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Nimitz Boulevard and I-8 WB off-ramp
- 3. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between I-8 WB off-ramp and Sea World Drive

Appendix D contains a copy of the select link analysis for the segments of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, West Point Loma Boulevard, and Nimitz Boulevard.

The functional classification assumed for the roadway segments in the Buildout scenario is the same as currently exists.

Table 3-1 summarizes the functional classifications for the various roadway segments within the OB community as well as the roadway segments studied that lie outside the community limits. **Figure 3-1** provides a graphical representation of these roadways' classifications.

Roadway Segment	Functional Classification	
Abbott St		
Newport St to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	
Bacon St		
Santa Cruz Ave to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	
Cable St		
Orchard Ave to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	
Sunset Cliffs Blvd		
Adair St to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Major Street	
W Point Loma Blvd to Nimitz Blvd (a)	4 Lane Major Street	
Nimitz Blvd to I-8 WB off-ramp (a)	4 Lane Primary Arterial	
I-8 WB off-ramp to Sea World Dr (a)	4 Lane Primary Arterial	
Ebers St		
Narragansett Ave to Voltaire St	2 Lane Collector Street	
Nimitz Blvd		
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to W Point Loma Blvd	4 Lane Primary Arterial	

 TABLE 3-1

 FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS FOR ROADWAY SEGMENTS

Roadway Segment	Functional Classification
W Point Loma Blvd	
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Nimitz Blvd	2 Lane Major Street
Nimitz Blvd to Famosa Blvd	4 Lane Major Street
Voltaire St	
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2 Lane Major Street
Santa Monica Ave	
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street
Newport Ave	
Abbott St to Froude St	2 Lane Collector Street
Narragansett Ave	
Bacon St to Froude St	2 Lane Collector Street
Orchard Ave	
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street
Point Loma Ave	
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2 Lane Collector Street

Notes:

(a) These roadway segments are located within the Mission Bay Park community.



3.1.2 Freeways

Based on the Select Link analysis, the freeway segment of I-8 between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and W Mission Bay Drive was included in the geographic study area. This freeway segment is considered to be a main gateway into the Ocean Beach community and contains two travel lanes (main lines) in each direction.

3.1.3 Intersections

All intersections evaluated in the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element* were analyzed in this study. In addition, the following four stop controlled intersections were analyzed in this report:

- 1. Ebers Street/West Point Loma Boulevard (1-way stop)
- 2. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Brighton Avenue (2-way stop)
- 3. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Orchard Avenue (2-way stop)
- 4. Bacon Street/West Point Loma Boulevard (all-way stop)

Figure 3-2 presents the study area intersections evaluated under Buildout conditions.

Because Ocean Beach community members have expressed the desire to have these locations signalized, they have been evaluated to see if they warrant signalization under Buildout conditions. The California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD 2012) Figure 4C-103 was referenced to determine if any of the intersections would be expected to meet traffic signal warrants. Based on the analysis, signalization would be warranted only at the West Point Loma Boulevard/Ebers Street and West Point Loma Boulevard/Bacon Street intersections using forecasted Buildout traffic volumes. The other two intersections would not meet signal warrants. Signal warrant worksheets are provided in **Appendix E** as well as existing count data for these intersections.



Table 3-2 summarizes the traffic controls at each study intersection. As shown in the table, Intersections 1 through 11 are signalized while Intersections 12 through 15 are unsignalized with either stop controls on the minor approaches or on all approaches.

#	Intersection	Traffic Control
1	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ I-8 WB off-ramp	Signal
2	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ I-8 EB on-ramp	Signal
3	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Nimitz Blvd	Signal
4	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd	Signal
5	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Voltaire St	Signal
6	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Santa Monica Ave	Signal
7	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Newport Ave	Signal
8	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Narragansett Ave	Signal
9	Nimitz Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd	Signal
10	Ebers St @ Voltaire St	Signal
11	Cable St @ Newport Ave	Signal
12	Ebers St @ W Point Loma Blvd	OWSC
13	Bacon St @ W Point Loma Blvd	AWSC
14	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Brighton Ave	OWSC
15	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Orchard Ave	OWSC
Note		

TABLE 3-2 TRAFFIC CONTROL AT STUDY INTERSECTIONS

Signal: Traffic signal, OWSC: One-way stop control, AWSC: All-way stop control

Figure 3-3 illustrates the intersection geometrics assumed at the study intersections in the Buildout scenario.



3.2 Buildout Traffic Volumes

3.2.1 Roadway and Freeway Volumes

The average daily traffic (ADT) volumes for the Buildout scenario along the roadway and freeway segments studied were determined from the City of San Diego's future year travel forecast, dated January 26, 2011. This forecast is a SANDAG Series 11 forecast that includes buildout land uses of the proposed Ocean Beach Community Plan Update and incorporates land use, population, and employment data in the San Diego region in Year 2030. The land uses for the adjacent communities were forecast for the Year 2030. It should be noted that due to the uncertainty of estimates and forecasts, traffic volumes were rounded according to the following American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO's) rounding standards, which are the following:

Forecast Volume	Round to Nearest
<100	10
100 to 999	50
1,000 to 9,999	100
10,000 to 99,999	500
>99,999	1,000

Figure 3-4 displays the Buildout daily traffic volumes along the various roadway segments within the OB community. **Appendix F** contains a copy of the travel forecast.

3.2.2 Intersection Peak-Hour Turning Volumes

To estimate the Buildout scenario turning movement volumes at the study intersections, the existing turning movements at each respective study intersection were factored up based on the projected Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes along each segment. Each respective movement was derived using an iterative approach that balances the inflows and outflows for each approach. The input values include the existing turning movement volumes and future year peak hour approach and departure volumes along each leg of the intersection. The future peak hour approach volumes were estimated by applying the existing peak hour factor (K-factor) and directional distributional percentage (D-factor) to the future ADT volumes along each approach. A more detailed description of the methodology used to forecast turning movement volumes is contained in the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) 255 Highway Traffic Data for Urbanized Area Project Planning and Design, Chapter 8.

An Excel model was developed to compute the forecasted turning movement volumes from existing turning movement volumes and forecasted approach and departure volumes by the techniques described in NCHRP 255. As a conservative approach, if a turning movement volume produced by this model was less than the existing count for that movement, manual adjustments were made to assure that all forecast horizon year volumes would be equal or greater than the existing turning movement counts. It should be noted that due to the uncertainty of estimates and forecasts, all turning movement volumes were rounded up to the nearest five vehicles. **Appendix G** contains the worksheets summarizing how the future year turning movement volumes were derived.

Figures 3-5 and **3-6** illustrate the Buildout peak hour turning movement volumes during the AM and PM peak, respectively.





THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABLITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright SanGIS, All Rights Reserve



THIS MAP IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABLITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Copyright SanGLS AII RIGHT Reserved

3.2.3 Validation of Traffic Counts

In accordance with the City of San Diego Traffic Impact Study Manual (1998), traffic counts should be no greater than two years old. Therefore, since the counts from the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element* were gathered in 2008, validation was required to determine if these counts still represent current traffic conditions. Consequently, roadway segment ADT and intersection turning volume counts from the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element* were compared to current (i.e., Year 2010 and later) counts to determine if the 2008 counts were still valid. Details of the validation of the existing traffic counts was prepared and summarized in a technical memorandum, dated November 8, 2011 (see **Appendix H**).

Table 3-3 summarizes the validation of the ADT volumes along several of the study area roadway segments. Cells containing counts from the same season (winter or summer) are shown in gray highlights. Also, bolded values in the table indicate traffic counts that are within 10 percent of each other. As shown in the table, it does not appear that there is a pattern with the recent ADT volumes as they are both higher and lower than the counts obtained in 2008. However, the ADT volumes shown for one of the primary gateways into the Ocean Beach community, Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, indicate that traffic volumes have not experienced significant change over the last few years, which supports the validity of the 2008 traffic counts used by the City in developing the Existing Conditions Report. Traffic volumes along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Lotus Street and West Point Loma Boulevard remained fairly constant between 2008 and 2010.

VALIDATION OF ADT VOLUMES								
Segment	Date of Count	ADT	Δ in ADT*	∆ in %				
Bacon St (Narragansett Ave and Niagara Ave)	Thu, 01/17/08	3,700	1,115	30%				
	Tue, 06/15/10	4,815	1,115	50%				
Cable St (Brighton Ave to Long Branch Ave)	Thu, 01/17/08	6,500	-1,835	-28%				
Cable St (Bighton Ave to Long Branch Ave)	Tue, 11/16/10	4,665	-1,000	-2076				
Narragansett Ave (Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	2,800	145	5%				
Narrayansett Ave (Cable St to Sunset Chins Bivu)	Tue, 06/15/10	2,945	140	570				
Newport Ave (Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	6,200	1,970	32%				
Newport Ave (Cable St to Sunset Chins Divd)	Tue, 06/15/10	8,170	1,970	JZ /0				
Point Loma Ave (Ebers St to Froude St)	Thu, 07/24/08	3,000	670	22%				
Tomic Lonia Ave (Lbers St to Froude St)	Tue, 07/27/10	3,670	070	22 /0				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd (Lotus St to W Point Loma Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	22,800	-150	-1%				
	Tue, 06/15/10	22,650	-150	-170				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd (W Point Loma Blvd to Nimitz Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	36,200	945	3%				
	Sat, 01/29/11	37,145	343	J /0				
Voltaire St (Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Ebers St)	Thu, 01/17/08	5,400	2,670	49%				
	Tue, 06/15/10	8,070	2,070	4370				
W Point Loma Blvd (Bacon St to Cable St)	Thu, 07/24/08	12,900	-25	0%				
W FOILT LOUID DIVU (DACOLI ST TO CADIE ST)	Tue, 06/15/10	12,875	-20	0 /0				

TABLE 3-3 VALIDATION OF ADT VOLUMES

 Δ refers to increase (+) or decrease (-) in volumes between 2008 and 2010 counts. (2010 minus 2008)

Cells highlighted in gray indicate counts that were obtained during the same season (winter or summer)

Values shown in **bold** indicate traffic counts that are within 10% of each other.

Regarding the validation of intersection traffic counts, several recent intersection traffic counts (August 2010) were provided by the City of San Diego and summarized in **Table 3-4**. As shown in the table, the average entering traffic volumes at all intersections are generally the same during the peak hours. Traffic counts that are within 10 percent are considered to be valid; therefore, it can be concluded that the turning volume counts from the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report* are still valid and may be used in this analysis. **Appendix I** contains a copy of the traffic volumes used for the validation.

Intersection	Peak Hour	Date of Count	Total Entering Volume	Date of Count	Total Entering Volume	Δin %
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/W Point Loma Blvd	AM	Wed	2,326	Thu	2,297	-1%
	PM	7/16/2008	3,245	8/5/2010	3,290	1%
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Voltaire St	AM	Wed	1,438	Thu	1,503	5%
	PM	7/16/2008	1,966	8/5/2010	1,942	-1%
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Narragansett Ave	AM	Wed	909	Thu	767	-16%
	PM	7/16/2008	1,104	8/5/2010	1,140	3%
Cable St/Newport Ave	AM	Wed	543	Thu	555	2%
Cable Stillewpolt Ave	PM	7/16/2008	923	8/5/2010	880	-5%
Average	AM		5,216		5,122	-2%
Average	PM		7,238		7,252	0%

TABLE 3-4 VALIDATION OF PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Notes:

 Δ refers to & increase (+) or decrease (-) in volumes between 2008 and 2010 counts (2010 minus 2008). Values that are shown in **bold** indicate traffic counts that are within 10% of each other.

3.2.4 Seasonal Traffic Volumes

The Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element provides two sets of counts for each roadway facility studied; one set taken in January 2008 ("winter counts") and another taken during the summer of 2008. Since the development of Buildout turning volumes is contingent on the baseline volumes, it was necessary to determine which set of counts (winter or summer) were higher so the higher counts could be used to develop the Buildout volumes. This would provide a more conservative analysis since using higher counts for the baseline would result in higher intersection counts for the Buildout scenario.

Table 3-5 summarizes the traffic data obtained for the winter and summer months at selected intersections along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. It should be noted that the traffic volumes represent the total traffic volumes entering an intersection during the peak one-hour time period.

As shown in the table, traffic counts obtained in the winter months at all of the intersections along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard during both peak hours demonstrate higher traffic volumes compared to the counts obtained during the summer months. The winter counts at all locations along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard were generally 19 percent and 9 percent higher during the AM and PM peak hour, respectively. Typically summer counts are higher than winter counts in beach areas; however, the decline in traffic volumes in the summer of 2008 may be attributed to the higher fuel prices as well as the economic downturn beginning in March 2008. Since winter counts were found to be higher than the summer counts, the winter counts at the study area intersections were used as a baseline to estimate the future year turning movement volumes.

Intersection	Peak Hour	Winter 2008	Summer 2008	∆ in %				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/W Point Loma Blvd	AM	2,837	2,326	-18%				
	PM	3,270	3,240	-1%				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Voltaire St	AM	1,734	1,426	-18%				
	PM	2,156	1,950	-10%				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Santa Monica Ave	AM	1,404	1,072	-24%				
	PM	1,579	1,361	-14%				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Newport Ave	AM	1,289	1,037	-20%				
Sunset Clins Bivd/Newport Ave	PM	1,441	1,219	-15%				
Support Cliffe Plyd/Narragappott Ave	AM	1,109	902	-19%				
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Narragansett Ave	PM	1,289	1,087	-16%				
All Signalized Intersections along Sunset Cliffs	AM	8,373	6,763	-19%				
Blvd	PM	9,735	8,857	-9%				

TABLE 3-5 COMPARISON OF WINTER AND SUMMER TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Notes:

The percentage shown in the table compares the summer 2008 counts to winter 2008 counts, with negative values indicating higher winter volumes and positive values indicating the reverse.

3.3 Intersection Analysis

Table 3-6 displays the LOS analysis results for the study intersections at Buildout. As shown in the table, seven study intersections would operate at an acceptable LOS D or better and the following eight intersections would not:

- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 WB off-ramp (LOS F, AM and PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 EB on-ramp (LOS F, AM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Nimitz Boulevard (LOS F, AM and PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F, AM and PM Peak)
- Nimitz Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F, AM and PM Peak)
- Bacon Street/West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F, PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Brighton Street (LOS F, AM and PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Orchard Street (LOS F, AM and PM Peak)

It should be noted that due to the close spacing of the West Point Loma Boulevard and Voltaire Street intersections along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and the LOS F operations at the West Point Loma Boulevard/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard intersection, northbound queuing could degrade operations at the Voltaire Street/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard intersection. A queuing analysis was performed and summarized in Section 3.3.1 below.

Figure 3-7 graphically depicts the LOS at the study intersections.

Appendix J contains the LOS worksheets for the Buildout scenario.

	BUILDOUT CONDITIONS								
				Buildout (Conditions				
#	Intersection	Traffic Control	Peak Hour	Delay (a)	LOS (b)				
1	Support Cliffo Dlud @ L 8 W/D off roma	Signal	AM	128.7	F				
I	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ I-8 WB off-ramp	Signal	PM	ECL	F				
2	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ I-8 EB on-ramp	Signal	AM	88.5	F				
2		Signal	PM	37.9	D				
3	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Nimitz Blvd	Signal	AM	ECL	F				
5		Olgridi	PM	124.3	F				
4	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd	Signal	AM	144.2	F				
-		Olgridi	PM	87.4	F				
5	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Voltaire St (c)	Signal	AM	17.7	В				
5		Olgridi	PM	29.8	С				
6	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Santa Monica Ave	Signal	AM	15.3	В				
0		Olgridi	PM	25.9	С				
7	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Newport Ave	Signal	AM	10.4	В				
'		Olgridi	PM	11.3	В				
8	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Narragansett Ave	Signal	AM	10.5	В				
Ŭ		olgridi	PM	17.7	В				
9	Nimitz Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd	Signal	AM	117.1	F				
		orginal	PM	112.4	F				
10	Ebers St @ Voltaire St	Signal	AM	24.6	С				
		orginal	PM	26.7	С				
11	Cable St @ Newport Ave	Signal	AM	15.6	В				
		orginal	PM	20.8	С				
12	Ebers St @ W Point Loma Blvd	OWSC	AM	11.0	В				
			PM	12.8	В				
13	Bacon St @ W Point Loma Blvd	AWSC	AM	13.0	В				
			PM	50.5	F				
14	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Brighton Ave	OWSC	AM	62.2	F				
			PM	89.7	F				
15	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Orchard Ave	OWSC	AM	ECL	F				
	<u> </u>		PM	ECL	F				

TABLE 3-6 PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LOS SUMMARY BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

Notes:

Bold values indicate unacceptable LOS E or F

Signal: Traffic signal, OWSC: One-way stop control, AWSC: All-way stop control

ECL: Exceeds Calculable Limits. Typically reported when the delay exceeds 180 seconds per vehicle.

(a) Delay refers to the average control delay for the entire intersection, measured in seconds per vehicle.

(b) LOS calculations are based on the methodology outlined in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual and performed using Synchro 7

(c) Northbound queues along Sunset Cliffs Blvd may degrade operations to less than acceptable conditions.

Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013



3.3.1 Queuing Analysis

A queuing analysis in the Buildout scenario was performed in the northbound direction at the West Point Loma Boulevard/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard intersection, since the Synchro analysis for this intersection was LOS F and this intersection is very close to the intersection of Voltaire Street/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. As such, queues from West Point Loma Boulevard/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard could cause significant delays for vehicles at the intersection of Voltaire Street/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard that would not be accounted for in the LOS for Voltaire Street/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard reported in Table 3-6. **Table 3-7** summarizes the queuing analysis in the northbound direction at the West Point Loma Boulevard/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard intersection. As shown in the table, both the 50th and 95th percentile queue lengths for the northbound through movement along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard would exceed the available storage and extend back into the Voltaire Street/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard may degrade the LOS at Voltaire Street/Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to less than acceptable levels of service.

Appendix K contains the queuing worksheets.

TABLE 3-7 QUEUING SUMMARY BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

		Buildout Conditions						
		Available 50th % Queue 95th % Queue						
	Intersection	Direction	Storage	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	
4	W Point Loma Blvd @ Sunset	NBL	120 ft	5 ft	5 ft	5 ft	5 ft	
4	Cliffs Blvd	NBT	400 ft	760 ft	460 ft	1080 ft	790 ft	
Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013								

Notes:

Bold values indicate movements where queues exceed the available storage length. NBL = northbound left, NBT = northbound through

3.4 Roadway Segment Analysis

Table 3-8 displays the LOS analysis results for the roadway segments under the Buildout condition. As shown in the table, 14 of the roadway segments would function at LOS D or better, and the following segments would not:

- Abott Street
 - Newport Street to Santa Monica Avenue (LOS F)
- Bacon Street
 - o Santa Monica Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS E)
- Cable Street
 - Narragansett Ave to Newport Avenue (LOS E)
 - Newport Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard
 - o Adair Street to Narragansett Avenue (LOS F)
 - Narragansett Avenue to Voltaire Street (LOS F)
 - Voltaire Street to West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F)
 - o West Point Loma Boulevard to Nimitz Boulevard (LOS F)
 - o Nimitz Boulevard to I-8 WB off-ramp (LOS F)
 - I-8 WB off-ramp to Sea World Drive (LOS F)

- Ebers Street
 - Narragansett Avenue to Newport Avenue (LOS E)
 - Newport Avenue to Voltaire Street (LOS F)
 - Voltaire Street to West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F)
- Nimitz Boulevard
 - o Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard (LOS F)
- West Point Loma Boulevard
 - Abbott Street to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard (LOS F)
 - Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Nimitz Boulevard (LOS F)
- Voltaire Street
 - Bacon Street to Cable Street (LOS E)
 - Cable Street to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard (LOS F)
 - o Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Froude Street (LOS F)
- Newport Avenue
 - Abbott Street to Cable Street (LOS F)

Figure 3-8 graphically depicts the LOS along the study roadway segments.

TABLE 3-8
ROADWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY
BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

	DOILDOOT CONL				
		LOS E		v/c Ratio	
Roadway Segment	Classification (a) (d)	Capacity	ADT (b)	(c)	LOS
Abbott St		0.000	0.500	4.40	
Newport St to Santa Monica Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	9,500	1.19	F
Santa Monica Ave to W Point Loma		0.000	F 000	0.70	P
Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	5,800	0.73	D
Bacon St					
Santa Cruz Ave to Narragansett Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	4,300	0.54	С
Narragansett Ave to Santa Monica Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	6,300	0.79	D
Santa Monica Ave to W Point Loma					
Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	7,500	0.94	E
Cable St					
Orchard Ave to Narragansett Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	4,200	0.53	С
Narragansett Ave to Newport Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	7,200	0.90	E
Newport Ave to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	12,000	1.50	F
Sunset Cliffs Blvd					
Adair St to Narragansett Ave	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	19,500	2.44	F
Narragansett Ave to Voltaire St	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	25,500	3.19	F
Voltaire St to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	24,000	3.00	F
W Point Loma Blvd to Nimitz Blvd	4 Lane Major Street	40,000	52,500	1.31	F
Nimitz Blvd to I-8 WB off-ramp	4 Lane Primary Arterial	45,000	57,000	1.27	F
I-8 WB off-ramp to Sea World Dr	4 Lane Primary Arterial	45,000	53,500	1.19	F
Ebers St		,	,		_
Coronado Ave to Narragansett Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	5,300	0.66	D
Narragansett Ave to Newport Ave	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	6,600	0.83	E
Newport Ave to Voltaire St	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	8,400	1.05	 F
Voltaire St to W Point Loma Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	15,000	1.88	 F
Nimitz Blvd		0,000	.0,000		
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to W Point Loma Blvd	4 Lane Primary Arterial	45,000	69,500	1.54	F
W Point Loma Blvd		10,000	00,000		
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	31,500	3.94	F
		0,000	01,000	0.04	

		LOS E		v/c Ratio	
Roadway Segment	Classification (a) (d)	Capacity	ADT (b)	(C)	LOS
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Nimitz Blvd	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	19,000	2.38	F
Nimitz Blvd to Famosa Blvd	4 Lane Major Street	30,000	15,500	0.52	С
Voltaire St					
Abbott St to Bacon St	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	4,900	0.61	С
Bacon St to Cable St	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	6,900	0.86	E
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	8,400	1.05	F
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2 Lane Major Street	8,000	11,000	1.38	F
Santa Monica Ave					
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	5,700	0.71	D
Newport Ave					
Abbott St to Cable St	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	8,700	1.09	F
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	5,200	0.65	D
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	4,500	0.56	С
Narragansett Ave					
Bacon St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	4,100	0.51	С
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	5,700	0.71	D
Orchard Ave					
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	2,800	0.35	В
Point Loma Ave	<u>.</u>	-			
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2 Lane Collector Street	8,000	4,700	0.59	С
			a 114	laan & Company	

Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013

Notes:

Bold values indicate roadway segments operating at LOS E or F.

(a) The roadway classifications are consistent with the Existing Conditions functional street classifications and with the Buildout Street Network plot provided by the City of San Diego.

(b) Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes for the roadway segments were obtained from the City of San Diego's future year travel forecast, dated January 26, 2011

(c) The v/c Ratio is calculated by dividing the ADT volume by each respective roadway segment's capacity.
(d) The capacity for the 2-lane major classification has been revised to 8,000 ADT (which is the capacity of a 2-lane collector) since these segments have no raised median or center turn lane.



3.5 Freeway Segment Analysis

Table 3-9 displays the LOS analysis results for the I-8 freeway segment under the Buildout scenario. As shown in the table, the I-8 freeway segment would operate at an acceptable LOS in both directions during the AM and PM peak hours. **Appendix L** contains the Caltrans data used to compute the freeway LOS.

TABLE 3-9
FREEWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY
BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

	Direction & Number of Lanes (a)		Direction & Number Peak-Hour Volume (b)			v/c Ratio		LOS	
Freeway Segment			AM	PM	Capacity	AM	PM	AM	PM
I-8: Sunset Cliffs Blvd to W Mission Bay Dr	EB Mainline	2M	3,400	2,900	4 700	0.723	0.617	С	В
	WB Mainline	2M	2,000	3,300	4,700	0.426	0.702	В	С
Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013									

Notes:

(a) "M" = Mainline

(b) Peak-hour volumes were estimated based on the City of San Diego's traffic forecast and on existing K, D, and truck factors provided by Caltrans

(c) Capacity = 2,350 vehicles per hour per lane (mainline) p er Caltrans Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies (December 2002)
4 Significant Traffic Impacts and Potential Mitigation Measures

This section summarizes the proposed Community Plan Update's significant impacts at the study intersections, roadway segments, and freeway segment. Results for the Existing Conditions analyses were referenced from the *Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report Mobility Element*.

4.1 Intersections

Table 4-1 provides a summary of the Plan Update's significant traffic impacts at the study area intersections. As shown in the table, the proposed Community Plan Update would have a significant traffic impact at the following study intersections:

- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 WB off-ramp (AM and PM peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 EB on-ramp (AM peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Nimitz Boulevard (AM and PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard (AM and PM Peak)
- Nimitz Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard (AM and PM Peak)
- Bacon Street/West Point Loma Boulevard (PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Brighton Avenue (AM and PM Peak)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Orchard Avenue (AM and PM Peak)

			Peak	DY AREA IN Existing Condit	(2008)	Builde	out	∆in	
#	Intersection	Traffic Control	Hour	Delay (a)	LOS (b)	Delay (a)	LOS (b)	Delay	Sig?
1	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ I-8 WB off-	Signal	AM	40.5	D	128.7	F	88.2	YES
	ramp	Signal	PM	93.1	F	ECL	F	>1	YES
2	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ I-8 EB on-	Cianal	AM	40.5	D	88.5	F	48.0	YES
2	ramp	Signal	PM	16.7	В	37.9	D	21.2	NO
2	Support Cliffo Dlud @ Nimita Dlud	Cianal	AM	101.8	F	ECL	F	>1	YES
3	3 Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Nimitz Blvd	Signal	PM	36.0	D	124.3	F	88.3	YES
4	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ W Point	Cianal	AM	105.7	F	144.2	F	38.5	YES
4	Loma Blvd	Signal	PM	36.7	D	87.4	F	50.7	YES
5	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Voltaire St	Circal	AM	12.2	В	17.7	В	5.5	NO
Э	(d)	Signal	PM	22.7	С	29.8	С	7.1	NO
6	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Santa	Cianal	AM	19.1	В	15.3	В	-3.8	NO
0	⁶ Monica Ave	Signal	PM	25.6	С	25.9	С	0.3	NO
7	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Newport	Cianal	AM	9.8	А	10.4	В	0.6	NO
1	Ave	Signal	PM	8.5	А	11.3	В	2.8	NO
8	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	Circal	AM	11.3	В	10.5	В	-0.8	NO
ō	Narragansett Ave	Signal	PM	13.8	В	17.7	В	3.9	NO

TABLE 4-1 SIGNIFICANCE AT STUDY AREA INTERSECTIONS

		Traffic	Peak	Existing Condit		Build	out	Δin	
#	Intersection	Control	Hour	Delay (a)	LOS (b)	Delay (a)	LOS (b)	Delay	Sig?
0	9 Nimitz Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd	Cianal	AM	100.1	F	117.1	F	17.0	YES
9		Signal	PM	86.6	F	112.4	F	25.8	YES
10	Ehern Ch @ Vallaire Ch	Circul	AM	9.8	А	24.6	С	14.8	NO
10	Ebers St @ Voltaire St	Signal	PM	8.5	А	26.7	С	18.2	NO
11	11 Cable St @ Newport Ave	Circul	AM	11.3	В	15.6	В	4.3	NO
		Signal	PM	13.5	В	20.8	С	7.3	NO
12	Ehans Ot @ W/ Deint Lanza Dhud	014/00	AM	22.4	С	11.0	В	-11.4	NO
12	Ebers St @ W Point Loma Blvd	OWSC	PM	28.7	С	12.8	В	-15.9	NO
10	Pessen Ot @ W Daint Lama Dhud		AM	10.1	В	13.0	В	2.9	NO
13	13 Bacon St @ W Point Loma Blvd	AWSC	PM	20.4	С	50.5	F	30.1	YES
14	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Brighton	OWSC	AM	24.5	С	62.2	F	37.7	YES
14	Ave		PM	33.2	С	89.7	F	56.5	YES
15	15 Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ Orchard Ave	014/06	AM	17.3	В	ECL	F	>1	YES
10		OWSC	PM	22.5	С	ECL	F	>1	YES

Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013

Notes:

Bold values indicate unacceptable LOS E or F. Bold and shaded values indicate significant project impacts.

Signal: Traffic signal, OWSC: One-way stop control, AWSC: All-way stop control

ECL: Exceeds Calculable Limits. Typically reported when the delay exceeds 180 seconds per vehicle.

(a) Results were obtained from the Winter 2008 counts(b) At signalized intersections, delay refers to the average control delay for the entire intersection (in seconds/vehicle). At unsignalized intersections, delay refers to the

(c) LOS calculations are based on the methodology outlined in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual and performed using Synchro 7.

(d) Queues from the downstream intersection of Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd could add more delay to this intersection.

The first five signalized intersections shown in the list above are located in the northeastern portion of the community, are the gateways to the community, and forecasts predict a large growth in traffic when compared to Existing Conditions. Much of this growth in traffic is attributed to regional growth rather than growth in the Ocean Beach Community. All but one of the unsignalized intersections would result in LOS F conditions and would be considered to be significantly impacted by the Proposed Plan. It should be noted that a few study intersections would actually experience a slight decrease in overall delay, which results from signal timing optimization based on future traffic demands. **Figure 4-1** illustrates the locations of the significantly impacted intersections.

Various improvement measures were evaluated and considered at each identified intersection with a significant impact. The goal was to identify improvements to reduce the delays to less than significant levels at the significantly impacted intersections. **Table 4-2** provides a summary of the LOS after implementing the various identified mitigation measures. The following section describes the improvements evaluated at each impacted intersection.

movement with the highest delay (in seconds/vehicle).



			E				>		
		Peak	Existing Condi		Buildout		Buildout w/Mitigation		
#	Intersection	Hour	Delay ^(a)	LOS ^(b)	Delay ^(a)	LOS ^(b)	Delay ^(a)	LOS ^(b)	Proposed Improvement
1	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	AM	40.5	D	128.7	F			No mitigation measures identified
1	I-8 WB off-ramp	PM	93.1	F	208.8	F			No miligation measures identified
2	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	AM	40.5	D	88.5	F			No mitigation measures identified
2	I-8 EB on-ramp	PM	16.7	В	37.9	D			No miligation measures identined
3	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	AM	101.8	F	210.3	F			No mitigation measures identified
	Nimitz Blvd	PM	36.0	D	124.3	F			No miligation medisares lacitaties
4	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	AM	105.7	F	144.2	F	99.3	F	Add a 2nd SB RT lane by widening and removing approximately 5
-	W Point Loma Blvd	PM	36.7	D	87.4	F	54.6	D	parking spaces along the north side of W Point Loma Blvd
	Nimitz Blvd @	AM	100.1	F	117.1	F	67.5	E	Install a 2 nd EB and WB left turn lane
9	W Point Loma Blvd	PM	86.6	F	112.4	F	92.2	F	by widening the south side of W Point Loma Blvd
13	Bacon St @ W Point	AM	10.1	В	13.0	В	7.0	А	Signalize intersection
15	Loma Blvd	PM	20.4	С	50.5	F	13.9	В	Signalize intersection
	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	AM	24.5	С	62.2	F			No improvement recommended, but
14	Brighton Ave	PM	33.2	С	89.7	F			place intersection on the signal watch list for regular re-evaluation
	Sunset Cliffs Blvd @	AM	17.3	В	ECL	F			No improvement recommended, but
15	Orchard Ave	PM	22.5	С	ECL	F			place intersection on the signal watch list for regular re-evaluation

TABLE 4-2 PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LOS SUMMARY (WITH MITIGATION) BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013

Notes:

Bold values indicate unacceptable LOS E or F.

ECL: Exceeds Calculable Limits. Typically reported when the delay exceeds 180 seconds per vehicle.

(a) At signalized intersections, delay refers to the average control delay for the entire intersection (in seconds/vehicle). At unsignalized intersections, delay refers to the movement with the highest delay (in seconds/vehicle).

(b) LOS calculations are based on the methodology outlined in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual and performed using Synchro 7

4.1.1 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 WB Off-Ramp

With the large percentage increase in volumes at this intersection mainly due to the increase in traffic associated with regional growth in the San Diego area, additional through lanes and leftturn pockets would be required to improve the operations of the intersection to pre-project conditions or better. Additional through lanes on Sunset Cliffs Boulevard would require widening the bridge over the San Diego River. Widening of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is not currently included in any Public Facilities Financing Plan or funded Capital Improvement Program. Development project review would address significance of impacts on a project-level basis. As a result, the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this intersection would remain unmitigated.

4.1.2 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 EB On-Ramp

With the large percentage increase in volumes at this intersection mainly due to the increase in traffic associated with regional growth in the San Diego area, additional through lanes would be required to improve the operations of the intersection to pre-project conditions or better. Widening of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is not currently included in any Public Facilities Financing

Plan or funded Capital Improvement Program. Development project review would address significance of impacts on a project-level basis. As a result, the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this intersection would remain unmitigated.

4.1.3 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Nimitz Boulevard

With the large increase in traffic volumes at this intersection mainly due to the increase in traffic associated with regional growth in the San Diego area, additional through lanes would be required to improve the operations of the intersection to existing conditions or better. Widening of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is not currently included in any Public Facilities Financing Plan or funded Capital Improvement Program. Development project review would address significance of impacts on a project-level basis. As a result, the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this intersection would remain unmitigated.

4.1.4 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard

In order to mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impacts at the intersection of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard, a second southbound right-turn lane from Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard would be required. This improvement would require widening as well as approximately 5 feet of additional right-of-way along the north side of West Point Loma Boulevard and approximately 10 feet of additional right-of-way along the second right-turn lane would be approximately 200 feet. Additionally, this improvement would require the removal of approximately five on-street parking spaces along the north side of West Point Loma Boulevard. These improvements would restore the intersection to an acceptable LOS (LOS D) during the PM Peak. Although the LOS would remain at LOS F during the AM Peak with this improvement in place, the delay at this intersection would be less than existing conditions; therefore, the proposed mitigation would fully mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this intersection.

4.1.5 Nimitz Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard

With the large increase in traffic volumes at this intersection under Buildout conditions, an additional through lane in the northbound direction along Nimitz Boulevard would be required to improve the operations of the intersection. Based on current design requirements outlined in the 2012 CA MUTCD, a minimum length of approximately 600 feet would be required to transition to two lanes north of the intersection. As a result, the lane drop would occur in the curve of the roadway for eastbound I-8. Having a lane drop on a curve is not recommended for safety reasons; therefore, this improvement is not recommended. However, a second eastbound and westbound left turn lane could be installed by widening the south side of West Point Loma Boulevard by approximately 10 feet as depicted in **Appendix N**. Acquisition of right-of-way would not be required as the City of San Diego currently owns this right-of-way. With the second eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes in place, the delay at the intersection would be less than existing conditions in the AM peak, but not less than existing conditions in the PM peak, as shown in Table 4-2. Therefore, this improvement would partially, rather than fully, mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact at this intersection.

4.1.6 Bacon Street/West Point Loma Boulevard

This location would meet MUTCD signal warrants for the installation of a traffic signal at Buildout of the proposed Plan Update. The installation of a traffic signal would improve the operations at this intersection to LOS B or better conditions during both the AM and PM peak hours. As a result, this improvement would fully mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this intersection.

4.1.7 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Brighton Avenue

Under buildout conditions, the eastbound and westbound left-turn movements from the minor approach on Brighton Avenue would experience long delays and cause the intersection LOS to degrade to LOS F. Turn pockets on both the eastbound and westbound approaches could be installed along Brighton Avenue to help reduce the delays from the minor street approach, but this would require roadway widening or restriping which would impact existing on-street parking which is heavily utilized in this area. Widening of Brighton Avenue would impact surrounding residential property and community character. Since the projected left turn volumes are very low, 10 in the AM peak hour and 5 in the PM peak hour, these improvements are not recommended. Therefore, the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this intersection would remain unmitigated. However, it is recommended that this location be periodically evaluated to see if it meets the minimum criteria for the installation of a traffic signal by placing the signal on the City's traffic signal watch list.

4.1.8 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Orchard Avenue

Under buildout conditions, the eastbound and westbound left turn movements from the minor approach on Orchard Avenue would experience long delays and cause the intersection LOS to degrade to LOS F. Turn pockets on both the eastbound and westbound approaches could be installed along Orchard Avenue to help reduce the delays from the minor street approach, but this would require roadway widening or restriping which would impact existing on-street parking which is heavily utilized in this area. Widening of Orchard Avenue would impact surrounding residential property and community character. Since the projected left turn volumes are very low, 30 in the AM peak hour and 20 in the PM peak hour, these improvements are not recommended. The Plan Update's significant traffic impact at this intersection would remain unmitigated. However, it is recommended that this location be periodically evaluated to see if this intersection meets the minimum criteria for the installation of a traffic signal by placing the signal on the City's traffic signal watch list.

Appendix M contains the mitigated LOS worksheets and **Appendix N** contains schematic illustrations of the proposed mitigation measures.

4.2 Roadway Segments

Table 4-3 provides a summary of the Plan Update's significant traffic impacts for the study area roadway segments. It should be noted that in some cases there may be a slight reduction in buildout ADT compared to existing ADT. This may be attributed to diversion of traffic. As shown in the table, the Plan would have a significant impact on the following roadway segments:

• Abbott Street

- Newport Street to Santa Monica Avenue
- Cable Street
 - Narragansett Avenue to Newport Avenue
 - Newport Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard
 - o Adair Street to Narragansett Avenue
 - o Narragansett Avenue to Voltaire Street
 - o Voltaire Street to West Point Loma Boulevard
 - o West Point Loma Boulevard to Nimitz Boulevard
 - Nimitz Boulevard to I-8 off-ramp
 - I-8 WB off-ramp to Sea World Drive
- Ebers Street
 - o Narragansett Avenue to Newport Avenue
 - Newport Avenue to Voltaire Street
 - o Voltaire Street to West Point Loma Boulevard
- Nimitz Boulevard
 - o Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard
- West Point Loma Boulevard
 - Abbott Street to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard
 - Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Nimitz Boulevard
- Voltaire Street
 - Bacon Street to Cable Street
 - Cable Street to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard
 - Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Froude Street

Figure 4-1 illustrates the locations of the significantly impacted roadway segments.

010111	10/ 110 2	AT STOL						
	Existing	Existing (2008) Conditions Buildout						
		v/c			v/c			
Roadway Segment	ADT	Ratio	LOS	ADT	Ratio	LOS	Δ in v/c	Sig
Abbott St								
Newport St to Santa Monica Ave	3,400	0.43	В	9,500	1.19	F	0.76	YES
Santa Monica Ave to W Point								
Loma Blvd	3,400	0.43	В	5,800	0.73	D	0.30	NO
Bacon St				_				
Santa Cruz Ave to Narragansett								
Ave	3,700	0.46	С	4,300	0.54	С	0.08	NO
Narragansett Ave to Santa								
Monica Ave	3,700	0.46	С	6,300	0.79	D	0.33	NO
Santa Monica Ave to W Point								
Loma Blvd	7,800	0.98	E	7,500	0.94	E	-0.04	NO
Cable St		-	-	_				
Orchard Ave to Narragansett								
Ave	4,300	0.54	С	4,200	0.53	С	-0.01	NO
Narragansett Ave to Newport								
Ave	4,300	0.54	С	7,200	0.90	E	0.36	YES
Newport Ave to W Point Loma								
Blvd	6,300	0.79	D	12,000	1.50	F	0.71	YES

TABLE 4-3 SIGNIFICANCE AT STUDY AREA ROADWAY SEGMENTS

				1				
	Existing	g (2008) Co	nditions		Buildout			
		v/c			v/c			
Roadway Segment	ADT	Ratio	LOS	ADT	Ratio	LOS	∆ in v/c	Sig
Sunset Cliffs Blvd								
Adair St to Narragansett Ave	9,900	1.24	F	19,500	2.44	F	1.20	YES
Narragansett Ave to Voltaire St	17,800	2.23	F	25,500	3.19	F	0.96	YES
Voltaire St to W Point Loma Blvd	22,800	2.85	F	24,000	3.00	F	0.15	YES
W Point Loma Blvd to Nimitz								
Blvd	36,200	0.91	E	52,500	1.31	F	0.41	YES
Nimitz Blvd to I-8 WB off-ramp	36,200	0.91	E	57,000	1.27	F	0.36	YES
I-8 WB off-ramp to Sea World Dr	36,200	0.91	E	53,500	1.19	F	0.28	YES
Ebers St								
Coronado Ave to Narragansett								
Ave	4,000	0.50	С	5,300	0.66	D	0.16	NO
Narragansett Ave to Newport								
Ave	4,000	0.50	С	6,600	0.83	E	0.33	YES
Newport Ave to Voltaire St	6,900	0.86	E	8,400	1.05	F	0.19	YES
Voltaire St to W Point Loma Blvd	9,900	1.24	F	15,000	1.88	F	0.64	YES
Nimitz Blvd								
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to W Point								
Loma Blvd	41,700	0.93	E	69,500	1.54	F	0.62	YES
W Point Loma Blvd								
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	18,500	2.31	F	31,500	3.94	F	1.63	YES
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Nimitz Blvd	13,400	1.68	F	19,000	2.38	F	0.70	YES
Nimitz Blvd to Famosa Blvd	15,500	0.39	В	15,500	0.52	С	0.13	NO
Voltaire St								
Abbott St to Bacon St	3,500	0.44	С	4,900	0.61	С	0.18	NO
Bacon St to Cable St	5,400	0.68	D	6,900	0.86	Е	0.19	YES
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	5,400	0.68	D	8,400	1.05	F	0.38	YES
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	8,400	1.05	F	11,000	1.38	F	0.33	YES
Santa Monica Ave								
Abbott St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	4,400	0.55	С	5,700	0.71	D	0.16	NO
Newport Ave		•	•					
Abbott St to Cable St	8,700	1.09	F	8,700	1.09	F	0.00	NO
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	6,200	0.78	D	5,200	0.65	D	-0.13	NO
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	6,200	0.78	D	4,500	0.56	С	-0.21	NO
Narragansett Ave			•					
Bacon St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	2,800	0.35	В	4,100	0.51	С	0.16	NO
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	2,600	0.33	В	5,700	0.71	D	0.39	NO
Orchard Ave	,			-,				~
Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd	1,600	0.20	А	2,800	0.35	В	0.15	NO
Point Loma Ave								
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Froude St	3,400	0.43	В	4,700	0.59	С	0.16	NO
	,		1				Company, Inc.	

Notes:

Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013

Bold values indicate roadway segments operating at LOS E or F. Bold and shaded values indicate significant impacts.

In order to mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact at the identified roadway segments shown above, various mitigation measures were investigated. Mitigation measures for each impacted roadway segment are summarized in **Table 4-4**. The following section describes the improvements considered at each significantly impacted roadway segment.

4.2.1 Abbott Street

The installation of a two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL) would mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact to Abbot Street. This could be achieved by either re-striping to remove onstreet parking or roadway widening. Since Abbott Street between Newport Street and Santa Monica Avenue is 40 feet curb-to-curb, restriping would require the removal of approximately 16 on-street parking spaces. Given that parking is heavily utilized in this area and the Ocean Beach Community lies within the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, removal of on-street parking is not recommended at this time. Alternatively, this portion of Abbott Street could be widened to accommodate a TWLTL. However, street widening would impact approximately two building structures. If neither the removal of on-street parking nor roadway widening is recommended, the Proposed Plan's significant impact to Abbot Street between Newport Street and Santa Monica Avenue would remain significant and unmitigated.

4.2.2 Cable Street

The installation of a TWLTL would mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact to Cable Street. This could be achieved by either re-striping to remove on street parking or roadway widening. Since Cable Street between Narragansett Avenue and West Point Loma Blvd is 36 feet wide curb-to-curb south of Brighton Avenue and 40 feet wide curb-to-curb north of Brighton Avenue, restriping would require the removal of approximately 124 on-street parking spaces. Given that parking is heavily utilized in this area and the Ocean Beach Community lies within the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, removal of on-street parking is not recommended. Alternatively, this portion of Cable Street could be widened to accommodate a TWLTL. However, street widening would impact approximately 60 building structures; therefore, widening is not recommended. If neither the removal of on-street parking nor roadway widening is recommended, the Proposed Plan's significant impact to Cable Street between Narragansett Avenue and West Point Loma Blvd would remain significant and unmitigated.

4.2.3 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard

A one-way couplet was evaluated for Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Adair Street and West Point Loma Boulevard with the other portion of the couplet system as either Cable Street or Ebers Street. For analysis purposes, each segment along the one-way couplet assumed half of the traffic volumes for both roadways of the couplet. Although the one-way couplet concept would improve operations along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, the operations along Cable Street or Ebers Street would degrade since these streets would now carry more traffic from Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. Because a one-way couplet would be anticipated to introduce out of direction travel, potentially facilitate higher motorized vehicular speeds, and create a less pedestrian friendly environment, a one-way couplet does not seem desirable as mitigation in this location.

The reclassification and construction of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Adair Street and West Point Loma Boulevard as a four lane major street could also mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. This would require widening and the construction of a raised center median. Given that street widening would impact approximately 140 building structures, widening of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Adair Street and West Point Loma Boulevard is not recommended. Therefore, since neither the installation of a one-way couplet nor roadway widening are recommended, the Proposed Plan's significant impact to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Adair Street and West Point Loma Boulevard would remain significant and unmitigated.

The reclassification and construction of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between West Point Loma Boulevard and Nimitz Boulevard as a six lane primary arterial would fully mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant impact to this portion of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, and the reclassification and construction of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between West Point Loma Blvd and Nimitz Blvd as a six lane major street would partially mitigate Plan Update's significant impact to this portion of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. However, this roadway segment lies outside Ocean Beach Community Plan and lies inside the Mission Bay Master Plan. Therefore, it is recommended that any reclassification of this portion of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to a six lane primary arterial or six lane major street be evaluated and considered when the Mission Bay Master Plan is updated. The Proposed Plan's significant impact to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between West Point Loma Boulevard and Nimitz Boulevard would remain significant and unmitigated at this time.

The reclassification and construction of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Nimitz Boulevard and Sea World Drive as a six lane primary arterial would fully mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant impact to this portion of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. However, widening Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to six lanes in this area would require the widening of the bridge over the San Diego River which is not included in any Public Facilities Financing Plan or funded Capital Improvement Program. Development project review would address significance of impacts on a project-level basis. Therefore, the Plan Update's significant impacts to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Nimitz Boulevard and Sea World Drive would remain unmitigated.

4.2.4 Ebers Street

The installation of a TWLTL would mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact to Ebers Street between Narragansett Avenue and Voltaire Street. This could be achieved by either re-striping to remove on-street parking or roadway widening. However, since Ebers Street between Narragansett Avenue and Voltaire Street is 36 feet wide curb-to-curb south of Brighton Avenue and 40 feet wide curb-to-curb north of Brighton Avenue, restriping would require the removal of approximately 141 on-street parking spaces. Given that parking is heavily utilized in this area and the Ocean Beach Community lies within the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, removal of on-street parking is not recommended. Alternatively, this portion of Ebers Street could be widened to accommodate a TWLTL. However, street widening would impact approximately 80 building structures; therefore, widening is not recommended. Since both the removal of on-street parking are not recommended, the Proposed Plan's significant impact to Ebers Street between Narragansett Avenue and Voltaire Street would remain significant and unmitigated.

Widening to a 4 lane collector would be required to mitigate the Plan Update's significant impact to Ebers Street between Voltaire Street and West Point Loma Blvd. However, for the reasons specified above (with the exception that widening this segment would impact approximately 20 rather than 80 existing building structures), roadway widening is not recommended and the Proposed Plan's significant impact to Ebers Street between Voltaire Street and West Point Loma Boulevard would remain significant and unmitigated.

4.2.5 Nimitz Boulevard

The reclassification and construction of Nimitz Boulevard between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard as a six lane expressway would fully mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to this roadway segment. This mitigation would require grade separation. However, the reclassification and construction of Nimitz Boulevard between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard as a six lane primary arterial would improve this segment's LOS and is recommended to partially mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impacts. **Appendix O** contains an illustration demonstrating the feasibility of widening this portion of Nimitz Boulevard to a six-lane primary arterial.

4.2.6 West Point Loma Boulevard

The installation of a TWLTL would mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to West Point Loma Boulevard between Abbott Street and Nimitz Boulevard. This could be achieved by re-striping without the removal of on-street parking since this portion of West Point Loma Boulevard is currently 52 feet wide curb-to-curb. However the installation of a TWLTL is not recommended in this case since the modification to the roadway cross-section would include 8 feet wide parking lanes, 10 feet wide center TWLTL and 13 feet wide travel lanes in both directions which are not desirable to support vehicular and bike traffic on West Point Loma Boulevard. In order to accommodate vehicular traffic and address the goals of the City of San Diego Bicycle Master Plan, it is recommended that the roadway cross-section be modified to include 8 feet wide parking lanes, 6 feet wide Class II bike lanes, and 12 feet wide travel lanes along West Point Loma Boulevard between Cable Street and Nimitz Boulevard to achieve a viable and comprehensive bike network within the Ocean Beach Community. A Class III bike route is currently supported within the existing roadway cross-section of West Point Loma Boulevard between Abbott Street and Cable Street as recommended by the Plan Update. Therefore, there are no mitigation measures identified, and the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to West Point Loma Boulevard between Abbott Street and Nimitz Boulevard would remain significant and unmitigated. Appendix O contains an illustration depicting the addition of Class II bike lanes along West Point Loma Boulevard between Cable Street and Nimitz Boulevard.

4.2.7 Voltaire Street

The installation of a TWLTL would mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impacts to Voltaire Street along the segments between Bacon Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. Since Voltaire Street is currently 52 feet wide curb-to-curb, the installation of a TWLTL could be achieved by re-striping, but the existing diagonal on-street parking would have to be removed and replaced with parallel parking. This would result in the loss of approximately 40 on-street parking spaces. Given that parking is heavily utilized in this area and the Ocean Beach Community lies within the Parking Impact Overlay Zone, loss of on-street parking is not recommended. Alternatively, this portion of Voltaire Street could be widened to accommodate a TWLTL. However, street widening would impact approximately 35 building structures; therefore, roadway widening is not recommended. Since neither the removal of on-street parking nor roadway widening are recommended, the Proposed Plan's significant impact to Voltaire Street between Bacon Street Sunset Cliffs Boulevard would remain significant and unmitigated.

The installation of a TWLTL would mitigate the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to Voltaire Street between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Froude Street. This could be achieved by re-striping without the removal of on-street parking since this portion of Voltaire Street is currently 52 feet wide curb-to-curb. However the installation of a TWLTL is not recommended in this case since the modification to the roadway cross-section would include 8 feet wide parking lanes, 10 feet wide center TWLTL and 13 feet wide travel lanes in both directions which are not desirable to support vehicular and bike traffic on Voltaire Street. In order to accommodate vehicular traffic and address the goals of the City of San Diego Bicycle Master Plan, it is recommended that the roadway cross-section be modified to include 8 feet wide parking lanes, 6 feet wide Class II bike lanes, and 12 feet wide travel lanes along Voltaire Street between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Froude Street to achieve a viable and comprehensive bike network within the Ocean Beach Community. Therefore, there are no mitigation measures identified, and the Plan Update's significant traffic impact to Voltaire Street between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Froude Street would remain significant and unmitigated. **Appendix O** contains an illustration depicting the addition of the Class II bike lane along Voltaire Street.

TABLE 4-4 ROADWAY SEGMENT LOS SUMMARY (WITH MITIGATION) BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

v/c Roadway Segmentv/c Ratiov/c LOSA in v/cProposed ImprovementNimitz Blvd		Existing Conditions			lout Jation		
Sunset Cliffs Blvd to W Point 0.93 E 1.16 F 0.23 Reclassify and widen to a 6-lane primary arterial. This improvement partially mitigates the Proposed Plan's			LOS		LOS		Proposed Improvement
	Sunset Cliffs Blvd to W Point	0.93	E	1.16	F	0.23	improvement partially mitigates the Proposed Plan's

Notes:

Bold values indicate roadway segments operating at LOS E or F.

Figure 4-2 illustrates the recommended improvements along Nimitz Boulevard between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard.



4.3 Freeway Segment

Table 4-5 provides a summary of the Plan Update's traffic impacts at the study area freeway segment. As shown in the table, the Plan Update would not have a significant impact to I-8 between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Mission Bay Drive in either direction. As a result, no mitigation would be required.

		Existing			Buildou	t Conditic				
Freeway Segment	Direction	Peak- Hour	Peak- Hour Volume	v/c Ratio	LOS	Peak- Hour Volume	v/c Ratio	LOS	∆ in v/c	Sig
I-8: Sunset Cliffs		AM	2,260	0.481	В	3,400	0.723	С	0.243	NO
Blvd to W Mission Bay Dr	EB	PM	1,327	0.282	А	2,900	0.617	В	0.335	NO
I-8: Sunset Cliffs		AM	1,911	0.407	А	2,000	0.426	В	0.019	NO
Blvd to W Mission Bay Dr	WB	PM	2,155	0.459	В	3,300	0.702	С	0.244	NO

TABLE 4-5SIGNIFICANCE AT STUDY AREA FREEWAY SEGMENT

Source: Wilson & Company, Inc., April 2013

5 Conclusions

Implementation of the Proposed Plan would be expected to have a significant traffic impact to the following intersections:

- 1 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 WB off-ramp
- 2 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/I-8 EB on-ramp
- 3 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Nimitz Boulevard
- 4 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard
- 5 Nimitz Boulevard/West Point Loma Boulevard
- 6 Bacon Street/West Point Loma Boulevard
- 7 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Brighton Avenue
- 8 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard/Orchard Avenue

Improvements as shown in Table 4-2 were identified at three intersections shown in italics above to fully or partially mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impacts at these locations. At intersections No. 1, 2, and 3, there are no feasible mitigation options identified, and as a result, the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impacts to these intersections would remain significant and unmitigated. At intersections No. 7 and 8, the installation of a traffic signal would mitigate the Proposed Plan's impacts. However, the installation of traffic signals at these locations are not recommended since neither location would meet the standard warrants for a traffic signal based on the Buildout forecast turning volumes. However, it is recommended that these two intersections be periodically re-evaluated in the future.

Implementation of the Proposed Plan would be expected to have a significant traffic impact on the following roadway segments:

- 1 Abbott Street (Newport Avenue to Santa Monica Avenue)
- 2 Cable Street (Narragansett Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard)
- 3 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard (Adair Street to Sea World Drive)
- 4 Ebers Street (Narraganestt Avenue to West Point Loma Boulevard)
- 5 Nimitz Boulevard (Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard)
- 6 West Point Loma Boulevard (Abbott Street to Nimitz Boulevard)
- 7 Voltaire Street (Bacon Street to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard)
- 8 Voltaire Street (Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Froude Street)

Improvements have been identified in this report for the roadway segment shown in italics above to fully or partially mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impact to these locations. It is recommended that Nimitz Boulevard from Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to West Point Loma Boulevard be reclassified and improved as a six lane primary arterial to partially mitigate the Proposed Plan's significant traffic impact. All other significant traffic impacts to roadway segments are recommended to remain unmitigated since mitigations would likely require either removal of on-street parking or roadway widening.

Implementation of the Proposed Plan would have no significant impact to the segment of Interstate 8 between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Mission Bay Drive. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

Appendix A Existing Conditions Report

OCEAN BEACH

EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT

MOBILITY ELEMENT

Prepared by the

Mobility Planning Section City Planning & Community Investment

City of San Diego

January 2010

OCEAN BEACH

EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT MOBILITY ELEMENT

SECTIONS PAGE

Street System	1
Pedestrian Circulation	1
Bikeway System	6
Public Transit	10
Automobiles	17
Parking	40
Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)	42
Transportation Demand Management	42
Airports	50
Passenger Rail	50
Goods Movement & Fright	50

FIGURES PAGE

1.	Pedestrian Circulation 2	
2.	Pedestrian Crossings 3	
3.	Bikeway System 7	
4.	Bicycle Crossings 8	
5.	Bikeway Classifications	
6.	Bus Routes 35 & 923 12	
7.	Detailed Bus Routes 14	
8.	Transit Passenger Activity 15	
9.	Daily Traffic 18	
10a.	Peak Period Summer Traffic, AM 20	
10b.	Peak Period Summer Traffic, PM 21	
11.	Daily Seasonal Traffic Comparison 22	
12a.	Peak Period Seasonal Comparison, AM 23	
12b.	Peak Period Seasonal Comparison, PM 24	
13.	Functional Street Classifications	
14.	Street Segment Level of Service	
15.	Intersection Control Locations 31	
1 6a .	Intersection Movements, AM Peak, Winter 32	

16b.	Intersection Movements, AM Peak Summer	33
17 a .	Intersection Movements, PM Peak, Winter	34
1 7b.	Intersection Movements, PM Peak, Summer	35
18a.	Signalized Intersections Level of Service, Winter.	36
1 8b .	Signalized Intersections Level of Service, Summer	37
18c.	Signalized Intersections Level of Service, Summer	38
1 8d .	Signalized Intersections Level of Service, Summer	39
19.	Public Parking Supply	41
20.	Community-Identified Parking Shortage Areas	43
21.	AM Parking Occupancy – Weekdays	44
22.	AM Parking Occupancy – Weekends	45
23.	Midday Parking Occupancy – Weekdays	46
24.	Midday Parking Occupancy – Weekends	47
25.	PM Parking Occupancy – Weekdays	48
26.	PM Parking Occupancy – Weekends	49

TABLES	PAGE
1. Pedestrian-involved Collision Data	5
2. Cyclist-involved Collision Data	10
3. Bus Stop Deficiencies	17
4. Vehicle-Vehicle Collision Data	38

APPENDICES *	PAGE
A. MTS Route 35 Timetable	A-1
B. MTS Route 923 Timetable	B-1
C. Transit Passenger Activity, MTS Route 35	C-1
D. Transit Passenger Activity, MTS Route 923	D-1
E. Transit Stop Inventory	E-1
F. Daily Machine Counts, Winter 2008	F - 1
G. Daily Machine Counts, Summer	G- 1
H. Roadway Classification Level of Service & ADT	H-1
I. Signalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria	I-1
J. Peak Period Intersection LOS & Delay Per Vehicle	J-1
K. Intersection Lane Approaches with LOS E or F	K- 1
L. Intersection Manual Counts	L-1

* Under a separate cover

OCEAN BEACH

EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT MOBILITY ELEMENT

This report addresses the current main motorized and non-motorized modes of travel in Ocean Beach that provide mobility opportunities for residents and visitors. Modes of travel include vehicles, public transit, bicycles, and walking. It is important that transportation be considered in conjunction with land use patterns so that proper access and circulation can be provided. Also, a balanced transportation system is required to provide equal opportunities to all modes of travel.

STREET SYSTEM

The Ocean Beach community has a grid network with streets aligned in northeast-southwest and northwest-southeast directions. The Interstate 8 (I-8), which terminates at the northern gateway to Ocean Beach, provides regional access to the community. Connections to eastbound and westbound I-8 are provided via Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. This roadway has a northeast-southwest alignment and it is practically situated in the middle of the community. West Point Loma Boulevard is another street that provides a major access to the community.

Intercommunity access between Ocean Beach and Peninsula is provided by all the northwestsoutheast streets. The community is served by two transit lines of the Metropolitan Transit System, described in the Public Transit section of this report. Community streets that are designated for bicycle routes are identified by signage (see Bikeway System section).

The following sections will briefly describe some of the aspects of the mobility system.

PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

Ocean Beach's grid network of two-lane streets with sidewalks allows its residents to walk to local commercial districts, community facilities, and recreational attractions such as beaches and parks.

The City's Pedestrian Master Plan defines pedestrian route classifications based on the functionality of pedestrian facilities. Pedestrian routes in Ocean Beach were classified based on these definitions and are shown on Figure 1, along with planned land uses and community facilities. Figure 2 shows available data on the number of pedestrians crossing at various intersections in Ocean Beach. The intersection of Cable Street and Newport Avenue shows the greatest numbers of pedestrians crossing all legs of the intersection streets with over 200 in the morning peak hour and almost 600 in the evening peak hour.

Pedestrian Facility Assessment

The City is developing a Pedestrian Master Plan to identify pedestrian improvements where needed in a smart, cost effective, orderly, and consistent manner throughout the City. As part of that effort, an inventory of pedestrian facilities in high pedestrian priority areas of Ocean Beach

will be undertaken in order to identify deficiencies. The following discussion is a general community-wide assessment of pedestrian conditions that will provide direction for the more detailed Pedestrian Master Plan effort to follow.

Safety

Pedestrian-involved crash data for Ocean Beach is compared with city-wide collisions. The comparison is summarized in Table 1. As illustrated in this table, the overall pedestrian-involved crashes per 1,000 residents is 2.0 for the city, while in Ocean Beach it is 2.7. The higher rate of 0.7 per 1,000 pedestrian-involved crashes in Ocean Beach is due to the fact that the area's beach attracts a large number of non-residents, and high level of pedestrian activity in the community which is much more than the city-wide average. While the number of monthly visitors to the area varies during the year, in July of 2007, there were about 494,800 people who were counted by the Life Guard Services to have visited Ocean Beach.

The following locations experienced three or more pedestrian crashes from 2003 through 2007 but detailed review of the collision data did not reveal a pattern.

- Cable Street and Newport Avenue
- Cable Street and Santa Monica Avenue
- Newport Avenue and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard
- Nimitz Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard

Based on field observations, the following intersection locations pose difficulty for pedestrians attempting to cross the street due to intersection alignments, crossing distances, and vehicle speeds.

- West Point Loma Boulevard at Ebers Street/Larkspur Street
- West Point Loma Boulevard at Castelar Street
- West Point Loma Boulevard at Cable Street
- West Point Loma Boulevard at Bacon Street

Accessibility

As a community, Ocean Beach's pedestrian facilities are generally accessible to persons with disabilities due to its network of mostly barrier-free sidewalks and presence of curb ramps at most intersections and alleys. Exceptions to this will be inventoried and specific recommendations for access-related pedestrian improvements will be identified as part of the City's Pedestrian Master Plan effort.

Connectivity

Generally, pedestrian connectivity within Ocean Beach is excellent due to its complete grid network of streets. There are pedestrian facilities within the parks that could be better connected to adjacent sidewalks, and pedestrian connections along the beach could be improved. Pedestrian connections to other communities are provided as below:





- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard sidewalk along the bridge that leads to paths to Mission Bay Park, Linda Vista and Mission Valley
- West Point Loma Boulevard across Nimitz Boulevard sidewalk exists on the north side but is missing on the south side of West Point Loma Boulevard leading to the inbound (eastbound) transit stop on West Point Loma at Nimitz.
- Voltaire Street, Point Loma Avenue, and other local streets that connect over the hill to the Peninsula community.

	Ocean Beach		Citywide	
	Crashes	%	Crashes	%
Pedestrian Crashes	45	100	2,703	100
Pedestrian Crashes Normalized by 1,000 Population*	0.54 0.40		40	
Location Type				
Alley	1	1.7	35	1.3
Intersection	26	61	1,344	50
Midblock	14	30	1,198	44
Other	4	7.3	126	4.7
Top Primary Cause Type				
Pedestrian at Fault	15	43	946	53
Violated Pedestrian's Right of Way	5	14	308	17
Improper Start	5	14	284	16
Ran Stop Sign	5	14	35	0.02
Unsafe Movement – Left	5	14	222	0.13
Age Group				
Under 16	10	20	589	21
16-65	34	70	1,950	69
65 and Over	4	10	269	9
Unknown	0	0	26	0.1
Street Class Type				
Local	30	66	1,062	39
Collector	9	20	571	21
Major	5	11	954	35
Ramp	0	0	15	0.6
Other	1	2	95	4
Lighting Type				
Dark/Dusk/Dawn	22	48	1,018	38
Daylight	23	52	1,682	62
Foggy/Darkness	0	0	2	0.07
Unknown	0	0	1	0.04

Table 1: Pedestrian-Involved Crash Data (2003-2007)

* Year 2007 population estimates were used for this calculation.

Pedestrian Level of Service

A new methodology is being developed to determine the level of service for pedestrian facilities. This information will be included in the Phase 4 of the City of San Diego Pedestrian Master Plan.

BIKEWAY SYSTEM

Ocean Beach is a community where bicycles are used extensively. The flat terrain near the beach areas, the grid type street pattern, the high demand for the limited automobile parking, the short distances between destinations within Ocean Beach, and the connection of Ocean Beach bikeways to the citywide system of bikeways are all factors in bicycle usage in this community. Ocean Beach's bikeway system is composed of Class I, II and III bikeways and is shown on Figure 3. All the buses that serve Ocean Beach are equipped with bicycle racks. This accommodates bikers' regional access. The number of bicyclists who crossed at signalized intersections during AM and PM peak hours is shown on Figure 4. The following is description of each classification of bicycle facility.

Class I Bicycle Path

A Class I Bicycle Path is a completely separated right-of-way for the exclusive use of nonmotorized vehicles and pedestrians. A Bike Path is provided along the south side of the San Diego River Flood Control Channel, from near the ocean and extending to connect onto the Bicycle Path of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. Another Class I facility goes along the south side of the San Diego River Channel from Sunset Cliffs Boulevard eastward for 1.9 miles to Pacific Coast Highway.

Class II Bicycle Lane

A Class II Bicycle Lane is a painted lane for bicycles, marked between the traffic lane and the curb (if parking is prohibited), or between the traffic lane and parking (if parking is allowed). Special signing is installed to identify this category. Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Nimitz Boulevard have Bicycle Lanes between Interstate 8 and West Point Loma Boulevard.

Class III Bicycle Route

A Class III Bicycle Route is a non-exclusive street route, shared with vehicles which is designated as a preferred bicycle route and identified with special signing. In the north-south directions, Ebers Street, from Point Loma Avenue to West Point Loma Avenue is the main uninterrupted route. Connectivity to Peninsula is provided via West Point Loma Avenue, which connects to the Bike Lane on Nimitz Boulevard. On the west side of the community, the Bicycle Route zigzags through short segments of many streets to connect Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Bacon Street. The main uninterrupted east-west Bicycle Route in the community is on Voltaire Street, between Ebers Street and Spray Street, connecting to the Bike Path south of San Diego River. Portions of Abbot Street, Bacon Street, Cable Street, Ebers Street, Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, and Voltaire Street are examples of roadways which have Bike Routes. Figure 5 illustrates each of these classes.







Collisions

The bicycle-related collisions in the community are analyzed based on various factors. A comparison is made for each of the factors between the community and the city-wide average. Table 2 includes the comparison summary.

	Ocean BeachCrashes%		Citywide		
			Crashes	%	
Total Crashes	64	3	2,163	100	
Crashes Normalized by 1,000 Population *	0.92		0.32		
Location Type					
Alley	0	0	15	0	
Intersection	33	50	973	45	
Midblock	26	42	1,065	49	
Other	5	8	107	4	
Top Primary Causes					
Bike at Fault	11	17	433	20	
Left-turn Didn't Yield	7	11	0	0	
Improper Start	8	12	172	8	
Unsafe Movement – Right-turn	0	0	272	12	
Age Group					
Under 16	10	15	454	21	
16 - 65	51	79	1,593	75	
65 and Over + Other	2	3	57	2	
Other	1	1	27	1	
Lighting Type					
Dark/Dusk/Dawn	16	25	477	22	
Daylight	48	75	1,680	77	
Foggy/Smoky/Unknown	0	0	3	1	

Table 2	2: Bicvc	le-Involved	Crash	Data	(2003-2007)
T COLO 1			OI BOIL	1	

* Year 2007 population estimates were used for this calculation.

The community-wide 64 bicycle collisions between 2003 and 2007, is about 2.9 times city-wide rate when normalized for 1,000 residents. This higher rate can be attributed to the popularity of bicycle use in the area that is more than city-wide average. Also, especially in summer time, the area beaches are enjoyed by a high number of visitors. As indicated in the section on pedestrians, there were about 494,800 Ocean Beach visitors in July of 2007.

PUBLIC TRANSIT

Ocean Beach is currently served by Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) Bus Routes 35 and 923. A detailed description of these services is presented in this section.

Route 35

MTS Route 35, depicted on Figure 6, extends from the Old Town Transit Center to the intersection of Point Loma Avenue and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard in Ocean Beach. The Old Town Transit Center provides regional access to the COASTER, San Diego Trolley Blue and Green Lines, and MTS Routes 8, 9, 10, 14, 28, 30, 44, 105, and 150. From Old Town, the outbound Route 35 goes through the Midway community via Rosecrans Street, Midway Drive and West Point Loma Boulevard, where it enters Ocean Beach. From West Point Loma Boulevard, Route 35 follows Cable Street to Orchard Avenue to Sunset Cliffs Boulevard to Point Loma Avenue. The return trip continues from Point Loma Avenue to Ebers Street to Orchard Avenue to Cable Street where it then follows the outbound route back to Old Town. The Ocean Beach post office and library are served by this line.

Route 35 weekday service spans from approximately 5:00 AM to 11:00 PM with 35 trips in each direction at approximately 30-minute headways and 23-33 minute travel times. Weekend and holiday service spans from approximately 7:00 AM to 11:00 PM with 33 inbound trips (from Ocean Beach to Old Town) and 32 outbound trips (from Old Town to Ocean Beach) at 30-minute headways and 22-30 minute travel times. Schedule timetables for Route 35 are included in Appendix A. All buses that serve this route are equipped with wheelchair lift or ramp service and bicycle racks.

Route 923

MTS Route 923, also depicted on Figure 6, extends from downtown San Diego to the intersection of Cable Street and Newport Avenue in Ocean Beach providing access to San Diego International Airport, Santa Fe Depot with connections to Amtrak, the COASTER, San Diego Trolley Blue and Orange Lines; and other MTS routes that connect in downtown. From downtown, Route 923 goes through the Peninsula community via Broadway, Pacific Highway, Harbor Drive, North Harbor Drive, Nimitz Boulevard, McCaulay Street, Chatsworth Boulevard and Voltaire Street where it enters Ocean Beach. From Voltaire Street, Route 923 follows Cable Street to Niagara Avenue where it makes a loop via Bacon Street and Narragansett Avenue back onto Cable Street for the return trip to downtown. The Ocean Beach Post Office and Library are served by this route.

Route 923 weekday service spans from approximately 5:15 AM to 11:00 PM with 32 trips in each direction at 30-minute headways until 8:00 PM when headways become hourly, and 34-48 minute travel times. Weekend and holiday service spans from approximately 6:15 AM to 11:00 PM with 17 trips in each direction at 60-minute headways and 33-45 minute travel times. Schedule timetables for Route 923 are included in Appendix B. All buses that serve this route are equipped with wheelchair lift or ramp service and bicycle racks.



Bus Routes 35 and 923 Service

Transit Ridership Counts

Transit ridership data was provided by SANDAG and MTS. At the time of data collection, Route 35 had approximately 840 and Route 923 had approximately 550 daily weekday riders whose trips originated or ended in Ocean Beach. Detailed route alignment for MTS service in Ocean Beach is illustrated on Figure 7. A summary of transit stop use within Ocean Beach based on information collected in Fiscal Year 2006 for Route 35 and Fiscal Year 2007 for Route 923 is presented on Figure 8. Since the time of data collection, Routes 35 and 923 were changed as part of an MTS system-wide transit service restructuring; therefore data is not available for all existing transit stops and doesn't fully reflect the current service. However, these counts still provide a good indication of the level of passenger activity along the routes. Locations with the most passenger activity were:

- Cable Street and Newport Avenue with 364 boardings (ons) and alightings (offs)
- Cable Street and Voltaire Street with 223 boardings and alightings
- Cable Street and Santa Monica Avenue with 176 boardings and alightings
- Point Loma Avenue and Sunset Cliffs Blvd with152 boardings and alightings

Tables from the SANDAG Transit Passenger Counting Program showing passenger activities for each of the routes in their entirety and in more detail, including utilization of the services by time of day, are included in Appendix C for Route 35 and in Appendix D for Route 923.

Bus Stops

There are a total of 29 bus stops in Ocean Beach with a spacing of approximately every two blocks. Route 35 serves 23 stops and Route 923 serves 14 stops, with both routes serving 9 stops on Cable Street. An inventory of bus stops including a photograph and information on the routes served, number of daily boardings and alightings, and presence of shelter, seating, lighting, trash receptacles, and concrete pad is provided in Appendix E. Fifteen of the 29 stops that serve Ocean Beach have one or two benches; twenty-two have lighting (nearby street lighting); seven have permanent trash receptacles; and two have a concrete pad or concrete street. Concrete pads prolong the life of the street by protecting it from the wear and tear of repeated bus decelerations and accelerations, which can cause asphalt heaving over time.

Stop and Operations Assessment

The primary deficiency for bus stops in the community is the inconsistency of amenities. The bus stop inventory found that no bus stops currently have shelters/kiosks and several stops do not have benches, lighting, and/or trash receptacles. The stops with the highest number of boardings, such as Cable Street and Newport Avenue, and Cable Street and Voltaire Street have the highest number of amenities. Based on providing a strong profile for public transit in the community and considering the passenger activity at individual bus stops, a list of deficiencies was developed in consultation with MTS staff. Table 2 presents the deficiencies identified for bus stops in Ocean Beach.





Additionally, although it is a maintenance issue, Cable Street is in need of resurfacing to address cracking and potholes to improve the quality of the ride and the experience for bus riders.

Operational Issues

Ocean Beach transit services provide good regional connectivity due to their connections to Old Town Transit Center and downtown. They also provide good local connectivity by serving the community public facilities and commercial areas. Most of the community is within one-quarter mile of a transit stop with the maximum distance to a transit stop of approximately 2,000 feet for just a small residential area of the community.

Operational issues contribute to delays and affect the quality of transit service. Based on field observations and in consultation with MTS staff the following location was determined to adversely impact transit travel times:

• West Point Loma Boulevard at Nimitz Boulevard outbound (westbound) – Buses experience delays on westbound West Point Loma Blvd at Nimitz Boulevard as a result of congestion and queuing, especially during the evening peak period. This intersection approach has one left-turn, one through, and one right-turn lane. Traffic queues in the center through lane, especially during the evening peak period.

Transit operating conditions outside the community, such as on Midway Drive also impact travel times to and from Ocean Beach.

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC

This section addresses movements of vehicles in the community.

Daily Traffic Volumes

Mechanical traffic counters are used to quantify the number of vehicles that utilize a street segment. Counts are recorded by each direction in 15-minute increments. Due to the seasonal nature of the area, traffic data collection typically takes place in June. To learn about the off-season traffic conditions of the community, traffic counts were made in January of 2008.

Figure 9 depicts the daily traffic in Ocean Beach. The average daily traffic (ADT) for winter 2008 is the result of two days of counts made in January. In this figure, former summer counts are shown with the respective years that they were made, along with July 2008 counts. The traffic counts taken in June of 2005 for Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, between Nimitz Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard, indicate that about 18,500 vehicles travel from the community toward I-8, and approximately 18,300 vehicles travel toward Ocean Beach, for a total of 36,800. The counts done in summer of 2008 show a reduction of 600 vehicles on this segment.

Existing Bus Stop (direction)*	Shelter	Bench	Lighting	Trash Container	Concrete Pad #	Sidewalk Concrete
W. Pt. Loma at Nimitz (IB)	X			X		
Cable at Voltaire (IB)	X					
Cable at Voltaire (OB)				X		
Cable at Brighton (OB)						X
Cable at Cape May (IB)			X			
Cable at Saratoga (OB)						X
Cable at Santa Monica (IB)		X				
Cable at Newport (IB)	X					
Cable at Narragansett (IB)		X	X			X
Cable at Narragansett (OB)						X
Cable at Santa Cruz (IB)			X			
Cable at Coronado (OB)						X
Cable at Del Mar (IB)		X	X			
Pt. Loma at Sunset Cliffs (both)			X			
Ebers at Pescadero (IB)						X
Orchard at Sunset Cliffs (IB)			X			
Voltaire at Ebers (IB)	X					

* IB = Inbound (from Ocean Beach to Old Town or downtown)

OB = Outbound (from Old Town or downtown to Ocean Beach)

No deficiency was identified

The morning peak hour towards the freeway system is at 7:30 and the afternoon peak hour towards the community is at 5:45. Figures 10a and 10b detail15-minute traffic counts for morning and afternoon peak periods for both directions of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, between Nimitz Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard. As illustrated on Figure 10a, the southbound traffic between 11:00 AM and 1:00 PM, is more evenly distributed in each 15-minute interval. The peak two-hour traffic in the PM, is more even in the northbound direction than the southbound direction. The traffic volumes on Sunset Cliffs Boulevard decrease further south to 15,500, between Newport Avenue and Niagara Avenue, and to 13,900, between Orchard Avenue and Pescadero Avenue.


As can be expected, summer counts, especially at the community entrances, around the beach, and at commercial areas, are higher than winter. For example, West Point Loma Boulevard, west of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, has an ADT of 18,000 in winter. The same location registered a daily traffic of 28,500 in summer of 2005. Due to the economic conditions and higher fuel costs, the summer or 2008 count for this location was 18,500. Also, the traffic count in summer of 2006 for Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, just south of West Point Loma Boulevard was 1,800 more than the traffic count for summer of 2008. Figure 11 illustrates the daily traffic volumes for both directions of West Point Loma Boulevard, between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard. This is one of the main gateways to the community. As is shown on the figure, the typical summer traffic is always higher than winter traffic, for both directions. Also, the trend in increase and decrease of traffic volumes throughout the day for both seasons are about the same. Figures 12a and 12b are a more detailed illustration of the typical seasonal traffic counts for two peak hours in the morning and two peak hours in the afternoon, for each direction. Again, all summer traffic volumes are higher than winter in each 15-minute counts for both directions.

Winter counts in 2009 were done for the purpose of seasonal comparisons. The following locations registered lower average daily traffic in summer, than in winter:

- Niagara Avenue, between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Cable Street
- Orchard Avenue, between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Cable Street
- Point Loma Avenue, between Froude Street and Ebers Street
- West Point Loma Boulevard, between Castelar Street and Larkspur Street

Appendix F includes the daily counts that were taken in the January of 2008. The two-day average of hourly counts is also illustrated. The summer traffic counts are presented in Appendix G, with illustration of hourly counts. As can be seen in the illustrations of traffic volumes, the morning and afternoon peak periods are more spread throughout the day and typical peaks of morning and afternoon hours with significant drops in traffic volumes during off peak periods are not experienced in the area.

Functional Street Classifications

Roadways have different designations, depending on their respective functions. The ascending order of a roadway classification system in a community is from Local Street to Primary Arterial. Freeways are the highest roadway classification that provide regional access to communities.

Local Streets provide access to dwelling units. These streets feed into Collector Streets; Collector Streets in turn feed into Major Streets. These streets serve various land uses. Major Streets are typically 4-lane facilities that are divided by painted or raised median. Primary Arterials are next in the classification hierarchy and are at least 4 lanes. Land use access is very limited to and from these roadways that typically connect Major Streets to carry the through traffic at high speed.





Mobility Element

Figure 10a Peak Period Summer Traffic Sunset Cliffs Bl.: Nimitz-W. Pt. Loma











THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO Ocean Beach Community Plan EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT Mobility Element

Figure 11 Daily Seasonal Traffic Comparison W. Pt. Loma Bl.: Cable-Sunset Cliffs Winter Summer



West Pt. Loma Bl.: Cable-Sunset Cliffs

Winter Summer



Figure 13 illustrates the Functional Street Classifications in Ocean Beach. Because this is an older urbanized area with many narrow roadways, some of the streets are functioning above their desired level of service due to carrying high traffic volumes. As indicated above, a Major Street is typically a 4-lane divided roadway, but 2-lane roadways such as Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, and segments of West Point Loma Boulevard and Voltaire Street are designated as Major Streets due to their function and the traffic volumes that they carry.

The following is a description of the classified streets in this community. It should be noted that only a segment of a street may be classified, and that the classification may change in different segments. The streets or segments that are not described are Local Streets.

Abbott Street, between Newport Street and West Point Loma Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northeast-southwest alignment. It is 40' wide and has 60' of right-of way. The segment between Cape May Avenue and Saratoga Avenue registered a daily count of 5,090 in summer of 2004, 4,300 in summer of 2008, and 3,400 in winter of 2007.

Bacon Street, between Santa Cruz Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northeast-southwest alignment. It is 40' wide and has 60' of right-of-way between Brighton Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard, and narrows to 36' south of Brighton Avenue. Right-of-way remains the same. The segment between Brighton Avenue and Long Branch Avenue registered daily traffic counts of 6,500 in summer of 2003, and 7,810 in summer of 2006. The segment between Narragansett Avenue and Niagara Avenue registered 5,000 vehicles in summer of 2007, and 3,700 vehicles in winter of 2008.

Cable Street, between Orchard Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northeast-southwest alignment. It is 40' wide and has 60' of right-of-way between Brighton Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard, and narrows to 36' south of Brighton Avenue. Right-of-way remains the same. The segment between Narragansett Avenue and Niagara Avenue had a daily traffic of 4,800 in summer of 2005 and 4,300 in summer of 2008. The segment between Voltaire Street and West Point Loma Boulevard had a summer ADT of 6,600 daily traffic in 2003, 8,000 in 2006, and 6,300 in 2008.

Ebers Street, between Coronado Avenue and Voltaire Street

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northeast-southwest alignment. It is 40' wide and has 60' of right-of-way between West Point Loma Boulevard and Brighton Avenue, and narrows to 36' south of Brighton Avenue. Right-of-way remains the same. The segment between Brighton Avenue and Long Branch Avenue registered 8,200 vehicles in summer of 2006 and 6,900 in winter of 2008. The summer of 2008 count between Newport Avenue and Niagara Avenue was 4,000.



Narragansett Avenue, between Bacon Street and Froude Street

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northwest-southeast alignment. It is 40' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The winter 2008 traffic counts between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard showed 2,600 vehicles, and 2,800 vehicles in summer. The segment between Ebers Street and Froude Street showed the winter traffic to be 2,500 and the summer traffic 2,600.

Newport Avenue, between Abbott Street and Froude Street

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northwest-southeast alignment. It is 52' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The winter 2008 daily traffic counts between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard showed 5,500 vehicles, and the summer counts were 6,200. The segment between Bacon Street and Cable Street showed 8,700 vehicles utilizing this street.

Orchard Avenue, between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northwest-southeast alignment. It is 40' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. In 2008, there were 1,600 vehicles in winter and 1,500 vehicles in summer. The segment between Ebers Street and Froude Street registered 800 vehicles on this block.

Point Loma Avenue, between Froude Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northwest-southeast alignment. It is 55' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The winter 2008 average daily traffic is 3,300 between Ebers Street and Froude Street. The summer count in the same segment was 3,300 in 2004 and 3,000 in 2008.

Santa Monica Avenue, between Abbott Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northwest-southeast alignment. It is 40' to 52' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The winter 2008 average daily traffic between Bacon Street and Cable Street was 4,400. The segment between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard registered 4,100 vehicles in summer of 2008.

Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, between Adair Street and West Point Loma Boulevard

This is a 2-Lane Major Street with northeast-southwest alignment. It is 40' wide and has 60' of right-of-way between Brighton Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard, and narrows to 36' south of Brighton Avenue. Right-of-way remains the same. The segment between Lotus Street and West Point Loma Boulevard is one of the entry points to the community. It had a daily traffic volume of 24,600 in summer of 2006. This volume was reduced in summer of 2008 to 22,800. The summer of 2005 had 28,300 daily traffic between Brighton and Long Branch. This traffic volume was significantly reduced to 17,800 in summer of 2008. The daily traffic for summer of 2005 between Newport Avenue and Niagara Avenue was 15,500 and 13,000 in summer of 2008. The segment between Orchard Avenue and Pescadero Avenue had a daily traffic volume of 13,900 in summer of 2005 and was reduced to 9,900 in summer of 2008.

Voltaire Street, between Abbott Street and Froude Street

The segment between Abbott Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is a 2-Lane Collector Street with northwest-southeast alignment that is 52' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The segment between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard showed 6,200 ADT for summer of 2006 and 5,400 ADT for winter of 2008.

The segment between Froude Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is a 2-Lane Major Street. It is 52' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The winter 2008 count registered an average daily traffic of 8,000 and the summer count was 8,400.

West Point Loma Boulevard, between Nimitz Boulevard and Spray Street

The segment between Nimitz Boulevard and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is a 2-Lane Major Street with northeast-southwest alignment. It is 52' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The winter 2008 counts were made between Castelar Street and Larkspur Street that showed an ADT of 13,400. Summer 2008 counts for the same location was 13,100.

The segment between Spray Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard is a 2-Lane Collector Street with varying alignments. It is 52' wide and has 80' of right-of-way. The segment between Bacon Street and Cable Street had an average daily traffic of 11,700 in winter of 2008. The summer count was 12,900 in 2009. This compares with 13,800 vehicle count in summer of 2004.

Street Segment Level of Service (LOS)

Factors such as increases in the area land use intensity have resulted in additional trips in the community that have caused congestion and long delays, especially on routes to and from I-8. The roadway segment level of service (LOS) is a measure of traffic volume relative to the capacity of the roadway. A letter grade from A through F is used to show the congestion of the roadway. Appendix H provides information on roadway classifications and their respective LOS, depending on the traffic volumes they carry. In urbanized areas of the city, such as Ocean Beach, street segments with levels of service E and F are considered congested and undesirable. There are four street segments within the community that operate at undesirable LOS in winter. These segments are:

- Ebers Street, between Brighton Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, between Voltaire Street and West point Loma Boulevard
- West Point Loma Boulevard, between Bacon Street and Cable Street
- West Point Loma Boulevard, between Cable Street and Sunset Cliffs Boulevard

Based on the daily traffic volumes that were counted during July of 2008, and depending on the Functional Street Classifications, the level of service for various street segments in Ocean Beach was determined. The street segments that perform at undesirable level of service in summer are:

- Bacon Street, between Brighton and West Point Loma Boulevard (E)
- Ebers Street, between Brighton Avenue and West Point Loma Boulevard (F)
- Nimitz Boulevard, between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard (F)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, between Nimitz Boulevard and West Point Loma Boulevard (E)
- Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, between Voltaire Street and West Point Loma Blvd. (F)

Figure 14 illustrates the Street Segment Level of Service for winter and summer of 2008.



Intersections

The movement of traffic is regulated at crossings of more heavily traveled roadways. For the streets that carry about the same volume of traffic, all-way stop signs are installed where they cross. Traffic signals are installed at the busiest locations to allow orderly traffic movement. The locations for the all-way stop signs and signalized intersections are shown on Figure 15.

Traffic counts were made in January and July of 2008 to determine the traffic volume for each through and turning movements at nine signalized intersections within the community and at the I-8 ramps. Turning movement counts were made for morning and afternoon peak periods. Figures 16a, 16b, 17a, and 17b show the lane configurations and traffic movements for each of the counted signalized intersections for the morning and afternoon peak periods in winter and summer. These counts are used to determine the level of service at the intersections. The results of intersection LOS for morning and afternoon peak periods in winter and summer are shown on Figure 18a and 18b. To illustrate the differences between the winter and summer LOSs for the signalized intersections, refer to Figure 18c for morning and Figure 18d for afternoon peak periods. General description of evaluation criteria that corresponds to various levels of service is provided in Appendix I. For example, if the stopped delay per vehicle is more than 80 seconds, then the intersection is operating at level of service F.

Appendix J provides a summary of intersection LOS for the morning and afternoon peak periods. The table in this appendix also shows the average delay that is experienced by each driver. Appendix K describes delays per each move for the signalized intersections that operate at LOS E or F in the community. The manual intersection counts are in Appendix L.

Collisions

The vehicle-vehicle collisions in the community are analyzed based on various factors. A comparison is made for each of the factors between the community and the city-wide average. Table 4 is a summary of collision reports. For each category of crashes, comparison can be made between Ocean Beach and city-wide figures. Ocean Beach has proportionally lower crashes in head-on, hit fixed object, rear ends, right angle, run off road, and side swipe in the same direction accidents. However, for the remaining categories, this community's proportional share is more than city-wide figure.

The rate of vehicle-vehicle collisions for 1,000 population in Ocean Beach is just under 2.2 times the city-wide rate, even though categories such as head-on, hit fixed object, rear ends, right angle, run off road, and same direction swipe show below city-wide collision rate. The explanation for Ocean Beach's higher area-wide collision rate is because of the area's regional attractiveness that brings in many visitors, especially in the summer time.





THIS MAP BE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND ETHER EXPRESS OR MURLED, INCLUDING BIT NOT LIMITED TO THE LIMPLED WARRANTES OF MERCHANABLITY AND FTINESS ROR A PARTICULAR PLEPDSE. Copyrgin Sanchis Al Rights Reserved



THIS MAP IS PROMIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND ETHER EXPRESS OR MAPLED, INCLUDING BIT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRANTES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS.



THIS MAP IS PROMIDED WITHOUT WARRAWTY OF ANY KIND ETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRAWTIES OF MERCHANTANLITY AND FITNESS PUT & ADDRESS OF MERCHANTANLITY AND FITNESS



THIS WAR IS PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, ETHER EXPRESS OR MPLIED, INCLUDING BIT NOT UNITED TO, THE UNPLIED WARRANTES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS, OR A PARTICLLAR PURPOSE, Curphylin Sinvöls, All Rights Reserved,









	Ocean Beach		Citywide	
	Crashes	%	Crashes	%
Total Crashes	852	2	37,589	100
Crashes Normalized by 1,000 Population *	12.2		5.6	
Collision Type				
Head-On	9	1.1	513	1.36
Hit Object	2	0.2	32	0.08
Hit Parked Vehicle	411	48	11,532	30.68
Hit Fixed Object	1	0.1	102	0.27
Non-Collision Accident	1	0.1	18	0.05
Rear End/Backing	9	1.1	510	1.36
Rear End Accident	166	19.5	8,492	22.59
Right Angle Accident	198	23.2	12,635	33.61
Run Off Road/Hit Object	5	0.6	390	1.04
Side Swipe, Opposing	14	1.6	316	0.84
Side Swipe, Same Direction	34	4	2,822	7.51
Other	2	0.05	227	0.61

Table 4: Vehicle-Vehicle Crash Data (2003-2007)

* Year 2007 population estimates were used for this calculation.

PARKING

Both on- and off-street parking are in high demand in most areas of Ocean Beach. Much of the development in Ocean Beach took place many years ago when the number of cars and the car ownership ratio were less. Currently, multi-car households create a high demand for the limited available on- and off-street parking.

Figure 19 shows a conservative estimate of on-street curb use which includes parking passenger zones and commercial loading zones. Also, three beach oriented City of San Diego off-street parking lots are shown. A greater number of cars may actually park on the streets than shown on the map, depending on the length of the vehicles the distance left between the cars and the placement of the vehicles along the curb.

To increase on-street parking supply, the following parking management strategies may be pursued: convert some of the on-street spaces to time-limited parking; remove red painted curb segments; and close off driveways. Conversion of parallel parking to diagonal configuration has been done in the core commercial area. However, most of the streets in Ocean beach are not wide enough to allow the streets to accommodate diagonal parking. Also, there should be at least 100 feet of uninterrupted curb length before a gain can be made from converting parallel spaces to diagonal configuration. All of these alternatives will need to be considered on a block by block basis to determine their suitability for implementation.



Community members do not favor paid parking in Ocean Beach. In order to determine what other strategies may be used to address parking management in the community, the Mobility Planning section staff requested community input to identify and rank three tiers of parking severity in Ocean Beach. Figure 20 illustrates these three areas of parking shortage. They are characterized as "always," in the area west of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and north of Del Mar Avenue; "at night" east of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and south of Del Mar Avenue; and "less often," south of Del Mar Avenue.

To quantify the parking utilization in the three identified tiers, several blocks from each tier were studied as representative samples. Weekday observations were made from 6:30 to 6:45 AM; 1:00 to 1:15 PM; and from 7:00 to 7:15 PM. Saturday observations were made from 8:00 to 8:15 AM; 1:00 to 1:15 PM; and from 7:00 to 7:15 PM. City staff and community members observed and recorded the number of on street parked vehicles along the pre designated blocks and in the two public lots as shown in parking occupancy figures. The number of parked vehicles was compared with the total available parking space to measure the parking utilization for each street block and parking lot. The parking utilization is reported between 85 to 100%; 70 to 84%; 50 to 69%; and 0 to 49% for each time period in weekday and weekend. Figures 21 through 26 illustrate the result of the parking utilization for the studied blocks and parking lots. The average of parking utilization was determined to identify the parking utilization for the three community-identified parking shortage areas. The area-wide parking utilization averages are illustrated by color dots for each of the three parking shortage areas identified by community members.

The area south of Del Mar Avenue was identified by the community members to be the least parking impacted area. The study; however, shows that in the weekday mornings, this area's onstreet parking is 85 to 100% utilized, while the areas that were identified to be "Always" or "At Night" short on parking supply have between 50 and 69% of their parking spaces utilized. The same area shows 70 to 84% parking utilization in the weekend morning. The area identified to have parking shortage at night, that is located east of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and north of Del Mar Avenue, shows to be less impacted tan the other areas, with the exception of weekend night that is equal in parking occupancy with the "Less Often Area." The area west of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and north of Del Mar Avenue was identified to "Always" have parking shortage. The parking utilization for this area was 85 to 100% for PM period on weekdays, and for midday and PM on weekends, which is half the study periods.

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (ITS)

Coordinated traffic signals in the community are along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard (see Figure 15). No other ITS technologies have been implemented in the community.

TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM)

The nature of employment in Ocean Beach is such that there are not employers with high enough number of employees that would result in preparing and implementing a TDM plan.















AIRPORTS

There is no airport in the Ocean Beach community. However, land use compatibility and noise issues in the land use and noise elements of the community plan. Ocean Beach is affected by the over-flight of aircraft and associated noise from the San Diego International Airport.

PASSENGER RAIL

Ocean Beach has no direct access to passenger rail; however, the connection to Old Town Transit Station that has trolley service is provided by bus lines 35 and 923.

GOODS MOVEMENT & FREIGHT

There are no industrial activities that would require raw material delivery to the community or movement of finished goods from it. The community has no truck route. Commercial good movements are limited to local deliveries to businesses.

Appendix B Ocean Beach Community Rezoning Areas



Appendix C Trip Generation by TAZ
			TRIP G	ENERATI	ON TOTAL (FUTURE	LANDUSES	- TOTAL)									
TAZ	Name	Land Use as Listed in San Diego	Un	its	Trip Rate	Daily Trips			eak-Hour		_			M Peak-Hou		
2140					, · · · · ·	.,	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total
	RIGHT-OF-WAY OTHER RECREATION	Decreation Undeveloped	33.1 14.0	acre	0	63	4%	5:5	1	1	0	8%	E.E	2	2	
		Recreation - Undeveloped	_	acre	5	63	4%	5:5	1	T	3	8%	5:5	3	3	5
		Multiple Dwelling Linit Over 20du /corre	11.0	acre	0	1001	00/	2.0	17	60	0	00/	7.0	C 0	20	0
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	179.0	du	6	1081	8%	2:8	17		86	9%	7:3	68	29	97
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	520.0	du	8	4198	8%	2:8	67	269	336	10%	7:3	294	126	420
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		6.1	acre	0	0	201	C A		0		4.07			0	0
			0.4	acre	3	1	3%	6:4	0	0	0	1%	5:5	0	0	0
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	31.0	du	10	314	8%	2:8	5	20	25	10%	7:3	22	9	31
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	234.0	du	6	1413	8%	2:8	23	90	113	9%	7:3	89	38	127
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	2.0	du	8	16	8%	2:8	0	1	1	10%	7:3	1	0	2
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	10.1	ksf	40	406	3%	6:4	7	5	12	9%	5:5	18	18	37
	LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	3.0	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	132	13%	9:1	15	2	17	14%	2:8	4	15	18
	OTHER RETAIL AND COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	2.8	ksf	40	111	3%	6:4	2	1	3	9%	5:5	5	5	10
3188	RIGHT-OF-WAY		2.4	acre	0	0										0
	ACTIVE PARK	ACTIVE DEVELOPED	1.0	acre	50	44	4%	5:5	1	1	2	8%	5:5	2	2	4
3188	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	24.0	du	10	243	8%	2:8	4	16	19	10%	7:3	17	7	24
3188	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	238.0	du	6	1437	8%	2:8	23	92	115	9%	7:3	91	39	129
3188	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	3.0	du	8	24	8%	2:8	0	2	2	10%	7:3	2	1	2
3188	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	9.4	ksf	40	378	3%	6:4	7	5	11	9%	5:5	17	17	34
3188	LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	3.8	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	156	13%	9:1	18	2	20	14%	2:8	4	17	22
3188	LOW-RISE HOTEL-MOTEL-room	Motel	72.0	rooms	9	644	8%	4:6	21	31	52	9%	4:6	23	35	58
3194	RIGHT-OF-WAY		1.9	acre	0	0					0					0
3194	PARKING		4.5	acre	0	0					0					0
3194	ACTIVE PARK	ACTIVE DEVELOPED	0.5	acre	50	22	4%	5:5	0	0	1	8%	5:5	1	1	2
3194	ACTIVE BEACH		0.0	unique		7390	1%	6:4	22	15	37	1%	4:6	18	27	44
3194	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	97.0	du	6	586	8%	2:8	9	38	47	9%	7:3	37	16	53
3195	RIGHT-OF-WAY		9.4	acre	0	0					0					0
3195	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	133.0	du	10	1346	8%	2:8	22	86	108	10%	7:3	94	40	135
3195	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	221.0	du	6	1334	8%	2:8	21	85	107	9%	7:3	84	36	120
3195	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	2.0	du	8	16	8%	2:8	0	1	1	10%	7:3	1	0	2
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	2.8	ksf	130	364	8%	5:5	15	15	29	8%	6:4	17	12	29
3195	GAS STATION W FOODMART-pump	GAS STATIONS: W FOODMART	3.0	pump	150	446	8%	5:5	18	18	36	8%	5:5	18	18	36
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	3.5	ksf	40	141	3%	6:4	3	2	4	9%	5:5	6	6	13
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		2.9	acre	0	0			-		0			-	-	0
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	10.0	du	10	101	8%	2:8	2	6	8	10%	7:3	7	3	10
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	174.0	du	6	1051	8%	2:8	17	67	84	9%	7:3	66	28	95
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	2.0	du	8	16	8%	2:8	0	1	1	10%	7:3	1	0	2
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	3.1	ksf	40	125	3%	6:4	2	2	- 4	9%		- 6	6	11
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		2.5	acre	0	0	0,1	011		_	0	570	0.0			0
	ACTIVE BEACH		0.3	acre	U U	1	1%	6:4	0	0	0	1%	4:6	0	0	0
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	33.0	du	10	334	8%	2:8	5	21	27	10%	7:3	23	10	33
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	322.0	du	6	1944	8%	2:8	31	124	156		7:3	122	52	175
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	2.0	du	2 2	1944	8%	2:8		1	1.50	10%	7:3	1	0	2,2
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	1.5	ksf	40	10 60	3%	2.8 6:4	1	1	2	9%		2	2	5
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	4.0	ksf	130	520	5% 8%	5:5	21	21	2 د <i>ا</i>	9% 8%		25	5 17	د د <i>ا</i>
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.0	acre	0	520	0%	5.5	21	21	42	0%	0.4	25	1/	42
	ACTIVE BEACH		3.2		U		1%	6:4	0	0	0	1%	4:6	0	0	0
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	3.2 33.0	acre du	10	334	1% 8%	0.4 2:8		21	27	1%	7:3	23	10	0 20
			33.0 172.0		10			2:8 2:8	5	21 66				65	28	33
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre		du	0	1038	8%	2.8	17	00	83	9%	7:3	50	28	93
			4.0	acre	0	0	001	0.1	-	_	0	100/	2.7	_	_	0
		Circle Fourthy Date of the	0.2	acre	290	58	9%	9:1 2:0	5	1	5	12%	3:7	2	5	/
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	36.0	du	10	364	8%	2:8	6	23	29		7:3	25	11	36
3223	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	186.0	du	6	1123	8%	2:8	18	72	90	9%	7:3	71	30	101

					ON TOTAL (FUTUR		- /		eak-Hour				D	M Peak-Hou	r	
TAZ	Name	Land Use as Listed in San Diego	Ur	nits	Trip Rate	Daily Trips	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In In	Out	Total
2222	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	2.0	du	0	24				000	TOLAI			- III 2	J	TOLAI
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	3.0 20.9	du ksf	8 40	24 841	8% 3%	2:8 6:4	15	10	25	10% 9%	7:3 5:5	38	38	7
	MARKET OPEN 16HR/DAY(ksf)		3.0		500	1503	5% 8%	0.4 5:5	60	60	-	9% 8%	5:5	58 60	58 60	12
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		3.0		0	1503	870	5.5	60	60	120	870	5.5	60	00	12
		Single Family Datashad	29.0	acre	10	293	8%	2.0	F	19	0	1.00/	7:3	21	0	- -
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre		du	10		8%	2:8	5 12	54		10%	7:3	21 53	23	2 7
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	139.0	du	0	839 40		2:8	13	54	07	9% 1.0%		23	23	/
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)		5.0	du	8		8%	2:8		3	3	10%	7:3	3		10
		Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	36.0	acre	40	1448	3%	6:4	26	17		9%	5:5	65	65	13
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	3.8	ksf	130	494	8%	5:5	20	20		8%	6:4	24	16	4
	AUTO REPAIR(ksf)	Repair Shop	10.4	ksf	20	207	8%	7:3	12	5	17	11%	4:6			2
			6.1	acre	0	0	00/	2.0		20	0	4.00/	7.0	22		
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	47.0	du	10	476	8%	2:8	8	30		10%	7:3	33	14	4
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	323.0	du	6	1950	8%	2:8	31	125	156	9%	7:3	123	53	17
		Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	4.0	du	8	32	8%	2:8	1	2	3	10%	7:3	2	1	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	1.1	ksf	40	44	3%	6:4	1	1	1	9%	5:5	2	2	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		5.7	acre	0	0			_		0				_	
	OTHER HEALTH CARE(ksf)	Medical Office: Less than 100,000sq ft	1.4	ksf	50	70	6%	8:2	3	1	4	10%	3:7	2	5	
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	155.0	du	10	1568	8%	2:8	25	100		10%	7:3	110	47	15
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	103.0	du	6	622	8%	2:8	10	40	50	9%	7:3	39	17	5
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	5.1	ksf	40	205	3%	6:4	4	2	6	9%	5:5	9	9	1
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		7.1	acre	0	0					0					
	, ,	RESTAURANT: Fast Food (With or without drive-thr)	3.2	ksf	700	2239	4%	6:4	54	36		8%	5:5	90	90	17
3261	FIRE OR POLICE STATION		1.0	site		229	15%	9:1	31	3	34	15%	1:9	3	31	3
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	99.0	du	10	1002	8%	2:8	16	64		10%	7:3	70	30	10
3261	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	157.0	du	6	948	8%	2:8	15	61	76	9%	7:3	60	26	8
3261	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	35.8	ksf	40	1440	3%	6:4	26	17	43	9%	5:5	65	65	13
3261	GAS STATION W FOODMART-pump	GAS STATION W FOODMART-pump	8.0	pump	150	1191	8%	5:5	48	48	95	8%	5:5	48	48	9
3261	OTHER RETAIL AND COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	19.1	ksf	40	760	3%	6:4	14	9	23	9%	5:5	34	34	6
3261	SPECIALTY COMMERCIAL(ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	12.3	ksf	40	493	3%	6:4	9	6	15	9%	5:5	22	22	2
	MEDICAL OFFICE(KSF)	Medical Office: Less than 100,000sq ft	1.8	ksf	50	88	6%	8:2	4	1	5	10%	3:7	3	6	
3264	RIGHT-OF-WAY		2.5	acre	0	0					0					
3264	PARKING		1.6	acre	0	0					0					
3264	OTHER PUBLIC SERVICE		0.3	acre	290	87	9%	9:1	7	1	8	12%	3:7	3	7	1
3264	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	1.0	du	10	10	8%	2:8	0	1	1	10%	7:3	1	0	
3264	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	150.0	du	6	906	8%	2:8	14	58	72	9%	7:3	57	24	8
3264	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	8.7	ksf	40	350	3%	6:4	6	4	11	9%	5:5	16	16	3
3264	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	16.8	ksf	130	2183	8%	5:5	87	87	175	8%	6:4	105	70	17
3264	ACTIVE BEACH (3264)		0.0	unique		1939	1%	6:4	6	4	10	1%	4:6	5	7	1
3268	RIGHT-OF-WAY		1.4	acre	0	0					0					
3268	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	45.0	du	10	455	8%	2:8	7	29	36	10%	7:3	32	14	2
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	276.0	du	6	1666	8%	2:8	27	107	133	9%	7:3	105	45	15
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	5.0	du	8	40	8%	2:8	1	3	3	10%	7:3	3	1	
3287	RIGHT-OF-WAY		10.4	acre	0	0					0					
3287	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	92.0	du	10	931	8%	2:8	15	60	74	10%	7:3	65	28	9
3287	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	203.0	du	6	1226	8%	2:8	20	78	98	9%	7:3	77	33	11
3287	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	2.0	du	8	16	8%	2:8	0	1	1	10%	7:3	1	0	
3287	CHURCH (GENERAL-ksf)	HOUSE OF WORSHIP (GENERAL)	30.1	ksf	15	428	4%	8:2	14	3	17	8%	5:5	17	17	3
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		0.7	acre	0	0					0					
3295	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	17.0	du	10	172	8%	2:8	3	11	14	10%	7:3	12	5	1
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	134.0	du	6	809	8%	2:8	13	52		9%	7:3	51	22	7
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	35.1	ksf	40	1412	3%	6:4	25	17		9%	5:5	64	64	12
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•								- ·	

									eak-Hour					M Peak-Hour		
TAZ	Name	Land Use as Listed in San Diego	Un	its	Trip Rate	Daily Trips	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio		0t	Total	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio			Total
2205			4.0	16	10				In 10	Out	Total			In	Out	Total
	OTHER SCHOOL(ksf) RIGHT-OF-WAY	Community College (2years)	4.9	ksf	18	89	12%	9:1	10	1	11	8%	3:7	2	5	
			1.0	acre	0	0					0					
	PARKING	Multiple Dwelling Unit Over 20dy (age	0.9	acre	0	0	80/	2.0	_	10	0	00/	7.0	10		
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	48.0	du	6	290	8%	2:8	5	19 6	23 14	9% 0%	7:3	18	8	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	11.5	ksf ksf	40 130	463 455	3% 8%	6:4 5:5	8 18	6 18	14 36	9% 8%	5:5 6:4	21 22	21 15	2
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down) Commercial Office	3.5	ksf ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95				10	10	50		-	22	12	:
	LOW-RISE HOTEL-MOTEL-room	Motel	14.3 56.0	ksf	LII(1) = .750LII(X) + 3.95	425 501	13% 8%	9:1 4:6	16	24	55 40	14% 9%	2:8 4:6	18	27	c.
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		2.4	room acre	0	501	070	4.0	10	24	40	570	4.0	10	27	
	OTHER HEALTH CARE(ksf)	Medical Office: Less than 100,000sq ft	7.9	ksf	50	395	6%	8:2	19	5	2/	10%	3:7	12	28	,
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	1.5	du	10	40	8%	2:8	1	3	24	10%	7:3	12	20	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	168.0	du	6	1014	8%	2:8	16	65	ر 1	9%	7:3	64	27	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	40.5	ksf	40	1629	3%	6:4	29	20	10	9%	5:5	73	73	1
	OTHER SCHOOL(ksf)	Community College (2years)	10.8	ksf	18	1025	12%	0.4 9:1	23	20	49 24	8%	3:7	, , , ,	11	1
	OTHER GROUP QUARTERS		0.2	acre	5	130	4%	5:5	0	2	24	4%	5:5	0	0	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		1.5	acre	0	1	470	5.5	U	U	0	470	5.5	U	U	
	OTHER PUBLIC SERVICE		1.5	acre	290	318	9%	9:1	26	2	20	12%	3:7	11	27	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	75.0	du	290	453	8%	2:8	20	29	36	9%	7:3	29	12	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	90.7	ksf	40	3648	3%	6:4	66	44	109	9%	5:5	164	164	3
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	2 5	ksf	130	325	8%	5:5	13	13	26	8%	6:4	16	104	
	SUPERMARKET(ksf)	SUPERMARKET	2.3 9.3	ksf	150	1350	070 //%	7:3	38	15	20 54	10%	5:5	68	68	1
	FINANCIAL INST(ksf)	FINANCIAL INST. (Without drive-through)	3.7	ksf	150	555	4%	7:3	16	10	22	8%	3.5 4:6	18	27	-
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		1.7	acre	0	0	470	7.5	10	,	0	070	4.0	10	27	
	ACTIVE BEACH		0.0	unique	0	6005	1%	6:4	18	12	30	1%	4:6	14	22	
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	1.0	du	10	40	8%	2:8	10	3	30	10%	7:3	14	1	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	138.0	du	6	833	8%	2:8	13	53	67	9%	7:3	52	22	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	10.3	ksf	40	414	3%	6:4	7	5	12	9%	5:5	19	19	
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	5.3	ksf	130	689	8%	5:5	, 28	28	55	8%	6:4	33	22	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		7.2	acre	0	005	0/0	5.5	20	20	0	070	0.4	55	22	
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	166.0	du	10	1680	8%	2:8	27	108	134	10%	7:3	118	50	1
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	53.0	du	6	320	8%	2:8	5	20	26	9%	7:3	20	90	1
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	3.0	du	8	24	8%	2:8	0	20	20	10%	7:3	20	1	
	CHURCH(w/o SCH/Day-ksf)	HOUSE OF WORSHIP (Without School or Day Care)	8.4	ksf	5	42		8:2	1	0	2	8%	5:5	2	2	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.0	acre	0	42	470	0.2		0	0	070	5.5	2	2	
	OTHER HEALTH CARE(ksf)	Medical Office: Less than 100,000sq ft	3.7	ksf	50	185	6%	8:2	٩	2	11	10%	3:7	6	13	
	ACTIVE PARK	PARK DEVELOPED	0.4	acre	50	18		5:5	0	0	1	8%	5:5	1	1	
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	6.0	du	10	61		2:8	1	4	5	10%	7:3	4	2	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	79.0	du	6	477		2:8	8	31	38	9%	7:3	30	13	
	CHURCH(w/o SCH/Day-ksf)	HOUSE OF WORSHIP (Without School or Day Care)	18.2	ksf	5	91		8:2	3	1	4	8%	5:5	4	4	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	17.8	ksf	40	716	3%	6:4	13	9	21	9%	5:5	32	32	
	LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	4.2	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	169		9:1	20	2	22	14%	2:8	5	19	
	POST OFFICE (ksf)	Post Office (Community mail drop lane)	6.4	ksf	200	1280	6%	6:4	46	31	77	9%	5:5	58	58	1
	LIBRARY (ksf)	Library - Less than 100,000sq. Ft	4.5	ksf	50	214		7:3	3	1	4	10%	5:5	11	11	-
	OTHER SCHOOL(ksf)	Community College (2years)	11.0	ksf	18	200		9:1	22	2	24	8%	3:7		11	
	SPORT FACILITY-IN	Sport Facility - Indoor	0.8	acre	30	24		4:6	0	0	0	4%	5:5	0	0	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		7.1	acre	0	0					0					
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	22.0	du	10	223	8%	2:8	4	14	18	10%	7:3	16	7	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	530.0	du	6	3200		2:8	51	205	256	9%	7:3	202	86	2
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	80.0	du	6	483	8%	2:8	8	31	39		7:3	30	13	-
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		1.9	acre	0	.05	0,0					2,0		20		
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	78.2	ksf	40	3145	3%	6:4	57	38	94	9%	5:5	142	142	2
	RESTAURANT (SIT DOWN-ksf)	RESTAURANT: High Turnover (sit down)	5.0	ksf	130	650		5:5	26	26	52		6:4	31	21	-

	TRIP GENERATION TOTAL (FUTURE LANDUSES - TOTAL)															
TAZ	Name	Land Use as Listed in San Diego	Ur	its	Trip Rate	Daily Trips			eak-Hour					M Peak-Hour		
		_					i	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total
	OTHER RETAIL AND COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	2.2	ksf	40	88	3%	6:4	2	1	3	9%	5:5	4	4	
	FURNITURE STORE (ksf)	Furniture Store	35.5	ksf	6	214	4%	7:3	6	3	9	9%	5:5	10	10	1
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		2.3	acre	0	0					0					
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	18.0	du	10	182	. 8%	2:8	3	12	15	10%	7:3	13	5	1
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	180.0	du	6	1087	8%	2:8	17	70	87	9%	7:3	68	29	9
3353	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	6.0	du	8	48	8%	2:8	1	3	4	10%	7:3	3	1	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	16.6	ksf	40	668	3%	6:4	12	8	20	9%	5:5	30	30	
	LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	19.9	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	546	13%	9:1	64	7	71	14%	2:8	15	61	
3364	RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.0	acre	0	0					0					
3364	OTHER HEALTH CARE(ksf)	Medical Office: Less than 100,000sq ft	3.1	ksf	50	155	6%	8:2	7	2	9	10%	3:7	5	11	
3364	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	14.0	du	10	142	8%	2:8	2	9	11	10%	7:3	10	4	
3364	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	62.0	du	6	374	. 8%	2:8	6	24	30	9%	7:3	24	10	
3364	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	29.1	ksf	40	1170	3%	6:4	21	14	35	9%	5:5	53	53	1
	GAS STATION W FOODMART-pump	GAS STATIONS: W FOODMART	4.0	pump	150	595	8%	5:5	24	24	48	8%	5:5	24	24	
	LELEMENTARY SCHOOL-student	Education: Elementry School	414.0	student	2.9	1204	31%	6:4	224	149	373	19%	4:6	92	137	2
3364	FINANCIAL INST(ksf)	FINANCIAL INST. (Without drive-through)	6.5	ksf	150	975	4%	7:3	27	12	39	8%	4:6	31	47	
	FURNITURE STORE (ksf)	FURNITURE STORE	11.9	ksf	6	72		7:3	2	1	3	9%	5:5	3	3	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		3.6	acre	0	, _	170	7.5	-	-	0	570	5.5			
	OTHER HEALTH CARE(ksf)	Medical Office: Less than 100,000sq ft	7.9	ksf	50	395	6%	8:2	19	5	24	10%	3:7	12	28	
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	37.0	du	10	374	8%	2:8	- 15	24	30	10%	7:3	26	11	
		Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	244.0		10	1473	8%		24	24 94	30 118	10% 9%	7:3	93	11	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)			du	0			2:8	24	94	2110		-	93	40	
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	5.0	du	8	40	8%	2:8	1	3	3	10%	7:3	3	1	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		3.9	acre	0	0			_		0					
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	46.0	du	10	465	8%	2:8	/	30	37	10%	7:3	33	14	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	87.0	du	6	525	8%	2:8	8	34	42	9%	7:3	33	14	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	30.8	ksf	40	1239	3%	6:4	22	15	37	9%	5:5	56	56	1
	LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	1.2	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	66	13%	9:1	8	1	9	14%	2:8	2	7	
	AUTO PART SALE(ksf)	PARTS SALE	4.0	ksf	62	249	4%	5:5	5	5	10	10%	5:5	12	12	
3379	RIGHT-OF-WAY		3.2	acre	0	0					0					
3379	INACTIVE USE		7.9	acre	0	0					0					
3379	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	15.0	du	10	152	8%	2:8	2	10	12	10%	7:3	11	5	
3379	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	173.0	du	6	1045	8%	2:8	17	67	84	9%	7:3	66	28	
3379	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	8.0	du	8	65	8%	2:8	1	4	5	10%	7:3	5	2	
3386	RIGHT-OF-WAY		8.5	acre	0	0					0			1		
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	238.0	du	10	2408	8%	2:8	39	154	193	10%	7:3	169	72	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	19.0	du	6	115		2:8	2	7	9	9%	7:3	7	3	
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	5.0	du	8	40		2:8	1	3	3	10%	7:3	3	1	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.4	acre	0		0,0		_		0	20/0	710		-	
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	97.0	du	10	981	8%	2:8	16	63	78	10%	7:3	69	29	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	154.0	du	10	930		2:8	15	60	73	9%	7:3	59	25	
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre		du	0	24		2:8	13	00	/4 2	10%	7:3	33	25	
			3.0		0				0	2	10			2	20	
	STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	10.8	ksf	40	434	3%	6:4	8	5	13	9%	5:5	20	20	
		Commercial Office	8.0	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	276		9:1	32	4	36		2:8	8	31	
	SUPERMARKET(ksf)	SUPERMARKET	6.9	ksf	150	1002	4%	7:3	28	12	40	10%	5:5	50	50	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.5	acre	0	0					_0					
	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	64.0	du	10	648		2:8	10	41	52	10%	7:3	45	19	
	MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	267.0	du	6	1612		2:8	26	103	129	9%	7:3	102	44	
	MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	4.0	du	8	32	8%	2:8	1	2	3	10%	7:3	2	1	
	RIGHT-OF-WAY		7.4	acre	0	0					0					
3414	PARKING		0.2	acre	0	0					0					
3414	OTHER PUBLIC SERVICE		0.3	acre	290	87	9%	9:1	7	1	8	12%	3:7	3	7	
3414	SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	107.0	du	10	1083	8%	2:8	17	69	87	10%	7:3	76	32	1

	TRIP GENERATION TOTAL (FUTURE LANDUSES - TOTAL)															
TA 7	News	Lond Has as listed in Can Dises			Tuin Data	Deile Taine		AM P	eak-Hour				PI	M Peak-Hou	r	
TAZ	Name	Land Use as Listed in San Diego	Un	its	Trip Rate	Daily Trips	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total	% of ADT	In:Out Ratio	In	Out	Total
3414	4 MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	188.0	du	6	1135	8%	2:8	18	73	91	9%	7:3	72	31	102
3414	4 MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	4.0	du	8	32	8%	2:8	1	2	3	10%	7:3	2	1	3
341	9 RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.9	acre	0	0					0					0
341	9 SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	18.0	du	10	182	8%	2:8	3	12	15	10%	7:3	13	5	18
341	9 MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	193.0	du	6	1165	8%	2:8	19	75	93	9%	7:3	73	31	105
341	9 MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	6.0	du	8	48	8%	2:8	1	3	4	10%	7:3	3	1	5
343	3 RIGHT-OF-WAY		7.7	acre	0	0					0					0
343	3 SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	97.0	du	10	981	8%	2:8	16	63	78	10%	7:3	69	29	98
343	3 MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	203.0	du	6	1226	8%	2:8	20	78	98	9%	7:3	77	33	110
343	3 MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	6.0	du	8	48	8%	2:8	1	3	4	10%	7:3	3	1	5
3442	2 RIGHT-OF-WAY		6.3	acre	0	0					0					0
3442	2 SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	176.0	du	10	1781	8%	2:8	28	114	142	10%	7:3	125	53	178
3442	2 MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	14.0	du	6	85	8%	2:8	1	5	7	9%	7:3	5	2	8
3442	2 MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	3.0	du	8	24	8%	2:8	0	2	2	10%	7:3	2	1	2
3452	2 RIGHT-OF-WAY		5.0	acre	0	0					0					0
3452	2 ACTIVE BEACH		0.0	unique		7390	1%	6:4	22	15	37	1%	4:6	18	27	44
3452	2 INACTIVE USE		0.0	acre	0	0					0					0
345	2 SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	75.0	du	10	759	8%	2:8	12	49	61	10%	7:3	53	23	76
345	2 MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	162.0	du	6	978	8%	2:8	16	63	78	9%	7:3	62	26	88
345	2 MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	3.0	du	8	24	8%	2:8	0	2	2	10%	7:3	2	1	2
345	2 CHURCH(w/o SCH/Day-ksf)	HOUSE OF WORSHIP (Without School or Day Care)	13.9	ksf	5	69	4%	8:2	2	1	3	8%	5:5	3	3	6
345	2 STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	20.6	ksf	40	829	3%	6:4	15	10	25	9%	5:5	37	37	75
345	2 LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	10.2	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	299	13%	9:1	35	4	39	14%	2:8	8	33	42
345	2 GAS STATION W FOODMART-pump	GAS STATIONS: W FOODMART	6.0	pump	150	893	8%	5:5	36	36	71	8%	5:5	36	36	71
3452	2 LOW-RISE HOTEL-MOTEL-room	Motel	24.0	room	10	215	8%	4:6	7	10	17	9%	4:6	8	12	19
347	1 RIGHT-OF-WAY		4.7	acre	0	0										
347	1 SINGLE FAMILY(RESIDENTIAL)	Single Family Detached	101.0	du	10	1022	8%	2:8	16	65	82	10%	7:3	72	31	102
347	1 MULTI-FAMILY(OVER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Over 20du/acre	17.0	du	6	103	8%	2:8	2	7	8	9%	7:3	6	3	9
347	1 MULTI-FAMILY(UNDER 20DU/AC)	Multiple Dwelling Unit - Under 20du/acre	0.0	du	8	0	8%	2:8	0	0	0	10%	7:3	0	0	0
	1 STREETFRONT COMM (ksf)	Specialty Retail Center/Strip Commercial	0.9	ksf	40	36	3%	6:4	1	0	1	9%	5:5	2	2	3
347	1 LOW RISE OFFICE	Commercial Office	3.1	ksf	Ln(T)= .756Ln(x)+3.95	126	13%	9:1	15	2	16	14%	2:8	4	14	18
	1 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL-student	Education: Elementry School	186.0	student	2.9	541	31%	6:4	101	67	168	19%	4:6	41	62	103

Appendix D Select Link Analysis Plots







Appendix E Signal Warrant Analysis

	COUNT DATE Buildout
DIST CO RTE PM	CALC DATE CHK DATE
Major St: W Point Loma Blvd Minor St: Ebers St	Critical Approach Speed mph Critical Approach Speed mph
Speed limit or critical speed on major street tr In built up area of isolated community of < 10	or > RURAL (R)

(Based on Estimated Average Daily Traffic - See Note)

URBANX. RURAL		equirements DT		
CONDITION A - Minimum Vehicular Volume Satisfied X Not Satisfied	Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)		
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet1X.1X.2 or More.12 or More.2 or More.1	Urban Rural 8,000 5,600 9,600 6,720 9,600 6,720 8,000 5,600	Urban Rural 2,400 1,680 2,400 1,680 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240		
CONDITION B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic Satisfied X Not Satisfied	19 , 000 Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	7,500 Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)		
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet1	Urban Rural 12,000 8,400 14,400 10,080 14,400 10,080 14,400 8,400	Urban Rural 1,200 850 1,200 850 1,600 1,120 1,600 1,120		
Combination of CONDITIONS A + B Satisfied X Not Satisfied No one condition satisfied, but following conditions A fulfilled 80% or more A	2 CONDITIONS 80%	2 CONDITIONS 80%		

Note: To be used only for NEW INTERSECTIONS or other locations where it is not reasonable to count actual traffic volumes.

	COUNT DATE Buildout
DIST CO RTE PM	CALC DATE CHK DATE
Major St: <u>W Point Loma Blvd</u> Minor St: <u>Bacon St</u>	Critical Approach Speed mph Critical Approach Speed mph
Speed limit or critical speed on major street traff In built up area of isolated community of < 10,00	or KURAL (R)

(Based on Estimated Average Daily Traffic - See Note)

		equirements DT		
CONDITION A - Minimum Vehicular Volume Satisfied Not Satisfied	Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)		
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet1x1x2 or More12 or More2 or More12 or More2 or More2 or More	Urban Rural 8,000 5,600 9,600 6,720 9,600 6,720 8,000 5,600	Urban Rural 2,400 1,680 2,400 1,680 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240		
CONDITION B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic Satisfied X Not Satisfied	13,000 Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	3 , 750 Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)		
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet1x1x2 or More12 or More2 or More12 or More2 or More2 or More	Urban Rural 12,000 8,400 14,400 10,080 14,400 10,080 14,400 8,400	Urban Rural 1,200 850 1,200 850 1,600 1,120 1,600 1,120		
Combination of CONDITIONS A + B Satisfied Not Satisfied No one condition satisfied, but following conditions fulfilled 80% or more A	2 CONDITIONS 80%	2 CONDITIONS 80%		

Note: To be used only for NEW INTERSECTIONS or other locations where it is not reasonable to count actual traffic volumes.

	COUNT DATE Buildout	
DIST CO RTE PM	CALC DATE CHK DATE	
Major St: <u>Sunset Cliffs Blvd</u> Minor St: <u>Brighton Ave</u>		mph mph
Speed limit or critical speed on major street traffic In built up area of isolated community of < 10,000	or KURAL (R)	

(Based on Estimated Average Daily Traffic - See Note)

URBANX. RURAL		equirements DT		
CONDITION A - Minimum Vehicular Volume Satisfied Not Satisfied	Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)		
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet1x1x2 or More12 or More2 or More12 or More	Urban Rural 8,000 5,600 9,600 6,720 9,600 6,720 8,000 5,600	Urban Rural 2,400 1,680 2,400 1,680 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240		
CONDITION B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic Satisfied Not Satisfied	25 , 000 Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	950 Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)		
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet1x1x2 or More12 or More2 or More12 or More2 or More2 or More	Urban Rural 12,000 8,400 14,400 10,080 14,400 10,080 12,000 8,400	Urban Rural 1,200 850 1,200 850 1,600 1,120 1,600 1,120		
Combination of CONDITIONS A + B Satisfied Not Satisfied No one condition satisfied, but following conditions MO fulfilled 80% or more NO A B	2 CONDITIONS 80%	2 CONDITIONS 80%		

Note: To be used only for NEW INTERSECTIONS or other locations where it is not reasonable to count actual traffic volumes.

	COUNT DATE <u>Buildout</u>							
DIST CO RTE PM	CALC CHK							
Major St: Sunset Cliffs Blvd Minor St: Orchard Ave	Critical Approach Speed Critical Approach Speed	•						
Speed limit or critical speed on major street traffic > 4 In built up area of isolated community of < 10,000 pop	ح <u>or</u>	RURAL (R) URBAN (U)						

(Based on Estimated Average Daily Traffic - See Note)

URBANX. RURAL		equirements DT
CONDITION A - Minimum Vehicular Volume Satisfied Not Satisfied	Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreetMinorStreet111X2 or More1212 or More212 or More2120120120121313131320131 <td< td=""><td>Urban Rural 8,000 5,600 9,600 6,720 9,600 6,720 8,000 5,600</td><td>Urban Rural 2,400 1,680 2,400 1,680 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240</td></td<>	Urban Rural 8,000 5,600 9,600 6,720 9,600 6,720 8,000 5,600	Urban Rural 2,400 1,680 2,400 1,680 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240 3,200 2,240
CONDITION B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic Satisfied Not Satisfied	19 , 250 Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)	500 Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approachMajorStreet112 or More12 or More2 or More12 or More2 or More2 or More	Urban Rural 12,000 8,400 14,400 10,080 14,400 10,080 12,000 8,400	Urban Rural 1,200 850 1,200 850 1,600 1,120 1,600 1,120
Combination of CONDITIONS A + B Satisfied Not Satisfied No one condition satisfied, but following conditions MO fulfilled 80% or more NO A B	2 CONDITIONS 80%	2 CONDITIONS 80%

Note: To be used only for NEW INTERSECTIONS or other locations where it is not reasonable to count actual traffic volumes.

Appendix F Buildout Traffic Forecast

OCEAN BEACH FUTURE VOLUMES





Appendix G Turning Movement Forecast Worksheets

1	2	8 4	5	6	7	8 9	9 10	0 11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21 22	23	24	25 26	27	28	29 30	31	32 33	34	35	36	37	38	39 40	41	42 43
						EXI	ISTING/BAS	E YEAR TUR	NS					EXISTING	BASE YEAR	ADT		EXIST	NG/BASE YEA	R K AND D	FACTORS (ENTER K	FACTOR IF EXIS	TING/BASE AD	NOT AVAILAB	LE)		FUTURE	ADT				FUTURE E	NTER/EXIT	
INT_ID ROAD 1	ROAD 2	NBL	NBT NE	R SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT W	BR COUNT/PER	CENT SOUTH L	EG NORTH	LEG WEST	LEG EAST L	EG N	BK NBD NE	PEAK SE	K S	SB D SB PEAK	EB K I	B D EB PE	AK WBK	WBD WBPEA	K SOUTH LEG	NORTH LEG	WEST LEG	EAST LEG N	B ENTER N	B EXIT SB EN	ITER SB EXIT	EB ENTER EB EX	IT WB ENTER WB EX
1 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	I-8 WB off-ramp		1323		8	397				1455		8 COUNT	48	020 4	8020	22	250	8% 36% EXI	Г	5%	40% EXIT	9%	0% EXIT	7%	100% ENTER	56772	53549		31555	1564	2781	1000 1484	0	0 2075
2 Nimitz Blvd	I-8 EB on-ramp		636					943				COUNT	41	700 4	8020 48	3020 22	250	2% 100% EN	TER	1%	0% EXIT	2%	100% ENTER	4%	0% EXIT	69348	56772	52601	36871	1058	0	0 752	1033	0 0 1'
3 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Sunset Cliffs Blvd				16	523		1668	13			COUNT	24	100 4	8020 48	3020 22	250	7% 0% EXI	Г	3%	100% ENTER	4%	100% ENTER	7%	0% EXIT	29595	56772	52601	36871	0	2009	1919 0	1841	0 0 2
4 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	W Point Loma Blvd	1	862	30	12 7	706 167	7 783	3 88	7	45	56	80 COUNT	22	800 4	8020 28	3500 13	400	7% 54% EN	TER	5%	34% EXIT	4%	80% ENTER	2%	58% ENTER	23940	52456	31323	16910	938	796	967 1884	965 2	46 228
5 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Voltaire St	4	720	17 6	67 5	598 9	9 24	4 116	33	21	92	33 COUNT	17	800 2	2500 5	5400 8	400	8% 53% EN	TER	6%	46% EXIT	5%	62% ENTER	4%	42% EXIT	23677	23940	6013	10960	986	867	717 827	193 1	17 190 1
6 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Santa Monica Ave	9	523	20 4	40 4	194 <u>3</u> 6	6 84	4 58	28	9	53	50 COUNT	15	500 1	7800 4	1400		7% 51% EN	TER	7%	46% EXIT	6%	63% ENTER	9%	49% EXIT	16321	24708	4890	2860	581	559	791 912	189 1	J9 125 f
	Newport Ave	39	434	13 :	17 4	455 47	7 56	6 44	29	28	74	53 COUNT	15	500 1	5500 5	5500		6% 49% EXI	г	7%	49% EXIT	5%	45% EXIT	9%	68% ENTER	16321	19714	6150	4475	512	539	660 691	144 1	/9 273 /
8 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Narragansett Ave	4	403	12 3	35 4	124 20	0 49	9 50	10	12	52	38 COUNT			5500 2	2600 2	600	6% 48% EXI	г	6%	49% EXIT	7%	59% ENTER	8%	51% ENTER	18111	16700	2781	3351	546	581	516 528	117	31 131 1
9 Nimitz Blvd	W Point Loma Blvd	19	1397	83 9	96 13	375 52	2 437	7 223	32	133	134	325 COUNT	50	000 4	1700 13	3400 15	500	6% 49% EXI	Т	9%	41% EXIT	7%	77% ENTER	6%	60% ENTER	57421	39753	16910	15497	1721	1769	1452 2058	873 2	/ 592 (
10 Ebers St	Voltaire St	53	332	48 1	15	81 18	8 39	9 123	19	44	174	71 COUNT	8	200 2	4600 6	5200 8	400	7% 75% EN		2%	21% EXIT	7%	42% EXIT	6%	61% ENTER	8382	14734	8175	10960	443	147	68 265	239 3	23 377 7
11 Cable St	Newport Ave	30	66	27 2	23	71 26	6 27	7 50	23	21	49	27 COUNT			6500 8	3700 5	500	6% 52% EN		4%	50% ENTER	2%	49% EXIT	4%	49% EXIT	7226	7707	8645	5177	207	193	142 142	99 1	J4 91
12 Ebers St	W Point Loma Blvd	24		492				149	3	121	86	COUNT		600	28	3500 13	400	3% 81% EN	TER	9%	0% EXIT	1%	58% ENTER	6%	24% EXIT	14734		31323	16910					
13 Bacon St	W Point Loma Blvd	70	86	11	4 1	192 1	1 5	5 7	253	5	5	1 COUNT			2900 6	5900 13	800	8% 27% EXI		10%	68% ENTER	5%	78% ENTER	0%	33% EXIT	7396	2900	9478	16250	158	427	197 92	364 1	J4 13
	Brighton Ave	3	564	6	11 5	535 C	6 8	8 1	8	0	2	9 COUNT		1000	7800	300	300	6% 51% EN		6%	49% EXIT	9%	61% ENTER	10%	38% EXIT	24489	24489	300	300	788	747	759 799	17	1 11
15 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Orchard Ave	3	270	0	12 2	257 14	4 26	6 9	2	1	1	24 COUNT	9	900	9900	600	500	5% 51% EN	TER	6%	47% EXIT	9%	67% ENTER	9%	55% ENTER	19137	19137	844	2753	528	503	547 619	52	25 143

	Intersection # = 1			S	W	N	Е	Iterations = 1	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1	2907	0	1546	0		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	ON						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	1502	1535			0	1502	0	1502	0.00%
W	0	0		0		0	0	0	0.00%
Ν	938	927		938	0		0	938	0.00%
Е	2013	1991		2002	0	11		2013	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		2940	0	1513	0		
	•			1.14%	0.00%	-2.13%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 2			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 200	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	/	0	0	836	1535		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	ON						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	1086	836			0	1086	0	1086	0.00%
W	1061	1535		0		0	1061	1061	0.00%
Ν	0	0		0	0		0	0	0.00%
Е	0	0		0	0	0		0	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		0	0	1086	1061		
				0.00%	0.00%	29.90%	-30.88%	L	
	Intersection # = 3			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 200	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1	2387	0	0	2637		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	ON						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	0	0			0	0	0	0	0.00%
W	1968	2642		4		0	1964	1968	0.00%
Ν	2046	2382		2046	0		0	2046	0.00%
Е	0	0		0	0	0		0	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		2050	0	0	1964		
				-14.12%	0.00%	0.00%	-25.52%		
	Intersection # = 4			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 1	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1	797	247	1885	165		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	N						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	937	951			1	901	35	937	0.00%
W	964	992		8		845	111	964	0.00%
Ν	966	929		765	185		16	966	0.00%
Е	227	222		54	70	103		227	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		827	256	1849	162		
	-			3.76%	3.64%	-1.91%	-1.82%		
	Intersection # = 5			S	W	Ν	E	Iterations = 21	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	/	871	119	829	263		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	NC						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	984	941			15	851	118	984	0.00%
W	191	195		72		6	113	191	0.00%
Ν	715	750		678	4		33	715	0.00%
Е	188	195		83	96	9		188	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		833	115	866	264		
	-			-4.36%	-3.36%	4.46%	0.38%		

	Intersection # = 6			S	W	N	Е	Iterations = 33	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1	558	106	909	129		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO			100				
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	584	611			4	575	5	584	0.00%
W	192	200		5	_	178	10	193	0.52%
Ν	794	757		577	98		120	795	0.13%
Е	128	133		3	9	116		128	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		585	111	869	135		
				4.84%	4.72%	-4.40%	4.65%		
	Intersection # = 7			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 7	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	/	547	185	697	136		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	ON						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	506	534			21	467	18	506	0.00%
W	138	141		14		63	62	139	0.72%
Ν	654	619		529	67		58	654	0.00%
Е	267	272		31	98	137		266	-0.37%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		574	186	667	138		
				4.94%	0.54%	-4.30%	1.47%		
	Intersection # = 8			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 13	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1	583	80	527	124		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	ON			-			
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	547	518			11	499	37	547	0.00%
W	118	115		25		26	68	119	0.85%
N	517	546		487	9		22	518	0.19%
Е	132	134		44	60	28		132	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		556	80	553	127	-	
	<u> </u>			-4.63%	0.00%	4.93%	2.42%	L	
	Intersection # = 9			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 11	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	/	1790	278	2077	421		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	NC						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	1702	1637			56	1534	112	1702	0.00%
W	854	834		119		451	285	855	0.12%
Ν	1433	1513		1360	40		33	1433	0.00%
Е	573	581		235	179	160		574	0.17%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		1714	275	2145	430		
	-			-4.25%	-1.08%	3.27%	2.14%		
	Intersection # = 10			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 5	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	/	169	342	284	262		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	NC						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	424	414			98	234	92	424	0.00%
W	220	223		37		19	165	221	0.45%
Ν	49	52		39	6		5	50	2.04%
Е	358	368		89	233	36		358	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		165	337	289	262		-
	•			-2.37%	-1.46%	1.76%	0.00%	-	

	Intersection # = 11			S	W	N	Е	Iterations = 5	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		196	105	143	95		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	J	100	100	1.0			
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	1
S	206	201			53	108	45	206	0.00%
W	98	100		43		19	36	98	0.00%
Ν	141	149		110	17	-	14	141	0.00%
Е	90	91		36	36	19		91	1.11%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		189	106	146	95		8
		_		-3.57%	0.95%	2.10%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 12			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 1	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		0	0	0	0		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	١						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	1
S	0	0			0	0	0	0	0.00%
W	0	0		0		0	0	0	0.00%
Ν	0	0		0	0		0	0	0.00%
Е	0	0		0	0	0		0	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		0	0	0	0		8
		_		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 13			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 19	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		440	114	102	36		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	N						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	1
S	148	155			96	48	4	148	0.00%
W	354	349		280		53	21	354	0.00%
Ν	187	185		165	11		11	187	0.00%
Е	3	3		1	2	0		3	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		446	109	101	36		
				1.36%	-4.39%	-0.98%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 14			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 1	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		747	11	799	18		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	١						_
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	788	791			3	779	6	788	0.00%
W	17	17		8		8	1	17	0.00%
Ν	759	756		742	6		11	759	0.00%
Е	11	11		0	2	9		11	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		750	11	796	18		
				0.40%	0.00%	-0.38%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 15			S	W	N	E	Iterations = 20	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		505	26	620	117		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	١						•
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	527	503			5	522	0	527	0.00%
W	51	52		2		10	39	51	0.00%
Ν	546	574		457	16		73	546	0.00%
Е	142	138		24	3	115		142	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		483	24	647	112		
				-4.36%	-7.69%	4.35%	-4.27%		

1	2	3 4	. 5	e	7	8	9	1	.0 11	12	13	14	15 16	17	18	19	20	21	22 23	3 24	25	26 27	7 2	29 29	30	31 3	2 33	34	35	36	37	38	39 40) 41	42	43 44
							EXIST	FING/BAS	SE YEAR TUR	NS				E)	ISTING/BAS	E YEAR ADT			EXISTING/BA	SE YEAR K AN	D D FACTORS (ENTE	R K FACTOR IF	FEXISTING	BASE ADT N	OT AVAILABLE)		FUTURE	ADT				FUTURE	ENTER/EXIT		
INT_ID ROAD 1	ROAD 2	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR COUNT/PERCENT	SOUTH LEG	NORTH LEG	WEST LEG	EAST LEG	NB K	NB D NB PEAK	SB K	SB D SB PEA	K EB K	EB D	EB PEAK	WB K	WB D WB PEAP	SOUTH LEG	NORTH LEG	WEST LEG	EAST LEG	NB ENTER	NB EXIT SB	NTER SB EXIT	EB ENTER EE	B EXIT WB E	NTER WB EXIT
1 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	I-8 WB off-ramp		1093			1368					1881		14 COUNT	48020	48020		22250	9%	25% EXIT	5%	55% ENTER	9%	6 0	% EXIT	9%	100% ENTER	56772	53549		31555	1292	3841	1526 123	4 0	0	2687 0
2 Nimitz Blvd	I-8 EB on-ramp		682						576				COUNT	41700	48020	48020	22250	2%	100% ENTER	1%	0% EXIT	1%	6 100	% ENTER	3%	0% EXIT	69348	56772	52601	36871	1134	0	0 80	631	0	0 955
3 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Sunset Cliffs Blvd					1801			1050	36			COUNT	24100	48020	48020	22250	8%	0% EXIT	4%	100% ENTER	2%	6 100	% ENTER	5%	0% EXIT	29595	56772	52601	36871	0	2256	2129	1190	0	0 1740
	W Point Loma Blvd	e	560	64	28	820	874	44	15 159	8	105	164	37 COUNT	22800	48020	28500	13400	7%	40% EXIT	6%	62% ENTER	6%	6 37	% EXIT	4%	55% ENTER	23940	52456	31323	16910	662	980	1881 113	673	1147	386 317
5 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Voltaire St	15	531	56	87	793	38	8	32 175	29	73	196	81 COUNT	17800	22500	5400	8400	8%	40% EXIT	7%	57% ENTER	10%	6 53	% ENTER	8%	52% ENTER	23677	23940	6013	10960	801	1191	977 73	318	277	457 415
6 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Santa Monica Ave	28	488	14	12	628	74	6	64 102	66	24	63	16 COUNT	15500	17800	4400		8%	42% EXIT	7%	56% ENTER	9%	6 58	% ENTER	9%	45% EXIT	16321	24708	4890	2860	558	756	991 78	3 258	183	115 143
7 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Newport Ave	58	338	18	22	549	98	10	02 64	53	32	71	36 COUNT	15500	15500	5500		7%	40% EXIT	7%	58% ENTER	8%	6 49	% EXIT	9%	57% ENTER	16321	19714	6150	4475	436	668	851 60	245	254	230 172
8 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Narragansett Ave	16	391	17	41	. 426	57	1	.9 84	21	38	109	70 COUNT	13900	15500	2600	2600	7%	47% EXIT	6%	52% ENTER	12%	6 41	% EXIT	14%	60% ENTER	18111	16700	2781	3351	552	632	565 51	133	195	280 183
9 Nimitz Blvd	W Point Loma Blvd	54	1435	181	299	1070	317	18	310	42	155	382	210 COUNT	50000	41700	13400	15500	6%	57% ENTER	8%	48% EXIT	10%	6 42	% EXIT	10%	49% EXIT	57421	39753	16910	15497	1918	1455	1607 174	678	950	747 790
10 Ebers St	Voltaire St	42	205	70	49	278	21	4	7 210	43	71	265	42 COUNT	8200	24600	6200	8400	9%	45% EXIT	3%	54% ENTER	10%	6 48	% EXIT	8%	53% ENTER	8382	14734	8175	10960	324	401	208 17	5 396	432	493 429
11 Cable St	Newport Ave	27	171	41	32	217	37	2	1 136	19	24	144	61 COUNT	4300	6500	8700	5500	12%	48% EXIT	8%	53% ENTER	4%	6 46	% EXIT	8%	52% ENTER	7226	7707	8645	5177	402	437	339 30	175	207	216 197
12 Ebers St	W Point Loma Blvd	16		338					188	4	382	304	COUNT	24600		28500	13400	3%	48% EXIT	9%	0% EXIT	2%	6 38	% EXIT	9%	57% ENTER	14734		31323	16910	212	231	0 0	211	352	866 664
13 Bacon St	W Point Loma Blvd	210	215	147	13	160	11	1	.7 56	145	66	36	2 COUNT	7800	4200	6900	13800	12%	61% ENTER	10%	44% EXIT	7%	6 46	% EXIT	2%	33% EXIT	7396	4200	9478	16250	542	352	184 234	299	353	122 254
14 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Brighton Ave	e	494	3	17	728	15		5 5	8	1	4	9 COUNT	17800	17800	500	400	7%	41% EXIT	7%	60% ENTER	9%	6 42	% EXIT	10%	36% EXIT	24489	24489	500	400	692	1014	1046 69	18	25	14 25
15 Sunset Cliffs Blvd	Orchard Ave	10	362	2	16	371	28	1	.7 6	8	2	6	19 COUNT	9900	9900	800	600	8%	50% EXIT	8%	51% ENTER	9%	6 41	% EXIT	9%	53% ENTER	19137	19137	844	2753	723	736	802 76	33	46	124 110

	Intersection # = 1			S	W	N	E	Iterations = 3	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		3983	0	1306	0		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	N	3303	0	1300	•		
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	1220	1285			0	1220	0	1220	0.00%
Ŵ	0	0		0		0	0	0	0.00%
N	1454	1430		1454	0	Ū	0	1454	0.00%
E	2615	2574		2594	0	21	-	2615	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		4048	0	1241	0		
	I			1.63%	0.00%	-4.98%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 2			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 200	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		0	0	809	956		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	N	Ū					
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION	•					TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	1134	809			0	1134	0	1134	0.00%
Ŵ	631	956		0		0	631	631	0.00%
N	0	0		0	0	Ŭ	0	0	0.00%
E	0	0		0	0	0		0	0.00%
-	Ŭ	TOTAL OUTFLOWS		0	0	1134	631	Ŭ	0.0070
				0.00%	0.00%	40.17%	-34.00%		
				0.0070	0.0070	10.1770	5 1.0070		
	Intersection # = 3			S	W	N	Е	Iterations = 200	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		2508	0	0	1655		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	N	2300	•	Ŭ	1000		
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	0	0			0	0	0	0	0.00%
w	1275	1661		5	0	0	1270	1275	0.00%
N	2214	2502		2214	0	Ű	0	2214	0.00%
E	0	0		0	0	0		0	0.00%
_		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		2219	0	0	1270	-	
				-11.52%	0.00%	0.00%	-23.26%		
	Intersection # = 4			S	W	N	Е	Iterations = 1	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		983	1150	1141	320		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	N						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	660	682			6	578	76	660	0.00%
W	671	711		8		469	193	670	-0.15%
Ν	1879	1826		874	968		37	1879	0.00%
Е	384	375		128	208	48		384	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		1010	1182	1095	306		
				2.75%	2.78%	-4.03%	-4.38%		
	Intersection # = 5			S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 9	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW		1183	269	730	407		
		FROM PREV ITERATIO	N						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	810	770			26	648	136	810	0.00%
W	327	320		56		51	220	327	0.00%
Ν	986	1026		906	19		61	986	0.00%
Е	466	472		182	221	63		466	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS		1144	266	762	417		-
				-3.30%	-1.12%	4.38%	2.46%	•	

	Intersection # = 6		S	w	Ν	Е	Iterations = 17	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	763	190	795	150		
		FROM PREV ITERATION	,	150	, 33	150		
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION					TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	552	586		6	542	5	553	0.18%
W	252	261	14	-	152	86	252	0.00%
Ν	985	940	774	152		59	985	0.00%
Е	109	111	9	38	62		109	0.00%
I		TOTAL OUTFLOWS	797	196	756	150		l
	•		4.46%	3.16%	-4.91%	0.00%	•	
	Intersection # = 7		S	W	Ν	Е	Iterations = 7	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	677	262	613	180		
		FROM PREV ITERATION						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION					TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	428	451		33	372	23	428	0.00%
W	237	245	28		122	87	237	0.00%
Ν	843	811	637	137		69	843	0.00%
Е	222	225	35	94	94		223	0.45%
•		TOTAL OUTFLOWS	700	264	588	179		
	-		3.40%	0.76%	-4.08%	-0.56%	-	
	Intersection # = 8		S	W	Ν	E	Iterations = 9	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	635	195	517	183		
-		FROM PREV ITERATION						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION					TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	552	524		28	474	50	552	0.00%
W	133	135	30		9	95	134	0.75%
Ν	565	588	493	34		38	565	0.00%
Е	280	281	91	133	57		281	0.36%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS	614	195	540	183		
			-3.31%	0.00%	4.45%	0.00%		
	Intersection # = 9		S	W	N	E	Iterations = 7	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1458	951	1746	791		
1		FROM PREV ITERATION						l
	FUTURE INFLOW						TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	1917	1831		140	1530	247	1917	0.00%
W	677	665	103		182	392	677	0.00%
Ν	1606	1683	1113	330		163	1606	0.00%
Е	746	768	186	458	103		747	0.13%
	l	TOTAL OUTFLOWS	1402	928	1815	802	1	
			-3.84%	-2.42%	3.95%	1.39%		
	Internetion # 10		c	14/	NI	-	lteretiene F	
	Intersection # = 10		S	W	N	E	Iterations = 5	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	400	430	174	427		
I		FROM PREV ITERATION					TOTAL INCLOSUS	
c	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION			405	400	TOTAL INFLOWS	0.000
S	326	315		71	135	120	326	0.00%
W	398	394	84	10	24	290	398	0.00%
N F	210	219	178	10	21	22	210	0.00%
E	495		129	345	21	422	495	0.00%
	l	TOTAL OUTFLOWS	391 -2.25%	426 -0.93%	180 3.45%	432 1.17%	1	

	Intersection # = 11		S	W	N	Е	Iterations = 9	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	437	206	299	196		
		FROM PREV ITERATION						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION					TOTAL INFLOWS	1
S	403	388		65	262	76	403	0.00%
W	176	176	55		13	108	176	0.00%
Ν	340	358	307	19		14	340	0.00%
Е	217	216	59	125	33		217	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS	421	209	308	198		-
	-		-3.66%	1.46%	3.01%	1.02%	-	
	Intersection # = 12		S	W	N	E	Iterations = 200	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	238	359	0	671		
		FROM PREV ITERATION						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION					TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	205	337		1	0	204	205	0.00%
W	204	336	1		0	203	204	0.00%
Ν	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.00%
Е	859	595	342	517	0		859	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS	343	518	0	407		
			44.12%	44.29%	0.00%	-39.34%		
	Intersection # = 13		S	W	N	E	Iterations = 5	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	348	347	228	248		
		FROM PREV ITERATION						
	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION					TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	548	568		243	184	121	548	0.00%
W	305	295	161		36	108	305	0.00%
Ν	190	181	146	24		20	190	0.00%
Е	128	127	53	73	2		128	0.00%
		TOTAL OUTFLOWS	360	340	222	249		
			3.45%	-2.02%	-2.63%	0.40%		
	laterestica II dd		c			-	lte actions of	
	Intersection # = 14		S	W	N	E	Iterations = 1	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	1016	26	700	26		
		FROM PREV ITERATION						1
	FUTURE INFLOW			<u>,</u>	600		TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	691	696		6	682	3	691	0.00%
W	17	17	8	47	5	4	17	0.00%
N	1045	1043	1009	17	-	19	1045	0.00%
Е	13	12	1	3	9	•	13	0.00%
	l	TOTAL OUTFLOWS	1018	26	696	26		
			0.20%	0.00%	-0.57%	0.00%		
	Internetion # 15		c	14/	N	-	Iteretiene 2	
	Intersection # = 15		S	W	N	E	Iterations = 2	
		FUTURE OUTFLOW	742	49	772	113		
		FROM PREV ITERATION					TOTAL INFLORM	1
~	FUTURE INFLOW	FROM PREV INTERATION		-			TOTAL INFLOWS	
S	720	694		9	699	11	719	-0.14%
W	30	40	6		11	13	30	0.00%
N	799	836	693	25	05	81	799	0.00%
E	121		10	15	95	405	120	-0.83%
	l	TOTAL OUTFLOWS	709 -4.45%	49	805	105	I	
			-4.47%	0.00%	4.27%	-7.08%		

Appendix H Validation of Existing Traffic Counts Memo



Memorandum

Arizona California Colorado Kansas Missouri Nebraska New Mexico Oklahoma Texas Utah

То:	Samir Hajjiri, City of San Diego Victoria Huffman, City of San Diego		
From:	Marc Mizuta, Wilson & Company, Inc. Nicholas Abboud, Wilson & Company, Inc.		
Date:	November 8, 2011	File Number:	11-100-60100
Re:	Validation of Existing Traffic Counts in Ocean	n Beach	

The following memorandum summarizes how the existing traffic data contained in the Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report – Mobility Element, dated January 2010 were validated for continued use in the Ocean Beach Future Conditions Report. Most of the traffic data contained in the Existing Conditions Report were obtained in 2008. Validation of those traffic counts were needed to determine if the traffic counts obtained in 2008 still represented the traffic conditions over the last year.

Daily Traffic Volumes

The average daily traffic (ADT) volumes along key roadway segments in the Ocean Beach Existing Conditions Report completed by the City of San Diego were collected in January 2008 and July 2008. Recent ADT volumes were provided by the City from their machine count traffic volumes database. Many of the roadway segments listed in the Existing Conditions Report did not have updated traffic data.

Table 1 provides a summary of the ADT volumes for the segments where recent traffic counts were obtained and provides a comparison to the existing ADT volumes obtained in 2008. In the table, cells containing counts from the same season (winter or summer) are shown in gray highlights. It is acknowledged that traffic volumes vary inconsistently during different seasons of the year, with no clear conclusion of one being consistently higher or lower than the other. As shown in Figure 9 of the Existing Conditions Report, ADT volumes for various segments within the Ocean Beach community resulted in summer counts being higher on some segments and lower on other segments than winter counts.

Also, bolded values in the table indicate traffic counts that are within 10 percent of each other. Generally, traffic counts that are within 10 percent of each other are considered to be within an acceptable range. As shown in the table, it does not appear that there is a pattern with the recent ADT volumes as they are both higher and lower than the counts obtained in 2008. Historically, ADT volumes within the Ocean Beach community can be affected by a number of factors that are uncontrollable and can vary significantly on a daily basis. Some of these factors include weather conditions and the size of the waves. Larger waves on a sunny day would be expected to be an added attraction to surfers and can cause an increase in traffic volumes.





However, the ADT volumes shown for one of the primary gateways into the Ocean Beach community, Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, indicate that traffic volumes have not experienced significant change over the last few years, which supports the validity of the 2008 traffic counts used by the City in developing the Existing Conditions Report. Traffic volumes along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard between Lotus Street and W Point Loma Boulevard remained fairly constant between 2008 and 2010 with an increase of 150 vehicles on a daily basis.

Segment	Date of Count	ADT	Δ in ADT*	Δ in %
Bacon St (Narragansett Ave and Niagara Ave)	Thu, 01/17/08	3,700	1,115	30%
Dacon St (Managanset: Ave and Magara Ave)	Tue, 06/15/10	4,815	1,115	5078
Cable St (Brighton Ave to Long Branch Ave)	Thu, 01/17/08	6,500	-1,835	-28%
	Tue, 11/16/10	4,665	-1,000	-2070
Narragansett Ave (Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	2,800	145	5%
	Tue, 06/15/10	2,945	145	570
Newport Ave (Cable St to Sunset Cliffs Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	6,200	1,970	32%
	Tue, 06/15/10	8,170	1,070	5270
Point Loma Ave (Ebers St to Froude St)	Thu, 07/24/08	3,000	670	22%
	Tue, 07/27/10	3,670	010	22.70
Sunset Cliffs Blvd (Lotus St to W Point Loma Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	22,800	-150	-1%
	Tue, 06/15/10	22,650	150	170
Sunset Cliffs Blvd (W Point Loma Blvd to Nimitz Blvd)	Thu, 07/24/08	36,200	945	3%
	Sat, 01/29/11	37,145	540	370
Voltaire St (Sunset Cliffs Blvd to Ebers St)	Thu, 01/17/08	5,400	2,670	49%
	Tue, 06/15/10	8,070	2,010	70 /0
W Point Loma Blvd (Bacon St to Cable St)	Thu, 07/24/08	12,900	-25	0%
	Tue, 06/15/10	12,875	25	070

Table 1: Comparison on ADT Volumes Along Roadway Segments

Notes:

* Delta refers to increase (+) or decrease (-) in volumes between 2008 and 2010 counts. (2010 minus 2008)

Cells highlighted in gray indicate counts that were obtained during the same season (winter or summer)

Values shown in **bold** indicate traffic counts that are within 10% of each other.

Peak-Hour Traffic Volumes

The peak-hour traffic volumes at the study intersections in Ocean Beach were obtained in July 2008 for the Existing Conditions Report. A total of 11 intersections were evaluated for the existing conditions. Recent peak-hour traffic volumes at several of the study intersections were provided by the City of San Diego and collected in August 2010.

Table 2 provides a summary of the entering traffic volumes during the weekday AM and PM peakhour and provides a comparison to the existing peak-hour traffic volumes obtained in 2008. Only four of the 11 intersections had matching traffic count data in 2008 and 2010. Values shown in bold indicate traffic volumes that are within 10 percent of each other. As shown in the table, all but one peak-hour volumes at the intersections had traffic volumes that are within 10 percent of each other. As shown in the table, all but one peak-hour volumes at the intersections had traffic volumes that are within 10 percent of each other. As a a deviation of less than 2 percent. As a



result, the recent peak-hour traffic data reflects no significant change from the 2008 counts and supports the validity of the intersection peak hour counts used by the City in the Existing Conditions Report.

Intersection	Peak Hour	Date of Count	Total Entering Volume	Date of Count	Total Entering Volume	∆ in %
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/W Point Loma Blvd	AM	Wed	2,326	Thu	2,297	-1%
	PM	07/16/08	3,245	08/05/10	3,290	1%
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Voltaire St	AM	Wed	1,438	Thu	1,503	5%
Sunset Chins Divu/ voltaire St	PM	07/16/08	1,966	08/05/10	1,942	-1%
Sunset Cliffs Blvd/Narragansett Ave	AM	Wed	909	Thu	767	-16%
	PM	07/16/08	1,104	08/05/10	1,140	3%
Cable St/Newport Ave	AM	Wed	543	Thu	555	2%
Cable Striewport Ave	PM	07/16/08	923	08/05/10	880	-5%
Average	AM		5,216		5,122	-2%
Average	PM		7,238		7,252	0%

Table 2:	Comparison on P	eak-Hour Volumes at	Intersections
----------	------------------------	---------------------	---------------

Notes:

* Delta refers to % increase (+) or decrease (-) in volumes between 2008 and 2010 counts. (2010 minus 2008)

Values that are shown in **bold** indicate traffic counts that are within 10% of each other.

Conclusion

Based on the traffic volumes comparisons presented in the above discussion, it is concluded that the traffic volumes used by the City of San Diego in the preparation of the Existing Conditions Report continue to offer valid representation of the existing conditions. Although the ADT volumes shown in Table 1 show a great variability depending on the location of the roadway segment, more emphasis is placed on the main gateways into the community as indicators of the overall traffic changes since the traffic on the local minor roadways would be expected to fluctuate greatly depending on the exact location of beach activities as well as weather conditions. One of the main gateways into the Ocean Beach Community is Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, which is shown to exhibit fairly consistent traffic volumes traveling through the intersections during the peak-hour have also remained fairly constant over the last few years. As a result, the traffic patterns along Sunset Cliffs Boulevard are considered indicative of the insignificant changes in the overall community traffic patterns and provide support to the validity of the 2008 traffic counts used in the City's Existing Conditions Report.

Appendix I Traffic Volumes Used for Validation



THIS MAP IS PROMIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND ETHER EXPRESS OR MAPLED, INCLUDING BIT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRANTES OF MERCHANTABLITY AND FITNESS.



THIS MAP IS PROMIDED WITHOUT WARRAWTY OF ANY KIND ETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRAWTIES OF MERCHANTANLITY AND FITNESS PUT & ADDRESS OF MERCHANTANLITY AND FITNESS

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:

Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745

N-S STREET:	SUNSET	CLIFFS	BLVD.		DATE: 08/05/10 LOCATION					TION:	: SAN DIEGO		
E-W STREET:	NARRAG	GANSET		DAY:	DAY: THURSDAY PROJECT#					# IC 0173-10			
	NORTHBOUND SO			UTHBOUND EASTE			ASTBOU	ND	ND				
													TOTAL
LANES:	NL O	NT 1	NR 0	SL 0	ST 1	SR 0	EL 0	ET 1	ER 0	WL 0	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL
6:00 AM													
6:15 AM													
6:30 AM													
6:45 AM	4	75	2		(1	2		2	0	0	_	10	170
7:00 AM 7:15 AM	1 0	75 76	3 3	4 1	61 58	3 3	6 4	3 4	0 0	0	5 1	12 7	173 157
7:30 AM	0	95	4	6	69	5	4	3	0	0 3	9	8	206
7:45 AM	1	78	5	10	69	11	3	2	0	3	Ó	6	188
8:00 AM	1	88	1	4	66	3	3	2	0	2	0	12	182
8:15 AM	0	87	8	11	60	4	0	0	2	3	0	16	191
8:30 AM	0	97	4	5	63	2	3	3	0	4	2	16	199
8:45 AM	0	81	4	4	68	3	1	0	0	8	0	12	181
9:00 AM													
9:15 AM													
9:30 AM 9:45 AM													
10:00 AM													
10:15 AM													
10:30 AM													
10:45 AM													
11:00 AM													
11:15 AM													
11:30 AM													
11:45 AM													
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes	3	677	32	45	514	34	24	17	2	23	17	89	1477
Approach %	0.42	95.08	4.49	7.59	86.68	5.73	55.81	39.53	4.65	17.83	13.18	68.99	
App/Depart	712	/	790	593	/	539	43	/	94	129	/	54	
AM Pe	ak Hr Beç	gins at:	730	AM									
PEAK	_		_			_			_			_	_
Volumes	2	348	18	31	264	23	10	7 36.84	2	11	9	42	767
Approach %	0.54	94.57	4.89	9.75	83.02	7.23	52.63	36.84	10.53	17.74	14.52	67.74	
PEAK HR.													
FACTOR:		0.929			0.883			0.679			0.775	I	0.931
CONTROL:	SIGNAL												
COMMENT 1:													
COMMENT 2:													

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:



N-S STREET:	SUNSET	CLIFFS	BLVD.		DATE:	08/05/10 LOCATION: SAN DIEGO							
E-W STREET:	NARRAG	THURSDAY PROJECT# IC 0173-10											
	NORTHBOUND SOL			UTHBOUND EASTBOUN				ND WESTBOUND					
LANES:	NL 0	NT 1	NR 0	SL 0	ST 1	SR 0	EL O	ET 1	ER 0	WL 0	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL
10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM 11:00 AM 11:15 AM 11:30 AM 11:45 AM 12:00 PM 12:15 PM 12:30 PM 12:45 PM 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 2:00 PM 2:15 PM 2:30 PM 2:30 PM 2:45 PM 3:00 PM 3:15 PM	4 3 4 8 4 3 5 4	92 90 97 99 90 83 106 89	4 4 13 6 7 9 2 5	7 4 15 12 10 11 17 8	81 80 89 107 84 89 88 93	12 8 6 13 13 10 6 9	2 5 1 2 4 2 3 3	0 2 9 6 1 0 4	0 0 1 1 3 0 1 1	5 5 4 3 2 3 7	14 20 14 17 23 28 22 10	14 18 15 13 15 9 8	235 239 264 293 260 253 262 241
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ΕT	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes Approach %	35 4.21	746 89.77	50 6.02	84 9.63	711 81.54	77 8.83	22 41.51	24 45.28	7 13.21	33 11.34	148 50.86	110 37.80	2047
App/Depart	831	/	878	872	/	751	53	/	158	291	/	260	
NOON Pe	ak Hr Be	gins at:	1200	PM									
PEAK Volumes Approach %	19 4.49	369 87.23	35 8.27	48 10.46	369 80.39	42 9.15	9 28.13	18 56.25	5 15.63	13 8.33	82 52.56	61 39.10	1070
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.928	I		0.869	I		0.615	I		0.867	I	0.913
Control: Comment 1: Comment 2: Hours:	SIGNAL 0 0 AM NOON PM	FRC 700 1130	DM: AM AM PM	130	D: AM PM PM								

Intersection Turning Movement



N-S STREET:	SUNSET	CLIFFS	BLVD.		DATE: 08/05/10 LO					LOCATION: SAN DIEGO				
E-W STREET:	NARRAG	GANSET	T AVE.		DAY: THURSDAY P					PROJECT# IC 0173-10				
	NO	RTHBOL	JND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	EA	ASTBOU	ND	WESTBOUND				
				0				FT					TOTAL	
LANES:	NL O	NT 1	NR 0	SL 0	ST 1	SR 0	EL O	ET 1	ER 0	WL 0	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL	
1:00 PM 1:15 PM 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 2:00 PM 2:15 PM 2:30 PM 2:45 PM 3:00 PM 3:15 PM 3:30 PM 3:45 PM 4:00 PM 4:15 PM 4:30 PM 4:45 PM 5:00 PM 5:15 PM 5:30 PM 5:45 PM 6:00 PM 6:15 PM 6:30 PM	8 2 6 3 4 5 6 2	101 84 98 89 73 75 92 78	6 8 7 11 3 7 4 14	10 13 10 7 12 17 14 6	104 114 104 108 101 100 99 115	11 13 15 10 15 12 17 15	1 5 1 2 1 2 2 2	4 7 4 3 9 4 5	1 1 0 1 0 3 2 4	9 3 5 5 7 8 4 6	20 26 21 21 16 15 19 10	12 19 18 8 11 9 9 14	287 295 289 269 246 262 272 271	
		NT	ND	CL	CT	CD	E 1	CT	50	14/1			TOTAL	
TOTAL Volumes	NL 36	NT 690	NR 60	SL 89	ST 845	SR 108	EL 16	ET 40	ER 12	WL 47	WT 148	WR 100	TOTAL 2191	
Approach %	4.58	87.79	7.63	8.54		10.36	23.53	58.82	17.65	15.93	50.17	33.90	2171	
App/Depart	786	/	806	1042	/	904	68	/	189	295	/	292		
PM Pe	ak Hr Beg	gins at:	500	PM										
PEAK Volumes Approach %	19 4.49	372 87.94	32 7.57	40 7.71	430 82.85	49 9.44	9 29.03	19 61.29	3 9.68	22 13.17	88 52.69	57 34.13	1140	
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.920	I		0.927	I		0.596	I		0.870	I	0.966	
CONTROL: COMMENT 1: COMMENT 2:	SIGNAL 0 0													


Location: NARRAGANSETT AVE. & SUNSET CLIFFS BLVD





Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:

Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745

N-S STREET:	SUNSET	UNSET CLIFFS BLVD.				DATE: 08/05/10 LO					LOCATION: SAN DIEGO			
E-W STREET:	W. POI		A BLVD.		DAY:	THURSI	YAC		PROJ	ECT#	IC 0175	-10		
	NO	RTHBO	JND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	E	ASTBOUN	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND		
LANES:	NL 1	NT 1	NR 0	SL 1	ST 1	SR 1	EL 1	ET 1	ER 0	WL 1	WT 1	WR 1	TOTAL	
6:00 AM 6:15 AM 6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM 9:00 AM 9:15 AM 9:30 AM 9:45 AM 10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM	0 0 0 0 0 0	148 152 168 184 149 159 160 148	8 11 13 8 10 11 9 8	2 5 7 4 5 4 7 6	76 89 90 105 89 109 110 98	58 54 60 65 53 55 74 59	156 147 181 157 148 167 197 185	21 29 23 28 21 17 24 24	1 2 1 1 2 1 1	8 5 6 12 14 10 11 9	11 7 8 19 17 20 24 21	9 5 12 9 16 5 6 5	498 506 570 592 523 559 623 564	
11:30 AM 11:45 AM														
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL	
Volumes	0	1268	78	40	766	478	1338	187	11	75	127	67	4435	
Approach %	0.00	94.21	5.79	3.12	59.66	37.23		12.17	0.72	27.88	47.21	24.91		
App/Depart	1346	/	2673	1284	/	852	1536	/	305	269	/	605		
AM Pe	ak Hr Beg	gins at:	745	AM										
PEAK Volumes Approach %	0 0.00	652 94.49	38 5.51	20 2.94	413 60.74	247 36.32	669 87.57	90 11.78	5 0.65	47 28.83	80 49.08	36 22.09	2297	
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.898	I		0.890	I		0.860	I		0.867	I	0.922	
CONTROL: COMMENT 1: COMMENT 2:	SIGNAL													

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:



N-S STREET:	SUNSE	r cliffs	BLVD.		DATE:	08/05/10 LOCATION:					SAN DIEGO		
E-W STREET:	W. POI		A BLVD.		DAY:	THURSE	YAQ		PROJ	ECT#	IC 0175	-10	
	NC	RTHBO	JND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	EA	ASTBOUI	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND	
LANES:	NL 1	NT 1	NR 0	SL 1	ST 1	SR 1	EL 1	ET 1	ER 0	WL 1	WT 1	WR 1	TOTAL
10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM 11:00 AM 11:15 AM 11:30 AM 11:45 AM 12:00 PM 12:15 PM 12:30 PM 12:45 PM 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 2:00 PM 2:15 PM 2:30 PM 2:30 PM 2:45 PM 3:00 PM 3:15 PM 3:30 PM	2 0 1 1 0 0 0	150 122 127 108 157 151 157 142	27 12 23 11 12 13 12 11	7 12 17 10 8 2 13 8	148 124 140 142 174 153 177 148	132 139 133 149 156 170 175 163	123 99 119 124 112 153 114 110	30 28 30 38 21 41 41 28	4 3 0 2 3 4 2 1	23 37 25 32 29 34 25 21	28 40 41 48 31 46 27 25	14 11 9 11 9 19 17 16	688 627 665 676 712 786 760 673
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ΕT	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes Approach % App/Depart	4 0.32 1239	1114 89.91	121 9.77 2174	77 3.08 2500	1206 48.24	1217 48.68 1451	954 77.56 1230	257 20.89	19 1.54 455	226 36.57 618	286 46.28	106 17.15 1507	5587
NOON Pe		, nins at∙	1215		7	1401	1230	/	400	010	7	1507	
PEAK Volumes		573	48	33	646	650	503	141	11	120	152	56	2934
Approach %		92.12	7.72		48.61					36.59			
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.920	I		0.910	I		0.827	I		0.828	I	0.933
Control: Comment 1: Comment 2: Hours:	SIGNAL 0 0 AM NOON PM	FRC 700 1130	DM: AM AM PM	130	D: AM PM PM								

Intersection Turning Movement



N-S STREET:	SUNSET	JNSET CLIFFS BLVD.				DATE: 08/05/10 LOC/				OCATION: SAN DIEGO			
E-W STREET:	W. POIN		A BLVD.		DAY:	THURSE	DAY		PROJ	ECT#	IC 0175	-10	
	NO	RTHBOL	JND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	EA	ASTBOUN	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND	
	NL	NT	ND	SL	ST	SR	EI	ET	ER	\\//	WT	W/D	TOTAL
LANES:	1	1	NR 0	3L 1	1	зк 1	EL 1	1	0 0	WL 1	1	WR 1	TOTAL
1:00 PM 1:15 PM													
1:30 PM													
1:45 PM													
2:00 PM													
2:15 PM													
2:30 PM													
2:45 PM 3:00 PM													
3:00 PM 3:15 PM													
3:30 PM													
3:45 PM													
4:00 PM													
4:15 PM													
4:30 PM													
4:45 PM													
5:00 PM	0	128	11	8	182	223	118	47	6	25	52	8	808
5:15 PM	1	143	12	5	195	223	122	39	3	27	51	10	831
5:30 PM 5:45 PM	0 2	148 141	18 11	6 15	194 186	216 212	136 115	29 39	2 1	30 18	38 50	10 10	827 800
6:00 PM	1	144	13	5	194	223	128	26	2	36	53	7	832
6:15 PM	1	130	15	10	190	221	125	35	3	28	54	, 10	822
6:30 PM	3	137	13	9	200	204	114	37	4	33	52	6	812
6:45 PM	2	122	11	8	184	208	113	29	5	24	48	5	759
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes	10	1093	104	66	1525	1730	971	281	26	221	398	66	6491
Approach %	0.83	90.56	8.62	1.99	45.92	52.09	75.98	21.99	2.03	32.26	58.10	9.64	
App/Depart	1207	/	2130	3321	/	1772	1278	/	451	685	/	2138	
PM Pe	ak Hr Beç	gins at:	515	PM									
PEAK													
Volumes	4	576	54	31	769	874		133		111	192	37	3290
Approach %	0.63	90.85	8.52	1.85	45.94	52.21	78.04	20.72	1.25	32.65	56.47	10.88	I
PEAK HR.		0.055						0.0/1			0.005		
FACTOR:	I	0.955			0.989			0.961			0.885		0.989
CONTROL:	SIGNAL												
COMMENT 1:	0												
COMMENT 2:	0												



Date: 08/05/10 Day: THURSDAY

Location: W. POINT LOMA BLVD. & SUNSET CLIFFS BLVD.

Г	PEDESTRIANS											
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG								
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0								
7:15 AM	0	1	1	1								
7:30 AM	0	1	0	1								
7:45 AM	0	1	2	0								
8:00 AM	0	2	5	2								
8:15 AM	2	0	0	3								
8:30 AM	1	4	1	2								
8:45 AM	1	2	1	2								
TOTAL	4	11	10	11								

		PEDES	TRIANS	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
11:30 AM	0	0	3	0
11:45 AM	4	2	5	0
12:00 PM	2	0	0	3
12:15 PM	2	0	0	2
12:30 PM	11	0	6	2
12:45 PM	3	0	5	0
1:00 PM	3	4	0	3
1:15 PM	2	1	1	2
TOTAL	27	7	20	12

Ī		PEDES	TRIANS	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
5:00 PM	4	0	1	7
5:15 PM	4	3	3	2
5:30 PM	6	0	5	3
5:45 PM	4	10	1	0
6:00 PM	6	3	5	5
6:15 PM	5	1	5	4
6:30 PM	0	1	2	2
6:45 PM	2	1	2	1
TOTAL	31	19	24	24

N-LEG S-LEG E-LEG W-LEG 7:00 AM 0 0 0 0 7:15 AM 0 1 1 0 7:30 AM 0 0 2 0 7:45 AM 1 7 1 1 8:00 AM 0 0 0 1 8:15 AM 0 0 1 0 8:35 AM 0 0 1 0 7 OTAL 9 7 3 TOTAL 9 7 3 BICYCLES HLEG S-LEG E-LEG 11:30 AM 0 4 1 7 11:45 AM 0 0 2 0 12:00 PM 0 0 0 2 0 12:15 PM 5 1 1 8 8

BICYCLES N-LEG S-LEG E-LEG W-LEG

City: SAN DIEGO Project #: IC 0175-10

West Leg

 N-LEG
 S-LEG
 E-LEG
 W-LEG

 11:30 AM
 0
 4
 1
 7

 11:45 AM
 0
 0
 2
 0

 12:00 PM
 0
 0
 0
 2
 0

 12:15 PM
 5
 1
 1
 8
 1
 2:30 PM
 0
 1
 7

 12:30 PM
 1
 0
 2
 0
 1
 7
 1
 1
 7
 1:00 PM
 2
 8
 0
 7
 1:15 PM
 0
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 <

		BICT	GLLG	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
5:00 PM	1	3	1	2
5:15 PM	0	3	1	3
5:30 PM	3	2	0	3
5:45 PM	1	2	0	3
6:00 PM	6	3	2	3
6:15 PM	5	0	0	0
6:30 PM	1	0	0	4
6:45 PM	1	1	1	2
TOTAL	18	14	5	20

North Leg

East Leg

South Leg



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:



N-S STREET:	SUNSET	CLIFFS	BLVD.		DATE: 08/05/10				LOCATION: SAN DIEGO				
E-W STREET:	VOLTAI	RE ST.			DAY:	THURSE	DAY		PROJ	ECT#	IC 0176	-10	
	NO	RTHBOL	JND	SO	UTHBOU	ND	EA	ASTBOUI	ND	WESTBOUND			
LANES:	NL 1	NT 1	NR 0	SL 1	ST 1	SR 0	EL 1	ET 1	ER 0	WL 1	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL
6:00 AM 6:15 AM 6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM 9:00 AM 9:15 AM 9:30 AM 9:45 AM 10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM 11:00 AM 11:15 AM	3 2 5 2 4 1 5 2	118 123 122 147 155 139 163 123	5 7 8 9 11 7 4 8	5 7 5 8 11 9 5 7	87 85 99 90 103 111 104 96	9 5 7 4 5 5	8 11 14 24 28 16 13 18	17 19 17 20 22 26 24 28	5 2 6 3 2 5 4 7	2 3 6 9 5 8 5 8	13 18 24 28 24 25 21 19	11 19 14 24 20 22 19 21	283 301 328 371 389 374 369 342
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes	24	1090	59	57	775	45	132	173	34	46	172	150	2757
Approach % App/Depart	2.05 1173	92.92	5.03 1372	6.50 877	88.37	5.13 855	38.94 339	51.03	10.03 289	12.50 368	46.74	40.76 241	
	ak Hr Beg	gins at:	745		,				207				
PEAK Volumes Approach %	12	604 93.35	31	33	408 88.89		81 43.32	92 49.20	14 7.49	27 12.86	98 46.67	85 40.48	1503
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.940	I		0.918	I		0.899	I		0.861	I	0.966
CONTROL: COMMENT 1: COMMENT 2:	SIGNAL												

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:



N-S STREET:	SUNSET	SUNSET CLIFFS BLVD. DATE:					08/05/10 LOCATION: SAN DIEGO					EGO	
E-W STREET:	VOLTAI	RE ST.			DAY:	THURSE	YAC		PROJI	ECT#	IC 0176	-10	
	NO	RTHBOU	JND	SO	UTHBOL	BOUND EASTBOU		ASTBOU	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND	
LANES:	NL 1	NT 1	NR 0	SL 1	ST 1	SR 0	EL 1	ET 1	ER 0	WL 1	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL
10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM 11:00 AM 11:15 AM 11:30 AM 11:45 AM 12:00 PM 12:15 PM 12:30 PM 12:45 PM 1:00 PM 1:45 PM 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 2:00 PM 2:15 PM 2:30 PM 2:30 PM 3:15 PM 3:30 PM 3:45 PM	7 5 2 7 6 5 3	123 106 125 111 120 134 127 116	13 18 12 13 11 24 10	19 17 18 25 20 23 21	150 147 149 149 140 135 155 150	12 9 10 15 15 17 19 9	20 11 15 15 18 22 18 23	31 40 23 32 34 30 40 35	14 6 8 9 10 9	20 21 18 15 12 19 8 23	27 42 36 33 37 34 36 43	22 26 19 26 26 29 23 19	458 448 440 436 456 467 487 461
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes Approach %	37 3.31	962 86.05	119 10.64	160 11.10	1175 81.54	106 7.36	142 29.58	265 55.21	73 15.21	136 22.15	288 46.91	190 30.94	3653
App/Depart	1118	/	1294		/	1384	480	/	544	614	/	431	
NOON Pe	ак нг ве	gins at:	1230	PIVI									
PEAK Volumes Approach %	21 3.65	497 86.28	58 10.07	89 12.21	580 79.56	60 8.23	81 31.52	139 54.09	37 14.40	62 20.06	150 48.54	97 31.39	1871
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.923	I		0.925	I		0.959	I		0.909	I	0.960
Control: Comment 1: Comment 2: Hours:	SIGNAL 0 0 AM NOON PM	FRC 700 1130	DM: AM AM PM	130	D: AM PM PM								

Intersection Turning Movement



N-S STREET:	SUNSET	CLIFFS	BLVD.		DATE: 08/05/10 LOC				LOCA	LOCATION: SAN DIEGO			
E-W STREET:	VOLTAI	RE ST.			DAY:	THURSE	YAC		PROJ	PROJECT# IC 0176-10			
	NO	RTHBOU	JND	SO	UTHBOL	UTHBOUND EASTBOUNI			ND WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ΕT	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
LANES:	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	
1:00 PM													
1:15 PM													
1:30 PM 1:45 PM													
2:00 PM													
2:15 PM													
2:30 PM													
2:45 PM													
3:00 PM													
3:15 PM 3:30 PM													
3:45 PM													
4:00 PM													
4:15 PM													
4:30 PM													
4:45 PM							_						
5:00 PM	3	110	16	26	180	4	9	45	9	16	40	18	476
5:15 PM 5:30 PM	4 4	118 117	12 23	12 23	183 167	4 4	6 20	46 41	3 10	12 25	31 25	2 14	433 473
5:45 PM	5	124	13	15	174	12	20	42	10	23	38	15	489
6:00 PM	4	112	13	17	206	9	16	36	8	11	41	36	509
6:15 PM	3	111	15	21	186	11	13	36	11	15	40	9	471
6:30 PM	4	111	17	26	191	5	9	38	1	8	31	21	462
6:45 PM	4	111	9	22	198	7	12	35	7	17	40	27	489
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes	31	914	118	162	1485	56	105	319 66 05	59 12.22	125	286	142 25.40	3802
Approach % App/Depart	2.92 1063	85.98 /	11.10 1161	9.51 1703	87.20	3.29 1669	21.74 483	66.05	12.22 599	22.60 553	51.72	25.68 373	
	ak Hr Beg	-	530		7	1007	405	7	577	555	7	575	
PEAK		5											
Volumes	16	464	64	76	733	36	69	155	39	72	144	74	1942
Approach %		85.29			86.75			58.94					1712
PEAK HR.													
FACTOR:	I	0.944	I		0.911	I		0.913	I		0.824		0.954
CONTROL:	SIGNAL												
COMMENT 1:	0												
COMMENT 2:	0												



Date: 08/05/10 Day: THURSDAY

Location: VOLTAIRE ST. & SUNSET CLIFFS BLVD.

			TRIANS							
	N-LEG S-LEG E-LEG W-LEG									
7:00 AM	1	0	0	3						
7:15 AM	1	0	1	2						
7:30 AM	2	2	0	1						
7:45 AM	2	1	1	0						
8:00 AM	0	0	2	1						
8:15 AM	0	0	1	2						
8:30 AM	0	6	2	1						
8:45 AM	0	1	1	0						
TOTAL	6	10	8	10						

		PEDES	TRIANS	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
11:30 AM	0	13	4	2
11:45 AM	1	5	6	3
12:00 PM	6	11	0	3
12:15 PM	1	14	4	1
12:30 PM	3	4	5	1
12:45 PM	2	5	2	3
1:00 PM	3	10	4	3
1:15 PM	0	15	7	2
TOTAL	16	77	32	18

_				
		PEDES	TRIANS	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
5:00 PM	2	12	4	3
5:15 PM	3	10	4	2
5:30 PM	3	17	0	2
5:45 PM	1	18	4	2
6:00 PM	1	14	9	1
6:15 PM	6	18	14	3
6:30 PM	6	18	10	5
6:45 PM	8	10	5	5
TOTAL	30	117	50	23

C Projec: N-LEG S-LEG E-LEG W-LEG 7:00 AM 0 0 1 0 7:15 AM 0 0 0 0 7:30 AM 1 1 0 0 7:35 AM 0 1 1 0 7:45 AM 3 2 2 0 8:00 AM 1 1 1 0 8:16 AM 0 0 1 1 8:30 AM 2 0 0 2 8:45 AM 0 1 1 0 TOTAL 7 5 5 5 BICYCLES N-LEG S-LEG E-LEG W-LEG 11:30 AM 11:45 AM 12:00 PM 12:15 PM 12:30 PM 12:45 PM 1:00 PM 1:15 PM TOTAL 8

City: SAN DIEGO Project #: IC 0176-10

West Leg

33 18 22 Γ BICYCLES

	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
5:00 PM	2	4	1	1
5:15 PM	0	8	2	2
5:30 PM	1	12	2	2
5:45 PM	2	2	2	4
6:00 PM	3	7	1	4
6:15 PM	2	5	0	2
6:30 PM	10	6	1	5
6:45 PM	6	5	4	5
TOTAL	26	49	13	25

North Leg

East Leg

South Leg



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:

Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745

N-S STREET:	CABLE	ST.			DATE:	08/05/10)		LOCA	TION:	SAN DIE	EGO	
E-W STREET:	NEWPO	RT AVE.			DAY:	THURSD	AY		PROJ	ECT#	IC 0177	-10	
	NO	RTHBOU	JND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	E	ASTBOU	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND	
	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ΕT	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
LANES:	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
6:00 AM 6:15 AM 6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM 9:00 AM 9:15 AM 9:30 AM 9:45 AM 10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM 11:00 AM 11:15 AM	1 2 3 2 4 4 2 3	12 5 12 18 15 14 14 26	2 7 1 6 8 3 7 7	5 5 1 2 4 8	26 28 25 41 40 33 40 33	8 8 15 18 10 14 21 14	1 0 0 3 2 1 3	14 18 19 18 12 26 12 33	3 2 7 5 4 7 2 7	3 2 4 5 3 5 1 3	16 18 16 19 13 22 22 28	0 2 1 4 3 4 5 7	91 97 107 141 116 136 131 172
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ET	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes	21	116	41	34	266	108	10	152	37	26	154	26	991
Approach % App/Depart	11.80 178	65.17 /	23.03 152	8.33 408	65.20	26.47 329	5.03 199	76.38	18.59 227	12.62 206	74.76	12.62 283	
	ak Hr Beg		800		,	027	.,,	,	227	200	,	200	
PEAK Volumes Approach %	13	69 64.49	25	15	146 66.36	59 26.82	9 8.04	83 74.11	20 17.86	12 10.34	85 73.28	19 16.38	555
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.743	I		0.846	I		0.651	I		0.763	I	0.807
CONTROL: COMMENT 1: COMMENT 2:	SIGNAL												

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:



N-S STREET:	CABLE	ST.			DATE:	08/05/1	0		LOCA	TION:	SAN DIE	GO	
E-W STREET:	NEWPC	RT AVE			DAY:	THURSE	DAY		PROJI	ECT#	IC 0177	-10	
	NC	RTHBO	UND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	EA	ASTBOU	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND	
LANES:	NL 0	NT 1	NR 0	SL 0	ST 1	SR 0	EL 0	ET 1	ER 0	WL 0	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL
10:00 AM 10:15 AM 10:30 AM 10:45 AM 11:00 AM 11:15 AM 11:30 AM 11:45 AM 12:00 PM 12:15 PM 12:30 PM 12:45 PM 1:00 PM 1:15 PM 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 2:00 PM 2:15 PM 2:30 PM 2:30 PM 3:30 PM 3:30 PM 3:45 PM	3 5 7 4 11 10 8 5	41 28 35 24 35 40 23 33	11 12 7 5 16 12 15 14	7 8 6 4 18 5 3 14	52 33 37 35 40 43 45 44	13 13 9 11 13 17 11 16	5 7 7 6 6 6 8	31 50 43 40 40 31 34 42	14 13 12 10 15 18 16 14	8 5 7 10 8 6 8 3	54 49 44 61 55 53 55 58	5 2 9 4 12 3 4 10	244 225 223 215 269 244 228 261
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ΕT	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes Approach %	53 13.12	259 64.11	92 22.77	65 13.08	329 66.20	103 20.72	52 10.95	311 65.47	112 23.58	55 10.32	429 80.49	49 9.19	1909
App/Depart	404	/	360	497	/	496	475	/	468	533	/	585	
NOON Pea	ak Hr Be	gins at:	1230	PM									
PEAK Volumes Approach %	34 15.32	131 59.01		40 14.87	172 63.94	57 21.19	26 11.02	147 62.29	63 26.69	25 9.09	221 80.36	29 10.55	1002
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.895	l		0.909	I		0.922	I		0.917	I	0.931
Control: Comment 1: Comment 2: Hours:	SIGNAL 0 0 AM NOON PM	FRC 700 1130	DM: AM AM PM	130	D: AM PM PM								

Intersection Turning Movement



N-S STREET:	CABLE S	ST.			DATE:	08/05/1	0		LOCA	TION:	SAN DIE	GO	
E-W STREET:	NEWPO	RT AVE.			DAY:	THURSE	YAQ		PROJ	ECT#	IC 0177	-10	
	NO	RTHBOI	JND	SO	UTHBOL	JND	EA	ASTBOU	ND	W	ESTBOU	ND	
LANES:	NL O	NT 1	NR 0	SL 0	ST 1	SR 0	EL 0	ET 1	ER 0	WL 0	WT 1	WR 0	TOTAL
1:00 PM 1:15 PM 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 2:00 PM 2:15 PM 2:30 PM 2:45 PM 3:00 PM 3:15 PM 3:30 PM 3:45 PM 4:00 PM 4:15 PM 4:30 PM 4:45 PM 5:00 PM 5:15 PM 5:30 PM 5:45 PM 6:00 PM	11 3 2 5 7	49 53 56 42 46	15 19 8 13 10	2 3 5 4 3	50 34 35 31 36	5 5 14 15 4	7 3 3 1 2	34 33 39 47 32	15 9 10 9 14	8 6 4 8 7	44 35 38 31 38	4 4 4 5 4	244 207 218 211 203
6:00 PM 6:15 PM	3	46 43	10 8	3 6	36 38	4 12	2 6	32 37	14 11	4	38 26	4 5	203 199
6:30 PM	6	45	28	1	23	6	0	38	6	6	40	8	207
6:45 PM	9	41	21	5	43	11	4	42	6	7	36	7	232
TOTAL	NL	NT	NR	SL	ST	SR	EL	ΕT	ER	WL	WT	WR	TOTAL
Volumes	46	375	122	29	290	72	26	302	80	50	288	41	1721
Approach %	8.47	69.06	22.47	7.42	74.17	18.41	6.37	74.02	19.61	13.19	75.99	10.82	
App/Depart	543	/	442	391	/	420	408	/	453	379	/	406	
PM Pe	ak Hr Beç	gins at:	500	PM									
PEAK Volumes Approach %	21 7.61	200 72.46	55 19.93	14 6.90	150 73.89	39 19.21	14 6.67	153 72.86	43 20.48	26 13.61	148 77.49	17 8.90	880
PEAK HR. FACTOR:	I	0.920	l		0.890	I		0.921	I		0.853	I	0.902
CONTROL: COMMENT 1: COMMENT 2:	SIGNAL 0 0												



Location: CABLE ST. & NEWPORT AVE.

_											
		PEDESTRIANS									
Γ	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG							
7:00 AM	3	1	0	1							
7:15 AM	15	4	5	6							
7:30 AM	10	5	5	0							
7:45 AM	7	0	0	1							
8:00 AM	10	8	1	5							
8:15 AM	1	4	9	1							
8:30 AM	10	8	0	3							
8:45 AM	6	6	3	2							
TOTAL	62	36	23	19							

		PEDES	TRIANS	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
11:30 AM	36	42	20	12
11:45 AM	26	53	28	15
12:00 PM	32	40	32	27
12:15 PM	10	45	28	5
12:30 PM	30	51	31	12
12:45 PM	39	62	26	14
1:00 PM	36	63	19	18
1:15 PM	36	47	23	16
TOTAL	245	403	207	110

		PEDES	TRIANS	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
5:00 PM	35	40	20	13
5:15 PM	28	83	20	29
5:30 PM	36	45	19	20
5:45 PM	43	31	24	21
6:00 PM	21	53	39	10
6:15 PM	36	64	14	10
6:30 PM	37	32	24	11
6:45 PM	22	52	28	21
TOTAL	258	400	188	135

		uuy					
	08/05/10				ity: SAN DIEGO		
Day:	THURSDA	Y		Project	t#: IC 0177-10		
Г		BICY	CLES				
-	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG			
7:00 AM	0	1	1	1			
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0			
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0		North Leg	
7:45 AM	0	0	2	3		-	
8:00 AM	0	1	0	0			
8:15 AM	1	1	1	5			
8:30 AM	5	1	0	2			
8:45 AM	3	1	1	2			
TOTAL	9	5	5	13	West Leg		East Leg
		•					
			CLES				
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG			
11:30 AM	5	2	4	6		South Leg	
11:45 AM	3	0	1	2			
12:00 PM	2	2	0	2			
12:15 PM	0	1	4	4			
12:30 PM	3	3	5	4			
12:45 PM	1	4	4	3			
1:00 PM	2	1	2	4			
1:15 PM	1	3	1	3			
TOTAL	17	16	21	28	L		
-					1		
			CLES				
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG			
5:00 PM	2	4	3	6			
5:15 PM	4	1	4	3			
5:30 PM	1	3	5	6			
5:45 PM	2	0	6	1			
6:00 PM	2	3	4	4			
6:15 PM	2	7	2	3			
6:30 PM	1 5	2	2	5			
6:45 PM TOTAL	5 19	1 21	4 30	5 33			
TOTAL	19	21	- 30	- 33	l		



Appendix J Intersection LOS Worksheets

Ocean Beach CP 1: I-8 WB off-ram	-	et Clif	fs Blvd	Year 2030 Buildout Timing Plan: AM Peak
	4	Ť	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ኘ	<u></u>	<u></u>	
Volume (vph)	2005	1505	940	
Turn Type				
Protected Phases	4	2		
Permitted Phases			6	
Detector Phase	4	2	6	
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	30.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	61.0	49.0	49.0	
Total Split (%)		44.5%	44.5%	
Yellow Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	54.0	42.0	42.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.49	0.38	0.38	
v/c Ratio	1.30	1.21	0.76	
Control Delay	166.5	133.8	34.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	166.5	133.8	34.0	
LOS	F	F	С	
Approach Delay	166.5	133.8	34.0	
Approach LOS	F	F	С	
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 110				
Actuated Cycle Length: 1				
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference	d to phase 2	NBT and	6:SBT, Sta	rt of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 150				
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.30	407.0			
Intersection Signal Delay:		1/		Intersection LOS: F
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation 110.9	/0		ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15				
Splits and Phases: 1: I-	8 WB off-ran	n & Sun	set Cliffs Rh	/d
		ip a oun	Sot Onno Di	
ø2				🖌 ø4
49 s				61 s
J				
🔻 ø6				

1: I-8 WB off-ramp	& Sunse	et Cliff:	s Blvd				Timing Plan:	AM Pe
	4	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	٦Y		^			#†		
Volume (vph)	2005	15	1505	0	0	940		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	7.0		7.0			7.0		
Lane Util. Factor	0.97		0.95			0.95		
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00		1.00			1.00		
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00		1.00			1.00		
Frt	1.00		1.00			1.00		
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00			1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	3439		3539			3539		
Flt Permitted	0.95		1.00			1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	3439		3539			3539		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Adj. Flow (vph)	2179	16	1636	0	0	1022		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	2194	0	1636	0	0	1022		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		1						
Turn Type								
Protected Phases	4		2					
Permitted Phases						6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	54.0		42.0			42.0		
Effective Green, q (s)	54.0		42.0			42.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.49		0.38			0.38		
Clearance Time (s)	7.0		7.0			7.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1688		1351			1351		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.64		c0.46					
v/s Ratio Perm						0.29		
v/c Ratio	1.30		1.21			0.76		
Uniform Delay, d1	28.0		34.0			29.6		
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00			1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	139.5		102.0			4.0		
Delay (s)	167.5		136.0			33.5		
Level of Service	F		F			С		
Approach Delay (s)	167.5		136.0			33.5		
Approach LOS	F		F			С		
Intersection Summary								
HCM Average Control Dela	v		128.7	Н	CM Level	of Service	F	
HCM Volume to Capacity ra			1.26		2010			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	S	um of lost	time (s)	14.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		110.9%			of Service	Н	
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 20.010			

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

	-	T.					
Lane Group	EBT	NBT	ø4	ø6	ø8		
Lane Configurations	•	† †					
/olume (vph)	1065	1090					
Turn Type							
Protected Phases	48	2	4	6	8		
Permitted Phases							
Detector Phase	4	2					
Switch Phase	8						
Minimum Initial (s)		10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0		
Vinimum Split (s)		27.0	22.5	21.5	22.5		
Total Split (s)	108.0	56.0	54.0	56.0	54.0		
Total Split (%)	98.2%	50.9%	49%	51%	49%		
Yellow Time (s)		5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5		
All-Red Time (s)		2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0					
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	7.0					
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?		~					
Recall Mode	10.5	C-Max	None	C-Min	None		
Act Effct Green (s)	48.5	49.0					
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.45					
v/c Ratio	1.24	0.75					
Control Delay	148.2	29.2					
Queue Delay	187.0	0.0 29.2					
Total Delay LOS	335.1 F	29.2 C					
Approach Delay	335.1	29.2					
Approach LOS	555.1 F	23.2 C					
	'	0					
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 110							
Actuated Cycle Length: 11							
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	:NBT and	6:, Start	of Yellow			
Natural Cycle: 150							
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.41							
Intersection Signal Delay:					tersection L(
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 96.6%)		IC	CU Level of S	ervice F	
Analysis Period (min) 15							
Splits and Phases: 2: Su	inset Cliffs I		EB on ra	mn			
#2				iiiip	#2 #3		
*							
ø2						► ø4	
56 s					54 s		
#3					#2		
					→ ø8		
56 s					54 s		

	٠		′		t	*	•	t	*	1	1	1
	-	-	•	¥			7	I	1		*	•
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		↑										
Volume (vph)	0	1065	0	0	0	0	0	1090	0	0	0	(
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	16	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	1
Total Lost time (s)		5.5						7.0				
Lane Util. Factor		1.00						0.95				
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00						1.00				
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00						1.00				
Frt		1.00						1.00				
Fit Protected		1.00						1.00				
Satd. Flow (prot)		2111						3539				
Flt Permitted		1.00						1.00				
Satd. Flow (perm)		2111						3539				
Peak-hour factor. PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
	0.92	1158	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	1185	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	1185	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	U	1158	U	U	U	U	U	1165	0	0	0	
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												
Turn Type								•				
Protected Phases		48						2				
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.5						49.0				
Effective Green, g (s)		48.5						49.0				
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.44						0.45				
Clearance Time (s)								7.0				
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0				
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		931						1576				
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.55						c0.33				
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio		1.24						0.75				
Uniform Delay, d1		30.8						25.4				
Progression Factor		1.00						1.00				
Incremental Delay, d2		118.8						3.4				
Delay (s)		149.6						28.8				
Level of Service		F						C				
Approach Delay (s)		149.6			0.0			28.8			0.0	
Approach LOS		F			A			C			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			88.5	H	CM Level	of Service)		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			1.00									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			12.5			
			96.6%			of Service			- <u>-</u> .0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1 <u> </u>											
Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min)	า		90.0% 15	IU	U Level (of Service			F			

3: Sunset Cliffs B	lvd & Nin	nitz Blv	/d			Timing Plan: AM Peak
	-	\rightarrow	Ŧ			
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	SBT	ø2	ø8	
ane Configurations	↑↑	1	- ††			
/olume (vph)	1965	15	2050			
Turn Type		Perm				
Protected Phases	4		6	2	8	
Permitted Phases		4				
Detector Phase	4	4	6			
Switch Phase	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		
Vinimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	21.5	27.0	22.5	
Total Split (s)	54.0	54.0	56.0	56.0	54.0	
Total Split (%)	49.1%	49.1%	50.9%	51%	49%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0 0.0	1.0 0.0	2.0 0.0	2.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.5	7.0			
Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Min	C-Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	48.5	48.5	49.0	C-IVIAX	None	
Actuated q/C Ratio	0.44	0.44	0.45			
v/c Ratio	1.37	0.44	1.41			
Control Delay	198.5	16.9	217.7			
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Delay	198.5	16.9	217.7			
LOS	130.5 F	10.3 B	217.7 F			
Approach Delay	197.2	U	217.7			
Approach LOS	F		217.7 F			
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 110						
Actuated Cycle Length: 1				<i></i>		
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference	d to phase 2	INB I and	6:, Start	of Yellow		
Natural Cycle: 150	l'a - t l					
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.41	207.6			le le	tersectior	100 5
Intersection Signal Delay Intersection Capacity Utili		0/				f Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15	2811011 121.4	/0		IC	O Level C	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 3: 5	Sunset Cliffs I	Rivel & Nii	nitz Blud			
#2					#2	#3
*					# 2	
ø2						→ ø4
56 s					54 s	
#3					#2	
						ø8
56 s					54 s	

	٠		\mathbf{x}	~	+	•	•	ŧ	*	1	T	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	▼ WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	▼ SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations	EDL	<u></u>		VVDL	VVDI	WDR	INDL	INDI	INDIC	JDL	1000	JOD
Volume (vph)	0	1965	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2050	(
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	1300	1300	10	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	12
Total Lost time (s)	12	5.5	5.5	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	7.0	14
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00								0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85								1.00	
Fit Protected		1.00	1.00								1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3539	1478								3539	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00								1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3539	1478								3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	2136	16	0	0	0.02	0.02	0	0.02	0	2228	(
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	1	Ő	Ő	ů 0	Ő	ů 0	Ő	0	0	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	2136	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2228	(
Turn Type			Perm									
Protected Phases		4	1 Unit								6	
Permitted Phases			4								, in the second s	
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.5	48.5								49.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		48.5	48.5								49.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.44	0.44								0.45	
Clearance Time (s)		5.5	5.5								7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0								3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1560	652								1576	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.60									c0.63	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01									
v/c Ratio		1.37	0.02								1.41	
Uniform Delay, d1		30.8	17.4								30.5	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00								1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		170.3	0.0								190.0	
Delay (s)		201.1	17.4								220.5	
Level of Service		F	В								F	
Approach Delay (s)		199.7			0.0			0.0			220.5	
Approach LOS		F			А			А			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			210.3	H	CM Level	of Servic	e		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			1.39									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0		um of lost				12.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		121.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Н			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

4: W Point Loma E		unset	Cliffs E	Blvd						Timing	g Plan: AM Peak
	٦	-	1	-	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ľ	\$	ľ	1	1	ľ	4Î	ľ	1	1	
Volume (vph)	845	115	55	70	105	5	905	20	765	185	
Turn Type	Split		Split		Perm	Perm		Perm		pm+ov	
Protected Phases	4	4	3	3			2		6	4	
Permitted Phases					3	2		6		6	
Detector Phase	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	6	6	4	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.9	24.9	26.9	26.9	26.9	26.5	26.5	27.4	27.4	24.9	
Total Split (s)	27.0	27.0	26.9	26.9	26.9	36.1	36.1	36.1	36.1	27.0	
Total Split (%)	30.0%	30.0%	29.9%	29.9%	29.9%	40.1%	40.1%	40.1%	40.1%	30.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	4.9	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead					Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	22.1	22.1	12.2	12.2	12.2	40.4	40.4	40.5	40.5	63.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.70	
v/c Ratio	1.36	1.41	0.27	0.30	0.60	0.06	1.37	0.28	0.99	0.21	
Control Delay	207.7	230.2	35.1	35.6	43.1	25.2	195.1	32.1	57.3	1.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	207.7	230.2	35.1	35.6	43.1	25.2	195.1	32.1	57.3	1.4	
LOS	F	F	D	D	D	С	F	С	E	А	
Approach Delay		219.0		38.9			194.3		46.2		
Approach LOS		F		D			F		D		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 90											
Actuated Cycle Length: 90											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		·NRTL ar	d 6.SBTI	Start of	Green						
Natural Cycle: 150	10 01030 2			., otart or	Oreen						
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.41	orainatoa										
Intersection Signal Delay:	144 4			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: F					
Intersection Capacity Utiliz						of Service	۶F				
Analysis Period (min) 15											
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
Splits and Phases: 4: W	Point Loma	Blvd & S	Sunset Cli	ffs Blvd							
								些			
ø2				🕈 🔊				¥+	ø4		
36.1 s				26.9 s				27 s			
\$ ⊳ ø6											
▼ 100											

	٨	_	<	1	t	*	*	t	*	6	T	1
			•	•			1	•	/	-	•	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations	<u></u>	4	40	<u> </u>	<u></u>	1	<u> </u>	4		٦	†	j
Volume (vph)	845	115	10	55	70	105	5	905	35	20	765	18
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Lane Width	10	12	12	10	12	10	10	12	12	10	12	1
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5		5.4	5.4	4
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.0
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.9
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.0
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	0.8
Fit Protected	0.95	0.96		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.0 130
Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted	1569	1530 0.96		1652	1863 1.00	1302 1.00	1652 0.10	1666 1.00		1652 0.10	1863 1.00	130
	0.95			0.95								
Satd. Flow (perm)	1569	1530	0.00	1652	1863	1302	172	1666	0.00	172	1863	130
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	918	125	11	60	76	114	5	984	38	22	832	20
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	0	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	523	530	0	60	76	101	5	1021	0	22	832	14
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			5			6	6					
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		0	1			1		0	4			
Parking (#/hr)	0.111	0		0.111		0		0				
Turn Type	Split			Split		Perm	Perm			Perm		pm+
Protected Phases	4	4		3	3			2		<u>^</u>	6	
Permitted Phases	00 /	00 (10.0	10.0	3	2	10.1		6	10 5	
Actuated Green, G (s)	22.1	22.1		12.2	12.2	12.2	40.4	40.4		40.5	40.5	62
Effective Green, g (s)	22.1	22.1		12.2	12.2	12.2	40.4	40.4		40.5	40.5	62
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25		0.14	0.14	0.14	0.45	0.45		0.45	0.45	0.7
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5		5.4	5.4	4
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	385	376		224	253	176	77	748		77	838	97
v/s Ratio Prot	0.33	c0.35		0.04	0.04			c0.61		0.40	0.45	0.0
v/s Ratio Perm	4.00			0.07		c0.08	0.03	4.00		0.13		0.0
v/c Ratio	1.36	1.41		0.27	0.30	0.57	0.06	1.36		0.29	0.99	0.1
Uniform Delay, d1	34.0	34.0		34.9	35.1	36.5	14.1	24.8		15.6	24.6	4
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.26	0.99		1.00	1.00	1.0
Incremental Delay, d2	177.4	199.8		0.2	0.2	2.8	0.9	169.2		9.1	29.4	0
Delay (s)	211.4	233.8		35.1	35.3	39.3	18.6	193.6		24.7	54.0	4
Level of Service	F	F		D	D	D	В	F		С	D	
Approach Delay (s)		222.6 F			37.1			192.8 F			44.0	
Approach LOS		F			D			F			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	у		144.2	H	CM Level	of Service	Э		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		1.25									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0		um of lost				15.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		98.4%	IC	U Level of	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

5: Voltaire St & Su			<u> </u>	+	•	t	1	Ļ	Timing Plan: AM Pea
	_	-	Ŧ		7	I	-	•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	f,	ሻ	f,	ሻ	f)	- ሽ	₽	
Volume (vph)	25	120	85	100	15	855	70	680	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.0	26.0	22.0	22.0	27.0	27.0	28.0	28.0	
Total Split (s)	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	64.0	64.0	64.0	64.0	
Total Split (%)	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%	71.1%	71.1%	71.1%	71.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.1	66.9	66.9	66.9	66.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.73	0.84	0.51	0.04	0.87	0.37	0.60	
Control Delay	31.8	43.7	85.7	34.9	4.7	19.7	4.3	3.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	
Total Delay	31.8	43.7	85.7	34.9	4.7	19.7	4.3	5.0	
LOS	C	D	F	С	А	В	A	A	
Approach Delay		42.3		54.5		19.5		5.0	
Approach LOS		. <u></u> 0		D		B		A	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90									
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		NBTI an	d 6.SBTI	Start of	Green				
Natural Cycle: 90	. to pridoo 2			., 500100	0.0011				
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87									
Intersection Signal Delay:	20.3			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: C			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz					CU Level				
Analysis Period (min) 15					00 2010.	0.00.00			
, , ,									
Splits and Phases: 5: Ve	oltaire St & S	Sunset Cl	iffs Blvd						
a2								ه 📥	1
64 s								26 s	
No.								4-	
▼ ø6								🛒 Ø	3

	≯		/		t	•	•	Ť	*	1	1	7
	-	-	*	*)	•		· ·	*	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations	٦.	f,		<u> </u>	4		<u></u>	4		<u></u>	f,	
Volume (vph)	25	120	75	85	100	35	15	855	120	70	680	1
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Lane Width	10	12	12	10	12	12	10	12	12	10	12	1
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00 1.00	0.99 1.00		1.00 1.00	1.00		1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes Frt		0.94			0.96			0.98			1.00	
Fit Protected	1.00	1.00		1.00 0.95	1.00		1.00 0.95	1.00		1.00 0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1565		1649	1611		1652	1641		1652	1672	
Flt Permitted	0.55	1.00		0.38	1.00		0.31	1.00		0.16	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	950	1565		654	1611		538	1641		280	1672	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	27	130	82	92	109	38	16	929	130	76	739	0.5
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	27	02	92	109	0	0	929	0	0	1 1	1
Lane Group Flow (vph)	27	185	0	92	132	0	16	1055	0	76	749	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	21	100	1	1	102	U	10	1000	0	10	145	
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.1	15.1		15.1	15.1		66.9	66.9		66.9	66.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	15.1	15.1		15.1	15.1		66.9	66.9		66.9	66.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.17		0.17	0.17		0.74	0.74		0.74	0.74	
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		3.0	3.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	159	263		110	270		400	1220		208	1243	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.12			0.08			c0.64			0.45	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03			c0.14			0.03			0.27		
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.70		0.84	0.49		0.04	0.86		0.37	0.60	
Uniform Delay, d1	32.1	35.3		36.3	34.0		3.1	8.3		4.1	5.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.37	0.44	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	6.7		39.6	1.4		0.2	8.3		1.7	0.7	
Delay (s)	32.3	42.1		75.8	35.3		3.2	16.6		3.2	3.1	
Level of Service	С	D		E	D		A	В		A	A	
Approach Delay (s)		41.0			50.9			16.4			3.1	
Approach LOS		D			D			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.7	H	CM Level	of Servic	е		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.86									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0		um of lost				8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		83.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

6: Santa Monica A	۶		_	-	•	t	1	L	•
	-		▼ MDI	WDT	NDI				
Lane Group Lane Configurations	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Volume (vph)	180	₩ 60	יי 10	₽ 55	10 10	₽ 575	120	₽ 580	
Turn Type	Perm	00	Perm	55	Perm	575	Perm	500	
Protected Phases	reiiii	4	Feilii	8	Feilii	2	Feilii	6	
Permitted Phases	4	4	8	0	2	2	6	0	
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	4	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	16.0	21.0	21.0	
			22.9			22.9	21.0		
Minimum Split (s)	25.9 26.0	25.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9 44.0		25.9	
Total Split (s)		26.0		26.0	44.0		44.0	44.0	
Total Split (%)	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	42.9	42.9	42.9	42.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.24	0.04	0.42	0.04	0.63	0.36	0.74	
Control Delay	43.5	15.7	18.6	10.8	4.8	9.8	11.3	16.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	43.5	15.7	18.6	10.8	4.8	10.0	11.3	16.2	
LOS	D	В	В	В	A	В	В	В	
Approach Delay		34.2		11.2		9.9		15.5	
Approach LOS		С		В		A		В	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 70									
Offset: 62 (89%), Reference	ed to phase	2:NBTL	and 6:SB	TL, Start	of Green				
Natural Cycle: 65									
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76									
Intersection Signal Delay:					ntersectio				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 93.4%			10	CU Level	of Service	e F		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 6: Sa	anta Monica	Ave & Si	unset Clif	is Biva					
™ ø2							- 4	z4	
44 s							26 s		
<u></u>							+-		
♥ [™] ø6							- ¥ - i	7 8	

2/16/2012 Page 11

	٠		/		ŧ	*	*	t	*	1	1	1
	-		•	•)	•	1		•	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations	٦.	4		<u></u>	4Î		ሻ	4Î		٦.	4î 🚽	
Volume (vph)	180	60	30	10	55	120	10	575	20	120	580	10
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.94		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.95	1.00		0.93	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1683	1540		1649	1416		1752	1665		1751	1625	
Flt Permitted	0.59	1.00		0.69	1.00		0.26	1.00		0.32	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1044	1540		1204	1416		478	1665		587	1625	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	196	65	33	11	60	130	11	625	22	130	630	10
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	25	0	0	98	0	0	2	0	0	8	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	196	73	0	11	92	0	11	645	0	130	731	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	34		40	40		34	25	0.0	17	17		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)						1	20					-
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases	1 Unit	4		1 Unit	8		1 Unit	2		1 Unit	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	U		2	-		6	U	
Actuated Green, G (s)	17.3	17.3		17.3	17.3		42.9	42.9		42.9	42.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	17.3	17.3		17.3	17.3		42.9	42.9		42.9	42.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25		0.61	0.61		0.61	0.61	
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	258	381		298	350		293	1020		360	996	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.40	0.05		0.04	0.07			0.39			c0.45	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.19	0.40		0.01			0.02			0.22	0 70	
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.19		0.04	0.26		0.04	0.63		0.36	0.73	
Uniform Delay, d1	24.4	20.8		20.0	21.2		5.4	8.6		6.7	9.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.68	0.73		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	10.8	0.1		0.0	0.1		0.2	2.7		2.8	4.8	
Delay (s)	35.2	20.9		20.0	21.4		3.9	8.9		9.5	14.3	
Level of Service	D	С		С	С		A	A		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		30.5			21.3			8.8			13.6	
Approach LOS		С			С			A			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			15.3	H	CM Level	of Service	e		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio	0		0.74						_			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		93.4%			of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

7: Newport Ave &			nvu						Timing Plan: AM Pea
	≯	-	1	-	•	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	4	<u>۲</u>	4Î	ሻ	4	ሻ	4Î	
Volume (vph)	65	65	35	100	40	470	60	530	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	18.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.9	25.9	25.9	25.9	22.9	22.9	23.9	23.9	
Total Split (s)	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	43.8	43.8	43.8	43.8	
Total Split (%)	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	15.1	15.1	15.1	15.1	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	
v/c Ratio	0.43	0.28	0.14	0.65	0.11	0.50	0.13	0.61	
Control Delay	26.0	13.1	22.8	23.0	9.1	11.2	1.8	3.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	
Total Delay	26.0	13.1	22.8	23.0	9.1	11.2	1.8	3.4	
LOS	С	В	С	С	A	В	A	A	
Approach Delay		18.3		23.0		11.0		3.3	
Approach LOS		В		С		В		A	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 70)								
Offset: 1 (1%), Reference	d to phase 2	NBTL an	d 6:SBTL	., Start of	Green				
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Co	pordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65									
Intersection Signal Delay:					ntersectio				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 88.5%			10	CU Level	of Service	θE		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 7: N	ewport Ave	& Sunset	Cliffs Blv	d					
							1		
[™] ø2								14	
43.8 s							26.2 s		
R. C.									

2/16/2012 Page 13

	≯	1	/	1	t	*	*	Ť	*	6	1	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	▼ WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	▼ SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		1. 1.	EDR	VVDL	100 Te	WDR		1	INDIN		301 \$	JDN
Volume (vph)	5	₽ 65	30	35	₽	140	40	₽ 470	20	60	₽ 530	70
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	35 1900	1900	140	40 1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9	1900	4.9	4.9	1900	4.9	4.9	1900	4.9	4.9	1900
		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00		1.00		
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00 1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes Frt	0.98 1.00	1.00 0.95		0.98 1.00	0.91		1.00 1.00	0.99		0.99 1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1734	1575		1741	1482		1762	1663		1752	1640	
Fit Permitted	0.42	1.00		0.69	1.00		0.33	1.00		0.41	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	773	1575	0.00	1264	1482	0.00	615	1663	0.00	753	1640	0.00
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	71	71	33	38	109	152	43	511	22	65	576	76
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	26	0	0	81	0	0	2	0	0	5	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	71	78	0	38	180	0	43	531	0	65	647	(
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	16		9	9		16	8		13	13		8
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3			1			2			1
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.1	15.1		15.1	15.1		45.1	45.1		45.1	45.1	
Effective Green, g (s)	15.1	15.1		15.1	15.1		45.1	45.1		45.1	45.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22		0.22	0.22		0.64	0.64		0.64	0.64	
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	167	340		273	320		396	1071		485	1057	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.05			c0.12			0.32			c0.39	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.09			0.03			0.07			0.09		
v/c Ratio	0.43	0.23		0.14	0.56		0.11	0.50		0.13	0.61	
Uniform Delay, d1	23.7	22.7		22.2	24.5		4.8	6.5		4.8	7.3	
Progression Factor	0.76	0.69		1.00	1.00		1.52	1.35		0.23	0.14	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.6	0.1		0.1	1.4		0.5	1.4		0.4	2.0	
Delay (s)	18.6	15.7		22.3	25.9		7.7	10.2		1.6	3.0	
Level of Service	В	В		С	С		Α	В		Α	Α	
Approach Delay (s)		16.9			25.4			10.1			2.9	
Approach LOS		В			С			В			Α	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			10.4	H	CM Level	of Servic	е		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.60									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		88.5%			of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

8: Narragansett A	٦	-	1	+	•	t	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	▼ SBT	
Lane Configurations		4	VVDL	4	NDL	4	ODL	4	
Volume (vph)	50	70	45	60	15	500	35	490	
Turn Type	Perm	10	Perm	00	Perm	500	Perm	400	
Protected Phases	I CIIII	4	I CIIII	8	I CIIII	2	I CIIII	6	
Permitted Phases	4	т	8	0	2	2	6	0	
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	7	т	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	16.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	48.0	48.0	48.0	48.0	
Total Split (%)	31.4%	31.4%	31.4%	31.4%	68.6%	68.6%	68.6%	68.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	31.4 %	31.4 %	31.4 /0	31.4 %	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	NOTIC	15.3	NUNC	15.1	O-IVIAX	49.9	O-IVIUX	49.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.22		0.22		0.71		0.71	
v/c Ratio		0.53		0.51		0.52		0.53	
Control Delay		29.3		26.7		8.5		3.6	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		29.3		26.7		8.5		3.6	
LOS		20.0 C		20.7 C		0.5 A		0.0 A	
Approach Delay		29.3		26.7		8.5		3.6	
Approach LOS		20.0 C		C		A		A	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 70)								
Offset: 14 (20%), Reference		2:NBTL	and 6:SB	TL, Start	of Green				
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.53									
Intersection Signal Delay:	10.6			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 64.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	еC		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 8: N	arragansett	Ave & Su	nset Cliffe	s Blvd					
	anaganooll			, Divu					
ø2								→ ø4	
48 s								22 s	
EK.									

2/16/2012 Page 15

8: Narragansett Ave			-								-	
	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	*	1	†	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		4			\$			4			4	
Volume (vph)	50	70	25	45	60	40	15	500	40	35	490	20
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99			1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99			1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.98			0.96			0.99			0.99	
Flt Protected		0.98			0.98			1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1577			1549			1651			1659	
Flt Permitted		0.81			0.84			0.98			0.95	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1304			1320			1625			1573	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	54	76	27	49	65	43	16	543	43	38	533	2
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	12	0	0	21	0	0	3	0	0	2	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	145	0	0	136	0	0	599	0	0	591	1
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		26	26		11	10		15	15		1
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1						2			
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		12.3			12.3			47.9			47.9	
Effective Green, g (s)		12.3			12.3			47.9			47.9	
Actuated q/C Ratio		0.18			0.18			0.68			0.68	
Clearance Time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		229			232			1112			1076	
v/s Ratio Prot		225			202			1112			1070	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.11			0.10			0.37			c0.38	
v/c Ratio		0.64			0.58			0.54			0.55	
Uniform Delay, d1		26.8			26.5			5.5			5.6	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			0.30	
Incremental Delay, d2		4.2			2.4			1.9			1.7	
Delay (s)		31.0			28.9			7.4			3.4	
Level of Service		C			20.5 C			A			A	
Approach Delay (s)		31.0			28.9			7.4			3.4	
Approach LOS		C			20.5 C			A			A	
		U			U			Л			A	
Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay	_		10.5	ш	CMLovel	of Service	<u> </u>		В			
HCM Average Control Delay HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.57	Н	CIVI LEVEI	UI SEIVICE	,		D			
			70.0	0	um of lost	time (c)			9.8			
Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization			70.0 64.1%			of Service			9.8 C			
	1		15	IC	O Level (J SELVICE			U			
Analysis Period (min)												

c Critical Lane Group

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

	٠	+	*	4	Ļ	*	•	Ť	*	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٢	† †	1	٦	1	1	۲	† †	1	۲	đħ	
Volume (vph)	455	285	120	235	180	325	60	1535	115	100	1375	
Turn Type	Prot		Free	Prot		Perm	Prot		Free	Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			Free			8			Free			
Detector Phase	7	4		3	8	8	1	6		5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	10.0		4.0	10.0	10.0	4.0	10.0		4.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.4	30.9		8.4	32.5	32.5	8.4	27.0		8.4	27.0	
Total Split (s)	40.0	32.4	0.0	40.1	32.5	32.5	10.0	64.5	0.0	13.0	67.5	
Total Split (%)	26.7%	21.6%	0.0%	26.7%	21.7%	21.7%	6.7%	43.0%	0.0%	8.7%	45.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.4	3.9		3.4	4.5	4.5	3.4	5.0		3.4	5.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0	4.0	4.4	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?		· J			· J	- 5		- 5			· J	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None	None	None	Max		None	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	35.6	35.8	150.0	27.4	27.0	27.0	5.6	58.5	150.0	8.6	61.5	
Actuated q/C Ratio	0.24	0.24	1.00	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.04	0.39	1.00	0.06	0.41	
v/c Ratio	1.26	0.37	0.09	0.84	0.59	1.07	1.05	1.21	0.09	1.15	1.08	
Control Delay	182.8	50.6	0.1	82.7	64.3	110.9	194.2	140.8	0.1	196.6	89.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	182.8	50.6	0.1	82.7	64.3	110.9	194.2	140.8	0.1	196.6	89.5	
LOS	F	D	А	F	E	F	F	F	А	F	F	
Approach Delay		113.6			90.6			133.2			96.5	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 150												
Actuated Cycle Length: 150												
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Unc	oordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.26												
Intersection Signal Delay: 11		.,			ntersectio							
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion 102.0	%		10	CU Level	of Service	G					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 9: W F	oint Loma	Blvd & N	imitz Blv	d								
sol ↓ o2					4	6 3				► ø4		
10 s 67.5 s					40.				32.	- ø4 4s		
					1				4	-		
▶ø5 ø6						ø7			1	ø8		

9: W Point Loma B												
	٦	→	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	1	†	1	-	ŧ	*
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SE
Lane Configurations	٦	^	1	۲	•	1	٦	^	1	۲.	≜ t}	
Volume (vph)	455	285	120	235	180	325	60	1535	115	100	1375	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	19
Lane Width	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	
Total Lost time (s)	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0	4.0	4.4	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	3539	1455	1652	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3515	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	3539	1455	1652	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3515	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.
Adj. Flow (vph)	495	310	130	255	196	353	65	1668	125	109	1495	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	2	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	495	310	130	255	196	282	65	1668	125	109	1553	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			10			6			1			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3			1			1			
Parking (#/hr)												
Turn Type	Prot		Free	Prot		Perm	Prot		Free	Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			Free			8			Free			
Actuated Green, G (s)	35.6	35.8	150.0	27.4	27.0	27.0	5.6	58.5	150.0	8.6	61.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	35.6	35.8	150.0	27.4	27.0	27.0	5.6	58.5	150.0	8.6	61.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.24	1.00	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.04	0.39	1.00	0.06	0.41	
Clearance Time (s)	4.4	4.9		4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0		4.4	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	4.9		2.0	3.7	3.7	2.0	3.7		2.0	5.8	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	392	845	1455	302	335	260	62	1380	1459	95	1441	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.30	0.09		0.15	0.11		0.04	c0.47		c0.07	c0.44	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.09			c0.20			0.09			
v/c Ratio	1.26	0.37	0.09	0.84	0.59	1.08	1.05	1.21	0.09	1.15	1.08	
Uniform Delay, d1	57.2	47.6	0.0	59.2	56.4	61.5	72.2	45.8	0.0	70.7	44.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	137.3	0.5	0.1	18.3	2.9	79.8	128.4	100.9	0.1	137.5	47.7	
Delay (s)	194.5	48.2	0.1	77.5	59.3	141.3	200.6	146.7	0.1	208.2	92.0	
Level of Service	F	D	A	E	E	F	F	F	A	F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		118.9			101.1			138.7			99.6	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	y		117.1	H	CM Level	of Servic	ce		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra			1.25									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			26.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		102.0%	IC	U Level of	of Service)		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

	≯	→	1	-	1	1	1	Ŧ	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations		4		4		4		4	
/olume (vph)	40	165	90	235	100	335	15	85	
Furn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		6		2		4		4	
Permitted Phases	6		2		4		4		
Detector Phase	6	6	2	2	4	4	4	4	
Switch Phase									
/linimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
/linimum Split (s)	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	
Total Split (s)	27.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	
Fotal Split (%)	49.1%	49.1%	49.1%	49.1%	50.9%	50.9%	50.9%	50.9%	
fellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
_ead/Lag									
ead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		22.1		22.1		21.9		21.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.41		0.41		0.41		0.41	
//c Ratio		0.43		0.73		0.93		0.21	
Control Delay		13.7		22.6		41.0		9.7	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		13.7		22.6		41.0		9.7	
OS		В		C		D		A	
Approach Delay		13.7		22.6		41.0		9.7	
Approach LOS		В		С		D		А	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 55									
Actuated Cycle Length: 53.9									
Vatural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	ordinated	l							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93									
ntersection Signal Delay: 27	.3			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: C			
ntersection Capacity Utilizati	ion 77.1%			10	CU Level	of Service	e D		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 10: Vol	taire St &	Ebers St							
-					₩.				
₹ ø2					₹1 @ 28 s	4			
4					20 %				
→ _{ø6}					1				

	≯	_	~	~	+	×	•	t	*	1	T	1
	-	FDT	•	•	MOT	-	1		/	0.01	▼ 0DT	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	10	4	10		4		100	4				
Volume (vph)	40	165	40	90	235	75	100	335	95	15	85	20
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99			0.99			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.98			0.97			0.98			0.98	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1616			1603			1611			1620	
Flt Permitted		0.89			0.87			0.91			0.92	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1454			1414			1479			1500	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	179	43	98	255	82	109	364	103	16	92	22
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	12	0	0	15	0	0	15	0	0	13	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	253	0	0	420	0	0	561	0	0	117	(
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	8		7	7		8	4		6	6		4
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			4			2			1			
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		6			2			4			4	
Permitted Phases	6			2			4			4		
Actuated Green, G (s)		22.1			22.1			21.9			21.9	
Effective Green, g (s)		22.1			22.1			21.9			21.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.41			0.41			0.41			0.41	
Clearance Time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.5			3.5			2.0			2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		597			581			602			611	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.17			c0.30			c0.38			0.08	
v/c Ratio		0.42			0.72			0.93			0.19	
Uniform Delay, d1		11.3			13.3			15.2			10.3	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.6			7.6			21.2			0.1	
Delay (s)		11.9			20.9			36.4			10.3	
Level of Service		B			20.0 C			D			B	
Approach Delay (s)		11.9			20.9			36.4			10.3	
Approach LOS		B			20.5 C			00.4 D			B	
					Ű						-	
Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay			24.6		CM Lovel	of Service	<u>,</u>		С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			24.0	п		UI SEIVICE	,		U			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			53.8	c.	um of lost	time (c)			9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	,		55.0 77.1%			of Service			9.0 D			
Analysis Period (min)			15	IC.	O Level (U			
c Critical Lane Group			13									
c Unitical Lane Group												

c Critical Lane Group

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

11: Newport Ave	<u> </u>			+	•	t	~	Ļ	0
	EBL		▼ MDI	WDT	NBL		0.01	•	
Lane Group Lane Configurations	EDL	EBT	WBL	WBT	INDL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Volume (vph)	30	50	40	50	55	110	25	110	
		50	40 Perm	50	Perm	110	Perm	110	
Turn Type Protected Phases	Perm	4	Perm	8	Perm	2	Perm	6	
Protected Phases Permitted Phases	4	4	8	ð	2	2	6	0	
Permitted Phases	4	4	8	0	2	0	6 6	6	
	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	45.0	45.0	44.0	44.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.9	22.9	23.0	23.0	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	38.0	38.0	38.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)	45.7%	45.7%	45.7%	45.7%	54.3%	54.3%	54.3%	54.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max		C-Max		None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		40.8		40.8		19.4		19.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.58		0.58		0.28		0.28	
v/c Ratio		0.15		0.16		0.56		0.42	
Control Delay		5.1		4.4		24.9		21.4	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		5.1		4.4		24.9		21.4	
LOS		A		A		С		С	
Approach Delay		5.1		4.4		24.9		21.4	
Approach LOS		A		A		С		С	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 7	0								
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference		:EBTL an	d 8:WBTI	L. Start of	Green				
Natural Cycle: 50				,					
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.56									
Intersection Signal Delay:	16.2			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B			
Intersection Capacity Utili		,		10	CU Level	of Service	e A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
.,									
Splits and Phases: 11:	Newport Ave	& Cable	St						
						<u> </u>			
ø2						→ @4 32 s			
38 s						52 S			
₽ ø6						🔽 ø8			
00						22.			

Flpb, ped/bikes 0.98 0.98 0.99 0.99 Frt 0.95 0.97 0.97 0.98 Flt Protected 0.99 0.98 0.99 0.99 Satd, Flow (prot) 1502 1524 1560 1589 Flt Permitted 0.93 0.90 0.89 0.93 Satd. Flow (perm) 1413 1388 1404 1490 Peak-hour factor, PHF 1.00 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 1.00 1.00 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 30 50 45 43 54 33 60 120 49 27 120 33 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 19 0 0 12 0 0 20 0 0 16 164 Lane Group Flow (vph) 106 118 0 209 0 0 0 0 0 0 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 27 40 33 27 33 26 40 26 Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 6 2 4 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 Turn Type Perm Perm Perm Perm Protected Phases 8 2 4 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 2 6 40.8 40.8 19.4 Actuated Green, G (s) 19.4 Effective Green, g (s) 40.8 40.8 19.4 19.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.58 0.58 0.28 0.28 Clearance Time (s) 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 824 809 389 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 413 v/s Ratio Prot v/s Ratio Perm 0.08 c0.08 c0.15 0.11 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.15 0.54 0.40 Uniform Delay, d1 6.6 6.7 21.5 20.6 Progression Factor 1.00 0.72 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.2 22.2 Delay (s) 6.9 5.1 20.8 Level of Service С С Α Α Approach Delay (s) 6.9 5.1 22.2 20.8 Approach LOS А А С С Intersection Summary HCM Level of Service HCM Average Control Delay 15.6 В HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.27 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 70.0 Sum of lost time (s) 9.8 Intersection Capacity Utilization 40.7% ICU Level of Service А 15

٠

WBR

30

1900

•

NBL

55

1900

NBT

4

110

1900

4.9

1.00

0.98

NBR

45

1900

25

1900

←

WBT

4

50

1900

4.9

1.00

0.97

WBL

40

1900

Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group

Ocean Beach CPU

Movement

Volume (vph)

Lane Configurations

Ideal Flow (vphpl)

Total Lost time (s)

Lane Util. Factor

Frpb, ped/bikes

11: Newport Ave & Cable St

٠

EBL

30

1900

EBT

4

50

1900

4.9

1.00

0.97

 \mathbf{i}

EBR

45

1900

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

2/16/2012 Page 22

Year 2030 Buildout

Timing Plan: AM Peak

SBT SRE

4

110

1900

1.00

0.99

4.9

30

1900

0

0

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

Page 21

2/16/2012

12: W Point Loma	Blvd & E	Ebers \$	St				Timing Plan: AM P
	+	*	4	Ļ	1	*	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	¢Î		٦	1	Y		
Volume (veh/h)	150	5	125	90	25	495	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	163	5	136	98	27	538	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)	793			1248			
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			168		535	166	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			168		535	166	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			90		94	39	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1409		457	879	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1			
Volume Total	168	136	98	565			
Volume Left	0	136	0	27			
Volume Right	5	0	0	538			
cSH	1700	1409	1700	841			
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.67			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	8	0	133			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.8	0.0	17.6			
Lane LOS		А		С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.6		17.6			
Approach LOS				С			
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			11.4				-
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		57.1%	IC	U Level c	of Service	В

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		¢			\$			ŧ	1		\$	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Volume (vph)	55	25	280	5	5	5	100	90	15	15	195	15
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.82	0.82	0.8
Hourly flow rate (vph)	65	29	329	7	7	7	118	106	18	18	238	18
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1							
Volume Total (vph)	424	22	224	18	274							
Volume Left (vph)	65	7	118	0	18							
Volume Right (vph)	329	7	0	18	18							
Hadj (s)	-0.40	-0.10	0.14	-0.57	0.01							
Departure Headway (s)	4.9	5.9	5.7	3.2	5.5							
Degree Utilization, x	0.58	0.04	0.35	0.02	0.42							
Capacity (veh/h)	694	497	581	1121	608							
Control Delay (s)	14.5	9.2	11.7	6.3	12.3							
Approach Delay (s)	14.5	9.2	11.3		12.3							
Approach LOS	В	А	В		В							
Intersection Summary												
Delay			13.0									
HCM Level of Service			В									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		56.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			

2/16/2012 Page 24

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

	Sunset											
	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	٩.	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			¢			\$	
Volume (veh/h)	10	5	10	0	5	10	5	780	10	15	745	10
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	12	6	12	0	9	18	6	867	11	16	810	11
Pedestrians		8			13			7			4	
Lane Width (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Percent Blockage		1			1			1			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1766	1758	830	1766	1758	889	829			891		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
VCu, unblocked vol	1766	1758	830	1766	1758	889	829			891		
C, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
F (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	78	93	97	100	89	95	99			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	54	81	365	57	81	337	797			753		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	29	27	883	837								
Volume Left	12	0	6	16								
Volume Right	12	18	11	11								
cSH	91	164	797	753								
Volume to Capacity	0.32	0.17	0.01	0.02								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	31	14	1	2								
Control Delay (s)	62.2	31.3	0.2	0.6								
Lane LOS	F	D	A	A								
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	62.2 F	31.3 D	0.2	0.6								
Intersection Summary												_
Average Delay			1.9									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		66.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	-+	\mathbf{i}	<	-	•	•	t	-	1	Ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		4			4			\$		-	4	
Volume (veh/h)	30	40	5	25	5	115	5	525	0	75	460	20
Sign Control		Stop			Stop		-	Free	-		Free	_
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.90	0.90	0.9
Hourly flow rate (vph)	39	52	6	38	8	177	6	597	0	83	511	2
Pedestrians		20	•		13		v	3	v		2	_
Lane Width (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Percent Blockage		2			1			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)		-			•			•			v	
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1500	1330	545	1345	1341	612	553			610		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1500	1330	545	1345	1341	612	553			610		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	29	62	99	52	94	64	99			91		
cM capacity (veh/h)	55	137	528	81	135	487	1000			959		
Direction. Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	97	223	602	617								
Volume Left	39	38	6	83								
Volume Right	6	177	0	22								
cSH	88	249	1000	959								
Volume to Capacity	1.10	0.90	0.01	0.09								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	166	192	0	7								
Control Delay (s)	213.7	75.9	0.2	2.2								
Lane LOS	F	F	A	А								
Approach Delay (s)	213.7	75.9	0.2	2.2								
Approach LOS	F	F										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			25.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		77.4%	IC	U Level (of Service			D			

2/16/2012 Page 25 P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM.syn

	0 & Suns	et Clif	fs Blvd	Timing Plan: PM Peak
	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦Y	^	^	
Volume (vph)	2595	1220	1455	
Turn Type				
Protected Phases	4	2		
Permitted Phases			6	
Detector Phase	4	2	6	
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	30.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	74.0	46.0	46.0	
Total Split (%)	61.7%	38.3%	38.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	67.0	39.0	39.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.32	0.32	
v/c Ratio	1.48	1.15	1.38	
Control Delay	245.2	116.5	207.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	245.2	116.5	207.9	
LOS	F	F	F	
Approach Delay	245.2	116.5	207.9	
Approach LOS	F	F	F	
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 120				
Actuated Cycle Length: 12				
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	I to phase 2	:NBT and	6:SBT, Sta	art of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 150				
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.48				
Intersection Signal Delay:	205.3			Intersection LOS: F
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 126.7	%		ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15				
Splits and Phases: 1: I-8	8 WB off-ran	np & Sun	set Cliffs Bl	vd
1			-	
ø2 46 s			74 s	04
40.5			74 \$	
🕈 ø6				

1: I-8 WB off-ramp	& Suns	et Cliffs	s Blvd				Timing Plan: PM Pe
	4	•	1	1	1	Ļ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ኘቸ		^			<u> </u>	
Volume (vph)	2595	25	1220	0	0	1455	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	7.0		7.0			7.0	
Lane Util. Factor	0.97		0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Frt	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	3438		3539			3539	
Flt Permitted	0.95		1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	3438		3539			3539	
/		0.00		0.00	0.00		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	2821	27	1326	0	0	1582	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	2848	0	1326	0	0	1582	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		1					
Turn Type							
Protected Phases	4		2				
Permitted Phases						6	
Actuated Green, G (s)	67.0		39.0			39.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	67.0		39.0			39.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56		0.32			0.32	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0		7.0			7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1920		1150			1150	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.83		0.37				
v/s Ratio Perm	00.00		0.01			c0.45	
v/c Ratio	1.48		1.15			1.38	
Uniform Delay, d1	26.5		40.5			40.5	
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	220.2		79.1			174.6	
Delay (s)	246.7		119.6			215.1	
Level of Service	240.7 F		F			213.1 F	
Approach Delay (s)	246.7		119.6			215.1	
Approach LOS	240.7 F		119.0 F			215.1 F	
Intersection Summary							
HCM Average Control Dela			208.8	H	CM Level	of Service	F
HCM Volume to Capacity n	atio		1.44				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0		um of lost		14.0
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		126.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Н
Analysis Period (min)			15				

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

	-	Ť				
Lane Group	EBT	NBT	ø4	ø6	ø8	
Lane Configurations	^	<u>††</u>				
Volume (vph)	635	1135				
Turn Type						
Protected Phases	48	2	4	6	8	
Permitted Phases						
Detector Phase	4	2				
Switch Phase	8					
Vinimum Initial (s)		10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	
Vinimum Split (s)		27.0	22.5	21.5	22.5	
Total Split (s)	90.0	75.0	45.0	75.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	75.0%	62.5%	38%	63%	38%	
Yellow Time (s)		5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	
All-Red Time (s)		2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	7.0				
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode		C-Max	None	C-Min	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	39.5	68.0				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.33	0.57				
//c Ratio	0.99	0.62				
Control Delay	73.0	19.0				
Queue Delay	209.9	0.0				
Total Delay	282.9	19.0				
LOS	F	В				
Approach Delay Approach LOS	282.9 F	19.0 B				
	F	D				
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 120						
Actuated Cycle Length: 1			0. 01- 1	-6.1/-11-		
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference	a to phase 2	INBI and	o:, Start	OT YELLOW		
Natural Cycle: 150	oordinatod					
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.20 Intersection Signal Delay:	112.6			l.a	tersection L	100 E
Intersection Signal Delay.					CU Level of	
Analysis Period (min) 15	Zation 7.5.2 /0)		IC.	O Level OI	Service D
Analysis Fenou (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 2: S	unset Cliffs I	Blvd & I-8	EB on-ra	mp		
#2				· ·		#2 #3
1 02						→ → ø4
/ ø2 75 s						₩ 4 5 s
#3						#2
#J						#4
🕈 ø6						→ ø8
75 .						45 s

2/16/2012 Page 3

	≯		`	_	+	۰.	•	t	*	~	1	7
		-	•	•		``	7	•	<i>r</i>		*	•
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↑						- ††				
Volume (vph)	0	635	0	0	0	0	0	1135	0	0	0	(
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	16	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)		5.5						7.0				
Lane Util. Factor		1.00						0.95				
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00						1.00				
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00						1.00				
Frt		1.00						1.00				
Flt Protected		1.00						1.00				
Satd. Flow (prot)		2111						3539				
Flt Permitted		1.00						1.00				
Satd. Flow (perm)		2111						3539				
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0.02	690	0.02	0.02	0	0.02	0	1234	0.02	0.02	0.02	(
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	690	0	0	0	0	0	1234	0	0	0	(
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	Ŭ	000	Ū	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ū	U	1201	Ŭ	Ŭ	U	
Turn Type												-
Protected Phases		48						2				
Permitted Phases		40						2				
Actuated Green, G (s)		39.5						68.0				
Effective Green, g (s)		39.5						68.0				
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.33						0.57				
Clearance Time (s)		0.00						7.0				
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0				
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		695						2005				
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.33						c0.35				
v/s Ratio Prot		CU.33						CU.35				
v/c Ratio		0.99						0.62				
		40.1						17.3				
Uniform Delay, d1								17.3				
Progression Factor		1.00 32.2						1.00				
Incremental Delay, d2		32.2 72.3						1.4				
Delay (s)												
Level of Service		E			0.0			B			0.0	
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS		72.3 E			0.0 A			18.7 B			0.0 A	
		E			~			D			~	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			37.9	H	CM Level	of Servic	е		D			
	tio		0.75									
			120.0		um of lost				12.5			
	tion		75.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												
HCM Volume to Capacity ra Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilizat Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group			120.0 75.2%									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn
3: Sunset Cliffs B		<u>1112 DI</u>	1			Timing Plan: PM Pea
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	▼ SBT	ø2	ø8	
ane Configurations	<u>+</u>		<u></u>	Ø2	00	
/olume (vph)	1270	40	2215			
Furn Type	1270	Perm	2215			
Protected Phases	4	I CIIII	6	2	8	
Permitted Phases	7	4	0	2	0	
Detector Phase	4	4	6			
Switch Phase	•		, v			
Vinimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	
Vinimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	21.5	27.0	22.5	
Total Split (s)	45.0	45.0	75.0	75.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	37.5%	37.5%	62.5%	63%	38%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.5	7.0			
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Min	C-Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	39.5	39.5	68.0			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.33	0.33	0.57			
v/c Ratio	1.18	0.09	1.20			
Control Delay	128.6	27.0	121.9			
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Delay	128.6	27.0	121.9			
LOS	F	С	F			
Approach Delay	125.5		121.9			
Approach LOS	F		F			
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 120						
Actuated Cycle Length: 1						
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference	ed to phase 2	NBT and	6:, Start	of Yellow		
Vatural Cycle: 150						
Control Type: Actuated-C						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.20						
ntersection Signal Delay					tersectior	
Intersection Capacity Util	ization 106.8	%		IC	CU Level o	of Service G
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 3: 3	Sunset Cliffs E	SIVO & NII	nitz Biva			140 H0
#2						#2 #3
T ø2						>> @4
75 s						45 s
#3						#2
						→ ø8
75 s						45 s

	⊁	-+	\mathbf{r}		+	•	•	t	*	1	Ţ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	▼ WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	▼ SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	LDL	1	1	WDL		WDIX	NDL		NUN	ODL	^	001
Volume (vph)	0	1270	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2215	C
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	1300	10	12	12	1300	12	1300	12	12	12	1300
Total Lost time (s)	12	5.5	5.5	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	7.0	12
Lane Util, Factor		0.95	1.00								0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85								1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00								1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3539	1478								3539	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00								1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3539	1478								3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0.52	1380	43	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	2408	0.52
RTOR Reduction (vph)	Ű	0	2	Ő	0	Ő	0	Ů	Ő	Ő	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1380	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2408	0
Turn Type			Perm			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			2100	
Protected Phases		4	1 Unit								6	
Permitted Phases			4								Ű	
Actuated Green, G (s)		39.5	39.5								68.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		39.5	39.5								68.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.33	0.33								0.57	
Clearance Time (s)		5.5	5.5								7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0								3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1165	487								2005	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.39									c0.68	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.03									
v/c Ratio		1.18	0.08								1.20	
Uniform Delay, d1		40.2	27.8								26.0	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00								1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		92.0	0.1								95.5	
Delay (s)		132.2	27.8								121.5	
Level of Service		F	C								F	
Approach Delay (s)		129.1			0.0			0.0			121.5	
Approach LOS		F			А			А			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			124.3	H	CM Level	of Service	e		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			1.19									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	Su	um of lost	time (s)			12.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		106.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service			G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

	≯	-	+	•	•	Ť	1	Ŧ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۲	4	•	1	٦	વૈ	٦	1	1	
Volume (vph)	470	195	210	50	10	580	40	875	970	
Turn Type	Split			Perm	Perm		Perm		pm+ov	
Protected Phases	4	4	3			2		6	. 4	
Permitted Phases				3	2		6		6	
Detector Phase	4	4	3	3	2	2	6	6	4	
Switch Phase										
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.9	24.9	26.9	26.9	26.5	26.5	27.4	27.4	24.9	
Total Split (s)	35.0	35.0	27.0	27.0	58.0	58.0	58.0	58.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	29.2%	29.2%	22.5%	22.5%	48.3%	48.3%	48.3%	48.3%	29.2%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	4.9	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lag	Lag					Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	30.1	30.1	22.1	22.1	52.5	52.5	52.6	52.6	83.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25	0.18	0.18	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.69	
v/c Ratio	0.92	0.95	1.10	0.20	0.19	0.99	0.57	1.16	1.10	
Control Delay	73.8	79.6	123.7	24.0	25.1	51.8	58.1	119.3	75.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	73.8	79.6	123.7	24.0	25.1	94.6	58.1	119.3	75.3	
LOS	E	E	F	C	С	F	E	F	E	
Approach Delay		76.7	111.0			93.6		95.4		
Approach LOS		E	F			F		F		
••										
Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 120										
Actuated Cycle Length: 12	00									
Offset: 8 (7%), Reference		NRTI an	d 6·SBTI	Start of	Green					
Natural Cycle: 150				, otari or	Orcon					
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.16	oorannatoa									
Intersection Signal Delay:	93.2			ir	ntersectio	n LOS: F				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz						of Service	۶			
Analysis Period (min) 15	201011-07.07				50 20101	01 001 110	51			
Splits and Phases: 4: W	/ Point Loma	Blvd & S	unset Cli	ffs Blvd						
					华。				7	_
ø2					0	4			7	øತ
8 00					30.8				27 \$	
\$ ≻ ø6										
17 80					_					

4: W Point Loma Blv				-							-	
	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations	٦	4			•	1	5	ĥ		٦	•	
Volume (vph)	470	195	10	130	210	50	10	580	80	40	875	9
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	19
Lane Width	10	12	12	10	12	10	10	12	12	10	12	
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9			4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5		5.4	5.4	4
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00			1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.
Frt	1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85	1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00	0.
Flt Protected	0.95	0.98			0.98	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.
Satd. Flow (prot)	1569	1553			1828	1300	1652	1641		1652	1863	12
Flt Permitted	0.95	0.98			0.98	1.00	0.08	1.00		0.10	1.00	1.
Satd. Flow (perm)	1569	1553			1828	1300	132	1641		174	1863	12
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.
Adj. Flow (vph)	511	212	11	141	228	54	11	630	87	43	951	10
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	25	0	4	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	363	370	0	0	369	29	11	713	0	43	951	9
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	000	0.0	5	Ŭ		6	6		Ŭ			Ŭ
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1	•		4			
Parking (#/hr)		0				0		0				
Turn Type	Split			Split		Perm	Perm			Perm		pm+
Protected Phases	4	4		3	3			2			6	pini
Permitted Phases				U	U	3	2	-		6	Ŭ	
Actuated Green, G (s)	30.1	30.1			22.1	22.1	52.5	52.5		52.6	52.6	82
Effective Green, g (s)	30.1	30.1			22.1	22.1	52.5	52.5		52.6	52.6	82
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25			0.18	0.18	0.44	0.44		0.44	0.44	0.
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9			4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5		5.4	5.4	4
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	394	390			337	239	58	718		76	817	8
v/s Ratio Prot	0.23	0.24			c0.20	200	00	0.43		10	c0.51	c0.
v/s Ratio Perm	0.20	0.21			00.20	0.02	0.08	0.10		0.25	00.01	0.
v/c Ratio	0.92	0.95			1.09	0.12	0.19	0.99		0.57	1.16	1.
Uniform Delay, d1	43.8	44.2			49.0	40.8	20.7	33.6		25.2	33.7	18
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	0.88	0.79		1.00	1.00	1.
Incremental Delay, d2	26.3	32.1			76.9	0.1	4.2	24.2		27.1	87.1	64
Delay (s)	70.1	76.3			125.9	40.9	22.4	50.7		52.3	120.8	83
Level of Service	70.1 E	70.5 E			120.0 F	-0.5 D	22.4 C	D		02.0 D	120.0 F	0.
Approach Delay (s)	-	73.2			115.0	5	Ŭ	50.3		5	100.1	
Approach LOS		70.2 E			F			D			F	
		_										
Intersection Summary			07.4		0.4.1	(0)			F			
HCM Average Control Delay			87.4	н	CIM Level	of Servic	e		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio)		1.13	~	an after t	Kan (.)			45.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	_		120.0		um of lost				15.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		97.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

5: Voltaire St & Su			u						Timing Plan: PM Pea
	•	-	1	-	1	1	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	4Î	٦	4Î	ሻ	4Î	٦	f,	
Volume (vph)	85	220	185	225	30	650	90	910	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.0	26.0	22.0	22.0	27.0	27.0	28.0	28.0	
Total Split (s)	41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	79.0	
Total Split (%)	34.2%	34.2%	34.2%	34.2%	65.8%	65.8%	65.8%	65.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	37.0	37.0	37.0	37.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	
v/c Ratio	0.52	0.60	1.00	0.66	0.42	0.84	0.52	0.99	
Control Delay	46.6	39.6	106.3	41.8	31.7	26.8	2.4	18.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	0.0	13.5	
Total Delay	46.6	39.6	106.3	41.8	31.7	53.7	2.4	31.5	
LOS	D	D	F	D	С	D	A	C	
Approach Delay	_	41.3		65.9	-	52.9		28.9	
Approach LOS		D		E		D		С	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 120									
Actuated Cycle Length: 12	20								
Offset: 0 (0%), Reference		NBTL an	d 6:SBTI	Start of	Green				
Natural Cycle: 80			0.0012	., otari or	0.001				
Control Type: Actuated-Co	oordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00									
Intersection Signal Delay:	44 5			Ir	ntersectio	n I OS' D			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz						of Service	e F		
Analysis Period (min) 15					00 2010.	01 001110			
Splits and Phases: 5: V	oltaire St & S	Sunset Cl	iffs Blvd				_	A	
™ ø2								► ø4	
79 s							41	S	
↓ ø6							+	ø8	
• 20								00	

5: Voltaire St & Suns												,
	۶	→	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	▲	Ť	1	>	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	4		۲	4Î		ň	4Î		٦	eî	
Volume (vph)	85	220	60	185	225	85	30	650	140	90	910	40
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	10	12	12	10	12	12	10	12	12	10	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1614		1649	1608		1652	1626		1652	1665	
Flt Permitted	0.33	1.00		0.38	1.00		0.07	1.00		0.17	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	574	1614		651	1608		126	1626		302	1665	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	92	239	65	201	245	92	33	707	152	98	989	43
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	8	0	0	11	0	0	6	0	0	1	C
Lane Group Flow (vph)	92	296	0	201	326	0	33	853	0	98	1031	(
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1								
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			1
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	37.0	37.0		37.0	37.0		75.0	75.0		75.0	75.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	37.0	37.0		37.0	37.0		75.0	75.0		75.0	75.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31		0.31	0.31		0.62	0.62		0.62	0.62	
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		3.0	3.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	177	498		201	496		79	1016		189	1041	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.18			0.20			0.52			c0.62	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.16			c0.31			0.26			0.32		
v/c Ratio	0.52	0.59		1.00	0.66		0.42	0.84		0.52	0.99	
Uniform Delay, d1	34.2	35.1		41.5	36.0		11.4	17.7		12.5	22.1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.10	0.41	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.1	1.3		63.5	3.1		15.4	8.3		0.9	6.4	
Delay (s)	35.3	36.4		105.0	39.1		26.9	26.0		2.2	15.6	
Level of Service	D	D		F	D		С	С		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		36.1			63.7			26.1			14.4	
Approach LOS		D			E			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			29.8	H	CM Level	of Service	e		С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.99									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	۱		95.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

	٨	_	-	+	•	t	1	ţ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	▼ WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	▼ SBT	
Lane Configurations	<u></u>	 }	VVDL	100 Te				301 •	
Volume (vph)	155	105	25	65	30	545	60	775	
Turn Type	Perm	105	Perm	05	Perm	545	Perm	115	
Protected Phases	reilli	4	Feilii	8	reiiii	2	Felli	6	
Permitted Phases	4	4	8	0	2	2	6	0	
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	4	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	16.0	21.0	21.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.9	25.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	21.0	25.9	
Total Split (s)	25.9	25.9	22.9	22.9	44.1	44.1	25.9 44.1	25.9 44.1	
Total Split (%)	37.0%	25.9	25.9 37.0%	25.9	44.1 63.0%	44.1 63.0%	44.1 63.0%	44.1 63.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	3.9	03.0% 3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	3.9 1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	43.5	43.5	43.5	43.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	
v/c Ratio	0.24	0.48	0.24	0.35	0.02	0.59	0.16	1.00	
Control Delav	33.1	20.6	20.9	14.0	9.5	7.0	7.6	43.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	43.0	
Total Delay	33.1	20.6	20.9	14.0	9.5	7.4	7.6	43.6	
LOS	55.1 C	20.0 C	20.3 C	14.0 B	3.5 A	7.4 A	7.0 A	40.0 D	
Approach Delay	U	26.5	U	15.1	~	7.5	~	41.4	
Approach LOS		20.3 C		B		7.5 A		41.4 D	
••				-		~		-	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 70									
Offset: 61 (87%), Referen	ced to phase	2:NBTL	and 6:SB	TL, Start	of Green				
Natural Cycle: 90									
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00									
Intersection Signal Delay:						n LOS: C			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation 89.7%			10	CU Level	of Service	еE		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 6: S	anta Monica	۵۷۵ & ۵۱	inset Clif	fe Blud					
		AVCUO		IS DIVU					
™ ø2							4	ø4	
44.1 s							25.9 s		
N .							+	ø8	
♥ = ø6									

2/16/2012 Page 11

												,
	۶	→	\mathbf{r}	1	-	 	1	Ť		>	Ŧ	*
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations	5	લૈ		7	eî		7	eî		7	el el	
Volume (vph)	155	105	70	25	65	65	30	545	15	60	775	15
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.96		1.00	0.96		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.95	1.00		0.94	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.99	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.94		1.00	0.93		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1674	1515		1668	1484		1770	1667		1749	1618	
Flt Permitted	0.67	1.00		0.58	1.00		0.10	1.00		0.35	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1174	1515		1027	1484		186	1667		641	1618	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	168	114	76	27	71	71	33	592	16	65	842	16
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	37	0	0	54	0	0	1	0	0	9	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	168	153	0	27	88	0	33	607	0	65	1001	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	34		40	40		34	25		17	17		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)						1						
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	16.7	16.7		16.7	16.7		43.5	43.5		43.5	43.5	
Effective Green, q (s)	16.7	16.7		16.7	16.7		43.5	43.5		43.5	43.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.24		0.24	0.24		0.62	0.62		0.62	0.62	
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	280	361		245	354		116	1036		398	1005	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.10			0.06			0.36			c0.62	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.14			0.03			0.18			0.10		
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.42		0.11	0.25		0.28	0.59		0.16	1.00	
Uniform Delay, d1	23.7	22.6		20.8	21.6		6.1	7.9		5.6	13.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.42	0.53		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	2.3	0.3		0.1	0.1		5.6	2.2		0.9	27.5	
Delay (s)	26.0	22.9		20.9	21.7		8.2	6.4		6.5	40.7	
Level of Service	С	C		С	С		A	Α		A	D	
Approach Delay (s)		24.3			21.6			6.5			38.6	
Approach LOS		С			С			Α			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	у		25.9	H	CM Level	of Servic	е		С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.89									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		89.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

7: Newport Ave &	Sunset	Cliffs E	Blvd						Timing Plan: PM Pea
	٦	-	-	-	1	†	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ľ	ĥ	ľ	el el	ľ	el el	ľ	el el	
Volume (vph)	125	90	35	95	60	375	70	640	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	18.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.9	25.9	25.9	25.9	22.9	22.9	23.9	23.9	
Total Split (s)	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	
Total Split (%)	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.3	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	
v/c Ratio	0.63	0.42	0.15	0.53	0.26	0.41	0.14	0.81	
Control Delay	33.3	16.2	22.6	20.2	15.2	13.2	2.0	6.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	
Total Delay	33.3	16.2	22.6	20.2	15.2	13.2	2.0	9.2	
LOS	C	В	C	C	В	B	A	A	
Approach Delay		24.1		20.6		13.5		8.6	
Approach LOS		С		C		В		A	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 70									
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced		NRTI an	d 6.SBTI	Start of	Green				
Natural Cycle: 75	pridoc Z			., otari or	510011				
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81									
Intersection Signal Delay:	13.6			lr.	ntersectio	n I OS' B			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz					CU Level				
Analysis Period (min) 15					JO LOVOI	01 001 110	01		
Splits and Phases: 7: No	ewport Ave	& Sunset	Cliffs Blv	d					
√1 ø2								o4	
44 s							26 s		
A .							1		
♥ [™] ø6								a 8	

2/16/2012 Page 13

•												
	≯	→	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	1	T.	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations	ľ	el el		ľ	¢Î		ľ	el el		ľ	ef.	
Volume (vph)	125	90	55	35	95	95	60	375	25	70	640	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.98	1.00		0.99	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.99	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.94		1.00	0.93		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1729	1555		1744	1509		1765	1656		1747	1621	
Flt Permitted	0.54	1.00		0.64	1.00		0.21	1.00		0.47	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	982	1555		1177	1509		395	1656		873	1621	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	136	98	60	38	103	103	65	408	27	76	696	15
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	35	00	0	58	0	00	400	0	0	9	- Fi
Lane Group Flow (vph)	136	123	0	38	50 148	0	65	432	0	76	839	
	130	123	9	30 9	140	16	8	432	13	13	028	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	10		3	9		10	ð		13	13		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		0	3		0	1		0	2		0	
Parking (#/hr)		0		_	0		_	0		-	0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.3	15.3		15.3	15.3		44.9	44.9		44.9	44.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	15.3	15.3		15.3	15.3		44.9	44.9		44.9	44.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22		0.22	0.22		0.64	0.64		0.64	0.64	
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	215	340		257	330		253	1062		560	1040	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.08			0.10			0.26			c0.52	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.14			0.03			0.16			0.09		
v/c Ratio	0.63	0.36		0.15	0.45		0.26	0.41		0.14	0.81	
Uniform Delay, d1	24.8	23.2		22.1	23.7		5.4	6.1		4.9	9.3	
Progression Factor	0.83	0.79		1.00	1.00		1.91	1.85		0.30	0.21	
Incremental Delay, d2	4.1	0.2		0.1	0.4		1.8	0.9		0.2	2.7	
Delay (s)	24.6	18.6		22.2	24.1		12.1	12.1		1.7	4.6	
Level of Service	C	В		С	С		В	В		Α	A	
Approach Delay (s)		21.4			23.8			12.1			4.4	
Approach LOS		С			С			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	у		11.3	H	CM Level	of Servic	е		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra			0.76									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		94.8%			of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

8: Narragansett Av		nset C	liffs Blv	/d					Timing Plan: PM Pea
	≯	→	-	•	1	1	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		4		4		4		4	
Volume (vph)	20	95	95	135	30	475	45	495	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	16.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	
Total Split (s)	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	
Total Split (%)	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		18.8		18.6		41.6		41.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27		0.27		0.59		0.59	
v/c Ratio		0.38		0.89		0.65		0.71	
Control Delay		20.5		49.5		14.0		8.2	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		20.5		49.5		14.0		8.2	
LOS		С		D		В		А	
Approach Delay		20.5		49.5		14.0		8.2	
Approach LOS		С		D		В		A	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 70									
Offset: 18 (26%), Reference	ed to phase	2:NBTL	and 6:SB	TL, Start	of Green				
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89									
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	9.1			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 85.6%			10	CU Level	of Service	еE		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 8: Na	rragansett	۵۷۵ & ۵۱۱	nset Cliffe	Blvd					
	แล้งสาวอิน		III OIIII						
ø2								ə4	
44 s							26 s		
₽ ø6								a8	

2/16/2012 Page 15

	۶		`		t	4	4	Ť		1	1	1
	-	-	•	•	-		7		1	*	+	•
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			4	
Volume (vph)	20	95	30	95	135	70	30	475	50	45	495	60
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.99			1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			0.99			1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.97			0.97			0.99			0.99	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.98			1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1588			1559			1643			1639	
Flt Permitted		0.93			0.83			0.95			0.93	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1493			1322			1565			1528	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	22	103	33	103	147	76	33	516	54	49	538	6
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	4	0	0	5	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	144	0	0	310	0	0	599	0	0	647	(
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		26	26		11	10		15	15		1
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1						2			
Parking (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	Ű		2	-		6	Ŭ	
Actuated Green, G (s)		18.6		· ·	18.6		-	41.6		v	41.6	
Effective Green, g (s)		18.6			18.6			41.6			41.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27			0.27			0.59			0.59	
Clearance Time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		397			351			930			908	
v/s Ratio Prot		391			331			930			900	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.10			c0.23			0.38			c0.42	
v/c Ratio		0.36			0.88			0.64			0.71	
Uniform Delay, d1		20.9			24.7			9.3			10.0	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			9.3 1.00			0.41	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2			21.5			3.4			3.1	
		21.1			46.2			3.4 12.8			7.3	
Delay (s)		21.1 C			40.2 D			12.0 B			7.5 A	
Level of Service		21.1			46.2			12.8			7.3	
Approach Delay (s)		21.1 C			40.2 D			12.0 B				
Approach LOS		U			U			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.7	H	CM Level	of Servic	е		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.76									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0		um of lost				9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	۱		85.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

c Critical Lane Group

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۲	44	1	٦	1	1	۲	^	1	٦	≜t ≽	
Volume (vph)	185	395	105	190	460	210	140	1530	250	300	1115	
Turn Type	Prot		Free	Prot		Perm	Prot		Free	Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			Free			8			Free			
Detector Phase	7	4		3	8	8	1	6		5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	10.0		4.0	10.0	10.0	4.0	10.0		4.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.4	30.9		8.4	32.5	32.5	8.4	27.0		8.4	27.0	
Total Split (s)	19.0	30.9	0.0	28.1	40.0	40.0	18.4	64.0	0.0	27.0	72.6	
Total Split (%)	12.7%	20.6%	0.0%	18.7%	26.7%	26.7%	12.3%	42.7%	0.0%	18.0%	48.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.4	3.9		3.4	4.5	4.5	3.4	5.0		3.4	5.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0	4.0	4.4	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None	None	None	Max		None	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	14.6	28.2	150.0	21.5	34.5	34.5	14.0	58.0	150.0	22.6	66.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.19	1.00	0.14	0.23	0.23	0.09	0.39	1.00	0.15	0.44	
v/c Ratio	1.25	0.64	0.08	0.87	1.17	0.50	0.99	1.22	0.19	1.31	1.03	
Control Delay	205.4	62.0	0.1	95.8	147.6	20.5	135.5	143.8	0.3	212.7	70.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	205.4	62.0	0.1	95.8	147.6	20.5	135.5	143.8	0.3	212.7	70.8	
LOS	F	E	A	F	F	С	F	F	A	F	E	
Approach Delay		91.2			105.1			124.5			95.2	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 150												
Actuated Cycle Length: 150)											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Une	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.31												
Intersection Signal Delay: 1					ntersectio							
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 110.3	%		10	JU Level	of Service	ЭH					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 9: W	Point Loma	Blvd & N	imitz Blv	h								
a	20110			-			1					
▶ ø1 🔹 ø2 18.4 s 72.6 s							∳ ø3 281 ∘		-	➡ ø4		
	*						•	-	<u> </u>			
≯ ø5	ø6						✓ 07	17	• ø8			

	≯		`	~	t	4	•	Ť	*	7	1	
	•	-	•	Ŧ			7	I	1		*	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations	۳.	- † †	1	٦	↑	1	٦	- † †	1	٦.	≜ î∌	
Volume (vph)	185	395	105	190	460	210	140	1530	250	300	1115	33
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Lane Width	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	1
Total Lost time (s)	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0	4.0	4.4	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	3539	1455	1652	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3396	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	3539	1455	1652	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3396	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	201	429	114	207	500	228	152	1663	272	326	1212	35
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	120	0	0	0	0	18	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	201	429	114	207	500	108	152	1663	272	326	1553	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			10			6			1			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3			1			1			
Parking (#/hr)												
Turn Type	Prot		Free	Prot		Perm	Prot		Free	Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			Free			8			Free			
Actuated Green, G (s)	14.6	28.2	150.0	21.5	34.5	34.5	14.0	58.0	150.0	22.6	66.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	14.6	28.2	150.0	21.5	34.5	34.5	14.0	58.0	150.0	22.6	66.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.19	1.00	0.14	0.23	0.23	0.09	0.39	1.00	0.15	0.44	
Clearance Time (s)	4.4	4.9		4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0		4.4	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	4.9		2.0	3.7	3.7	2.0	3.7		2.0	5.8	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	161	665	1455	237	428	332	154	1368	1459	249	1508	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.12	0.12		0.13	c0.27		0.09	c0.47		c0.20	0.46	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.08			0.07			0.19			
v/c Ratio	1.25	0.65	0.08	0.87	1.17	0.32	0.99	1.22	0.19	1.31	1.03	
Uniform Delay, d1	67.7	56.3	0.0	62.9	57.8	48.1	67.9	46.0	0.0	63.7	41.7	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	152.9	2.9	0.1	27.2	98.2	0.7	67.9	104.0	0.3	165.0	31.1	
Delay (s)	220.6	59.2	0.1	90.1	156.0	48.8	135.8	150.0	0.3	228.7	72.8	
Level of Service	F	E	A	F	F	D	F	F	A	F	E	
Approach Delay (s)		93.7			115.2			129.4			99.6	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	у		112.4	Н	CM Level	of Servic	ce		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		1.22									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			20.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		110.3%	IC	U Level of	of Service)		Н			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

10: Voltaire St & E				+	•	t	7	Ļ	Timing Plan: PM Peal
	-	-	4	-	7			•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations				- 4 >		- 4 >		4	
Volume (vph)	50	290	130	345	75	205	50	280	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		6		2		4		4	
Permitted Phases	6		2		4		4		
Detector Phase	6	6	2	2	4	4	4	4	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	
Total Split (s)	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (%)	52.0%	52.0%	52.0%	52.0%	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		21.2		21.2		16.5		16.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.45		0.45		0.35		0.35	
v/c Ratio		0.69		0.97		0.86		0.74	
Control Delay		18.0		49.7		32.1		23.0	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		18.0		49.7		32.1		23.0	
LOS		В		D		С		С	
Approach Delay		18.0		49.7		32.1		23.0	
Approach LOS		В		D		С		C	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 50									
Actuated Cycle Length: 47.	.6								
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated	ł							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97									
Intersection Signal Delay: 3	32.1			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: C			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz		5				of Service			
Analysis Period (min) 15									
,									
Splits and Phases: 10: V	oltaire St &	Ebers St			<u> </u>				
★ ø2					₽	e4			
26 s					24				
۰.									
<u>→ ø6</u>									

2/16/2012 Page 19

	≯	→	\mathbf{F}	1	+	•	٩.	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Volume (vph)	50	290	85	130	345	45	75	205	120	50	280	2
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Total Lost time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00			0.99			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.97			0.99			0.96			0.99	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1611			1630			1580			1645	
Flt Permitted		0.91			0.78			0.86			0.90	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1468			1293			1366			1491	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adj. Flow (vph)	54	315	92	141	375	49	82	223	130	54	304	2
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	17	0	0	7	0	0	33	0	0	6	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	444	0	0	558	0	0	402	0	0	379	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	8	777	7	7	000	8	4	402	6	6	515	
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	0		4	'		2	-		1	0		
Parking (#/hr)		0	т		0	2		0			0	
Turn Type	Perm	0		Perm	0		Perm	0		Perm	0	
Protected Phases	Felli	6		Feilii	2		Felli	4		Feilii	4	
Permitted Phases	6	0		2	2		4	4		4	7	
Actuated Green, G (s)	0	21.2		2	21.2		4	16.5		4	16.5	
Effective Green, g (s)		21.2			21.2			16.5			16.5	
		0.45			0.45			0.35			0.35	
Actuated g/C Ratio Clearance Time (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			4.9	
Vehicle Extension (s)		4.9			4.9			4.9			2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		655			577			475			518	
v/s Ratio Prot					0.40			0.00			0.05	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.30			c0.43			c0.29			0.25	
v/c Ratio		0.68			0.97			0.85			0.73	
Uniform Delay, d1		10.4			12.8			14.3			13.6	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		2.9			30.3			12.6			4.6	
Delay (s)		13.3			43.1			27.0			18.1	
Level of Service		В			D			С			В	
Approach Delay (s)		13.3			43.1			27.0			18.1	
Approach LOS		В			D			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			26.7	H	CM Level	of Service)		С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.92									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			47.5	S	um of lost	time (s)			9.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		92.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

c Critical Lane Group

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

Ocean Beach CP 11: Newport Ave	-	St							Year 2030 Buildou Timing Plan: PM Pea
	۶	→	4	+	•	Ť	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		\$		4		\$		4	
Volume (vph)	25	140	60	145	65	265	35	310	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.9	22.9	23.0	23.0	23.9	23.9	23.9	23.9	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		33.8		33.8		26.4		26.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.48		0.48		0.38		0.38	
v/c Ratio		0.30		0.43		0.83		0.71	
Control Delay		12.8		14.0		32.1		24.3	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		12.8		14.0		32.1		24.3	
LOS		B 12.8		B		C 32.1		C 24.3	
Approach Delay				14.0					
Approach LOS		В		В		С		С	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 7									
Offset: 40 (57%), Referen	ced to phase	e 4:EBTL	and 8:WE	BTL, Start	t of Greer	1			
Natural Cycle: 50									
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83	00.0					100 5			
Intersection Signal Delay:					ntersectio				
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation /1.2%)		10	CU Level	or Service	eC		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 11:	Newport Ave	& Cable	St						
↑ ₀2						<u> </u>	ø4		
1 ø∠ 40 s						30 s	ø4		
40 S									
♦ ™ ø6						- ¥	ø8		
4Ω «						20 .			

11: Newport Ave & Cable St Timing Plan: PM Peak ۶ ٠ ← • \mathbf{i} WBT Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBR NBL NBT NBR SBT SRE Lane Configurations 4 4 4 4 Volume (vph) 25 140 55 60 145 65 65 265 80 35 310 40 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frpb, ped/bikes 0.98 0.98 0.98 0.99 Flpb, ped/bikes 0.99 0.99 1.00 1.00 Frt 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.99 Flt Protected 0.99 0.99 0.99 1.00 Satd, Flow (prot) 1568 1550 1584 1629 Flt Permitted 0.95 0.89 0.86 0.94 Satd. Flow (perm) 1496 1397 1379 1538 Peak-hour factor, PHF 1.00 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 1.00 1.00 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 25 140 55 65 158 71 71 288 87 38 337 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 14 0 0 13 0 0 16 0 0 7 0 206 281 430 411 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 33 27 40 27 33 26 40 26 Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 6 2 4 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 Turn Type Perm Perm Perm Perm Protected Phases 8 2 4 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 2 6 33.8 33.8 26.4 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.4 Effective Green, g (s) 33.8 33.8 26.4 26.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.48 0.48 0.38 0.38 Clearance Time (s) 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 722 675 520 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 580 v/s Ratio Prot v/s Ratio Perm 0.14 c0.20 c0.31 0.27 v/c Ratio 0.29 0.42 0.83 0.71 Uniform Delay, d1 10.9 11.7 19.7 18.5 Progression Factor 1.00 0.94 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 1.0 1.6 10.0 3.2 Delay (s) 11.8 12.6 29.7 21.8 Level of Service В В С С Approach Delay (s) 11.8 12.6 29.7 21.8 Approach LOS С В В С Intersection Summary HCM Level of Service HCM Average Control Delay 20.8 С HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.60 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 70.0 Sum of lost time (s) 9.8 Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.2% ICU Level of Service С 15 Analysis Period (min)

c Critical Lane Group

Ocean Beach CPU

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

2/16/2012 Page 22

Year 2030 Buildout

P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

12: W Point Loma	Blvd & E	Ebers	St					Timing Plan: PM Pe
	+	*	4	Ļ	<	*		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	ĥ		٦	•	Y			
Volume (veh/h)	190	5	385	305	20	340		
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	207	5	418	332	22	370		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (ft)								
Walking Speed (ft/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type	None			None				
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (ft)	793			1248				
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume			212		1378	209		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol			212		1378	209		
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %			69		80	56		
cM capacity (veh/h)			1358		110	831		
Direction. Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1				
Volume Total	212	418	332	391			 	
Volume Left	0	418	0	22				
Volume Right	5	0	0	370				
cSH	1700	1358	1700	610				
Volume to Capacity	0.12	0.31	0.20	0.64				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.12	33	0.20	115				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	8.8	0.0	20.9				
Lane LOS		A		C				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.9		20.9				
Approach LOS	0.0			C				
Intersection Summary							 	
Average Delay			8.8					
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		63.8%	IC	U Level a	f Service	В	
Analysis Period (min)			15				_	

Hourly flow rate (vph) 55 151 226 115 123 8 292 256 179 20 163 Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 NB 2 SB 1 Volume Total (vph) 432 246 548 179 209 Volume Right (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 226 8 0 179 26 Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5		۶	-	\mathbf{i}	1	←	۰.	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	4
Sign Control Stop	ent E	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Sign Control Stop	onfigurations		\$			\$			ર્સ	1		4	
Peak Hour Factor 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.98 0.98 Hourly flow rate (vph) 55 151 226 115 123 8 292 256 179 20 163 Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 NB 2 SB 1 Volume Left (vph) 432 246 548 179 209 Volume Left (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Stop</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Stop</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Stop</td> <td></td>			Stop						Stop			Stop	
Hourly flow rate (vph) 55 151 226 115 123 8 292 256 179 20 163 Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 NB 2 SB 1 Volume Total (vph) 432 246 548 179 209 Volume Right (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 226 8 0 179 26 Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5	(vph)	40	110	165	70	75	5	245	215	150	20	160	2
Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 NB 2 SB 1 Volume Total (vph) 432 246 548 179 209 Volume Total (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 226 8 0 179 26 Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (vel/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5	our Factor 0).73	0.73	0.73	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.98	0.98	0.9
Volume Total (vph) 432 246 548 179 209 Volume Right (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 226 8 0 179 26 Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Dolay (s) 8.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach Delay 50.5 Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5	low rate (vph)	55	151	226	115	123	8	292	256	179	20	163	2
Volume Left (vph) 55 115 292 0 20 Volume Right (vph) 226 8 0 179 26 Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 5	n, Lane # E	B 1	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1							
Volume Right (vph) 226 8 0 179 26 Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach DOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 50.5	Total (vph)	432	246	548	179	209							
Hadj (s) -0.25 0.11 0.14 -0.57 -0.02 Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 5	Left (vph)	55	115	292	0	20							
Departure Headway (s) 7.1 8.0 7.3 3.2 8.0 Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach DOS E C F C Intersection Summary 50.5 5 5	Right (vph)	226	8	0	179	26							
Degree Utilization, x 0.85 0.55 1.11 0.16 0.47 Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach DOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 5	-0).25	0.11	0.14	-0.57	-0.02							
Capacity (veh/h) 432 424 495 1121 410 Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 5	re Headway (s)	7.1	8.0	7.3	3.2	8.0							
Control Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 100.7 6.8 17.8 Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary 50.5 50.5 50.5	Utilization, x 0).85	0.55	1.11	0.16	0.47							
Approach Delay (s) 38.2 20.2 77.6 17.8 Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5 50.5					1121								
Approach LOS E C F C Intersection Summary Delay 50.5	Delay (s) 3	38.2	20.2	100.7	6.8	17.8							
Intersection Summary Delay 50.5			20.2	77.6		17.8							
Delay 50.5	ch LOS	Е	С	F		С							
	tion Summary												
HCM Level of Service F													
	evel of Service			F									
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.7% ICU Level of Service C	tion Capacity Utilization			68.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			

2/16/2012 Page 23 P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

Novement	≯		~	1	-	•	•	t	1	· 🖕	1	-
	EBL	EBT	EBR	▼ WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	▼ SBT	SBF
ane Configurations		4	2011		4			4.		002	4	
/olume (veh/h)	5	5	10	5	5	10	10	685	5	20	1010	20
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Hourly flow rate (vph)	10	10	20	7	7	14	11	737	5	22	1086	22
Pedestrians		24			22			3			1	
ane Width (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
Valking Speed (ft/s)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Percent Blockage		2			2			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Jpstream signal (ft)												
X, platoon unblocked												
C, conflicting volume	1943	1949	1124	1951	1957	762	1132			764		
C1, stage 1 conf vol												
C2, stage 2 conf vol												
Cu, unblocked vol	1943	1949	1124	1951	1957	762	1132			764		
C, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
C, 2 stage (s)												
F (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
0 queue free %	75	83	92	80	88	96	98			97		
cM capacity (veh/h)	39	59	244	36	59	397	605			833		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
/olume Total	40	29	753	1129								
/olume Left	10	7	11	22								
/olume Right	20	14	5	22								
SH	79	80	605	833								
/olume to Capacity	0.50	0.36	0.02	0.03								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	53	34	1	2								
Control Delay (s)	89.7	73.5	0.5	0.9								
ane LOS	F	F	A	A								
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	89.7 F	73.5 F	0.5	0.9								
ntersection Summary												
Average Delay			3.6									
ntersection Capacity Utilizat Analysis Period (min)	ion		76.7% 15	IC	U Level of	of Service			D			

	٦		~	~	-	•	•	t	*	1	T	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	▼ WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	▼ SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations		4	LDIX	TIDE	4	TIDIX	HDL	4	HUR	ODL	4	
Volume (veh/h)	20	15	10	10	15	95	10	700	15	85	695	3
Sign Control	20	Stop	10	10	Stop	00	10	Free	10	00	Free	Ŭ
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.8
Hourly flow rate (vph)	28	21	14	18	27	170	11	761	16	100	818	3
Pedestrians	20	18			28			1			7	
Lane Width (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Percent Blockage		4.0			0			4.0			4.0	
Right turn flare (veh)					-			5				
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)								Nono			None	
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	2034	1880	854	1880	1890	804	871			805		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	2004	1000	004	1000	1050	004	0/1			000		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	2034	1880	854	1880	1890	804	871			805		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)		0.0	0.2		0.0	0.2						
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	0.0	64	96	45	54	54	99			88		
cM capacity (veh/h)	13	59	353	32	58	372	762			800		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	00	012	102			000		
Volume Total	63	214	788	953								
Volume Left	28	18	11	100								
Volume Right	14	170	16	35								
cSH	24	146	762	800								
Volume to Capacity	2.63	1.47	0.01	0.12								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	198	355	0.01	11								
Control Delay (s)	1076.4	301.4	0.4	3.3								
Lane LOS	1070.4	501.4 F	0.4 A	3.3 A								
Approach Delay (s)	1076.4	301.4	0.4	3.3								
Approach LOS	F	501.4 F	0.4	0.0								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			67.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliz Analysis Period (min)	ation		100.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			G			

2/16/2012 Page 25 P:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM.syn

Appendix K Queuing Worksheets

Ocean Beach CPU 4: W Point Loma Blvd & Sunset Cliffs Blvd

	٦	-	1	-	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	523	531	60	76	114	5	1022	22	832	201	
v/c Ratio	1.36	1.41	0.27	0.30	0.60	0.06	1.37	0.28	0.99	0.21	
Control Delay	207.7	230.2	35.1	35.6	43.1	25.2	195.1	32.1	57.3	1.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	207.7	230.2	35.1	35.6	43.1	25.2	195.1	32.1	57.3	1.4	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	~415	~434	32	40	54	2	~760	7	445	0	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#618	#645	59	70	95	m3 ı	m#1080	#41	#838	22	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		657		713			400		1400		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	500		120		120	120		120			
Base Capacity (vph)	385	376	404	455	330	77	748	78	837	972	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.36	1.41	0.15	0.17	0.35	0.06	1.37	0.28	0.99	0.21	

Intersection Summary

Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Ocean Beach CPU 4: W Point Loma Blvd & Sunset Cliffs Blvd

	≯	-	-	•	1	†	1	Ļ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	363	371	369	54	11	717	43	951	1054	
v/c Ratio	0.92	0.95	1.10	0.20	0.19	0.99	0.57	1.16	1.10	
Control Delay	73.8	79.6	123.7	24.0	25.1	51.8	58.1	119.3	75.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	73.8	79.6	123.7	24.0	25.1	94.6	58.1	119.3	75.3	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	289	297	~324	15	3	456	24	~875	~599	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#484	#504	#515	52	m5	m#790	#87	#1125	#1180	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		657	713			400		1400		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	500			120	120		120			
Base Capacity (vph)	394	390	336	265	58	722	76	817	960	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	80	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.92	0.95	1.10	0.20	0.19	1.12	0.57	1.16	1.10	

Intersection Summary

Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Appendix L Caltrans Data for Freeway Analysis

OTM32420

09/13/2010

13:46:27

CALTRANS TRAFFIC VOLUMES LATEST TRAFFIC YEAR SELECTED

PEAK HOUR VOLUME DATA

										AM	I PEAK						PM	PEAK	
									1 WAY	8	8	8				1 WAY	8	%	8
DI	RTE	CO	PRE	PM	CS	LEG	YR :	Dir					HF	R DAY	MNTH Dir				HR DAY MNTH
09	006	INY	R	3.952	945	A	80	S	138	8.39	86.25	7.23	7	7 MON	SEP N	123	10.59	60.89	6.45 17 FRI NOV
09	006	MNO		32.29	997	В	09	S	49	10.55	56.32	5.94	12	2 THU	AUG S	54	10.18	64.29	6.55 13 SUN JUL
11	007	IMP		1.188	607	В	09	Ν	303	6.86	63.26	4.34	12	2 MON	APR S	388	10.16	54.73	5.56 17 FRI DEC
11	007	IMP		1.188	401	A	09	Ν	237	5.84	78.74	4.6	6	5 MON	MAY S	289	9.47	59.22	5.61 16 FRI NOV
11	800	SD	L	1.213	951	В	09	Е	2260	7.7	63.41	4.88	8	3 WED	MAR W	2155	8.79	52.97	4.65 17 WED SEP
11	800	SD	L	1.213	958	A	09	Е	4475	7.37	59.57	4.39	7	7 THU	FEB W	4771	8.59	54.46	4.68 17 MON APR
11	800	SD		.946	804	A	09	W	8140	7.35	57.74	4.24	7	7 THU	OCT E	8538	8.21	54.2	4.45 16 THU JUN
11	800	SD		5.638	953	В	09	W	11582	7.43	64.8	4.81	7	7 WED	MAY E	11019	7.9	57.98	4.58 15 MON MAR
11	800	SD		8.336	807	В	09	W	11263	8.07	61.65	4.98	7	7 TUE	SEP E	10748	8	59.33	4.75 15 TUE SEP
11	800	SD		8.336	808	A	09	W	10246	7.72	67.24	5.19	7	7 TUE	NOV E	9769	8.13	60.83	4.95 16 FRI APR
11	008	SD		11.76	810	В	09	W	8418	7.04	62.5	4.4	7	7 MON	NOV E	9010	8.17	57.65	4.71 16 TUE JAN
11	800	SD		14.59	806	В	09	W	8323	7.2	61.1	4.4	7	7 TUE	MAY E	8751	8.64	53.52	4.62 15 FRI MAY
11	800	SD	R	18.73	824	В	09	W	4526	7.12	70.46	5.02	7	7 THU	NOV E	4322	7.92	60.52	4.79 16 WED NOV
11	800	SD	R	23.64	979	0	09	Е	2481	6.61	67.2	4.44	7	7 WED	MAR W	2931	9.05	57.98	5.25 16 FRI MAR
11	800	SD	R	37.83	811	A	09	Е	1201	11.61	53.64	6.23	11	l SUN	DEC W	1447	11.32	66.26	7.5 16 SAT NOV
11	800	SD	R	51.98	621	В	09	W	1047	11.42	58.33	6.66	12	2 SUN	MAR E	1315	13.86	60.38	8.37 13 WED NOV
11	800	IMP	R	10.29	993	В	09	W	975	12.89	54.93	7.08	11	l SUN	DEC W	1210	12.94	67.86	8.78 13 SAT JAN
11	800	IMP	R	10.29	994	A	09	W	904	12.67	59.32	7.51	12	2 SUN	MAR W	1108	13.45	68.48	9.21 13 SAT JAN
11	008	IMP	R	23.48	624	A	09	W	855	11.08	63.01	6.98	10) SAT	JUL E	1075	16.86	52.08	8.78 14 MON MAY
11	008	IMP	R	36.97	982	В	09	W	1020	10.19	57.37	5.85	12	2 MON	FEB E	1209	11.68	59.32	6.93 17 WED NOV
11	008	IMP	R	40.94	638	В	09	Е	1416	7.91	55.99	4.43	12	2 FRI	MAR E	1784	9.62	58	5.58 16 FRI FEB
11	800	IMP	R	53.50	964	A	09	Е	891	12.43	59.64	7.41	11	l SUN	JUL W	1036	15.02	57.4	8.62 13 SUN DEC
11	800	IMP	R	96.55	995	В	09	W	1253	11.51	53.52	6.16	12	2 SUN	DEC E	1352	12.6	52.79	6.65 14 WED NOV
11	008	IMP	R	96.99	988	В	09	Е	1081	11.54	56.1	6.48	11	l FRI	JAN E	1160	10.95	63.46	6.95 13 WED JAN
05	009	SCR		.63	681	A	08	S	380	8.29	91.79	7.61	8	3 TUE	DEC S	390	8.27	94.43	7.81 17 MON DEC
05	009	SCR		8.11	430	В	08	S	1364	8.35	78.89	6.58	7	7 THU	MAR N	1250	9.09	66.38	6.03 17 TUE DEC
05	009	SCR		13.04	169	В	08	Ν	731	9.14	64.92	5.93	10) WED	DEC N	643	8.85	58.99	5.22 17 MON DEC
05	009	SCR		27.09	49	В	08	Ν	294	12.23	97.35	11.91	7	7 MON	JUN S	233	11.06	85.35	9.44 17 WED SEP
04	009	SCL		7.09	170	A	07	S	456	10.67	61.13	6.52	11	l SAT	JUL N	537	9.69	79.2	7.68 22 SAT JUL
04	009	SCL		11.45	171	В	07	N	1613	7.59	60.8	4.62	8	B WED	OCT N	1841	8.84	59.64	5.27 15 TUE JAN
07	010	LA		18.41	456	В	09	W	794	11.12	94.19	10.47	9	9 THU	FEB E	752	11.91	83.28	9.92 15 THU AUG
07	010	LA		19.71	783	0	08	W	868	11.22	92.34	10.36	9	9 THU	OCT E	569	8.93	76.07	6.79 17 THU NOV
07	010	LA		24.31	785	A	09	W	1479	6.46	90.02	5.82	9	THU	OCT E	1593	8.47	73.92	6.26 15 THU JUN

	L Post E	VEHICLE AADT	TRUCK AADT	TRUCK % TOT		UCK AAD		L 		% TRUCK	AADT Axle		EAL Y 2-WAY V	YEAR VER/
RTE DIST CNTY	MILE G DESCRIPTION	TOTAL	TOTAL	VEH	2	3	4	5+	2	3	4	5+	(1000)	EST
008 11 SD	T .407 A SAN DIEGO, SUNSET CLIFFS BOULEVARD	10,500	105	1.00	86	8	3	8	82.30	7.40	2.90	7.40	7	78E
008 11 SD	L 2.379 B JCT RTE 5 LT LANES	102,000	1,224	1.20	1,038	87	9	91	84.80	7.10	0.70	7.40	77	78V
008 11 SD	L 2.379 A JCT RTE 5 LT LANES	132,000	3,696	2.80	2,473	532	159	532	66.90	14.40	4.30	14.40	342	83V
008 11 SD	2.41 B SAN DIEGO, JCT. RTE. 163	. 200,000	5,400	2.70	4,180	583	135	502	77.40	10.80	2.50	9.30	393	83E
008 11 SD	2.41 A SAN DIEGO, JCT. RTE. 163	. 204,000	5,712	2.80	4,461	571	137	543	78.10	10.00	2.40	9.50	416	83E
008 11 SD	4.378 B SAN DIEGO, JCT. RTE. 805	. 193,000	6,176	3.20	4,638	611	210	716	75.10	9.90	3.40	11.60	496	83E
008 11 SD	5.638 B JCT. RTE. 15	240,000	7,200	3.00	4,212	914	302	1,771	58.50	12.70	4.20	24.60	887	83V
008 11 SD	5.638 A JCT. RTE. 15	213,000	7,455	3.50	4,771	857	335	1,491	64.00	11.50	4.50	20.00	809	84E
008 11 SD	10.57 B FLETCHER PARKWAY	189,000	6,993	3.70	4,182	888	245	1,678	59.80	12.70	3.50	24.00	843	84V
008 11 SD	10.57 A FLETCHER PARKWAY	173,000	7,612	4.40	4,301	1,172	388	1,751	56.50	15.40	5.10	23.00	919	78V
008 11 SD	15.8 B EL CAJON, JCT. RTE. NORTH	67 165,000	7 , 755	4.70	4,180	1,016	357	2,202	53.90	13.10	4.60	28.40	1,052	78V
008 11 SD	15.8 A EL CAJON, JCT. RTE. NORTH	67 131,000	3,799	2.90	2,105	429	133	1,132	55.40	11.30	3.50	29.80	523	78V
008 11 SD	R 18.727 A GREENFIELD DRIVE	77,000	5,313	6.90	2,800	414	128	1 , 971	52.70	7.80	2.40	37.10	835	86V
008 11 SD	R 37.831 B JCT. RTE. 79 NORTH, JAPATUL VALLEY ROAD	25,000	3,000	12.00	1,179	177	90	1,554	39.30	5.90	3.00	51.80	607	86E
008 11 SD	R 37.831 A JCT. RTE. 79 NORTH, JAPATUL VALLEY ROAD	19 , 600	2,666	13.60	866	208	77	1,514	32.50	7.80	2.90	56.80	583	00E

Appendix M Mitigated Intersection LOS Worksheets

Ocean Beach CPU 4: W Point Loma Blvd & Sunset Cliffs Blvd

				ivu							g i iun. /	
	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	t	۲	5	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	\$		۲.	•	1	٦	ef 🔰		٦	↑	77
Volume (vph)	845	115	10	55	70	105	5	905	35	20	765	185
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	10	12	12	10	12	10	10	12	12	10	12	10
Total Lost time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5		5.4	5.4	5.4
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.88
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.96
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	0.96		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1569	1529		1652	1863	1291	1652	1666		1652	1863	2360
Flt Permitted	0.95	0.96		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.12	1.00		0.04	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1569	1529		1652	1863	1291	206	1666		71	1863	2360
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	918	125	11	60	76	114	5	984	38	22	832	201
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	52	0	1	0	0	0	49
Lane Group Flow (vph)	523	530	0	60	76	62	5	1021	0	22	832	152
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			5			6	6					6
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1			4			1
Parking (#/hr)		0				0		0				0
Turn Type	Split			Split		Perm	Perm			Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	4	4		8	8			2			6	
Permitted Phases						8	2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	52.1	52.1		14.7	14.7	14.7	97.9	97.9		98.0	98.0	98.0
Effective Green, g (s)	52.1	52.1		14.7	14.7	14.7	97.9	97.9		98.0	98.0	98.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.29	0.29		0.08	0.08	0.08	0.54	0.54		0.54	0.54	0.54
Clearance Time (s)	4.9	4.9		4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.5		5.4	5.4	5.4
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	454	443		135	152	105	112	906		39	1014	1285
v/s Ratio Prot	0.33	c0.35		0.04	0.04			c0.61			0.45	
v/s Ratio Perm						c0.05	0.02			0.31		0.06
v/c Ratio	1.15	1.20		0.44	0.50	0.59	0.04	1.13		0.56	0.82	0.12
Uniform Delay, d1	64.0	64.0		78.8	79.1	79.7	19.2	41.0		27.0	33.8	20.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.83		1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	91.0	108.8		0.9	0.9	5.3	0.5	66.7		48.0	7.4	0.2
Delay (s)	154.9	172.7		79.6	80.1	85.0	16.2	100.6		74.9	41.2	20.2
Level of Service	F	F		Е	F	F	В	F		E	D	С
Approach Delay (s)		163.9			82.2			100.2			37.9	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	y		99.3	H	CM Leve	l of Servio	;e		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra			1.10									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			180.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			15.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		98.4%			of Service)		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

E:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030AM_MIT r2.syn

Ocean Beach CPU 9: W Point Loma Blvd & Nimitz Blvd

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	∢	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ኘኘ	<u></u>	1	ሻሻ	•	1	1	<u></u>	1	ľ	↑ ĵ≽	
Volume (vph)	455	285	120	235	180	325	60	1535	115	100	1375	55
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10
Total Lost time (s)	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0	4.0	4.4	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	3204	3539	1455	3204	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3515	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	3204	3539	1455	3204	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3515	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	495	310	130	255	196	353	65	1668	125	109	1495	60
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	495	310	130	255	196	279	65	1668	125	109	1553	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			10			6			1			2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3			1			1			2
Parking (#/hr)												0
Turn Type	Prot		Free	Prot		Perm	Prot		Free	Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			Free			8			Free			
Actuated Green, G (s)	23.0	35.2	150.0	15.9	27.5	27.5	7.2	68.8	150.0	10.4	72.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	23.0	35.2	150.0	15.9	27.5	27.5	7.2	68.8	150.0	10.4	72.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.15	0.23	1.00	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.05	0.46	1.00	0.07	0.48	
Clearance Time (s)	4.4	4.9		4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0		4.4	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	4.9		2.0	3.7	3.7	2.0	3.7		2.0	5.8	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	491	830	1455	340	342	265	79	1623	1459	115	1687	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.15	0.09		0.08	0.11		0.04	c0.47		c0.07	c0.44	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.09			c0.19			0.09			
v/c Ratio	1.01	0.37	0.09	0.75	0.57	1.05	0.82	1.03	0.09	0.95	0.92	
Uniform Delay, d1	63.5	48.2	0.0	65.1	55.9	61.2	70.8	40.6	0.0	69.5	36.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	42.7	0.6	0.1	8.0	2.6	69.5	45.5	29.7	0.1	66.3	9.7	
Delay (s)	106.2	48.7	0.1	73.1	58.5	130.7	116.3	70.3	0.1	135.8	46.1	
Level of Service	F	D	А	Е	Е	F	F	Е	А	F	D	
Approach Delay (s)		72.4			94.9			67.2			51.9	
Approach LOS		Е			F			Е			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	,		67.5	H	CM Leve	of Servic	e		E			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		1.07									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0		um of los				26.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		89.7%	IC	U Level	of Service	;		E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Ocean Beach CPU 13: W Point Loma Blvd & Bacon St

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	←	•	1	t	۲	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			- ↔			र्स	1		4	
Volume (vph)	55	25	280	5	5	5	100	90	15	15	195	15
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.0			4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.99			1.00	0.98		1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt		0.90			0.95			1.00	0.85		0.99	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.98			0.97	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1621			1735			1815	1549		1838	
Flt Permitted		0.95			0.87			0.75	1.00		0.97	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1548			1539			1399	1549		1793	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.82	0.82	0.82
Adj. Flow (vph)	65	29	329	7	7	7	118	106	18	18	238	18
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	225	0	0	5	0	0	0	11	0	7	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	198	0	0	16	0	0	224	7	0	267	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			7			7			2			2
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm		Perm	Perm		
Protected Phases	-	4		-	8		-	2	-	-	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2		2	6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		8.6		-	8.6			10.6	10.6	-	10.6	
Effective Green, g (s)		8.6			8.6			10.6	10.6		10.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.32			0.32			0.39	0.39		0.39	
Clearance Time (s)		4.0			4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		489			487			545	604		699	
v/s Ratio Prot								•.•				
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.13			0.01			c0.16	0.00		0.15	
v/c Ratio		0.40			0.03			0.41	0.01		0.38	
Uniform Delay, d1		7.3			6.4			6.0	5.1		6.0	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.5			0.0			0.5	0.0		0.4	
Delay (s)		7.8			6.5			6.5	5.1		6.3	
Level of Service		A			A			A	A		A	
Approach Delay (s)		7.8			6.5			6.4			6.3	
Approach LOS		A			A			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			7.0	Н	CM Leve	of Service	•		А			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.41									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			27.2	S	um of lost	t time (s)			8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		56.9%	IC	U Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Ocean Beach CPU 4: W Point Loma Blvd & Sunset Cliffs Blvd

Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 1 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ivu</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>g i ian. i</th><th></th></t<>					ivu							g i ian. i	
Lane Configurations Y 4 Y		٦	-	\rightarrow	4	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Volume (vph) 470 195 10 130 210 50 10 580 80 40 875 970 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 100 100 100 1	Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 100	Lane Configurations	ሻ	\$		٦	•	1	٦	ef 👘		٦	↑	77
Lane Width 10 12 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 10 10	Volume (vph)	470	195	10	130	210	50	10	580	80	40	875	
Total Lost time (s) 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 5.5 5.5 5.4 5.5 5.5 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.6 6.7 1.00	Ideal Flow (vphpl)												1900
Lane Util, Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				12						12			
Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.0	Total Lost time (s)					4.9					5.4		5.4
Fipb, ped/bikes 1.00		0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00	0.88
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Frpb, ped/bikes												
Fit Protected 0.95 0.98 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.07 1.00 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.0 0.02 0.92 <td></td>													
Satd. Flow (prot) 1569 1553 1652 1863 1299 1652 1641 1652 1863 2471 Flt Permitted 0.95 0.98 0.95 1.00 0.007 1.00 0.17 1.00 0.07 1.00 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.02 0.92													
Fit Permitted 0.95 0.98 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.07 1.00 0.17 1.00 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 1569 1553 1652 1863 1299 115 1641 299 1063 2471 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 <th0< td=""><td>Flt Protected</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th0<>	Flt Protected												
Satd. Flow (perm) 1569 1553 1652 1863 1299 115 1641 299 1863 2471 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92	. ,												
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92													
Adj. Flow (vph) 511 212 11 141 228 54 11 630 87 43 951 1054 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 1 0 0 0 42 0 4 0 0 0 344 Lane Group Flow (vph) 363 370 0 141 228 12 11 713 0 43 951 710 Confl. Peks. (#hr) 5 6	Satd. Flow (perm)												
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 1 0 0 42 0 4 0 0 0 344 Lane Group Flow (vph) 363 370 0 141 228 12 11 713 0 43 951 710 Confl. Bikes (#hr) 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 710 Confl. Bikes (#hr) 1 1 1 4 1 1 710 7110 710 710 710	Peak-hour factor, PHF			0.92	0.92		0.92	0.92		0.92	0.92		0.92
Lane Group Flow (vph) 363 370 0 141 228 12 11 713 0 43 951 710 Confl. Peds. (#hr) 1 1 1 4 1 Parking (#hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Turn Type Split Split Perm Perm Perm Perm Prot Prot Protected Phases 4 4 3 3 2 6 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Clearance Time (s) 4.9 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>212</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			212										
Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 5 6 6 6 Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 1 1 4 1 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 Turn Type Split Split Perm 6 6 Permitted Phases 4 3 3 2 6			-	0						0			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 1 1 4 1 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Turn Type Split Split Perm Additional partial	,	363	370		141	228			713	0	43	951	
Parking (#hr) 0 0 0 0 0 Turn Type Split Split Perm Perm Perm Perm Prote Protected Phases 4 4 3 3 2 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.15 0.50				5				6					6
Turn Type Split Split Perm Perm Perm Prot Protected Phases 4 4 3 3 2 6 6 6 Permitted Phases 3 2 6 6 6 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Effective Green, g (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>				1						4			1
Protected Phases 4 4 3 3 2 6 6 Permitted Phases 3 2 6 6 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 66.5 Effective Green, g (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.15 0.50	Parking (#/hr)		0				0		0				-
Permitted Phases 3 2 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Effective Green, g (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.15 0.50							Perm	Perm			Perm		
Actuated Green, G (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Effective Green, g (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 60.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.50 <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td>		4	4		3	3			2			6	6
Effective Green, g (s) 26.1 26.1 18.2 18.2 18.2 60.4 60.4 60.5 60.5 60.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 Clearance Time (s) 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 5.5 5.5 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 Vehicle Extension (s) 2.0													
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.50 <td></td>													
Clearance Time (s) 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 5.5 5.5 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 Vehicle Extension (s) 2.0 <td></td>													
Vehicle Extension (s) 2.0													
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 341 338 251 283 197 58 826 151 939 1246 v/s Ratio Prot 0.23 c0.24 0.09 c0.12 0.43 c0.51 0.29 v/s Ratio Perm 0.01 0.10 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 v/c Ratio 1.06 1.10 0.56 0.81 0.06 0.19 0.86 0.28 1.01 0.57 Uniform Delay, d1 46.9 46.9 47.2 49.2 43.6 16.4 26.2 17.2 29.8 20.7 Progression Factor 1.00 Aproach LOS F													
v/s Ratio Prot 0.23 c0.24 0.09 c0.12 0.43 c0.51 0.29 v/s Ratio Perm 0.01 0.10 0.14 0.14 0.72 0.01 0.10 0.14 v/c Ratio 1.06 1.10 0.56 0.81 0.06 0.19 0.86 0.28 1.01 0.57 Uniform Delay, d1 46.9 46.9 47.2 49.2 43.6 16.4 26.2 17.2 29.8 20.7 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.83 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 66.9 77.0 1.7 14.5 0.0 4.2 7.2 4.7 32.6 1.9 Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0													
v/s Ratio Perm 0.01 0.10 0.14 v/c Ratio 1.06 1.10 0.56 0.81 0.06 0.19 0.86 0.28 1.01 0.57 Uniform Delay, d1 46.9 46.9 47.2 49.2 43.6 16.4 26.2 17.2 29.8 20.7 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.83 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 66.9 77.0 1.7 14.5 0.0 4.2 7.2 4.7 32.6 1.9 Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0<							197	58			151		
v/c Ratio 1.06 1.10 0.56 0.81 0.06 0.19 0.86 0.28 1.01 0.57 Uniform Delay, d1 46.9 46.9 47.2 49.2 43.6 16.4 26.2 17.2 29.8 20.7 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.83 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 66.9 77.0 1.7 14.5 0.0 4.2 7.2 4.7 32.6 1.9 Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0		0.23	c0.24		0.09	c0.12			0.43			c0.51	0.29
Uniform Delay, d1 46.9 46.9 47.2 49.2 43.6 16.4 26.2 17.2 29.8 20.7 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.83 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 66.9 77.0 1.7 14.5 0.0 4.2 7.2 4.7 32.6 1.9 Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>													
Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.83 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 66.9 77.0 1.7 14.5 0.0 4.2 7.2 4.7 32.6 1.9 Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0 Approach LOS D E D													
Incremental Delay, d2 66.9 77.0 1.7 14.5 0.0 4.2 7.2 4.7 32.6 1.9 Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0 41.	-												
Delay (s) 113.8 123.9 48.9 63.7 43.6 17.9 27.0 21.9 62.3 22.6 Level of Service F F D E D B C C E C Approach Delay (s) 118.9 56.2 26.8 41.0 Approach LOS F E C D D E D E D E D E D E D E D E C D E C D E	-												
Level of ServiceFFDEDBCCECApproach Delay (s)118.956.226.841.0Approach LOSFECDIntersection SummaryHCM Average Control Delay54.6HCM Level of ServiceDHCM Volume to Capacity ratio1.00	•												
Approach Delay (s)118.956.226.841.0Approach LOSFECDIntersection SummaryHCM Average Control Delay54.6HCM Level of ServiceDHCM Volume to Capacity ratio1.00													22.6
Approach LOSFECDIntersection SummaryHCM Average Control Delay54.6HCM Level of ServiceDHCM Volume to Capacity ratio1.00		F			D		D	В			С		С
Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 54.6 HCM Level of Service D HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 1.00 1.00 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 120.0 Sum of lost time (s) 15.2												41.0	
HCM Average Control Delay54.6HCM Level of ServiceDHCM Volume to Capacity ratio1.00Actuated Cycle Length (s)120.0Sum of lost time (s)15.2	Approach LOS		F			E			С			D	
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio1.00Actuated Cycle Length (s)120.0Sum of lost time (s)15.2	Intersection Summary												
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 120.0 Sum of lost time (s) 15.2					Н	CM Leve	l of Servic	e		D			
		atio											
Intersection Capacity Utilization 89.5% ICU Level of Service E	, , ,												
		ation		89.5%	IC	CU Level	of Service	;		E			
Analysis Period (min) 15	Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group	c Critical Lane Group												

E:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM_MIT r2.syn

Ocean Beach CPU 9: W Point Loma Blvd & Nimitz Blvd

	٦	-	\mathbf{i}	4	+	•	•	†	/	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	• EBR	• WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	• SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ኘካ	††	1	ኘኘ	†	1	۲.	† †	1	٦	A	
Volume (vph)	185	395	105	190	460	210	140	1530	250	300	1115	330
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10
Total Lost time (s)	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0	4.0	4.4	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	3204	3539	1455	3204	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3396	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	3204	3539	1455	3204	1863	1444	1652	3539	1459	1652	3396	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	201	429	114	207	500	228	152	1663	272	326	1212	359
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	121	0	0	0	0	19	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	201	429	114	207	500	107	152	1663	272	326	1552	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			10			6			1			2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3			1			1			2
Parking (#/hr)												0
Turn Type	Prot		Free	Prot		Perm	Prot		Free	Prot		
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			Free			8			Free			
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.6	31.1	150.0	13.6	35.5	35.5	15.0	61.0	150.0	24.6	70.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	8.6	31.1	150.0	13.6	35.5	35.5	15.0	61.0	150.0	24.6	70.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.21	1.00	0.09	0.24	0.24	0.10	0.41	1.00	0.16	0.47	
Clearance Time (s)	4.4	4.9		4.4	5.5	5.5	4.4	6.0		4.4	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	4.9		2.0	3.7	3.7	2.0	3.7		2.0	5.8	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	184	734	1455	290	441	342	165	1439	1459	271	1598	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.06	0.12		0.06	c0.27		0.09	c0.47		c0.20	0.46	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.08			0.07			0.19			
v/c Ratio	1.09	0.58	0.08	0.71	1.13	0.31	0.92	1.16	0.19	1.20	0.97	
Uniform Delay, d1	70.7	53.6	0.0	66.3	57.2	47.2	66.9	44.5	0.0	62.7	38.7	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	93.2	1.8	0.1	6.8	84.8	0.7	47.0	78.4	0.3	121.0	16.7	
Delay (s)	163.9	55.4	0.1	73.1	142.0	47.9	113.9	122.9	0.3	183.7	55.4	
Level of Service	F	Е	А	Е	F	D	F	F	А	F	E	
Approach Delay (s)		76.2			103.8			106.2			77.5	
Approach LOS		Е			F			F			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela			92.2	Н	CM Leve	l of Servic	e		F			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		1.15									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0		um of los				20.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		105.3%	IC	U Level	of Service	;		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

E:\Projects\11-100-60100_City of SD_OB Comm Plan Update Traffic Analysis\Synchro\2030PM_MIT r4.syn

Ocean Beach CPU 13: W Point Loma Blvd & Bacon St

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	•	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			र्स	1		\$	
Volume (vph)	40	110	165	70	75	5	245	215	150	20	160	25
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.0			4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.98			1.00			1.00	0.97		1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt		0.93			1.00			1.00	0.85		0.98	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.98			0.97	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1682			1809			1814	1537		1814	
Flt Permitted		0.94			0.61			0.75	1.00		0.94	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1585			1130			1400	1537		1718	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.98	0.98	0.98
Adj. Flow (vph)	55	151	226	115	123	8	292	256	179	20	163	26
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	79	0	0	3	0	0	0	90	0	11	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	353	0	0	243	0	0	548	89	0	198	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			17			23			7			14
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm		Perm	Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2		2	6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.5			13.5			21.2	21.2		21.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		13.5			13.5			21.2	21.2		21.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.32			0.32			0.50	0.50		0.50	
Clearance Time (s)		4.0			4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		501			357			695	763		853	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.22			0.22			c0.39	0.06		0.12	
v/c Ratio		0.70			0.68			0.79	0.12		0.23	
Uniform Delay, d1		12.8			12.7			8.9	5.7		6.1	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		4.5			5.3			5.9	0.1		0.1	
Delay (s)		17.3			18.0			14.8	5.8		6.3	
Level of Service		В			В			В	А		А	
Approach Delay (s)		17.3			18.0			12.6			6.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			В			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			13.9	Н	CM Level	of Service)		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.76									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			42.7		um of lost				8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			68.7%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
a Critical Lana Croup												

c Critical Lane Group

Appendix N Conceptual Illustrations of Proposed Mitigation at Intersections

Additional 10' ROW required to accommodate widening

1

12' 5'12' 10' 11' 11'3

12

111

20

2

12'

X

20'

Additional 5' ROW required to accommodate widening

3

Existing ROW = 80'

Existing Curb to Curb = 52' Proposed Curb to Curb = 58' Existing ROW = 86'

Existing Curb to Curb = 64' Proposed Curb to Curb = 76'

NOTES

W Point Loma Blvd

- Add a 2nd SB right-turn lane (of approximately 200 ft in length) along Sunset Cliffs Blvd
- 2 Relocate signal pole and utilities
- 3 Restripe and remove parking for approximately 200 ft along W Point Loma Blvd

Conceptual illustration of proposed improvements. Not for construction.



Int #4: Sunset Cliffs Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd



Conceptual illustration of proposed improvements. Not for construction.



Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery

Int #9: Nimitz Blvd @ W Point Loma Blvd

Appendix 0 Conceptual Illustrations of Proposed Mitigation Along Roadway Segments

Sunset Cliffs Blvd **Proposed Striping Existing Striping** 8' Parking 8' Parking 6' Class II Bike Lane **Voltaire St** 18'Travel Lane 12' Travel Lane 52' 52' 12' Travel Lane 18'Travel Lane 6' Class II Bike Lane 8' Parking 8' Parking **LEGEND** Existing ΧХ Proposed XX GLE & AERIAL

Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery

716.756.7870



Appendix O Proposed Striping (Typical Section) Along Voltaire St

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions



Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions



Appendix O Proposed Striping (Typical Section) Along W Point Loma Blvd

Nimitz Blvd

Proposed Pavement Width & ROW



Existing Pavement Width

	14	8	86′	93	141	-
6' 14'	12′	11'	8′	12′	17'	6'



xx Existing xx Proposed

714.754.7870

Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery



Appendix O Feasibility of Widening Nimitz Blvd to a 6-lane Primary Arterial

W Point Loma Blvd

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions

Sunset Cliffs Blvd **Proposed Striping Existing Striping** 8' Parking 8' Parking 6' Class II Bike Lane **Voltaire St** 18'Travel Lane 12' Travel Lane 52' 52' 12' Travel Lane 18'Travel Lane 6' Class II Bike Lane 8' Parking 8' Parking **LEGEND** Existing ΧХ Proposed XX GLE & AERIAL

Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery

716.756.7870



Appendix O Proposed Striping (Typical Section) Along Voltaire St

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions



Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions



Appendix O Proposed Striping (Typical Section) Along W Point Loma Blvd

Nimitz Blvd

Proposed Pavement Width & ROW



Existing Pavement Width

	14	8	86′	93	141	-
6' 14'	12′	11'	8′	12′	17'	6'



xx Existing xx Proposed

714.754.7870

Aerial Imagery Copyright Eagle Aerial Imagery



Appendix O Feasibility of Widening Nimitz Blvd to a 6-lane Primary Arterial

W Point Loma Blvd

Ocean Beach Buildout Conditions