

Policy Committee Meeting

Tuesday, March 10, 2020

4:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Mission Valley Branch Library 2123 Fenton Parkway San Diego, CA 92108

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER/WELCOME (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)
- **II.** PURPOSE OF THE POLICY COMMITTEE: A standing committee which evaluates recommendations for the Board members for improvements to SDPD policy, procedure, training, or administration of discipline of SDPD police officers.
- III. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT
- IV. APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 11, 2020 COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
- V. UNFINISHED BUSINESS (Discussion/Action)
 - a. Procedure & Guideline for SDPD Complaint Intake of Informal/Formal Complaints (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)
 - b. Modify SDPD Procedure 1.49: Axon Body Worn Cameras Section Q 7(N)
 Issue is some complainants are not allowed to bring person of choice for support when viewing his/her BWC video. (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)
 - c. Development of Third-Party Mediation (Nancy Vaughn) This item is tabled until after the November 2020 Election.
 - d. Best Practices Research for Law Enforcement Investigations Involving Discrimination Allegations (Patrick Anderson)
 - e. Complaint Process Guide Card Issued to All Sworn Personnel (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)
 - f. Prohibit Officers from Viewing Body Worn Camera Video of Others

At its April 24, 2018 open meeting, the CRB made a recommendation to SDPD that SDPD establish a policy that would prohibit officers from viewing surveillance videos or body worn camera videos of other officers prior to being interviewed by Internal Affairs.

Action Item Status: SDPD has reached a decision on this recommendation.

- g. Require a defined SDPD Policy and Procedure and possibly a guide card to provide hearing impaired individuals and SDPD officers for officers to use in the field (**Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert**)
- h. Revise SDPD Procedure 1.49 1.b to require that officers do not turn off his/her body worn cameras until all officers exit an individual's residence (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)
- **VI.** NEW BUSINESS (Discussion/Action)
- VII. ADJOURNED

Materials Provided:

- Minutes from Policy Committee Meeting on February 11, 2020 (Draft)
- SDPD Procedure 1.37 Communicating with Deaf or Hard of Hearing Individuals
- SDPD Procedure 1.49 I (1.b) Axon Body Worn Cameras

<u>Public Comment on an Action/Discussion Item</u>: If you wish to address the Board on an item on today's agenda, please complete a speaker form (on the table near the door) and give it to the Board's Executive Director <u>before</u> the Board hears the agenda item. You will be called to express your comment at the time the item is heard. Please note, however, that you are not required to register your name or provide other information to the Board in order to attend our public session or to speak.

<u>Public Comment on Committee/Staff Reports</u>: Public comment on reports by Board Committees or staff may be heard on items which are specifically noticed on the agenda.

<u>Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda</u>: If you wish to address the Board on any matter within the jurisdiction of the Board that is not listed on today's agenda, you may do so during the PUBLIC COMMENT period during the meeting. Please complete a speaker form (on the table near the door) and give it to the Board's Executive Director. The Board will listen to your comments. However, California's open meeting laws do not permit the Board to take any action on the matter at today's meeting. At its discretion, the Board may refer the matter to staff, to a Board committee for discussion and/or resolution, or place the matter on a future Board agenda. The Board cannot hear specific complaints against named individual officers at open meetings.

Comments from individuals are limited to three (3) minutes per speaker, or less at the discretion of the Chair. At the discretion of the Chair, if a large number of people wish to speak on the same item, comment may be limited to a set period of time per item. If you would like to have an item considered for placement on a future Board agenda, please contact the Executive Director at (619) 236–6296. The Director will consult with the Board Chair who may place the item on a future Board agenda. If you or your organization would like to have the Board meet in your neighborhood or community, please call the Executive Director at (619) 236–6296.

This agenda will be made available in alternate formats upon request, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12132), and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof. Requests

for disability related modifications or accommodations required to facilitate meeting participation, including requests for auxiliary aids, services or interpreters, should be forwarded to communityreviewboard@sandiego.gov, or call (619) 236-6296.

.



COMMUNITY REVIEW BOARD ON POLICE PRACTICES

POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, February 11, 2020

4:00-5:00 PM Mission Valley Branch Library 2123 Fenton Parkway, San Diego, CA 92108

Committee Members Present

Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert Chair Joe Craver Patrick Anderson Doug Case Greg Daunoras Pauline Theodore Nancy Vaughn

Committee Members Absent

2nd Vice Chair Taura Gentry Poppy Fitch

Staff Present

Sharmaine Moseley, Executive Director, CRB on Police Practices

SDPD Staff Present

Charles Lara, Lieutenant, San Diego Police Department Steve Shebloski, Lieutenant, San Diego Police Department

- I. Call to Order: Policy Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert called the meeting to order at 4:08 p.m.
- **II. Purpose of the Policy Committee:** Committee Chair Hilpert waived the reading of the purpose of the Policy Committee since no one from the public was in attendance.
- III. Non-Agenda Public Comment: None
- IV. Approval of December 10, 2019 Committee Meeting Minutes Community Review Board on Police Practices (CRB) Chair Joe Craver moved for the Policy Committee to approve the meeting minutes of December 10, 2019. Committee Member Pauline Theodore seconded the motion.

The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 5-0-2.

Yays: Hilpert, Case, Craver, Theodore, and Vaughn

Nays: None

Abstention(s): Anderson and Daunoras

Absent: 2nd Vice Chair Taura Gentry and Poppy Fitch

V. Unfinished Business (Discussion/Action)

a. Procedure & Guideline for SDPD Complaint Intake of Informal/Formal Complaints (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)

Lieutenant Charles Lara reported that the revision of SDPD Procedure 1.10, which encompasses the complete intake process which includes informal and formal complaints is working its way through the Municipal Employee Association (MEA), as well as the Police Officer's Association (POA). Lieutenant Lara will follow up on this item.

This item was tabled.

 b. Modify SDPD Procedure 1.49: Axon Body Worn Cameras (BWC) – Section Q 7(N) (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)

Committee Chair Hilpert reported that 2nd Vice Chair Taura Gentry agreed to draft language for a policy recommendation to be added to SDPD Procedure 1.49 and then reviewed by the Committee. He will see if Ms. Gentry drafted the language.

This item was tabled.

c. Development of Third-Party Mediation (Nancy Vaughn)

This item is tabled until after the November 2020 elections.

d. Best Practices Research for Law Enforcement Investigations Involving Discrimination Allegations (Patrick Anderson)

Member Patrick Anderson reported that he conducted research on how do other agencies investigate discrimination allegations. He mentioned the 2019 Campaign Zero study on racial disparities in stops and the statistics for that study.

Member Anderson mentioned that he researched the posed questions of "what models exist for investigating discrimination claims?" and "how do other bodies determine if implicit bias racial profiling and or other modes of discrimination have occurred?"

Member Anderson explained two factors that need to be considered in these investigations: discrete instances in discrimination, like slurs, and the differential effect that a policy, action, or procedure have in different communities. Member Anderson went into detail of a study conducted about the San Diego Police Department's stops and arrests. Member Anderson also explained that when officers give statements like "I felt like my life was in danger" the investigation closes. Member Anderson finished off by suggesting that the complainant and police officer should be treated equally during the investigation

The Committee discussed the determinations of "unfounded" and "not sustained" and the mission of the CRB.

Executive Director Sharmaine Moseley agreed to gather research on how various agencies investigate discrimination allegations to narrow down the best practices in order to make a recommendation to SDPD.

This item was tabled.

e. Complaint Process Guide Card Issued to All Sworn Personnel (Taura Gentry)

Committee Chair Hilpert reported that 2nd Vice Chair Taura Gentry was going to revise the card to include formal, informal, and miscellaneous complaints. He will ask Ms. Gentry to send him the file so he can make the edits and clear up Category I and Category II. The title will also be updated to include the CRB's name. The Committee discussed having a card that would have a summary and include a link to the CRB website to get more information.

This item was tabled.

- f. Prohibit Officers from Viewing Body Worn Camera Video of Other Officers (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert) - This item was tabled.
- g. Require a defined SDPD Policy and Procedure and possibly a guide card to provide hearing impaired individuals and SDPD officers for officers to use in the **field (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert)** – This item was tabled. 3

- h. Revise SDPD Procedure 1.49 1.b to require that officers do not turn off his/her body worn cameras until all officers exit an individual's residence (Committee Chair Brandon Hilpert) – This item was tabled.
- VI. New Business (Discussion/Action): None
- VII. Adjourned: 4:55 p.m.



SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT PROCEDURE

DATE:	APRIL 09, 2019
NUMBER:	1.37 - ADMINISTRATION
SUBJECT;	COMMUNICATING WITH DEAF OR HARD-OF-HEARING INDIVIDUALS
RELATED POLICY:	N/A
ORIGINATING DIVISION:	CHIEF'S OFFICE
NEW PROCEDURE: PROCEDURAL CHANGE: SUPERSEDES:	□ ■ NO CHANGES DP 1.37 – 10/02/2015

I. <u>PURPOSE</u>

This Department procedure establishes guidelines for communicating with deaf or hardof-hearing individuals.

II. <u>SCOPE</u>

This procedure applies to all members of the Department.

III. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- A. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal civil rights law. It gives federal civil rights protections (similar to those provided to individuals based on race, color, sex, national origin, age, and religion) to individuals with disabilities. It guarantees equal opportunity for individuals with disabilities in state and local government services, public accommodations, employment, transportation, and telecommunications.
- B. Title II of the ADA prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities in state and local government services, programs, and employment. Law enforcement agencies are considered local government entities. The ADA

mandate affects many traditional police service responsibilities, for example: receiving citizen complaints; interrogating witnesses; arresting, booking, and holding suspects; operating telephone (911) centers; providing emergency medical services; and, enforcing laws.

IV. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. Qualified Interpreter for Department purposes, a qualified sign language interpreter is someone who is either retained by the Deaf Community Services (DCS) of San Diego or the Network Interpreting Service (NIS). These are the only two agencies currently contracted by our Department to supply qualified interpreters for translations in sign language.
- B. Certified Bilingual in American Sign Language Interpreter someone who has passed the National Association of the Deaf (NAD) and/or Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf (RID) tests. Certification as bilingual in American Sign Language alone does not fulfill the Department requirements for being considered a qualified or certified interpreter unless the interpreter is also retained by either DCS or NIS.
- C. Certified Bilingual in American Sign Language by the City of San Diego individuals who have varying levels of proficiency in sign language. The Americans Disabilities Act does not recognize these individuals as qualified or certified interpreters.

V. <u>PROCEDURES</u>

- A. It is the policy of the San Diego Police Department that it will furnish appropriate auxiliary aids and services whenever necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. The type of auxiliary aid or service necessary to ensure effective communication will vary in accordance with the length and complexity of the communication involved.
- B. Auxiliary aids and services include qualified interpreters, written materials, note pads, and other effective methods of making aurally delivered materials available to individuals who are deaf or hard-of hearing.
- C. The ADA requires that the expressed choice of the individual with the disability, who is in the best position to know their needs, should be given primary consideration in determining which communication aid to provide. "Primary Consideration" means that the San Diego Police Department must honor the choice, unless it can show that another effective means of communication is available.

- D. Except under emergency circumstances, Department members should not rely on family members, who are frequently emotionally involved, to provide sign language interpreting.
- E. Personnel strictly certified as bilingual in American Sign Language do not meet the Department requirements of a qualified interpreter and shall not be used to Mirandize or interview arrestees. Certified bilingual Department members should only be used in emergencies and for basic information translations.
- F. When needing sign language translations for arrestee interviews, officers are to use interpreters contracted through DCS or NIS. Department members must meet the following requirements when communicating with a deaf or hard-of-hearing individual under the following circumstances:
 - 1. Interviews prior to arresting an individual who is deaf or hard-ofhearing
 - a. If written communication is ineffective and a Departmentcertified ASL officer is available to translate, whether the translation is for a victim or suspect, they shall be utilized and a report shall be written. If those efforts fail, then a qualified interpreter from DCS or NIS must be notified and utilized. The DCS and NIS interpreter will translate for the investigating officer, who will complete a detailed report.
 - 2. Arrests without a Subsequent Interview
 - a. As in circumstances when an individual who is not deaf or hardof-hearing is arrested on probable cause without an interview, an arrestee in the same situation who is deaf or hard-of-hearing does not need to be provided with a qualified interpreter as long as no interview is required.
 - b. A qualified interpreter may still be required if an officer, using a note pad or another means of communication, is unable to convey to the arrestee the nature of the criminal charges.
 - 3. Interviewing an Arrestee Who is Deaf or Hard-of-hearing
 - a. If an officer arrests an individual who uses sign language as their primary form of communication and the officer intends to interview the arrestee, the officer must first secure the services of a qualified sign language interpreter (through DCS or NIS) to communicate accurately the Miranda warnings and translate any subsequent interview.

- b. Officers should be aware that California Evidence Code Section 754 mandates qualified interpreters for the deaf in civil and criminal cases. In particular, Section 754(k) states that no statement, written or oral, made by a deaf person may be used against that person unless the question and subsequent answer was accurately interpreted.
- 4. Issuance of Citations
 - a. A qualified interpreter is not required for deaf or hard-of-hearing individuals who receive a citation and where there is no need for questioning.
 - b. Officers will attempt to convey infraction violations to the deaf or hard-of-hearing via a notepad or other form of communication. If this is not possible, the officer should use their discretion whether to call a qualified interpreter to the scene or to refrain from issuing the citation
- 5. Interviewing a Victim or Critical Witness Who is Deaf or Hard-of-hearing
 - a. If an officer is able to communicate effectively by writing questions on a note pad and having the victim or witness who is deaf or hard-of-hearing write their responses, then the officer may proceed with the interview using a note pad. However, if an investigating officer is unable to communicate effectively with a victim or critical witness by using a note pad or some other means of communication other than a qualified interpreter, then a qualified interpreter must be provided.
 - b. All written questions and responses between officers and individuals who are deaf or hard-of-hearing must be treated as evidence and handled accordingly. A copy of the written questions and responses should be forwarded with the police report, and the originals should be impounded as evidence.
 - c. In order to facilitate investigative follow up, officers need to document all forms of contact for the deaf or hard-of-hearing individual. This includes direct phone numbers for video relay services, e-mail addresses, instant messenger screen names, etc.
- 6. Investigative Follow-Up
 - a. If a case has been submitted to an investigative unit, and a detective needs to re-interview a deaf or hard-of-hearing victim, witness or suspect, the detective should schedule an appointment

using a qualified interpreter from DCS or NIS if written or typed communication would be ineffective. The purpose of follow-up interviews is to elicit detailed and specific information. Therefore, detectives should refrain from using certified bilingual Department members since their use is designated for emergencies and for basic information gathering only.

VI. <u>REQUESTS FOR SIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS</u>

- A. Deaf Community Services (DCS) of San Diego is the designated sign language interpreter service provider for the San Diego Police Department. DCS can be reached at (619) 398-2488, Monday through Friday, 0830-1700 hours. Sign language interpreters are also available though Network Interpreting Service (NIS) at 1-800-284-1043. NIS is open from 0700-1600 hours, Monday through Friday. Interpreters needed after business hours can be accessed through NIS only.
- B. DCS can make appointments to provide interpreters for interviews with suspects, witnesses, or victims. Communications personnel can provide the listed phone numbers to field officers. However, it is incumbent upon the officer in the field to call DCS to personally request an interpreter, as the interpreting service will need specific information that Communications personnel may not be able to provide. If unsure how to work with an interpreter, Department members should ask the interpreter to explain the process. One important technique is to speak directly to the deaf person and not to the interpreter.
- C. Communications Division maintains a list of Department members who are certified bilingual in American Sign Language by the City of San Diego and can be utilized when temporary emergency assistance is needed. However, these members do not fulfill the "qualified or formally certified interpreter" requirement if requested by a deaf or hard-of-hearing person. DCS or NIS interpreters must be used in those circumstances.
- D. The Deaf and Disabled Telecommunications Program funds toll-free numbers for contacting members of the deaf and hard-of-hearing community. These numbers enable people who use voice telephones to communicate with those who use Text Telephones (TTY's), and vice versa. To use the California Relay System, choose one of listed numbers. The operator will "convey" the conversation between the parties. The conversations are confidential and free of charge to both parties.

California Relay System	1(800)735-2922
Sprint	1(888)877-5379

E. Video Relay Service (VRS) is the newest technology for communicating with the Deaf or hard-of-hearing over the phone. Individuals who use VRS will generally have a personal assigned phone number which will connect the caller with a video interpreter who will use a webcam to communicate with the client. In most cases, messages can be left for later delivery if the client does not answer. VRS is federally funded and is free for Department members to initiate or receive these types of calls.

VII. EMERGENCY EVACUATIONS

- A. During emergency situations that necessitate evacuations, considerations must be made when dealing with deaf or hard-of-hearing individuals. The deaf or hard-of-hearing may not receive evacuation notices from Reverse 911 or Alert San Diego, they may not hear announcements made over PA, and they may not hear officers knocking at the door or windows.
- B. During evacuations officers should remember to use doorbells as they may be connected to lighting alerts inside homes. Officers should use short words or phrases, and use universal hand gestures to communicate where the deaf or hard-of-hearing person needs to go.
- C. If an officer encounters a person who is deaf and blind, officers should use the prescribed method to communicate an emergency exists: Move to the person's backside and draw a large "X" across the person's back. This is a regionally taught symbol for "emergency." Then use an appropriate method to guide and evacuate the deaf/blind individual. To later communicate with a deaf/blind person, draw capital letters individually in the palm of the person's left hand. Wipe the palm between each word to indicate the start of a new word.

Axon Body WORN Camera Procedure

H. Privacy Concerns and Advisements

- 1. Private Citizens do not have a reasonable expectation of privacy when talking with police officers during the scope of an officer's official duties, even when the contact is in a private residence. When officers are lawfully present in a home (warrant, consent, or exigent circumstances) in the course of official duties, there is no reasonable expectation of privacy. Therefore, officers are not required to give notice they are recording. However, if asked, officers shall advise citizens they are being recorded.
- 2. Officers are not required to initiate or cease recording an event, situation or circumstance solely at the demand of a citizen.
- 3. Officers and supervisors involved in the investigation of a complaint against a member of the police department must inform complainants and complaint witnesses they are being recorded.
- I. Mandated Recordings
 - 1. Enforcement Related Contacts
 - a. All officers who are usued a BWC shall keep their BWC on Buffering Mode/Stand-by Mode while on duty, except during instances listed in this procedure under Prohibited Recordings. Keeping the BWC on Buffering/Stand-by Mode allows officers to capture pre-event recordings when the Event Mode is activated.
 - b. Officers shall use the Event Mode to record enforcement related contacts. The Event Mode shall be activated prior to actual contact with the citizen, or as soon as safely possible thereafter, and continue recording until the contact is concluded or the contact transitions from an enforcement contact into intelligence gathering.
 - Officers shall begin recording in the event mode while driving to a call that has the potential to involve an enforcement contact.
 - d. Officers are strongly encouraged to inform citizens they are being recorded in an effort to de-escalate potential conflicts.
 - e. Enforcement related contacts include the following: Traffic stops, field interviews, detentions, arrests, persons present at radio calls who are accused of crimes, and consensual encounters in which the officer is attempting to develop reasonable suspicion on the subject of the encounter.