

DATE ISSUED:	January 28, 2019	REPORT NO: 104
ATTENTION:	Park and Recreation Board	
SUBJECT:	Replacement of Natural Turf with Synthetic Turf on Multipurpose Field for Carmel Valley Community Park – Amendment to the General Development Plan	
<u>SUMMARY</u>		

<u>Issue</u>: Should the Park and Recreation Board recommend approval of the amendment to the General Development Plan (GDP) for the replacement of natural turf with synthetic turf on the multipurpose field at Carmel Valley Community Park in the Carmel Valley community?

<u>Director's Recommendation</u> – Recommend approval of the proposed replacement of natural turf with synthetic turf on the multipurpose field at Carmel Valley Community Park in the Carmel Valley community.

<u>Other Recommendations</u> – The following groups have reviewed and considered the proposed projects. Actions taken made by these groups are listed under Discussion below.

• On January 15, 2019 the Carmel Valley Recreation Advisory Group voted (7-2-0) recommending approval of the proposed GDP amendment.

<u>Fiscal Impact</u> – The total project budget is as follows: Carmel Valley Community Park Turf Upgrade is \$4,274,121. Funding for the proposed project is available from Del Mar Hills Major District Funds and Carmel Valley Facilities Benefit Assessments (FBA).

<u>Water and Energy Conservation Status</u> – The proposed turf replacement for Carmel Valley Community Park complies with all water and energy conservation guidelines contained in Council Policy 200-14.

<u>Environmental</u> – As proposed, the project would likely qualify for a CEQA exemption pursuant to CEQA State Guidelines, Section(s) 15301(h) [Existing Facilities] for maintenance of existing landscaping and 15304(a)/(b) [Minor Alterations of Land] for grading on land with slopes of less than 10% and for conversion of conventional with water efficient landscaping such as turf; and where the exceptions listed in Section 15300.2 would not apply.

Page 2

BACKGROUND

The community previously identified the need to replace the natural turf with synthetic turf. The synthetic turf project was approved by the Carmel Valley Community Planning Board back in 2015. The then-recreation advisory group made the recommendation for synthetic turf as it provides a more consistent and level multipurpose field that eliminates or requires less irrigation, fertilization and maintenance. The synthetic turf field would also provide year-round use with no down time for reseeding and can support heavier use by the public, allowing for additional programming at the park.

The community also previously identified the need of associated accessibility (ADA) path of travel improvements.

DISCUSSION

Public Works Department staff and the designer conducted two public meetings with the recreational advisory group and community. The initial meeting was focused on a discussion of the synthetic turf infill material. During these community workshops, City staff and the consultant provided the recreational advisory group and community a sample of the synthetic infill material and information in a matrix format with pros and cons of the selected material, on categories of concerns including temperature, safety, water use, environmental, and maintenance.

The proposed GDP amendment before the Board is a product of consensus achieved through those meetings as well as substantial design development undertaken by staff, the community, and project designers.

The proposed amendment to the General Development Plan also includes associated accessibility (ADA) path of travel improvements.

ALTERNATIVES

- Recommend approval of the amendment to the General Development Plan (GDP) for Carmel Valley Community Park.
- 2. Recommend approval of the amendment to the General Development Plan (GDP) for Carmel Valley Community Park, with modifications.
- 3. Do not recommend approval of the amendment to the General Development Plan (GDP) for Carmel Valley Community Park.

Respectfully submitted,

Elif Cetin

Deputy Director Public Works Department Architectural Engineering and Parks Division

Page 3

Attachments:

1. Supporting exhibits (presentation presented to Carmel Valley Recreation Advisory Group)

cc: Council District 1

Herman Parker, Director, Park and Recreation Department Andy Field, Assistant Director, Park and Recreation Department James Nagelvoort, Director, Public Works Department Cynthia Meinhardt, PO II, Public Works Department Sepi Amirazizi, Associate Engineer, Public Works Department Jody Cheung, Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department



CARMEL VALLEY COMMUNITY PARK Existing Conditions - Aerial

JANUARY 15, 2019









CARMEL VALLEY COMMUNITY PARK Existing Conditions - Photos

JANUARY 15, 2019



CARMEL VALLEY COMMUNITY PARK **Proposed Improvements**

JANUARY 15, 2019



ACCESSIBLE BLEACHER

Aluminum 5-level bleachers with guardrail and ADA accessibility



PICNIC TABLE

Accessible precast concrete picnic table



DRINKING FOUNTAIN

Accessible drinking fountain with pet bowl



Field Turf Classic HD with PureFill (cork) infill and Versatile pad



CARMEL VALLEY COMMUNITY PARK Materials & Finishes

JANUARY 15, 2019

	KEEP FIELD AS NATURAL TURF	REPLACE FIELD WITH SYNTHETIC TURF *
Turf Type	Natural	Field Turf Classic HD with PureFill (cork) infill
Playability	 No play after heavy rainfalls Rest period required to ensure continued quality 	 Year-round season No rest period required
Heat	• Air Temperature	 In full sun, on hot days: Surface: 30° - 50° hotter than air temp. 2' above surface: 5° hotter than air temp. 5' above surface: equal to air temp.
Safety	 Susceptible to rodent holes Hard playing surface 	 Cork infill is non-toxic Underlay pad and infill absorb shock from falls
Restrictions	• None	• Food, gum, sunflower seeds, stakes
Water Use	• Average of 70,000 gallons per week for 2.7-acre field	• Minimal water needed for maintenance
Environmental	 PROS: Helps control climate, absorbs carbon dioxide, releases oxygen, lower fire hazard risk CONS: High water use, fertilizers used 	 PROS: Minimal water use, no fertilizers used, 50% of shock pad is made from recycled synthetic turf CONS: Contributes to urban heat island effect, higher fire hazard risk, manufacturing emissions, end-of-life disposal
Maintenance	 Mowing: Weekly Fertilization: 3x / year (recommended) Aeration: 4x / year Repairs: None (repairs itself) Renovation: Resodding every 2 years 	 Brushing: Every 2-4 weeks & after heavy rainfall Washing/Sweeping: as needed to remove debris Aerating: 3x / year Repairs: As needed due to vandalism damage Renovation: Infill top dressing once a year
Replacement	• Re-sodding every 2 years	• 8-10 years after installation
Construction	• Every 2 years for re-sodding	 Initial installation After 8-10 years: Replace carpet

* Funding is not secured for replacement of turf after 8-10 year lifespan.

CARMEL VALLEY COMMUNITY PARK Turf Comparison Matrix

JANUARY 15, 2019

