

Submitted Time	Name:	Meeting Date:	Comment Type	Agenda Item	Comments:
5/18/2021 10:02	Yolanda Apalategui	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	33	Item 33-2021 World Lupus Month Thank you Councilmember Cate for raising awareness on this important issue that disproportionately affects minority women.
5/17/2021 13:49	Brooke H Donner	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Brooke Donner, I am a research coordinator at UCSD and a member of UPTE. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act
5/17/2021 13:51	TANYA AKEL	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is tANYA Akel. I am a [community college instructor and member of AFT. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act"
5/17/2021 13:52	Shaun McCollum	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Shaun McCollum. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the
5/17/2021 14:48	Neil Sholander	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Neil Sholander, I am a business representative and a member of Teamsters 911. I am contact you to urge your support for agenda item 104, being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act will restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are necessary for addressing working conditions like health and safety, and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to be in a union. 911 endorses this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act
5/17/2021 14:52	Susan Orlofsky	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good day Council-Members: My name is Susan Orlofsky. I am a retiree from UCSD and an active member of UPTE-CWA 9119, Local 9. I reside in Ocean Beach, CA (zip code 92107) I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution supporting the PRO Act. The PRO Act is essential legislation that would help restore fairness for U.S. workers by strengthening protections for union organizing. Union representation improves the quality of life for those who are fortunate to be protected by a union contract. Unions are critical to effectively address health and safety on the job--so crucial during these rough times of COVID and economic distress for so many of our San Diego community. Union membership is the key to rebuilding our middle class. For these reasons I urgently ask you to vote to support passage of the PRO Act. By doing so you will vote to improve the lives of San Diego workers. Sincerely, Susan Orlofsky
5/17/2021 15:04	Andrea Bogardus	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Andrea Bogardus, I am an RN and a member of California Nurse Association. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act"

5/17/2021 15:06	Ernie Fena	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Ernie Fena. My wife is a Nurse and member of the California Nurse Association. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act"
5/17/2021 15:28	Claudia T. De Simone	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning Councilmembers, My name is Claudia De Simone. I am the Office Manager at Local 325, American Federation of Musicians and a proud 45-year member of the Office and Professional Employees International Union. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. Without my Union and benefits negotiated via collective bargaining agreements, I would not have been able to afford medical, dental, prescription, vision, and life insurance, among other advantages, and earn a decent salary.
5/17/2021 15:37	Michael Taylor	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Michael Taylor, I am an Electrician and a member of IBEW 569. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act!
5/17/2021 16:18	Moses Cuevas	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Moses Cuevas, I am a homecare provider and a member of UDW AFSCME Local 3930. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act.
5/17/2021 17:10	Micah Mitrosky	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Writing to urge your yes vote on the Resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. Thank you for taking up this item!
5/17/2021 17:37	Nate Fairman	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning Councilmembers, My name is Nate Fairman and I am the Business Manager of IBEW Local Union 465 representing 2,900 Union Utility Workers. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act. In Solidarity, Nate Fairman
5/17/2021 20:38	Lucas O'Connor	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	The ability to organize freely and bargain collectively is a basic right for all, and a cornerstone of economic resilience and durable prosperity shared by all. The PRO Act takes vital, longoverdue steps to restore and protect these core principles of workers' rights, and provide real accountability for those who break the rules. The Progressive Labor Alliance strongly supports the PRO Act and encourages the City Council to adopt this resolution on behalf of all San Diegans who believe in fair opportunity for workers to protect themselves, and believe that businesses who play by the rules shouldn't be put at a disadvantage against businesses who don't. Thank you for bringing this item forward today, and hopefully standing up for an economy that puts people at least on par with profits as we seek a system that works for everyone.

5/18/2021 5:58	Jose Durazo	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning council members, my name is Jose Durazo and I am an electrical apprentice who recently passed the California Journeyman State Exam, a proud member of the San Diego IBEW 569, a husband, and father of 3 and a proud native of San Diego. I am writing to express support for agenda time 104 that is being considered today for the resolution of passing the PRO Act. If passed, then we would strengthen our working force by providing protection necessary for workers to organize. Unions are important because they protect and provide conditions that protect and serve peoples safety to health. People are the building block to a city and without safe and healthy persons to continue to build up such a great city, then where would we be. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act!"
5/18/2021 6:34	Jennifer Wilson	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Jennifer Wilson, I am an Electrician and a member of IBEW 569. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act!
5/18/2021 6:56	Leticia Munguia	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	"Good morning Council President Mrs. Campbell and councilmembers- My name is Leticia Munguia and I am with AFSCME District Council 36. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act" We urge you to take a step to stop ANTI-Union organizing efforts and support workers on the front lines. Si Se Puede! Thank you for your time and consideration. Leticia Munguia, AFSCME
5/18/2021 7:51	Johnny Galvan	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	"Good morning council members, my name is Johnny Galvan, I am a Sheet Metal Worker and a member of SMART Local 206. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act"
5/18/2021 8:14	Nephi Hancock	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	"Good morning councilmembers, my name is Nephi Hancock, I am an electrician and a member of IBEW 569. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act!"
5/18/2021 8:53	Dom Luyo	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Dom Luyo, I am a Sheet Metal Worker and a member of SMART Local 206. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical and addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act.
5/18/2021 9:03	Laura Hunter	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Honorable City Council, I would like urge you to support the resolution before you today to support the PRO Act. One thing I have learned in my long career of environmental activism is that good jobs are necessary for environmental protection and quality of life. Unions are critical in ensuring that the jobs created are safe and offer family-supporting wages. These are necessary for a fair and functioning economy and society. Please support the PRO Act. Laura Hunter
5/18/2021 10:33	Noah Harris	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning Council Members, this is Noah Harris, Policy Advocate with Climate Action Campaign, writing to express our support for item 104 of today's agenda. Good union jobs are essential to achieving a sustainable, equitable San Diego future. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act"

5/18/2021 10:36	Christa Davis	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Christa Davis, I am a Public Relations Manager and a member of OPEIU 277. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act. Thank you.
5/18/2021 10:40	Simon Williams	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Simon Williams , I am a Street light technician/ aspiring inside wireman Apprentice, and a member of IBEW 569. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addressing working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote supporting the
5/18/2021 10:56	Beau Coleman	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is Beau Coleman, I am a Business Manager and a member of IW Local 229. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act
5/18/2021 10:57	David Johnson	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	104	Good morning councilmembers, my name is David Johnson, I am a Business Agent and a member of IW Local 229. I am writing to express support for agenda item 104 that is being considered today-- a resolution in support of passing the PRO Act. The PRO Act would help to restore fairness to the economy by strengthening protections for workers trying to organize. Unions are critical in addresses working conditions such as health and safety and historically have improved the quality of life for those who are fortunate enough to have one. We commend this action and urge you all to vote support the passing of the PRO Act
5/17/2021 13:27	Chris	5/17/2021	City Council Comment	330	<p>Good afternoon, my name is Chris Nielsen and I speak today in support of removing the deed restrictions on property given by the City of San Diego to UC San Diego.</p> <p>As a 50-year resident of University City and member of the UC San Diego Chancellor's Community Advisory Board, I understand the impact of UC San Diego's development on the University Community.</p> <p>As UC San Diego implements its Long Range Development Plan it is important for the University to preserve debt capacity for critical academic and research facilities and additional on-campus housing which is most important to the University Community. Removing the deed restrictions east of the I-5 freeway will allow public-private projects to be developed on campus, providing benefits to both the University and Community while generating over 82 million dollars to the City over twenty years, a win-win for both.</p> <p>I urge you to join with the County of San Diego and the United States Navy in supporting the release of deed restrictions by the City that will enable the proposed public-private partnerships to operate effectively.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

5/17/2021 13:32	Chris Nielen	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	330	<p>Good morning, my name is Chris Nielsen and I speak today in support of removing the deed restrictions on property given by the City of San Diego to UC San Diego.</p> <p>As a 50-year resident of University City and member of the UC San Diego Chancellor's Community Advisory Board, I understand the impact of UC San Diego's development on the University Community.</p> <p>As UC San Diego implements its Long Range Development Plan it is important for the University to preserve debt capacity for critical academic and research facilities and additional on-campus housing which is most important to the University Community. Removing the deed restrictions east of the I-5 freeway will allow public-private projects to be developed on campus, providing benefits to both the University and Community while generating over 82 million dollars to the City over twenty years, a win-win for both.</p> <p>I urge you to join with the County of San Diego and the United States Navy in supporting the release of deed restrictions by the City that will enable the proposed public-private partnerships to operate effectively.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
5/17/2021 15:53	Donna Dewes McLoughlin	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>I am writing to encourage the City Council and the Mayor to adopt # 331. Our business districts need oversight and accountability. I have observed that the current management has for years operated without any oversight and is not representing all of its stakeholders. The actions of the BID seem to be only benefiting a few segments of the community and specifically businesses that support the management. Sadly, many businesses have become apathetic and no longer participate as they are left out of relevant conversations. I would like to see the BID benefit all members and not just the agenda of a few. Please support better accountability of funds spent and allocated by BIDs. Thank you, Donna McLoughlin</p>
5/18/2021 8:13	Susan McNeil Schreyer	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>I DO NOT WISH TO COMMENT. I represent the Mission Hills Business Improvement District and its nearly 500 small business members in support of Item 331, the FY 2022 Business Improvement District Budgets - Annual Appropriation Process and urge a yes vote.</p>
5/18/2021 9:54	Scott Kessler	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>Scott Kessler, Executive Director of the Adams Avenue Business Association supports item 331 BID Budgets. No need to speak. Thank you.</p>
5/18/2021 10:14	Denny Knox	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>I fully support this item. I don't need to speak. Thank you.</p>
5/18/2021 10:59	Michael Trimble	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>I would like to show my support for the FY22 Business Improvement District budget approval. On behalf of the over 400 merchants doing business in the Gaslamp Quarter we are in full support of the small business program that help promote and support our small business community.</p>
5/18/2021 11:37	Andrea McLenon	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>I am a resident of district 7 and I work in East Village. I would like to voice my support of analyzing the business improvement districts in the city. We need transparency! Thank you.</p>
5/18/2021 11:43	Zane Thurston	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	331	<p>I am a district 7 resident in support of the analyzing of the business improvement districts and we need to see transparency on this issue. Thank you.</p>

5/16/2021 21:33	Sharon Gehl	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Re: Dear Councilmember, SOHO has told you in the past that the City can legally force historical designation on a property if it is for the "greater public good". The HRB is not allowed to consider everything necessary to make that determination in this case, therefore the City Council needs to do it. The City Council delegated the power to make decisions on historical matters to the HRB, but not the power to consider anything else. The City Council can and has to consider everything when you make your decision on 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street.</p> <p>Pros</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Because the majority of people aren't interested in historic museums or tours, it's difficult for professional preservationists to make money. Forcing designation on one or more of these properties might make it possible for a professional to make money. <p>Cons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •There are many better uses for this large property in a key location than as a museum. Designation of either of these buildings would make it harder, and more expensive to build much needed new housing with attendant construction jobs. •There are dozens of vacant and boarded up buildings throughout the city that are vacant only because they were designated as historical resources. •As Ms. Rosati told you, "City Code Enforcement determined 328 Grape posed a serious threat to the public's health and safety and it was declared a public nuisance. Demolition was the only viable remedy when two years of attempts to secure, board up, and monitor the structure failed." Designation would prevent demolition of the building, a matter of health and safety to the neighborhood and a fire threat to the residents of the apartment building next door. •We have thousands of historically designated buildings in the city that the majority of people don't care about. We don't need buildings like 328 Grape that aren't "special" examples of an established architectural style; even HRB Staff agrees that 2004 4th Avenue should not be designated historic. •Preventing new housing and jobs near public transit would also be bad for the City's Climate Action Plan. •Historical designation lowers property values, because it puts constraints on what can be done with a property and raises costs. Preventing much needed new development on a key site would hurt the community and prevent increases in the City's tax base. <p>Conclusion</p> <p>According to Wikipedia, historical preservation is a "philosophical concept". It is not a matter of life and death like climate change or public safety, nor a necessity like housing and jobs; therefore, other criteria such as climate change, public safety, housing, and jobs should be given higher priority by the City Council.</p> <p>Because the Cons outweigh the Pros, I urge you to support the appeal of the historical designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape</p>
5/17/2021 11:10	William Richardson	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Dear City of San Diego,</p> <p>Re: Item 333 – Support the Appeal of the Historical Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street</p> <p>Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to support the Appeal of the Historic Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street. Neither one of these properties should be designated historic.</p> <p>I support the idea that the determination of the Historical Resources Board can be reversed on the basis of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Factual Errors 2) Violations of Board Procedures 3) New Information <p>Nexus Planning presented convincing evidence that neither one of these properties should be designated based on the above-mentioned criteria. Furthermore, as clearly indicated in the Staff Report for May 18, 2021, The City of San Diego Historic Staff agrees that 2004 4th Avenue should not be designated historic.</p> <p>I respectfully ask that City Council upholds the Appeal of the Historic Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street. Neither one of these properties should be designated historic.</p> <p>Thank you, William Richardson</p>

5/17/2021 11:16	Rebecca Veen	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Dear City of San Diego,</p> <p>Re: Item 333 – Support the Appeal of the Historical Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street</p> <p>Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to support the Appeal of the Historic Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street. Neither one of these properties should be designated historic.</p> <p>I support the idea that the determination of the Historical Resources Board can be reversed on the basis of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Factual Errors 2) Violations of Board Procedures 3) New Information <p>Nexus Planning presented convincing evidence that neither one of these properties should be designated based on the above-mentioned criteria. Furthermore, as clearly indicated in the Staff Report for May 18, 2021, The City of San Diego Historic Staff agrees that 2004 4th Avenue should not be designated historic.</p> <p>I respectfully ask that City Council upholds the Appeal of the Historic Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street. Neither one of these properties should be designated historic.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Rebecca Veen</p>
5/17/2021 14:47	Michael and Roz Plishner	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Please support HG Fenton in their appeal of the historic designation for 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street</p>

5/18/2021 9:44	Ian Epley	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Ian B. Epley 311 4th Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 May 15, 2021</p> <p>Dear City of San Diego Mayor, Councilmember and HRB,</p> <p>Re: Item 333 – Please Support the Appeal of the Historical Designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street</p> <p>The arguments you have received from others supporting the Appeal are spot on and righteous. My additional argument is that there needs to be a challenge to the 'public good' concept of Historical Resources. Just by using the term 'public good' does not necessarily make it so. Not only does the broad net of the HRB cause an existential threat to solving our Housing crisis, Climate Change challenges, planning the future, etc., the 'public good' concept of historical resources is at best a loose subjective term, and at worst a term used to serve a juggernaut industry that uses 'historical resources' as a 'no-growth' cudgel and to serve as a monetization of history. There seems to be no oversight of a more and more powerful industry that only serves a niche group of zealous romantics that never lived the history, but requires a warm and fuzzy feeling as they drive by a property they don't own.</p> <p>And that is the issue. Why should a community's needs acquiesce to the needs of a few folks that require a physical presence of a structure to remind them of history? While there are examples of structures that serve us well to remind us of our heritage, the Marston House, Heritage Park, the Abby, to name a few, the whole of the community should not be turned into a museum that paralyzes the needs of communities and keeps in Stasis, as evident in the Hillcrest Core. Not every structure needs to be saved. However, we still have a few gems out there like the recently repurposed 'Reese Steely' building across the street from aforementioned property. But to seemingly save every building that the Historical Resources department can, is harmful to address the needs of a community. Looking at Roger Showley's "San Diego; Then and Now" picture book, does more for historical record than touring a house that is celebrated for being old for old sake.</p> <p>While the Appeal of the historical designation request by the Historical Resources Dept. is a 'no brainer', a broader discussion needs to take place what roll the Historical Resources Dept. will play into the future needs of communities struggling to solve Housing issues, Climate Change, infrastructure needs, etc.</p> <p>Conclusion Because the Cons outweigh the Pros, I urge you to support the appeal of the historical designation of 2004 4th Avenue and 328 Grape Street. Neither one of these properties should be designated historic.</p> <p>Thank you, Ian Epley, Former Chair and Board Member of Upton Planners</p>
5/18/2021 9:47	Gloria M Brattich	5/18/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>On Item 333, I support the City appealing the historical designation of 2004 4th Ave and especially the condemned safety concern and eyesore 328 Grape Street. The uptown area, of which I am a proud resident, has plenty of well maintained HRB designated buildings but not enough new housing. I believe the current designation is an error, and is holding my area back from positive forward change that is sorely needed.</p>
5/15/2021 15:12	Miranda Aponte	5/17/2021	Non-Agenda Comment		<p>What are we going to do about the excessive force of police and unhoused people? We need to defund the police and reallocate these resources into education, housing and food resources. This is unacceptable.</p>
5/17/2021 7:06	Francine Maxwell	5/17/2021	Non-Agenda Comment		<p>Good day, I would like the Council to show the community how much you care about diversity and increase the Fire-Rescue budget so we can have Black women join the department and life guards can have diversity as well. Why is our department paying such a low range? Why does the police budget use 88% for staff and maybe 12% for equipment. We need new Fire-Rescue staff and their budget needs to change to recruit diversity.</p>
5/17/2021 17:36	Katie McMahon	5/17/2021	Non-Agenda Comment		<p>I am an educator in City Heights. My elementary school was in adjacent to the Euclid Child Development Center at 4141 Menlo Avenue San Diego, CA 92105. The child development center closed in the summer of 2020. The city has done nothing since August 2020 to keep the school grounds safe. My staff parking lot is entered through the parking lot for the previous child development center. On multiple occasions I have witnessed multiple cars parked in the lot next to the previous preschool with what appears to be people living in their cars, mattresses up against my school fence, shopping carts full of trash, trash, and even transient and homeless individuals walking into the staff parking lot. Their appears to be no efforts to prevent congregation of homeless next to this building. Children have been attending on campus since Fall 2020 up to 5 days a week. This is a safety threat to both the students and staff. Children do not deserve to come to school in these conditions. What does the city plan to do to take care of this? Why hasn't anything been done for over 6 months? This is puts all of our safety at risk. I am demanding you take action now to ban allowing people to live in their cars in such close proximity to an elementary school and minors. This is not safe for our students.</p>

5/17/2021 18:30	Melisa Bibriesca	5/17/2021	Non-Agenda Comment	<p>My name is Melisa Bibriesca, and I am a resident of San Diego. I demand that you hear the community's voices asking you to defund the SDPD. We are tired of the police's racist actions and blatant coverups. Last Wednesday, two SDPD officers were caught on video tackling and punching a Black homeless man in La Jolla. The City Council and Mayor need to show their community that they stand against these actions by acknowledging our demands to defund the SDPD. The only way we will move forward is by investing in community programs that DO NOT benefit the police. We do not trust the police. We want you to fund the programs we have been demanding which will be for the community. No more policing our neighborhoods and Council members have been misled.</p>
5/17/2021 20:02	Sandra Stahl, Montgomery-Gibbs Environmental Coalition Executive Director	5/18/2021	Non-Agenda Comment	<p>The City's Airports Deputy Director gave you Council members wrong information at the April 27th City Council meeting during his presentation related to Agenda Item 101. He told you that Swift Fuels' unleaded UL94 aviation fuel cannot be mixed with leaded avgas. Wrong! I have an email from Swift Fuels CEO Chris D'Acosta saying there is no problem mixing unleaded UL94 with leaded avgas!</p> <p>The Airports Deputy Director also told you Council members that San Carlos Airport in Northern California had stopped selling unleaded UL94 aviation fuel because of supply problems and a lack of demand. Wrong!</p> <p>In a conference call with Swift Fuels CEO Chris D'Acosta, and San Carlos Airport FBO Rabbit Aviation CEO Dan Demeo, I was told that there is no supply problem and there is no lack of demand for unleaded UL94 aviation fuel. San Carlos Airport is not currently offering UL94 because they have installed a new fuel storage system for unleaded UL94 aviation fuel and there is delay in getting the tank approved by the local air quality control board. It's a fuel tank issue, nothing to do with the supply of unleaded UL94 fuel ...it's a fuel tank issue. And Rabbit Aviation certainly would not be installing a new UL94 fuel storage system if there was a lack of demand. On the contrary. The new fuel system is being installed because of high demand for unleaded UL94.</p> <p>I have an email that confirms both facts – UL94 can be mixed with leaded avgas and there is no supply problem!</p> <p>Shame on the Airports Deputy Director for giving City Council members wrong information Ask yourself, why would he do that? It is a serious matter when a high-level city employee like the Airports Deputy Director goes before the City Council and gives wrong information! I implore you to investigate the matter and push for Montgomery-Gibbs Executive Airport to offer unleaded UL94 fuel as soon as possible.</p> <p>If you doubt what I have said, please contact Swift Fuels CEO Chris D'Acosta directly at (765) 237-3195 to confirm the fact that</p>
5/18/2021 7:02	Louis Rodolico	5/18/2021	Non-Agenda Comment	<p>Former Councilmember Sherri Lightner and City Planner Dan Monroe conspired with Westfield Mall to remove the Regents Road Bridge from the city plan. Today only one of the three main roads in South University City has been completed, forcing residents to travel extra miles and adding millions of pounds of carbon into the atmosphere each year. Foreign Owned Westfield Mall did not want the Regents Road Bridge built. Westfield's motive: If the bridge were built it would divert about 20,000 cars away from their Mall each day, forcing Westfield Mall to lower it's rents. Westfield had lots of dark money to spread around and spent half a million dollars for a custom Environmental Impact Report to remove the bridge, a report which somehow did not include ambulance service times. Lightner and Monroe did not flag the report. According to County statistics the extended ambulance service times result in 7 unnecessary deaths each year for those of us who live in the region. Westfield lobbyists/agents whipped up hate within the community to divert eyes away from Westfield. Both Lightner and Monroe should be stripped of all activity and compensation. San Diego residents should not be providing pensions to city employees who have done so much harm.</p> <p>For Article Google: universities unfinished roads and missing train station https://clairemonttimes.com/universities-unfinished-roads-and-missing-train-station/ http://www.louisrodolico.com/uploads/7/5/2/2/75221087/dif_exhibits.pdf</p>
*These comments, shaded in yellow, were submitted after the start of the meeting at 9am, and were added online and distributed to the Councilmembers after the conclusion of the meeting.				