

Submitted Time	Name:	Meeting Date:	Comment Type	Agenda Item	Comments:
7/25/2021 22:00	Mary Anne Smart	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	33	ShotSpotter's technology is notoriously inaccurate and generates many false positives. As a result, this technology serves mainly as an excuse to target communities of color (where ShotSpotter tech is typically located) with increased police presence. This is not a good use of resources. Furthermore, it is inappropriate for this issue to come before the city council before the TRUST ordinance is finalized.
7/22/2021 13:52	Aude Ruffing	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process that allows the city council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/22/2021 15:13	Aude Ruffing	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process that allows the city council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promotes good governance and protects the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/22/2021 19:26	Melody Thomas	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a San Diego resident and writing today extremely concerned about San Diego Police Department's continued use and lack of transparency regarding all surveillance technology, specifically regarding their attempt to renew the ShotSpotter contract today despite a 8 month delay from the City regarding the communities demand for oversight and review of the use of these technologies in our community.</p> <p>The City Council voted unanimously in November of 2020 to create a mechanism for review of the use of surveillance technology. We are 8 months in and still no perceived changes with how we are operating this program. We demand more oversight of SDPD, we are tired of paying for the lawsuits and loss of life.</p> <p>The police could choose to move the surveillance ordinance through meet and confer, instead they have chosen to delay it and avoid council's informed oversight. As the elected City Council Members, we are relying on you to act as a vessel for the voice of the people, not serve the reputation of the SDPD.</p> <p>Please demand an immediate halt of this program and please do not renew this contract or award it to another surveillance company.</p> <p>Thank you, Melody T, a San Diego resident</p>
7/22/2021 19:45	Joseph W Wainio	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/22/2021 19:54	Rhea Kuhlman	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>The City must vet surveillance technologies before we sink money into them and before we deploy them in our neighborhoods. City Council passed the TRUST ordinances unanimously to create this process and it is time to implement them. Currently, mass surveillance technology is acquired and deployed without prior input from the public. Impacted communities don't have a seat at the table. Please don't approve the Shotspotter purchase agreement until it can be properly vetted, as called for in the TRUST ordinances.</p>

7/22/2021 20:44	Susan Zyphur	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. Your community asks that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.
7/22/2021 20:55	Michael McDonald	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	My name is Michael and I live in District 3. I'm writing to demand that the Council support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by the City Council, after the Council has received independent expert analysis. The Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology. Honor the commitment to ordinances that have been approved so that our tax dollars are not wasted on surveillance technology used by a racist police department. The City has inked far too many deals without proper oversight and input from the community. Learn from mistakes and hold up to the unanimous approval of the ordinances.
7/22/2021 21:00	Yvonne Elkin	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	I am a resident of San Diego, and I urge you to support a real and transparent process that will enable City Council to fully assess and make an informed decision on the renewal of the ShotStopper surveillance technology being proposed for approval at the July 27, City Council meeting. The TRUST ordinances passed by City Council last November define a process for analyzing and governing the use of surveillance technology in our neighborhoods. We need a strong mechanism that will help the City address the fast pace of technology growth and protect citizens' privacy. The TRUST ordinances promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that the renewal of Shotspotter not be considered until after council has received independent expert analysis and a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.
7/22/2021 21:03	James McShane	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	Dear council-members, I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than allowing it to be rammed through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.

7/23/2021 5:07	Rachel Baylor	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p> <p>We have heard commitments from City Councilmembers about respecting the civil rights of San Diegans. Now it is time to honor what you said you believe in. Time to move forward with the TRUST ordinances to create a process that would have helped the City Council with reports and information that would have allowed you to make a better informed decision on surveillance technology.</p>
7/23/2021 6:47	Anne Elliott	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis.</p>
7/23/2021 6:47	Liz	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/23/2021 7:59	justin joseph	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p> <p>Currently, mass surveillance technology is acquired and deployed without prior input from the public. Impacted communities don't have a seat at the table. If you are deploying technology that will affect us, we need to have a voice in crafting policies.</p> <p>Forcing a surveillance contract through, without a process previously demanded by San Diegans and unanimously supported by councilmembers, would be a betrayal of the community's trust in lawmakers and police.</p> <p>We have heard commitments from City Councilmembers about respecting the civil rights of San Diegans. Now it is time to honor what you said you believe in. Time to move forward with the TRUST ordinances to create a process that would have helped the City Council with reports and information that would have allowed you to make a better informed decision on surveillance technology.</p>
7/23/2021 9:17	DEIDRE MCLEOD	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Last year, the City Council unanimously passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. Now is the opportunity to implement that unanimous decision. Along with the TRUST SD Coalition, I am urging that ShotSpotter NOT be considered for renewal until an overall surveillance technology use policy and an impact report, designed with transparency, responsiveness to the needs of the community and independent expert analysis, is available to inform your decisions.</p> <p>Insist that the meet and confer process regarding the TRUST ordinances move forward BEFORE considering any new surveillance contracts, including ShotSpotter. The Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts and costs are rather than ramming a vote through process prior to the upcoming recess.</p> <p>Forcing this surveillance contract through, without following the process supported by the residents of San Diego and the previous Council, would be a betrayal of the community's trust in our government. I urge you to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until you have the independent expert analysis that will allow you make a truly informed decision.</p>

7/23/2021 10:54	Vickie White	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	Council President and Councilmembers, I am a constituent of District 9 and I am very concerned about the proposed renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. I am asking the not to renew the contract at this time. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than speeding a contract renewal through the council process prior to the summer recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.
7/23/2021 10:56	David Roney	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	The renewal of the ShotSpotter contract is concerning. There was unanimous agreement amongst City Council members last year when the surveillance oversight ordinance was passed that a clear process for community and expert involvement would be implemented on surveillance technology decisions. City Council must deeply consider the potentially dangerous and inequitable impacts of this technology on communities of color rather than forcing it through the council process prior to the recess. Your office must not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report from an independent expert can be analyzed and approved by City Council. Thank you.
7/23/2021 11:16	Nancy Nguyen	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	My name is Nancy Nguyen. I am PANA's Civic Engagement Organizer. I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.

7/23/2021 11:22	Elisse Miller	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p> <p>The police could choose to move the surveillance ordinance through meet and confer, instead they have chosen to delay it and avoid council's informed oversight.</p>
7/23/2021 11:58	ariana federico	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Ariana and I am with Mid-City CAN supporting PANA . I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.</p>
7/23/2021 12:05	Makhfira Abdullahi	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Makhfira Abdullahi and I am with PANA's Youth Congress. I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. The City must vet surveillance technologies before we sink money into them and before we deploy them in our neighborhoods. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.</p>

7/23/2021 12:10	Rossmery Zayas	7/23/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Rossmery Zayas and I stand in solidarity with PANA (or PANA Youth Congress). I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.</p>
7/23/2021 12:16	Amanda Allbert	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotSpotter surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>As it currently stands, the communities impacted by mass surveillance technology are not given the opportunity for input before it is deployed. This is unfair especially when it is our lives, livelihoods, and rights to privacy on the line. Before accepting any new surveillance contracts, the City Council should move forward with the TRUST ordinances San Diegans have already demanded in order to make an informed, transparent decision and uphold the trust and support of community members.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/23/2021 14:07	Scot McLeod	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Last year, the City Council unanimously passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. Now is the opportunity to implement that unanimous decision. Along with the TRUST SD Coalition, I am urging that ShotSpotter NOT be considered for renewal until an overall surveillance technology use policy and an impact report, designed with transparency, responsiveness to the needs of the community and independent expert analysis, is available to inform your decisions.</p> <p>Insist that the meet and confer process regarding the TRUST ordinances move forward BEFORE considering any new surveillance contracts, including ShotSpotter. The Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts and costs are rather than ramming a vote through process prior to the upcoming recess.</p> <p>Forcing this surveillance contract through, without following the process supported by the residents of San Diego and the previous Council, would be a betrayal of the community's trust in our government. I urge you to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until you have the independent expert analysis that will allow you make a truly informed decision.</p>
7/23/2021 14:24	Amanda Habel	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/23/2021 15:50	Jim Danko	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/23/2021 23:04	Selma Hassane	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent in San Diego and am calling with PANA. I urge you to demand a better process that allows the city council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/24/2021 9:25	Kevin Mahoney	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	Stop cop surveillance and do the right thing!
7/24/2021 9:47	Stacey	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	I'm a San Diego resident of District 3. The TRUST Ordinance was voted on unanimously to require oversight on surveillance technology. The police could choose to move the surveillance ordinance through meet and confer. Instead they have chosen to delay it, avoid council's informed oversight, and rush a vote to renew the ShotSpotter contract. ShotSpotter has been known to sell their technology to cities without independently conducted research, using statistics that aren't even reflected in San Diego's own use over the last four years. All the more reason why the City Council and community should require transparency and data on all surveillance technology BEFORE considering any new surveillance contracts.
7/24/2021 11:14	Annika Schafer	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	Shotspotter should NOT be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.

7/25/2021 11:38	Rachel Salvati	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/25/2021 12:33	Adina Johnson	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a San Diego constituent and I urge City Council to not consider new surveillance contracts, including for ShotSpotter, until the proposals are better vetted with independent expert analysis. City Council unanimously passed the TRUST ordinances and it is time for the council to honor them and protect the civil rights of San Diegans.</p>
7/25/2021 19:00	Mat Wahlstrom	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am writing you to vote NO on any agreement related to the TRUST ordinances passed by this council unanimously last November that have not gone through the meet-and-confer process — especially this one.</p> <p>It is egregious that you are considering entering into an agreement for a term of five years and over \$1 million for a "service" that has not been vetted. Because if it had been vetted, you would know that ShotSpotter's "service software" has *not and does not work* and disproportionately targets *communities of color*:</p> <p>"Troy NY's police department had enough of ShotSpotter, discontinuing its use at the end of 2012. The system was missing actual gunshots and falsely reporting other noises as shots. According to The Troy Record, when Troy police were polled at roll call about ShotSpotter, they were unanimous in calling it ineffective." (https://www.cctvcambridge.org/DoesShotSpotterWork, 8/2014)</p> <p>"Manes' team analyzed Office of Emergency Management and Communications ShotSpotter data from July 2019 through April 2021, and found that well over 85 percent of ShotSpotter-initiated police deployments in Chicago turn up no evidence of any reportable incident or crime – let alone gun crime." (https://chicago.cbslocal.com/2021/05/03/shotspotter-chicago-police-contract-questions/, 5/2021).</p> <p>Finally, as local media has noted, ShotSpotter sensors are not only deployed as stand-alone units in specific neighborhoods but also embedded in *all* the city-wide GE "smart streetlights" (https://laprensa-sandiego.org/sd-police-can-now-track-gunshots/, 11/2016), and that the council also voted last November to turn those off (https://www.voiceofsandiego.org/topics/public-safety/san-diego-cant-actually-turn-its-smart-streetlights-off/). An implication of your authorization is that they could be "reactivated" as a necessary term of service in any agreement with ShotSpotter — a contract which this council would not see until too late.</p> <p>Simply put, proper civic oversight, social justice, public safety, police accountability, and just plain fiscal common sense require your denying authorization.</p>

7/25/2021 20:21	John Loughlin	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego District 2, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. You need a mechanism that helps us address the fast pace of technology growth, and addresses the needs of our communities.</p> <p>Railroading through a decision for the city to spend more money on a failed technology backed by the SDPD but opposed by the community would be very disappointing.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/25/2021 23:14	Tama Becker-Varano	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after Council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 8:58	Chelsey Birgisdottir	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Chelsey and I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge city council to not renew the ShotSpotter contract. Thank you.</p>

7/26/2021 9:13	Katie Hallett	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>The City must vet surveillance technologies before we sink money into them and before we deploy them into our neighborhoods. City Council passed the TRUST ordinances unanimously to create this process and it is time to implement them. Currently, mass surveillance technology is acquired and deployed without prior input from the public. Impacted communities don't have a seat at the table. If you are deploying technology that will affect us, we need to have a voice in crafting policies. We demand the strongest laws possible be passed by City Council to protect our privacy rights. We must create a democratic process that is transparent and responsive to the needs of the community.</p> <p>We have heard commitments from City Councilmembers about respecting the civil rights of San Diegans. Now it is time to honor what you said you believe in. Time to move forward with the TRUST ordinances to create a process that would have helped the City Council with reports and information that would have allowed you to make a better informed decision on surveillance technology.</p> <p>Since the TRUST surveillance ordinances are stalled, in this case, it is best practice that Council should require transparency and data from the police BEFORE considering any new surveillance contracts.</p> <p>Forcing a surveillance contract through, without a process previously demanded by San Diegans and unanimously supported by councilmembers, would be a betrayal of the community's trust in lawmakers and police.</p> <p>The police could choose to move the surveillance ordinance through meet and confer, instead they have chosen to delay it and avoid council's informed oversight</p>
7/26/2021 9:54	Elizabeth Nunez	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent in San Diego and am calling with PANA (or PANA Youth Congress). I urge you to demand a better process that allows the city council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 9:57	Hadaya	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Hadaya and I am with PANA (or PANA Youth Congress). I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. The widespread use of surveillance technology like ShotSpotters poses unique risks that harm San Diegans, particularly minority communities in South East. Councilmembers who do not have Shotspotter in their district should defer to the communities and council-members who are burdened with Shotspotter.</p>

7/26/2021 12:02	Marisa Hirsch	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Marisa and I am a resident of district 2. I am urging the San Diego City Council to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 12:32	Dalal Erekat	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Dalal and I am with PANA Youth Congress. I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.</p>
7/26/2021 12:54	Sharron Howard	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a resident of district 9. I urge the San Diego City Council to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance, protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. I ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 14:02	Casey Kennedy	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Casey Kennedy and I am a resident of district 3. I am urging the San Diego City Council to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the Shotspotter surveillance technology. The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/26/2021 14:42	Wendy Gelernter	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>In November of last year, the City Council unanimously passed the TRUST ordinances, which insured oversight and transparency in decisions related to surveillance technologies. Thank you to those of you who were on Council then, including my representative, Council President Jen Campbell.</p> <p>Now, fast forward 9 months and the review of the Shotspotter surveillance technology is being rushed through without the necessary oversight and review. This is a test of Council's commitment to putting the pretty words they spoke last year into action and tabling Shotspotter's renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved and after council has received independent expert analysis. Please do the right thing!</p> <p>Thank you!</p>
7/26/2021 15:04	Diane Kim	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>We have used over \$1M tax dollars for ShotSpotter. I do not agree with the purchase and use of technology where Paul Greene, forensic analyst with ShotSpotter and expert witness in a SF trial in 2016 said under oath testified that the numbers on accuracy of ShotSpotter were "put together by our sales and marketing department, not our engineers." SDPD also lied about how often ShotSpotter produced actionable DNA evidence - reporting 56% of the time when records review by the Voice of San Diego found it to be 30%, a 26 point difference is significant and further damages the trust the community is trying to rebuild with SDPD. After watching videos of SDPD officers using force, rubber bullets, tear gas, and their bikes as weapons against peaceful protesters when our peace officers' jobs are to protect our First Amendment rights, I cannot support this technology in the hands of SDPD. It's racist and an irresponsible use of our taxes. I urge you all to reject all future contracts with ShotSpotter and move forward with the TRUST ordinances you all passed in Nov of 2020 that would protect the public from predatory technology.</p>
7/26/2021 15:12	Arash Fuladian	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I just saw a post on Reddit of a guy trying to rally people against the Shotspotter contract. However, I SUPPORT the Shotspotter contract. I listen to the police scanner many hours a week. i have heard multiple times where the officers get Shotspotter notification and arrive at the location to find a bleeding/shot victim before any citizen calls 911 to tell them about the victim or even the shooting being heard. I'm sure the person lying on the street bleeding out appreciates that. Also, the idea that this is "mass surveillance" (as the Reddit post argued) is non-sense. The technology just sits there listening for sounds of gunshots. It doesn't surveil people and it can't be used to listen. Please vote to approve the contract.</p>

7/26/2021 16:21	Anthony Avalos	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here of district 2, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 17:05	Mohamed Erekat	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Mohamed and I am with PANA Youth Congress. I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to not consider the ShotSpotter contract for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council after the council has received independent expert analysis. Thank you.</p>
7/26/2021 18:22	Van Pham	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/26/2021 18:25	Luca Barton	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you all to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated from the beginning of this campaign nearly 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a transparent, democratic mechanism that will help us address the fast pace of technology growth to ensure our communities' privacy and safety from the excessive expansion of policing.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 19:42	Richard Lund	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p>
7/26/2021 19:44	Alexander Han	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/26/2021 19:44	Theresa	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/26/2021 19:46	Daria Flores	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government.</p> <p>I ask you support the amendment presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by city council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
7/26/2021 20:10	Jessica Dockstader	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/26/2021 20:15	Anna Byers	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in North Park, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p> <p>Currently, mass surveillance technology is acquired and deployed without prior input from the public. Impacted communities don't have a seat at the table. If you are deploying technology that will affect us, we need to have a voice in crafting policies.</p>
7/26/2021 21:17	Khue Tran	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am urging you to not support the renewal of ShotSpotter. Research has shown that surveillance technologies like ShotSpotter are inaccurate and disproportionately used against Black and Brown communities. Currently, mass surveillance technology is acquired and deployed without prior input from the public. Impacted communities don't have a seat at the table. If you are deploying technology that will affect us, we need to have a voice in crafting policies.</p> <p>We demand the strongest laws possible be passed by City Council to protect our privacy rights. We must create a democratic process that is transparent and responsive to the needs of the community.</p> <p>We have heard commitments from City Councilmembers about respecting the civil rights of San Diegans. Now it is time to honor what you said you believe in.</p>
7/26/2021 21:59	Mark Schultz	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am writing to express my concern over the Shotspotter contract renewal. The fact that this renewal is *not* passing through the oversight process established last November is extremely concerning. How do we know the contract is better than the Smart Streetlights contract of 2016? I remind the council this cost the city millions for little benefit.</p> <p>Bring the public into the process, as you committed to last November --- defer the contract renewal until it passes through the Citizens Advisory Board.</p>
7/26/2021 22:48	Susan Peinado	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>We demand the strongest laws possible be passed by City Council to protect our privacy rights. We must create a democratic process that is transparent and responsive to the needs of the community.</p>

7/26/2021 23:28	Kurt Wong	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent of District 3 here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/27/2021 0:30	Aashika Srinivas	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Aashika and I am with PANA (or PANA Youth Congress). I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. In addition, since the surveillance ordinances are stalled, council should require transparency and data from the police before considering any new surveillance contracts. I urge your office to vote NO on the Shotpotter Contract.</p>
7/27/2021 1:21	Adam Suhl	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I'm writing as a District 1 constituent to urge you NOT to allow the ShotSpotter contract to be renewed, and to demand a better process for public involvement in decisions around surveillance technologies BEFORE such technologies are deployed.</p> <p>ShotSpotter's claimed effectiveness at stopping crime is dubious. According to a study from Northwestern University's MacArthur Justice Center, 86% of ShotSpotter alerts in Chicago led to no evidence of any crime or incident. These false alarms are not harmless: Chicago police responding to a ShotSpotter alert shot and killed Adam Toledo, an unarmed 13-year-old with his hands raised. There is no reason to believe the ShotSpotter technology is much more reliable here in San Diego, nor that given enough time its impacts here will be less severe.</p> <p>More generally, while I personally feel that all forms of mass surveillance should be banned outright, at an absolute minimum the decisions around any proposed new surveillance technology must be made by the communities that are impacted. The TRUST ordinance that was approved by the City Council nearly a year ago is a good first step toward public oversight of surveillance technology decisions, and I urge the City Council to implement this ordinance without further delay.</p>

7/27/2021 1:35	Aleksandra Ristova	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>Hello Councilmembers, my name is Aleksandra Ristova, a constituent in San Diego. I urge you to demand a better process that allows the city council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology.</p> <p>* The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns, and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS presented by the TRUST SD Coalition. Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and impact report can be approved by the city council, after the council has received independent expert analysis. The council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p> <p>* The City must vet surveillance technologies before we sink money into them and before we deploy them in our neighborhoods. City Council passed the TRUST ordinances unanimously to create this process and it is time to implement them.</p> <p>* Currently, mass surveillance technology is acquired and deployed without prior input from the public. Impacted communities don't have a seat at the table. If you are deploying technology that will affect us, we need to have a voice in crafting policies.</p> <p>The widespread use of surveillance technology like ShotSpotters poses unique risks that harm San Diegans, particularly minority communities in South East. Councilmembers who do not have Shotspotter in their district should defer to the communities and councilmembers who are burdened with Shotspotter.</p> <p>* We demand the strongest laws possible be passed by the City Council to protect our privacy rights. We must create a democratic process that is transparent and responsive to the needs of the community.</p> <p>We have heard commitments from City Council members about respecting the civil rights of San Diegans. Now it is time to honor what you said you believed in. The council should not be repeating the same mistakes that were made with the streetlight cameras.</p>
7/27/2021 6:51	Joanna Gray	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>

7/27/2021 6:54	Jessica Sorrell	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I am a constituent here in San Diego, and I urge you to demand a better process to allow the City Council to make an informed decision on the ShotStopper surveillance technology. As stated all along from the beginning of this campaign since almost 2 years ago, this has never been about one surveillance technology or another, this is about ALL surveillance technologies. We need a mechanism that would help us address the fast pace of technology growth.</p> <p>The TRUST ordinances passed last November promote good governance and protect the city against lawsuits, cost overruns and encourage open and transparent government. We ask that you support the call by TRUST SD Coalition that Shotspotter should not be considered for renewal until a use policy and an impact report can be approved by City Council, after council has received independent expert analysis. Council must have real oversight and a transparent process for the acquisition and use of ALL surveillance technology.</p>
7/27/2021 7:16	Alex Coolman	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>I live and work in San Diego. I am concerned about the potential inaccuracy and unfairness of Shotspotter, in light of recent media reports that Shotspotter "finds" shots at the request of law enforcement. I also have concerns about the use of surveillance technology, such as Shotspotter, to target predominantly black and brown communities, which is part of a bigger systemic problem in policing. Given these concerns, I urge the council to defer any action on a Shotspotter contract renewal until these issues can be studied further.</p>
7/27/2021 8:35	Sumaya Maxamud	7/27/2021	City Council Comment	333	<p>My name is Sumaya Maxamud and I am with PANA (or PANA Youth Congress). I am concerned about the renewal of the ShotSpotter contract. Last year, a unanimous City Council vote passed a surveillance oversight ordinance that laid out a process for community and expert involvement in San Diego's surveillance technology decisions. City Council must analyze this technology, how it will be used in our communities, and what its impacts are rather than ramming it through the council process prior to the recess. I urge your office to vote NO on the Shotpotter Contract.</p>
7/26/2021 12:35	Bertha Lopez	7/27/2021	Non-Agenda Comment		<p>I'm a disabled adult women who lives with a disabled adult son. We live off social security income alone. We have our section 8 approved last year and I thank you you for that. But I am really concerned because the government guidelines are not keeping up with the cost of living for San Diego county. Looking at rentals recently because I have to move. I am having a hard time finding something in a decent area that I can afford. Or in a not so decent area, because the prices are too high. Nothing you do to help people with rent because of covid or homelessness will make a difference if you don't fix your rental amounts to reflect what rents are in 2021. Go into your own section 8 rental availability and you will see how your guidelines are way off. I have to move because my landlord is not wanting to acomodate my recently need for my increasing disability. I urge you to fix the rental guidelines that will not only benefit my household, but many others. And in the long run San Diego county in general.</p> <p>Thanks for your help, Bertha Lopez lopezbertha7350@gmail.com</p>

7/26/2021 18:08	Sandra Stahl, Executive Director, Montgomery-Gibbs Environmental Coalition	7/27/2021	Non-Agenda Comment	<p>Good Morning, I am curious how many of you council members actually called Mayor Todd Gloria's office after my request for you to do so last week to urge him to direct the Real Estate Assets Department to find a way to offer unleaded fuel at Montgomery-Gibbs Executive Airport. Or do you not care that airport operations spew more than a ton of lead into the air each year that could be reduced by up to 60 % if unleaded was offered?</p> <p>Do you not care about the children that live or go to school in neighborhoods near the airport who will likely have reduced IQs and lower earnings potential when they grow up because of toxic lead air pollution resulting from the airport?</p> <p>Please remember a Duke University study found that children living near airports that use leaded avgas have higher lead blood levels than other children. An MIT study found that elevated lead concentrations directly attributable to leaded aviation fuel impairs children's IQ and leads to lower earnings potentials. Those are scientific facts.</p> <p>Every day that you do nothing about reducing the amount of the toxic lead that is being dropped by those general aviation planes flying overhead, you are contributing to a public health problem that affects adults as well as children. Lead exposure in men can lead to lower sperm counts among other things. For women, elevated levels of lead in the blood during pregnancy can increase risk for miscarriage</p> <p>So again, I urge you to please call Mayor Gloria's office regarding this public health problem and urge him to direct the Real Estate Assets Department to find a way to offer unleaded fuel at Montgomery-Gibbs Executive Airport. Other airports do it, why not ours? Thank you</p>
-----------------	--	-----------	-----------------------	--