

Pure Water San Diego Phase 1 Working Groups

Final Report - October 2018



City of San Diego

Public Utilities Department

Table of Contents

1. Summary of Activities.....	1
Background	1
Working Groups	1
Preliminary Outcomes	2
2. Mission Statement and Principles of Participation.	2
3. Bay Park/Morena Working Group	5
Background	5
Working Group Members & Project Team Roster	6
Recommendations	7
4. Clairemont Working Group	8
Background	8
Working Group Members & Project Team Roster	9
Recommendations	10
5. University City Working Group	10
Background	10
Working Group Members & Project Team Roster	12
Recommendations	13
6. Scripps Ranch/Miramar Working Group	14
Background	14
Working Group Members & Project Team Roster	15
Recommendations	16
7. Conclusion and Next Steps	17
Appendix A: Working Group Status Report Matrices	

1. Summary of Activities

Background

On April 10, 2018, the City Council voted unanimously to move forward with Phase 1 of the Pure Water Program by certifying the Pure Water San Diego North City Project Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) and approving two construction management contracts for the Phase 1 projects.

As part of the approval, the Public Utilities Department created project area Working Groups (WG). The purpose of the WGs was to collect informed input and feedback from community members regarding opportunities to avoid or minimize potential construction impacts and assist the City with implementing the conditions of the approved site development permit. The WGs were not established to reopen issues addressed in the adopted EIR/EIS approved by City Council or to consider alternative alignments.

Working Groups

Four WGs were convened based on the Phase 1 construction areas/packages: 1) Bay Park/Morena, 2) Clairemont, 3) University City, and 4) Scripps Ranch/Miramar.

Each WG was comprised of 8-13 members representing businesses, residents, schools/universities, community planning groups, town councils, and civic associations. The project team worked with the City Council District offices to invite community members to participate in the WGs and determine the composition of each WG. Availability of interested community members to attend the majority of the WG meetings was considered when selecting the roster for each.

Each WG met four times between June and August 2018, for a total of 16 WG meetings. The meetings were held locally in each community and were led by professional facilitators. In addition to informational discussions about the operational safety of the pipelines, City staff and consultants presented and asked for feedback on 11 construction topics: Multiple Disruptions and Project Coordination; Street Restoration; Air Quality/Noise; Staging Areas/Parking; Environmental Monitoring; Traffic Control/Signage; Special Stakeholder Access Needs; Outreach, Communication and Notifications; Work Restrictions and Construction Phasing; Working Days and Hours; and Construction Monitoring.

Below are the outcomes of the recommendations made by each group.

Preliminary Outcomes

Group	Provided	Adopted/Used to Inform Plan	Evaluation in Progress	Not Adopted	Adopted/Used to Inform Plan (%)	Evaluation in Progress (%)	Not Adopted (%)
Bay Park/ Morena	23	14	6	3	61	26	13
Clairemont	30	22	5	3	73	17	10
University City	25	16	8	1	63	33	4
Scripps Ranch/ Miramar	42	31	9	2	74	21	5
TOTAL (all four groups)	120	83	28	9	69	24	8

In total, over 100 recommendations were made by the four groups. Of all of the recommendations provided by the WGs, **69 percent** were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan (which will be developed by Spring 2019 in advance of construction), **24 percent** are under evaluation and **8 percent** were not adopted based on a variety of reasons, including but not limited to, recommendations being outside of the scope of the project, inability to adjust the type or noise level of equipment that is required to complete construction, safety issues created if recommendations were adopted, requirements of the Municipal Code for noise permits, and the need to prioritize construction of the Pure Water Phase 1 projects to ensure all are completed in time for commissioning. Specific recommendations and detailed responses by construction topic can be viewed in the WG Status Report Matrices in Appendix A.

2. Mission Statement and Principles of Participation

Mission

Pure Water North City Phase 1 WGs are being convened to provide informed input and feedback to the City of San Diego regarding opportunities to avoid or minimize potential impacts from the construction of planned North City Phase 1 projects, as well as to assist the City with implementing the conditions that are part of the approved site development permit. The WGs are not being established to reopen issues addressed in the adopted EIR/EIS approved by City Council or to consider alternative alignments.

Role of Working Group Members

To meet the mission of the WG, the City is asking participants to:

- Become familiar with the Pure Water Program and how it fits into the larger regional water supply program
- Become familiar with North City Phase 1 projects and the City's plan for construction
- Ensure that community concerns regarding the construction of the North City Phase 1 projects are identified and understood by the City
- Propose ways to avoid, minimize or mitigate concerns associated with the construction and operation of North City Phase 1 projects and implement the conditions set forth in the approved site development permit
- Read all agenda and background materials distributed prior to the meetings by the project team
- Listen carefully to others; the WG will function best when we understand and value one another's views and experiences
- Help create a respectful and productive working environment

Representation

To ensure representation from a wide range of perspectives in the community as well as consistent attendance at meetings, the project team invited community members to participate in a series of up to four meetings. Participants were sought based upon several qualities:

- Willingness to work cooperatively with other WG members
- Demonstrated ability to present the perspective of an organization or constituency concerned with issues related to the construction of the approved North City Phase 1 projects
- Commitment to attending all scheduled WG meetings

Every WG member is asked to report back to his or her respective constituency to keep them aware of the Group's discussions and the issues that have been identified. City staff and consultants will be available to assist in this communication process, if desired.

Discussion Process

WG members agree to abide by the following discussion process during the meetings:

- All perspectives are valued
- One person speaks at a time

- Comments should be concise and constructive so that others can also speak
- The preferred deliberation process is collaborative problem solving. In cases of mixed opinions, alternative perspectives will be documented
- WG members treat the project team and each other with respect
- A neutral third-party will facilitate the meetings

Attendance

For the process to work effectively, full participation of representatives will be essential. WG members are asked to commit to attend all scheduled meetings. Meetings will be held during the late afternoon on a weekday. Given the relatively intensive nature of the meetings and process, alternates will not be designated.

Support

A neutral third-party facilitator will facilitate all WG meetings. The role of the facilitator is to ensure all perspectives are heard through a collaborative discussion process.

The project team will provide technical and logistical support, including making presentations, answering questions, coordinating meetings and documenting meeting content.

Meeting Agendas

The project team will be responsible for preparing the agendas, with consideration of input from WG members. Agendas and assigned reference materials will be distributed by email in advance of each meeting.

Observers

Observers are welcome at WG meetings. However, meetings are intended for the benefit of WG members to promote balanced, constructive interaction. Observers will be asked to refrain from commenting during the proceedings. There will be an opportunity for limited public comment at the end of each meeting.

Media

The media will not be invited to, nor excluded from, the WG meetings. Media present, if any, will be identified for the benefit of WG members.

While the WG is in discussion about the opportunities to avoid, minimize or mitigate potential construction impacts of the North City Phase 1 projects, members are asked not to make statements about the group's deliberations to the media that would hamper constructive discussions and the WG's ability to work cooperatively. City staff and consultants will also refrain from making such statements about the WG's deliberations.

Audio/Video Recording

As a participant in the WG, members consent to any audio or video recording that may take place either by the City or members of the public. The meetings will be audio recorded by the City for the specific purpose of developing a meeting summary to ensure factual documentation.

Information Sharing

WG members may want to share information and documents with other members during the WG process. To ensure that all members have the same information available to them, all documents are to be distributed through the established point of contact:

Bay Park/Morena WG

Megan Drummy, mdrummy@sandiego.gov

Clairemont WG

Megan Drummy, mdrummy@sandiego.gov

Scripps Ranch/Miramar WG

Sarah Lemons, slemons@sandiego.gov

University City WG

Shannon Slaughter, sslaughter@katzandassociates.com

3. Bay Park/Morena Working Group

Background

A series of four facilitated meetings were held with the Bay Park/Morena WG between June and August 2018. The WG members received agendas in advance of each meeting. All members received a binder to compile with meeting agendas, PowerPoint presentations, informational materials and meeting summaries as the meetings progressed. Meeting summaries and materials were provided via email to WG members within the two weeks following each meeting and posted on the Pure Water website at www.purewatersd.org.

The WG meetings ranged from two to three and a half hours in length. The first meeting was held at the City's North City Water Reclamation Plant and included an opportunity for WG members to tour the City's Pure Water Demonstration Facility. The remaining three meetings were held at the South Clairemont Recreation Center.

WG members were asked to commit to attend all four meetings. Briefing sessions were provided by the project team prior to the start of the meetings on a few occasions when members missed a meeting due to an unplanned circumstance. Observers from the public were welcome to attend the WG meetings and a public comment period was made available at the end of each meeting.

The schedule and topics of the WG were as follows:

June 27 – WG Overview, Mission and Principles of Participation, Pure Water Program and North City Phase 1 Projects Overview, Morena Pipeline Alignment in Bay Park/Morena, Construction Contract Documents, Coordination Between Projects, Street Restoration, and Pure Water Demonstration Facility Tour

July 19 – Work Schedule Restrictions, Noise, Construction Phasing, and Traffic Control

August 1 – Staging Areas/Parking, Construction Site Housekeeping, Environmental Monitoring, Outreach/Communication/Notifications, Construction Monitoring, and Future Participation of the WG

August 23 – Review of WG Status Report Matrix and Next Steps/Future Meetings

Working Group Members & Project Team Roster

The Bay Park/Morena WG is comprised of the following seven community members:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Carol Baker	Resident
Kenneth Brooks	Resident
David Burpeau	Resident
Bernadette Butkiewicz	Resident
Delana Hardacre	Clairemont Planning Group
Paul Kosen	Resident
Trey McDonald	University of San Diego Office of Sustainability

The following City of San Diego Public Utilities and Public Works Department staff, along with project consultants, provided information and support to the WG:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Megan Drummy	Katz & Associates
Brent Eidson	Public Utilities Department
Dylan Grise	Katz & Associates
John Helminski	Public Utilities Department
Natalia Hentschel	Facilitator, Katz & Associates
Steve Lindsay	Public Works Department
Joe Long	AECOM
Sean McCarty	West Coast Civil, Inc.
Alan Shapiro	AECOM

Additionally, community representative Marc Schaefer (Council District 2) attended the WG meetings.

Recommendations

The Bay Park/Morena WG provided 23 recommendations on 10 construction topics.

Of those recommendations, **14 (61 percent)** were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, **six (26 percent)** are under evaluation and **three (13 percent)** were not adopted based on a variety of reasons, including but not limited to, recommendations being outside of the scope of the project and the inability to adjust the type or noise level of equipment that is required to complete construction. A complete list of the recommendations received from the Bay Park/Morena WG and the City’s response to each is included in the Status Report Matrices as Appendix A.

The construction topic that received the most recommendations from the WG was Outreach, Communication and Notifications. A total of seven recommendations were received on this topic. Recommendations included what type of signage to have at the construction worksite, how information should be disseminated to the community and the most useful types of notices for certain segments of the local population.

It was important to the WG that there be a contact person for each neighborhood that is part of the Bay Park/Morena community. Other recommendations regarding Outreach included having community members post information on Nextdoor on behalf of the City, providing presentations to USD staff, and sending regular construction notices to the Clairemont Town Council and local niche media.

All Outreach, Communication and Notification recommendations are either under evaluation, were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, which will be developed in spring 2019 in advance of construction.

Additional key concerns of the WG were Work Restrictions and Construction Phasing and Working Days and Hours. The WG recommended that Buenos Avenue in the Overlook Heights neighborhood remain open at all times since it is a single access point from the neighborhood to Morena Boulevard. For Working Days and Hours, the WG recommended that the City not work on Sundays and that the construction team work four 10-hour days each week.

4. Clairemont Working Group

Background

A series of four facilitated meetings were held with the Clairemont WG between June and August 2018. The WG members received agendas in advance of each meeting. All members received a binder to compile with meeting agendas, PowerPoint presentations, informational materials and meeting summaries as the meetings progressed. Meeting summaries and materials were provided via email to WG members within the two weeks following each meeting and posted on the Pure Water website at www.purewatersd.org.

The WG meetings length ranged from two to three and a half hours in length. The first meeting was held at the City's North City Water Reclamation Plant and included an opportunity to tour the City's Pure Water Demonstration Facility. The remaining three meetings were held at the Clairemont Emmanuel Baptist Church.

WG members were asked to commit to attend all four meetings. Briefing sessions were provided by the project team prior to the start of the meetings on a few occasions when members missed a meeting due to an unplanned circumstance. Observers from the public were welcome to attend the WG meetings and a public comment period was made available at the end of each meeting.

The schedule and topics of the WG were as follows:

June 25 – WG Overview, Mission and Principles of Participation, Pure Water Program and North City Phase 1 Projects Overview, Morena Pipeline Alignment in Clairemont, Construction Contract Documents, Coordination Between Projects, Street Restoration, and Pure Water Demonstration Facility Tour

July 16 – Work Schedule Restrictions, Noise, Construction Phasing, and Traffic Control

July 30 – Staging Areas/Parking, Construction Site Housekeeping, Environmental Monitoring, Outreach/Communication/Notifications, Construction Monitoring, and Future Participation of the WG

August 20 – Review of WG Status Report Matrix and Next Steps/Future Meetings

Working Group Members & Project Team Roster

The Clairemont WG is comprised of the following ten community members:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Jeff Baughn	Resident
David Curtis	Resident
Michael Dwyer	Resident
Ed Elliott	Resident
Stephanie Fullerton	Diocese of San Diego
Kelly Johnson	Clairemont Town Square
Michael Lambert	Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage
Jonathan Layton	Resident/UTC Aerospace Systems
Connor Munson	Brady Engineering
Morteza Rahimi	Clairemont Town Council

The following City of San Diego Public Utilities and Public Works Department staff, along with project consultants, provided information and support to the WG:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Megan Drummy	Katz & Associates
Brent Eidson	Public Utilities Department
Dylan Grise	Katz & Associates
John Helminski	Public Utilities Department
Natalia Hentschel	Facilitator, Katz & Associates
Steve Lindsay	Public Works Department
Joe Long	AECOM
Sean McCarty	West Coast Civil, Inc.
Alan Shapiro	AECOM

Additionally, community representatives Mark Schaefer (Council District 2) and Daniel Manley (Council District 6) attended the WG meetings.

Recommendations

The Clairemont WG provided 30 recommendations on eight construction topics.

Of those recommendations, **22 (73 percent)** were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, **five (17 percent)** are under evaluation and **three (10 percent)** were not adopted based on a variety of reasons, including but not limited to, recommendations being outside of the scope of the project and safety issues created if the recommendations were adopted. A complete list of the recommendations received from the Clairemont WG and the City's response to each is included in the Status Report Matrices as Appendix A.

The construction topic that received the most recommendations from the WG was Outreach, Communication and Notifications. A total of 13 recommendations were received on this topic. Some of the recommendations included what type of signage to have at the construction worksite, locations of where to post construction notices and progress reports, and what types of notices work best for certain segments of the local population.

It was important to the WG that a representative provides monthly updates to the Clairemont Town Council once construction begins. Other recommendations regarding Outreach included developing postcard mailers that community members could share with others, contacting building managers about posting construction notices in apartment complexes and providing information via Nextdoor and in water bills. All Outreach, Communication and Notification recommendations were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan which will be developed in spring 2019 in advance of construction.

Additional key concerns of the WG were Special Stakeholder Access and Traffic Control/Signage. The WG recommended that the construction team determine early what medical needs those in the community might have, asked that access be maintained for certain locations at the Clairemont Town Square, and provided information about recurring evening events in the community. For Traffic Control, the WG asked that signage about sharing the road with bicyclists be included in the bid specifications, that the speed limit in construction zones be reviewed and that red curb placement also be reviewed.

Lastly, it was suggested the WG could be expanded to include more members.

5. University City Working Group

Background

A series of four facilitated meetings were held with the University City WG between June and August 2018. The WG members received agendas in advance of each meeting.

All members received a binder to compile with copies of all the meeting agendas, PowerPoint presentations, informational materials and meeting summaries as the meetings progressed. Meeting summaries and materials were provided via email to WG members within the two weeks following each meeting and posted on the Pure Water website at www.purewatersd.org.

The WG meetings ranged from two to three and a half hours in length. All four meetings were held at the North City Water Reclamation Plant. The first meeting provided an opportunity to tour the City's Pure Water Demonstration Facility.

WG members were asked to commit to attend all four meetings. Briefing sessions were provided by the project team prior to the start of the meetings on a few occasions when members missed a meeting due to an unplanned circumstance. Observers from the public were welcome to attend the WG meetings and a public comment period was made available at the end of each meeting. Public attendance at each of the meetings ranged from five to twelve people.

The schedule and topics of the WG were as follows:

June 28 – WG Overview, Mission and Principles of Participation, Pure Water Program and North City Phase 1 Projects Overview, Morena Pipelines Alignment in University City, Construction Contract Documents, Coordination Between Projects, Street Restoration, and Pure Water Demonstration Facility Tour

July 18 – Work Schedule Restrictions, Noise, Construction Phasing, and Traffic Control

August 2 – Staging Areas/Parking, Construction Site Housekeeping, Environmental Monitoring, Outreach/Communication/Notifications, Construction Monitoring, and Future Participation of the WG

August 21 – Review of WG Status Report Matrix and Next Steps/Future Meetings

Working Group Members & Project Team Roster

The University City WG is comprised of the following 13 community members:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Brenda Anderson	Resident
William Beck	Renaissance La Jolla HOA
Barry Bernstein	University City Community Association
Bob Brown	Resident
Ruth DeSantis	University City Community Foundation
Andie Hosch	University City Planning Group
Merle Langston	University City Community Association
Rita Lim Wilby	Resident
Jerry Malamud	Resident
Pia Mantovani-Sud	Resident
Amy Murad	Resident
Katie Rodolico	Resident
Tama Snow	Resident
Phyllis Speer	Resident

The following City of San Diego Public Utilities and Public Works Department staff, along with project consultants, provided information and support to the WG:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Brent Eidson	Public Utilities Department
John Helminski	Public Utilities Department
Steve Lindsay	Public Works Department
Joe Long	AECOM
Lewis Michaelson	Facilitator, Katz & Associates
Sean McCarty	West Coast Civil, Inc.
Tiffany Ngo	Katz & Associates
Victor Occiano	Brown and Caldwell
Alan Shapiro	AECOM
Shannon Slaughter	Katz & Associates

Additionally, community representative Bridger Langfur (Council District 1) attended all WG meetings.

Recommendations

The University City WG provided 25 recommendations on seven construction topics.

Of those recommendations, **16 (63 percent)** were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, **eight (33 percent)** are under evaluation and **one (4 percent)** was not adopted due to the City's inability to publicly post detailed working drawings for the projects. However, the working drawings will be shared during WG meetings. A complete list of the recommendations received from the University City WG and the City's responses to each is included in the Status Report Matrices as Appendix A.

It is very important to the University City WG to stay informed and engaged throughout construction. The WG expressed interest in continued meetings with the project team and emphasized the importance of project team members attending both University City Community Association and University City Planning Group meetings every month during construction. WG members recommended the project team participate in Shift meetings to coordinate with other projects in the area. Other outreach recommendations included posting updates on Nextdoor and Twitter, working with UCSD students to develop a mobile app to show construction location and duration, and providing WG members with the Construction Outreach Plan once completed. These recommendations will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, which will be developed in spring 2019 in advance of construction.

WG members made several recommendations regarding Working Days and Hours. Some recommendations included working four 10-hour days, as opposed to five 8-hour days, and for work to occur on weekends. The project team is currently evaluating these recommendations.

The WG made three recommendations regarding Traffic Control/Signage related to bike safety. They requested additional signage leading up to staging areas, in addition to ensuring that "No Parking" signs are not placed in bike lanes to protect bikers. The project team will incorporate recommendations regarding bike safety into the bid specifications.

WG members also made recommendations related to tree preservation. They requested the project team minimize construction impacts to existing trees to the extent possible. If trees need to be replaced, WG members requested that community members have input in the selection of replacement trees. The project team agreed to replace trees with the same species if damaged. If that species is no longer available, the project team will select a tree species from the City's approved tree palette and welcome community input.

6. Scripps Ranch/Miramar Working Group

Background

A series of four facilitated meetings were held with the Scripps Ranch/Miramar WG between June and August 2018. The WG members received agendas in advance of each meeting. All members received a binder to compile with meeting agendas, PowerPoint presentations, informational materials and meeting summaries as the meetings progressed. Meeting summaries and materials were provided via email to WG members within the two weeks following each meeting and posted on the Pure Water website at www.purewatersd.org.

The WG meetings ranged from two to three and a half hours in length. The first and fourth meetings were held at the City's North City Water Reclamation Plant, and the second and third meetings were held at the Scripps Miramar Ranch Library. The first meeting provided an opportunity to tour the City's Pure Water Demonstration Facility.

WG members were asked to commit to attend all four meetings. Briefing sessions were provided by the project team prior to the start of the meetings on a few occasions for members who missed a previous meeting. Observers from the public were welcome to attend the WG meetings and a public comment period was made available at the end of each meeting. Two members of the public attended multiple Scripps Ranch/Miramar WG meetings.

The schedule and topics of the WG were as follows:

June 26 – WG Overview, Mission and Principles of Participation, Pure Water Program and North City Phase 1 Projects Overview, North City Pure Water Pipeline Alignment in Scripps Ranch/Miramar, Construction Contract Documents, Coordination Between Projects, Street Restoration, and Pure Water Demonstration Facility Tour

July 17 – Work Schedule Restrictions, Noise, Construction Phasing, and Traffic Control

July 31 – Staging Areas/Parking, Construction Site Housekeeping, Environmental Monitoring, Outreach/Communication/Notifications, Construction Monitoring, and Future Participation of the WG

August 22 – Review of WG Status Report Matrix and Next Steps/Future Meetings

Working Group Members & Project Team Roster

The Scripps Ranch/Miramar WG is comprised of the following 11 community members:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Ralph Carolin	Sorrento Valley Town Council
Wayne Cox	Sorrento Valley Town Council
Tom Derr	Mira Mesa Town Council, EvoVivarium
Stuart Gross	Scripps Ranch Planning Group
Bob Ilko	Scripps Ranch Civic Association
Craig Jackson	Mira Mesa Recreation Council, Pacific Ridge HOA
Robert Mixon	Mira Mesa Planning Group
James Roberts	Murphy Development
Bari Vaz	Mira Mesa Town Council, Mira Mesa Chamber of Commerce, Envision Miramar
Sandra Wetzel-Smith	Scripps Ranch Planning Group
Wally Wulfeck	Scripps Ranch Planning Group

The following City of San Diego Public Utilities and Public Works Department staff, along with project consultants, provided information and support to the WG:

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Brent Eidson	Public Utilities Department
John Helminski	Public Utilities Department
Sarah Lemons	Katz & Associates
Steve Lindsay	Public Works Department
Sean McCarty	West Coast Civil, Inc.
Jeff Soriano	Public Works Department
Patricia Tennyson	Facilitator, Katz & Associates

Additionally, community representatives Quinton Grounds (Council District 5) and Luis Pallera (Council District 6) attended all WG meetings.

Recommendations

The Scripps Ranch/Miramar WG provided 42 recommendations – the most of all the WGs – on 10 construction topics.

Of those recommendations, **31 (74 percent)** were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, **nine (21 percent)** are under evaluation and **two (5 percent)** were not adopted based on a variety of reasons, including but not limited to, requirements of the Municipal Code for noise permits and the need to prioritize construction of the Pure Water projects to ensure all are completed in time for commissioning. A complete list of the recommendations received from the Scripps Ranch/Miramar WG and the City's response to each is included in the Status Report Matrices as Appendix A.

The construction topic that received the most recommendations from the WG was Outreach, Communication and Notifications. A total of 20 recommendations were received on this topic. Some of the recommendations included when and how to notify businesses and residents about construction and the development of a user-friendly website with construction schedules, notices, maps and more for the public and businesses to access. Additionally, the WG requested the City identify a community liaison for the North City Pure Water Pipeline Project who would attend Community Planning Group meetings and respond to questions via telephone in a timely manner.

It is very important to the WG that the City provide frequent updates to the Scripps Ranch Civic Association and Scripps Ranch Planning Group the first week of every month on the construction schedule for inclusion in the community newsletter. Other recommendations included outreach and coordination early to businesses along Miramar Road, the concession stand at Miramar Reservoir, and recreational users of Miramar Reservoir, MCAS Miramar and Scripps Ranch High School. All Outreach, Communication and Notification recommendations were adopted or will be used to inform the Construction Outreach Plan, except for three recommendations related to components of the website that are under evaluation. As requested by the WG, the Construction Outreach Plan which will be developed in spring 2019 in advance of construction will be shared with the WG once completed.

Street Restoration was a key concern of the WG, and included recommendations to re-evaluate the condition of all streets and the resurface Scripps Lake Drive following construction. The City agreed to complete all construction within the Scripps Ranch Community first, per the WG's recommendation. Truck routes will also be restricted from traveling down Red Cedar Drive and east on Scripps Lake Drive.

Several of the recommendations received from Scripps Ranch members were based on their experiences with the recent SDG&E construction in their community. The Miramar/Mira Mesa WG members were most concerned about ways to reduce impacts to businesses along Miramar Road and effective methods to ensure they receive notifications about construction in advance.

In addition to discussions related to the North City Pure Water Pipeline Project, the project team also shared information about the AC Water Group 1038 Project that will be combined with the North City Pure Water Pipeline to minimize construction impacts.

The AC Water Group 1038 Project will replace aging waterlines along sections of the North City Pure Water Pipeline alignment. The project team discussed the coordination between the two projects which will be completed by the same contractor.

Lastly, it was suggested the WG form subgroups that will meet to focus on the different individual concerns of each community.

7. Conclusion and Next Steps

A complete list of the recommendations received from each WG and the City's response to all recommendations is included in the Status Report Matrices as Appendix A.

The Public Utilities Department intends to reconvene all four WGs for two additional meetings – the first will be held prior to the bid release of the North City Phase 1 Project construction contracts in late 2018, and the second will be held after the construction contractors are selected in spring 2019.