

RESOLUTION

1101

TO

1300

RESOLUTION NO.

9779

1101

to

1300

inc.



RESOLUTION NO. 1101

WHEREAS, Application No. 3027 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ben and Hilda Bredeson to erect garages below apartments of a total area of 1060 sq. ft. adjacent to the property line on both sides, 4446 Kansas Street on Lots 36 and 37, Block 66, University Heights; apartments above to maintain the required sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary  
XXXXXX Chairman



Application Received 9/8/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1102

WHEREAS, Application No. 3060 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. A. and Edith E. Dunbar to build a single family residence on a parcel of land 67½ ft. wide and 150 ft. deep which is the Southwesterly 32½ ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 101, Roseville and one-half of closed Keats Street, at Plum Street at Keats Street provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Plum Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*See Res. # 1293*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By XXXXX Chairman



Application Received 9/11/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made None made but approval rec. by Buik By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 9/12/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1103

Letter dated September 6, 1945

WHEREAS, Application No. 1103 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

An extension of five (5) years from the date of expiration of Resolution No. 415 is hereby granted to Harold J. and Charlotte J. Muir for a Real Estate Tract Office at Muirlands Drive and El Camino del Teatro on Lot 1, Block 21, Muirlands, and that the agreement filed of record be extended for the same time of five years.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....  
Chairman



Letter

Application Received

9/8/45

By

Mail

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

9/12/45

Hearing date

Decision

Ext. approved

Date

9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

9/13/45

Building Inspector

9/14/45

Planning Commission

9/14/45

Petitioner

9/14/45

Health Department

9/14/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.



81913

## RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Jim Holladay, Rt 2 Box 180 San Diego 10, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 NS to permit erection of a cold storage locker plant plant at Camino del Rio on the Wly 9 acres of Pueblo Lot 1112 on the south side of Camino del Rio, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of said Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 1104 said zone variance, be, and it is hereby sustained.

81913

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council.

OCT 16 1945  
FRED W. SICKAUGUST M. WADSTROM  
City Clerk.

By \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy.



01k

RESOLUTION NO. 1104

WHEREAS, Application No. 3022 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Robert, James and Stella Holladay to erect a cold storage locker plant for own and rental use at Camino del Rio on the Wly 9 acres of Pueblo Lot 1112 on the south side of Camino del Rio, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 8/24/45 By Kilgou  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date 9/28/45

Decision denied Date 9/28/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/28/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10/1/45 Council Hearing, date 10/16/45

Decision of Council denied Date 10/16/45

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



81912

## RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Eugene Shepard, 5809 El Cajon Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 134 NS to permit Don Lee Broadcasting System (KGB) and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, towers and transmitter building on Lots 1 and 2 Wadsworth Olive Grove near Euclid Avenue and Laurel Street, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of said Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1105 said zone variance, be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 81912 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council. OCT 16 1945

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM

Deputy.



# RESOLUTION NO. 1105

See Res. # 1355  
6 mos. Extension

WHEREAS, Application No. 3023 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including two 250 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Euclid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/6/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date 9/28/45  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10/1/45 Council Hearing, date 10/16/45  
Decision of Council Denied Date 10/16/45  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.4

RESOLUTION NO. 1106

WHEREAS, Application No. 2944 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to erect two standard poster panels in the 2700 Block on Reynard Way, on Lots 1 to 5 inclusive, Block 96, Middletown, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 9/11/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision denied Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.H.

RESOLUTION NO. 1107

WHEREAS, Application No. 2945 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to erect two standard poster panels in the 3300 Block on Reynard Way on Lots G and H, Block 397, Horton's Addition, provided the required setback is maintained.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/11/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0-K

RESOLUTION NO. 1108

WHEREAS, Application No. 3061 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to D. A. and Edith E. Dunbar to build a masonry type wall at the rear of property at Plum and Keats Street, the Southwesterly 32½ ft. of Lots 1 to 3 inc. Block 101, Roseville and one-half of closed Keats Street, to a height of 5 ft. above a 6 ft. high retaining wall provided vines or shrubbery are planted along the retaining wall, on the south and southeasterly sides. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/11/45 By Burton  
 City Planning Department  
 Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department  
 Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
 Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Amerson  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1109

WHEREAS, Application No. 2943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....**not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....**not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto and Susan Koellein to construct a 2 ft. high 50% open lattice fence on top of an existing 6 ft. masonry wall across the rear of Lot 27, Block 11, El Cerrito Heights No. 2, 5802 Adelaide Street and also along the rear 30 ft. of the easterly lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/12/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.10

**RESOLUTION NO. 1110**

WHEREAS, Application No. 3077 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul P. Dinant, owner and M. J. Nellans, lessee, to use a portion of the store building (36'x 50') at 4741 University Avenue on the Easterly 100 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 13, Fairmount Addition to City Heights for the manufacture and sale (retail and wholesale) of Venetian Blinds, subject to the following conditions:

1. Hours of operation between 8:00 A.M. and 7:00 P.M;
2. To employee not more than 6 people;
3. Maximum amount of power equipment not to exceed ten (10) horsepower;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/14/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date 9/28/45

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1111

WHEREAS, Application No. 3009 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. Cooper and W. Koehler to operate a radio repair shop in the basement of the residence at 4350 Alabama Street on Lots 37 and 38, Block 100, University Heights for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution, provided no signs are displayed on the property and the business is not operated after 9:00 P.M.; and not to be operated more than four (4) hours a day. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/13/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Decision of Council  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn  
Time limit extended to  
Continued to  
Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 1112

WHEREAS, Application No. 3052 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of L. J. and Aline Crocker to erect a building on the rear of Lots 27 and 28, Block 191, City Heights, 3814 - 35th Street and conduct a Beauty Salon therein, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 9/19/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision denied Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1113 <sup>3681</sup> <sub>Amendment</sub>

WHEREAS, Application No. 3042 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Carl and Frank I. McWilliams and Schiefer & Sons to operate a light woodworking and venetian blind factory at 3780 Swift Avenue on Lots 2 to 4 inclusive, Block 189, City Heights and to permit the use of a temporary building for the installation of machinery and its permanent use in connection with the light-woodworking factory; maximum number of employee's not to exceed forty (40) and hours of operation to be from 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **September 27,** 19**45**

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/20/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



a. k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1114

WHEREAS, Application No. 3031 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Harold J. Packer to erect a 12 unit apartment building with 112 sq. ft. (1 $\frac{1}{2}$ %) excess coverage in the 3000 Block on 6th Avenue, the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot H and all of Lot I, Block 355, Horton's Addition.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/7/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12 + 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

NEGOTIATION NO. 1111



C. J.

**RESOLUTION NO. 1115**

WHEREAS, Application No. **3091** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Paul Lambert to erect three (3) duplexes on Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 3, Cullen's Arlington Heights and 30 ft. of Fir Street closed adjacent, 1835 Edgemont Street in addition to the existing single family dwelling on Lot 4.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **September 27,** 19**45**

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/20/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1116

WHEREAS, Application No. 3075 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl T. and Mary S. Oberg to erect a third residence on Lots 25, 26 and the East 15 ft. of Lot 27, Block 26, Ocean Beach, 4605 Saratoga Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945...

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/21/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION NO. 1117

WHEREAS, Application No. 3093 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. and L. L. Mc Whinney to erect a church building at 1536 Blaine Avenue on the Ely 115 ft. of Lots 22 to 24 inclusive, Block 185, University Heights, with a 7 ft. setback on Normal Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 9/21/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



d.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1118

WHEREAS, Application No. 3092 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pe E. and Margaret L. Congaware to erect an addition to the rear of an existing residence on Lots 18 and 19, Block 210, University Heights, 3925 Iowa Street; existing residence and addition with a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard, subject to the requirements of the Building Department. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/21/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved, Cond'l Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.1

**RESOLUTION NO. 1119**

WHEREAS, Application No. **3097** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Donald R. and E. Grace Furnival to erect a room on a residence which has a 2 ft. sideyard at 1701 - 31st Street on the Northerly 15 ft. of Lot 14 and all of Lot 13, Block 5, Cullen's Arlington Heights; additional room to maintain the required sideyard and rear yard.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **September 27,** 19**45**

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/22/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1120

WHEREAS, Application No. 3050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Hans P. Jepsen to use a vacant lot, properly fenced at 1233 - 14th Street on Lot 6, Zimmerman's Subdivision of Block 181, Horton's, for the storage of excess building materials and equipment (building contractor's yard), be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2066, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 9/6/45 By Mail (Burton)  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12 + 9/26/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Denied Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1121

WHEREAS, Application No. 3089 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to R. R. Hoover to erect a solid board fence, 7 ft. high, as a windbreak 14 ft. 6 in. from the side property line on Lots 13 to 17 inclusive, Block 10, Roseville Heights, 3463 Hill Street, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/19/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 9/26/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/28/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45

Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1131



RESOLUTION NO. 1122

WHEREAS, Application No. 3073 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nellie B. Burnham, owner and C. H. and M. Madge Farris, lessee to re-establish a non-conforming Grocery and Meat Market which has not been operated for 31 months, at 1945 - 32nd Street on Lots 11 and 12, Block 7, San Diego Property Union provided the existing signs are removed and a sign no larger than 8 sq. ft. is displayed on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 27, 1945  
filed 9/29/45

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 9/12/45 By P  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9/26/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/45 Building Inspector 9/28/45  
Planning Commission 9/28/45 Petitioner 9/28/45 Health Department 9/28/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

NEGOTIATION NO. 1234



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1123

WHEREAS, Application No. 3079 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Kate Brown Stephens, owner and Burr Watson, lessee, to build office and retail service addition to cleaning plant which is a non-conforming use, at Park Boulevard and Robinson Streets on Lots 25 and 26, Block 241, University Heights.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ **Chairman**



Application Received 9/20/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date 10/10/45  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1124

WHEREAS, Application No. 3080 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Kate Brown Stephens, owner, and Burr Watson, lessee to build office and retail service addition, and marquee, to cleaning plant at Park Boulevard and Robinson Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 241, University Heights with no setback from the front property line, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That if and when the street is widened or when removal is required by the city, the building and marquee will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and lessee, and filed of record.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9627, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 381  
filed 10/13/45*

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 19 45

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ **Chairman**



Application Received 9/20/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date 10/10/45  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1124

WHEREAS, Application No. 3080 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Kate Brown Stephens, owner, and Burr Watson, lessee to build office and retail service addition, and marquee, to cleaning plant at Park Boulevard and Robinson Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 241, University Heights with no setback from the front property line, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That if and when the street is widened or when removal is required by the city, the building and marquee will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and lessee, and filed of record.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9627, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*agreement # 381  
filed 10/13/45*

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By.....  
Secretary **Chairman**



Application Received 9/20/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 Hearing date 10/10/45  
Decision Conditional Approval Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1125

WHEREAS, Application No. 3122 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. J. and Evelyn H. Parker (Exclusive Upholstering Company) to use the garage at 1051 Beryl Street on Lots 13 and 14, Block A, Ocean Front 1st Addition, as a temporary upholstering shop, part time, for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution; and provided an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary Chairman

*Agreement #383  
filed 10/16/45*

*filed 10/16/45*



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----  
Decision ----- Date -----  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----  
Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----  
Decision of Council ----- Date -----  
Resolution becomes effective -----  
Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----  
Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1126

WHEREAS, Application No. 3090 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harriet Tanner to maintain a fence on a retaining wall with a maximum height of 7 ft. above the lowest ground level and to maintain the existing fence across the front of the property at 1825 Ebers Street on the Southwesterly 70 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 23, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By XXXXX Chairman



Application Received 9/22/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26 + 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26 + 10/10 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.

RESOLUTION NO. 1127

WHEREAS, Application No. 3084 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to L. M. and E. M. Fewkes to repair and resume the non-conforming use of property, retail sale of shrubs and plants at 4455 Montalvo Street on Lots 13 to 19 inclusive, Block 31, Loma Alta No. 2.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **October 11,** 19**45**

By.....  
**Chairman**



Application Received 9/25/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/12/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1128

WHEREAS, Application No. 3104 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Charles N. and Velma O. Lomenick to operate a clock and watch repair and sale of jewelry, clock and watch parts in connection with repair at 4009 Delta Street on Lot 22 and East  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 23, Block 456, R. K. Porters Sub-division, subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. No employee's;**
- 2. Only 10 to 15 hours of operation per week;**
- 3. That no signs be posted on the property;**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By James Chairman



Application Received 10/4/45 By Haalaig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date 10/10/45

Decision Conditional Approval Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1129

WHEREAS, Application No. 3105 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. M. J. Seeman to construct a pergola with no sideyard, 61 ft. from front property line at 4615 Bancroft Street on Lot 16, Block 38, Normal Heights.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 2a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By Secretary Chairman



Application Received 9/26/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date 10/10/45  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45  
Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10/13/45 Council Hearing, date 10/13/45  
Decision of Council Assessor Date 10/13/45

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1130

WHEREAS, Application No. 3095 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Harry Young to erect a Rumpus Room over a garage with a setback 10 ft. beyond the average of the two adjoining structures and a 3 ft. sideyard from adjoining property on the south, 4865 Edgeward Road on Lot 7, Block 13, Kensington.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By.....  
**Chairman**



Application Received 9/28/45 By Burton  
 City Planning Department  
 Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department  
 Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision Approved Date 10/10/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45  
 Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 Assessor  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.1

RESOLUTION NO. 1131

WHEREAS, Application No. 2296 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Wm. McGrath to divide two five acre parcels of land (total 10 acres) into four  $2\frac{1}{2}$  acre parcels of land in the 1200 block on Euclid Avenue, the South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the Southeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 16, and the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the Northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 29, Horton's Purchase, to permit a single family residence on each parcel; described as follows: (1) the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Southeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 16; (2) the South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Southeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 16; (3) the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the Northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 29; (4) and the South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the Northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 29; all in Horton's Purchase, provided property is dedicated for the widening of Euclid Ave. and the extension of "A" Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/4/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/46 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Conditional Date 10/10/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1/46 Building Inspector 4/1/46

Planning Commission 4/1/46 Petitioner 4/1/46 Health Department 4/1/46

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1132

WHEREAS, Application No. 3124 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph I. and Margaret M. Clark to build a  $3\frac{1}{2}$  ft. masonry type wall 4 ft. in from the front property line at 869 San Antonio Avenue on Lot 5, Yacht Club Terrace, provided the 4 ft. space between the front property line and the wall is properly landscaped and maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 19 45

By xxxxxx Chairman



Application Received 10/8/45 By Neff  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee Herrigan  
City Planning Department Clerk  
Sellen  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond'l Approved Date 10/10/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45  
Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Council Hearing, date  
Decision of Council  
Date  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn  
Continued to  
Time limit extended to  
Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 1133

WHEREAS, Application No. 2833 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice H. Ernst Roleledo to conduct a part time Photographic studio in an existing garage at 728 Toyne Street on Lots 42 and 43, Block 8, Morrison's Marscene Park, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That the business be operated by the above named owner only and if the property is sold the business will then be discontinued;
2. Permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution;
3. Not to operate more than four (4) hours a day;
4. Permit to be revoked if at any time the business becomes a nuisance or obnoxious to the surrounding property owners.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 19 45

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 9/21/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/26/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/26/45 + 10/10/45 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.

RESOLUTION NO. 1134

WHEREAS, Application No. 3099 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bessie H. Thrall to divide property to maintain a parcel, the West 60 ft. of Lots 29 and 30, Block 31, La Jolla Park without street frontage at 7739 Bishop Lane, between Eads and Fay Avenues and to permit the installation of a separate water meter for this parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **October 11,** 19**45**

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 9/26/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1135

WHEREAS, Application No. 3112 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert A. and Elvera M. Coffin to build an addition to an existing residence at 4295 Ibis Street on Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 5, Arnold & Choates; addition to have approximately a 13 ft. rear yard, subject to the removal or reconstruction of an existing garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/6/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



S.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1136

WHEREAS, Application No. 3119 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Ernest W. and Anna Dewhurst and John Bolinger to construct a residence at Waverly and Via del Norte on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 33, La Jolla Hermosa, Unit #2, with a 5 ft. setback on Waverly Avenue.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 19 45

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/6/45 By ?  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45  
Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 \* Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 1137

WHEREAS, Application No. 3098 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Waldemar and Nora R. Hagen to erect a residence on Pepita Street on a portion of Lot 9 and all of Lots 10 and 11, Block H, Villa Tract, La Jolla Park, with a 7 ft. setback.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 9/24/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 10/10/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45  
Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION NO. 1138

WHEREAS, Application No. 3115 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Larry H. Imig to maintain a moved-in garage, 66 ft. from the front lot line and 2 ft. from the side lot line at 4170 Mississippi Street on Lots 42, 43 and 44, Block 145, University Heights, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as it relates to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/2/45 By Neff City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date 10/10/45  
Decision denied Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_



o.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1139

WHEREAS, Application No. 3113 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto C. and Blanche Buysman to erect a property protection fence 8 ft. 6 in. high with five strands of barbed wire on the top, at 839 Front Street on the South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot "B" and all of Lots "C" and "D", Block E, Horton's Addition, provided no barbed wire is within 6 in. of the property line and is at an angle extending inside the fence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By.....  
Secretary







O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1140

WHEREAS, Application No. 3088 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of L. W. Bateson to maintain a masonry wall with lattice work on top to a height of 92 inches at 2804 Lincoln Avenue on the Westerly 56 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 167, University Heights, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 11, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 10/2/45 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date  
Decision denied Date 10/10/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1141

WHEREAS, Application No. 3133 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....no.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....not.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....not.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Forrest L. Heatt to excavate approximately 500 cu. yds. of molding sand on State Street between Chalmers and Walnut Streets on Lots 10 and 11, Block 162, Middletown, be, and it is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1020 New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 19 45.

By.....  
~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman



Application Received 10/20/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Denial Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1142

WHEREAS, Application No. 3120 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard G. Gunn, owner and Paul A. Downey, operator to use a two car garage at 1020 Essex Street on Lots 4 and 5, Block 213, University Heights for washing machine and electrical appliance service and repair for a period of six months from the date of this resolution; hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.; provided an agreement to comply with the above conditions is signed by the owner and operator and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*agreement #388  
filed 10/29/45*

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/10/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kenyon, Sellen, Clark & Buntin  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Conditional permit Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/45 Building Inspector 10/29/45  
Planning Commission 10/29/45 Petitioner 10/29/45 Health Department 10/29/45 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1143

WHEREAS, Application No. 3158 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Del Coronado Corporation, owner and W. S. Crossland, purchaser, to construct a display building and office at 4075 Pacific Highway on Lot 4, Block 233, Middletown with a 12½ ft. setback, subject to the following conditions:

1. When the street is widened the building will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

*Agreement #386  
filed 10/26/45*  
Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ **Chairman**



Application Received 10/18/45 By Ross  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date  
Decision Condit Approval Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/26/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Amman  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.  
44

RESOLUTION NO. 1144, amended by Res. 3270

WHEREAS, Application No. 2711 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert Jones to split a portion of Lot 8, Redland Tract into three parcels, Northwest corner of 55th and Redland Drive, to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel; (1) parcel with 84.8 ft. frontage on Redland Drive and 140 ft. deep; and (2) parcels, each with 70 ft. frontage on 55th Street and 120 ft. deep, provided the northerly lot on 55th Street maintains a 30 ft. setback and the southerly lot a 15 ft. setback. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12794, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/9/45

By Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24/45

By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45

Hearing date

Decision Approved

Date

10/24/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45

Building Inspector

10/26/45

Planning Commission 10/26/45

Petitioner

10/26/45

Health Department

10/26/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1145

WHEREAS, Application No. 3143 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....**not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....**not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to L. G. Macklin, owner and H. H. Kerns, lessor, to repair and re-cover upholstered furniture at 1869 Cable Street on the North 40 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 52, Ocean Beach, subject to approval by the Fire Marshal.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
**Chairman**



Application Received 10/19/45 By ?  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1146

WHEREAS, Application No. 2996 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max and Harry Striecher to divide Lot A, La Playa Highlands and the Westerly 25 ft. of Pueblo Lot 141, into three building sites, according to a plat on file in the Planning Department office, to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel; each parcel having access to a public street by an easement of 25 ft. to the southern end of Gage Lane.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
Chairman



Application Received 10/16/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Seller, Clark + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 1147

WHEREAS, Application No. 3169 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Walter V. Thomas to operate a part-time clock and watch repair business in the residence at 3616 - 4th Avenue on the North one-half of Lot 14 and all of Lot 15, Block 9, Brookes Addition, provided no signs are posted or erected on the property.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
**XXXXX Chairman**



Application Received 10/18/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kerrigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond. Approval Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1148

WHEREAS, Application No. 3139 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to A. Marassi to replace a porch which was recently torn down at 3676 Bayside Walk on the South 1/2 of Lot 7 and all of Lot 8, Resub of Block 170, Mission Beach, provided the porch does not extend beyond the line of the previous porch and is glassed in.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1186 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mention ed above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
**Stank** Chairman



Application Received 10/19/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kerrigan, Sellers, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor \_\_\_\_\_

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO.



0-15

RESOLUTION NO. 1149

WHEREAS, Application No. 3157 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....**not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....**not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Torbett to operate part-time radio repair business in the residence at 4626 Newport Ave. on Lots 17 and 18, Block 25, Ocean Beach, subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. That no signs will be posted on the property;**
- 2. Hours of operation from 3:00 P.M. to 9:00 P.M.;**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
**SECRETARY**



Application Received 10/20/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kerrigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1150

WHEREAS, Application No. 3149 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Graham A. Norton and Hans F. Landt, owners and Dr. Earle I. Brodie, purchaser, to construct a doctor's office on the Northeast corner of Redwood and 4th Streets on the West 49 ft. of Lot F, Block 357, Horton's Addition, with a 6 ft. setback on 4th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 19 45

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 10/20/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1151

WHEREAS, Application No. 3150 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Graham A. Morton and Hans F. Landt, owners and Dr. Earle I. Brodie, purchaser to construct a doctor's office on the Northeast corner of Redwood and 4th Streets on the West 49 ft. of Lot F, Block 357, Horton's Addition with 81 sq. ft. excess coverage, or a total coverage of 63½%.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 10/20/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellev, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 & Amerson  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



5, 4

RESOLUTION NO. 1152

WHEREAS, Application No. 3163 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emmett C. Henderson to build a residence at 1147 Emerald Street on Lots 12 and 13, Block 188, Pacific Beach with a rear yard of approximately 12 feet, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the existing structure built onto the trailer be removed and the trailer vacated as living quarters;
2. That the trailer used as a tool shed be moved to the rear of the property and painted in similar manner as the house.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/20/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kennigan, Sellev, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 10/24/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45

Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1153

WHEREAS, Application No. 3176 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth and Sebastian Gonzales to erect a cold storage building approximately 6 ft. by 6 ft. being an extension of a non-conforming dairy farm at 5435 Mildred Street on Lots 1 to 10 inc. and 12 to 20 inc., Block H, Silver Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 10/22/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1123



RESOLUTION NO. 1154

WHEREAS, Application No. 3134 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Elma M. Brooks to erect one building with a 6 ft. court to the street at 2827 Clay Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 318, Reed & Daley; two dwellings existing at the front of the property; provided the building is placed across the lot line and 10 ft. from the buildings at the front.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, Item 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By.....  
**SECRETARY Chairman**



Application Received 10/21/45 By Haalaig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellev, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10/26/45 Council Hearing, date 10/26/45  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0. K

AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 1114  
RESOLUTION NO. 1155

Letter dated October 23, 1945

WHEREAS ~~Application No. 1114~~.....has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**RESOLUTION NO. 1114, dated September 27, 1945, is hereby amended to read as follows:**

**"Permission is hereby granted to Harold J. Packer to erect a 12 unit apartment building with 260 sq. ft. (3 $\frac{1}{2}$ %) excess coverage in the 3000 Block on 6th Avenue, the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot H and all of Lot I, Block 355, Horton's Addition."**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25,....., 1945.....

By.....

~~SECRET~~



Letter  
Application Received 10/24/45 By Neff  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/27/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved amendment Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Amerson  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 11527



a. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1156

WHEREAS, Application No. 3153 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to John and Almira Crawford to add a side porch on a residence with a 1 ft. sideyard at 4022 Utah Street on Lots 29 and 30, Block 167, University Heights; addition 8 ft. by 16 ft. to be added on the south side of the residence.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 19 45

By Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/17/45 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellev, Clark & Burton City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 10/24/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45

Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Ames

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



OK

RESOLUTION NO. 1157

WHEREAS, Application No. 3155 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to United States Holding Company to build a single family residence on Lot 3 (except the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ), Block 519, Old San Diego, approximately 2315 Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 19 45

By Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/17/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kerrigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1158

WHEREAS, Application No. 3126 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Co. to construct a store building on the east side of Pacific Highway south of 4095 Pacific Highway on Lot 2, Block 233, Middletown, with a 12½ ft. setback, subject to the following conditions:

1. That when the street is widened the store building will then be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #387  
filed 10/26/45

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945..

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 10/19/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Selkew, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond. Approval Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## 6, A

3141

- not

not

not  
.....

# ZONING COMMITTEE

October 25.

~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 10/17/45 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



5. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1160

WHEREAS, Application No. 3137 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. A. D. Gould to maintain a 15 ft. setback for a residence on Neale Street near Pringle Street on Lots 3 to 6 inclusive, Block 77, Middletown Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

BERNICE BELANGER

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/13/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1161

WHEREAS, Application No. 3138 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Dr. A. D. Gould to construct a residence on Neale Street near Pringle Street on Lots 3 to 6 inclusive, Block 77, Middletown Addition, with a 3 ft. sideyard and a 3 ft. rear yard.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **October 25,** 19 **45**

By.....  
**Chairman**



Application Received 10/13/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellen, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 + Amerson  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1162

WHEREAS, Application No. 3146 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Klauber Waugenheim Company to maintain a 9 ft. high, woven wire fence on the east side of 7th Avenue between Island and J Streets on Lot C, Block 111, Horton's Addition.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 19 45

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 10/17/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kerrigan, Sellev, Clark + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1778



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1163

WHEREAS, Application No. 3173 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of M. W. and Rhoda M. Dalby to erect a pergola on the rear of an existing residence at 3780 - 7th Avenue on Lot 28 and the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 29, Block 4, Crittenden's Addition; both with a 2 ft. sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 25, 1945

By XXXXX Chairman  
Secretary



Application Received 10/20/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/24/45 By Kernigan, Sellev, Clark & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24/45 Hearing date  
Decision denied Date 10/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/25/45 Building Inspector 10/26/45  
Planning Commission 10/26/45 Petitioner 10/26/45 Health Department 10/26/45 x Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1164

WHEREAS, Application No. 3164 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Albert R. and Laurea L. Long to conduct a Home for aged persons and for convalescent patients, with a maximum number of eight (8) people, at 405 Ritchey Street on Lots 43, 44 and 45, Encanto Park, subject to the approval of the Health Department, Building Department, Fire Marshal and the Welfare Department.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 19 45

By.....  
~~XXXXX~~ Chairman



Application Received 10/20/45 By Rosa  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/24 + 11/7/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/24 + 11/7 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/7/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



a. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1165

WHEREAS, Application No. 3109 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to L. E. Oldfield to erect a single family residence on the East 172 ft. of the North 241 ft. of the SW 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 104, between Catalina Boulevard and Silvergate Avenue near Aztec Street with a 14 ft. easement as access to a dedicated street.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 19 45

By.....  
**Sacramento Chairman**



Application Received 10/24/45 By Neff  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION NO. 1166

WHEREAS, Application No. 3165 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will <sup>not</sup>.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <sup>not</sup>.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will <sup>not</sup>.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Rose L. Hess to make interior alterations and add a service porch to an existing building with a 2 ft. sideyard at 1635 - 2nd Avenue on the South 1/2 of Lot "C" and the North 12.5 ft. of Lot "D", Block 211, Horton's Addition.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **November 8,** 19**45**

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 10/23/45 By Ross  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/1/45 By Sellen, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/2/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assema  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1167

WHEREAS, Application No. 3185 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles S. and Margaret P. Risdon to operate an Antique Shop on a part-time basis in the residence at 3630 - 28th Street on Lots 41 and 42, Block 64, Park Villas for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution, provided no signs are placed on the property and the business is conducted entirely within the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945.

By \_\_\_\_\_

~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 10/30/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Condit Approval Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1168

WHEREAS, Application No. 3199 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Leonard Freshouer to erect a store with apartment above at 909 - 9th Avenue on Lot "E", Block 48, Horton's Addition, with no sideyard on the north side; building to revert to conforming use if added to in the future.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945.

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 10/31/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved, Cond'l Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1007



RESOLUTION NO. 1169

WHEREAS, Application No. 3195 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Norman and Hallie Richards to make photo prints on part-time basis in the residence at 3516 Alabama Street on the East 30 ft. of Lots 31 and 32<sup>Block 259</sup> and a portion of street closed, provided no signs are posted and no selling is done on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Chairman



Application Received 10/27/45 By Ross  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond's approved Date 11/7/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45

Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



Res. # 1170

Auto Parking Lot for  
to guests + employees.

13-15 + 1/2 9/16 UH  

---

126

1/8/45

Excavation? -

Bldg?



To \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_

## WHILE YOU WERE OUT

M \_\_\_\_\_

of \_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONED	PLEASE CALL	
CALLED TO SEE YOU	WILL CALL AGAIN	

Message \_\_\_\_\_

Received by \_\_\_\_\_



O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1170

WHEREAS, Application No. 3213 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Larry Imig to maintain an Auto Parking Lot for hotel guests and employee's on the east side of Louisiana Street, south of El Cajon Boulevard on Lots 13, 14, 15, and the North 1/2 of Lot 16, Block 126, University Heights.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 19 45.

By xxxxx Chairman



Application Received 11/1/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/2/45 By Sellew, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

REGULATION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1171

WHEREAS, Application No. 3127 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to A. R. and Elizabeth Inhofe to construct a 15 ft. by 20 ft. garage to the front property line at 2086 La Jolla Avenue on Lot 2, Block 214, Middletown; garage to be in an embankment which is 17 in. in the front and 67 in. at the rear.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
**Mayor Chairman**



Application Received 11/1/45 By Winberg  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1172

WHEREAS, Application No. 3128 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to A. R. and Elizabeth Inhofe to construct a 15 ft. by 20 ft. garage in a partial embankment at the front of the lot, with no sideyard, 2086 La Jolla Avenue, on Lot 2, Block 214, Middletown.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 11/1/45 By Winberg  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1173

WHEREAS, Application No. 3215 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul R. and Arabelles Hurst to erect a garage 20 ft. by 20 ft. to be located 60 ft. from the front property line and 3 ft. from the side lot line, 4787 - 51st on Lot 104, Talmadge Park Manor #2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/1/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen, Lundy, Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Decision of Council  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn  
Time limit extended to Continued to  
Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 711



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1174

WHEREAS, Application No. 3200 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. Smith to erect a garage on an existing slab, 58½ ft. back from the front property line on a 95 ft. lot and only 3 ft. from the side property line, 4763 - 51st Street on Lot 101, Talmadge Park Manor #2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 14, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Kenny Chairman



Application Received 11/2/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1175

WHEREAS, Application No. 2785 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Major Edward D. Clarkson to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Lot 27 of Assessor's Map No. 33A, Roseland and Alamar Streets.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ **Chairman**



Application Received 11/1/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1113



0.15

RESOLUTION NO. 1176

WHEREAS, Application No. 3187 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. E. and Nella Stewart to erect a garage 1 ft. from the side property line, approximately 60 ft. back from the front property line at 4525 Niagara Street on Lots 31 and 32, Block 6, Point Loma Heights. *Ocean Beach (?)*

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
~~xxxxxx~~ Chairman



Application Received 11/1/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellers, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1110



RESOLUTION NO. 1177

WHEREAS, Application No. 3190 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald Taylor, owner and E. N. Gaudreau, renter to maintain a part-time radio repair shop in an existing garage at 3424 Texas Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 18, Pauly's Addition, for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution; provided no signs are posted and no operations after 9:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Chairman



Application Received 11/1/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1178

WHEREAS, Application No. 3206 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Dolly E. Kenner to move in a single family residence on a 1.4 acre parcel of land which is a portion of Lot 12 Ex-Mission Land in Stone Tract south of Jamacha Road, 7405 San Vicente Street (a private street); property fronts on a private easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Chairman



Application Received 11/2/45 By Case City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy & Burton City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1130



RESOLUTION NO. 1179

*See later Resolution #1646*

WHEREAS, Application No. 3145 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Glenn M. Smith and Laverne Smith to erect an addition to a residence at 4322 Narragansett Street on the Southeast 1/2 of Lot 9 and all of Lot 10, Block 69, Point Loma Heights.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **November 8,** 19**45**

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 11/2/45 By Ross  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

NEGOTIATION NO. 11/1/45



0.12  
RESOLUTION NO. 1180

WHEREAS, Application No. 3219 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred Simpson to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1119 on Mission Valley Road between Old Town and 6th Avenue into three parcels, each 2 acres in area and with 190 ft. street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/2/45 By Ruk  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1181

WHEREAS, Application No. 3220 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred Simpson to operate a Riding School with a maximum of 20 horses on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1119, Mission Valley Road provided the buildings are not located closer to the highway than shown on the plot plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

1120 W.  
CAMINO DEL RIO

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 19 45

By.....  
~~xxxxx~~ Chairman



Application Received 11/2/45 By Rick  
 City Planning Department  
 Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellers Lundy & Burton  
 City Planning Department  
 Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
 Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0,  
RESOLUTION NO. 1182

WHEREAS, Application No. 3214 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will <sup>not</sup>.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <sup>not</sup>.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will <sup>not</sup>.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. B. and Bessie Pearce to build a garage and storage room at 615 - 60th Street on Lot 6, Block 1, Encanto Heights, adjacent to the side lot line; building has a total area of 600 sq. ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated, November 8, 1945

By SECRETARY Chairman



Application Received 11/3/45 By Case  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1183

WHEREAS, Application No. 3212 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. W. O. Weiskoten to erect a residence at Pine and Conde Streets on Lot 4, Block 473, Old San Diego, with a 5 ft. setback on Pine Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....November 8,....., 1945.....

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/2/45 By Barthman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1184

WHEREAS, Application No. 3166 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will <sup>not</sup>.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <sup>not</sup>.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will <sup>not</sup>.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth G. Wilson to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, known as Arbitrary No. 41 on Assessor's Map No. 33, into three parts to permit a single family residence on each parcel, Roseland Drive; each parcel to contain over 9000 sq. ft.; two parcels with 115 ft. frontage each on Roseland Drive and one parcel with 20 ft. frontage on La Jota Way.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
**XMASX Chairman**



Application Received 11/6/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen Lundy + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1185

WHEREAS, Application No. 2868 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. R. Parley to erect a corrugated iron fence 8 ft. in height at 3166 Midway Drive on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239; fence to be constructed of new corrugated iron or painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 6/14/45 By Stahm  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellen Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/9/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessment  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 111



O.K.

## RESOLUTION NO. 1186

WHEREAS, Application No. 3207 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John R. Chamblin to build an addition to an existing garage which is 1 ft. from the front property line at 820 Hayes Avenue on Lot 56, Block 4, Fleischer's Addition; existing garage and addition adjacent to the side lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 19 45

By.....

~~SECRET~~



Application Received 10/30/45 By Case  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/2/45 By Sellew, Lundy & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/2/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

**RESOLUTION NO. 1187**

WHEREAS, Application No. 3144 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. De Witt to remodel existing garages under an apartment at 4813 to 4817 Reno Drive on Lots 120 and 121, Block 2, Resubdivision of Blocks 1 to 12, Fairmount Addition into the fourth living unit on the property, with a 9 ft. access to the rear units.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 8, 1945...

By.....  
James Chairman



Application Received 11/3/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Sellew Lundy + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/7/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/45 Building Inspector 11/9/45  
Planning Commission 11/9/45 Petitioner 11/9/45 Health Department 11/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1188

WHEREAS, Application No. 3193 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grover S. Smith to move a building onto a portion of Lot 42, Horton's Purchase, known as Arbitrary No. 4, 5 and 30, at 319 Ozark Street, to be used as a garage and storage room where a non-conforming house moving business is now being conducted, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That three (3) of the existing small buildings be removed;
- 2. That the building which is being moved in be painted to match the existing residence;
- 3. That a hedge be planted along Ozark Street;
- 4. That all work be completed within 90 days from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....November 23....., 1945..

By.....  
XXXXXX Chairman



Application Received 11/1/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/7/45 + 11/21/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/2/45 Hearing date 11/21/45  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/21/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

**RESOLUTION NO. 1189**

WHEREAS, Application No. 3159 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Nichols and L. W. Brawner, owners and A. W. Coggeshall, lessee, to operate a parking lot on the Southeast corner of 13th and "A" Streets on Lots A and B, Block 1, L. L. Lockling's Subdivision.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 11/1/45 By Haclaj  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date 11/21/45  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1100



RESOLUTION NO. 1190

WHEREAS, Application No. 3126 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fred E. Halbert to operate a riding stable at 68th Street and Jamacha Road on Lot 7, Resub. of Blocks A and B, Encanto Heights, with a maximum of 15 horses, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945.

By Samuel Chairman



Application Received 10/22/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date 11/21/45  
Decision denied Date 11/24/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1138



O.K.

**RESOLUTION NO. 1191**

WHEREAS, Application No. 3196 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Frank E. Melcher to erect a residence on Lot 9, Block B, Presidio Hills, 2476 Presidio Drive with a 4 ft. sideyard.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 10/29/45 By Bayhman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/7/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/2/45 + 11/21/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Decision of Council  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn  
Time limit extended to Continued to  
Date of action



b.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1192

WHEREAS, Application No. 3249 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to John Royal Bates to erect a single family dwelling on Lot 613 and the South 1/2 of Lot 614, Talmadge Park on Norma Drive.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By.....  
Secretary xxxxxx Chairman



Application Received 11/17/45 By South  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1193

WHEREAS, Application No. 3142 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Katherine Claflin to build an addition to and operate a Nursing Home, with a maximum of 5 ambulatory patients at 3022 - 45th Street on Lots 21 to 28 inclusive, Block 7, Clifton Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By.....xxxxx Chairman



Application Received 10/21/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/7/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 + 11/21/45 Hearing date

Decision Approved amended res. Date 11/21/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45

Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11/26/45 Council Hearing, date County Welfare

Decision of Council County Welfare Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 1194

WHEREAS, Application No. 3202 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth L. Moser to remodel an existing garage into a bedroom, bath and laundry at 4465 Arch Street on Villa Lot 263, University Heights.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **November 23,** 19**45**

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 11/5/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 117



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1195

WHEREAS, Application No. 3203 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Pearson, owner, and C. E. Summers, lessee to continue the operation of a Pony Ride at 63rd Street and El Cajon Boulevard on a portion of Lot 10, La Mesa Colony, for a period of five (5) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/8/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cordil Approval Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1196

WHEREAS, Application No. 3186 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. L. Leo Levitt to make an addition to a dwelling at 2707 Dove Street on Lot 134, Reynard Hills with a two (2) foot sideyard, to be used as a playroom & laundry.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/8/45 By Rosa  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond's Approval Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Amerson  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



# RESOLUTION NO. 1197

WHEREAS, Application No. 3211 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lillian M. Wood to split Lots 6 and 7, Block 118, Roseville into two parcels, each with 50 ft. frontage on Plum Street and 100 feet depth, to permit a single family residence on the unoccupied parcel, Plum and Newell Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/13/45 By Rosa  
 City Planning Department  
 Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton  
 City Planning Department  
 Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
 Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1198

WHEREAS, Application No. 3245 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Ruski to construct a residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1110, on the south side of Camino del Rio, with a 5 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By.....  
~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 11/14/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 11-21-45



# RESOLUTION NO. 1199

See Res. 1539  
+  
1823

WHEREAS, Application No. 3223 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. W. Price to build a residence on the Easterly 50 ft. of Lots I, J, K and L, Block 332, Horton's Addition at 3rd and Quince Streets with no setback on 3rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/16/45 By Neff  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellen & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Amerson  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1200

WHEREAS, Application No. 3243 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. Armstrong, owner and Julia A. and Amy C. Humberstad, operators to operate a retail new and used furniture and brick-a-brac store in an existing store building at 520 - 32nd Street on Lots 25 to 28 inclusive, Block 112, Reed and Swaynes Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 11/16/45 By South  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Decision of Council  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn  
Time limit extended to  
Continued to  
Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 1201

WHEREAS, Application No. 3251 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas S. Whitelock to erect sleeping quarters over an existing garage with an 8 ft. rear yard at 714 Ostend Court on Lot "J", Block 172, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 11/17/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1202

WHEREAS, Application No. 3211 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Laura Hertel to build and operate an 18 ft. by 30 ft. addition to ~~stage~~ at 359 South 33rd Street on Lots 12 to 18 inclusive, Block 2, J. H. Orcutt's Subdivision, for public dancing.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2550 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945.

By ~~James~~ Chairman



Application Received 11/19/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 11/21/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45

Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 & Assessors

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



# RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. **3237** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot **s. 22, 23 and 24** Block **252**

Subdivision **West Arlington**

**3494 Main Street near Thor**

**Charlotte Napper and Frank Faust, owners**  
**and D. F. Reeder, purchaser**  
 may be used for the erection and operation of **a 15 unit auto court.**

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated **November 23,** 194**5**

By **Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 11/17/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1204

WHEREAS, Application No. 3238 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Al J. Beron to erect a store building at 3266 Adams Avenue on Lot 14, Block 30, Normal Heights, with no sideyard between it and the adjacent dwelling.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By.....  
~~xxxxx~~ Chairman  
Secretary



Application Received 11/19/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO



RESOLUTION NO. 1205

WHEREAS, Application No. 3252 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Katherine O. Krutzsch to erect a garage at 4739 - 51st Street on Lot 98, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2, 3 ft. from the side lot line and only 59 ft. 5 in. back from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 11/17/45 By Ross  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/24/45 By Sellew & Burton  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45  
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_