

0.61

RESOLUTION NO. 1206, *extended by Res. 2670*

WHEREAS, Application No. 3230 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lula V. McDermid, 2414 Island Avenue to continue operation of a beauty shop in the residence at that address, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 9, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, provided that no signs are erected on the premises and no change made in the exterior appearance of the residence. This permit is limited to a period of two years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 1945

By XXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 11/16/45 By Mail - Neff
City Planning Department
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional approval Date 11/21/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 Assessors
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

0: 11.
RESOLUTION NO. 1207

WHEREAS, Application No. 3241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. R. Darby to operate the business of manufacturing horseradish in an existing building at 1320 Douglass Street on the Easterly 50 ft. of Lots 9 to 12, inclusive, Block 62, Arnold & Choates, on a part time basis (4 hours per day).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 19 45

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 11/14/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1208

WHEREAS, Application No. 3235 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. E. South to build a garage and laundry, 72 ft. from the front property line, with a 9 in. side-yard and containing 540 sq. ft. in area at 4661 - 60th Street on the Southerly 50 ft. of the Northerly 200 ft. of the Easterly 188 ft. of that portion of Lot 17, East Redlands, lying south of Adams Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 23, 19 45

By.....
Chairman

Application Received 11/10/45 By Nuff
City Planning Department
Investigation made 11/21/45 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11/21/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/24/45 Building Inspector 11/26/45
Planning Commission 11/26/45 Petitioner 11/26/45 Health Department 11/26/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

d.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1209

WHEREAS, Application No. 3152 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin R. and Ida M. Johnson to maintain an existing residence on the Southwest 83 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 140, Mannassee & Schiller at 1832½ National Avenue, a parcel of land without street frontage and to install a water meter.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 4, 1945

By Chairman

Application Received 11/13/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/21/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 11/21/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/4/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 85607

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the setback variance granted to Dr. Clyde R. Cunningham by Resolution No. 82305 adopted December 26, 1945, extended by Resolution 83157 adopted May 14, 1946 for a six months period, be, and it is hereby extended for an additional six months period, on the easterly 75 feet of Lot L and the easterly 75 feet of the northerly 40 feet of Lot K Block 279 Horton's Addition, located at 3rd Avenue and Laurel Street. This extension is as petitioned for by Sam W. Hamill, architect, 1123 Bank of America Building, under Document No. 370600.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85607
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council APR 1 1947

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

By

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 83157

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the setback variance granted to Dr. Clyde R. Cunningham by Resolution No. 82305 adopted December 26, 1945, on the easterly 75 feet of Lot L and the easterly 75 feet of the northerly 40 feet of Lot K Block 279 Horton's Addition, located at 3rd Avenue and Laurel Street, be and it is hereby extended for a period of six months beyond the date it would automatically expire for failure to make the improvements for which the variance was granted. This extension is as petitioned for by Sam W. Hamill, architect, under Document No. 361788.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 83157
the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAY 14 1946
FRED W. SICK

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By.

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 82305

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of L. D. Moore, et al, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1210, permission to Dr. Clyde Cunningham to erect a dental and medical clinic building on the east 75 ft of Lot L and the east 75 ft of the north 40 ft of Lot K Block 279 Horton's Addition with no setback on Laurel Street and no setback on 3rd Avenue, ^{be} /and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 82305
the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

DEC 26 1945
FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 1210

WHEREAS, Application No. 3267 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Clyde Cunningham to erect a dental and medical clinic building on the Southwest corner of 3rd Avenue and Laurel Street on the East 75 ft. of Lot "L" and the East 75 ft. of the North 40 ft. of Lot "K", Block 279, Horton's Addition with no setback on Laurel and no setback on 3rd Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman

Application Received 12/1/45 By Neff City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy & Burton, Kerrigan City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/8/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessment
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1211

WHEREAS, Application No. 3058 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Norman Johnson to build a rumpus room on garage which is approximately 700 sq. ft. in area, with a 5 ft. rear yard and no sideyard on Lot 9, Block 15, Kensington Manor No. 2, 4963 Canterbury Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945.

By.....

Secretary

Application Received 11/13/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 11/21/45 + 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrison + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

8.7

RESOLUTION NO. 1212

WHEREAS, Application No. 3250 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Modestino D. Giovanni to move a residence on the same lot at 3735 India Street, Lot 2, Block 176, Middletown where the required 10 ft. rear yard is occupied by another dwelling, to permit a store building on the front of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By XXXXX Chairman

Application Received 11/24/45 By Rosa
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1213

WHEREAS, Application No. 3265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clara Baker to add to and convert a residence at 724 Tangiers Court on Lot "D", Block 215, Mission Beach into a Real Estate office, with 11% excess coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 11/26/45 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Herrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council
Date

Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Continued to

Time limit extended to
Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1214 *See Res. # 1586*
and 1897

WHEREAS, Application No. 3271 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas M. Mac Lachlan to construct a new garage at side walk level at 2690 Broadway on the Sly 70 ft. of Lots 47 & 48, Block 34, H. M. Higgins Addition, with no setback on Broadway, provided the top of the garage does not extend above the first floor level of the house.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By ~~Mayor~~ Chairman

Application Received 11/28/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

8. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1215

WHEREAS, Application No. 3247 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Thomas S. and Florence E. Slack to convert a portion of an existing building into living quarters with a 3 ft. rear yard and 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ % excess coverage at 4511 - 58th Street on all of Lot 12 lying northerly and westerly of a line: beg on Nly line sd Lot 45 ft. Ely from NW cor thereof - th Swly at r/a to sd Nly line 26 ft. - th Swly parallel Nly line sd lot to int with Wly line thereof in Lot 12, Block 8, El Cerrito Heights, be, and it is hereby denied.

the provisions of
Application for a variance to/the Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 11/29/45 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

C. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1216

WHEREAS, Application No. 3268 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. I. Williams to add a room to an existing residence with a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard at 4441 - 52nd Street on Lot 11 and the N $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 12, Block 2, Alhambra Park, provided the addition maintains the 3 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By Chairman

Application Received 11/30/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

C.H.

RESOLUTION NO. 1217

WHEREAS, Application No. 3194 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maude Mae Clough and guardian, Clyde Chagnon, to establish in an existing building at 7479 Girard Avenue on the West 1/2 of Lots 1 and 2, Block 16, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, a Veterinary Day Clinic, with no boarding or hospitilization of animals overnight.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By xxxxx Chairman

Application Received 11/3/45 By Haelsig
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 11/7/45 - 11/21/45 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 11/7/45 Hearing date 11/24/45 + Continued 12/5/45
 Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
 Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1218

WHEREAS, Application No. 3297 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sylvester G. and Anna H. Clarkson to erect a garage 52 ft. from the front property line at 4787 - 55th Street on the W 1/2 80 ft. of Lot 16, Block "F", Redland Gardens, with a bedroom over the garage, and maintaining only a 5 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By _____
Sergeant Chairman

Application Received 11/30/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

B. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1219

WHEREAS, Application No. 3254 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Kennerly to operate a store for the sale of live chickens, eggs, feed and poultry supplies at 502 - 62nd Street on Lot 37, Garden Acres.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Amended by Res. # 1733
dated 8/29/46*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 19 45.

By Sammy Chairman

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1220

WHEREAS, Application No. 3242 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond and Gladys Mengar to erect an 8 ft. stucco wall at 3406 Elliott Street on Lot 22, Block 19 Chatsworth Terrace, subject to approval by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By.....
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 11/27/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1221

WHEREAS, Application No. 3233 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carlos Tavares to split and to construct thereon, a billiard room and guest house on all of that parcel of lands in Pueblo Lot 1256, bounded on the west by Lot 3 and 4, Block 21 of The Muirlands, on the east by Camino del Teatro, on the north by a line bearing So. 78°12'45" east from most easterly corner of said Lot 4; on the south by a line bearing So. 77°41'59" east from the most southerly corner of Lot 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 12/1/45 By Quik
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1222

WHEREAS, Application No. 3285 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth G. Egan to sell Christmas Trees until December 25th, on the Northwest corner of Brookes Avenue and Park Boulevard on the East 95 ft. of Lot 10 and the East 95 ft. of the South 20 ft. of Lot 11, Block 243, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 12/1/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date

Decision approved Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

o. h

RESOLUTION NO. 1223

WHEREAS, Application No. 3096 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irving R. and Mary Jo Heller to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback on Hyacinth Street on Lot 29, Block M, Plumosa Park at the corner of Hyacinth Street and Plumosa Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 6, 1945

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 10/8/45 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date 11/7/45 + cont. 11/21 + 12/5
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45

Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3129 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irving R. and Mary Jo Heller to construct a residence on the corner of Hyacinth and Plumosa Drive on Lot 29, Block M, Plumosa Park, with a portion of the building 11 ft. from the rear lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 19
2145

By..... ~~xxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 10/8/45 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/10/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/10/45 Hearing date 11/7/45 + Cont 11/21 + 12/5
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3135 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. C. North to construct a residence on Lot 1, Block "K", Pluosa Park at the corner of Poinsettia and Elliott Streets and maintain a 20 ft. setback on Elliott Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

By..... XXXXXX Chairman Secretary

Application Received 11/8/45 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/21/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/21/45 - 12/5/45 + 12/19/45 Hearing date 12/19/45
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____
Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____
Time limit extended to _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 3210 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Co., owner and G. S. Manning, purchaser to erect a residence on the Wly 100 ft. of the Ely 697 ft. of the Nly 250 ft. of Pueblo Lot 104, 190 ft east of Cataline Boulevard and 600 ft. south of Pio Pico Street contingent upon the City's acceptance of a public street across the front of this property from Silvergate Avenue to Catalina Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Owner

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 45, 19

By *xxxxxx* Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

11-20-45

By

P. G. Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

12-6-45

Hearing date

Decision

Local Approval

Date 12-6-45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

6-27-47

Building Inspector

6-30-47

Planning Commission

6-30-47

Petitioner

6-30-47

Health Department

6-30-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 2801 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. N. Faulconer, F. J. Connors, John F. Bate and Harold L. Bridgeman to split into four parcels to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel as per R. of S Map #1159, the North 250 ft. of the West 542 ft. of the East 697 ft. of Pueblo Lot 104, located on a private street between Silvergate Avenue and Catalina Boulevard contingent upon the City's acceptance of a public street across the front of this property from Silvergate Avenue to Catalina Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Recorded (Zinion Title Co.)
4-5-46
13-9-30-54*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 45

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

Res. H. 1007

Application Received _____ By _____
 City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date _____
 Decision Grant Approval Date 12-6-47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-27-47 Building Inspector 6-30-47
 Planning Commission 6-30-47 Petitioner 6-30-47 Health Department 6-30-47
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Handwritten notes and stamps in the center of the page, including "K 100" and "JUN 28 1947".

Vertical text on the left side of the page, possibly a date stamp or reference number.

Vertical text on the right side of the page, possibly a date stamp or reference number.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3232 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew W. and Ida Melba Woods to construct a single family residence on the Southwest corner of Puterbaugh and Lark Streets on Lot 1 and 2, Block 6, Marine View, with a 6 ft. setback for the garage and a 15 ft. setback for the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6,

45

ated 19

By.....

XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 11/27/45 By Haelsig
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3047 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanford E. and Beatrice B. Steinbeck to split Lot 3, Block 166, La Playa into two building sites, each lot with 75 ft. street frontage by 150 ft. depth, to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel, corner of San Dionicio and Rogers Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 19

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 11/27/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3231 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis Morebello to erect a masonry garden wall 5 ft. high at 4052 Jefferson Avenue on Lot 2, Resub of Block 10, Kensington Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 19

By.....

XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received 11/28/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3269 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur L. Cordtz to erect a garage on the Wly 13 ft. of the Sly 100 ft. of Villa Lot 2 and all of Villa Lot 3, Tract 1361 Normal Heights, 50 ft. back of the front property line with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 19

By
Secretary

Application Received 11/29/45 By Case
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved garage Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3177 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Esther J. Meeks and Sylvia J. Thomson to build a residence on Lot 6, Block 1, La Jolla Hermosa on Camino de la Costa with a 7 ft. setback from the front property line for the residence and no setback from the front property line for the garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 45

Dated 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 11/20/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3280 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth S. Lee, owner and James Speros, lessee to operate a restaurant and parking lot on a portion of Lot 33 and Tract C, north of El Cajon Boulevard, La Mesa Colony, provided 10 ft. is dedicated for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard and an easement given for the extension of Montezuma Road into El Cajon Boulevard as per City Engineer drawings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Amor
December 6,

45

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.....

xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

Dated 19

Application Received 11-30-45 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-6-45 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional Approval Date 12-6-45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-27-46 Building Inspector 6-30-47
Planning Commission 6-30-47 Petitioner 6-30-47 Health Department 6-30-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Letter dated December 3, 1945

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~xxxxxxx~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

An extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1012 which is January 6, 1945 is hereby granted to Frank and Eva M. Fikes to construct an addition to and convert a single family dwelling at 2970 Kalmia Street on Lots 41 and 42, Block 5, Park Addition into a duplex with a 4 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be re-
ked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-
struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the
th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal
filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 6, 1945

By..... ~~xxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

Letter
Application Received 12/4/45 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Ext granted Date 12/5/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/6/45 Building Inspector 12/7/45
Planning Commission 12/7/45 Petitioner 12/7/45 Health Department 12/7/45 + Assessment
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3261 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Hazard to erect four (4) duplexes at Gaines Street between Colusa and Donahue Streets on Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, Block 8, Bayview Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 13,

45

Dated 19

By Chairman

Secretary

Application Received By
City Planning Department

Investigation made By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date

Decision Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector

Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 83495

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the application of Clinton D. McKinnon, contained in Document No. 363035 on file in the office of the City Clerk, for permission to change the location of the transmitter building for radio station KSDJ from the originally specified site on Seminole Drive, as provided for in Resolution No. 82362 adopted January 8, 1946, to a point approximately 200 feet east of the termination of Sixty-second Street paralleling the northern property boundary line owned by Clinton D. McKinnon and Associates, be, and it is hereby granted.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 83495
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUN 25 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 82362

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Mrs. Josephine King, by E. Earl Allen, agent, 3353 University Avenue, and others, from Zoning Committee decision in granting by its Resolution No. 1236, permission to Lynn Boyd, George S. Vanderburg, C. M. Kuhlken, C. Wayne Kuhlken and E. W. Kuhlken, owners and Clinton D. McKinnon and Associates, purchasers, to construct a radio broadcasting station including transmitter building and three 235 ft. steel towers at the Sly termination of 63rd Street and Seminole Drive on all of Lot 8 and all of Lot 18 except north of the Sly prolongation of the north line of Lot 8 La Mesa Colony, and granting variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained on condition that street opening easements be granted as suggested by the City Planning Commission and that landscaping be provided for the area.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 82362 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

JAN 8 1946
FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM City Clerk.

By Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3161 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lynn Boyd, George S. Vanderburg, C. M. Kuhlken, C. Wayne Kuhlken and E. W. Kuhlken, owners and Clinton D. McKinnon and Associates, purchasers to construct a radio broadcasting station including transmitter building and three 235 ft. steel towers at the Sly termination of 63rd Street and Seminole Drive on all of Lot 8 and all of Lot 18 except north of the Ely prolongation of the north line of Lot 8, La Mesa Colony.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

By XXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received 11/28/45 By P
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date 12/19/45

Decision Approved Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45

Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1-8-46 Council Hearing, date 1-8-46

Decision of Council appeal denied Date 1-8-46

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3284 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred K. Nader to maintain an Auto Repair Service Shop in an existing garage on the alley at 2098 Harrison Avenue on Lots 23 and 24, Block 276, San Diego Land & Town Co., subject to the following conditions:

1. Not more than one employee;
2. Shop not to be operated later than 7:00 P.M;
3. One sign not larger than 2 ft. by 3 ft. in size;
4. Permit to be for a period of three (3) years from the date of this resolution;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

By Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3258 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rawson A. and Elma C. Lee to conduct a furniture and appliance repair shop in an existing garage at 3870 Orange Avenue on Lot 25, Hector Square, subject to the following conditions:

1. No employee's;
2. Shop not to be operated later than 7:00 P.M;
3. One sign not larger than 2 ft. by 3 ft. in size;
4. Permit to be for a period of eighteen (18) months from the date of this resolution;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20

45

Dated 19

By
Secretary

Application Received 11/27/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date 12/19/45

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45

Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 12/21/45 Council Hearing, date 12/21/45

Decision of Council 12/21/45 Date 12/21/45

Resolution becomes effective 12/21/45

Application withdrawn 12/21/45 Continued to 12/21/45

Time limit extended to 12/21/45 Date of action 12/21/45

WHEREAS, Application No. 2800 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur B. Copley to split a portion of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 12, Normal Heights into 3 building sites, as per plan submitted, to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel on North Mountain View Drive opposite Litchfield Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

By XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received

11/4/45

By

Baughman

City Planning Department

Investigation made

11/21/45

By

Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

11/21/45-12/5/45-12/19/46

Hearing date

Decision

Cond. approved

Date

12/19/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

6/15/46

Building Inspector

6/15/46

Planning Commission

6/15/46

Petitioner

6/15/46

Health Department

6/15/46 + 9 mos

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3277 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth Kresser to build a residence on the West 81.5 ft. of the South 563.50 ft. of Lot 36, La Mesa Colony at 68th and Saranac Streets provided a 50 ft. easement is recorded, for access to the parcel of land at the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Filed 3/2/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

By Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 11/28/45 By P City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5 + 12/19/45 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/2/46 Building Inspector 3/4/46

Planning Commission 3/4/46 Petitioner 3/4/46 Health Department 3/4/46 + O'Connor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

UPON THE

REPORT OF THE ZONING COMMITTEE AND THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT, MADE AND FORWARDED TO THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS, THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS HAS CONSIDERED THE MATTER AND HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS THAT THE ZONING MAP BE AMENDED BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF THE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TO BE CHANGED FROM THE PRESENT ZONING OF _____ TO THE ZONING OF _____

AND THAT THE ZONING MAP BE AMENDED BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF THE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TO BE CHANGED FROM THE PRESENT ZONING OF _____ TO THE ZONING OF _____

THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS HAS CONSIDERED THE MATTER AND HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS THAT THE ZONING MAP BE AMENDED BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF THE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TO BE CHANGED FROM THE PRESENT ZONING OF _____ TO THE ZONING OF _____

AND THAT THE ZONING MAP BE AMENDED BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF THE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TO BE CHANGED FROM THE PRESENT ZONING OF _____ TO THE ZONING OF _____

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS THAT THE ZONING MAP BE AMENDED BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF THE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TO BE CHANGED FROM THE PRESENT ZONING OF _____ TO THE ZONING OF _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3298 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Julia Pearson Lund to operate a Swedish Massage at 3828 Van Dyke Avenue on Lots 30 and 31, Block 49, City Heights, provided no signs are placed on the property and the business is operated by Mrs. Lund, only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/6/45 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45

Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date. Council Hearing, date.

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3288 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. and Evelyn L. Dowdy to erect a residence on Lots 36 and 37, Block 136, University Heights, 125 ft. east of 10th Street on Hayes Street, with a 10 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By..... Secretary

xxxxxx Chairman

Application Received 12/3/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3300 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jeanice Lederer to make custom made clothes as a part-time activity in the residence at 742 Avalon Court on Lot "J", Block 20, Mission Beach, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By.....
Secretary

Application Received 12/10/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/31/45 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3282 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Harris to build a recreation and accessory buildings on Lots 5 and 6, Block 176, Roseville, 2154 Plum Street, without the required rear yard, and also that the agreement as required by a previous Resolution No. 63653 be cancelled.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By..... XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received 12/12/45 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 x-assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3301 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Frank W. Sechrist is hereby granted permission to construct a 12 ft. by 46 ft. radio hobby private work shop on Lot 3, Block 4, Overlook Heights, 5122 Ellsworth Street, with a 1 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/13/45 By P
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3290 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wallace H. and Dolores B. Burt to split Lots 17 and 18, Block 2, Warner Villa at the Northeast corner of Catalina Boulevard and Warner Street into three building sites; two 70 ft. by 100 ft. parcels facing Catalina Boulevard; and one 74.3 ft. by 140 ft. parcel facing Warner Street, provided the regular setback is maintained along Warner Street and a 15 ft. setback along Catalina Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

2145

By..... ~~xxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/12/45 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l permit Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45

Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 + address

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3313 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert and Ada Seckerson to erect a residence on the North 62½ ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 192, Pacific Beach on Felspar between Ocean Boulevard and Mission Boulevard, a portion of land which does not have street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By XXXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/13/45 By P
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3302 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. F. Williams to re-establish use of a previously existing cabinet shop at 3539 Fairmount Avenue on the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 14 and all of Lot 15, Block 5, City Heights Annex No. 2, subject to the following conditions:

1. Not more than one (1) employee;
2. Shop not to be operated later than 7:00 P.M;
3. Maximum of 6 horsepower equipment;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13507, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By XXXXXX Secretary

Application Received

12/13/45

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

12/19/45

By

Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

12/19/45

Hearing date

Decision

Cond'l Approval

Date

12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

12/20/45

Building Inspector

12/21/45

Planning Commission

12/21/45

Petitioner

12/21/45

Health Department

12/21/45 + 9 assess

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3319 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances Davidson to sell musical instruments in connection with music teaching in the residence at 2555 Broadway on Lot 12, Block 1, Bartlett Estates; provided a sign not larger than 15 sq. ft. is placed on the building to designate a music studio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above. R-9

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By.....
Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/13/45 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3287 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. R. Stengel to erect a double-faced Neon Sign, 6 ft. 6 in. by 2 ft. 4 in., "Dr. L. R. Stengel, Chiropractor" and painted letters "Radionics - Body Balance" at 2914 - 30th Street on Lots 27 and 28, Block 1, Blairs Highland; sign to be placed in the front yard, and permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution; construction to be out beyond the setback line.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above. Also, a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By.....
Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/10/45 By Rosa
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Condil permit Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 x Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3255 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John L. and Willis Handcox to re-establish a non-conforming grocery store that has been abandoned for 12 months, no intoxicating liquor to be sold at 2896 Clay Street on the South 106 ft. of Lots 45 to 48, Block 319, Reed & Daley's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20,

45

Dated 19

By.....

Secretary

~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman

Application Received

12/12/45

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

12/19/45

By

Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

12/19/45

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

12/20/45

Building Inspector

12/21/45

Planning Commission

12/21/45

Petitioner

12/21/45

Health Department

12/21/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3286 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. E. and Dorothy Mc Dougal to build a store building with apartments above, as an addition to existing residence on the Northwest corner of Orange and Fairmount Avenue on Lots 25 to 27 inclusive, Block 21, Teralta, Resub. of Blks. K and L; store building to be constructed on the side lot line; provided a 10 ft. setback is maintained on Fairmount Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/3/45 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3248 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of C. E. Caldwell, owner and Earl Shearer and John L. Ireland, purchasers requesting permission to split the South 50 ft. of the North 550 ft. of the West one-half of Lot 59, RHO, Ex-Mission part. Sub. No. 5 of part of Lot 12, Northeast corner of Lisbon and Pidgeon Streets into two equal parcels to permit the construction of one single family dwelling on each parcel, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117 New Series be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 1945, 19

By ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/8/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 12/19/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/20/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 12/24/45 Council Hearing, date 1/8/46

Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3051 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen Fuller to divide the Nly 83 ft. of the Wly 270 ft. of the South one-half of Pueblo Lot 142 at 465 Silvergate Avenue into two building sites; one 83 ft. by 150 ft.; and the other 83 ft. by 120 ft.; to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel and the latter parcel having only 30 ft. street frontage on Silvergate Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

By..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Dated 19
145

Application Received 12/13/45 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3309 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis F. Ayer and Irma Dora Gilmour to build a residence in connection with a 12 unit court at Ivanhoe Street and Torrey Pines Road on Lots 1 to 7 inclusive, Block 27, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 20, 45

Dated 19

By.....
Secretary

Application Received 12/14/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/19/45
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/45 Building Inspector 12/21/45
Planning Commission 12/21/45 Petitioner 12/21/45 Health Department 12/21/45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3293 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard and Mary E. Holz to maintain living quarters 7½ ft. from the rear lot line at 514 Gravilla Place, La Jolla, on the Nly 100 ft. of the Sly 105 ft. of Lot 11, Block H, Starkey's Prospect Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By..... Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/7/45 By South
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 + 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
 Decision approved Date 1/2/46
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
 Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. **3335** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot **Portions of** Block **430**

Subdivision **Old San Diego** and Portions of Lots **2 & 3**, Block **431**

E. A. Lombard

Pacific Highway, south of Rosecrans

may be used for the erection and operation of **a 31 unit Auto Court and office;**

and 17 garages.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated **January 3,** 194 **6**

By _____
Secretary
XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/15/45 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46

Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1258

WHEREAS, Application No. 3299 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Wilson to construct a two story residence at 2470 "K" Street on Lots 41 and 42, Block 11, Kimball's Subdivision and use the basement for conduct of oil heating business, installation and service only, and for the storage of equipment used in said business, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs;
2. All storage to be within the building;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By..... ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/15/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellen, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'd Approval Date 1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46

Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3278 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Peter and Margaret Sampo to excavate 25,000 Cu. yds. of dirt for public improvements, from the Southwesterly one-half of Pueblo Lot 265 (except the Southwesterly 250 ft. of the Southeasterly 291 ft.), at Knoxville near Gardena Avenue, subject to final approval by the City Engineer and the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 46

Dated 2145, 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/15/45 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellers, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond permit Date 1/2/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

*Renewed by
Res. #1625*

o.k.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3311 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will *not* materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will *not* be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will *not* adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Winn to erect six (6) units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, on Mission Boulevard, between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts, as per plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 46

Dated 19 By *XXXXXX* Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/15/45

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellen, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

*Renewed by
Res. #1626*

WHEREAS, Application No. 3312 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Winn to erect six units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts with a 4 ft. setback on Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/15/45

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellew, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3326 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. S. Kendal to erect a 14 ft. board fence, 20 ft. long and 46 ft. from the front property line, at 1969 - 1st Avenue on Lots A and B, Block 233, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 46

Dated 19

By..... ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/15/45

By

Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellew, Clark & Burton

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

approved

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3318 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Ruth Babcock to split Lot 23, Block 62, Point Loma Heights, at the Northeast corner of Newport and Venice Streets into two parcels, each to contain over 5,000 sq. ft., as shown on a plat on file in the Planning Department office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 1946

Dated 19
2145

By.....
Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/19/45 By Neft
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 1/2/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3342 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. S. Knight, Sr. to construct an addition to an existing dwelling which has a 19 ft. rear yard and only 4 ft. 6 in. between it and two adjacent dwellings at 804 Bush Street on the South 80 ft. of Lots 29 to 32 inclusive, Blk. 483 and a portion of Bush Street closed, Resubdivision of Pueblo Lot 1122.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 46

Dated 1945, 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/20/45

By

Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

approved

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46 + Assess

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3339 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen M. Prince to split Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, La Huerta, at Sea Breeze and Edgewater Streets into two building sites, 68 ft. by 100 ft. facing on SeaBreeze Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/24/45

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellew, Clark and Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3333 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna V. and Janet H. Jensen and Karen Jensen Clark, to build an addition to an existing residence with a 4 ft. rear yard at 1241 Roslyn Lane, on the Northerly 108 ft. of Lot 15, Block 52, La Jolla Park, provided the log cabin structure adjoining be removed, as soon as possible.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received 12/26/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellen, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Conditional Approval Date 1/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

if 46
Wm. B. B. B.
1/4/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3343 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The M. Hall Company and Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to divide the Nly 15 ft. of Lot 26 and all of Lots 27 to 30, inclusive, Block 13, Bird Rock Addition into three parcels to permit a residence on each parcel described as follows: (measured along street frontage)

Parcel 1 - N 15 ft. of Lot 26 & all of 27 & S 10 ft. of 28;

Parcel 2 - Ely 65 ft. of Lots 29 & 30 & Ely 65 ft. of Nly 30 ft. of 28;

Parcel 3 - Wly 60 ft. of Lots 29 & 30 & Wly 60 ft. of Nly 30 ft. of 28;

at Waverly Avenue & Forward Streets, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Forward Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 46

Dated 145 19

By.....
Secretary

Application Received 12/20/45 By Mail - Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46

Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3344 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Co., The M. Hall Company and Ira B. Riford to divide the ~~West~~ 30 ft. of Lot 8 and all of Lots 9 to 12 inclusive, Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-By-the-Sea, Block "B", into four parcels to permit a residence on each parcel described as follows: (measured along street frontage)

1. West 30 ft. of Lot 8 & East 20 ft. of Lot 9;
2. West 20 ft. of Lots 9 & East 34.9 ft. of Lots 10 to 12 inc.;
- ✓ 3. West 85 ft. of Lot 10 & West 85 ft. of North 15 ft. of Lot 11;
4. West 85 ft. of Lot 12 & West 85 ft. of South 20 ft. of Lot 11;

Bird Rock Avenue and Abalone Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 1946

Dated 1/45 By..... Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/20/45 By Mail - Neff
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 1/2/46
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
 Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

520 11 (RM) 11-01
 11/16/45 01-11 51M
 3-10-46 8-10-46
 4/12/48
 10-11 (RM)
 9-10-11-12
 10-11-12
 11-12-13
 12-13-14
 1-15-16
 2-17-18
 3-19-20
 4-21-22
 5-23-24
 6-25-26
 7-27-28
 8-29-30
 9-31-32
 10-33-34
 11-35-36
 12-37-38
 1-39-40
 2-41-42
 3-43-44
 4-45-46
 5-47-48
 6-49-50
 7-51-52
 8-53-54
 9-55-56
 10-57-58
 11-59-60
 12-61-62
 1-63-64
 2-65-66
 3-67-68
 4-69-70
 5-71-72
 6-73-74
 7-75-76
 8-77-78
 9-79-80
 10-81-82
 11-83-84
 12-85-86
 1-87-88
 2-89-90
 3-91-92
 4-93-94
 5-95-96
 6-97-98
 7-99-100
 8-101-102
 9-103-104
 10-105-106
 11-107-108
 12-109-110
 1-111-112
 2-113-114
 3-115-116
 4-117-118
 5-119-120
 6-121-122
 7-123-124
 8-125-126
 9-127-128
 10-129-130
 11-131-132
 12-133-134
 1-135-136
 2-137-138
 3-139-140
 4-141-142
 5-143-144
 6-145-146
 7-147-148
 8-149-150
 9-151-152
 10-153-154
 11-155-156
 12-157-158
 1-159-160
 2-161-162
 3-163-164
 4-165-166
 5-167-168
 6-169-170
 7-171-172
 8-173-174
 9-175-176
 10-177-178
 11-179-180
 12-181-182
 1-183-184
 2-185-186
 3-187-188
 4-189-190
 5-191-192
 6-193-194
 7-195-196
 8-197-198
 9-199-200
 10-201-202
 11-203-204
 12-205-206
 1-207-208
 2-209-210
 3-211-212
 4-213-214
 5-215-216
 6-217-218
 7-219-220
 8-221-222
 9-223-224
 10-225-226
 11-227-228
 12-229-230
 1-231-232
 2-233-234
 3-235-236
 4-237-238
 5-239-240
 6-241-242
 7-243-244
 8-245-246
 9-247-248
 10-249-250
 11-251-252
 12-253-254
 1-255-256
 2-257-258
 3-259-260
 4-261-262
 5-263-264
 6-265-266
 7-267-268
 8-269-270
 9-271-272
 10-273-274
 11-275-276
 12-277-278
 1-279-280
 2-281-282
 3-283-284
 4-285-286
 5-287-288
 6-289-290
 7-291-292
 8-293-294
 9-295-296
 10-297-298
 11-299-300
 12-301-302
 1-303-304
 2-305-306
 3-307-308
 4-309-310
 5-311-312
 6-313-314
 7-315-316
 8-317-318
 9-319-320
 10-321-322
 11-323-324
 12-325-326
 1-327-328
 2-329-330
 3-331-332
 4-333-334
 5-335-336
 6-337-338
 7-339-340
 8-341-342
 9-343-344
 10-345-346
 11-347-348
 12-349-350
 1-351-352
 2-353-354
 3-355-356
 4-357-358
 5-359-360
 6-361-362
 7-363-364
 8-365-366
 9-367-368
 10-369-370
 11-371-372
 12-373-374
 1-375-376
 2-377-378
 3-379-380
 4-381-382
 5-383-384
 6-385-386
 7-387-388
 8-389-390
 9-391-392
 10-393-394
 11-395-396
 12-397-398
 1-399-400
 2-401-402
 3-403-404
 4-405-406
 5-407-408
 6-409-410
 7-411-412
 8-413-414
 9-415-416
 10-417-418
 11-419-420
 12-421-422
 1-423-424
 2-425-426
 3-427-428
 4-429-430
 5-431-432
 6-433-434
 7-435-436
 8-437-438
 9-439-440
 10-441-442
 11-443-444
 12-445-446
 1-447-448
 2-449-450
 3-451-452
 4-453-454
 5-455-456
 6-457-458
 7-459-460
 8-461-462
 9-463-464
 10-465-466
 11-467-468
 12-469-470
 1-471-472
 2-473-474
 3-475-476
 4-477-478
 5-479-480
 6-481-482
 7-483-484
 8-485-486
 9-487-488
 10-489-490
 11-491-492
 12-493-494
 1-495-496
 2-497-498
 3-499-500
 4-501-502
 5-503-504
 6-505-506
 7-507-508
 8-509-510
 9-511-512
 10-513-514
 11-515-516
 12-517-518
 1-519-520
 2-521-522
 3-523-524
 4-525-526
 5-527-528
 6-529-530
 7-531-532
 8-533-534
 9-535-536
 10-537-538
 11-539-540
 12-541-542
 1-543-544
 2-545-546
 3-547-548
 4-549-550
 5-551-552
 6-553-554
 7-555-556
 8-557-558
 9-559-560
 10-561-562
 11-563-564
 12-565-566
 1-567-568
 2-569-570
 3-571-572
 4-573-574
 5-575-576
 6-577-578
 7-579-580
 8-581-582
 9-583-584
 10-585-586
 11-587-588
 12-589-590
 1-591-592
 2-593-594
 3-595-596
 4-597-598
 5-599-600
 6-601-602
 7-603-604
 8-605-606
 9-607-608
 10-609-610
 11-611-612
 12-613-614
 1-615-616
 2-617-618
 3-619-620
 4-621-622
 5-623-624
 6-625-626
 7-627-628
 8-629-630
 9-631-632
 10-633-634
 11-635-636
 12-637-638
 1-639-640
 2-641-642
 3-643-644
 4-645-646
 5-647-648
 6-649-650
 7-651-652
 8-653-654
 9-655-656
 10-657-658
 11-659-660
 12-661-662
 1-663-664
 2-665-666
 3-667-668
 4-669-670
 5-671-672
 6-673-674
 7-675-676
 8-677-678
 9-679-680
 10-681-682
 11-683-684
 12-685-686
 1-687-688
 2-689-690
 3-691-692
 4-693-694
 5-695-696
 6-697-698
 7-699-700
 8-701-702
 9-703-704
 10-705-706
 11-707-708
 12-709-710
 1-711-712
 2-713-714
 3-715-716
 4-717-718
 5-719-720
 6-721-722
 7-723-724
 8-725-726
 9-727-728
 10-729-730
 11-731-732
 12-733-734
 1-735-736
 2-737-738
 3-739-740
 4-741-742
 5-743-744
 6-745-746
 7-747-748
 8-749-750
 9-751-752
 10-753-754
 11-755-756
 12-757-758
 1-759-760
 2-761-762
 3-763-764
 4-765-766
 5-767-768
 6-769-770
 7-771-772
 8-773-774
 9-775-776
 10-777-778
 11-779-780
 12-781-782
 1-783-784
 2-785-786
 3-787-788
 4-789-790
 5-791-792
 6-793-794
 7-795-796
 8-797-798
 9-799-800
 10-801-802
 11-803-804
 12-805-806
 1-807-808
 2-809-810
 3-811-812
 4-813-814
 5-815-816
 6-817-818
 7-819-820
 8-821-822
 9-823-824
 10-825-826
 11-827-828
 12-829-830
 1-831-832
 2-833-834
 3-835-836
 4-837-838
 5-839-840
 6-841-842
 7-843-844
 8-845-846
 9-847-848
 10-849-850
 11-851-852
 12-853-854
 1-855-856
 2-857-858
 3-859-860
 4-861-862
 5-863-864
 6-865-866
 7-867-868
 8-869-870
 9-871-872
 10-873-874
 11-875-876
 12-877-878
 1-879-880
 2-881-882
 3-883-884
 4-885-886
 5-887-888
 6-889-890
 7-891-892
 8-893-894
 9-895-896
 10-897-898
 11-899-900
 12-901-902
 1-903-904
 2-905-906
 3-907-908
 4-909-910
 5-911-912
 6-913-914
 7-915-916
 8-917-918
 9-919-920
 10-921-922
 11-923-924
 12-925-926
 1-927-928
 2-929-930
 3-931-932
 4-933-934
 5-935-936
 6-937-938
 7-939-940
 8-941-942
 9-943-944
 10-945-946
 11-947-948
 12-949-950
 1-951-952
 2-953-954
 3-955-956
 4-957-958
 5-959-960
 6-961-962
 7-963-964
 8-965-966
 9-967-968
 10-969-970
 11-971-972
 12-973-974
 1-975-976
 2-977-978
 3-979-980
 4-981-982
 5-983-984
 6-985-986
 7-987-988
 8-989-990
 9-991-992
 10-993-994
 11-995-996
 12-997-998
 1-999-1000

WHEREAS, Application No. 3725 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred A. and Marion Vinyard to erect a new building for the enlargement and continuation of a non-conforming door manufacturing business at 1905 Pacific Boulevard, on Lot 5, Block 284, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 880, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/15/45 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date 1/2/46
Decision approved Date 1/2/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/4/46 Council Hearing, date 1/4/46
Decision of Council 1/4/46 Date 1/4/46
Resolution becomes effective 1/4/46
Application withdrawn 1/4/46 Continued to 1/4/46
Time limit extended to 1/4/46 Date of action 1/4/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3315 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary A. Cooke to operate a Curtain and Drapery Hospital, part time, at 3731 - 32nd Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 45, Park Villas; provided no signs are posted on the property and no employee's.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 46

Dated 2145 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/17/45

By

South
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

Cond'l approval

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46 + Cresson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3316 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..... ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. and Martha Norman to erect an addition to a residence at 1824 Torrence Street on Lots 6 and 7, Block 73, Middletown Addition; addition to have a 14 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3, 1946

By..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/17/45

By

Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/2/46

By

Sellew, Clark + Burton

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/2/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/3/46

Building Inspector

1/4/46

Planning Commission

1/4/46

Petitioner

1/4/46

Health Department

1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3320 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Corte Truax to build a residence and garage with a setback of 10 ft. on Jefferson Street and no rear yard distance for the garage on a small portion of the property, at the west end of Jefferson Street on a portion of Tract K, Normal Heights, lying adjacent southerly to Lot 15, Resubdivision of Block 10, Kensington Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 19

By.....
Secretary

XXXXXX Chairman

Application Received 12/19/45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46

Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 3334 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 14 and 15 Block 2

Subdivision La Mesa Colony

Phil H. Doughty

68th and El Cajon Boulevard

may be used for the erection and operation of a 10 unit addition to an

existing Auto Court.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated January 3, 194 6

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 12/20/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/2/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46
Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3321 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James H. Johnson to divide Lots G, H. and I, Block 395, Horton's Addition into two building sites, each 75 ft. by 100 ft., at the Northwest corner of Thorn and Brant Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3,

46

Dated 45 19

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/21/45 By Haelzig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/46 By Sellew, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date

Decision approved Date 1/2/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/46

Planning Commission 1/4/46 Petitioner 1/4/46 Health Department 1/4/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3116 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth B. Parsons to legally secure a separate water meter at 1227 Cave Street, La Jolla, on a portion of Lot 33, Block 51, La Jolla Park, a portion of property without street frontage; access to the property being over a 10 ft. easement as recorded on July 31, 1945 in Book 1903, Page 492, Official Records.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Application Received 11/21/45 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/5/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/5/45 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/45 Building Inspector 1/18/45
Planning Commission 1/18/45 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1 Council Hearing, date 2 Water Dept.
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3292 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Pearl Gordons to operate a pressing and tailoring shop, wholesale cleaning and laundry agency, in an existing garage at 3954 - 32nd Street on Lots 37 and 38, Block 210, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 1945 19

By ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/5/45 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date 1/16/46

Decision Approved Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Address

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/18/46 Council Hearing, date 1/18/46

Decision of Council Date 1/18/46

Resolution becomes effective 1/18/46

Application withdrawn Continued to 1/18/46

Time limit extended to 1/18/46 Date of action 1/18/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3266 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Etta G. Malmberg, owner and San Diego Broadcasting Company and C. Arnholt Smith, purchaser, to construct three 200 ft. radio towers and control room 30 ft. by 40 ft. at 52nd and Ogden Streets on Lot 35, Lemon Villa tract; all remote broadcasting will be from downtown business district; subject to a written statement satisfactory to the Zoning Committee as to what the Company will do for property owners in regards to radio interference, access to property, location of building and landscaping.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3266, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By

XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/5/45 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19/45 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/45 Hearing date 1/2/46 & Cont on 1/16/46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/16/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46
Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3353 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. Paul Comstock to build a glass house 14 ft. by 40 ft. as an addition to an existing garage at 5140 - 35th Street on Villa Lot 108, except the Southerly 25 ft. Univ. Hgts; glass house to be adjacent to the side lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17, 46

Dated 145 19 By ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

12/27/45

By

South

City Planning Department

Clark
Kerrigan
Lundy
Burton

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

approved

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3310 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. E. and Marie T. Harmer to build a residence on the Northwest corner of 52nd and Monroe Streets on the Easterly 62½ ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 6, Alhambra Park with a setback of 20 ft. from the front property line; and in no case shall the setback be less than 20 ft. and no nearer to the street than the house immediately to the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

XXXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Dated 19

Application Received 12/27/45 By Baughman
 City Planning Department Clark
 Investigation made 1/16/46 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department Hernigán, Lundy & Burton

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date _____
 Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/16/46
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46
 Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

FROM: [Name of Petitioner]

RE: [Description of the subject matter]

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission has adopted a resolution regarding the [subject matter]; and

WHEREAS, the Petitioner desires to appeal the said resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Petitioner desires to request a hearing on the appeal;

THE PETITIONER REQUESTS THAT THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK:

1. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Planning Commission.
2. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Council.
3. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Health.
4. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Education.
5. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Fire.
6. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Police.
7. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Public Works.
8. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Transportation.
9. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Parks and Recreation.
10. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Social Services.
11. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Mental Health.
12. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Housing.
13. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Economic Development.
14. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Labor Relations.
15. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Civil Service.
16. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Public Safety.
17. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Public Health.
18. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Public Welfare.
19. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Public Education.
20. Cause a copy of this appeal to be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the City Board of Public Works.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Petitioner has hereunto set his hand and seal this [date] day of [month], 1946.

 [Signature of Petitioner]

 [Signature of City Clerk]

WHEREAS, Application No. 3354 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Benjamin I. Kelly, purchaser and Charles and Loro C. Jensen, owner, to construct a residence on Villa Lot 73, University Heights (except beg at the SW cor of V.L. 73 thence N along W line 100 ft thence E on line parallel with Sly line to pt in the Ely line thence Sly along the Ely line to SE cor thence W along S line to pt of beg), North of Suncrest Drive between Kansas and 30th Streets; parcel of land without street frontage but access to the street is by a 4 ft. easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By.....

Secretary

Chairman

Application Received 12/27/45 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 1/16/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46
Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3314 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. B. Slocum to construct a private garage, 20 ft. by 20 ft., and to use as a Home Maintenance Repair Shop at 4431 - 51st Street on Lot 16 and 17, Block 3, Alhambra Park; provided no power machinery is used; no signs posted on the property; and no night operations.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/28/45 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Kerrigan, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/16/46
Decision Cord's Approval Date 1/16/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46
Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 V. Harrison
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/18/46 Council Hearing, date 1/18/46
Decision of Council 1/18/46 Date 1/18/46
Resolution becomes effective 1/18/46
Application withdrawn 1/18/46 Continued to 1/18/46
Time limit extended to 1/18/46 Date of action 1/18/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3341 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will..... ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Co., owner and Samuel J. Heidner, purchaser, to divide Lot 5, Cresta Real, on the Southeast side of Golden Park Avenue, as shown on a map on file in the office of the Planning Department, to permit a single family dwelling on both parcels, provided the easement for access to the southeasterly portion is 15 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By ~~xxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/29/45 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Kerigan, Lundy, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/16/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46
Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Extension of time Res # 1949 amended by 2485 extended by 2755

WHEREAS, Application No. 3355 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. May Hume and A. E. Roberts, owners and B. E. Soby, lessee, to alter appearance of barn at 2931 Carlton Street on Lot 10, Block 25, Roseville, and use for a gift shop and creation of lamp shades, for a period of one year from the date of this resolution and subject to the approval of the Building Department and Health Department; bldg. to be painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2478, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By..... xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 12/29/45 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Kerrigan, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/16/46

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/18/46 Council Hearing, date 1/18/46

Decision of Council 1/18/46 Date 1/18/46

Resolution becomes effective 1/18/46

Application withdrawn 1/18/46 Continued to 1/18/46

Time limit extended to 1/18/46 Date of action 1/18/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3349 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emil and Barbara Mojzis to erect a masonry wall 4 ft. 6 in. above an existing slab, in front of the setback line and facing Reed Street on the South 67 ft. of Lots 21 and 22, Block 266, Pacific Beach, 4254 Fanuel Street, subject to the following conditions:

- ✓ 1. That the lattice screen will be removed within 30 days;
- ✓ 2. That nothing will be constructed above the wall;
3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be filed in the office of the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 401
filed 1/28/46
January 17,

46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated 19

By
Secretary

Application Received 1/3/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark Lundy, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Could approve Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3345 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. A. F. Miller to use an existing building for living quarters at 551 - 11th Street, on the South 25 ft. of the West 50 ft. of Lot B and all of Lot C, Block 102, Horton's Addition, with no sideyard on the north side, for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By

~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman

Secretary

Application Received

1/3/46

By

South
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

Conditional Approval

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3346 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. H. Warner to move a residence on Lot 3, Joseph Reiner's Subdivision, a 5 acre parcel of land without street frontage but which has a 20 ft. easement as access to a public street, Camino del Rio in Mission Valley.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By ^{XXXXXXX} Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 1/3/46 By H. H. H. H. H.
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/16/46

Decision Approved Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/18/46 Council Hearing, date 1/18/46

Decision of Council 1/18/46 Date 1/18/46

Resolution becomes effective 1/18/46

Application withdrawn 1/18/46 Continued to 1/18/46

Time limit extended to 1/18/46 Date of action 1/18/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3367 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. P. and Elsie Bowen to erect a building 18 ft. by 20 ft. at 4318 - 46th Street on the South one-half of Lot 4, Block 2, Edgemont for refrigeration repairs and storage of parts; no power machinery; and provided the existing garage be torn down or replaced within one year.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By

XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received

1/3/46

By

Case

City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

Cond'l Approval

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46

+ Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3338 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon and Emily Cazare to divide a 5 acre portion of Pueblo Lot 1112 to permit a single family dwelling on the westerly 2 acre parcel with 200 ft. street frontage, on Camino del Rio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17, 46

Dated 19 By ~~XXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 1/4/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/6/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/6/46 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/8/46 Building Inspector 1/8/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Assessors

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3375 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Mc Clure to construct a store attached to an existing dwelling at 2326 - 30th Street on the South 40 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 8, Park Addition, provided the north line of the building be 6 in. from the property line and the west wall does not extend beyond the window of the property to the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

XXXXXXX Chairman

Dated 19

By Secretary

Application Received

1/4/46

By

Neff

City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

Cond'l Approval

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46

Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3383 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stephen Holm to repair foundations of seven existing non-conforming living units on the Northwest corner of Beech and State Streets on Lots 7 and 8, Block 25, Middletown, the work to be done will be in excess of 50% of the assessed value of the buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 9, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By

XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 1/5/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/16/46

Decision Approved Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/18/46 Council Hearing, date 1/18/46

Decision of Council 1/18/46 Date 1/18/46

Resolution becomes effective 1/18/46

Application withdrawn 1/18/46 Continued to 1/18/46

Time limit extended to 1/18/46 Date of action 1/18/46

WHEREAS, Application No. 3372 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The City of San Diego, owner and H. E. Johnson and J. K. Greiner, lessees to construct a wire fence, 24 ft. high at Morena Boulevard and Greenwood Street on the portion of Pueblo Lot 300 lying NEly of Morena Boulevard and the West 279 ft. of Pueblo Lot 299.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17, 46

Dated 19

By ^{XXXXXX} Chairman

Secretary

Application Received

1/7/46

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3378 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. C. Johnson to erect an 8 ft. corrugated iron fence across the rear of the property at 1413-19 University Avenue on Lot 1, Block 1, University Place, approximately in the rear 70 ft. along the side lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 9, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By

Secretary

Application Received

1/7/46

By

Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3376 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. A. Dunbar to build a single family residence on the SWly 32½ ft. of Lots 1 to 3 inc., Block 101, Roseville and 35 ft. closed street adjoining and maintain a 15 ft. setback for the residence and an 8 ft. setback on Plum Street for the garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By.....
Secretary

xxxxxxx Chairman

Application Received

1/8/46

By

Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~3415~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot ~~3~~ & the SE 50 ft. of ~~Block 342~~ Lot 2, except Highway
Subdivision ~~Old San Diego~~

~~Josephine Toberman~~

~~Jefferson & Riley Streets~~
may be used for the erection and operation of ~~a 6 unit addition to existing~~

~~13 unit Auto Court (previous Res. No. 75291)~~
subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated ~~January 17,~~ 194 ~~46~~

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/15/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3294 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose E. Fisher, owner and Walter H. Lawrence, lessee, to alter the rear portion of an existing store building at 3221 Adams Avenue on Lot 3, Block 37, Normal Heights into living quarters, with no sideyard on either side of the building, for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17, 46

Application Received 1/10/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46

Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3358 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter Trepte to divide the Southerly one-half of Pueblo Lot 129 on Silvergate Avenue into two parcels and permission to build one single family residence on each parcel; one parcel 250 ft. by 300 ft. fronting on Silvergate Avenue; and one parcel 300 ft. by 350 ft. to be served by a private road 30 ft. wide to Silvergate Avenue, with the understanding that access to the private road will be permitted to the City to enter City property which is a portion of Pueblo Lot 106.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By

xxxxxxx Chairman

Secretary

Application Received

12/28/45

By

Ruik

City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/16/46

By

Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan & Bunker

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/16/45

Hearing date

Decision

Cond'l Approval

Date

1/16/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/18/46

Building Inspector

1/18/46

Planning Commission

1/18/46

Petitioner

1/18/46

Health Department

1/18/46 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3324 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. J. Hall to build a residence on the Easterly 360 ft. of Pueblo Lot 105, a parcel of land split after the time of zoning and having access by way of a private easement 30 ft. in width, with the understanding that access to the private road will be permitted to the City to enter City property which is a portion of Pueblo Lot 106.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 17,

46

Dated 19

By.....

XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received 12/28/45 By Rick
City Planning Department
Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond approve Date 1/16/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/46 Building Inspector 1/18/46
Planning Commission 1/18/46 Petitioner 1/18/46 Health Department 1/18/46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3368 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence E. Hewitt to conduct a Radio Repair & Service Shop; full time; no employees; and no signs, at 4157 - 43rd Street on Lots 9 and 10, Block 20, Re-Subdivision of Lots 20 to 50, Block N, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 31,

46

Dated 19

2145

By.....

XXXXXX Chairman

Secretary

Application Received 1/7/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 1/16/46 By Lundy, Clark + Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/30/46
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RECEIVED

IN REPLY TO LETTER DATED 1/16/46 FROM THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
RE: APPLICATION FOR ZONING MAP AMENDMENT TO REZONE LOT 10, BLOCK 1, TRACT 1, MAP 1, CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, TO RESIDENTIAL DENSE SINGLE-FAMILY ZONE.

RE-CONSIDERATION OF THE APPLICATION FOR ZONING MAP AMENDMENT TO REZONE LOT 10, BLOCK 1, TRACT 1, MAP 1, CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, TO RESIDENTIAL DENSE SINGLE-FAMILY ZONE, WAS MADE AT A PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON JANUARY 30, 1946, AT THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. THE HEARING WAS OPENED BY THE CHIEF OF THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT, WHO STATED THAT THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT HAD RECEIVED THE APPLICATION FOR ZONING MAP AMENDMENT TO REZONE LOT 10, BLOCK 1, TRACT 1, MAP 1, CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, TO RESIDENTIAL DENSE SINGLE-FAMILY ZONE, AND THAT THE APPLICATION HAD BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE ON JANUARY 16, 1946, AND THAT THE COMMITTEE HAD RECOMMENDED THAT THE APPLICATION BE APPROVED.

1946

1946

1946

1946

WHEREAS, Application No. 3448 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. K. Margetts to construct a new garage and storage room, 55 ft. from the front property line with no sideyard, at 2509 - 34th Street on Lot 50, Block 1, Laurel Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 31,

46

Dated , 19

By ##### Chairman
Secretary

Application Received

1/23/46

By

Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made

1/30/46

By

Lundy, Clark, ~~Kennan~~ + Burton

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/30/46

Hearing date

Decision

Approved

Date

1/30/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/31/46

Building Inspector

2/1/46

Planning Commission

2/1/46

Petitioner

2/1/46

Health Department

2/1/46 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 3371 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C., Evelyn N., Orville C. and Helen M. Purpus to erect a residence on Hilldale Road, south of Braeburn Road on Lots 126 and 127, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2 with the same setback as the garage to the north which is approximately 8 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 31,

46

19

By.....

Secretary

Chairman

Application Received 1/8/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Kellum + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved for approx 8 setbacks Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____