RESOLUTIONS

1301
TO
1500

824

O.R.

RESOLUTION NO. 1301

WHEREAS, Application No. 2571 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred L. Anderson to construct a building for storage and to extract honey, at 604 - 63rd Street on the south 75 ft. of Lot 17 and all of Lot 18, Block 3, Encanto Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 12/31/45 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Lundy, Clark, Kernigan & Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/30/46
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1302

WHEREAS, Application No. 3385 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Grist to construct a 4th living unit at 4065 - 43rd Street on the south 15 ft. of Lot 7 and all of Lot 8, Block 46, City Heights and maintain a 3 ft. court at the side of the lot and a 12 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 19 46

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

1 2145

Application Received 1/8/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Lundy, Clark, ~~Kerrigan~~ Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector ~~1/31~~ 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

U.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1303

WHEREAS, Application No. 3387 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. S. Kinney to conduct a Radio Repair Shop, retail and service; Refrigeration and Appliance sales and service; and a Plumbing Sales Service Shop in a building on the Northeast corner of Fern and "A" Streets on the East 5 ft. of Lot 28 and all of Lot 29, Block 91, E. W. Morse's Subdivision and the West 50 ft. of the South 10 ft. of Lot 12, Block 33, Friedlanders South Park Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ..... xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/9/46 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1304

WHEREAS, Application No. 3327 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Fourth Avenue Hospital, Ass'n, owner, and Joseph D. Stringer, purchaser to conduct a Convalescent Home, no surgery, no maternity and no mental cases at 1119 - 28th Street, on Lots 25 and 26, Block 63, E. W. Morse's Subdivision; permission was also granted to use the building at the rear, on the north lot line, as a storage room, kitchen and dining room and to permit excess coverage, subject to the following condition:

1. That at any time Mr. Joseph D. Stringer loses control of the property the permit shall be revoked.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 1946

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/5/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/16/46 By Clark, Lundy, Kerrigan, Sellers & Butt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/16/46 Hearing date 1/30/46
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor & County Welfare
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1305

WHEREAS, Application No. 3403 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth L. and Mary Jeffrey to conduct an upholstering business in an addition being made to an existing dwelling at 3843 - 8th Avenue on the south 59.15 ft. of the north 206.9 ft. of Lot 3 and the north 35 ft. of Lot 12, Block 1, La Canyada Villa Tract; business to be operated by the applicant only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12998, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 19 46

By XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/12/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1306

WHEREAS, Application No. 3394 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J. Hubrecht to operate a part time photo finishing shop (developing, printing and enlarging) in an existing garage; no signs and no other employees, at 4510 Alabama Street on Lot 28 and the South ½ of Lot 29, Block 48, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/14/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1307

WHEREAS, Application No. 3388 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. P. Moore to alter a non-conforming residence at a cost in excess of 50% of the assessed value, and rent the 2nd floor to seven persons in addition to a single family on the 1st floor, for a period of one year from the date of this resolution and with the right to convert the building to a duplex at that time, 2801 Capps Street on the Westerly 50 ft. of Lots 11 and 12, Block 26, West End Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, and Section 10 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/15/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1308

WHEREAS, Application No. 3410 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Greenman Investment Company to erect two stall garage and laundry room, a building 20 ft. by 30 ft., with no sideyard on the north side, 3236-50 Strand Way, Lots C and D, Block 102, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ##### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/16/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1309

WHEREAS, Application No. 3419 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thelma Anders to construct an 8 ft. by 12 ft. addition to an existing residence with a 6 ft. rear yard and maintain a 6 ft. rear yard for the addition at 419 South 29th Street on the South 35 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 324, Reed & Daley's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/17/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellew, + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1310

WHEREAS, Application No. 3421 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel E. McClure to erect an addition to an existing residence with no sideyard and to extend existing firewall along the new addition, 4524 - 30th Street on Lots 29 and 30, Block 58, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/18/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1311

WHEREAS, Application No. 3395 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. A. Adams to build a residence on the Southwest 65 ft. of Lot 2, Block 506, Old San Diego, with only 50 ft. street frontage, Southwesterly end of Arista Street south of Presidio Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/18/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 & assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1312

WHEREAS, Application No. 3436 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. W. Stein to erect a garage on an existing slab with a 3 ft. sideyard, 62 ft. back from the front property line at 4731 - 51st Street on Lot 97, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/19/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1313

WHEREAS, Application No. 3435 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mildred G. Fischer to erect a garage on an existing slab with a 3 ft. sideyard, 62 ft. back from the front property line at 4723 - 51st Street on Lot 96, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/19/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1314

WHEREAS, Application No. 3430 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Curtis P. Green to add a laundry to the rear of an existing garage with a Billiard room above with no rear yard, 4319 Arista Drive on the Northeasterly 50 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Winthrop Highlands, provided an agreement is signed and filedof record, stating that the addition will be used as a part of the single family dwelling and will not be rented as a separate living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #403
filed 2/4/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/19/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1315

WHEREAS, Application No. 3374 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. H. Frost to maintain a pony ring and stables, also a wild life exhibit at 69th and Imperial on Lots 9, 10 and 11, Block 3, Lisbon Townsite for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/8/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Lundy, Clark, Selden + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1316

WHEREAS, Application No. 3400 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom L. Stavros to finish the alterations and repairs to make a fourth living unit on Lots 33 and 34, Block 37, H. P. Whitney's Addition, 3038 Main St., this group of dwellings being served by a court having a minimum width of 6 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 1/21/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1317

WHEREAS, Application No. 3443 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to move a garage to the setback line with no sideyard, 4211 Louisiana Street on the West 70 ft. of the South ½ of Lot 16 and the West 70 ft. of Lot 17, Block 126, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ..... xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/21/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1318

WHEREAS, Application No. 3386 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. Arnet Speer to construct a Service Station on the Southwesterly 25 ft. of the Northeasterly 125 ft. of the Northwesterly 125 ft. of Pueblo Lot 238 and Block 1, Bayview Tract (measured from Midway Drive and Rosecrans) and on the C zone property adjoining on the east.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/11/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1319

WHEREAS, Application No. 3409 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Currie to construct an 8 ft. fence on Commercial Street and Hensley Street and a 10 ft. fence on the rear and side property lines on Lots J, K and L, Block 11, N. W. Hensley's Addition, Southwest corner of Hensley & Commercial.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ..... xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/14/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.15

RESOLUTION NO. 1320

WHEREAS, Application No. 3357 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vivian I. Lee to conduct a Jewelry Manufacturing and Repairing Shop in the residence at 3064 Elm Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 5, J. P. Christensen Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs will be placed on the property;
2. And that the permit be for a period of one (1) year from the date of the resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Application for a variance to conduct food handling on the above described property by Vivian I. Lee be, and it is hereby denied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/16/46 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 1321

WHEREAS, Application No. 3380 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William J. and Myrtle E. Simpson to construct an addition to an existing residence at 4063 Georgia Street on Lots 9 and 10, Block 176, University Heights, with no sideyard to the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/16/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Lundy, Selden & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 & Asses.
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1322

WHEREAS, Application No. 3408 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Application by Frank L. and Esther Greer for a variance to split a portion of Lot 37, La Mesa Colony, 100 ft. north of Saranac Street on the west side of 69th Street into two building sites, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 31, 46, 19 .....

By ..... xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/16/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 1/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/31/46 Building Inspector 2/1/46
Planning Commission 2/1/46 Petitioner 2/1/46 Health Department 2/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1323

WHEREAS, Application No. 3180 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are not special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Helen White to maintain a Veterinary Hospital on the north side of Pearl Street between Eads and Fay Avenue on the Easterly 62 ft. of Lots 24 to 27 inclusive, Block 9, La Jolla Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By ..... Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/14/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date 2/13/46
Decision Denied Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & Comm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1324

WHEREAS, Application No. 3417 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Bucey to bake doughnuts, retail and wholesale; no other employees; no signs; at 4677 Utah Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 13, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By .....
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/18/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 Hearing date 2/13/46
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 Denied
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1325

WHEREAS, Application No. 3396 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Lee and Helen P. Henry to build a fifth living unit at 4978 Cape May on Lots 4 and 5, Block 57, Ocean Beach, with only a 5 ft. court to the street; also no sideyard for a garage containing over 500 sq. ft.; and the fifth living unit having only a 13½ ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By .....
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/10/46 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/30/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/30/46 + 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1326

WHEREAS, Application No. 3434 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wendell B. and Eleanor E. Marquardt to convert and add to an existing building for a single family residence at 2139 Torrey Pines Road on a parcel of land approximately 85 ft. by 93 ft. which is the East 102 ft. of Parcel B9B Assessor's Map No. 33A of Pueblo Lot 1286.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 1/21/46 By Haeleig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Sellew, Clark, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1327

WHEREAS, Application No. 3437 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. J. and Geneva M. Eummelen to maintain the fourth living unit at 1240½-42 Lincoln Avenue on Lots 19 and 20, Block 138, University Heights for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/23/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.H.

RESOLUTION NO. 1328

WHEREAS, Application No. 3402 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph M. Finnerty to build a single family residence on a 2.7 acre portion of the NW¼ of the NW¼ of Quarter Section 104, Rancho de la Nacion on Division Street near National City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By ..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/23/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1329

WHEREAS, Application No. 3426 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur C. Chapman to erect a residence on the corner of Osborn and Dalbergia Streets, on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 1, Nordica Heights with a setback of 10 ft. on Dalbergia and 10 ft. on Osborn Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/24/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1330

WHEREAS, Application No. 3413 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Grimes to conduct a Radio Service and Retail Store as a full time business, in an existing store building at 3099 "J" Street on Lot 12, Block 101, Frink & Wilde Subdivision, provided the business is not operated after 8:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/25/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1331

WHEREAS, Application No. 3393 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Alburquerque to build a residence on the Southerly 50 ft. of Lots 5 and 6, Block 34, Roseville at the corner of Carleton and Willow Streets with a 10 ft. setback on Carleton Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Nov 2 1940

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/25/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark Lundy Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/14/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + 0
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1332

WHEREAS, Application No. 3392 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manuel Ferreira and William Albuquerque to split lots 5 and 6, Block 34, Roseville at the corner of Willow and Carleton Streets into two parcels 50 ft. by 100 ft. each, to face on Willow Street instead of Carleton Street as originally subdivided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/25/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1333

WHEREAS, Application No. 3456 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred W. Temple to manufacture and sell plaster plaques, part time, in an existing garage at 3767 Newton Avenue on Lot 7 and 8, Block 409, Helpingstines Subdivision, for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

February 14, 1946

Dated ....., 19 .....

By ..... xxxxxx .....
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/25/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark Sellers, Lundy, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 same
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1334

WHEREAS, Application No. 3458 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis F. Ayer and Irma Dora Gilmour to erect a residence in connection with a 13 unit court at Ivanhoe and Torrey Pines Road on Lots 1 to 7 inclusive, Block 27, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of the Ordinance No.13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/28/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ..... Hearing date .....
Decision ..... Date .....
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ..... Building Inspector .....
Planning Commission ..... Petitioner ..... Health Department .....
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1335

WHEREAS, Application No. 3420 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. R. Obuchon to construct an apartment building on the north side of Del Monte Avenue between Bacon and OceanFront, on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 70, Ocean Beach, coverage not to exceed 60%; building to be constructed according to plans submitted with the exception of one foot which is to be cut off of the side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/28/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Owner
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 1336

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 3463 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 191 and 192 Block - - -

Subdivision Right of Way Lots, Middletown

J. H. Peterson

3670 Couts Street

may be used for the erection and operation of alteration of 7 additional Auto Court units previously approved as 34 units, making a total of 41 units.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated February 14, 1946

By

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/28/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/56 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 x Amerson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1337

WHEREAS, Application No. 3398 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James S. Lowrie to divide Lots 19 and 20, Block 105, Pacific Beach at Lamont and Law Streets into two parcels 50 ft. by 62 ft. each, to permit a single family residence on each parcel, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on both streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By ..... XXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/28/46 By Hadley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy + Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/4/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1338

WHEREAS, Application No. 3229 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. and Dorothy Campbell to add to an existing building which has a 1.3 ft. sideyard, a 6.5 ft. rear yard and an excess coverage of 67 sq. ft. when the addition is made, 341 Playa del Sur on Lot 20, Block 5, La Jolla Strand.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/29/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/56 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1339

WHEREAS, Application No. 3476 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis H. Picard to erect a single family residence on the South 100 ft. of Block 8, (except the East 25 ft.), Larchmont, the northeast corner of Merlin Drive and Brooklyn Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/29/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1340

WHEREAS, Application No. 3475 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lyda P. Rasmussen to divide Lot 29C of Assessor's Map No. 33 into two parcels as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Commission on the condition that the East 10 ft. will become part of Lot 30, Assessor's Map No. 33, and retained in single ownership, South side of Hypathia Way West of Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

Application Received 1/30/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/18/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1341

WHEREAS, Application No. 3469 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hal D. Blanchard to operate a small hydralic press for laminating cards, snapshots, in a garage at 4454 - 33rd Street on the South 20 ft. of Lot E and the North 13 1/3 ft. of Lot F, Block 10, Combination Land Co's Subdivision, subject to the following conditions:

1. Business to be operated part time;
2. No signs;
3. No other employees:
4. Permit to be for a period of 6 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 1/30/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Planning

82687

RESOLUTION NO. .....

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Lawrence E. Fenstermaker by Neva B. Fenstermaker, and the appeal of John A. Benton from the decisions of the Zoning Committee in denying applications numbered 3389 and 3459 for zone variances, be, and they are hereby sustained, and

That variance to the restrictions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and it is hereby granted, to permit the construction of a single-family residence on the northeasterly 72-1/2 feet of Lot 2 Block 127 La Playa and the adjacent 50 feet of Lawrence Street closed, in accordance with amended application made to the City Zoning Committee under date of February 15, 1946.

82687

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. .....
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAR 5 1946

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM
Deputy.

Form 1270 5M 7-45

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1342

WHEREAS, Application No. 3389 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of John A. and Mariella F. Benton, owners and DeRoy Saum, purchaser to divide and build on a 75 ft. portion which is the Northerly 75 ft. of the Southerly 125 ft. of Lot 2, Block 127, La Playa, on San Gorgonio Avenue between Kellogg and Lawrence Streets, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/14/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & Ammen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Ammended Res Date 3/5/46
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Planning O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 82687

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Lawrence E. Fenstermaker by Neva B. Fenstermaker, and the appeal of John A. Benton from the decisions of the Zoning Committee in denying applications numbered 3389 and 3459 for zone variances, ~~be, and~~ they are hereby sustained, and

That variance to the restrictions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and it is hereby granted, to permit the construction of a single-family residence on the northeasterly ~~75~~ 62½ feet of Lot 2 Block 127 La Playa and the adjacent 50 feet of Lawrence Street closed, in accordance with amended application made to the City Zoning Committee under date of February 15, 1946.

62½
+50
112½

82687

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 82687 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAR 5 1946

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM
Deputy.

Form 1270 5M 7-45

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1343

WHEREAS, Application No. 3459 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Lawrence E. Fenstermaker to construct one residence on a 75 ft. portion of land which is a portion of the Northwesterly 1/4 of Block 127, Lot 2, La Playa and a portion of Lawrence Street closed, on San Gorgonio Street at Lawrence Street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 1946

By xxxxxxx Chairman

Secretary

45

Application Received 1/28/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Assem
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date 3/5/46
Decision of Council Amended Approval Date 3/5/46
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1344

WHEREAS, Application No. 3453 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Guy and Edith L. K. Burcham to erect a fence at 6708 Tyrian Avenue on Lots 4 and 5, Hyman's Addition which will vary in height from 4 ft. to 7 ft. 6 in.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/30/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 Clearance
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1345

WHEREAS, Application No. 3404 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Albert and Pearl Jumblatt to construct a beauty parlor and two doctors' offices or other necessary commercial enterprises on the Northwest corner of Santa Clara and Mission Boulevard on Lots H and I, Block 175, Mission Beach, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/30/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1346

WHEREAS, Application No. 2356 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J. C. Dyer, purchaser and Ernest E. and Martha McIntosh, owners to maintain a plumbing shop at the rear of the lot, 4054 - 33rd Street on Lots 29 and 30, Block 201, City Heights; no signs; no displays; no more than one employee; and permit to be for a period of 5 years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 1/31/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

82688

RESOLUTION NO. 82688

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Roxana H. Woods and Grace G. Glace, by George Klimper, 4478 Utah Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 1347 application No. 3447 for variance to Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, to permit said Roxana H. Woods and Grace G. Glace to divide portions of Lots 3,4,5 Block 50 University Heights at 4529 Mississippi Street into two parcels and erect a residence on each parcel with the attached garage 2 feet from a 5-foot walk, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee action be, and it is hereby sustained.

82688

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 82688 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAR 5 1946

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM
Deputy.

Form 1270 5M 7-45

O.R

RESOLUTION NO. 1347

WHEREAS, Application No. 3447 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Roxana H. Woods and Grace G. Glase to divide portions of three lots which are as follows the West 80 ft. of Lot 3 and the West 80 ft. of the North 10 ft. of Lot 4 as one parcel and the West 80 ft. of the South 10 ft. of Lot 4 and the West 80 ft. of Lot #5, as parcel two, all in Block #50, University Heights at 4529 Mississippi Street , and erect a residence on each parcel with the attached garage 2 ft. from a 5 ft. walk, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

45

Application Received 2/1/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/14/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & Clerk
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Denied Date 3/5/46
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1348

WHEREAS, Application No. 3491 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caroline Boyle, owner and N. K. Carpenter, purchaser, to construct a store building on Lot 35 and the South one-half of Lot 36, Block 206, University Heights with 13½% excess coverage; lot contains a duplex.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 46

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 2/4/46 By Haelsi
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Seller, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1349

WHEREAS, Application No. 3444 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. Ham to erect a woven wire fence on the Northwest corner of 41st and Broadway on Lots 10 to 13 inclusive, Block 13, Marilou Park with two strands of barbed wire along the top, total height to be 6 ft. and extend the fence along the property line on all four sides and in front of the setback line, provided the strands of barbed wire extend in.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar# as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 1/31/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

U.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1350

WHEREAS, Application No. 3462 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. C. Grube to erect a 13 ft. by 23 ft. 6 in. addition to an existing 12 ft. 18 ft. garage with a 6 in. sideyard and 58 ft. from the front property line; and to maintain no sideyard for the addition; 4415 Ohio Street on the South 6 ft. of Lot 20 and all of Lot 21 and the West 100 ft. of the North 13 ft. of Lot 22, Block 63, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 46, 19 .....

By ..... XXXXXXX Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 2/1/46 By Rose
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Amm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1351

WHEREAS, Application No. 3449 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a church on the Northeast corner of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Saratoga Street on Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 30, Ocean Beach, with a 10 ft. setback on Saratoga Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 2/1/46 By Hadley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Clark, Seller, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1352

WHEREAS, Application No. 3308 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Huffman to build an addition to a non-conforming laundry with 22 employee's and 35 Horsepower boiler at 1202 Garnet Street on Lots 39 and 40, Block 198, Pacific Beach; work to be completed according to statement in a letter dated February 13, 1946 and on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, 46, 19 .....

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 12/15/45 By Hailey
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2 + 1/16/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/46 Hearing date 1/16/46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/16/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/46 Building Inspector 2/15/46
Planning Commission 2/15/46 Petitioner 2/15/46 Health Department 2/15/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1353

See. Res. 309

WHEREAS, Application No. letter dated Feb. 21, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension be granted to Mrs. Bessie G. Wicks to continue the operation of the Aberfeldy Dog Kennel at 6453 Pacific Highway on Lots 10 to 13, inclusive, Block 3, Stephan's Addition for a period of three (3) years from the expiration date of Resolution No. 309.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 138, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, 46, 19 ..... By ..... Secretary

M 2145

~~Application~~ Letter Received 2/21/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date .....
Decision Cpt. granted Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Oseman
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1354

See Res. No. 1099 & Res. #1755

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter dated Feb. 15, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time be granted to Mildred E. and Benjamin L. Brittin to construct an addition on the front of an existing residence at 4966 West Mountain View Drive on the Southeast one-half of the Westerly 117 ft. of Villa Lot 39, as measured along Mt. View Drive, Normal Heights, with a 7 ft. setback; extension to be a period of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1099.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19 .....

By .....
Secretary

M 2145

Letter
~~Application~~ Received 2/21/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date .....
Decision Ext. approved " Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 & Conc
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

RESOLUTION NO. 1355

See Res. 1105

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter dated Feb. 25, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..not..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..not.. be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1105, be granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including two 250 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Euclid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

From B Ide.
1017 - 1st Ave

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, 46, 19 ..... By ..... Secretary

M 2145

~~Application~~ Letter Received 2/26/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date .....
Decision Ext. Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 & Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1356

WHEREAS, Application No. 3457 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Griffith Company to move an office building on the Southwesterly 335 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 and the Northerly 330 ft. of Lot 6, Pueblo Lot 1106, Morse's Subdivision, with no setback on 6th S treet Extension, provided the roof is composition shingle and the building painted to conform with existing buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 26, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 2/5/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1357

WHEREAS, Application No. 3154 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. O. and Ila Dickerson to manufacture garments at 2904 "K" Street on the East 35 ft. of the South 10 ft. of the West one-half of Lot 5 and the East 35 ft. of the West one-half of Lot 6, Block 18, Hoitt's Addition, with not more than two (2) employees; full time; one sign not to exceed 18 in. in height and 3 ft. in width, to be painted on the building; and business to be conducted in the existing residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By .....
Secretary

45

Application Received 2/5/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 & answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1358

WHEREAS, Application No. 3490 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Hansen to erect an 8 ft. high galvanized iron fence on the Southeast corner of 15th and Island Streets on Lots 1, 2, 3 and a portion of 10 and all of 11 and 12, Block 20, Sherman's Addition, provided the fence is painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 26, 19 46

By [redacted] Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 2/6/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6,K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1359

WHEREAS, Application No. 3497 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Louise B. Mac Fall to operate a Photo Studio, part time, within the residence at 808 Colima Street on Lots 14 and 15, Block 27, Bird Rock Addition, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution, provided no signs are placed on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 26, 19 46

By [redacted] Chairman
Secretary

PM 2145

Application Received 2/6/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Comm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.4

RESOLUTION NO. 1360

WHEREAS, Application No. 3453 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ...not..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ...not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. B. Crossman to alter an existing two family dwelling at 2488-90 "J" S treet on the South 100 ft. of the E½ of Lot 45 and the South 100 ft. of Lot 46 and the South 100 ft. of the W 7.54 ft. of Lot 47, Block 10, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, into a 3rd living unit, with no rear yard and subject to final approval by the Building Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

DRM 2145

Application Received 2/6/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Dance
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1361

WHEREAS, Application No. 3480 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hubbard Casket Company to add a 50 ft. by 86 ft. building at 2553 State Street on Lots 1 and 2, 11 and 12, Block 81, Middletown, to be used for manufacturing of caskets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19 .....

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

45

Application Received 2/6/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1362

WHEREAS, Application No. 3498 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. W. and Grace N. Mitchell to manufacture wooden novelties and small cabinet work trellis, full time; one employee; 5 horsepower maximum; hours of operation 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., at 3521 Fairmount Avenue on Lots 19 and 20, Block 9, City Heights Annex No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FM 2145

Application Received 2/7/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1363

WHEREAS, Application No. 3507 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ...not..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will .....not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon Cazare to erect a barbed wire 4 ft. high fence around the boundaries of a portion of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of 1/4 Section 79, RHO de la Nacion, as shown on attached plat on file in the Planning Department Office; property located southerly from the intersection of Leonard and Division Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/13/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1364

WHEREAS, Application No. 3522 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Allen Echols to construct and operate a restaurant on the Southeast corner of Franklin and 28th Streets on Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 317, Reed & Daley's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 1946

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/13/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1365

WHEREAS, Application No. 3481 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Harris to build a garage 59 ft. back from the front property line with a 12 in. sideyard at 2 443 Deauville Street on Lot 6, Block 28, Paradise Hills.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/14/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1366

WHEREAS, Application No. 352-1 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Selby C. and Grace N. Scott to split Lot 10, Block 8, F. T. Scripps Addition to La Jolla Park; one parcel with 50 ft. street frontage and 50 ft. on the alley; the second parcel with 70 ft. street frontage and 36 ft. on the alley; to permit two residences on each parcel, on the north side of Westbourne Street between La Jolla Boulevard and Draper Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 26, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/14/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1367

WHEREAS, Application No. 3455 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to St. Augustine School of San Diego, Inc. to erect fences which will vary from 6 ft. to 12 ft. in height, and to locate portions of these fences in front of the setback lines on 32nd Street, Bancroft Street and 33rd Street on Lots 1 to 20 and 31 to 48, Block 6; and Lots 1 to 18 and 31 to 46, Block 5, Carmel Heights, 3266 Nutmeg Street; provided an agreement is signed and filed of record, stating that if and when the streets are widened the fences will be moved at no expense to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #410 filed 3/7/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19 .....

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/15/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1368

WHEREAS, Application No. 3452 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are not special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Earl R. and Margaret B. Shelton to divide Lot 16, Block C, Starkey's Prospect Park at the rear of 522 Bon Air Street to permit a separate water meter on the Northerly 80 ft., a parcel of land without street frontage, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19

By [redacted] Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/13/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1369

WHEREAS, Application No. 3482 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Effie Jane Conley to construct a residence with a 17 ft. 9 in. setback on the Southeast corner of Logan Avenue and 37th Street on the North 50 ft. of Lots 23 and 24 and the North 50 ft. of the West 11 ft. of Lot 22, Block 407, Helphingstines Add.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By ~~xxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/13/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1370

WHEREAS, Application No. 3556 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse H. and Julia B. Smith, owners and Louise B. Bierbower, purchaser, to operate a surgical corsetiere office at 3637 Goldfinch Street on the North 55 ft. of the South 120 ft. of Lots 16, 17, 18 and 19, Block 453, Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1122; with no signs; no advertising; and no employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19

By xxxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/23/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 2/27/43
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1371

WHEREAS, Application No. 3534 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee Ramage to construct a duplex with a 3½ ft. court as access to the street, 828 Ormond Court, Lot "K", Block 168, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19

By [redacted] Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/14/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46 + assessor
Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1372

WHEREAS, Application No. 3540 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto S. and Katherine Kolbeck to construct a residence on portion of a lot with 215 ft. street frontage, which is the Easterly 152 ft. of the North 1/2 of Lot 125, Morena at Illion Street near Gardena Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By [redacted]
Secretary

2145

Application Received 2/15/46 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 2/27/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46

Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + [illegible]

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1373, amended by Res 2508

WHEREAS, Application No. 3505 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John D. and Faith Lyerly to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lots 15, 17 and 18, Block 9, First Addition to South La Jolla, 7145 Monte Vista Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 19 46

By ~~xxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/6/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1374

WHEREAS, Application No. 3525 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold Abrams to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297 which is Lots 24A and 37 of Assessor's Map No. 27, to permit a second residence, La Jolla Shores Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, 46, 19 .....

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/13/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 2/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/46 Building Inspector 3/1/46
Planning Commission 3/1/46 Petitioner 3/1/46 Health Department 3/1/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1375

WHEREAS, Application No. 3518 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

The petition of R. M. and V. E. Lattin to operate a Boarding House for Alcoholic Patients that need supervision at 1350-52 Rosecrans Street on Lot 5, Block 47, Roseville, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/14/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date 3/13/46
Decision Denied Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Owner
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1376

WHEREAS, Application No. 3504 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred J. and Pearl Jones to operate a Grocery Store and Meat Market in an existing store building at 3895 Ocean View Boulevard that was formerly operated as same, on the North 75 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 3, Imperial Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/7/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27/46 Hearing date 3/13/46
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 82856 (See Res. #1795) Ext. of time granted)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Jamie Erickson and others from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1377 variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 to permit Philip N. Shea and Joseph Levikow and others, owners, and U.S. Holding Company, purchaser, to build and operate a Radio Transmission Station including 3 towers and an equipment building at the north end of 63rd Street on Lots 13 and 14 La Mesa Colony, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee Resolution No. 1377 be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 82856 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council APR 2 8 1946

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM City Clerk.

By

Deputy.

Form 1270 5M 7-45

RESOLUTION NO. 1377 (See Res. #1795 Ext. of time granted)

WHEREAS, Application No. 3560 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ...not... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ...not... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Philip N. Shea and Joseph Levikow, et al, owners, and U. S. Holding Company, purchaser, to build and operate a Radio Transmission Station, including 3 towers and an equipment building at the north end of 63rd Street on Lot 13 and 14, La Mesa Colony.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

2145

Application Received 3/2/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5 Hearing date 3/13/46
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1378

WHEREAS, Application No. 3520 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. Worcester to construct a fence 6 ft. high, along the side property line at 4454 - 58th Street on Lot 24, Block 1, Monte Mar Vista.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, Section 6, (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 2/16/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 x a
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1379

WHEREAS, Application No. 3553 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Assembly of God Church to construct a sign 12 ft. by 3 ft. 3 in. over the entrance on Fir Street on Lot G, Block 229, Horton's Addition, Northwest corner of 6th and Fir Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/21/46 By Hadley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1380

WHEREAS, Application No. 3563 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ocean View Baptist Church to add a 2nd floor to church under construction which is non-conforming with a 6 ft. rear yard but the addition to maintain the required side and rear yard, 38th and Hemlock on the North 65 ft. of Lot "X", Block 1, Sierra Park; also to permit a fireproof stairway to the side property line on the rear portion of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 2/26/46 By Haeley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

S.K.

RESOLUTION NO 1381

WHEREAS, Application No. 3549 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde E. Brisendine to conduct Fruit and Vegetable Juice extraction for co-operative members of Universal Fellowship, in an existing building at 2309 Vancouver Street on Lots 40 and 41, Block "S", Montclair.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ~~Chairman~~
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/28/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1382

WHEREAS, Application No. 3257 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irene B. Dowd, owner and Leonard P. Pluris, tenant, to operate a part time Photograph Finishing Studio in the residence at 2956 Kalmia Street on the South 20 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and all of Lots 37 and 38, Block 5, Park Addition, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 3/1/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + assu
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1383

WHEREAS, Application No. 3510 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Patricia O'Leary to rent an existing garage at 2153 Irving Street on Lots 35 and 36, Block 224, San Diego Land and Town Co's Addition, for the storage of frozen fruits and foods.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/7/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27 + 3/13 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Oral
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1384

WHEREAS, Application No. 3485 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Capt. R. W. Kerns to construct a 24-unit Auto Court on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1788, located 270 ft. northerly of the intersection of Pacific Highway and Balboa Avenue with two entrances to Pacific Highway, and maintain only a 5 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 135, New Series and Section 8a, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/6/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27 + 3/13 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 1385

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 3486 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ____ necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Portion of Pueblo Lot 1788 ~~Block~~

Subdivision located 270 ft. northerly of the intersection of Pacific Highway and Balboa Avenue.

Capt. R. W. Kerns

may be used for the erection and operation of a 24-unit Auto Court with two entrances on Pacific Highway and a 5 ft. rear yard.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated March 14, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/6/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/27/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/27 + 3/13 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1386

WHEREAS, Application No. 3414 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo Hoffman to divide Lot 3, Block 493, Old San Diego into two parcels, one 60 ft. by 150 ft. and one 80 ft. by 150 ft. to permit a single family residence on each parcel, Arista Drive near Presidio Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Split out 4

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/18/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1387

WHEREAS, Application No. 3541 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will ...not..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will .....not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. Mc Cormick, owner and Mrs. P. L. Parker, lessee, to operate a Golf Driving Range on Lot 28, Eureka Lemon Tract at Balboa Avenue and Pacific Highway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 and 138, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

DRM 2145

Application Received 2/18/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Area
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1388

WHEREAS, Application No. 3542 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. Mc Cormick, owner and Mrs. P. L. Parker, lessee to erect a 16 ft. woven wire fence on a Golf Driving Range on Lot 25, Eureka Lemon Tract at Balboa Avenue and Pacific Highway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 2/18/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1389

WHEREAS, Application No. 3832 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ...not..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will .....not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy B. Campbell to build a residence on a parcel of land 110 ft. by 362 ft. which is a portion of Pueblo Lot 129, with a 24 ft. by 134 ft. easement to the street, Silvergate Place; and to permit the erection of an outdoor living room prior to the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

See Agreement
447

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/19/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/15/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 x Aaron
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1390

WHEREAS, Application No. 3488 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert V. Thomas to divide Lots L and M, Mountain View Manor into 4 parcels according to Record of Survey Map of E. C. Kanters, filed July 1944, to permit a single family residence on each parcel, on Wilshire Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ~~xxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/20/46 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 3/13/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46

Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + [illegible]

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1391

WHEREAS, Application No. 3530 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will .....not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray and Virginia Olmstead to construct a single family residence, garage and stable on Ardath Road, a portion of the Southeast one-half of Pueblo Lot 1288, approximately 14 acres.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 2/27/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1392 (See Res. # 2291)

WHEREAS, Application No. 3551 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reg Stalmer to construct a store building 3 ft. from the property line on University Avenue on Lots 10 and 11, G. A. Bush Addition, the Northeast corner of 6th and University provided an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record stating that if and when the street is widened, the store will be moved back; if the street is widened within 2 years the building will be moved at the expense of the city but after 2 years at the expense of the owner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1212, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

letter 7/27/46
Z.C.
Reg Stalmer
5141

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #424
Filed 7/29/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ..... Hearing date .....
Decision ..... Date .....
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ..... Building Inspector .....
Planning Commission ..... Petitioner ..... Health Department .....
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

RESOLUTION NO. 1393

WHEREAS, Application No. 3567 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ...not... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..not.. be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lester Crowder to build an addition to a garage 3 ft. from the side property line at 3747 Granada Avenue on the West 60 ft. of Lot 12, Block 13, West End Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/28/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Denied
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1394

WHEREAS, Application No. 3564 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eliza Kendall to build one unit apartment addition to an existing residence which is only 1 ft. from the side lot line at 3555 - 1st Avenue on the North 40 ft. of Lot 4, Block 1, Loma Grande; addition to comply with present yard requirements but cost of the work will exceed 50% of assessed value of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 46, 19 ..... By ..... Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 3/2/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46
Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + Area
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1395

WHEREAS, Application No. 3418 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of O. A. and A. P. Schulte to erect a garage attached to an existing residence approximately 40 ft. from the front property line with a 2 ft. sideyard at 5924 Balmoral Street on Lot 11, Block "X", West Hollywood, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, 19 46

By ..... Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/20/46 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date

Decision Denied Date 3/13/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/46 Building Inspector 3/15/46

Planning Commission 3/15/46 Petitioner 3/15/46 Health Department 3/15/46 + [illegible]

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1396

WHEREAS, Application No. 3431 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nellie M. Tubbs to operate a Beauty Shop in the residence at 2140 Howard Street on the Easterly 61 ft. of Lots 20 to 22 inclusive and the Easterly 61 ft. of the Southerly 5 ft. of Lot 23, Block 128, University Heights; part time; no employees; and one sign not to exceed 6 in. by 18 in. in size.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 28, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received 1/21/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13+2/27+3/27 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/28/46 Building Inspector 3/29/46
Planning Commission 3/29/46 Petitioner 3/29/46 Health Department 3/29/46+ Ass
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1397

WHEREAS, Application No. 2832 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph D. and Anna M. Morrison to erect a second residence on all of Lot A, La Mesa Colony, except Metes & Bounds to Weston and to divide the land into two parcels; no parcel to be smaller than 100 ft. by 100 ft. in size with one residence on each parcel, 5035 Catoctin Drive.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 28, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/19/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/27/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/27/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/28/46 Building Inspector 3/29/46
Planning Commission 3/29/46 Petitioner 3/29/46 Health Department 3/29/46 & Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1398

WHEREAS, Application No. 352.3 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to C. Q. Giffin to split Lots 16 and 17, Block 9, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on the Northwest corner of Bird Rock Avenue and Bellevue Avenue into two building sites, to be 55 ft. by 80 ft. facing on Bird Rock Avenue, provided required setbacks are maintained on both streets and also provided the rear of the house on the corner lot does not face the lot adjoining on the north; and also subject to final approval of plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

NOTE: Watch: Rear door of residence on corner parcel

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 28, 19 46

By [redacted] Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/16/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13 + 3/27 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 3/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/28/46 Building Inspector 3/29/46
Planning Commission 3/29/46 Petitioner 3/29/46 Health Department 3/29/46 + Comm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1399

WHEREAS, Application No. 3527 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Land Title Insurance Company to construct a church and parking lot for the congregation on the Southerly 230 ft. of the East 1/8 of SW¼ of Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, on Alleghany Street in paradise Hills, provided the parking lot be landscaped within six (6) months after the completion of the church.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 28, 19 46

By ~~xxxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2/18/46 By Reik
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/13/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/13/46 Hearing date 3/27/46
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 3/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/28/46 Building Inspector 3/29/46
Planning Commission 3/29/46 Petitioner 3/29/46 Health Department 3/29/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1400

WHEREAS, Application No. 3582 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. H. Taliaferro to construct a 10-unit multiple dwelling on the west side of 15th Street between A and B Streets on the South 40 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 6, Gardner's Addition with 60% coverage (10% excess coverage).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 25, 19 46

By [illegible] Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Application Received 3/4/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/27/46 By Sellers, Clark, Kerrigan & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/27/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3/27/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/28/46 Building Inspector 3/29/46
Planning Commission 3/29/46 Petitioner 3/29/46 Health Department 3/29/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action