6. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1600

WHEREAS, Application No. 3865 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. L. A. Ruth to repair Optical Instruments in a portion of the existing garage at 2211 Madison Avenue on the East 30 ft. of the West 95 ft. of Lots 1 to 3 inclusive, Block 50½, University Heights for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 20, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No.1600

Application Received 6/15/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/19/46 By Clark Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/19/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 6/19/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/20/46 Building Inspector 6/21/46
Planning Commission 6/21/46 Petitioner 6/21/46 Health Department 6/21/46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1601

WHEREAS, Application No. 3492 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Gulick to build and operate a Cleaning Plant, with a maximum of 35 horsepower available on the premises, 3220 Adams Avenue on Lot 14, Block 31, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 20, 46, 19

By ######## Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1601

Application Received 6/15/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/19/46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/19/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 6/19/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/20/46 Building Inspector 6/21/46
Planning Commission 6/21/46 Petitioner 6/21/46 Health Department 6/21/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1602

WHEREAS, Application No. 3877 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl T. and Mary S. Oberg to build a third residence on Lots 25 and 26 and the Easterly 15 ft. of Lot 27, Block 26, Ocean Beach, 4605 Saratoga Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 20, 19 46

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1602

Application Received 6/18/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/19/46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/19/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 6/19/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/20/46 Building Inspector 6/21/46
Planning Commission 6/21/46 Petitioner 6/21/46 Health Department 6/21/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 83715

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of A. F. Lutes and Mrs. A. F. Lutes, 3231 Marlborough Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Resolution No. 1603, variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, to permit operation of auto repair in garage at the rear of the residence on the south 15 ft. of Lot 4 and all of Lot 5 Block 127 City Heights, on a part time basis, be, and it is hereby granted, and said decision be, and it is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said zone variance be, and it is hereby granted, and said request is hereby granted on the following conditions:

1. No work shall be done after 8:30 P.M.
2. There shall be no signs on the premises
3. There shall be no employees
4. No body work shall be done.

83715

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUL 23 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

RESOLUTION NO. 1603

WHEREAS, Application No 3823 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of A. F. Lutes to operate auto repair in a garage at the rear of the residence at 3231 Marlborough Street on the South 15 ft. of Lot 4 and all of Lot 5, Block 127, City Heights, part time, approximately 4 hours a day, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1603

Application Received 6/8/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/19/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/19/46 Hearing date 7/3/46
Decision Denied Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 & Omaha
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/8/46 Council Hearing, date 7/23/46
Decision of Council Overruled action of Z.C. Date 7/23/46
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1604

WHEREAS, Application No. 3890 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbur L. and Gwendolyn R. Hanlon, owners and Howard H. and Violet Olsen, purchasers to divide a portion of Lot 15, C. C. Seaman's Subdivision (the south 80 ft. of the west 150 ft.) at 54th and Olive Streets and move in a residence, subject to the following conditions:

1. That when Olive Street is required to be widened by the City the owner and purchaser will then dedicate the south 30 ft. of Lot 15 of C. C. Seaman's Subdivision for the widening of said street;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and purchaser and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 423
filed 7/5/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1604

Application Received 6/29/46 By Haelig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Haelig, Clark, Sellew
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Clear
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1605

WHEREAS, Application No 3817 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bennie A. Houston to split lots 10 and 11, Block M, Plumosa Park on the Northwesterly corner of Plumosa Drive and Chatsworth Boulevard, to front upon Plumosa Drive and to construct one single family residence on each lot, provided setbacks are maintained on both streets to comply with the deed restrictions and the City Ordinances.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

+ first Recorded split 10-13-48
Union Title Co.
HK

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1605

Application Received 6/17/46 By Williams
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/19/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/19/46 + 7/3/46
Decision Cond'l Approval Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Date 7/3/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Health Department 7/6/46 & Recommendation
Decision of Council Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Date
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to Continued to
Date of action

Plan

0.

83716

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Mr.. & Mrs. L. M. Gay, 4159 Liberty Street, and Mrs. Floyd Kistler, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, to permit Roscoe E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to build and operate a Drive-In Theatre at West Point Loma Boulevard and Midway Drive on a portion of Lots 1 and 2 Partition of Pueblo Lot 219, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 83716 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUL 23 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

RESOLUTION NO. 1606

WHEREAS, Application No. 3334 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roscoe E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to build and operate a Drive-In Theatre, with approximately 600 car capacity, at West Point Loma Boulevard and Midway Drive, on a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Partition of Pueblo Lot 219, provided 25 ft. is dedicated for the widening of Ollie Street and the property be fenced and landscaped.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman

Secretary Res. No. 1606

FORM 2145

Application Received 6/5/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/19/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/19/46 Hearing date 7/3/46
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/8/46 Council Hearing, date 7/23/46
Decision of Council B.C. Action sustained Date 7/23/46
Resolution becomes effective 7/23/46
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6.13

RESOLUTION NO. 1607

WHEREAS, Application No 3866 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C. and Nelle A. Jones to conduct a Retail Nursery Business on Lots 29 and 30, Block 49, Olive Hill, 4320 Central Avenue, maximum sign 2 ft. by 3 ft. in size ,; no fertilizer to be sold on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1607

Application Received 6/17/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hearing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1608

WHEREAS, Application No. 3870 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Edwin F. Murphy to maintain a Real Estate sign 14 in. by 30 in. at 1345 Virginia Way on Lots 5 and 6, Block 75, Villa Tract La Jolla Park, at the front property line, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 6/22/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hadley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1609

WHEREAS, Application No. 3612 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Security Trust & Savings Bank (John's Food Market) to erect a 30 ft. by 50 ft. Meat Processing room with 25 horsepower in addition to 13 horsepower in Food Market and erect a 20 ft. by 55 ft. storage and receiving room for grocery store at 1260 Garnet Avenue on Lots 21 to 28 inclusive, Block 198, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 46, 19 .....

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1609

Application Received 6/24/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1610

WHEREAS, Application No. 3850 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. G. Everson to establish a part time business of Lawn Mower Sharpening, approximately 3 hours a day at 4385 Oregon Street on Lots 3 and 4, Block 107, University Heights, provided no signs or advertising is posted on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 46, 19 .....

By ..... xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1610

Application Received 6/25/46 By Williams
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Healey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision approved, Cond'l Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1611

WHEREAS, Application No. 3905 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leone Hall Wright to build an addition to an existing residence at 3370 Talbot Street on the East 17 ft. of Lot 26 and the West 20 ft. of Lot 27, Block 11, Roseville Heights; existing house being 2 ft. from side lot line and the chimney less than 6 in. from the side lot line but addition to maintain yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8a, Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ~~xxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

CRM 2145

Res. No. 1611

Application Received 6/26/46 By Williams
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Healey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1612

WHEREAS, Application No. 3881 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. E. and Ruth E. Higley to divide four lots into three building sites as per plan on file in the office of the Planning Department, Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block F, Resub of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on the north side of Bird Rock Avenue between Dolphin and Abalone Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 1946

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1612

Application Received 6/26/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee & Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 & Ass
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 1613 (See Res. #1898 an amendment)

WHEREAS, Application No. 3868 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

Extended by Res. #1927 & 2390

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will .....not..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including two 350 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Euclid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

OBM 2145

Res. No. 1613

Application Received 6/27/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hoeleig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Dasea
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1614 See Res. #1899 + 2386

WHEREAS, Application No. 3896 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. B. Williams to construct a residence on the East side of 28th Street, 150 ft. north of Grape Street on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 56, Seaman & Choates, with a 5 ft. setback from 28th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1614

Application Received 6/27/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee & Hadley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1615

WHEREAS, Application No. 3875 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice W. Walkup and D. T. and E. M. Westmoreland to divide the North 3 acres of Lot 13, Cave & Mc Hatton's Sub. into four lots, to permit a single family residence on each; two parcels 60 ft. by 160 ft. and two parcels 94 ft. by approximately 600 ft. in size.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1615

Application Received 6/28/27 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hearing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1616

WHEREAS, Application No. 3826 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hubbard Casket Company to make a 16 ft. by 100 ft. addition to the east side of an existing building at 2553 State Street on Lots 1, 2, 11 and 12, Block 81, Middletown, to be used for additional manufacturing and storage space, provided the stucco is returned 16 ft. on Union Street, and the remaining portion of the building painted to match the stucco.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1616

Application Received 6/21/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hadley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1617

WHEREAS, Application No. 3827 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hubbard Casket Company to construct a 16 ft. by 100 ft. addition to the east side of an existing building at 2553 State Street on Lots 1, 2, 11 and 12, Block 81, Middletown, with no setback on Union Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1617

Application Received 6/21/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1618

WHEREAS, Application No. 3884 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Vanorsdale to alter a 3-unit multiple residence into 4 units, with a 5 ft. 6 in. court width on two sides at 1057-26th Street on the South 50 ft. of the North 100 ft. of Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 34, H. M. Higgins Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ~~xxxxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

Form 2145

Res. No. 1618

Application Received 6/28/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee & Harlsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 & Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1619

WHEREAS, Application No. 3880 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. J. T. Lipe to operate a Real Estate Office on Prospect Street near Eads Avenue on Lot 1, Block 37, La Jolla Park, provided the building is constructed according to plans submitted. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ~~XXXXXXX~~ Chairman
Secretary

Form 2145

Res. No. 1619

Application Received 6/28/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Healey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1620

WHEREAS, Application No. 3682 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Faust to construct a 13 ft. by 25 ft. addition to an existing Cleaning Plant at 3187 Midway Drive on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239 southwest of Midway Drive and northwest of Riley Street; not more than 10 employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1620

Application Received 6/29/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/5/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1621

WHEREAS, Application No. 3913 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Patricia Stose Robinson to construct a 5 ft. brick wall at 939 Scott Street on a portion of Resub. of Block 12 of Roseville, with a 7 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1621

Application Received 7/2/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1622

WHEREAS, Application No. 3895 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. W. Brown to build a 3 unit court with no sideyard for a portion of the building and a 6 ft. court width leading to the alley only; access to the street by a 3 ft. easement and less than full lot width fronting on the street, 4644 Park Boulevard on Lot 35 (except the north 3 ft. of the east 70 ft.), Block 25, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, and Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

FM 2145

Res. No. 1622

Application Received 6/29/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hoelzig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1623

WHEREAS, Application No. 3848 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tony Smith to add 7 ft. by 50 ft. addition to an apartment and remodel; addition and existing apartment with no sideyard; 1721 National Avenue on Lots 43 and 44, Block 131, Mannassee & Schiller.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 46, 19 ..... By xxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

Form 2145

Res. No. 1623

Application Received 6/29/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Healey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.4

RESOLUTION NO. 1624

WHEREAS, Application No. 3915 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing of working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. B. Jennings & Associates to build an 8 ft. cement wall at 2442 - 5th Avenue on Lot I, Block 281, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1624

Application Received 6/28/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee + Hadley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1625

WHEREAS, ~~Application No~~ Letter dated June 25, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No 8924 as amended)

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1260 be granted, to permit M. G. Winn to erect six (6) units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, on Mission Boulevard between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts, as per plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 6, 46 19 ..... By ..... ####### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No.1625

Letter
~~Application~~ Received 6/28/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. Granted Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1626

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated June 25, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1261 be granted to M. G. Winn to erect six (6) units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts with a 4 ft. setback on Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 6, 19 46

By ~~xxxxx~~ Chairman
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1626

~~Application~~ Letter Received 6/28/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ——— By ———
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date
Decision Cpt. Granted Date 7/3/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/46 Building Inspector 7/6/46
Planning Commission 7/6/46 Petitioner 7/6/46 Health Department 7/6/46 & Assess.
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1627

WHEREAS, Application No. 3858 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. B. Smedley, owner and John Boram, lessee, to operate an existing laundry with 15 employees, 50 horsepower boiler and 20 horsepower incidental equipment at 3777 Mission Boulevard on Lots O, P and Q, Block 192, Mission Beach, subject to the following conditions:

1. Installation of gas fire boilers;
2. No Sunday work;
3. No operations before 7:00 A.M. or after 6:00 P.M.;
4. That the cracks on the east side of the building will be covered or repaired;
5. And that water running on adjoining property will be eliminated.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ~~[illegible]~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 6/20/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date 7/17/46
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1628

WHEREAS, Application No. 3730 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Seth and Maggie Swenson, owners and Eleanor Metcalfe, lessee, to conduct a part time Beauty Shop, maximum of 4 hours per day, in an existing residence at 6926 Mohawk Street on Lot 21, Block 7, La Mesa Townsite and the North 10 ft. of Mohawk Street closed, with no signs on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1628

Application Received 6/26/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 Hearing date 7/17/46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Assen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1629 (Amended by Res. # 2238)

WHEREAS, Application No 3818 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances Nauman, owner and Henry A. Boney, lessee, to continue operation of an existing fruit and vegetable market, install a small cold storage box in an existing building and to put up an awning suitable to shade the fruits and vegetables, at 2985 "C" Street on the North 70 ft. of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 87, E. W. Morse Subdivision, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the south and east side of the open portion on the east end of the existing building be enclosed;
2. That crates and boxes be kept cleared away;
3. Fruit stands not used are to be removed;
4. Permit to be for a period of three (3) years from the date of this resolution;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1629

Application Received 6/17/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/3/46 + 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1630

WHEREAS, Application No. 3901 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jeanice Lederer to make custom made clothes, as part time activity in own home at 824 Dover Court on Lot I, Block 56, Mission Beach, provided no signs are displayed on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946

By .....
~~Director~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1630

Application Received 7/2/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1631

WHEREAS, Application No 3902 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Isham to convert an existing barn into a single family residence approximately 1500 ft. easterly from the end of Gardena Avenue along a private road on the Unnumbered Pueblo Lot north of P.L.267, all of P.L. [illegible], 290 and 291 and portions of P.L. 267, 289 and 292.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1631

Application Received 7/2/46 By Williams
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 & assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1632

WHEREAS, Application No 3873 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gram Gregory, owner and Robert E. Ristau and Thomas T. Padgitt, purchasers, to divide Lot 2, Railroad right of way adjacent and Lot 3 and the Wly 36.96 ft. of Lot 4 and the right of way adjacent, Block 82, Point Loma Heights, on Del Mar Avenue between Guizot and Santa Barbara Streets, into two building sites, approximately 58 ft. each, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1632

Application Received 7/2/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Ame
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1633

WHEREAS, Application No. 3897 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. Franks and Howard and Evelyn Lee Chang to construct a Pitch and Putt Course and Golf Driving Range on the north side of West Point Loma Boulevard near Famosa Boulevard on Pueblo Lot 211, northerly of West Point Loma Boulevard except 100 ft. by 830 ft. parcel adjacent to West Point Loma from the west line which belongs to the City; also to construct and operate a snack bar, provided no alcoholic liquor and no regular meals are sold.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... Secretary ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1633

Application Received 7/7/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1634

WHEREAS, Application No 3918 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. C. Smith to divide a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, approximately 150 ft. on Catoctin Drive which will be the Northeast portion of Lot 28, approximately 144 ft. by 150 ft. to permit one single family residence on the entire parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1634

Application Received 7/8/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Chase
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1635

WHEREAS, Application No 3925 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. M. Mc Atlin to erect a residence facing Bellingham Street on Lots 20, 21 and 22, Block 117, City Heights, with no setback, 3571 Bellingham Street, provided a survey of the property is furnished to the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1635

Application Received 7/8/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Selden & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 & Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 83817

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of F. W. Gladhart and others from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1636 a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 permission to George Pearson, owner, and E. J. Wille, lessee, to operate and maintain an open air Child's Amusement Area at 63rd and El Cajon Boulevard on the southeast portion of Lot 10 La Mesa Colony, be, and it is hereby denied, and overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 83817 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council AUG - 6 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

M 1270(10M-1/46)

RESOLUTION NO. 1636

WHEREAS, Application No. 3662 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Pearson, owner and R. J. Wille, lessee, to operate and maintain an open air Child's Amusement Area at 63rd and El Cajon Boulevard on the Southeast portion of Lot 10, La Mesa Colony, subject to the following conditions:

1. That adequate parking be provided for along 63rd Street;
2. No operations after 8:00 P.M.;
3. To be operated by Mr. and Mrs. R. J. Wille, only;
4. Permit to be for a period of 5 years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1636

Application Received 7/9/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 Jameson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1637

WHEREAS, Application No 3924 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. M. Donovan to construct one single family residence on Lot 8 and the Sly 78 ft. of Lot 4, Block I, Resubdivision of Villa Tract La Jolla Park and maintain a 15 ft. rear yard. 7610 Country Club Dr.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Section 8a, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1637

Application Received 7/10/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1638

WHEREAS, Application No 3922 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Frank Busco to conduct a Photo Business in the basement of an existing residence at 3864 - 45th Street on Lots 39 and 40, Block 6, City Heights Annex No. 1, provided no signs are displayed on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... Secretary ~~xxxxxxxx~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1638

Application Received 7/10/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 & assen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1639 amended by Res. #1794

WHEREAS, Application No 3893 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Himes to divide Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 89, Subdivision of Acre Lots 17, 18 & 35, Pacific Beach at Beryl and Jewell Streets into two lots facing Jewell Street; the corner lot to have 75 ft. street frontage and the inside lot 50 ft. street frontage; to permit a single family residence on each parcel; provided the minimum setback is maintained on Jewell Street and the required setback maintained on Beryl Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1639

Application Received 7/10/46 By Coppock by mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Condi approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1640

WHEREAS, Application No. 3933 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. J. Langston to erect a 24 ft. by 24 ft. garage, to be used for storage of household goods on Lot 10, Block 15, Loma Alta No. 1, Voltaire Street between Guizot and Venice Streets; no plumbing to be installed; and provided construction of the residence is started within one (1) year.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1640

Application Received 7/10/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Approved
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1641 (See Res. # 2017)

WHEREAS, Application No 3170 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Gordon and Barbara Hill Sharp to divide and to permit the erection of a single family residence on the West ½ of the N ¼ of Pueblo Lot 173, a portion of land approximately 116 ft. by 232 ft. in size, with access to the property by a 30 ft. private easement from the Southerly end of Bangor Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1641

Application Received 7/10/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Ammon
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1642

WHEREAS, Application No. 3892 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. D. and Helen H. Donner to re-establish an abandoned non-conforming Grocery and Meat Market at 1487 Russ Boulevard on the North one-half of Lot 2, Block 5, Gardner's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1642

Application Received 7/9/46 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1643

WHEREAS, Application No 3949 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isadore Teacher to build and operate an 20 ft. by 30 ft. Storage Shed for storage of Building Fixtures and Supplies (no lumber) west of 4959 Voltaire Street on Lots 40 and 41, Block 11, Ocean Beach Park, for a period of 8 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 19 46

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1643

Application Received 7/17/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Ame
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.1

RESOLUTION NO. 1644

WHEREAS, Application No 3937 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Armistead Carter, owner and Warren Powers, purchaser, to divide and move in building for a single family residence on the East 107.4 ft. of the West 311.1 ft. of the North 132 ft. of Acre Lot 33, Pacific Beach on the south side of Beryl Street, 203.7 ft. East of Lamont Street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1644

Application Received 7/12/46 By Hackig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Denied
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1645

WHEREAS, Application No 3916 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard S. and Irene M. Taylor to construct a residence and maintain a 10 ft. setback from Pennsylvania Avenue and a setback of not less that the residence adjoining on the south on Kite Street, the Southeast corner of Kite and Pennsylvania Streets on the Northerly 50 ft. of Lots 16, 17, 18 and 19, Block 458, Winder's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1645

Application Received 7/2/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1646

WHEREAS, Application No. 3822 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn M. and Laverne Smith to erect an addition to a residence on the Southeast 1/2 of Lot 9 and all of Lot 10, Block 69, Point Loma Heights, 4322 Narragansett Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1646

Application Received 7/5/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Concur
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1647

WHEREAS, Application No 3917 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis C. Burgener to construct one single family residence with attached garage on the North 1/2 of Lot 5, C. M. Doty's Subdivision, west side of Lamont Street between Beryl and Malden Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1647

Application Received 7/5/46 By Coppock by mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Extended by Res. #1950

RESOLUTION NO. 1648

WHEREAS, Application No. 3766 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. Warner to construct a single family residence on Lot 22F of Assessor's Map 171, Ex-Mission Rancho, without frontage on a dedicated street but access by a private road near Madrone Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 15, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1648

Application Received 7/10/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1649 (extended by #2000 #2416)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No~~ Letter dated July 10, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1279 be granted to B. E. and Marie T. Harmer and C. P. Winn to build a residence on the Northwest corner of 52nd and Monroe Streets on the Easterly 62½ ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 6, Alhambra Park with a setback of 20 ft. from the front property line; and in no case shall the setback be less than 20 ft. and no nearer to the street than the house immediately to the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1649

~~Application~~ Letter Received 7/10/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date _____
Decision 6 mo. Ext granted Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Omm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1650

Extension of Res. No. 1234

WHEREAS, Letter dated July 2, 1946 ~~Application No~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension be granted to Frank and Eva M. Fikes to construct an addition to and convert a single family dwelling at 2970 Kalmia Street on Lots 41 and 42, Block 5, Park Addition into a duplex with a 4 ft. rear yard, for a period of 6 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1650

~~Application~~ Letter Received 7/3/46 By Haeberg
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date .....
Decision 6 mo. Ext. granted Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

AMENDMENT to Resolution No. 1623

RESOLUTION NO. 1651

Letter dated July 13, 1946

WHEREAS, ~~Application No~~ ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1623, dated July 5, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Tony Smith to add 7 ft. by 50 ft. addition to an apartment and remodel and to use an existing attic space, 42 ft. by 18 ft., in size; addition and existing apartment with no sideyard but the attic space observes the 4 ft. yard spaces as required, 1721 National Avenue on Lots 43 and 44, Block 131, Mannassee & Schiller.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1651

~~Application~~ Letter Received 7/13/46 By Williams
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/3/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved amendment Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 x Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1652

WHEREAS, Application No. 3907 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. R. Palmer, owner and Paul J. Sterbenz and Chris Rinden, purchasers, to construct one four unit apartment on the corner of Conde and Moore Streets on the North 50 ft. of Lot 1, Block 488, Old San Diego and observe a 15 ft. setback on Conde Street and a 15 ft. setback on Moore Street instead of the average, as required.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1652

Application Received 7/11/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1653

WHEREAS, Application No 3923 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Murphy to permit two single family residences on the South 103.30 ft. of the North 215.40 ft. of the West 190 ft. of Lot 41, Encanto, lying south of Wunderlin Street, the 800 block of 60th Street, south of Wunderlin Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 18, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No.1653

Application Received 7/10/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/17/46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/17/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7/17/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/18/46 Building Inspector 7/19/46
Planning Commission 7/19/46 Petitioner 7/19/46 Health Department 7/19/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1654

WHEREAS, Application No. 3879 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. M. K. Anderson to operate a dining room with 8 tables (32 patrons); one partner and two waitresses at Sea Breeze Drive and Edgewater Street on Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, La Huerta, subject to the following conditions:

1. Hours of operation from 4:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M. on weekdays and from 12 noon to 8:00 P.M. on Sundays;
2. No signa;
3. Permit to be for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1654

Application Received 7-9-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-17-46 By Clark, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-17-46 Hearing date 7-31-46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1655

WHEREAS, Application No. 3883 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Hazard, owner of all of Pueblo Lot 1101 and lessee of the City of San Diego, owner of unsubdivided portion of Pueblo Lot 1100 and Lots 20 to 23 inclusive, Block E, Addition to Silver Terrace (see Document No. 358990 on file in the office of the City Clerk), to conduct a Commercial Stable, Horse Shows, Rodeos and Equestrian Sports on said properties in the vicinity of Gaines and Azusa Streets; and it is understood that this variance will in no way affect the status of that portion of the property included in S. C. Case No. 130137, City versas Adams, et al, filed in Superior Court, December 14, 1945.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 2, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No. 1655

Application Received 7-8-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-17-46 ~~7-31-46~~ By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-17-46 ~~7-31-46~~ Hearing date 7-31-46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2-46 Building Inspector 8-3-46
Planning Commission 8-3-46 Petitioner 8-3-46 Health Department 8-3-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1656

WHEREAS, Application No 3851 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

TRUMBULL

Permission is hereby granted to B. P. Cummings to erect a single family residence on the West 100 ft. of the East 125 ft. of Lot 14 and the West 100 ft. of the East 125 ft. of Lot 15, Cave & Mc Hatton's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Split UT&TCO.
Feb 25, 1946
RMP

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1656

Application Received 6-7-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-19-46 By Zoning Comm.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-19-46 + 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1657

WHEREAS, Application No 3887 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. D. Cowardin to conduct a part time Photographic Development in an existing garage at 2505 Boundary Street on Lots 11 and 12, Block 8, City Heights, with no signs or soliciting on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. 1657

Application Received 7-12-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Com'l approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1658

WHEREAS, Application No 3931, has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George J. Shaffer, Jr., to divide Lots 14 and 15, Cave & Mc Hatton Subdivision, at 6050 Detroit Street, to permit one single family residence on the West 120 ft. of the East 445 ft. of the East one-half of Lots 14 and 15.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1658

Application Received 7-13-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Approved
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1659

WHEREAS, Application No 3878 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. and E. H. Kahanek to split a portion of Lot 9, La Mesa Colony, a parcel with 80 ft. street frontage, to permit a single family residence, on 62nd Street approximately 400 ft. south of El Cajon Boulevard, subject to the granting of a 10 ft. easement across the front of their property, for the widening of 62nd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1659

Application Received 7-15-46 By Coppock (mail)
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Seelen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1660

WHEREAS, Application No 3962 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. and E. H. Kahanek to operate a Miniature Golf Course on a portion of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony a parcel 50 ft. by 100 ft. in size adjacent to 62nd Street, 120 ft. south of El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1660

Application Received 7-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1661 (See Res. # 2016)

WHEREAS, Application No. 3639 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel Titus and Gertrude Stemerick to divide the W½ of the E 2/5 of the SW¼ of Sec. 103, Rancho de la Nacion on the West side of Alleghany Street near Reo Drive into two parcel, each with 82½ ft. street frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1661

Application Received 7-19-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sullens + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1662

WHEREAS, Application No 3952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Green to erect an 8 ft. wire link fence with 3 strands of barbed wire at a 45 degree angle at 719-29 - 13th Street on Lots D and E, Block 78, Horton's Addition; steel posts to be set in concrete, angle iron braces to be put on the gate and the barbed wire to extend in, according to the requirements of the Fence Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1662

Application Received 7-18-46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to Continued to
Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1663 (See Res. # 2018)

WHEREAS, Application No. 3934 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Catarino Hernandez, owner and R. E. Hernandez, purchaser, to construct a second residence on Lot H, Shaw Addition to Encanto Heights, 120 Ritchey Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 7-18-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-1-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1664

WHEREAS, Application No 3957 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. G. Hollander to construct a 6 ft. concrete block wall on a 3 ft. concrete retaining wall, 48 ft. from Brant Street on the N½ of Lot K and all of Lot L, Block 378, Horton's Addition, Southwest corner of Brant and Thorn Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1664

Application Received 7-19-46 By Harlan
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1665

WHEREAS, Application No. 3954 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. V. and Maud R. Rush to move in a building at 3638 Collier Avenue on Lot 34, Block C, Subdivision of Villa Lots 165-184, Normal Heights, and maintain an 18 ft. 2 in. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1665

Application Received 7-22-46 By Blake Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1666

WHEREAS, Application No. 3966 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Kenworthy to repair and to construct an 8 ft. by 13 ft. addition to a residence with no sideyard at 3045 Ash Street on the East 40 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 33, Friedlander's Subdivision; addition to observe all yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1666

Application Received 7-23-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1667

WHEREAS, Application No. 3965 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to P. L. Banfield to remodel an existing garage at 1105 Tourmaline Street on Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Reed's Ocean Front Addition, into living quarters, making the second living unit on the property; plans to be approved by the Planning Department if and when the owner gets the approval of the Building Inspector on the plans for remodeling of new living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1667

Application Received 7-23-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cont'd approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1668

WHEREAS, Application No. 3958 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. H. and Lucille Woodward to split Lot 1 and street closing adjacent and Lot 2, Block 9, Seaman & Choates, on the Southeast corner of 28th and Date Streets into two building sites, described as follows: parcel 1; north 20 ft. of Lot 1 and 10 ft. street closing and parcel 2; south 5 ft. of Lot 1 and all of Lot 2; giving each parcel 30 ft. street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12 795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1668

Application Received 7-24-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1669

WHEREAS, Application No 3950 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Gilligan to remodel interior of an existing single family residence at 4528 Campus Avenue on Lot 31 and the South 5 ft. of Lot 32, Block 43, University Heights and maintain the existing 2 ft. 3 in. sideyard; no change in overall dimensions of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1669

Application Received 7-24-46 By Rose
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1670

WHEREAS, Application No 3955 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maurice C. and Miriam W. Knox to erect a garage with living quarters above and maintain a 5 ft. rear yard at 4933 - 70th Street on the South 40 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 and adjacent street closing, Block 12, La Mesa Townsite; garage now existing but will be torn down and rebuilt on the same foundation.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1670

Application Received 7-25-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1671

WHEREAS, Application No 3967 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. G. Hubbs to construct one single family residence on a parcel of land 125 ft. by 300 ft. in size which is 167 ft. east from the Southwesterly corner of Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract, thence 125 ft. along Baker Street, and 300 ft. in depth, 287 ft. east of Morena Blvd. on the north side of Baker Street, provided a 20 ft. easement is granted to the City for the widening of Baker Street.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See Agreement # 483
Filed 8-27-47

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1671

Application Received 7-25-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1672

WHEREAS, Application No. 3941 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Coronado Development Company to redivide Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block I, Redland Gardens Extension into four parcels as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, Southwest corner of Adams Avenue and Hinson Place, provided a 10 ft. easement is granted to the City for the widening of 54th Street, across the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Nos. 12794 and 1506, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Ease. granted Bs. # 85-253

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ###### Chairman
Secretary

Res. No. 1672

2145

Application Received 7-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assesso
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1673

WHEREAS, Application No 3942 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Coronado Development Company to construct eight residences on Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, Block I, Redland Gardens Extension on the Southwest corner of Adams Avenue and Hinson Place with a 10 ft. setback on Adams Avenue; 10 ft. on Hinson Place and 10 ft. on 54th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Exc. Granted
Rs # 85253

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1673

Application Received 7-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1674

WHEREAS, Application No. 3943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Coronado Development Company to redivide Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18, Block H, Redland Gardens Extension into four parcels as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, on the east side of 54th Street between Collier Avenue and Adams Avenue, provided 10 ft. across the front of the property is dedicated to the City for the widening of 54th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1506, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ######### Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1674

Application Received 7-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1675

WHEREAS, Application No 3944 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Coronado Development Company to construct residences on the east side of 54th Street between Collier Avenue and Adams Avenue on Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18, Block H, Redlands Gardens Extension, with a 15 ft. setback, on all streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ######## Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1675

Application Received 7-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1676

WHEREAS, Application No. 3932 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will ...not... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ...not... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Richard L. Pinnell to build a 8-unit Bungalow Court on Lots 27 to 35 inclusive, Block 3, First Addition to Ocean Spray, a parcel of land fronting on Mission Boulevard, near Opal Street, in zone R-2, 6 of the units to be built on lots which do not front upon a street; provided that no portion of these lots will be sold or transferred to separate ownership until such parcel shall front or abut, for its full width, upon a dedicated street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

FM 2145

Res. No. 1676

Application Received 7-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 1677

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 2554 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is not necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lots 20 and 21 Block 31

Subdivision Mission Bay Park Tract

C. G. Brabazon, owner and Victor W. Mills, lessee

6646 Pacific Highway

may be used for the erection and operation of ~~be~~ DENIED permission to erect and operate 10 additional trailer spaces, to be added to an existing trailer park on adjoining property.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated August 1, 1946

By

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Res. No. 1677

Application Received 5-13-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made ~~and~~ 6-19-46 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1678

WHEREAS, Application No. 2553 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)

1 That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

The petition of C. G. Brabazon, owner and Victor W. Mills, lessee to make an addition of 10 trailer spaces to an existing trailer camp on adjoining property, at 6646 Pacific Highway on Lots 20 and 21, Block 31, Mission Bay Park Tract, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ##### Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1678

Application Received 5-13-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-19-46 and 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1679

WHEREAS, Application No. 3273 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)

1 That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

The petition of Armistead Carter, owner and C. H. Barr, purchaser to construct a single family residence on a portion of Acre Lot 33, Pacific Beach, 77 ft. by 200 ft. in size, on the south side of Beryl Street approximately 150 ft. east of Lamont Street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1679

Application Received 12-29-45 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1680

WHEREAS, Application No. 3930 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Kathrine Claflin to construct an addition to the existing Nursing Home at 3022 - 45th Street on Lots 21 to 28 inclusive, Block 7, Clifton Addition to City Heights, with a maximum of 15 patients.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ######## Chairman
Secretary

FM 2145

Res. No. 1680

Application Received 7-15-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1681

WHEREAS, Application No. 3919 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Britt and H. U. Parker, co-owners, to split Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 96, City Heights into three building sites to face on Myrtle Street instead of Central Avenue, Northeast corner of Myrtle and Central, provided a setback is maintained on Myrtle Street of not less than the existing residence which is approximately 12 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1681

Application Received 7-15-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1682

WHEREAS, Application No. 3888 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..not.. be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. F. D. Ullrich to divide Lots 15 and 16, Block F, Villa Tract La Jolla Park on the south side of Ludington Place between Kearsarge and Crespo Streets into two building sites with 100 ft. street frontage and 62 ft. depth, each, to permit a single family residence on each parcel; one parcel to front on Kearsarge St. and the other on Crespo Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ######## Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No. 1682

Application Received 7-25-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1683

WHEREAS, Application No. 3889 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. F. D. Ullrich to construct two residences on Lots 15 and 16, Block F, Villa Tract La Jolla Park one to maintain an 8 ft. setback on Kearsarge Street and one an 8 ft. setback on Crespo Street, on the south side of Ludington Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ######## Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No. 1683

Application Received 7-25-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1684

WHEREAS, Application No. 3936 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Edward Schuetz to construct new one single family residence on a portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony, 100 ft. by 150 ft. in size, adjoining the parcel of land approved by Res. No. 699, on the Easterly side, on the Northwesterly side of Seminole Drive, south of El Cajon Boulevard, provided a 10 ft. strip across the front of the property is dedicated to the City for the widening of Seminole Drive.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

DEDICATION on record in City Clerk's Office (CBR)

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ~~[illegible]~~ Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No. 1684

Application Received 7-11-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1685

WHEREAS, Application No. 3970 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Hampton to construct a new foundation and make general repairs on existing residence which now has no rear yard, at 26 - 25th Street (rear) on the North 40 ft. of Lots 45 to 48 inclusive, Block 14, Lincoln Park Addition, provided the residence is moved forward from the rear line 3 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1685

Application Received 7-26-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1686

WHEREAS, Application No. 3963 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse R. Robertson to build a duplex in addition to two existing units at 4850 Del Mar Avenue on Lots 11 and 12 and the W½ of Lot 13, Block 47, Ocean Beach, with access to the duplex by a 10½ ft. court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ##### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No. 1686

Application Received 7-26-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1687

WHEREAS, Application No. 3962 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Oatman to alter a portion of an existing garage at 206 Upas Street on the West ½ of Block 417, Horton's Addition and Lot 2, Block 8, Loma Grande, to a bedroom and bath, with a 1 ft. 6 in. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ####### Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res. No. 1687

Application Received 7-27-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1688

WHEREAS, Application No. 3896 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. I Ebner to erect one single family residence on Lot 2, Block 252, Roseville and the East 31.76 ft. of Lot 97, Point Loma Villas, on Curtis Street easterly from Chatsworth Boulevard, a parcel of land with 51.04 ft. street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 1, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1688

Application Received 7-27-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-31-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-46 Building Inspector 8-2-46
Planning Commission 8-2-46 Petitioner 8-2-46 Health Department 8-2-46 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO 1689

WHEREAS, Application No. 3995 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):

1 That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2 That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard B. Shanks to erect a garage 20' x 32" with a rear yard of 2' on Lot 230, Kensington Heights No.2, at 5187 Bristol Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 2932, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, 19 46

By xxxxxxx Chairman
Secretary

M 2145

Res.No.1689

Application Received ~~P. Q.~~ 8-5-46 By P. Q. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made none By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-7-46 Hearing date - at Plan. Comm. meeting
Decision approved Date 8-7-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-13-46 Building Inspector 8-13-46
Planning Commission 8-13-46 Petitioner 8-13-46 Health Department 8-13-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 84200

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of J. W. Thomas, M.D., 513 Silvergate Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting application No. 3849 by its Resolution No. 1690 variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924 as amended insofar as they relate to Lot A La Playa Highlands and the westerly 25 feet of Pueblo Lot 141, permitting Max Streicher to divide said property into three building sites for a single family dwelling on each parcel, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee be, and it is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission be, and it is hereby granted to said Max Streicher to divide the hereinbefore mentioned property into two building sites for a single family dwelling on each parcel; and variance to the provisions of said zoning ordinances be, and they are hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 84200 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council OCT 1 1946

File 121642 Sheet 11/15/46

190

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

RESOLUTION NO. 1690

WHEREAS, Application No. 3849 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Streicher to divide Lot A, La Playa Highlands and the Westerly 25 ft of Pueblo Lot 141, into three building sites, according to a plat on file in the Planning Department office, to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel; each parcel having access to a public street by an easement of 25 ft to the southern end of Gage Lane.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924 as amended, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1690

Application Received 7-24-46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date 8-14-46
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8-19-46 Council Hearing, date 8-27-46
Decision of Council divide into 2 bldg sites Date 10/1/46
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1691

WHEREAS, Application No. 3968 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. E. K. Hennessy to construct a new roof, bath and exterior alterations necessary to a residence which has a 20-inch sideyard on Lot 18 and the North 1/2 of Lot 19, Block 172, University Heights at 4027 Louisiana Street, provided the shed on the rear of the building is removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1691

Application Received 7-25-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date 8-14-46
Decision approved, cond'l Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1692

WHEREAS, Application No. 3978 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Dorothea Scheneman to conduct photographic business (no photography on premises and no signs) developing in dark room in an existing building, approximately 3 hours per day, on Lots 17 and 18, Block 70, University Heights at 4429 Arizona Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1692

Application Received 7-29-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1693

WHEREAS, Application No. 3975 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. F. W. Frizenkotter to construct a 6-unit court (portions of 3 units on a lot) on Lots 17 to 20 inclusive, Block "E" South La Jolla, on Nautilus Street, 600 feet west of La Jolla Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1693

Application Received 7-30-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1694

WHEREAS, Application No. 3989 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. S. Kinney to construct a 24 ft. 6 in. by 28 ft. 6 in. 2nd floor addition to an existing store building and to use this addition for a commercial radio maintenance and repair shop on the East 5 feet of Lot 28, all of Lot 29, Block 91, E. W. Morse's Subdivision and the West 50 feet of the South 10 feet of Lots 11 and 12, Block 33, Friedlander's Subdivision at 1307 Fern Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 19 46 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1694

Application Received 7-30-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1695

WHEREAS, Application No. 3946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Constance J. Clark, and Bets Reeder, operator, to do commercial photo developing and printing in private garage, on part time basis, provided there are no signs posted on the property and no employees, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 24, Loma Alta No. 2, at 4391 Montalvo Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1695

Application Received 7-30-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Condl approval Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1696

WHEREAS, Application No. 3990 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore P. and Marion P. Hall, to build two bedrooms and bath at the rear of an existing residence; addition to have a 7 ft. rear yard and the remaining portion of the residence has a 35 ft. rear yard, on Lot 13 and East 1/2 of Lot 14, Block 10, Resubdivision of Inspiration Heights at 2006 Orizaba Street, provided an agreement is signed by the owner and recorded that the bedrooms will not be used for rental purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1696

Application Received 8-1-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1697

WHEREAS, Application No. 3739 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. G. Cragg to maintain and change garage into living quarters, making 2 living units on rear portion of four lots and to maintain a 5 ft. rear yard on the southwesterly 40 feet of Lots 25 to 28 inclusive, Block 25, Ocean Beach at 1930 Froude Street.

A variance to the progisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1697

Application Received 8-2-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1698

WHEREAS, Application No. 3991 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon M. Weiss, to erect an addition to an existing residence, maintaining a 16 ft. rear yard and a 4 ft. sideyard on the east side, on Lot 358, Talmadge Park, at 4334 Alder Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1698

Application Received 8-12-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1699

WHEREAS, Application No. 3956 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. F. Fowler, to construct a four unit dwelling on two lots in an R-2 zone, on Lots 47 and 48, Block 17, Ocean Beach at the intersection of Ebers and Orchard Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 19 46 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1699

Application Received 8-2-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + Assesso
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1700

WHEREAS, Application No. 3987 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gerald F. and Edna M. Coons to operate a cabinet shop in an existing chicken brooder building, on Lot 19, Block 3, Encanto Heights, at 516- 63rd Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. No employees;
2. Not more than 10 h.p.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-2-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + Assessors
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action